

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

The Data Burden of Digital Learning

Rachel Umoren^{1*}, Ime Asangansi², Dillon Afenir³, Brian W. Bresnahan⁵, Annabelle Kotler¹,
Cailin White¹, Matt Cook¹, Casey Lowman⁴, Sara Berkelhamer¹

¹ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,

USA

² EHealth4Everyone, Abuja, Nigeria

³ Department of Economics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁴ American Academy of Pediatrics, Itasca, IL, USA

⁵ Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

*Corresponding author: Rachel A. Umoren, 1959 NE Pacific St, Box 356320, Seattle, Washington 98195, email: rumoren@uw.edu

RU and IA conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, designed the data collection tools and reviewed and revised the manuscript. IA, DA and BB collected data and carried out the initial analyses and reviewed and revised the manuscript. SB, MC, AK, CL, and CW critically reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors participated in the revision of the manuscript, approved the final version and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

23 **Abstract**

24 The costs of participating in training programs that rely on video conferencing vary by
25 mechanics of use and the specific platform. We proposed practical solutions to limiting costs in
26 low resource settings with the use of video conferencing calls. Scenarios in which facilitators
27 have their video on and expect learners to participate with continuous video result in the greatest
28 data burden, while use of intermittent video by both facilitator and learners can significantly
29 lower data use, and thus costs. The choice of a platform also impacts teleprogramming, with
30 creative options for use of lower cost platforms to reduce participant and training organization
31 costs. These might include sharing educational content or video via chat groups and limiting
32 conference to audio alone. In the context of COVID-19 where virtual meetings have become
33 prevalent, it is critical that data burden is considered by program directors and funders. Looking
34 forward, hybrid training that includes virtual and in-person training will likely become the norm
35 in global health settings, but achieving this model will still require thoughtful consideration of
36 data costs. Further, our findings are relevant to many other fields and advocate for evaluation of
37 costs and data burden along with the growing use of teleprogramming in these settings.

38 **Author Summary**

39 The COVID-19 pandemic restricted travel and in-person gatherings. These restrictions
40 also impacted access to important in-person training programs for healthcare workers, especially
41 in areas where financial resources are limited. However, one positive impact of the pandemic has
42 been improved access and experience with video conferencing tools (like Zoom) for many
43 healthcare training programs. These video conferencing tools provide a way to complete
44 essential training when in-person options may be limited. However, video-based training can

45 have significant costs including internet data costs, mobile device costs, and healthcare worker
46 professional time. Our study examined the data costs associated with video conferencing using
47 several video conferencing applications across 5,554 mobile data plans in 228 countries. We
48 found that costs are highest when trainers have their video on and learners participate with video
49 on throughout the training. Intermittent video use by both trainers and learners can significantly
50 lower costs. We also found that using lower-cost video-conferencing tools may help reduce
51 costs. Additionally, there are training methods that can reduce costs including sharing
52 educational content or video via chat groups and limiting conferences to audio only. Virtual
53 training is a powerful and common tool in healthcare settings, but it is essential to consider costs,
54 especially in areas with limited resources.

55 **Introduction**

56 The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in restrictions to travel and in-person gathering
57 and reduced resources for training healthcare workers, particularly those in resource-scarce
58 settings. At the same time, the increasing availability and accessibility of video conferencing
59 platforms has led to their widespread use in local training events and national conferences.
60 Initially, video conferencing could only be used by large organizations who could invest in
61 devices, infrastructure and personnel to implement an on-premise solution that was made
62 available to employees working on site. However, video conferencing systems are now widely
63 available and accessible on a variety of devices including personal computers, smartphones,
64 tablets, and even augmented reality headsets. Cloud videoconferencing solutions such as Zoom,
65 Webex, Go-to-Meeting, Join.me, Telegram, Viber, WhatsApp, Team Viewer, and Microsoft
66 Teams provide the essential video infrastructure as a fully supported hosted service. Many of
67 these solutions also offer free services that allow video conferences between a limited number of

68 participants for a finite duration, e.g. 45 minutes with participants using their own devices and
69 paying for internet data costs. These solutions provide an option for delivering remote training
70 when in-person options may be limited.

