Quality of newborn care and associated factors: An analysis of the 2022 Kenya demographic and health survey. John Baptist Asiimwe<sup>1\*</sup>, Earnest Amwiine<sup>2</sup>, Angella Namulema<sup>3</sup>, Quraish Sserwanja<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Kawuki<sup>5</sup>, Mathius Amperiize<sup>6</sup>, Shamim Nabidda<sup>1</sup>, Imelda Nmaatovu<sup>7</sup>, Lilian Nuwabaine<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Aga Khan University, Uganda Campus. P. O. Box 8842, Kampala, Uganda <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Mbarara University of Science and Technology P.O. Box 1410, Mbarara, Uganda <sup>3</sup> Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, P.O. Box: 40 Mbarara, Uganda <sup>4</sup> Programmes Department, Relief International, Khartoum, Sudan <sup>5</sup> Department of Family, Population, & Preventive Medicine, Stony Brook University, New York, United States of America. <sup>6</sup> Infectious Diseases Institute, Kampala, Uganda <sup>7</sup> Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda \* Correspondence to john.asiimwe@aku.edu 1 | Page

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

#### 33 Abstract

#### 34 Background

Kenya is one of the African countries that have pledged to reduce neonatal death as per the World Health Organization set target by 2030. Providing high-quality newborn care is critical in minimizing neonatal mortality. The purpose of this study was to determine the factors that influence the quality of newborn care in Kenya.

# 39 Methods

Secondary data from 11,863 participants of the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS)
2022 were analyzed. The participants were chosen using a two-stage stratified sampling method.
The quality of newborn care was operationalized as receiving all components of newborn care
after childbirth, as reported by the mother. To identify the factors associated with the quality of
newborn care, multivariable logistic regression was carried out using SPSS (version 20).

## 45 **Results**

In this study, 32.7% (95% CI: 31.0-34.5) of the mothers reported that their newborns had received

all components of quality neonatal care after childbirth. Mothers who subscribed to the Christian

48 (3.60 (95%CI: 1.32-9.83) or Muslim faith (3.88 (95%CI: 1.29-11.67) compared with those from

49 other faiths, were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care.

50 Mothers who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who

51 spent less than half an hour were 1.33 (95%CI: 1.01-1.75) times more likely to report that their

52 newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers who gave birth in a non-government

organization health facility were 30.37 (95%CI: 2.69-343.20) times more likely to report that their

54 newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered from a faith-

55 based organization.

On the contrary, in terms of regions, Mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were 0.53 (95%CI: 0.34-0.82), 0.61 (95%CI: 0.39-0.94), and 0.62 (95%CI: 0.41-0.93) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care, respectively. Finally, mothers who gave birth through cesarean section were 0.44 (95%CI: 0.32-0.61) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care than mothers who gave birth through spontaneous vaginal delivery.

63

64

# 65 Conclusion

The study indicates about a third of the neonates (1 in 3) received quality newborn care. This 66 study seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with receiving 67 quality newborn care. Several stakeholders including health care providers may need to pay more 68 69 attention to newborn babies whose mothers come from marginalized regions of the country, minority religious faith denominations, and those delivered by ceasearen section. Stakeholders 70 should focus on strengthening collaborations with NGO health facilities and achieving universal 71 health coverage to improve the quality of neonatal care. Also, the government could utilize 72 minority faith denominations as an avenue for staging sensitization about quality neonatal health 73 services. 74

# 75 Keywords

76 Newborn care; Quality; associated factors; Kenya

77

# 78 Introduction

Quality of newborn care is the safe and efficient healthcare delivered on time to improve the health 79 outcomes of newborns [1]. Quality newborn care is critical in assisting babies to adapt to the 80 extrauterine environment, thus, reducing neonatal death by 25% [2]. The World Health 81 Organization (WHO) emphasizes the importance of ensuring quality care for neonates during the 82 83 postpartum period as recommended in the form of assessing the newborn's temperature, checking the umbilical cord, counseling, and observing the mother on breastfeeding [3]. Some other 84 components of quality neonatal care include the management of hypothermia, management of 85 neonatal sepsis and other medical conditions, birth from a skilled attendant and the health facility, 86 87 examination of the baby, skin-to-skin care, and immunization [4, 5]

Despite the decline in under-five mortality worldwide in response to efforts to address it, deaths remain concentrated in the very first days of life indicating the need to attend to quality neonatal care demands[6]. The World Health Organization estimated approximately 2.4 million newborn deaths in 2019, which accounted for over 45% of under-five deaths worldwide. Additionally, a persistent slow reduction in newborn mortality was also reported in the East African region [7]. The first 28 days of life present a very crucial period when babies are most vulnerable to health

challenges and face a higher risk of death with the global mortality rate standing at 17 deaths per
1,000 live births in 2022 [8]. However, the rate is rather higher in sub-Saharan countries (Kenya
inclusive), which contributes almost 57% of the worldwide mortality rate, with rates of 21 deaths
per 1000 live births in low and middle-income countries [1, 9, 10]. All these mortality rates exceed
the projected sustainable development goal target of 12 deaths for every 1000 live births by 2030.
[11].

The high newborn mortality rate is attributed to poor quality newborn care which includes delayed 100 initiation of breastfeeding [12-14]. Above all, providing quality newborn care has been reported 101 to be low in African countries like Kenya where the neonatal mortality rate is still a healthcare 102 103 challenge accounting for 40% of under-five mortality rates [15-18]. In Sub-Saharan Africa, challenges to delivering newborn care are multi-faceted and include poor healthcare infrastructure, 104 105 social-cultural environments, lack of adherence to clinical guidelines, and inadequate political will by governments[19-21]. The World Health Organization guidelines on postnatal care emphasize 106 107 the provision of quality newborn care as a means of reducing preventable neonatal mortality [22].

