

Seroepidemiology of human leptospirosis in the Dominican Republic: a multistage cluster survey, 2021

Authors: Eric J. Nilles MD,^{1,2,3*} Cecilia Then Paulino MPH,^{4¶} Renee Galloway, MPH⁵ Michael de St. Aubin MD,^{1,3} Helen J. Mayfield PhD,⁶ Angela Cadavid Restrepo PhD,⁶ Devan Dumas MPH,^{1,3} Salome Garnier MPH,^{1,3} Marie Caroline Etienne MD,¹ William Duke MD,⁷ Farah Peña MD,⁴ Naomi Iihoshi MS,¹ Gabriela Abdalla MS,¹ Beatriz Lopez MS,⁸ Lucia de la Cruz MS,⁴ Bernarda Henríquez MS,⁴ Kara Durski PhD,^{1,3} Margaret Baldwin BS,^{1,3} Gideon Loevinsohn MD PhD,^{1,9} Eleanor M. Rees PhD,¹¹ Beatris Martin,⁶ Benn Sartorius PhD,⁶ Ronald Skewes-Ramm MD,⁴ Emily Zielinski Gutiérrez DrPH,⁸ Adam Kucharski PhD,¹⁰ Colleen L. Lau PhD[¶]

1 Brigham and Womens Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

2 Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

3 Harvard Humanitarian Initiative, Cambridge, MA, USA

4 Ministry of Health and Social Assistance, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

5 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, Atlanta, USA

6 University of Queensland Centre of Clinical Research (UQCCR), University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

7 Pedro Henríquez Ureña National University, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic

8 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Central America Regional Office, Guatemala City, Guatemala

9 Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA

10 University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

11 London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

* Corresponding author. Email: enilles@bwh.harvard.edu

¶ These authors contributed equally

Abstract

Little is known about the epidemiology of leptospirosis in the Dominican Republic, the second most populous country in the Caribbean. We report on findings from a multi-stage household survey across two regions in the country that reveals a previously under-estimated burden of human *Leptospira* infection. Our findings, based on the reference-standard microscopic agglutination test, indicate a complex picture of serogroup diversity, spatial heterogeneity in infection and risk, and a marked discrepancy between reported cases and serologically estimated infections. Given an overall seroprevalence of 11.3% (95% CI: 10.8-13.0%) and a lower estimated force of infection (0.30% per year [0.27% - 0.35%]) the number of infections may exceed national reported case data by 145-fold or more. Icterohaemorrhagiae, associated with severe Weil's disease, was the most commonly identified serogroup with a serogroup-specific prevalence of 4.4%. Consistent with other settings, risk factors including age, male sex, and rat exposure were associated with higher seroprevalence. Our study highlights the need for targeted public health interventions informed by serogroup-specific dynamics, detailed spatial analyses, knowledge of local animal reservoirs, and strengthened laboratory surveillance to effectively control this pathogen.

Background

Leptospirosis is a growing global health threat. The zoonotic disease, caused by *Leptospira* spirochetes, is transmitted to humans through direct exposure to infected animals or more commonly through contact with soil or water contaminated with urine from infected mammals. Globally, there are over one million yearly cases of leptospirosis and approximately 60,000 deaths.¹ While Asian and Oceanic nations have reported the highest incidence of the disease to date, leptospirosis has become the most widespread and

prevalent bacterial zoonotic disease globally, and its epidemiological reach spans tropical and subtropical areas, including many regions with limited laboratory diagnostics or well-established surveillance infrastructure.^{1,2}

The diagnosis of leptospirosis is challenging given its varied clinical presentations, ranging from asymptomatic or mild febrile infections to severe pulmonary hemorrhage and multi-organ failure, which overlap with the symptomatology of many tropical and subtropical diseases including dengue, chikungunya, typhoid, rickettsioses, and malaria. The accurate diagnosis relies on high clinical suspicion coupled with laboratory evidence of infection. While molecular tests have excellent test characteristics for the diagnosis of infection early in the disease course, they are costly and not widely available in many endemic settings including in the Dominican Republic, the location of the present study. The reference standard serologic assay is the microscopic agglutination test (MAT), that detects antibodies against leptospiral lipopolysaccharide. Anti-*leptospira* antibodies are generated within one week of infection and persist for up to eight years. While the utility of MAT is limited for the diagnosis of acute leptospirosis due to poor sensitivity early in the disease course, MAT provides valuable data on the presence of *leptospira* antibodies for population-based serological surveys.^{3,4}

Given the overlapping clinical features of leptospirosis and other common diseases, together with limited access to confirmatory testing, the burden and epidemiology of leptospirosis is poorly characterized in the Dominican Republic and the Caribbean more broadly.⁵ Yet, despite this, the Caribbean region is known to have widespread endemic disease, has experienced numerous outbreaks, and reports among the highest estimated disease-specific mortality rates globally.¹ Regional risk factors for leptospirosis transmission include intensive farming and household livestock, as well as frequent extreme climactic events with excess precipitation and flooding, which are exacerbated by climate change and expected to increase.^{6,7} In the present study, to inform public health planning and control efforts, we aimed to characterize the seroepidemiology of human *leptospira* infection among populations in two provinces in the Dominican Republic.