71 In healthcare settings, tele-education sessions may take the form of tele-mentoring
72 guidance on the management of actual clinical cases or tele-simulation training [1-6]. Tele-
73 simulation has been described in neonatal resuscitation training for healthcare workers in high
74 and low resource settings [2, 7-8]. The Helping Babies Survive curriculum developed by the
75 American Academy of Pediatrics is an evidence-based training curriculum that utilizes
76 simulation principles to teach the steps of neonatal resuscitation and the routine care of small and
77 sick newborns. The curriculum was designed for in-person facilitation, but also has been
78 administered virtually to remote learners [8-9].

79 Some of the most visible demonstrations of tele-mentoring emerged from Project
80 Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes (ECHO), also known as Project ECHO, which
81 utilizes tele-education to bridge knowledge gaps between specialists at academic health centers
82 and primary care providers from remote areas [10]. The Project ECHO model expanded access
83 to tele-mentoring through creating a virtual knowledge network on a range of topics related to
84 care for patients. The first ECHO project linked university specialists with rural and prison-based
85 clinicians to improve care for people with chronic hepatitis in New Mexico [11]. The concepts of
86 tele-mentoring have also been applied to procedural training in surgical care [12-14] and are
87 likely to have broad application to any field where skills training is required.

88 The cost considerations for digital training include internet data costs, mobile device
89 costs and healthcare worker professional time. Many institutions are willing to support
90 healthcare worker professional time to participate in training events and it is common for

91 learners to own or have access to a mobile device. However, individuals in rural areas or low
92 resource settings may not be able to access reliable broadband internet due to limited
93 connectivity or high data costs. Despite the expansion of tele-simulation and tele-mentoring
94 programs, little is known about the data costs to funding agencies and end-users. These expenses
95 need to be taken into consideration as interest in delivery of remote training and mentoring
96 grows in response to complex social and health demands as well as advancing technology.

97 **Methods**

98 To assess data costs associated with digital training, two devices (an iPhone 7 and a
99 Samsung Galaxy Note 8) were connected by video conference call for a 36-minute test period.
100 All background apps and updates were disabled to accurately assess data use. Device A hosted
101 the call and had video enabled throughout the test period (“continuous”) while Device B had
102 video enabled for 2 minutes in 10 minutes intervals (“intermittent”). The test was performed for
103 four platforms: Zoom, Webex, MS Teams and WhatsApp. The evaluation was performed with
104 WIFI internet service and repeated using the dedicated sims of the two devices with a local
105 mobile network provider (Globacom Nigeria). The bandwidth was monitored in real time using
106 the MikroTik Userman hotspot monitor application to determine total data download and total
107 data upload during the call for each device. Results were normalized to 60 minutes of use and the
108 total time estimated for completing an essential newborn care provider course (approximated as
109 12 hours). Published country specific data on GB were accessed for analysis from:
110 <https://www.cable.co.uk/mobiles/worldwide-data-pricing/>. These data were compiled from 5,554
111 mobile data plans in 228 countries (2020). The average cost of one gigabyte (1GB) was
112 calculated and normalized to US dollars. Salary estimates were obtained from the Economic
113 Research Institute (<https://salaryexpert.com>) and are based on salary survey data collected

114 directly from employers and anonymous employees. Using the job description “registered
115 nurse”, we conducted a search for the median hourly wage in each country and then converted
116 the figures to 2021 USD.

117 **Results**

118 **Data costs by country and region**

119 The average cost of data as normalized to the price of 1 GB in USD varies greatly by
120 country and region, ranging from 0.09 to 52.20 USD in 2020. Gross national income per capita
121 also ranges nearly 500-fold from 280-117730 USD in 2019. Heat maps demonstrate that cost of
122 data does not always parallel GNI (Fig 1). Cost analysis by region identified wide range with the
123 highest (high) cost in Northern Africa and the lowest (low) cost in Asia and the CIS (USSR)
124 (Table 1). The median of the average cost of 1GB across all regions was \$3.42. The highest
125 average cost of 1GB of data was in North America at \$14/GB while the lowest average cost was
126 in Northern Africa at \$2/GB.