108 Evidence from the literature indicated that the level of education, monthly income, age of the mother, number of antenatal visits, and knowledge of newborn care by the mothers were some of 109 the factors associated with the quality of newborn care [23-25]. Other sociocultural determinants 110 of the quality of newborn care include residency, maternal employment, pregnancy intention, 111 health facility accessibility, occupation, parity, and counseling during the perinatal period.[26-29]. 112 113 Furthermore, healthcare system-related factors related to the quality of neonatal care include the presence of health workers at workstations, access to evidence-based guidelines, working 114 environment, communication skills, and supervision [30]. 115

116 To achieve the sustainable development target 3.2 of eliminating unnecessary deaths of newborns and children under the age of five, there is a need to monitor the quality of newborn care delivered 117 118 by healthcare providers in countries with limited resources like Kenya where neonatal mortality is 119 still high [22]. Some studies that have been used to draw recommendations in Kenya like prioritizing the need to strengthen existing facilities, increasing access to standard inpatient 120 neonatal care, and developing effective referral systems to improve neonatal care, [31] are not 121 122 conducted on nationally representative populations. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors associated with the quality of newborn care as reported by the mothers using nationally 123

representative data from Kenya. Identifying these factors is crucial in developing efficient interventions to combat neonatal mortality and morbidity in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa at large.

#### 127 Methods

## 128 Data source, sample design, and collection

This study used data from the 2022 Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (KDHS). The survey 129 used a two-stage stratified sampling approach in which the first step involved using equal 130 probabilities and random selection to choose 1692 clusters from a master sample frame of 129,067 131 132 clusters taken from the 2019 Kenya population and housing census. The second stage entailed house listing to create a sampling frame whereby 25 households for each cluster were chosen. If a 133 cluster contained fewer than 25 households, all of them were sampled. In total, 1691 clusters were 134 surveyed. The Inner-City Fund (ICF) aided with the training of data collectors and pre-testing of 135 136 study materials. Data was collected from February to July 2022.

All women between 15 and 49 years who were either regular members of the selected families or had resided in those homes the night preceding the survey were interviewed about newborn care in English or Swahili. Out of 32,156 women who responded to the questionnaire, 11,863 had recently given birth within the previous five years [10]. The MEASURE DHS website (<u>https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm</u>) gave us specific permission to utilize the 2022 KDHS dataset. Although the dataset comprised several variables, only those that were relevant to our research were included in the analysis.

144

#### 145 Study variables.

### 146 **Dependent/outcomes**

The main outcome variable in this study was the mothers' reported quality of newborn care. The quality of newborn care was an aggregate variable estimated from several binary outcomes (yes or no), which included whether the newborn received certain services from medical professionals after birth, as reported by their mother. The services included obtaining delivery assistance from a skilled health provider, weighing the newborn, taking the newborn's temperature, placing the

newborn on the mother's breast within the first hour after delivery, observing the mother while breastfeeding their newborns, teaching the mother ways to clean the baby's umbilical cord, examining the newborn's umbilical cord, advising the mothers regarding risk indicators of neonatal illness (danger signs), and guiding or counseling the mother on breastfeeding. As reported by the mother, receiving all the newborn care services implied that one had received quality newborn care (yes) or not (no), if one skipped  $\geq 1$  of the 9 services. [20, 32-34].

#### 158 Independent variables

Based on the existing literature and the availability of the data in DHS, the factors taken into 159 account in the analysis were classified into three categories: parental, health facility, obstetric, and 160 161 child-related characteristics [16, 20, 33, 35, 36]. The analysis examined 11 parental factors such 162 as the age of the mother (35-49, 20-34, 15-19 years), residence (urban or rural), maternal and husband education (tertiary, none/primary, secondary), region (Nyanza, Eastern, Rift Valley, 163 Nairobi, Coast, Central, Western Northeastern), maternal and husband working status (yes or no), 164 and religion (Christian, Muslim, or others). The wealth index was generated from the 2022 KDHS 165 data on household ownership of assets using the principal component analysis and categorized into 166 5 categories (poorest to richest). Whereas maternal autonomy was measured using two proxy 167 variables: who heads the family (male or female) and who makes healthcare decisions for the 168 mother/participant (self, partner, together with partner or someone else, or others). We included 169 170 ten health facility, obstetric, and child-related factors in the analysis. Obstetric factors comprised 171 pregnancy wantedness (no or yes), ages at first birth in 5-year age groups, antenatal (ANC) visits  $(\geq 4 \text{ or } \leq 3)$ , mode of delivery (cesarean or vaginal), the birth interval in months ( $\leq 24 \text{ or } \geq 25$ ) and 172 pregnancy losses ( $\geq 1$  or 0). Child factors included the sex of the child (male or female). Lastly, 173 health facility factors included the specific place of childbirth (private, public, clinics, faith-based 174 175 organizations, and Non-governmental Organizational health facilities). The analysis considered 176 minutes to a healthcare facility of birth ( $\leq 30$ , 31-60,  $\geq 61$ ) as a proxy for access to the facility.

#### 177 Statistical analysis

Before analyzing the data, it was cleansed, and dummy variables were developed. Descriptive statistics such as percentages were generated at the univariate level for all the categorical variables. We computed univariate logistic regression analyses, to find independent factors related to neonatal care quality. To investigate the factors correlated with newborn care quality while

adjusting for other variables, any variables with P-values below 0.05 were added in a simple multivariate logistic regression model. The confidence intervals corresponding to 95% for all odd ratios are presented. The data was analyzed using SPSS's complex samples module (V20), which accounted for the complex sample design present in DHS data.

186 The complex sample package provides accurate parameter estimates by accounting for clustering,

187 weighting, and sample stratification that occurred during the study participants' selection. [37, 38].

188 The sample weights were also added to all frequencies calculated to ensure the representativeness

of the study results and account for unequal probability sampling across various strata [37]. A

variance inflation factor (VIF) of less than 10 was utilized as a criterion to test multi-collinearity

among all predictor variables in the model, during preliminary analyses [37, 38].

# 192 Ethical consideration

The Inner-City Fund (ICF) Institutional Review Board gave ethical clearance to conduct the KDHS. The Kenya National Bureau of Statistics conducted the study in partnership with other stakeholders. Given that the secondary data was publicly available, no ethical authorization was required to investigate it. However, MEASURE DHS provided access to the 2022 KDHS datasets. (<u>https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm</u>). Human participants provided written informed permission, as did legally designated representatives of minor participants.

