

Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonist Use in Hospital: A Multicentre Observational Study

Prachi Ray, HBSoc. [1,2]
 Jason A. Moggridge, M.Binf [1]
 Alanna Weisman MD, PhD [1,3]
 Mina Tadrous, PharmD, PhD [4,5]
 Daniel J. Drucker, MD [1,2]
 Bruce A. Perkins, MD, PhD [1-3]
 Michael Fralick, MD, PhD [1,2]

[1] Department of Medicine, Sinai Health System, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 [2] Department of Medicine and Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 [3] Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 [4] Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 [5] Women's College Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence: Michael Fralick, MD, PhD, SM, FRCPC
 Sinai Health System
 60 Murray Street
 M5T 3L9
 email: mike.fralick@mail.utoronto.ca

Word count: 2886 Tables: 4 References: 40

Study concept and design: All authors
Acquisition of data: Ray P, Fralick M
Analysis/interpretation of data: All authors
Drafting of the manuscript: Ray P, Fralick M
Critical revision of the manuscript: All authors
Statistical analysis: All authors

Funding Statement: This project was supported by the Eliot Philipson Studentship at Sinai Health System and the PSI Foundation.

Conflicts of Interest:

AW has received consulting fees/honoraria from Diabetes Care Community, MD Briefcase, RTOERO, and CPD Network.

MT has received consultant fees from the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health and Green Shield Canada.

DJD has served as a consultant or speaker within the past 12 months to Altimmune, Amgen, Arrowhead, Boehringer Ingelheim, Kallyope, Merck Research Laboratories, Novo Nordisk Inc., and Pfizer Inc. Neither DJD or his family members hold issued stock directly or indirectly in any of these companies. DJD holds non-exercised options in Kallyope. Mt. Sinai Hospital receives grant support from Amgen, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Zealand Pharma for preclinical studies in the Drucker lab.

BAP has received speaker honoraria from Novo Nordisk, Insulet, Abbott, Medtronic, and Sanofi; has received research grant support from Novo Nordisk and BMO Bank of Montreal; and serves as an advisor to Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Nephris, and Vertex.

MF was a consultant for ProofDx, a start-up company creating a point of care diagnostic test for COVID-19; is an advisor for SIGNAL1, a start-up company deploying machine learned models to improve inpatient care; and is recipient of the PSI Foundation Graham Farquharson Knowledge Translation Fellowship.

Abstract

Introduction: Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RA) are effective medications for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity, yet their uptake among patients most likely to benefit has been slow.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of medication exposure in adults hospitalized at 16 hospitals in Ontario, Canada between 2015 and 2022. We estimated the proportion with T2DM, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. We identified the frequency of GLP-1RA use, and conducted multivariable logistic regression to identify factors associated with their use.

Results: Across 1,278,863 hospitalizations, 396,084 (31%) patients had T2DM and approximately 327,844 (26%) had obesity. GLP-1RA use (n=1,274) was low among those with T2DM (0.3%) and those with obesity (0.7%), despite high prevalence of cardiovascular disease (36%). In contrast, use of diabetes medications lacking cardiovascular benefits was high, with 60% (n=236,612) receiving insulin and 14% (n=54,885) receiving sulfonylureas. Apart from T2DM (OR=29.6, 95% CI 23.5, 37.2), characteristics associated with greater odds of receiving GLP-1RA were age 50-70 years (OR=1.71, 95% CI 1.38, 2.11) compared to age < 50 years, hemoglobin A1C > 9% (OR=1.83, 95% CI 1.36, 2.47) compared to < 6.5%, and highest income quintile (OR=1.73, 95% CI 1.45, 2.07) compared to lowest income quintile.

Conclusion: Knowledge translation interventions are needed to address the low adoption of GLP-1RA among hospitalized patients with T2DM and obesity, who are the most likely to benefit.

Introduction

Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RA) are effective medications for adults with obesity or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).¹⁻⁴ Multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that GLP-1RA reduce the relative risk of cardiovascular events (i.e., myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular death) by approximately 15% in adults with T2DM, and by 20% in adults with cardiovascular disease and obesity but without T2DM.^{3,5} GLP-1RA lead to reductions in body weight of approximately 5-10% in adults with T2DM and approximately 15% in adults without T2DM who are overweight or living with obesity.^{2,6} There is a 24% relative risk reduction of a primary outcome encompassing end-stage renal disease and cardiovascular disease in adults with T2DM treated with GLP-1RA.⁷ GLP-1RA lead to a two-fold reduction in heart-failure symptoms in people with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, for those with either T2DM and obesity, or obesity alone.⁸ Clinical guidelines now recommend GLP-1RA as one of the two recommended second-line treatments for adults with T2DM.⁹ For adults with obesity and obesity-related co-morbidities, GLP-1RA are the first-line pharmacotherapy treatment.¹⁰

