### 1 Analysis of Extraocular muscle volumes in idiopathic Hypertrophic

### 2 Pachymeningitis patients

- 3 Suppakul Kitkamolwat, MD<sup>1</sup>, Supichaya Soonthornpusit, MD<sup>2</sup>, Akarawit Eiamsamarng,
- 4 MD<sup>2</sup>, Natthapon Rattanathamsakul, MD<sup>3</sup>, Niphon Chirapapaisan, MD<sup>2</sup> and Chanon
- 5 Ngamsombat, MD<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University,
   Bangkok, Thailand
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University,
  Bangkok, Thailand
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University,
- 11 Bangkok, Thailand

12 corresponding author(s): Chanon Ngamsombat (ngamsombatc@gmail.com)

13

#### 14 Abstract

- **Background:** Idiopathic hypertrophic pachymeningitis (HP) is a rare chronic inflammatory
- 16 condition without an identifiable cause characterized by fibrous thickening of the dura mater,
- 17 which can involve the extraocular muscles (EOM).
- Objective: To evaluate volumetric changes of EOM in idiopathic HP patients compared with
   healthy controls (HC) and study the correlation with ocular motility disturbance.
- 20 Materials and methods: A retrospective study of 22 diagnosed idiopathic HP patients and
- 21 22 age-matched, sex-matched HC underwent a 3T MRI scan from January 1, 2017, to
- 22 December 31, 2022. EOM was manually segmented from the T1W image using 3D Slicer
- 23 software, and volume was calculated using FSL software. T-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests
- 24 were used to compare EOM volumes between the idiopathic HP and control groups.
- 25 Pearson's correlation coefficient was then used to assess the correlation between ocular
- 26 motility and EOM enlargement.
- 27 **Results:** In idiopathic HP patients, the average EOM volumes, including the medial rectus
- 28 (MR), inferior rectus (IR), inferior oblique (IO), right lateral rectus (LR), right superior
- 29 oblique (SO), and left superior rectus (SR) muscles, were significantly larger compared to
- those in HC, particularly in the left IR and both MR. However, there was no significant
- correlation between the enlargement of these 9 EOMs and the extraocular movement
- 32 limitation.
- **Conclusion:** In idiopathic HP patients, significantly larger EOM volumes were found
- compared to control subjects. This enlargement could be due to the diffuse infiltrative
- 35 histopathology potentially involving microstructures in the EOM. Extraocular movement
- 36 limitations may be related to cranial nerve involvement.
- 37 Keyword : idiopathic pachymeningitis, extraocular muscle, volume measurement
- 38 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

39

#### 40 1. Introduction

Hypertrophic pachymeningitis (HP) is a rare chronic inflammatory condition
characterized by fibrous thickening of the cerebral and/or spinal dura mater. HP is classified
into two types based on its etiology: primary (idiopathic) HP, which has no identifiable cause,
and secondary HP, which includes coexisting causes such as infectious (such as tuberculosis,
syphilis, and sarcoidosis), autoimmune (such as IgG4-related disease), vasculitis (such as
Wegener's granulomatosis), and neoplastic (such as lymphoma and metastasis).

Idiopathic HP is the most predominant etiology of HP (1-3). However, the
pathophysiology of idiopathic HP is currently unclear. Histopathological examination reveals
non-specific chronic inflammatory changes in the dura, including extensive fibrosis and
often-detected inflammatory cell infiltration. The dura mater surface may contain small,
mature lymphocytes, plasma cells, and epithelioid histiocytes (4).

HP is diagnosed based on clinical symptoms such as headaches, diplopia, visual loss,
ptosis, and facial pain, with thickening and enhancement of the dura mater seen on MRI
imaging and/or dura mater biopsy, which is the gold standard of diagnosis.