127

128 **Figure 1a. Average price of 1GB of data (USD)**

129 **Figure 1b. Gross national income per capita**

130

131 **Table 1. Regional Cost Ranges for 1GB of Data in U.S. Dollars**

Continental Region	Plans measured	Low cost (1GB) USD	High cost (1GB) USD	Average cost (1GB) USD
<i>Asia (Ex. Near East)</i>	897	0.01	89.42	2.28 ± 3.56

<i>Baltics</i>	52	0.36	18.44	2.31 ± 3.56
<i>Caribbean</i>	492	0.17	195.3	7.19 ± 3.56
<i>Central America</i>	202	0.5	55.56	3.24 ± 3.56
<i>CIS (USSR)</i>	236	0.01	23.75	2.16 ± 3.56
<i>Eastern Europe</i>	392	0.03	156.78	3.42 ± 3.56
<i>Near East</i>	371	0.06	180	4.46 ± 3.56
<i>North America</i>	113	1.78	99.68	14.71 ± 3.56
<i>Northern Africa</i>	143	0.16	1140.07	2.06 ± 3.56
<i>Oceania</i>	345	0.28	143.35	7.85 ± 3.56
<i>South America</i>	415	0.23	195.3	5.8 ± 3.56
<i>Western Europe</i>	740	0.09	108.39	3.13 ± 3.56
<i>Sub-Saharan Africa</i>	1156	0.15	212.9	6.91

132

133 **Data burden by connectivity and platform**

134 To determine relative costs associated with use of variable platforms, the upload and
 135 download data use was determined modeling three typical training scenarios: 1) Continuous

136 video for both facilitator and participant; 2) Continuous video for facilitator and intermittent
137 video for participant; and 3) Intermittent video for both facilitator and participant. Representative
138 platforms were assessed including Zoom, Webex (in high and low definition), Microsoft (MS)
139 Teams and WhatsApp (Table 2).

140

141 **Table 2. Comparison of Data Burden Associated with Variable Platforms and Continuous**
142 **versus Intermittent Video Use**

143 **Scenario 1: Continuous Video for Both Facilitator and Participant**

Platform	1 hour virtual meeting GB	12h ENC course GB	ECHO project (8 hours immersion training plus 2h/month for 6 months) GB
Zoom	1.09	13.14	21.86
HD-Webex	1.09	13.10	21.82
Non-HD Webex	0.99	12.00	19.92
Microsoft Teams	0.77	9.28	15.44
WhatsApp	0.35	4.22	7.02

**Scenario 2: Continuous Video for Facilitator/Intermittent Video for
Participant**

Platform	1 hour virtual meeting GB	12h ENC provider course GB	ECHO project (8 hours immersion training plus 2h/month for 6 months) GB
Zoom	0.54	6.51	11.37
HD-Webex	0.53	6.37	10.61
Non-HD Webex	0.47	5.65	9.41
Microsoft Teams	0.39	4.70	7.82
Whatsapp	0.16	2.03	3.31

Scenario 3: Intermittent Video for Facilitator and Participant

Platform	1 hour virtual meeting GB	12h ENC provider course GB	ECHO project (8 hours immersion training plus 2h/month for 6 months) GB
Zoom	0.12	1.43	2.39
HD-Webex	0.13	1.55	2.59
Non-HD Webex	0.12	1.45	2.41

Microsoft			2.27
Teams	0.11	1.39	
Whatsapp	0.03	0.43	0.67

144

145 **Earning wage and data equivalence by country**

146 Average hourly wage for representative countries along with country specific GB costs
147 were used to determine the relative number of work hours needed to cover the expense of
148 participating in a 12-hour training session. These calculations identified a wide range, from
149 greater than 4 hours in South Africa and Peru to less than 2 hours in Indonesia and Pakistan (Fig
150 2).