Despite guidelines consistently recommending their use, uptake of GLP-1RA among patients most likely to benefit from them remains low. This is concerning given the high prevalence of diabetes and obesity in North America.^{11,12} Systematic reviews have identified common barriers to prescribing newer medications for chronic disease, including both patient and provider-level barriers.^{13,14} Patients may be hesitant because of potential side effects, costs, and route of administration, all of which are directly relevant to GLP-1RA. In Canada, the cost of a one-month supply for adults without medication coverage is approximately \$200 USD; in the United States, it can cost up to \$1000 USD per month.¹⁵ Ideally, preferential use should be

for patients who are most likely to benefit, rather than those most likely to afford the medications. The available clinical trials demonstrate that the patients most likely to benefit from GLP-1RA are older adults with cardiovascular disease and either T2DM or obesity.^{1-3,5,7,8} However, the high out-of-pocket cost of GLP-1RA for adults without insurance has led to their preferential use among individuals with higher socioeconomic status.¹⁶

Hospitalized adults are one of the highest risk groups for subsequent cardiovascular events, because inpatients have a median age of 73 years, have a median of six underlying chronic medical conditions, and the reason for the hospitalization can directly (e.g., hospitalization for myocardial infarction) or indirectly (e.g., influenza, COVID-19) increase a person's risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Starting new medications for chronic diseases in-hospital, including at the time of discharge, leads to better medication adherence compared to deferring the decision to the outpatient setting.^{20,21} Medication adherence is especially important for T2DM and obesity because both are chronic diseases requiring long-term treatment. Therefore, the inpatient setting presents a valuable opportunity to start patients on medications such as GLP-1RA. Our primary objective was to determine the frequency of, and factors associated with, GLP-1RA use among hospitalized adults, who represent a high-risk population poised to benefit from GLP-1RA.

Methods

Data Source

We conducted a cross-sectional analysis to determine the frequency of GLP-1RA use across 16 hospitals in Ontario, Canada, using retrospective data from the General Medicine Inpatient Initiative (GEMINI) cohort. GEMINI represents the largest repository of inpatient data in Canada, and data within GEMINI have an accuracy of > 98%.²² Within GEMINI, patients'

medical records are linked to administrative datasets including Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) and Discharge Abstract Database (DAD). GEMINI includes data on discharge diagnosis, patient demographics (e.g., age, sex, place of residence), laboratory results (e.g., HbA1C), imaging reports, vital status at discharge (e.g., death), medications, and other administrative data (e.g., length of stay). GEMINI also includes a proxy for socioeconomic status (SES), determined through postal code, which can be used to assess neighbourhood-level SES through linkage with Canadian census data (i.e., household income levels). Quintile 1 is the lowest income range while quintile 5 is the highest income range.²³ Data not available within GEMINI include physician-level data (e.g., specialty, gender), nursing notes, or daily charting notes.

Study Population

We identified hospitalizations of patients over 18 years of age who were admitted to general internal medicine between 2015 to 2022 (most recent available data). Of these hospitalizations, we identified those in which a GLP-1RA was administered. We identified hospitalizations with T2DM using International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) diagnosis codes for T2DM in any of the following fields: current or past admission; main responsible diagnosis; pre-admit, secondary, admitting, or transfer diagnosis. The ICD-10 codes for T2DM were recently validated within GEMINI (e.g., PPV and sensitivity > 95%).²⁴ We identified hospitalizations with obesity using previously validated ICD-10 codes which are specific but not sensitive (specificity > 99%, sensitivity = 9%).²⁵

Study Objectives

The primary objective was to identify the frequency of GLP-1RA use in hospital and the factors associated with their use. Given the cardioprotective benefits of GLP-1RA, we also

reported the proportion of hospitalizations with T2DM and the following comorbidities using ICD-10 codes which have been previously validated in administrative databases: coronary artery disease (CAD), stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), heart failure, and renal disease.^{9,26–29} We were unable to estimate the number of hospitalizations with obesity and cardiovascular disease because of the low sensitivity of ICD-10 codes for obesity. Our study received Research Ethics Board approval from the participating hospitals.

Sensitivity Analysis

We conducted a sensitivity analysis of GLP-1RA use in the last complete calendar year of our study (i.e., January 1, 2021 - January 1, 2022). This was done to assess the robustness of our study findings, because there may be time-varying factors (e.g., availability of GLP-1RA in hospital) contributing to GLP-1RA use between the 2015-2022 study period which were not accounted for in our primary analysis. We identified a cohort of all patients with T2DM who were admitted to the hospital in 2021 and reported the percentage use of diabetes medications among this cohort. Among those who did not receive GLP-1RA, we identified the number of times they were hospitalized in the preceding six years and reported the mean count of hospitalizations.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to compare the patient-level characteristics of hospitalizations in which a GLP-1RA was administered in hospital compared with hospitalizations who did not, and we reported the standardized mean difference (SMD) between the two groups. The accepted cut-off of an SMD is 0.10; if the SMD is above 0.10, the two

groups are considered imbalanced.³⁰ We identified hospitalizations with T2DM and obesity using ICD-10 codes. Given the low sensitivity of ICD-10 codes for obesity (i.e., 9%), we multiplied the number of hospitalizations with an ICD-10 code for obesity by 100%/9% to estimate the total number of patients with obesity within GEMINI.