Methods

Setting

The Dominican Republic, a Caribbean nation with a population of almost 11 million residents, is an upper middle income Latin American country that shares the island of Hispaniola with Haiti. Case reports and outbreak investigations have documented case reports among returning travelers and intermittent surges in leptospirosis cases following tropical storms and hurricanes.^{7,8} The present study is set in the provinces of Espaillat and San Pedro de Macoris, selected to ensure spatial, ecological, and geographical heterogeneity. Espaillat Province, located in the northwest of the country (Northwest study region), has a population of about 260,000 residents with topography ranging from coastal plains to mountainous areas. The climate is tropical with annual rainfall of 1,300 mm, and a rainy season from June to November. Farming activities include coffee, cacao, and rice cultivation. San Pedro de Macoris Province has a population of about 420,000 and is a coastal province located in the southeast of the country, with topography characterized by a coastal plain that houses most of its population and economic activities (Southwest study region). The province is historically recognized as a center for sugar cane farming. It receives about 1,600 mm of rain annually, and is prone to tropical storms, particularly during the June to November rainy season.

Study design, participant selection

As previously described,⁹ between June 30 and Oct 10, 2021, we conducted a three-stage cross-sectional national household serological survey and enrolled 6,683 participants from 3,832 households in 134

clusters across all 32 provinces nationally. Briefly, to maximize spatial distribution we used grid- and satellite-image based methods to select clusters and 60 households within each cluster.¹⁰ Household members aged ≥ 5 years old present in the home at the time of the serosurvey were invited to participate. The present study is a nested analysis of 23 clusters in Espaillat (n=10) and San Pedro de Macoris provinces (n=13). These provinces were intentionally oversampled during the national survey to allow for more granular provincial analyses.

Informed consent and ethical approvals

Written consent was obtained for all participants. For children <18 years old, except emancipated minors, consent was obtained from the legal guardian. Written assent was provided by adolescents 14-17 years old, and verbal assent by children 7-13 years old. The study protocol was approved by the National Council of Bioethics in Health, Santo Domingo (013-2019), the Institutional Review Board of Pedro Henríquez Ureña National University, Santo Domingo, and the Mass General Brigham Human Research Committee, Boston, USA (2019P000094). Study procedures and reporting adhered to STROBE criteria for observational studies.

Study procedures

Questionnaires were administered to all study participants by trained enumerators using the KoBo Toolbox data collection platform (www.kobotoolbox.org) on electronic tablets to collect self-reported demographics (age, gender, race-ethnicity), education, primary occupation, work location (indoors, outdoors, or a mix), rural vs. urban residence, residence in a barrio (settlement), and seeing rats in their residence. Venous blood was collected from all participants, processed as sera, and frozen at -80° C.

Immunoassay characteristics

MATs were used to detect anti-*Leptospira* antibodies, and determine the putative serogroups associated with infections. Serological analyses were performed at the US CDC's Zoonoses and Select Agent Laboratory, Bacterial Special Pathogens Branch, Atlanta, USA. A panel of 20 pathogenic serovars comprising 17 serogroups were selected for the MAT panel (**Table S1**), and samples were tested at dilutions from 1:100 to endpoint. MAT titers $\geq 1:100$ were considered seropositive and indicative of prior infection,

Data sources

National and provincial demographic data including cluster population and classification (urban versus rural) were provided by the Dominican Republic National Statistics Office and the United Nations Statistics Division.^{11,12} Data on national reported leptospirosis cases was from the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) Core Indicator Dashboard from data generated by the General Epidemiology Directorate of the Ministry of Public Health and Social Assistance. Leptospirosis is a reportable disease in the Dominican Republic. Other data were enumerated during the study.

Classification and statistical analysis

Given cross-reactivity between serovars, particularly those in the same serogroup, analyses were performed on the serogroup level. For samples that reacted to multiple serogroups, the serogroup associated with the highest titer was considered to be the primary reacting serogroup. Samples that registered the same highest titer across two or more serogroups were recorded as 'mixed' (i.e. reacting to multiple serogroups). Serogroups with less than ten sero-reactive samples were aggregated and reported

as 'other.' Adjusted seroprevalence estimates were weighted for sampling design (selection probability, clustering), corrected for finite population, and post-stratified for age group and sex using methods previously described.¹³

To assess risk factors for infection, logistic regression analysis was performed with serostatus as the dependent binary variable, with only those variables with p-value <0.05 on univariable analysis retained for the multivariable models. Explanatory variables explored in the models were age category, gender, study region, setting, occupation, and frequent contact with rats. To explore serogroup-specific risks, we used the same models but adjusted the dependent variable to represent only those individuals with the highest titer to the relevant serogroup as the serogroup-specific seropositives, with all others representing the serogroup-specific seronegatives.