199

# 200 **Results**

# 201 Demographic characteristics of the mothers of the newborn babies

202 DHS data about the quality of newborn care was obtained from 11,863 women who had recently 203 given birth within five years preceding the survey (Table 1). The majority (74.4%) of women were aged 20 to 34, were from Eastern, Nairobi, Rift Valley, Central, and Nyanza provinces (76.7%), 204 and lived in rural regions (61.4%). Moreover, 40% belonged to the richer (21.2%) and richest 205 206 (22.7%) quintiles. The majority were Christian (88.5%), had completed at most secondary 207 education (80.5%), and were working (57.3%). Furthermore, the majority of the participants' spouses were employed (91%), and 55.9% had completed their secondary education. The majority 208 209 of participants (71.5%) lived in male-headed households and decided to seek health care services

alongside their spouses or someone else (44.9%). Most participants gave birth while they were
under 24 years old (87.1%), had a pregnancy interval of not less than two years following their
previous delivery (75.4%), desired to have the most recent pregnancy (91.2%), recorded at most
four total antennal visits (67.2%), and lived within 30minutes from the health facilities (73.1).
Most mothers gave birth to newborn babies by vaginal birth (82.9%), and at public health facilities
(65.2%). About half of neonates were male sex (51%). Additionally, fewer participants had

216 pregnancy loss (23%).

217

Variable	n (weighted %)			
Age (years)				
15-19	785 (6.6)			
20-34	8825(74.4)			
35-49	2253 (19)			
Region/Province				
Nairobi	1371 (11.6)			
Northeastern	406 (3.4)			
Coast	1107 (9.3)			
Western	1253 (10.6)			
Eastern	1336 (11.3)			
Central	1380 (11.6)			
Nyanza	1406 (11.8)			
Rift Valley	3605 (30.4)			
Residence				
Rural	7289 (61.4)			
Urban	4574 (38.6)			
Working status/occupation				
Not working	5063 (42.7)			
Working	6791 (57.3)			
Education				
Tertiary	2321 (19.6)			
Secondary	4231 (35.7)			
None/primary	5311 (44.8)			
Wealth index				
Richest	2695 (22.7)			
Richer	2510 (21.2)			
Middle	2074 (17.5)			
Poorer	2062 (17.4)			
Poorest	2523 (21.3)			
Religion				

# 218 Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the mothers of the newborn babies (Respondents)

Muslims	1120 (9.7)
Christians	10220 (88.5)
Others	209 (1.8)
Sex of household head	()
Female	3380 (28.5)
Male	8483 (71.5)
Husband education	0100 (11.0)
Tertiary	2329 (24.5)
Secondary	2984 (31.4)
None/primary	4206 (44.2)
Husband's working status	1200 (11.2)
Not working	858 (9)
Working	8632 (91)
Health seeking decision making	0052 (71)
Joint	4279 (44.9)
Partner	1590 (16.7)
Self	3618 (38.5)
Others	32 (0.3)
Age at first birth (years)	52 (0.5)
$\geq 35$	57 (0.5)
30-34	229 (2.0)
25-29	1215 (10.4)
20-24	4630 (39.8)
<19	5503 (47.3)
ANC Visits	
<u>≤</u> 3	3157 (32.8)
>4	6472 (67.2)
Pregnancy wanted	
No	1041 (8.8)
Yes	10823 (91.2)
Preceding pregnancy interval (months)	
≥25	6475 (75.4)
≤24	2111 (24.6)
Place of delivery	
FBO	574 (5.4)
NGO	31 (0.3)
Private health facility	1796 (16.9)
Public health facility	6927 (65.2)
Home	1246 (11.7)
Others	55 (0.5)
Mode of last delivery	
Vaginal	9043 (82.9)
Cesarean section	1867 (17.1)
Time to the health facility (minutes)	
≥61	496 (8)

31-60	1168 (18.9)
<u>≤30</u>	4515 (73.1)
Sex of child	
Female	5121 (49.0)
Male	5333 (51.0)
Pregnancy losses	
21	2723 (23.0)
None	9140 (77.0)

219

# 220 Quality of newborn care

221 Overall, 32.7% of the mothers reported that their newborns had received all components of neonatal care (quality newborn care) after childbirth (Table 2). Among the components of newborn 222 223 care, 90.4% of the mothers reported that their newborns were delivered by a skilled health provider, 78.1 % had their newborn's umbilical cord examined by a health care worker, 88.2% were 224 weighed, and had their temperature taken (72%). In addition, 59.8% of neonates were placed on 225 the mother's breast by a medical professional within the first hour of birth. Participating mothers 226 227 were instructed on ways to clean their newborn's umbilical cords (73.9%), observed during breastfeeding babies by medical professionals (75.8%), and given breastfeeding counseling 228 229 (77.6%) or information about danger signs related to newborn sickness (77.6%).

# 230 Table 2. Components of newborn care package received.

Variable	Ν	Weighed % (95% CI)
Quality of newborn care (overall)	1503	32.7 (31.0-34.5)
Baby placed on mother's breast within 1	3267	59.8 (57.7-61.8)
hour after birth		
Delivered by a skilled health provider	9463	90.4 (89.6-91.2)
Examined the cord	3811	78.1 (76.6-79.5)
Showed how to clean the cord	3609	73.9 (72.3-75.5)
Measured temperature	3515	72.0 (70.3-73.6)
Advise on a baby who needs medical	3205	65.6 (63.8-67.4)
attention		
Breastfeeding counselling	3788	77.6 (76.1-79.0)
Observed breastfeeding	3704	75.8 (74.3-77.3)
Weighing the baby	4717	88.2 (87.1-89.3)

# 231 Factors associated with the quality of newborn care.

Table 3 summarizes the factors associated with the quality of neonatal care in logistic regression
analysis. In multivariate logistic regression, mothers who subscribed to the Christian (3.60)

(95%CI: 1.32-9.83) or Muslim faith (3.88 (95%CI: 1.29-11.67) compared with those from other 234 faiths, were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Mothers 235 236 who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who spent less than half an hour were 1.33 (95%CI: 1.01-1.75) times more likely to report that their newborns 237 had received quality newborn care. Mothers who gave birth in a non-government organization 238 239 health facility were 30.37 (95%CI: 2.69-343.20) times more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered from a faith-based 240 organization. 241

On the contrary, in terms of regions, mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were 0.53 (95%CI: 0.34-0.82), 0.61 (95%CI: 0.39-0.94), and 0.62(95%CI: 0.41-0.93) times less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care, respectively. Finally, mothers who gave birth via cesarean section were 0.44 (95%CI: 0.32-0.61) times less likely to report that their babies received quality newborn care than those who gave birth via spontaneous vaginal delivery.