151

152 **Figure 2. Estimated data cost for Zoom 12-hour course with continuous video by median**
153 **hourly wage for a registered nurse**

154

155 **Discussion**

156 Tele-education and tele-mentoring programs have been widely utilized and are of
157 growing interest with need to provide safe training and education during the COVID-19
158 pandemic. With overburdened healthcare systems and restrictions on travel and in person
159 gatherings, educators around the world have relied on online platforms to support international
160 partnerships and to both, teach learners and provide clinical support through tele-mentoring [15-
161 16]. Access to information and educational tools as well as challenges with internet access vary
162 between urban and rural areas in high-income countries with even greater inequities in middle-
163 to-low-income countries. Moreover, internet data costs vary significantly by country location in a

164 way that do not mirror gross national income per capita exacerbating inequities and creating
165 barriers to participation in tele-education and tele-mentoring. While institutions in high income
166 countries often provide their staff with free or low-cost accounts to enable access to full-featured
167 versions of the video conferencing platforms, many platforms also offer free, but technically
168 limited versions. Access to joining calls is typically without a fee for attendees. Despite the
169 “free” access, the cost of data packages may limit attendees’ ability to participate, particularly
170 those on limited or “pay-as-you-go” internet or mobile phone plans that are prevalent in low
171 resource settings.

172 Our data identifies that attendee expenses may be reduced by limiting the use of video for
173 both the facilitator and the attendee. We found, not surprisingly, that the projected data cost is
174 directly proportional to the use of video. However, in many cases, video is required to highlight
175 key points or to observe performance of simulated procedures such as positive pressure
176 ventilation during training in neonatal resuscitation or clinical findings with tele-mentoring. File
177 compression or alternative sharing mechanisms may be helpful in the future. We found that costs
178 could be limited significantly in scenarios where video was only used for brief periods of time by
179 both the facilitator and the attendee. In a scenario where a dedicated interpreter might be
180 required, the costs to the participant should be minimal if the interpreter does not share video.
181 However, there will be a cost to the interpreter with use of video by both the facilitator and
182 participant to provide adequate context to facilitate appropriate language interpretation.

183 Beyond limiting use of continuous video, alternative platforms which may have lower
184 data burden due to differences in data encoding and transfer might be considered. We found that
185 the use of Whatsapp [Meta] led to data costs that were one-third that of Zoom [Zoom
186 Technologies]. However, there may be features that promote the use of one platform over

187 another including security, restrictions on number of participants, user familiarity, or the
188 availability of certain built-in features such as interpreters. Data conservation strategies may also
189 be important in ensuring continued access when band-width is limited. In some countries, the
190 penetrance of broadband is reasonable, but when a larger number of people are working from
191 home, internet capacity may buckle.

192 In addition, the impact of the data costs must be considered in proportion to income of
193 the attendees. With the significant variability in costs across both high- and low-income
194 countries, it cannot be assumed that costs will be proportional to earned income in those
195 countries. The cost to the end-user must be taken into consideration by programs and institutions
196 seeking to utilize video conferencing broadly for education or clinical uses. A range of ECHO
197 tele-mentoring projects have focused on pain management, rheumatology, geriatrics,
198 hypertension, diabetes, behavioral health and palliative care [10, 17-18] A systematic review by
199 Zhou et al., (2016) included 39 studies addressing 17 medical conditions. While evaluation was
200 often limited, there were instances in which this approach changed provider behaviors, changed
201 patient outcomes and was cost effective. [11] As these programs address the needs of more
202 connected learners globally, it is important to consider the costs to both facilitators and learners
203 and to design training programs that help to minimize these costs. Funders must consider the
204 costs of data in their plans for implementation of new programs or in the transition from
205 primarily in-person interactions to interventions that use technology to deliver education and
206 support.