Serocatalytic models were used to estimate the annual force of infection (FOI) from serological survey. A catalytic model (model 1), which assumes there is a lifelong constant FOI, and a reverse catalytic model (model 2a), which assumes that antibody prevalence declines over time, were fitted to the serological survey. In addition, a third model (model 2b) which is a reverse catalytic model which assumes no transmission for the six years prior to the serosurvey was also explored. A uniform distribution between 0 and 0.5 (corresponding to a yearly attack rate between 0 and 39%) was used for the FOI. Informed priors were used for the rate of waning with a distribution mean of 0.14 which corresponds to a duration of antibody body positivity of ~7 years and is informed based on previous estimates (**Table S2**).³

Analyses and data visualization were performed using the R statistical programming language (R version 4.2.3, 2023-03-01),¹⁴ with the *RANN* package for cluster and household selection,¹⁵ the *survey* package for the analysis of complex survey samples,¹⁶ *finalfit* (glm) for logistic regression,¹⁷ *mgcv* for partial effect analysis, and *ggplot2* for data visualization.¹⁸

Results

Of 2,124 participants enrolled in the two study provinces during the national serosurvey, 2,091 samples (98.4%) were tested for evidence of prior leptospirosis infection using MAT and comprise the current study population. The median age was 39 years (IQR 22, 56) and 1,339 were female (64.0%). Demographic details are listed in **Table 1**. A total of 237 study participants registered agglutinating antibodies, assessed by the presence of MAT titers $\geq 1:100$, for an overall unadjusted seroprevalence of 11.3% (95% confidence interval: 10.0-12.7%) and an adjusted seroprevalence of 11.3% (10.8-13.0%).

Serocatalytic models estimated the annual attack rate in the Dominican Republic to be 0.30% (0.27 - 0.35%) using the catalytic model (model 1) which assumes a constant FOI and no waning immunity, and 1.00% (0.70% - 1.69%) for the reverse catalytic model (model 2a) which assumes a constant FOI whilst allowing for waning immunity. The catalytic model (model 1) fitted the data better compared with the reverse catalytic model (model 2a), with a DIC difference of 31. A third model, which was the reverse catalytic model but assumed there was no transmission in the six years prior to the serosurvey (model 2b), fitted the data better compared with model 2a (DIC difference 16), with an estimated annual attack rate of 1.19% (0.90 - 0.17%) (**Table S2; Fig S1**). Using data from these models, we estimated the number of annual leptospirosis infections and assessed the gap between reported cases and serologically inferred infections. Using the lowest estimated FOI (0.30%) applied to the population of the Dominican Republic (~11 million), we infer about 33,000 infections per year which is approximately 145-fold higher than the average number of nationally reported cases between 2013 and 2022 (226 cases per year) (**Table S3**). If

we consider the highest estimated FOI (1.19%), the ratio of reported to serologically estimated cases is approximately 1:580. While the spatial heterogeneity of leptospirosis transmission necessitates caution when extrapolating from two provinces to the entire country, when considering only the two study provinces (population ~680,000) we estimate 2,040 annual leptospirosis cases assuming a FOI of 0.30% and 8,092 cases assuming a FOI of 1.19%. In turn, this suggests that there may be between nine and 36-fold more leptospirosis infections in just the two study provinces than reported cases across the entire country.

Seropositive results were reported for 16 of the 20 serovars included in the MAT panel, which included 14 of 17 serogroups. Among the 237 seropositive individuals, 91 (38.4%) registered the highest titers to *L. interrogans* serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, 38 to Australis (16.0%), 25 to Canicola (10.5%), 20 to Djasiman (8.4%), and 12 to *L. santarosai* serogroup Pyrogenes (5.1%) (**Fig 1, Table 2, Table S1**). The four most common serogroups accounted for 73.4% of seropositive results. Among 27 (11.4%) individuals that registered highest titers against two or more serogroups (i.e. Mixed serogroups), the mostly commonly reacting serogroups were Icterohaemorrhagiae (23, 85.2%), Canicola (14, 51.9%), and Australis (12, 28.6%).