HP is a rare condition, with a reported prevalence of 0.949 per 100,000 people (5). A prior study examined the clinical presentation, laboratory findings, and neuroradiologic characteristics of HP. The results showed that idiopathic HP is the most common type, with diplopia being the most common clinical manifestation (85.2%). CN VI was found to be the most commonly involved cranial nerve, and the cavernous sinus was the most common location of cranial dural thickening (3).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the volumetric changes of all EOM in idiopathic HP patients compared to age-matched, sex-matched healthy controls (HC) and to study the correlation with ocular motility disturbance. Our hypothesis was that the abnormal EOM volumes cause extraocular muscle limitation.

65

#### 66 2. Materials and methods

#### 67 Participants

This retrospective study included twenty-two patients diagnosed with idiopathic HP at
Siriraj Hospital using search tools in the picture archiving and communication system
(PACS). The Siriraj institutional review board approved the study (certificate of approval
number Si 055/2023). The data was collected between January 1, 2017, and December 31,
2022.

The entry criteria were defined as follows: 1) The diagnosis was based on dura mater biopsy or dura mater thickening or enhancement on MRI imaging, and 2) dural thickening or enhancement could not be explained by intracranial hypotension, neoplastic pachymeningitis, or other coexisting conditions. (3)

Patients with a history of other etiologies affecting EOMs, such as prior brain surgery
 or trauma, underlying brain tumor or brain metastasis, focal mass at the EOM, or any EOM
 enlargement recorded in the radiologist's official report, were excluded.

We included twenty-two age-matched and sex-matched healthy controls who
underwent MRI for non-specific indications without eye symptoms and no underlying eye
disease with normal MRI findings for comparison.

#### 83 **Ophthalmological examination**

The data was gathered from medical records recorded by ophthalmologists at Siriraj Hospital. EOM function was evaluated by asking the patient to move their eyes in an Hshaped pattern. The ophthalmic examination was performed by trained technicians.

#### 87 MRI image acquisition

All patients were scanned using clinical MRI scanners at Siriraj Hospital: Signa 3.0T (General Electric Healthcare, USA), MAGNETOM Vida 3.0T (Siemens Healthcare, Germany), and Archieva 3.0T (Philips Healthcare, Netherlands) with a 32-channel head coil. The MRI protocol included a T1W image sequence using three-dimensional TFE with the following parameters: echo time (TE) = 4.61 ms, repetition time (TR) = 9.53 ms, matrix size  $= 352 \times 352$ , field-of-view (FOV) = 230 x 230 x 172 mm<sup>3</sup>, voxel size = 0.72 x 0.72 x 0.5 mm<sup>3</sup>, flip angle = 8°, scan time 6 minutes.

#### 95 Data processing

96 In brief, all EOM raw data were collected as DICOM images and transformed to97 NiFTI format using DICOM to NiFTI software.

Segmentation of the EOM was performed using 3D Slicer software v.5.6.1. The ROI
of the EOM was drawn and finalized by a 3rd-year resident and a 15-year-experienced
neuroradiologist. The medial rectus (MR), inferior rectus (IR), lateral rectus (LR), superior
oblique (SO), and inferior oblique (IO) muscles were drawn separately in the coronal plane of
the T1W image (Fig. 1). Considering their anatomical closeness, the superior rectus (SR) and
levator palpebrae superioris muscles were measured together and named as the superior
rectus muscle (6-9).

105

# Fig. 1. MRI T1 weighted images in coronal plane of a representative case with EOM segmentation by using 3D slicer software.

The calculation of each EOM volume from the outlined ROI was performed using
 FSL Software (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk).

110 **3. Statistic Analysis** 

After the image processing was done, statistical analysis was performed using SPSS(PASW Statistics for Windows, version 18.0).

- Demographic data, including age, sex, and duration between eye and MRI
- examinations, were reported as means and standard deviations (SD). The quantitative data of
- the subjects with idiopathic HP and the healthy control group were compared using T-tests.

- 116 The qualitative data of the subjects with idiopathic HP and the healthy control group were
- 117 compared using the Chi-square test. Categorical data, including the location of dural
- thickening, were reported as frequency and percentage.