# Table 3: Factors associated with the quality of neonatal care received by neonates of mothers aged 15-49 years.

	Quality care	Neonatal				
Variable	Yes <i>n</i> (%)	No n (%)	CORs (95%CI)	P- value	AORs(95%CI)	p-value
Age (years)				0.482		
15-19 (Ref.)	93 (2.0)	229 (5.0)	1		-	
20-34	1155 (25.1)	2358 (51.3)	1.20 (0.87- 1.66)		-	
35-49	255 (17.0)	510 (16.5)	1.23 (0.86- 1.74)		-	
Residence				0.475	-	
Rural (Ref.)	924 (20.1)	1967 (42.8)	1		-	
Urban	580 (12.6)	1129 (24.6)	1.09 (0.92- 1.31)		-	
<b>Region/Province</b>				0.001		0.003

Coast (Ref.)	156	274	1		-	
	(3.4)	(6.0)				
Northeastern	25 (0.5)	115	0.92 (0.53-		0.57 (0.32-	
		(2.5)	1.60)		1.01)	
Eastern	169	385	0.68 (0.44-		0.61 (0.39-	
	(3.7)	(8.4)	1.04)		0.94) *	
Central	185	327	0.89 (0.55-		0.99(0.60-	
	(7.1)	(3.3)	1.44)		1.63)	
Rift Valley	410	978	0.82 (0.54-		0.62(0.41-	
-	(8.9)	(21.2)	1.24)		0.93) *	
Western	198	277	1.24 (0.78-		0.89(0.57-	
	(4.3)	(6.0)	1.96)		1.43)	
Nyanza	166	379	0.56 (0.35-		0.53 (0.34-	
5	(3.6)	(8.23)	.87) *		0.82) *	
Nairobi	193	362	0.69 (0.36-		0.59 (0.32-	
	(4.2)	(7.9)	1.33)		1.12)	
Education				0.003	/	0.466
None/primary	590	1445	1		1	
(Ref.)	(12.8)	(31.4)	-		-	
Secondary	595	1104	1.32 (1.10-		1.15(0.88-	
Secondary	(12.9)	(24.0)	1.59)		1.49)	
Tertiary	319	547	1.43 (1.10-		1.26 (0.81-	
i oi titui y	(6.9)	(11.9)	1.85)		1.96)	
Wealth index	((()))	()		< 0.001		0.332
Poorest (Ref.)	216	734	1		1	
	(4.7)	(16.0)				
Poorer	275	551	1.69 (1.35-		1.18 (0.85-	
	(6.0)	(12.0)	2.13)		1.63)	
Middle	302	517	1.99 (1.59-		1.16 (0.83-	
	(6.6)	(11.2)	2.49)		1.62)	
Richer	398	625	2.17 (1.70-		1.31(0.89-	
	(8.7)	(13.6)	2.77)		1.91)	
Richest	312	671	1.59 (1.21-		0.91 (0.58-	
	(6.8)	(14.6)	2.08)		1.41)	
Religion				0.009	/	0.040
Christians	1349	2650	2.91 (1.46-		3.60 (1.32-	
	(30.1)	(59.1)	5.79)*		9.83) *	
Muslims	117	303	2.21 (1.04-		3.88 (1.29-	
	(2.6)	(6.8)	4.70)*		11.67) *	
Others (Ref.)	10 (0.2)	58 (1.3)	1		1	
Working				0.025		0.665
status/occupation						
Not working	591	1363	1		1	
(Ref.)	(12.9)	(29.7)				
Working	910	1731	1.21 (1.03-		1.05 (0.84-	
0						

Husband				0.004		0.490
Education						
None/primary	466	1130	1		1	
(Ref.)	(12.8)	(30.9)				
Secondary	393	766	1.24 (1.02-		1.07 (0.82-	
	(10.7)	(21.0)	1.51) *		1.41)	
Tertiary	342	557	1.490		1.28 (0.85-	
	(9.4)	(15.2)	(1.162-		1.92)	
			1.910) *			
Husband's				< 0.001		0.240
Working						
status/occupation						
Not working	59 (1.6)	247	1		1	
(Ref.)		(6.8)				
Working	1134	2203	2.15 (1.64-		1.23 (0.87-	
<u> </u>	(31.1)	(60.5)	2.81)	0.660	1.74)	
Sex of Household				0.668		
head	1074	0107	1			
Male (Ref.)	1074	2187	1		-	
<b>F</b> 1_	(23.3)	(47.5r)	0.0( (0.91			
Female	430	910	0.96 (0.81-		-	
Health seeking	(9.3)	(19.8)	1.15)	0.014		0.557
Health seeking decision making				0.014		0.337
Self (Ref.)	490	926	1		1	
Sell (Rel.)	(13.4)	(25.4)	1		1	
Partner	143	421	0.64 (0.49-		0.81 (0.58-	
	(3.9)	(11.5)	0.84) *		1.14)	
Joint	563	1099	0.97(0.79-		1.02 (0.79-	
50111	(15.4)	(30.1)	1.19)		1.31)	
Others	0 (0)	7 (0.2)	1.24 0.29-		1.15 (0.19-	
		/ (0.2)	5.42		7.12)	
					)	
ANC Visits				0.002		0.443
≤3 (Ref.)	418	1046	1		1	
× /	(9.1)	(22.7)				
≥4	1085	2051	1.32 (1.11-		1.09 (0.86-	
	(23.6)	(44.6)	1.58) *		1.39)	
Age at first birth				0.589		
(years)						
≤19 (Ref.)	707	1499	1		-	
	(15.4)	(32.6)				
20-24	602	1236	1.03 (0.86-		-	
	(13.1)	(26.9)	1.24)			
25-29	157	307	1.09 (0.79-		-	
	(3.4)	(6.7)	1.49)			