Analysis of leptospirosis seroprevalence across demographic and environmental factors identified distinct trends (**Fig 2, Table S4**). Increasing age was associated with higher seropositivity, with the seroprevalence of 2.8% among those 5–19 years, 13.7% among those 35–49 years, and 19.3% among those 65 years and older. Similarly, multivariable adjusted odds ratios demonstrated a sharp increase in seroprevalence during early adulthood, followed by a plateau in middle age and then a subsequent increase in older age (**Fig 2**). Seropositivity was higher among males (17.8%) than females (7.7%) (adjusted Odds Ratio 2.41 [95% confidence interval 1.79–3.25]), among residents of the Northwest (15.7%) versus Southeast Region (8.6%) (aOR 1.84, 1.31–2.58), and among those reporting frequently seeing rats in the household (aOR 1.64 [1.06–2.52]). While being a farmer was associated with high seroprevalence (35.1%) with an unadjusted odd ratio of 4.67 (2.80–7.63) vs non-professionals, no association was identified on multivariable analysis (aOR 1.63 [0.94–2.80]). Similarly, residing in a rural vs urban setting was associated with higher odds of seropositivity on univariable (OR 1.61 [1.22–2.11]) but not multivariable analysis. Residing in a barrio (settlement) and indoor versus outdoor work were not associated with leptospirosis serostatus.

Given the distinct host and ecological features of different *Leptospira* serogroups, we examined serogroup specific demographic and environmental risks. Analyzing serogroup-specific seroprevalence for the most common serogroups (Icterohaemorrhagiae, Australis, and Canicola) identified similar overall seroprevalence trends across demographic and environmental factors (**Fig 2, Tables S4–S8**). However, there were exceptions. Males registered higher seropositivity (vs females) against serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae (aOR 2.69 [1.71–4.26]) but not Australis (aOR 1.14 [0.51–2.41]) or Canicola (aOR 1.44 [0.59–3.34]). Serogroup Australis was associated with higher seroprevalence among farmers (aOR 5.55 [2.03–14.98]) versus the reference group, but not Icterohaemorrhagiae or Canicola. Icterohaemorrhagiae and Canicola serogroups were both associated with higher seroprevalence in the Northwest study region (aOR 2.82 [1.65–4.99] and 3.24 [1.23–9.37] respectively), but not Australis. Lastly, Icterohaemorrhagiae and Canicola seroprevalence was higher in rural vs urban setting (aOR 1.62 [1.02–2.61] and 2.1 [1.0–4.7] respectively) but trended lower for Canicola (aOR 0.57 [0.23–1.35]). When

excluding individuals that were seropositive to serogroups other than the serogroup of interest (e.g. when assessing risk factors for serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, all seropositive individuals with highest titer to non-Icterohaemorrhagiae serogroups were excluded from the analysis) risk factors and odds ratios were essentially unchanged.

Given the unusual age distribution of seroprevalence, with the highest rates among the older population rather than the common pattern of peaking in middle age and then either plateauing or declining in older age,^{19,20} we examined the age distribution in more detail, stratifying by study region, gender, and urban vs. rural setting. We found that the trend of increasing seroprevalence with age was consistent across all variables, except for female gender, which showed a biphasic curve with peaks in middle age and again in older age (**Fig. 3**). Similar trends by age group were observed when examining gender-specific seroprevalence in the Northwest vs. the Southeast region (**Fig S2**). To further assess this finding, we used GAMs to model seroprevalence by age and gender, while accounting for documented or potential confounding covariates such as study region, work environment, residence in a barrio (settlement), and urban vs. rural residence. The model aligned with the unadjusted analyses showing females with a biphasic age-associated seroprevalence distribution and males with a near-linear increase in seroprevalence with age (**Fig. S3**).

Discussion

This multistage household survey based on a carefully sampled population across two discrete study regions provides new insights into the epidemiology of human *Leptospira* infection in the Dominican Republic. Our findings suggest a wide diversity of circulating serogroups, regional variation in transmission, and a marked difference between national reported cases and infections.

Discerning the true extent of leptospirosis infections is challenging, particularly in most endemic setting where access to testing is limited. Accordingly, population-based serological studies are valuable for characterizing the burden of *Leptospira* infections. In this study we used serological data to estimate the annual attack rate and in turn the difference between nationally reported leptospirosis cases and serologically estimated infections. Our findings suggest marked under ascertainment with 100-fold or greater difference in reported versus estimated cases. While our estimates vary based on the modeling approach it appears clear that only a small fraction of infections are captured through existing reporting mechanisms. The application of serocatalytic methods to estimate leptospirosis FOI and, in turn, under ascertainment has only been reported once.³ In that study, based on data from a national serological survey in Fiji, the ratio of reported cases to estimated infections ranged from about 1:28 to 1:140. While surveillance infrastructure and criteria for reporting leptospirosis cases varies markedly between countries, thus making direct comparisons challenging, our findings support the concept that under-ascertainment of *Leptospira* infections in many endemic settings is likely on the order of magnitudes.