To identify factors associated with GLP-1RA use, we built a multivariable logistic regression model. The model included the following variables, which were selected based on content expertise and prior literature: demographics (i.e., age, sex, postal code [as a proxy for SES]), comorbidities (i.e., T2DM, obesity, cardiovascular disease, dementia, hypertension, dyslipidemia, renal disease), and results from inpatient laboratory tests (i.e., HbA1C, creatinine).⁷ We also included the number of years since 2015, and each individual hospital (anonymized through identification numbers) as variables in this model.¹³ Odds ratio estimates and 95% Wald confidence intervals were reported. All statistical analyses were performed using the R Statistical Software v4.0.5 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Study Population

We identified a total of 1,278,863 hospitalizations between 2015 and 2022. The median age was 70 years (IQR 56.0-82.0), 47% were female, and the three most common discharge diagnoses were heart failure, pneumonia, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease/asthma (Table 1). Overall, 40% of hospitalizations had hypertension, 22% had CAD, 8% had dyslipidemia, 10% had heart failure, and 13% had renal disease. Median HbA1c was 6.1% (IQR 5.5-7.4) and median creatinine was 87 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (IQR 68.0-123.0) at baseline for these hospitalizations.

We identified 1274 (0.1%) hospitalizations in which a GLP-1RA was administered.

Patients who received a GLP-1RA were younger (66 years vs 70 years), more likely to be male (58%), and had a higher prevalence of T2DM (94% vs 31%) or obesity (16% vs 2%) compared to those who did not receive a GLP-1RA (Table 1). Patients who received a GLP-1RA had a higher prevalence of hypertension (56% vs 40%), CAD (37% vs 22%), and dyslipidemia (16% vs 8%) compared to patients who did not receive a GLP-1RA.

Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

One-third of all hospitalizations involved patients with T2DM (n=396,084). Of these, 1,191 hospitalizations (0.3%) included administration of a GLP-1RA. In contrast, metformin was administered in 36% (n=143,914) of the hospitalizations, insulin in 60% (n=236,612), sulfonylurea in 14% (n=54,885), dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor (DPP-4i) in 26% (n=101,890), and sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) in 5% (n=21,304). Among hospitalizations with T2DM, 31% (n=123,708) had CAD, 6% (n=24,003) had stroke or TIA, 3% (n=10,063) had PVD, 17% (n=66,366) had heart failure, and 22% (n=88,494) had renal disease (Table 2). Approximately 36% (n=143,547) of patients with T2DM had cardiovascular disease (i.e., CAD, stroke or TIA, or PVD).

Obesity

Overall, 2% (n=29,506) of all hospitalizations had an ICD-10 diagnosis code for obesity. Weight data were available for 4% (n=51,154) of patients. The median weight for patients on GLP-1RA was 87 kg (IQR 80-104) and the median weight for patients not on GLP-1RA was 73 kg (IQR 61-88). Because the ICD-10 codes for obesity have a sensitivity of 9%, we estimated that the true prevalence of obesity was 327,844 (i.e., 29,506 x 100%/9%), corresponding to

approximately 26% of patients. We did not report comorbidities among patients with obesity because the number of hospitalizations in our data with an ICD-10 code for obesity was low. Our point prevalence study of 35 patients on the general inpatient wards at Mount Sinai Hospital identified that 26% (n=9) of patients were obese (BMI ≥ 30).

Multivariable Logistic Regression Model

In our multivariable logistic regression model, the factor most strongly associated with receiving a GLP-1RA was T2DM (Odds Ratio (OR)=29.6, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 23.5, 37.2) (Table 3). The following factors were also associated with higher odds of receiving GLP-1RA: obesity (OR=2.4, 95% CI 2.04, 2.83), age 50-70 years (OR=1.71, 95% CI 1.38, 2.11) compared with age < 50 years, and dyslipidemia (OR=1.28, 95% CI 1.07, 1.54). Those with HbA1c between 6.5-9% (OR=1.67, 95% CI 1.28, 2.18) and HbA1c > 9% (OR=1.83, 95% CI 1.36, 2.47) were more likely to be prescribed GLP-1RA, compared with individuals with HbA1c < 6.5%. Creatinine levels between 100-200 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (OR=1.18, 95% CI 1.04, 1.35) were associated with increased odds of receiving GLP-1RA compared to creatinine levels < 100 $\mu\text{mol/L}$.