30-34	22 (0 7)	52(11)	1 24 (0 74			
30-34	33 (0.7)	52 (1.1)	1.34 (0.74- 2.44)		-	
≥35	5(0.1)	3 (0.1)	3.10 (0.81-		-	
			11.81)			
Preceding				0.051		0.154
pregnancy						
interval (months)						
≤24 (Ref.)	223	562	1		1	
	(6.7)	(16.8)				
<u>≥</u> 25	860	1701	1.273(0.99-		1.20 (0.93-	
	(25.7)	(50.8)	1.62)		1.55)	
Pregnancy wanted				0.239		
No (Ref.)	144	255	1		-	
	(3.1)	(5.6)				
Yes	1360	2841	0.85 (0.65-		-	
	(29.6)	(61.8)	1.11)			
Mode of last				< 0.001		< 0.001
delivery						
Vaginal (Ref.)	1315	2494	1		1	
	(28.6)	(54.2)				
Cesarean section	188	603	0.59 (0.45-		0.44 (0.32-	
	(4.1)	(13.1)	0.78)		0.61) *	
Place of delivery				< 0.001		< 0.001
FBO (Ref.)	103	167	1		1	
	(2.2)	(3.6)				
Public health	1118	1906	0.95 (0.63-		1.06 (0.64-	
facility	(24.3)	(41.4)	1.42)		1.79)	
Private health	257	561	0.74 (0.46-		0.95 (0.50-	
facility	(5.6)	(12.2)	1.19)		1.79)	
NGO	11 (0.2)	5 (0.1)	3.40 (0.39-		30.37 (2.69-	
			29.51)		343.20) *	
Others	3 (0)	20 (0.4)	0.22		0.30 (0.06-	
			(0.041-		1.60)	
			1.13)			
Pregnancy losses				0.569		
None (Ref.)	1319	2694	1		-	
	(28.7)	(58.6)				
$\geq 1$	184	403	0.94-		-	
	(4.0)	(8.8)	(0.74-1.18)			
Time to the				0.006		0.125
health facility						
(minutes)						
≤30 (Ref.)	293	584	1		1	
	(6.4)	(12.7)				

31-60	1124	2236	1.00 (0.83-		1.33 (1.01-	
	(24.4)	(48.6)	1.21)		1.75) *	
≥61	86 (1.9)	277	0.62 (0.46-		1.09 (0.74-	
		(6.0)	0.83) *		1.60)	
Sex of child				0.736		
Female (Ref.)	734	1535	1			
	(16.0)	(33.4)				
Male	769	1562	1.03 (0.89-		-	
	(16.7)	(33.9)	1.22)			

*Note.* —not evaluated in that model, \* = significant at 0.05, **Bold**= significant, CI= confidence
 interval, NGO= nongovernmental organization, ANC= antenatal care, FBO= faith-based
 organizations, AORs=adjusted odds ratios, CORs= crude odd ratios, Ref.=reference category.

253

254

# 255 Discussion

256 The study determined the factors associated with the quality of newborn care in Kenya using the 2022 KDHS. Overall, 32.7% of the mothers reported that their newborns had received all 257 258 components of neonatal care (quality newborn care) after childbirth. These results are lower compared to the overall quality of neonatal care reported in Ethiopia at 66.3% and 38.4% 259 respectively [39, 40]. Among the components of neonatal care, 90.4% of the newborns were 260 delivered by a skilled health provider. This is higher than 86% recorded globally [41], 79.2%, and 261 262 51.8% recorded in Benin and Kembata, Ethiopia, respectively [42, 43]. However, 78.1 % had their 263 newborn's umbilical cord examined by a healthcare worker which is similar to 75.4% and 70.3% recorded in Ethiopia and Senegal respectively [39, 44]. 73.9% of participating mothers were shown 264 how to clean their umbilical cord higher than 66% recorded in Wakiso, Uganda [45]. Additionally, 265 59.8% of the newborns were placed on the mother's breast within 1 hour after birth by a healthcare 266 worker, higher than the global rate of 45% [46], 29.4%, and 47.8% recorded in Senegal and India 267 respectively [44, 47], 268

In this study, 75.8% of mothers were observed while breastfeeding their newborns by health care professionals, while 77.6% received breastfeeding counseling which is higher compared to 31.9% recorded in a study by Hassounah et al. [48]. In this study, 77.6% of mothers were counseled about danger signs associated with newborn illness (77.6%), 88.2% were weighed and 72% had their temperature taken. In sum, although the overall quality of newborn care reported by the mothers

appears low, statistics about specific components of that care paint a seemingly positive picture of a better quality of newborn care in Kenya when compared to previous studies, which can be attributed to several initiatives by the government of Kenya to improve maternal and child health care such as the free maternal and newborn care policy [49, 50].

278 Mothers who lived in Nyanza, Eastern, and Rift Valley provinces compared with those who lived in the coastal regions were less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn 279 care. This study's findings about the 3 counties could be supported by the fact that these are 280 281 underdeveloped counties that were considered disadvantaged regions by Kenya's presidential initiatives for improvement of the quality of maternal and child health services [49-51]. Such areas 282 283 may not have attained quality health care services thus explaining the poor quality of neonatal care received. Such findings are also consistent with a study in Ethiopia that found the marginalization 284 285 (disregard) of neonatal health services in rural and underdeveloped regions reducing the quality of neonatal health services in such areas [52]. Such regions need to be given priority when it comes 286 287 to the distribution of newborn-related health care services by ministries of health in Kenya and other sub-Saharan countries. 288

Mothers who subscribed to the Christian or Muslim faith compared with those from other faiths, 289 290 were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. This may be because the biggest population in Kenya is made up of Christians and Muslims. Studies have 291 observed that religion is a great predictor of neonatal health outcomes [53]. This could be explained 292 293 by the positive impact of some religions as documented by Brelsford et al. [54] where some mothers were more likely to comply with newborn quality care practices as compared to other 294 religions. This finding presents such denominations as a platform for presenting newborn health-295 related information to the populations through sensitization. 296

Furthermore, mothers who gave birth through cesarean section were less likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care when compared with those who gave birth through spontaneous vaginal delivery. This could be because mothers after cesarean section are less physically functioning as compared to those who have a safe vaginal delivery and hence are unable to cooperate with health workers fully in initiating interventions like timely breastfeeding and thermoregulation of neonates compromising the quality of neonatal care [55]. Studies have also associated the ceasarian section with higher incidences of neonatal complications and mortality.

Such babies are prone to adverse conditions like concomitant diarrhea and acute respiratory infections [56, 57]. Therefore, the level of care needed by such babies may not be easily realizable in low-resource settings of Kenya, as compared to those that deliver vaginally. This calls for capacity building of health professionals by government and nongovernment stakeholders in delivering advanced neonatal interventions in facilities authorized to perform cesarian sections.