While Icterohaemorrhagiae, Australis, Canicola, and Djasiman were collectively implicated as the infecting serogroups in almost three quarters of cases, our study suggests high diversity with 14 of the 17 serogroups included in our panel identified as the primary infecting serogroup, similar to Trinidad where 17 serovars have been isolated from humans.²¹ This is in contrast to some other island settings such as Barbados where only three serovars of *Leptospira interrogans* have been identified, or Fiji where a single serovar (Pohnpei) was implicated in over 80% of seropositive cases identified through a national

serological survey.^{21,22} We examined both the overall epidemiology of human *Leptospira* infection and the epidemiology of the three most common serogroups: Icterohaemorrhagiae, Australis, and Canicola. Icterohaemorrhagiae, primarily transmitted by exposure to rat urine, was the most commonly identified serogroup. Given Icterohaemorrhagiae is considered to cause the most severe clinical disease, including Weil's Disease which is characterized by hepatic and renal failure and high case-fatality rates, it is of particular public health importance.²³ The primary reservoirs for Icterohaemorrhagiae are the *Rattus norvegicus* (or brown rat) and *Rattus rattus* (Black rat), while other rodents including mice and voles may be involved in transmission. Australis and Canicola serogroups are usually associated with milder clinical disease, although severe disease and deaths have been reported. The primary reservoirs for the Australis serogroup include various wild and domestic animals, such as farm animals, rats, and mice. Dogs are the major recognized reservoir for the Canicola serogroup, although livestock and wild mammal are other potential reservoirs.²⁴

Similar to studies on the epidemiology of leptospirosis from other settings, we confirmed that being male, being exposed to rats in the home, and older age were associated with higher seroprevalence.¹⁹ We found that the prevalence of *Leptospira* antibodies increased through middle age and then plateaued, a typical finding that reflects the increased occupational risk of infection among young and middle aged adults. However, we also observed an increase in the oldest age groups, particularly among males, a somewhat unusual trend given reported infection rates generally decline among older age groups,¹ with seroprevalence typically remaining flat or declining during late adulthood.¹⁹ Given antibodies to *Leptospira* decay over time and are thought to be detectable for only about eight years post-infection,^{3,25} the older population would be anticipated to register declining seroprevalence in the absence of ongoing exposures. The reason that this was not the case suggests unexpectedly high ongoing transmission among older adults, although why age-specific transmission would be different in this versus other settings is unclear. We further explored age-specific seroprevalence stratifying by gender, study region and urban vs rural setting and found interesting differences, particularly between males and females. Males demonstrated a near linear increase in seroprevalence with age while females demonstrated a distinct biphasic pattern with peaks around early middle-age and again after 70 years of age. The reason for these differences by gender is unclear and the few studies that have reported *Leptospira* seroprevalence stratified by both age and gender (or sex) contrast with our findings, although those studies were either limited by size²⁶ or from markedly different settings.¹⁹ We also found differences by study region, with seroprevalence in the Northwest Region (Espaillat) about 1.8-fold higher than the Southeast Region (San Pedro de Macoris) even after adjusting for potential confounders, highlighting distinct spatial heterogeneity in leptospirosis transmission. However, there are nuances to these findings, and there were differences in serogroup-specific risks that were not identified when assessing risks aggregated across all serogroups. Given differences in mammal reservoirs across serogroups, and therefore differences in exposure risks and transmission pathways, this may be anticipated. For example, adjusted serogroup Australis seroprevalence was about five-fold higher among farmers than the reference group, but not higher for Icterohaemorrhagiae or Canicola. This likely reflects the fact that livestock is a common reservoir for the Australis serogroup but less so for Icterohaemorrhagiae and Canicola. Similarly, the adjusted odds of being seropositive for Icterohaemorrhagiae was almost three-fold higher for males than females, while no gender differences were identified for serogroups Australis and Canicola. These data highlight how serogroup-specific risks may be leveraged to prioritize targeted public health measures such as rodent control or livestock and dog vaccination.²⁷

While our study has multiple strengths including a relatively large population selected using a multilevel sampling approach, testing of samples using the reference-standard serological assay, and sampling across two discrete regions to understand geographic variations, there are some limitations. First, the geographic scope is limited to two out of 34 provinces, potentially restricting the generalizability of our findings across other regions of the country. Second, cross-reactivity may affect the accuracy of our serogroup-specific analyses. However, when we excluded seropositive individuals with highest titer against a serogroup other than the one being assessed, findings were essentially unchanged. Third, while some studies use an MAT titer cutoff of 1:50, we used a titer cutoff of 1:100 and therefore our estimated seroprevalence was likely lower than if a lower cutoff was used. Fourth, self-reported exposures and socio-demographics factors enumerated through our survey may be subject to recall or social desirability biases. Fifth, serogroup specific analyses were limited by the number of seropositive individuals, particularly for serogroups Australis and Canicola. Lastly, this was a cross-sectional study and therefore we were not able to capture temporal transmission dynamics.