GLP-1RA use in hospital was also increasingly likely each year since 2015 (OR=1.6, 95% CI 1.54, 1.67), and patients had a higher odds of receiving GLP-1RA if they were admitted to certain institutions: hospital “B” (OR=3.05, 95% CI 2.45, 3.79), hospital “I” (OR=5.67, 95% CI 4.63, 6.94), hospital “L” (OR=4.91, 95% CI 3.8, 6.35), and hospital “N” (OR=3.76, 95% CI 2.84, 5). Patients belonging to a higher income quintile had higher odds of receiving GLP-1RA compared to patients in the lowest income quintile: quintile 3 (OR=1.24, 95% CI 1.05, 1.47), quintile 4 (OR=1.3, 95% CI 1.1, 1.54), and quintile 5 (OR=1.73, 95% CI 1.45, 2.07). Variables associated with lower odds of receiving GLP-1RA included older age (i.e., ≥ 91 years of age)

(OR=0.24, 95% CI 0.13, 0.44) compared to younger age (i.e., < 50 years), dementia (OR=0.65, 95% CI 0.53, 0.79), renal disease (OR=0.85, 95% CI 0.72, 1), stroke or TIA (OR=0.60, 95% CI 0.45, 0.81), and creatinine greater than 200 µmol/L (OR=0.66, 95% CI 0.54, 0.81) compared to creatinine levels below 100 µmol/L.

Sensitivity Analysis

Between January 1, 2021 to January 1, 2022, there were 38,040 patients with T2DM (Table 4). Among these 38,040 patients, use of GLP-1RA was 1% (n=407). In comparison, 62% (n=23,529) of patients received insulin and 13% (n=4,834) received a sulfonylurea. Among the 99% (n=37,633) of patients with T2DM who did not receive GLP-1RA, they had a mean number of three hospitalizations since 2015. Of these 37,633 patients, 24,197 had at least two hospitalizations during the previous six years, and 15,802 had at least three admissions since 2015.

Discussion

In our multicentre retrospective study of over 1.2 million hospitalizations, use of GLP-1RA was rare (n=1,274) despite T2DM (n=396,084) and obesity (n=327,844) being common. Fewer than 0.5% of patients with T2DM or obesity received a GLP-1RA. Data from our study demonstrate a distinct gap between clinical evidence and clinical practice. GLP-1RA are a preferred second-line medication for T2DM, but we found that use of sulfonylureas was 43-fold higher and use of insulin was 185-fold higher than GLP-1RA use. Sulfonylureas and insulin have no cardiovascular benefits, and cause weight gain and hypoglycemia.³¹ While SGLT2i have established cardiovascular benefits for adults with T2DM, use of SGLT2i was also low in our study. Starting GLP-1RA while a patient is acutely unwell may be challenging, but this does not

preclude its use before discharge when the patient has recovered, especially if the reason for the hospitalization is due to worsening glycemic control.³² Starting medications in hospital or prescribing them at discharge instead of deferring the decision to the outpatient setting leads to improved medication adherence and better management of chronic conditions.^{20,21}

The 99% of patients with T2DM who were not on GLP-1RA in 2021 had an average of three hospitalizations in the past six years, indicating that despite multiple interactions with the healthcare system, they did not receive a GLP-1RA. While T2DM was the strongest factor associated with GLP-1RA use, the specific hospital to which a patient was admitted was strongly associated with both higher and lower odds of a patient receiving GLP-1RA. This finding is concerning, as care should ideally be consistent across hospitals, and patient-level characteristics should be the strongest factors associated with GLP-1RA use. Our study showed that comorbidities such as heart failure were not associated with GLP-1RA use in hospital, and stroke was paradoxically associated with lower odds of receiving GLP-1RA.

Explanations for the low use of GLP-1RA are likely multifactorial and related to clinician-level, patient-level, and system-level factors. Prescribing inertia is a common reason for slow uptake of new medications for chronic disease.^{33–35} Cost is also a likely factor limiting the broad uptake of GLP-1RA. In our study, patients living in areas of higher SES were more likely to receive a GLP-1RA compared to those living in lower SES areas, a finding consistent with studies of GLP-1RA use in the United States.^{16,36} In 2019, semaglutide was included in Ontario's public coverage for T2DM treatment.³⁷ For patients between the ages of 25–64 years who are not covered by the Ontario Drug Benefit program and do not have private insurance plans, paying out of pocket for GLP-1RA is a clear potential barrier.³⁸ System-level factors, such as delays in adding GLP-1RA to the hospital formulary, may also have contributed to slow GLP-1RA uptake;

each hospital in Ontario has its own decision-making process when adding medications to the hospital formulary.³⁹

Limitations

The GEMINI database lacks data on whether patients had private insurance. However, because the average age of patients in our study was 70 years, and adults over 65 years in Ontario have medication coverage through the provincial government, cost alone is unlikely to explain the low uptake we observed. Our classification of obesity was limited due to the low sensitivity (9%) of ICD-10 codes and the lack of data on BMI in GEMINI. To address these limitations, in addition to ICD-10 codes, we conducted a point prevalence study. This combined approach yielded prevalence estimates for obesity that were consistent with provincial averages for Ontario. We lacked data on when GLP-1RA were added to the hospital formulary at each hospital, affecting our interpretation of the factors that drove low use of GLP-1RA. However, GLP-1RA use in 2021 was low overall, which suggests against hospital-level formulary coverage being the primary reason for low use, since most hospitals in Ontario had added GLP-1RA to their formulary by 2021. We did not exclude individuals with contraindications for GLP-1RA, which include a history of medullary thyroid carcinoma (prevalence: 1 in 150,000 in Canada) or multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome type 2 (prevalence: 1 in 30,000 - 50,000 in North America).⁴⁰ Given their low prevalence, these contraindications are unlikely to significantly contribute to the low use of GLP-1RA. We did not report on GLP-1RA side effects, which include nausea and vomiting, and it is possible patients were not prescribed a GLP-1RA in hospital because they were acutely unwell. However, that does not preclude its use before discharge when the patient has recovered.