119 Comparisons of EOM volumes between patients and control groups were performed

- using T-tests for normal distributions and the Mann-Whitney U test for non-normal
- distributions. Pearson's correlation was used to identify a significant correlation between
- EOM volume and ocular motility. The criteria for statistical significance were defined as a p-
- 123 value < 0.05.
- 124

#### 125 **4. Results**

#### 126 Clinical description

127 Clinical and demographic data for the idiopathic HP patients and HC are presented in 128 Table 1. Twenty-two idiopathic HP patients, including 8 males and 14 females (mean age

129  $51.50 \pm 15.41$  years), and 22 healthy controls in the sex-matched and age-matched groups 130 (mean age  $51.41 \pm 15.30$  years) were included for analysis. There is no statistical difference

in age or sex between the idiopathic HP and control groups (p=0.984 and p=1.000,

respectively). The mean duration between the date of eye examination and MRI examination

133 is  $59.64 \pm 99.40$  days.

In idiopathic HP patients, most of the dural thickening is involved in the cavernous
 sinus and tentorium cerebelli (each 11/22 patients; 50%).

	Group, n (%)		
	Idiopathic HP (n=22)	Healthy control (n=22)	P-value
Age (mean <u>+</u> sd) (years)	51.50 <u>+</u> 15.41	51.41 <u>+</u> 15.30	0.984
Sex			1.000
Male	8 (36.4)	8 (36.4)	
Female	14 (63.6)	14 (63.6)	
Duration between eye	59.64 <u>+</u> 99.40	-	
examination and MRI imaging			
(mean <u>+</u> sd) (days)			

#### 136 Table 1 Demographic data

137

#### 138 MRI imaging analysis

The total 12 EOM volumes from both eyes of idiopathic HP patients and healthycontrol groups were measured and summarized in Table 2.

## Table 2 Statistic data compared the average extraocular muscle volume (mm<sup>3</sup>) of idiopathic HP patients versus healthy control patients.

	EOM volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )		
Extraocular muscle	Idiopathic HP ;mean+SD	Healthy control ;mean+SD	P-value

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.18.24312196; this version posted August 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

perpetaty	•
It is made available under a CC-BY	4.0 International license .

560.65 <u>+</u> 122.89	445.47 <u>+</u> 111.97	0.002*
624.03 <u>+</u> 131.76	532.82 <u>+</u> 99.77	0.005*
509.63 <u>+</u> 154.99	438.97 <u>+</u> 118.05	0.096
340.71 <u>+</u> 70.15	271.07 <u>+</u> 81.23	0.004*
496.78 <u>+</u> 134.01	403.97 <u>+</u> 82.60	0.008*
300.31 <u>+</u> 117.56	217.45 <u>+</u> 79.83	0.009*
554.06 <u>+</u> 138.19	436.26 <u>+</u> 100.66	0.002*
599.05 <u>+</u> 145.34	505.53 <u>+</u> 130.41	0.054
533.22 <u>+</u> 146.82	418.74+108.25	0.005*
315.33+99.93	267.05+78.92	0.083
512.07 <u>+</u> 98.18	<u>398.00+69.46</u>	< 0.001*
282.52 <u>+</u> 82.17	215.74 <u>+</u> 67.51	0.005*
	$\begin{array}{r} \underline{624.03\pm131.76} \\ \underline{509.63\pm131.76} \\ \underline{509.63\pm154.99} \\ 340.71\pm70.15 \\ \hline \\ \underline{496.78\pm134.01} \\ \underline{300.31\pm117.56} \\ \underline{554.06\pm138.19} \\ \underline{599.05\pm145.34} \\ \underline{533.22\pm146.82} \\ \underline{315.33\pm99.93} \\ \underline{512.07\pm98.18} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

143 \* denotes significant p-value

In brief, the average EOM volumes (mm<sup>3</sup>) in the left eye of idiopathic HP patients were  $466.046 \pm 170.261$  mm<sup>3</sup>, and in the right eye were  $472.022 \pm 168.304$  mm<sup>3</sup>, while the control group had  $373.557 \pm 137.288$  mm<sup>3</sup> and  $384.296 \pm 144.018$  mm<sup>3</sup>. The average EOM volumes of both the left and right eyes were significantly greater than those of the control group (p  $\leq 0.0001$ ). (Fig. 2)

149

### Fig. 2 Box plots comparison of the extraocular muscle volume of left and eyes between case (idiopathic HP patients) and healthy controls.