In conclusion, this seroepidemiological study provides insights into the epidemiology and under-reported burden of human *Leptospira* infection in the Dominican Republic. We identified a range of serogroups, geographic variation in infection and risk factors, and a substantial discrepancy between reported and likely cases. While seroprevalence is high, risks varied markedly by age, gender, and study region. Analyzing serogroups individually identified distinct transmission patterns, emphasizing the importance of understanding transmission pathways to ensure targeted and effective public health interventions. Our findings highlight the complex epidemiology of leptospirosis in the Dominican Republic, underlining the need for more granular investigation into environmental factors, animal reservoirs, and serovar-specific dynamics to effectively control this pathogen.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the US CDC (U01GH002238). We would like to thank the many study participants that volunteered to participate in this study. We would also like to thank the study staff that collected the field data, the Dominican Republic Ministry of Health and Social Assistance, and the Pedro Henriquez Ureña National University, for their commitment and support for the study.

Declarations of interests

E.J.N. is the PI on a US CDC funded U01 award that funded the study, and C.L.L., A.K., D.D., M.d.S.A., A.C.R., H.M., S.G., M.C.E., W.D., N.I., G.A., B.H., K.D., M.B. have received salaries, consultancy fees, or travel paid through this award. E.Z.G., B.L. are employees of the US CDC. B.H., C.T., L.C., F.P., and R.S.-R. are employees of the Ministry of Health and Social Assistance, Dominican Republic, that was subcontracted with funds from the US CDC award. A.K is supported by the Wellcome Trust, UK. CLL is supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council. CDC staff supported the design and manuscript editing. We declare no other competing interests.

Tables and figures

Table 1. Characteristics of study population and *Leptospira* seroprevalence by microscopic agglutination test, Espaillat and San Pedro de Macoris Provinces, Dominican Republic, 2021

Population characteristic	N	No. seropositive	Seroprevalence - unadjusted Percent (95% CI)	Seroprevalence - adjusted Percent (95% CI)
Overall	2091	237	11.3 (10.0, 12.7)	11.3 (10.8, 13.0)
Gender				
Female	1339	103	7.7 (6.3, 9.2)	8 (6.8-9.3)
Male	736	131	17.8 (15, 20.7)	15.9 (12.9-19.2)
Other	16	3	18.8 (0.5, 42.6)	9.8 (5.6-15.6)
Age category, years				
5-19	395	11	2.8 (1.2, 4.4)	4.2 (2.4, 7.1)
20-34	531	51	9.6 (7.1, 12.1)	12.6 (9.8, 16.0)
35-49	461	63	13.7 (10.5, 16.8)	10.7 (4.6, 23.0)
50-64	393	52	13.2 (9.9, 16.6)	16.1 (14.1, 18.4)
65+	311	60	19.3 (14.9, 23.7)	19.4 (15.6, 23.9)
Study region				
Northwest (Espaillat)	811	127	15.7 (13.3, 18.3)	14.9 (13.9-15.9)
Southeast (San Pedro de Macoris)	1280	110	8.6 (7.1, 10.1)	7.1 (4.1-11.1)
Setting				
Rural	911	128	14.1 (11.8, 16.3)	13.6 (7.3-22.1)
Urban	1180	109	9.2 (7.6, 10.9)	11.5 (8.1-15.6)
Reside in barrio				
No	1527	173	11.3 (9.8, 13.0)	11.6 (9.7-13.8)
Yes	541	62	11.5 (9.0, 14.4)	13.7 (11.3-16.6)
Work setting				
Indoor	175	25	14.3 (9.6, 19.9)	10.6 (3.7-22.1)
Outdoor	92	20	21.7 (14.1, 30.9)	21.5 (6.4-45.4)
Mix	290	50	17.2 (13.1, 21.8)	14.2 (9.4-20.2)
Education				
No formal	208	43	20.7 (15.7, 26.7)	21.0 (17.6-24.7)
Primary	627	104	16.6 (13.9, 19.7)	21.2 (17.5-25.3)
Secondary	772	66	8.5 (6.7, 10.7)	7.9 (5.5-11.1)
Technical	44	5	11.4 (4.8, 24.6)	18.3 (11.9-27.0)
University	204	15	7.4 (4.4, 24.6)	5.7 (1.5-19.5)
Occupation				
Farmer	74	26	35.1 (24.8, 46.5)	37.0 (22.9-52.8)
Housewife/husband	562	54	9.6 (7.3, 12.2)	11.8 (9.6-14.3)
Non-professional	185	29	15.9 (11.0, 21.6)	9.9 (3.5-20.7)
Professional	73	9	12.3 (6.0, 21.2)	9.3 (0.6-37.7)
Retired	64	14	21.9 (12.9, 33.1)	26.6 (15.2-40.6)
Student	420	13	3.1 (1.7, 5.0)	4.3 (2.3-7.2)
Other	713	92	12.9 (10.6, 15.5)	13.1 (11.6-14.6)
Contact with rats				
No	1757	196	11.2 (9.7, 12.7)	12.2 (10.7-13.7)
Yes	332	41	12.3 (9.0, 16.2)	10.6 (7.4-14.4)