Conclusion

Clinical guidelines recommend GLP-1RA as a second-line agent for people with T2DM and a first-line agent for people with obesity, but their use among patients who stand to benefit is low. Among over 1.2 million hospitalized adults in Ontario between 2015 and 2022, the prevalence of T2DM and obesity were high, and over 140,000 patients had both T2DM and cardiovascular disease. Hospitalized adults are at high risk for subsequent cardiovascular events, making the inpatient setting an important opportunity to optimize treatment for T2DM and obesity. Our study demonstrates the gap between clinical evidence and clinical practice, and lays the foundation for knowledge translation interventions—including those implemented for hospitalized patients—to improve the uptake of GLP-1RA among those who stand to benefit most.

References

1. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(19):1834-1844.
2. Srivastava G, Kumar RB. Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with Overweight or Obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(1):e4.
3. Lincoff AM, Brown-Frandsen K, Colhoun HM, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Obesity without Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. Published online November 11, 2023. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2307563
4. Fralick M, Colacci M, Odutayo A, Siemieniuk R, Glynn RJ. Lowering of hemoglobin A1C and risk of cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality, a meta-regression analysis. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2020;34(11):107704.
5. Giugliano D, Scappaticcio L, Longo M, et al. GLP-1 receptor agonists and cardiorenal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an updated meta-analysis of eight CVOTs. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2021;20(1):189.
6. Wadden TA, Bailey TS, Billings LK, et al. Effect of Subcutaneous Semaglutide vs Placebo as an Adjunct to Intensive Behavioral Therapy on Body Weight in Adults With Overweight or Obesity: The STEP 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2021;325(14):1403-1413.
7. Perkovic V, Tuttle KR, Rossing P, et al. Effects of semaglutide on chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. Published online May 24, 2024. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2403347
8. Butler J, Shah SJ, Petrie MC, et al. Semaglutide versus placebo in people with obesity-related heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a pooled analysis of the STEP-HFpEF and STEP-HFpEF DM randomised trials. *Lancet*. Published online April 7, 2024. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00469-0
9. Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee, Lipscombe L, Butalia S, et al. Pharmacologic Glycemic Management of Type 2 Diabetes in Adults: 2020 Update. *Can J Diabetes*. 2020;44(7):575-591.
10. Canadian Adult Obesity Clinical Practice Guidelines. Published online August 4, 2020. https://obesitycanada.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/CPG-QuickGuide_FINAL.pdf
11. Overweight and obese adults, 2018. Accessed December 12, 2023. <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-625-x/2019001/article/00005-eng.htm>
12. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2022;183:109119.
13. Lubl6y  . Factors affecting the uptake of new medicines: a systematic literature review.

- BMC Health Serv Res.* 2014;14:469.
14. Medlinskiene K, Tomlinson J, Marques I, Richardson S, Stirling K, Petty D. Barriers and facilitators to the uptake of new medicines into clinical practice: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21(1):1198.
 15. Insights. PLT. Clinical Resource, Drugs for Type 2 Diabetes. Therapeutic Research Center.
 16. Eberly LA, Yang L, Essien UR, et al. Racial, Ethnic, and Socioeconomic Inequities in Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonist Use Among Patients With Diabetes in the US. *JAMA Health Forum.* 2021;2(12):e214182.
 17. Verma AA, Guo Y, Kwan JL, et al. Characteristics of short general internal medicine hospital stays: a multicentre cross-sectional study. *CMAJ Open.* 2019;7(1):E47-E54.
 18. Quinn KL, Stall NM, Yao Z, et al. The risk of death within 5 years of first hospital admission in older adults. *CMAJ.* 2019;191(50):E1369-E1377.
 19. Verma AA, Guo Y, Kwan JL, et al. Patient characteristics, resource use and outcomes associated with general internal medicine hospital care: the General Medicine Inpatient Initiative (GEMINI) retrospective cohort study. *CMAJ Open.* 2017;5(4):E842-E849.
 20. Brown MT, Bussell JK. Medication adherence: WHO cares? *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2011;86(4):304-314.
 21. Atzema CL, Jackevicius CA, Chong A, et al. Prescribing of oral anticoagulants in the emergency department and subsequent long-term use by older adults with atrial fibrillation. *CMAJ.* 2019;191(49):E1345-E1354.
 22. Verma AA, Pasricha SV, Jung HY, et al. Assessing the quality of clinical and administrative data extracted from hospitals: the General Medicine Inpatient Initiative (GEMINI) experience. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2021;28(3):578-587.
 23. Classification of Income Quintiles. Statistics Canada. Published May 9, 2022. <https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p3VD.pl?Function=getVD&TVD=433496>
 24. Manzoor S, Colacci M, Moggridge J, et al. EMERGE: Evaluating the value of Measuring Random Plasma Glucose Values for Managing Hyperglycemia in the Inpatient Setting. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2023;38(9):2107-2112.
 25. Clemens KK, Reid JN, Shariff SZ, Welk B. Validity of Hospital Codes for Obesity in Ontario, Canada. *Can J Diabetes.* 2021;45(3):243-248.e4.
 26. Nedkoff L, Knuiman M, Hung J, Sanfilippo FM, Katzenellenbogen JM, Briffa TG. Concordance between administrative health data and medical records for diabetes status in coronary heart disease patients: a retrospective linked data study. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2013;13:121.