Mothers who gave birth in a non-government organization health facilities were more likely to 309 report that their newborns had received quality newborn care compared with those who delivered 310 311 from public and faith-based organizations. These results concur with results from other studies that found that public and private health facilities lack essential supplies and equipment needed to 312 313 provide quality newborn care, and lack basic and effective aspects of essential newborn care like skin-to-skin contact between mother and babies as compared to other facilities [58, 59]. Scholars 314 315 have highlighted the important role played by NGOs in providing quality health care and the need for government authorities to seek collaborations with such organizations [60, 61]. NGOs have 316 317 been noted to have continuity of quality care which is affordable as compared to other facilities thereby necessitating authorities to facilitate a good environment for their operations[62]. NGOs 318 319 provide quality health care since they focus their resources on delivering healthcare outcomes unlike governments that may focus on divergent political and social agendas when providing 320 321 healthcare[63]

Mothers who spent an average of one hour accessing the health facilities compared with those who 322 323 spent less than half an hour were more likely to report that their newborns had received quality newborn care. Unlike in this study, increased travel time to the delivery facility has been associated 324 with an increased risk of neonatal mortality and complications [64]. Therefore, we recommend 325 further studies by researchers to explore why mothers nearest the health facilities were more likely 326 327 to report low-quality newborn care compared to those from far away from the health facilities. In 328 sum, this study seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with receiving quality newborn care. 329

## 330 Study Strengths and Limitations

Data from the Kenya Demographic Health Survey 2022 was weighted and is nationally
 representative, therefore our results can be generalizable to all newborns in Kenya. Furthermore,
 DHS is known for high response rates and consistent quality data collection techniques across

states, thus our results are comparable to studies conducted elsewhere of the same design. However, because this study used a cross-sectional design, its conclusions are imprecise in demonstrating causality, and there is a possibility of recall bias from the participants because this study was based on self-reported data. Despite its limitations, this study presents useful information on factors influencing the quality of newborn care in Kenya.

## 339 Conclusions

340 This study revealed that the overall quality of newborn care was way below average, with some vital components of care scoring below the UN projected targets for SDG 3. These results highlight 341 a gap in neonatal health care provision hence the need for responsible stakeholders to take action. 342 343 This study also seems to suggest that facility-related and parental social factors are associated with 344 receiving quality newborn care. The findings therefore show very low quality of neonatal care in some counties of Kenya prompting the government to prioritize universal health coverage hence 345 even distribution of neonatal health services to such marginalized areas to improve accessibility 346 and quality of neonatal health services. Emphasis should also be put on newborns born by the 347 ceasarian section since they are at high risk of receiving low quality newborn care. Therefore, this 348 calls for capacity building of health professionals by government and nongovernment stakeholders 349 in delivering advanced neonatal interventions in facilities authorized to perform cesarian sections. 350 Government authorities and other stakeholders should also target religious denominations as a 351 platform for sensitizing citizens about neonatal health care services. Collaborations between 352 353 government authorities and NGO health facilities or newborn centers of excellence could also facilitate the provision of quality care. 354

#### 355 Abbreviations

KDHS	Kenya Demographic Health Survey
CI	Confidence Interval
AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratio
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
COR	Crude Odds Ratio
DHS	Demographic Health Survey
EA	Enumeration area

OR	Odds Ratio
WHO	World Health Organisation

356

# 357 **DECLARATIONS**

## 358 Acknowledgments

359 We thank the DHS program for making the data available for this investigation.

# **360 Competing interests**

361 All authors of this paper disclose that they have no competing interests.

# 362 **Consent for publication**

- 363 Not applicable.
- 364 Funding
- 365 This study received no funding.

# 366 Ethics approval and consent to participate

MEASURE DHS surveys uphold high universal moral standards, and the study process adheres to relevant regulations. The ICF Institutional Review Board assessed and approved the protocol for the 2022 KDHS survey. Human participants provided written informed permission, as did legally designated representatives of minor participants.

# 371 Availability of data and materials

This data is freely available upon request from the MEASURE DHS website (URL: 372 https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm). However, the researchers in this study 373 have no right to share this data set with the public. Those interested in the data set may obtain it 374 permission from with full the MEASURE DHS (URL: 375 https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm). 376

377

# 378 Authors' contributions

- 379 **Concept and proposal development:** John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine.
- 380 Data analysis: John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish Sserwanja,
- 381 Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda Nmaatovu

# 382 Writing (original draft): John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish

- 383 Sserwanja, Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda
- 384 Nmaatovu
- 385 Writing (review and editing): John Baptist Asiimwe, Lilian Nuwabaine, Joseph Kawuki, Quraish
- 386 Sserwanja, Angella Namulema, Mathius Amperiize, Earnest Amwiine, Shamim Nabidda, Imelda
- 387 Nmaatovu

## 388 **ORCID**

- John Baptist Asiimwe: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0681-9204</u>
- 390 Lilian Nuwabaine: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3255-3876
- 391 Joseph Kawuki: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2440-1111</u>
- 392 Quraish Sserwanja: <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0576-4627</u>

## 393 **References**

Wilson AN, Spotswood N, Hayman G, Vogel J, Narasia J, Elijah A, et al. Improving the quality of
 maternal and newborn care in the Pacific region: a scoping review. The Lancet Regional Health–Western
 Pacific. 2020;3.

Arba A, Zana Z. Knowledge of essential newborn care and associated factors among nurses and
 midwives: a cross-sectional study at public health facilities in Wolaita zone, southern Ethiopia, 2019.
 International journal of pediatrics. 2020;2020.

400 3. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on intrapartum care for a positive childbirth 401 experience: World Health Organization; 2018.

402 4. Berhanu D, Allen E, Beaumont E, Tomlin K, Taddesse N, Dinsa G, et al. Coverage of antenatal, 403 intrapartum, and newborn care in 104 districts of Ethiopia: A before and after study four years after the 404 launch of the national Community-Based Newborn Care programme. PloS one. 2021;16(8):e0251706.

5. McCauley H, Lowe K, Furtado N, Mangiaterra V, van den Broek N. Essential components of postnatal care–a systematic literature review and development of signal functions to guide monitoring and evaluation. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022;22(1):448.

408 6. Maternal W. Child epidemiology estimation group child causes of death 2000–2017. WHO:
409 Geneva, Switzerland. 2018.

Arunda MO. Improving neonatal survival in East Africa Analysis of maternal service utilization,
 effectiveness of care and risk factors for neonatal mortality in Kenya, Uganda, and Tanzania. 2021.

- 412 8. Neonatal mortality [Internet]. UNICEF. 2024 [cited 22nd March, 2024]. Available from:
   413 <u>https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-survival/neonatal-mortality/</u>.
- 414 9. Organization WH. Newborn Mortality. WHO; 2024.