Missing data includes 25 for Reside in barrio (adjusted seroprevalence 7.6% (6.3-8.8)), and two for Contact with rats. Work environment enumerated for active workers and excluded students, housepersons, retirees and unemployed (adjusted prevalence for excluded: 10.8% (9.1-12.6)). No values were missing for other covariates. Adjusted seroprevalence weighted for study design (selection probability, clustering), finite population correction, and post-stratified for age and sex. Seropositive defined as reactive on MAT $\geq 1:100$.

Table 2. Prevalence of positive *Leptospira* microscopic agglutination tests by primary reacting serogroup, Espaillat and San Pedro de Macoris Provinces, Dominican Republic, July-Oct 2021

Serogroup	No. seropositive	Prevalence, %	Proportion of seropositives, %
Icterohaemorrhagiae	91	4.35	38.4
Australis	38	1.82	16.0
Mixed	27	1.29	11.4
Canicola	25	1.20	10.5
Djasiman	20	0.96	8.4
Pyrogenes	12	0.57	5.1
Pomona	5	0.24	2.1
Ballum	4	0.19	1.7
Tarassovi	4	0.19	1.7
Bataviae	3	0.14	1.3
Seroje	3	0.14	1.3
Mini	2	0.10	0.8
Autumnalis	1	0.05	0.4
Celledoni	1	0.05	0.4
Cynopteri	1	0.05	0.4
Hebdomadis	0	0.00	0.0
Grippotyphosa	0	0.00	0.0
Javanica	0	0.00	0.0
	237	11.3	100

Primary reacting serogroup is defined as the serogroup that registered the highest MAT titer for each seropositive study participant (N = 2,091). Individuals that registered the same highest titer to two or more serogroups are categorized as 'Mixed.' Seropositive defined as $\geq 1:100$ titers using the MAT. Prevalence of serovars, species, and strains presented in Table S3.




Figure 1. Distribution of *Leptospira* serogroups by highest titer, age and study setting, Dominican Republic, 2021. (A) Bar plot indicates the number of participants stratified by the highest MAT titer and serogroup. (B) Ridge plot shows the distribution of MAT titers for each serogroup. (C) Stacked bar plot indicates the distribution of serogroups by age group. (D) Distribution of serogroups stratified by urban vs rural setting and Northwest (Espaillat) vs Southeast (San Pedro de Macoris) regions. Serogroup is defined as the serogroup that registered the highest MAT titer for each seropositive study participant. Individuals that registered the same highest titer to two or more serogroups are categorized as 'Mixed.' N = 237 for all plots. Serogroups aggregated as 'Other' are those with counts less than 10, with details listed in **Table S1**.




Figure 2. Risk factors for *Leptospira* seropositivity by microscopic agglutination test in the Dominican Republic, 2021. Multivariable logistic regression with all modeled covariates shown. Serogroups Australis and Canicola not presented given limited number of seropositive individuals (N=38 and 25 respectively). Full model outputs including univariable odds ratios and model metrics shown in **Tables S4-S5**. SPM, San Pedro de Macoris (Southeast study region). Españolat (Northwest study region).




Figure 3. Age-stratified seroprevalence by region, gender and urban vs. rural setting. Individual plots show seroprevalence point estimates (black dots) and 95% confidence intervals (black vertical lines) by five-year age group. A smoothed line is fitted to the data using generalized additive models with a cubic spline and weighted for number of observations. Colored ribbons represent the 95% CI. Number of observations per plot listed in Table 1. Southeast study region (San Pedro de Macoris province). Northwest study region (Español province). Additional plots showing age stratified seroprevalence by both gender and study region are presented in supplementary data **Fig S4**.