27. Hsieh MT, Hsieh CY, Tsai TT, Wang YC, Sung SF. Performance of ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Codes for Identifying Acute Ischemic Stroke in a National Health Insurance Claims Database. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2020;12:1007-1013.
28. Hong Y, Sebastianski M, Makowsky M, Tsuyuki R, McMurtry MS. Administrative data are not sensitive for the detection of peripheral artery disease in the community. *Vasc Med*. 2016;21(4):331-336.
29. McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of heart failure diagnoses in administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):e104519.
30. Nguyen TL, Collins GS, Spence J, et al. Double-adjustment in propensity score matching analysis: choosing a threshold for considering residual imbalance. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2017;17(1):78.
31. Umpierrez GE, Hellman R, Korytkowski MT, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients in non-critical care setting: an endocrine society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97(1):16-38.
32. Birtwhistle R, Green ME, Frymire E, et al. Hospital admission rates and emergency department use in relation to glycated hemoglobin in people with diabetes mellitus: a linkage study using electronic medical record and administrative data in Ontario. *Canadian Medical Association Open Access Journal*. 2017;5(3):E557-E564.
33. Almigbal TH, Alzarrah SA, Aljanoubi FA, et al. Clinical Inertia in the Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review. *Medicina*. 2023;59(1). doi:10.3390/medicina59010182
34. Rodriguez P, San Martin VT, Pantalone KM. Therapeutic Inertia in the Management of Type 2 Diabetes: A Narrative Review. *Diabetes Ther*. 2024;15(3):567-583.
35. Harris SB, Ekoé JM, Zdanowicz Y, Webster-Bogaert S. Glycemic control and morbidity in the Canadian primary care setting (results of the diabetes in Canada evaluation study). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2005;70(1):90-97.
36. Elhussein A, Anderson A, Bancks MP, et al. Racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities in the use of newer diabetes medications in the Look AHEAD study. *Lancet Reg Health Am*. 2022;6. doi:10.1016/j.lana.2021.100111
37. OZEMPIC® is now listed on the Ontario provincial formulary for adults living with type 2 diabetes. *Novo Nordisk Canada Inc*. September 30, 2019.
38. Rose TN, Jacobs ML, Reid DJ, et al. Real-world impact on monthly glucose-lowering medication cost, HbA1c, weight, and polytherapy after initiating a GLP-1 receptor agonist. *J Am Pharm Assoc*. 2020;60(1):31-38.e1.
39. Burke N, Bowen JM, Troyan S, et al. Management of Hospital Formularies in Ontario:

Challenges within a Local Health Integration Network. *Can J Hosp Pharm*. 2016;69(3):187-193.

40. Yasir M, Mulji NJ, Kasi A. *Multiple Endocrine Neoplasias Type 2*. StatPearls Publishing; 2023.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics associated with hospitalizations

	GEMINI 2015-2022	Receiving GLP-1RA	Not Receiving GLP-1RA	SMD*
Sample size				
N (hospitalizations)	1,278,863	1,274	1,277,589	
Age				
Years [IQR]	70.0 [56.0, 82.0]	66.0 [59.0, 73.8]	70.0 [56.0, 82.0]	0.127*
< 40	120,751 (9.4)	38 (3.0)	120,713 (9.4)	0.624
40–60	280,538 (21.9)	357 (28.0)	280,181 (21.9)	
61–80	525,034 (41.1)	769 (60.4)	524,265 (41.0)	
81–100	350,526 (27.4)	110 (8.6)	350,416 (27.4)	
> 100	2,012 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	2,012 (0.2)	
Sex				
Male	680,343 (53.2)	739 (58.0)	679,604 (53.2)	0.105*
Female	598,480 (46.8)	534 (41.9)	597,946 (46.8)	
Income				
1 st (lowest)	297,441 (23.3)	313 (24.6)	297,128 (23.3)	0.076
2 nd	233,700 (18.3)	210 (16.5)	233,490 (18.3)	
3 rd	254,690 (19.9)	256 (20.1)	254,434 (19.9)	
4 th	243,272 (19.0)	251 (19.7)	243,021 (19.0)	
5 th (highest)	225,132 (17.6)	228 (17.9)	224,904 (17.6)	
Missing	24,628 (1.9)	16 (1.3)	24,612 (1.9)	
Chronic Disease				
T1DM	19,619 (1.5)	29 (2.3)	19,590 (1.5)	0.054
T2DM	396,084 (31.0)	1,191 (93.5)	394,893 (30.9)	1.689*
Diabetes mellitus, other	4,235 (0.3)	9 (0.7)	4,226 (0.3)	0.052
Gestational Diabetes	440 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	440 (0.0)	0.026
Obesity	29,506 (2.3)	201 (15.8)	29,305 (2.3)	0.484*
Hypertension	516,457 (40.4)	718 (56.4)	515,739 (40.4)	0.324*
Coronary Artery Disease	283,229 (22.1)	473 (37.1)	282,756 (22.1)	0.333*
Peripheral Vascular Disease	30,863 (2.4)	34 (2.7)	30,829 (2.4)	0.016
Retinopathy	16,390 (1.3)	21 (1.6)	16,369 (1.3)	0.031

