TITLE PAGE: 1

2	
3	Type of the Study: Original Research Article
4	
5	Title: Association of quantified cardiovascular health with all-cause mortality in prediabetic
6	patients
7	
8	Short Runnine Title: Association of LE-8 with mortality in prediabetic patients
9	
10	Authors:
11	Aomiao Chen, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,2,4†}
12	Qiuyu He, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,2,4†}
13	Yichuan Wu, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,3,4†}
14	Jiaqi Chen, MD ^{1,2}
15	Xiaoqin Ma, MD ^{1,2}
16	Lingyuan Hu, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,2,4}
17	Geningyue Wang, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,3,4}
18	Zhuotong Wang, UNDERGRADUATE ^{1,2,4}
19	Zongji Zheng, MD, Attending Physician ^{1,2,4} *
20	Yijie Jia, MD, Attending Physician ^{1,2,4} *
21	
22	Affiliations:
23	1: Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Nanfang Hospital.Southern Medical University,
24	Guangzhou, China
25	2: The First School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China
26	3: School of Stomatology, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China
27	4: De Feng Academy, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China
28	†: These authors contributed equally to this work
29	*: Corresponding Author
30	
31	Correspondence:
32	Yijie Jia
33	Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,
34	Guangzhou 510515, China. Tel: (86) 020-61641635, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4338-8397, E-mail:
35	yijie0207@126.com
36	
37	Zongji Zheng
38	Department of Endocrinology & Metabolism, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University,
39	Guangzhou 510515, China. Tel.: (86) 020-61641635, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0434-0152, E-mail:
40	zhengzongji2014@163.com
41	
42	Email:
43 NO	Aomiao Chen: 2066181700@gg.com TE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

44	Qiuyu He: 1311673203@qq.com
45	Yichuan Wu: wuyichuan402@126.com
46	Jiaqi Chen: m15626492040@163.com
47	Xiaoqin Ma: mxqmxqcq@163.com
48	Lingyuan Hu: 1951473860@qq.com
49	Geningyue Wang: 2605436175@qq.com
50	Zhuotong Wang: 1712880074@qq.com
51	Yijie Jia: yijie0207@126.com
52	Zongji Zheng: zhengzongji2014@163.com
53	
54	Number of words: 3457
55	Number of references: 44
56	Number of tables: 2
57	Number of figures: 3
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	

70	
71	
72	Association of quantified cardiovascular health with
73	all-cause mortality in prediabetic patients
74	
75	Abstract
76	Aim: We aimed to explore the association between all-cause mortality and
77	cardiovascular health (CVH) lifestyle interventions (as accurately quantified by Life's
78	essential 8) in prediabetic patients and to observe the dose-response relationship of the
79	potential association.
80	Methods and Participants: The retrospective study included 5344 participants with
81	prediabetes (age: 52.9 \pm 15.8 years; (51.6% of men)). The Life's essential 8 (LE8)
82	score includes four health indicators and four health behaviors. We calculated Cox
83	proportional hazard ratios (HRS) for all-cause mortality in subgroups of high CVH
84	(\geq 80), low CVH (\leq 50), and moderate CVH (50-79) based on the CVH quantification
85	score of LE8, and explored the dose-response relationship of potential associations.
86	We also performed separate analyses of the associations of all-cause mortality with

Results: In the median follow-up period of 8.33 years, 658 deaths occurred. Compared with participants with high CVH, the covariate-adjusted HR(95%CI) for participants with moderate and low CVH were 2.55(1.23-5.31) and 3.92 (1.70-9.02), respectively. There was a dose-response relationship between the improvement of

each LE8 components and CVH health behaviors and indicators.

87

92	CVH status and the reduction of all-cause mortality (P-overall < 0.0001, P-nonliner =
93	0.7989). The improvement of CVH health behaviors has a more significant protective
94	effect on patients with prediabetes than CVH health indicators.
95	Conclusion: High CVH status, quantified by LE8, has a significant effect on
96	preventing mortality outcomes in prediabetic adults in the U.S.
97	Keywords: Prediabetes; Cardiovascular health; Life's Essential 8; All-cause mortality;
98	Primary prevention.

99

100 I. Background

Prediabetes is defined as a glucose condition which is a high-risk metabolic state of diabetes¹. It is estimated that by the year of 2030, 470 million people will suffer from prediabetes in the world^{2, 3}, and more than 70% of prediabetic patients will eventually develop diabetes⁴. Moreover, prior guidelines and two meta-analyses involving more than one million people have shown that patients with prediabetes indeed encounter elevated risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD)^{2, 5, 6}.