207 Communications advancements that have aided faster connections through increasing
208 available bandwidth and reduced network loading have improved both the quality and capacity
209 of video conferences. Thousands of networked callers can now be accommodated in multi-site

210 meetings and conferences around the world. However, access to video conferencing platforms
211 and reliable bandwidth to participate may vary. While tele-mentoring and tele-education might
212 be assumed to represent distributed and equal access to education, application of these
213 approaches in global health settings is complicated by structural variance in high- and low-
214 income countries. Our study showed that there were significant differences between platforms
215 and scenarios in terms of estimated data use which need to be taken into consideration where
216 resources are limited.

217 Differences occur due to platform specific approaches to managing video and audio
218 quality, bandwidth and security. Video standards or codecs determine the image quality and
219 efficiency of video transmission within a program. A codec is a hardware or software-based
220 process that compresses and decompresses large amounts of data used in voice over internet
221 protocol video conferencing and streaming media. A codec takes data in one form, encodes it
222 into another form and decodes it at the destination. Adaptive technologies deliver high quality
223 video across networks with varying amounts of bandwidth. While some codecs send one video
224 data stream for every resolution, frame rate and quality, others send just one stream that contains
225 multiple layers of all the resolutions, frame rates and quality depending on what the devices and
226 network that serve as the endpoints can support. This scalability supports meetings globally as
227 each endpoint can select device and network-supported video resolution and quality without any
228 additional encoding or decoding. The caller experiences an automatic degradation in the video
229 quality when the network is busy while allowing the call to continue uninterrupted.

230 Platforms also differ with respect to security with many platforms employing encryption
231 mechanisms to prevent unauthorized eavesdropping. However, higher levels of encryption can

232 result in larger files and delays in transmission in audio and video, particularly with limited
233 bandwidth, representing a greater data burden.

234 Our study had several limitations. We conducted the tests in one low resource setting;
235 repeating the evaluation in multiple settings may have yielded additional results. We used two
236 common mobile_device brands (iPhone and Samsung), but use of additional device types may
237 have helped to provide more generalizability. We intentionally focused on the internet data costs
238 and did not consider attendee time, platform, or device costs. These all need to be thoughtfully
239 included in the design and implementation of programs.

240 **Conclusion**

241 The costs of participating in training programs that rely on video conferencing varies by
242 location, mechanics of use, and the specific platform. We proposed practical solutions to limiting
243 costs in low resource settings with the use of video conferencing calls. Scenarios in which
244 facilitators have their video on and expect learners to participate with video on throughout result
245 in the greatest data burden, while use of intermittent video by both facilitator and learners can
246 significantly lower data use. The choice of a platform also impacts teleprogramming, with
247 creative options for use of lower cost platforms to reduce costs. These might include sharing
248 educational content or video via chat groups and limiting conference to audio alone. In the
249 context of COVID-19 where virtual meetings have become prevalent, it is critical that data
250 burden is adequately considered by program directors and funders. As hybrid virtual and in-
251 person training become more common in global health settings, thoughtful consideration will
252 need to be given to associated data costs. Our findings are relevant to many other fields and
253 advocate for thoughtful evaluation of costs and data burden along with the growing use of
254 teleprogramming in low resource settings.