For each EOM, the mean volumes in idiopathic HP patients were  $560.65 \pm 122.89$ 152 153 mm<sup>3</sup> in the right medial rectus,  $624.03 \pm 131.76$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the right lateral rectus,  $509.63 \pm$ 154 154.99 mm<sup>3</sup> in the right superior rectus,  $340.71 \pm 70.15$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the right superior oblique,  $496.78 \pm 134.01$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the right inferior rectus,  $300.31 \pm 117.56$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the right inferior 155 oblique,  $554.06 \pm 138.19$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the left medial rectus,  $599.05 \pm 145.34$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the left 156 157 lateral rectus,  $533.22 \pm 146.82$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the left superior rectus,  $315.33 \pm 99.93$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the left superior oblique,  $512.07 \pm 98.18$  mm<sup>3</sup> in the left inferior rectus, and  $282.52 \pm 82.17$  mm<sup>3</sup> in 158 the left inferior oblique muscles. 159

In comparison to age-matched and sex-matched healthy controls, 9 of 12 EOM
muscles showed significantly larger volumes. These included both MR (each p=0.002), both
IR (right p=0.08 and left p<0.01), both IO (right p=0.009 and left p=0.005), right LR</li>
(p=0.005), right SO (p=0.004), and left SR muscles (p=0.005). However, there was no

statistically significant difference in the volume of the right SR, left LR, and left SO muscles

165 (p = 0.096, p = 0.054, and p = 0.083, respectively).

#### 166 Relationship between clinical and MRI measures

167 Correlation with ocular function was assessed only in 9 of the 12 EOM (both MR, both

168 IR, both IO, right LR, right SO, and left SR), which had statistically significant enlargement

169 from prior analysis. Using Pearson's correlation, there was no statistically significant

170 correlation between all 9 enlarged EOM and ocular motility in idiopathic HP patients, as

171 presented in Table 3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.18.24312196; this version posted August 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available	under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Extraocular muscle	Mean volume in HP (mm <sup>3</sup> ), Mean <u>+</u> sd	Percentage of ocular motility limitation ,Mean <u>+</u> sd	Pearson Correlation(P- value) EOM volume vs percentage of ocular motility limitation
Right IO	300.31 <u>+</u> 117.56	11.59+24.17	-0.142(0.527)
Right IR	496.78 <u>+</u> 134.01	11.59+24.94	-0.337(0.125)
Right SO	340.71 <u>+</u> 70.15	11.59+24.94	0.051(0.821)
Right MR	560.65 <u>+</u> 122.89	7.50+21.69	-0.013(0.955)
Right LR	624.03 <u>+</u> 131.76	26.14+33.37	0.249(0.264)
Left SR	533.22 <u>+</u> 146.82	3.18+9.45	-0.107(0.637)
Left IO	282.52+82.17	4.55 <u>+</u> 13.35	0.180(0.423)
Left IR	512.07 <u>+</u> 98.18	3.18+9.45	-0.221(0.324)
Left MR	554.06 <u>+</u> 138.19	4.55+11.84	-0.321(0.145)

### Table 3 Correlation between the significant enlarged EOM volumes (mm3) of idiopathic HP patients and the ocular motility.

174 A p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significance

#### 175 **5. Discussion**

Hypertrophic pachymeningitis (HP) is a rare fibrosing inflammatory disorder
characterized by thickening of the dura mater, either focal or diffuse. Pathological
examination reveals non-specific chronic inflammation, showing lymphoplasmacytic
infiltration and dense fibrosis (10). The diagnosis of idiopathic HP is made by excluding
various infectious, autoimmune, and neoplastic infiltration diseases. Therefore, when the
clinical and imaging findings are consistent, a comprehensive investigation should be
conducted to rule out any underlying pathological causes for the condition.