Neuropathy	26,582 (2.1)	60 (4.7)	26,522 (2.1)	0.146*
Diabetic Foot Infection	219,912 (17.2)	690 (54.2)	219,222 (17.2)	0.837*
Dyslipidemia	101,587 (7.9)	206 (16.2)	101,381 (7.9)	0.255*
COPD/Asthma	149,200 (11.7)	145 (11.4)	149,055 (11.7)	0.009
Dementia	201,924 (15.8)	120 (9.4)	201,804 (15.8)	0.193*
Liver Disease	32,608 (2.5)	51 (4.0)	32,557 (2.5)	0.082
Renal Disease	169,338 (13.2)	245 (19.2)	169,093 (13.2)	0.163*
Stroke or TIA	52,951 (4.1)	47 (3.7)	52,904 (4.1)	0.023
Heart Failure	125,023 (9.8)	211 (16.6)	124,812 (9.8)	0.202*
Most responsible diagnosis for the hospitalization				
Heart Failure	63,007 (4.9)	74 (5.8)	62,933 (4.9)	0.039
Pneumonia	43,805 (3.4)	42 (3.3)	43,763 (3.4)	0.007
Dementia	28,126 (2.2)	11 (0.9)	28,115 (2.2)	0.109*
COPD/Asthma	46,733 (3.7)	26 (2.0)	46,707 (3.7)	0.097
UTI	32,779 (2.6)	42 (3.3)	32,737 (2.6)	0.044
In-hospital medications				
Metformin	143,914 (11.3)	676 (53.1)	143,238 (11.2)	1.002*
DPP4i	101,890 (8.0)	172 (13.5)	101,718 (8.0)	0.180*
Sulfonylurea	54,885 (4.3)	220 (17.3)	54,665 (4.3)	0.428*
Insulin	236,612 (18.5)	1,041 (81.7)	235,571 (18.4)	1.634*
SGLT2i	21,304 (1.7)	292 (22.9)	21,012 (1.6)	0.685*
Statin	505,957 (39.6)	1,033 (81.1)	504,924 (39.5)	0.938*
In-hospital GLP-1RA				
Semaglutide	910 (0.1)	910 (71.4)	0 (0.0)	2.236*
Liraglutide	307 (0.0)	307 (24.1)	0 (0.0)	0.797*
Lixisenatide	19 (0.0)	19 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	0.174*
Dulaglutide	36 (0.0)	36 (2.8)	0 (0.0)	0.241*
Insulin degludec + liraglutide	< 7 (0.0)	< 7 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0.079
Insulin glargine + lixisenatide	20 (0.0)	20 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	0.179*
Baseline labs (% of patients missing lab value)				
Creatinine (μmol/L)	87.0 [68.0, 123.0] (12.3%)	97.0 [73.0, 149.0] (1.02%)	87.0 [68.0, 123.0] (12.3%)	0.088
Glucose (mmol/L)	6.8 [5.7, 8.9] (16.6%)	9.6 [7.2, 13.5] (6.28%)	6.8 [5.7, 8.9] (16.6%)	0.504*
HbA1c	6.1 [5.5, 7.4]	7.6 [6.5, 9.1]	6.1 [5.5, 7.4]	0.458*

	(82.7%)	(68.0%)	(82.7%)	
Hemoglobin (g/L)	124.0 [107.0, 139.0] (12.2%)	127.0 [110.0, 142.0] (0.8%)	124.0 [107.0, 139.0] (12.2%)	0.117*
Vitals (% of patients missing value)				
Weight (kg)	73.0 [60.5, 88.0] (96.1%)	86.9 [80.0, 104.4] (98%)	73.0 [60.5, 88.0] (96.1%)	0.628*
Note: values < 7 are suppressed				

Legend: GEMINI=General Medicine Inpatient Initiative, SMD=standardized mean difference, T1DM=type 1 diabetes mellitus, T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, TIA=transient ischemic attack, UTI=urinary tract infection, DPP4i=dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor, GLP-1RA=glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist, SGLT2i=sodium glucose transporter 2 inhibitor, HbA1c=hemoglobin A1C

SMD: Standardized mean difference comparing patients in the “Receiving GLP-1RA” and “Not receiving GLP-1RA” groups. *Variables with a SMD > 0.1 indicate unbalanced baseline characteristic between the two groups.