415 10. Kenya National Bereau of Statistics. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2022 [cited 2024 09-

416 01-2024]. Available from: <u>https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/PR143/PR143.pdf</u>.

417 11. Hug L, Sharrow D, Zhong K. United Nations inter-agency group for child mortality estimation.
418 Levels & trends in child mortality. 2018.

Tibaijuka L, Bawakanya SM, Owaraganise A, Kyasimire L, Kumbakumba E, Boatin AA, et al.
Incidence and predictors of preterm neonatal mortality at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital in South
Western Uganda. PloS one. 2021;16(11):e0259310.

422 13. Efa BW, Berhanie E, Desta KW, Hinkosa L, Fetensa G, Etafa W, et al. Essential new-born care
423 practices and associated factors among post natal mothers in Nekemte City, Western Ethiopia. PloS one.
424 2020;15(4):e0231354.

425 14. Asiime JB, Nyegenye W, Muyingo E. Trends and determinants of neonatal mortality in Uganda:
426 analysis of the Uganda demographic and health surveys. African Population Studies. 2020;34(1).

Imbo AE, Mbuthia EK, Ngotho DN. Determinants of Neonatal Mortality in Kenya: Evidence from
the Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Int J MCH AIDS. 2021;10(2):287-95. Epub 2021/12/24.
doi: 10.21106/ijma.508. PubMed PMID: 34938597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8679594.

430 16. Saaka M, Iddrisu M. Patterns and determinants of essential newborn care practices in rural areas
431 of northern Ghana. International Journal of Population Research. 2014;2014.

432 17. Roed MB, Engebretsen IMS, Mangeni R. Neonatal care practices in Buikwe District, Uganda: a
433 qualitative study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2021;21(1):1-10.

43418.Kawaza K, Kinshella M-LW, Hiwa T, Njirammadzi J, Banda M, Vidler M, et al. Assessing quality of435newborn care at district facilities in Malawi. BMC Health Services Research. 2020;20(1):1-11.

436 19. Enos JY, Amoako RD, Enos SK, Hayford B, Tette EM. Perspective Chapter: Challenges to Postnatal
437 Care in Sub-Saharan Africa–A Review. Contemporary Challenges in Postnatal Care. 2024.

Kaur E, Heys M, Crehan C, Fitzgerald F, Chiume M, Chirwa E, et al. Persistent barriers to achieving
quality neonatal care in low-resource settings: perspectives from a unique panel of frontline neonatal
health experts. Journal of Global Health Reports. 2023;7.

Sunkwa-Mills G, Senah K, Tersbøl BP. Infection prevention and control in neonatal units: An
ethnographic study of social and clinical interactions among healthcare providers and mothers in Ghana.
Plos one. 2023;18(7):e0283647.

444 22. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on maternal and newborn care for a positive postnatal
445 experience: World Health Organization; 2022.

Singh DR, Harvey CM, Bohara P, Nath D, Singh S, Szabo S, et al. Factors associated with newborn
care knowledge and practices in the upper Himalayas. PloS one. 2019;14(9):e0222582.

448 24. Horwood C, Haskins L, Luthuli S, McKerrow N. Communication between mothers and health
449 workers is important for quality of newborn care: a qualitative study in neonatal units in district hospitals
450 in South Africa. BMC pediatrics. 2019;19:1-13.

451 25. Sanjel K, Onta SR, Amatya A, Basel P. Patterns and determinants of essential neonatal care 452 utilization among underprivileged ethnic groups in Midwest Nepal: a mixed method study. BMC 453 pregnancy and childbirth. 2019;19(1):1-10.

454 26. Batamuriza M, Uwingabire E, Oluyinka A. Essential newborn care among postnatal mothers at
455 selected health centers in eastern province, Rwanda. Rwanda Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences.
456 2020;3(2):139-51.

457 27. Alamneh Y, Adane F, Yirga T, Desta M. Essential newborn care utilization and associated factors
458 in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2020;20:1-9.

459 28. Gebremedhin T, Atnafu A, Dellie E. Community-based newborn care utilisation and associated
460 factors in Geze Gofa rural district, South Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. BMJ open.
461 2020;10(8):e037792.

462 29. Zhao S, Zhang Y, Xiao AY, He Q, Tang K. Key factors associated with quality of postnatal care: a 463 pooled analysis of 23 countries. EClinicalMedicine. 2023;62.

Bolan N, Cowgill KD, Walker K, Kak L, Shaver T, Moxon S, et al. Human resources for health-related
challenges to ensuring quality newborn care in low-and middle-income countries: a scoping review. Global
Health: Science and Practice. 2021;9(1):160-76.

467 31. Keene CM, Aluvaala J, Murphy GA, Abuya N, Gathara D, English M. Developing recommendations
468 for neonatal inpatient care service categories: reflections from the research, policy and practice interface
469 in Kenya. BMJ global health. 2019;4(2):e001195.

470 32. Saaka M, Iddrisu M. Patterns and determinants of essential newborn care practices in rural areas
471 of northern Ghana. International Journal of Population Research. 2014;2014(1):404387.

472 33. Van den Broek N, Graham W. Quality of care for maternal and newborn health: the neglected
473 agenda. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2009;116:18-21.

47434.Islam MA, Khan MS, Khan AA, Narapureddy BR, Lingala KVR, Nasir N, et al. Newborn Care Practices475and Associated Factors Influencing Their Health in a Northern Rural India. Children. 2023;10(2):408.

476 35. Mahato P, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Angell C. Determinants of quality of care and access to
477 Basic Emergency Obstetric and neonatal care facilities and midwife-led facilities in low and middle-income
478 countries: a systematic review. J Asian Midwives. 2018; 4: 25–61.

479 36. Alemu A, Eshete A. Newborn care practices and associated factors among lactating mothers at
480 home in the rural districts of Gedeo Zone, southern Ethiopia. Pediatric health, medicine and therapeutics.
481 2020:47-54.

482 37. Croft T, Marshall A, Allen C. Guide to DHS statistics. Rockville: ICF; 2018. 2018.

38. Zou D, Lloyd JE, Baumbusch JL. Using SPSS to analyze complex survey data: a primer. Journal of
Modern Applied Statistical Methods. 2020;18(1):16.

485 39. Delele TG, Biks GA, Abebe SM, Kebede ZT. Perceived quality of essential newborn care 486 implementation among health facility deliveries in North Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-487 sectional study. Reproductive Health. 2021;18:1-14.