In our study, we observed that 9 out of 12 EOMs (both MR, both IR, both IO, right 183 LR, right SO, and left SR) in idiopathic HP patients were significantly enlarged compared to 184 the healthy control group. There have been no systematic studies specifically comparing 185 extraocular muscles (EOM) in idiopathic HP patients and healthy individuals. However, 186 many studies have been conducted on IgG4-related disease, which is a subset of HP 187 associated with an autoimmune disorder. These studies have reported that the disease can 188 infiltrate the EOM and cause multiple EOM enlargements. IgG4-related disease is a chronic 189 inflammatory disorder characterized by IgG4-positive lymphoplasmacytic infiltrative lesions. 190 Both idiopathic HP and IgG4-RD share similar demographics, histopathology, and natural 191 histories (10-12). Based on its pathophysiology, we hypothesize that idiopathic HP may be a 192 diffuse disease that potentially involves microstructural changes in the EOM, leading to 193 194 structural volume alterations.

Also, it was noted that there were no studies about predilection muscle involvement in idiopathic HP. In our study, we observed that the left inferior rectus and both medial rectus muscles were significantly larger. As compared with other diseases that cause multiple EOM enlargements, such as IgG4-related disease, the LR muscle is most frequently affected, and in Graves' ophthalmopathy, the IR, MR, SR, and LR are mostly involved in that order (13-16). However, because our sample size was small, we were unable to conclude the predilection of specific muscles. Further studies may provide more information. medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.18.24312196; this version posted August 20, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The extraocular movement limitation can occur due to various reasons, including 202 203 EOM enlargement, like in Graves' orbitopathy, where it is believed that more EOM enlargement causes a more severe limitation of eve movement (8, 17). Therefore, this study 204 205 was conducted to clarify whether the restriction of ocular motility is caused by EOM enlargement or not. However, our study found no significant correlation between increased 206 EOM volume and impaired ocular muscle movement in idiopathic HP patients. Previous 207 research suggests the clinical manifestation of idiopathic HP depends on the location of dura 208 infiltration surrounding the adjacent cranial nerves (8, 18). Specifically, deficits in cranial 209 nerves III, IV, and VI are associated with thickened meninges from the cavernous sinus to the 210 superior orbital fissure. Therefore, we imply that the limitation of EOM in patients with 211 idiopathic HP is caused by cranial nerve involvement rather than muscle enlargement. 212

There are several limitations to this study. Firstly, due to the rarity of idiopathic HP, the single-center study had a small sample size. Secondly, the retrospective nature of the study may affect its reliability. Lastly, although the control patients had an overall physical examination and no history of eye symptoms, they did not undergo a complete ophthalmic examination.

218

#### 219 **6.** Conclusion

In idiopathic HP, patients have significantly larger EOM volumes, particularly in the left IR and both MR muscles. This could be due to the diffuse infiltrative histopathology that potentially involves microstructural changes in the EOM. However, no significant correlation was found between the size of these enlarged EOMs and the extraocular movement limitation.

- 225 **Competing interests**
- The authors declare no competing interests.

#### 227

#### 228 Acknowledgements

This research project was supported by the Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital,Mahidol University.

- The authors would like to thank Mr. Karnchana Sae-jang and Mr. Yuti
- 232 Jirawattanapalin from Siriraj Radiology Department in statistical analysis.
- 233

#### 234 Author contributions


S.K., S.S. and A.E. collected, assembled, and analyzed data. N.R. and N.C. recruited
and examined patients. C.N. performed critical revision of the article for important