255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275

References

1. Bond, W. F., Barker, L. T., Cooley, K. L., Svendsen, J. D., Tillis, W. P., Vincent, A. L., et al. A Simple Low-Cost Method to Integrate Telehealth Interprofessional Team Members During In Situ Simulation. *Simul Healthc.* 2019; 14(2): 129.
2. Brei, B. K., Neches, S., Gray, M. M., Handley, S., Castera, M., Hedstrom, A., D’Cruz, et al. Telehealth Training During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Feasibility Study of Large Group Multiplatform Telesimulation Training. *Telemed J E Health.* 2021; 27(10): 1166–1173.
3. Honda, R., & McCoy, C.E. Teledebriefing in Medical Simulation. PMID. 2022.
4. McCoy, C. E., Arabah, R., Weichmann, W., Langdorf, M. I., Ricks, C., Chakravarthy, B., et al. Feasibility of Telesimulation and Google Glass for Mass Casualty Triage Education and Training. *West J Emerg Med.* 2019; 20(3): 512–519.
5. Sartori, E., Tadiello, C., Secchi, C., & Muradore, R. Tele-Echography using a Two-Layer Teleoperation Algorithm with Energy Scaling. *ICRA.* 2019; 1569–1575.
6. Schlachta, C. M., Nguyen, N. T., Ponsky, T., & Dunkin, B. Project 6 Summit: SAGES telementoring initiative. *Surg Endosc.* 2016; 30(9): 3665–3672.
7. Jain, A., Agarwal, R., Chawla, D., Paul, V., & Deorari, A. Tele-education vs classroom training of neonatal resuscitation: A randomized trial. *J Perinatol.* 2010; 30(12): 773–779.
8. Jones-Bamman, C., Niermeyer, S., McConnell, K., Thomas, J.F., & Olson, C. Teaching Helping Babies Breathe Via Telehealth: A New Application in Rural Guatemala. *Biomed Hub.* 2019; 4(3): 1-6.

- 276 9. Sobelman, C., Richard, K., McQuilkin, P., & Fahey, N. Adapting Helping Babies Breathe
277 into a Virtual Curriculum: Methods, Results, and Lessons Learned. *Glob Pediatr Health*.
278 2021.
- 279 10. Zhou, C., Crawford, A., Serhal, E., Kurdyak, P., & Sockalingam, S. The Impact of
280 Project ECHO on Participant and Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Acad Med*.
281 2016; 91(10): 1439.
- 282 11. Arora, S., Thornton, K., Jenkusky, S. M., Parish, B., & Scaletti, J. V. Project ECHO:
283 Linking University Specialists with Rural and Prison-Based Clinicians to Improve Care
284 for People with Chronic Hepatitis C in New Mexico. *Public Health Rep*. 2007; 74–77.
- 285 12. Erridge, S., Yeung, D. K. T., Patel, H. R. H., & Purkayastha, S. Telementoring of
286 Surgeons: A Systematic Review. *Surg Innov*. 2019; 26(1): 95-111.
- 287 13. Ponsky, T. A., Schwachter, M., Parry, J., Rothenberg, S., & Augestad, K. M.
288 Telementoring: The Surgical Tool of the Future. *Eur J Pediatr Surg*. 2014; 24(4): 287–
289 294.
- 290 14. Rosser, J. C., Fleming, J. P., Legare, T. B., Choi, K. M., Nakagiri, J., & Griffith, E.
291 Design and Development of a Novel Distance Learning Telementoring System Using
292 Off-the-Shelf Materials and Software. *Surg Technol Int*. 2017; 31: 41–49.
- 293 15. Daniel, M., Gordon, M., Patricio, M., Hider, A., Pawlik, C., Bhagdev, R., et al. An update
294 on developments in medical education in response to the COVID-19 pandemic: A BEME
295 scoping review: BEME Guide No. 64. *Med Teach*. 2021; 43(3): 253–271.
- 296 16. Diaz, M. C. G., & Walsh, B. M. Telesimulation-based education during COVID-19. *Clin*
297 *Teach*. 2021; 18(2): 121–125.

- 298 17. Ball, S., Wilson, B., Ober, S., & Mchaourab, A. SCAN-ECHO for Pain Management:
299 Implementing a Regional Telementoring Training for Primary Care Providers. *Pain Med.*
300 2018; 19(2): 262–268.
- 301 18. Katzman, J. G., Galloway, K., Olivas, C., McCoy-Stafford, K., Duhigg, D., Comerci, G.,
302 et al. Expanding Health Care Access Through Education: Dissemination and
303 Implementation of the ECHO Model. *Mil Med.* 2016; 181(3): 227–235.

Figure 1a. Average price of 1GB of data (USD)




Figure 1b. Gross national income per capita




Figure 2. Estimated data cost for Zoom 12-hour course with continuous video by median hourly wage for a registered nurse