488 40. Mersha A, Assefa N, Teji K, Shibiru S, Darghawth R, Bante A. Essential newborn care practice and 489 its predictors among mother who delivered within the past six months in Chencha District, Southern 490 Ethiopia, 2017. PloS one. 2018;13(12):e0208984.

491 41. UNICEF. Delivery care. 2024. Epub january, 2024.

42. Talon PY, Saizonou J, Kpozèhouen A, Zannou RF, Ouendo E-M. Trends in the utilisation of skilled
birth attendance among pregnant women in Benin, from 2001 to 2017-2018, and projections to 2030.
BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):874.

43. Mathewos Oridanigo E, Kassa B. Utilization of Skilled Birth Attendance among Mothers Who Gave
Birth in the Last 12 Months in Kembata Tembaro Zone. Advances in Medicine. 2022;2022.

497 44. Peven K, Day LT, Bick D, Purssell E, Taylor C, Akuze J, et al. Household survey measurement of
498 newborn postnatal care: coverage, quality gaps, and internal inconsistencies in responses. Global Health:
499 Science and Practice. 2021;9(4):737-51.

45. Lizinde A, Suubi M. CORD CARE AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG MOTHERS OF BUWAMBO
 VILLAGE, WAKISO DISTRICT. A CROSS-SECTIONAL DESCRIPTIVE STUDY. Student's Journal of Health
 Research Africa. 2023;4(6):12-.

Karim F, Billah SM, Chowdhury MAK, Zaka N, Manu A, Arifeen SE, et al. Initiation of breastfeeding
within one hour of birth and its determinants among normal vaginal deliveries at primary and secondary
health facilities in Bangladesh: A case-observation study. PloS one. 2018;13(8):e0202508.

50647.Pamarthi K, Palli JK. Factors influencing early initiation of breast feeding among mothers in North507Coastal Andhra Pradesh, India. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2019;6:4316-21.

508 48. Hassounah M, Dabbagh R, Younis A. Is the Frequency of Postpartum Breastfeeding Counseling 509 Associated with Exclusive Breastfeeding at Six Months? An Analytical Cross-Sectional Study. Children.

509 Associated with Exclusive Breastreeding at Six Months? An Analytical Cross-Sectional Study. C 510 2023;10(7):1141.

511 49. Kenyatta U. Speech by HE Uhuru Kenyatta, CGH, President and Commander in Chief of the 512 defence forces of the Republic of Kenya on the occassion of the 5th meeting, 2nd Session of the 3rd 513 Assembly, Arusha, Tanzania 25th March 2014. 2014.

50. Gitobu CM, Gichangi PB, Mwanda WO. The effect of Kenya's free maternal health care policy on the utilization of health facility delivery services and maternal and neonatal mortality in public health facilities. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2018;18:1-11.

517 51. Samwel A, Emmanuel BK, Sylvester K. Rural Development Programs in Kenya: Challenges Facing 518 Constituency Development Fund in North Mugirango/Borabu Constituency. Asian Journal of Social 519 Sciences & Humanities Vol. 2015;4(4).

520 52. Yitbarek K, Hurlburt S, Hagen TP, Berhane M, Abraham G, Adamu A, et al. Inequitable Utilization 521 of Neonatal Health Services in Southwest Ethiopia: The Effects of Socioeconomic Disparities. INQUIRY: The 522 Journal of Health Care Organization, Provision, and Financing. 2021;58:00469580211018290.

523 53. Sanjel K, Onta SR, Amatya A, Basel P. Patterns and determinants of essential neonatal care 524 utilization among underprivileged ethnic groups in Midwest Nepal: a mixed method study. BMC 525 pregnancy and childbirth. 2019;19:1-10.

526 54. Brelsford GM, Ramirez J, Veneman K, Doheny KK. Sacred spaces: religious and secular coping and 527 family relationships in the neonatal intensive care unit. Advances in Neonatal Care. 2016;16(4):315-22.

528 55. Reghunath D, Sangeetha C. A Comparative Study To Assess The Quality Of Life Among Mothers 529 After Normal Vaginal Delivery And Caesarean Section At A Selected Hospital, Bangalore. Int J Health Sci 530 Res. 2019;9(8):348-52.

531 56. Gondwe T, Betha K, Kusneniwar G, Bunker CH, Tang G, Simhan H, et al. Adverse infant outcomes 532 associated with caesarean section delivery in India. International Health. 2020;12(5):411-6.

533 57. Martínez-Perez O, Vouga M, Melguizo SC, Acebal LF, Panchaud A, Muñoz-Chápuli M, et al. 534 Association between mode of delivery among pregnant women with COVID-19 and maternal and neonatal 535 outcomes in Spain. Jama. 2020;324(3):296-9.

53658.Kc A, Singh DR, Upadhyaya MK, Budhathoki SS, Gurung A, Målqvist M. Quality of care for maternal537and newborn health in health facilities in Nepal. Maternal and Child Health Journal. 2020;24:31-8.

538 59. Atiqzai F, Manalai P, Amin SS, Edmond KM, Naziri M, Soroush MS, et al. Quality of essential
539 newborn care and neonatal resuscitation at health facilities in Afghanistan: a cross-sectional assessment.
540 BMJ open. 2019;9(8):e030496.

541 60. Sanadgol A, Doshmangir L, Khodayari-Zarnaq R, Sergeevich Gordeev V. Role of non-governmental
542 organizations in moving toward universal health coverage: A case study in Iran. Frontiers in Public Health.
543 2022;10:985079.

544 61. Besançon S, Sidibé A, Sow DS, Sy O, Ambard J, Yudkin JS, et al. The role of non-governmental 545 organizations in strengthening healthcare systems in low-and middle-income countries: Lessons from 546 Santé Diabète in Mali. Global Health Action. 2022;15(1):2061239.

54762.Bhuiyan MI, Haque A. Role of NGOs in providing available and affordable health care services to548the slum people in Dhaka. Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health. 2024;25:101478.

549 63. Sanadgol A, Doshmangir L, Majdzadeh R, Gordeev VS. Engagement of non-governmental 550 organisations in moving towards universal health coverage: a scoping review. Globalization and health. 551 2021;17:1-19.

552 64. Örtqvist AK, Haas J, Ahlberg M, Norman M, Stephansson O. Association between travel time to 553 delivery unit and unplanned out-of-hospital birth, infant morbidity and mortality: a population-based 554 cohort study. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica. 2021;100(8):1478-89.

555