Categorical variables are expressed as counts and proportion N (%). Age (years) expressed as median [IQR]. Baseline labs are expressed as median [IQR] (% missing). Lab summary values are given as mean ± standard deviation (% missing). Vitals are expressed as median [IQR] (% missing).

Table 2. Number of patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus who would benefit from GLP-1RA.

History of Condition	N encounters (%) in Hospitalizations with T2DM
Coronary Artery Disease (CAD)	123,708 (31.2%)
Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA)	24,003 (6.1%)
Peripheral Vascular Disease (PVD)	10,063 (2.5%)
Heart Failure	66,366 (16.8%)
Renal Disease	88,494 (22.3%)
CAD, Stroke, or TIA	138,689 (35.0%)
CAD, Stroke, TIA, or PVD	143,547 (36.2%)
CAD, Stroke, TIA, PVD, or heart failure	170,419 (43.0%)
CAD, Stroke, TIA, PVD, heart failure, or renal disease	201,586 (50.9%)

Legend: This represents the number of hospitalizations associated with the above conditions. There were a total of 396,084 hospitalizations with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

Table 3. Multivariable logistic regression model identifying factors associated with GLP-1RA use in hospital.

Variable	Odds Ratio for GLP-1RA	95% CI*
Age (Ref < 50)		
50-70	1.71	1.38, 2.11*
71-90	0.81	0.65, 1.02
≥ 91	0.24	0.13, 0.44*
Sex (Male)	0.94	0.84, 1.06
Institutes (Ref. Hospital A)		
Hospital B	3.05	2.45, 3.79*
Hospital C	1.42	1.08, 1.86*
Hospital D	0.15	0.05, 0.39*
Hospital E	3.61e-7	0, Inf
Hospital F	0.24	0.11, 0.53*
Hospital G	2.87e-7	0, Inf
Hospital H	0.05	0.02, 0.13*
Hospital I	5.67	4.63, 6.94*
Hospital J	0.19	0.13, 0.27*
Hospital K	0.02	0.00, 0.14*
Hospital L	4.91	3.80, 6.35*
Hospital M	0.53	0.36, 0.80*
Hospital N	3.76	2.84, 5.00*
Hospital O	0.04	0.01, 0.09*
Hospital P	0.17	0.10, 0.30*
Number of Years Since 2015	1.60	1.54, 1.67*
Income Quintile (Ref. Quintile 1)		
Quintile 2	1.04	0.87, 1.24
Quintile 3	1.24	1.05, 1.47*
Quintile 4	1.30	1.10, 1.54*
Quintile 5 (highest)	1.73	1.45, 2.07*

Quintile Missing	0.99	0.59, 1.64
Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus	29.60	23.5, 37.2*
Obesity	2.40	2.04, 2.83*
Dementia	0.65	0.53, 0.79*
Coronary Artery Disease (CAD)	1.14	1.00, 1.29
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0.97	0.68, 1.37
Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack (TIA)	0.60	0.45, 0.81*
Heart Failure	1.08	0.91, 1.29
Hypertension	0.98	0.86, 1.11
Dyslipidemia	1.28	1.07, 1.54*
Renal Disease	0.85	0.72, 1.00
Hemoglobin A1C** (Ref. Normal)		
Moderate	1.67	1.28, 2.18*
Severe	1.83	1.36, 2.47*
Missing	1.20	0.94, 1.52
Creatinine*** (μmol/L) (Ref. Normal)		
Moderate	1.18	1.04, 1.35*
Severe	0.66	0.54, 0.81*
Missing	0.08	0.04, 0.14*

* Confidence intervals that do not cross 1

**For hemoglobin A1C, values were categorized as normal (< 6.5%), moderate (6.5-9%), severe (> 9%), or missing.

***For creatinine (μmol/L), values were categorized as normal (< 100), moderate (100-200), severe (> 200), or missing.

Table 4. Number of patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus between January 1, 2021 to January 1, 2022.

	Hospitalizations Jan 1, 2021 - Jan 1, 2022 with T2DM	Number of unique patients Jan 1 2021 - Jan 1, 2022 with T2DM
Sample size	54,647	38,040
In-hospital medications		
Metformin	20,485 (37.5%)	16,463 (43.3%)
DPP4i	16,627 (30.4%)	12,487 (32.8%)
Sulfonylurea	5,889 (10.8%)	4,834 (12.7%)
Insulin	31,181 (57.1%)	23,529 (61.9%)
SGLT2i	6,159 (11.3%)	5,218 (13.7%)
Statin	34,794 (63.7%)	25,590 (67.3%)
GLP-1RA	480 (0.9%)	407 (1.1%)

Legend: T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus, DPP4i=dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor, GLP-1RA=glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist, SGLT2i=sodium glucose transporter 2 inhibitor. Any hospitalization without a valid patient ID was excluded (n = 603).