- 237 intellectual content.
- 238 Reference

239 Warittikoon S, Jakchairoongruang K. Distinguishing magnetic resonance imaging features 1. between idiopathic hypertrophic pachymeningitis and secondary hypertrophic pachymeningitis. 240 Asian Biomedicine. 2019;13:113-9. https://doi.org/10.1515/abm-2019-0049 241 Xiao X, Fu D, Feng L. Hypertrophic pachymeningitis in a southern Chinese population: a 242 2. 243 retrospective study. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020;11:565088. 244 https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.565088 245 3. Sakdee W, Termglinchan T, Lertbutsayanukul P. Primary and secondary hypertrophic 246 pachymeningitis in Prasat Neurological Institute: clinical, laboratory and neuroradiologic features. 247 Thai journal of neurology. 2021;37(2):43-57. 248 Charleston Lt, Cooper W. An Update on Idiopathic Hypertrophic Cranial Pachymeningitis for 4. 249 the Headache Practitioner. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2020;24(10):57. 250 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-020-00893-5 251 5. Yonekawa T, Murai H, Utsuki S, Matsushita T, Masaki K, Isobe N, et al. A nationwide survey 252 of hypertrophic pachymeningitis in Japan. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry. 2013. 253 https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2013-306410 Tian S, Nishida Y, Isberg B, Lennerstrand G. MRI measurements of normal extraocular 254 6. 255 muscles and other orbital structures. Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology. 256 2000;238:393-404. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004170050370 257 7. Bijlsma WR, Mourits MP. Radiologic measurement of extraocular muscle volumes in patients 258 with Graves' orbitopathy: a review and guideline. Orbit. 2006;25(2):83-91. 259 https://doi.org/10.1080/01676830600675319 260 Lee J-Y, Bae K, Park K-A, Lyu IJ, Oh SY. Correlation between extraocular muscle size 8. 261 measured by computed tomography and the vertical angle of deviation in thyroid eye disease. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):e0148167. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148167 262 263 El-Kaissi S, Wall JR. Determinants of extraocular muscle volume in patients with Graves' 9. 264 disease. Journal of Thyroid Research. 2012;2012. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/368536 265 Wallace ZS, Carruthers MN, Khosroshahi A, Carruthers R, Shinagare S, Stemmer-Rachamimov 10. 266 A, et al. IgG4-related disease and hypertrophic pachymeningitis. Medicine. 2013;92(4):206. 267 https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31829cce35 268 11. Saitakis G, Chwalisz B. The neurology of IGG4-related disease. Journal of the Neurological 269 Sciences. 2021;424:117420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2021.117420 Kim N, Yang HK, Kim JH, Hwang J-M. IgG4-related ophthalmic disease involving extraocular 270 12. 271 muscles: case series. BMC ophthalmology. 2018;18(1):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-018-272 0819-x 273 13. Mombaerts I, Rose GE, Verity DH. Diagnosis of enlarged extraocular muscles: when and how 274 to biopsy. Current Opinion in Ophthalmology. 2017;28(5):514-21. 275 https://doi.org/10.1097/ICU.00000000000395 276 Lakerveld M, van der Gijp A. Orbital Muscle Enlargement: What if It's Not Graves' Disease? 14. 277 Current Radiology Reports. 2022;10(2):9-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40134-022-00392-y 278 15. Rana K, Juniat V, Patel S, Selva D. Extraocular muscle enlargement. Graefe's Archive for 279 Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology. 2022;260(11):3419-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-280 022-05727-1 Garau LM, Guerrieri D, De Cristofaro F, Bruscolini A, Panzironi G. Extraocular muscle sampled 281 16. 282 volume in Graves' orbitopathy using 3-T fast spin-echo MRI with iterative decomposition of water and fat sequences. Acta Radiologica Open. 2018;7(6):2058460118780892. 283 284 https://doi.org/10.1177/205846011878089 17. Chen YL, Chang TC, Huang KM, Tzeng SS, Kao SC. Relationship of eye movement to 285 computed tomographic findings in patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy. Acta ophthalmologica. 286 287 1994;72(4):472-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-3768.1994.tb02800.x

- 288 18. Shao W, Tian C, Gao L, Cui B, Shi Q. Rapid bilateral visual loss as the initial clinical
- 289 manifestation in idiopathic hypertrophic cranial pachymeningitis. Clinical Case Reports.
- 290 2022;10(5):e05825. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ccr3.5825</u>

291





# Fig.1




Fig.2