References

1. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, et al. Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9(9):0-1. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003898
2. Torgerson PR, Hagan JE, Costa F, et al. Global Burden of Leptospirosis: Estimated in Terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9(10):1-14. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004122
3. Rees EM, Lau CL, Kama M, Reid S, Lowe R, Kucharski AJ. Estimating the duration of antibody positivity and likely time of *Leptospira* infection using data from a cross-sectional serological study in Fiji. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2022;16(6):1-18. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PNTD.0010506
4. Palaniappan RU, Ramanujam S, Chang Y-F. Leptospirosis: pathogenesis, immunity, and diagnosis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2007;20(3):284-292. doi:10.1097/QCO.0b013e32814a5729
5. Peters A, Vokaty A, Portch R, Gebre Y. Leptospirosis in the Caribbean: a literature review. *Rev Panam Salud Pública*. 2017;1-9. doi:10.26633/rpsp.2017.166
6. Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Siozopoulou V, Christou L, Akritidis N. The globalization of leptospirosis: worldwide incidence trends. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2008;12(4):351-357. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2007.09.011
7. Grobusch MP, Bollmann R, Schönberg A, et al. Leptospirosis in travelers returning from the Dominican Republic. *J Travel Med*. 2003;10(1):55-58. doi:10.2310/7060.2003.30683
8. Mota C, Fernandez J, G G, et al. Outbreak of Leptospirosis in Children After Tropical Storm in the Dominican Republic. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2008;12(1):334-335. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2008.05.894
9. Nilles EJ, Paulino CT, de St. Aubin M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence, cumulative infections, and immunity to symptomatic infection – A multistage national household survey and modelling study, Dominican Republic, June–October 2021. *Lancet Reg Heal - Am*. 2022;16(November):100390. doi:10.1016/j.lana.2022.100390
10. Diggle P, Lophaven S. Bayesian geostatistical design. *Scand J Stat*. 2006;33(1):53-64. doi:doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9469.2005.00469.x
11. Oficina Nacional de Estadística. <https://www.one.gob.do/>. Accessed May 21, 2023.
12. United Nations Statistic Division. *Global Demographics*; 2017. <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic-social/products/dyb/documents/dyb2017/table07.pdf>.
13. Martin BM, Restrepo AC, Mayfield H, et al. Using Regional Sero-Epidemiology SARS-CoV-2 Anti-S Antibodies in the Dominican Republic to Inform Targeted Public Health Response. *Trop Med Infect Dis*. 2023;19:1-17. doi:doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed8110493
14. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2021.
15. Arya S, Mount D, Kemp SE, Jefferis G. RANN: Fast Nearest Neighbour Search (Wraps ANN Library) Using L2 Metric. 2019. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=RANN>.
16. Lumley T. “survey: analysis of complex survey samples” R package version 4.0. 2020.
17. Harrison E, Drake T, Ots R. finalfit: Quickly Create Elegant Regression Results Tables and Plots when Modelling. 2021. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=finalfit>.
18. Wickham H. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2016.
19. Lau CL, Watson CH, Lowry JH, et al. Human Leptospirosis Infection in Fiji: An Eco-epidemiological Approach to Identifying Risk Factors and Environmental Drivers for Transmission. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004405
20. Silva JA, Scialfa EA, Tringler M, et al. Seroprevalence of human leptospirosis in a rural community from Tandil, Argentina. Assessment of risk factors and spatial analysis. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2023;55(1):49-59. doi:10.1016/j.ram.2022.02.007
21. Everard J, Everard C. Leptospirosis in the Caribbean. *Rev Med Microbiol*. 1993;4(2):114.
22. Lau CL, Watson CH, Lowry JH, et al. Human Leptospirosis Infection in Fiji: An Eco-epidemiological Approach to Identifying Risk Factors and Environmental Drivers for Transmission. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004405
23. Hagedoorn NN, Maze MJ, Carugati M, et al. Global distribution of *Leptospira* serovar isolations and detections from animal host species: A systematic review and online database. *Trop Med Int Heal*. 2024;29(3):161-172. doi:10.1111/tmi.13965
24. Pedersen K, Anderson TD, Maison RM, et al. *Leptospira* antibodies detected in wildlife in the USA and the US Virgin Islands. *J Wildl Dis*. 2018;54(3):450-459. doi:10.7589/2017-10-269
25. Lupidi R, Cinco M, Balanzin D, Delprete E, Varaldo PE. Serological follow-up of patients involved in a localized outbreak of leptospirosis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1991;29(4):805-809. doi:10.1128/jcm.29.4.805-809.1991

- 492 26. Briskin EA, Casanovas-Massana A, Ryff KR, et al. Seroprevalence, Risk Factors, and Rodent Reservoirs of
493 Leptospirosis in an Urban Community of Puerto Rico, 2015. *J Infect Dis.* 2019;220(9):1489-1497.
494 doi:10.1093/infdis/jiz339
- 495 27. Mayfield HJ, Lowry JH, Watson CH, Kama M, Nilles EJ, Lau CL. Use of geographically weighted logistic
496 regression to quantify spatial variation in the environmental and sociodemographic drivers of leptospirosis
497 in Fiji: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Heal.* 2018;2(5):e223-e232. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30066-4
498
499
500