107 Previous guidelines and numerous large cohort studies have focused on identifying effective health interventions for diabetes, which are essential to reduce the medical 108 burden and improve survival outcomes⁷⁻¹⁰. However, there is heterogeneity in the 109 health status of individual patients' lifestyle that cannot be ignored¹¹. Using an 110 intervention-control design based on a single cutoff point, studies to determine the 111 effectiveness of health interventions without accurate quantification of the health 112 assessment process are likely to produce diametrically opposite results^{8, 12}. This is 113 most likely due to differences between the two studies in the setting of cut-off points 114

115 for health assessment (For example, the physical activity requirement for healthy young adults is an additional 280 minutes of moderate-intensity activity per week in 116 the DQDPOS and 150 minutes per week in the DPPOS)^{9, 13}. Therefore, we believe that 117 quantified healthy living status based on uniform standards will be useful to confirm 118 whether health interventions can preventatively reduce the risk of death in prediabetic 119 patients. To further improve the global cardiovascular health (CVH), the AHA 120 recently recommended the Life's Essential 8 (LE8) score to quantify cardiovascular 121 health, which includes four CVH behaviors (diet, sleep, exercise, smoking cessation) 122 and four ideal CVH indicators (blood lipids, blood glucose, blood pressure, body 123 mass index)^{14, 15}. We believe that LE8 can achieve accurate quantification of CVH, 124 which is beneficial to clarify whether health interventions in prediabetic patients can 125 126 reduce the overall risk of death, and its intuitive score is important for urging the prediabetic population to achieve and maintain optimal CVH status¹⁶. 127

Based on its efficacy in health assessment, LE8 has been widely used in prevention 128 and prognosis related studies¹⁷⁻²⁰. However, to our knowledge, there are few study has 129 investigated the association between LE8 and all-cause mortality in patients with 130 $T2DM^{21}$. We conclude that CVH intervention should be strengthened as early as 131 possible in not only T2DM patients, but also pre-diabetic patients who are at risk of 132 death and CVD. Especially in prediabetic patients, early health intervention can often 133 achieve better results⁴. However, in medical practice, many prediabetic patients with 134 CVD will be forced to change their lifestyle due to functional limitations or 135 psychological reasons²²⁻²⁴. These patients will be more difficult to achieve a high CVH 136

state (LE8 \geq 80) or even a moderate CVH state (LE8 \geq 50) as defined by the AHA. In addition, because special factors are not considered by LE8 such as the obesity paradox may exist in the disease patient population²⁵, it is important to analyze the relationship between the individual components of LE8 and mortality to clarify their efficacy. Finally, CVH behavioral intervention itself can improve CVH indicators and is more accessible in the components of LE8²⁶. However, assessment of this component has not been implemented in prediabetic population.

Therefore, we studied a nationally representative queue, in order to realize the 144 following three goals. First, we aimed to determine whether quantified high CVH 145 status, based on LE8, could preventatively reduce the risk of all-cause mortality in 146 prediabetic patients. Second, the dose-response form of this preventive effect will be 147 148 confirmed, which will benefit prediabetic patients who can only achieve limited CVH improvement. Finally, we aimed to explore the associations of health behaviors, 149 health indicators and individual components of LE8 with mortality risk in order to 150 identify the most effective intervention strategies. 151

152

153 **II. Research Design and Methods**

154 **1. Study Design and Population**

The data and guidelines used in this analysis are freely available from NCHS:https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is a nationally representative study which was designed to investigate the nutritional status and health in the United States.

Research 159 design methods been described detail and has in (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about nhanes.htm.) . The NHANES study 160 protocol has been approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Institutional 161 Review board, and each participant provided formal informed consent. 162

Five NHANES cycles from 2007 to 2016 with 29201 adult participants was uesd as baseline. After excluding participants with insufficient data on diabete-related biochemical markers(n = 16295), serum creatinine (n = 160), cardiovascular healthy lifestyle (n = 2333), and mortality follow-up (n = 5), weight data missing (n = 317),

167 and 10091 participants were included in the analysis.

According to ADA's criteria and previous studies^{14, 27, 28}, prediabetes was defined as a self-reported physcian-diagnosed prediabetic state or an HbA1c level form 5.7% to 6.4% or a fasting blood glucose level form 100mg/dL to 125mg/dL. Since this study targeted a prediabetic population, 5344 participants were included in this study after screening.

173

174 **2. Assessment of cardiovascular health**

175 Cardiovascular health (CVH) was assessed using the LE8 score²², which consists of :

176 Physical activity, Diet health, nicootine exposure, BMI, Sleep health, Blood glucose,

177 Blood lipid, Blood pressure. LE8 scores were calculated as the average of the eight

178 component scores, ranging from 100 to 0. According to recommendations from AHA,

179 CVH status was classified according to the LE8 score: 80-100 as high CVH, 0-49 as

180 low CVH, and 50-79 as moderate CVH.

181 Eight components were scored according to different metrics (Supplementary Table 1). The Healthy Eating Index 2020 (HEI-2020) was used to calculate the diet score²⁹, and 182 183 dietary information was obtained by self-reported food frequency questionnaire. Information on self-reported physical activity, nicotine exposure, and sleep duration 184 was collected by NHANES questionnaire. Height, weight, and blood pressure were 185 measured at the examination centers using standard instruments. Non-HDL 186 cholesterol was used to calculate Lipid score. Blood glucose was scored by means of 187 fasting plasma glucose or HbA1c measured by standard methods. 188

189

190 **3. Covariate assessment**

191 Covariates included demographic variables, health-related exposures, and underlying 192 diseases. Demographic variables include years of age, gender, ethnicity, income and 193 poverty rate. Health related exposure include drinking, smoking, BMI(kg/m2), total 194 cholesterol. Basic diseases including diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease 195 (myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, angina attack, or coronary heart 196 disease, as diagnosed by a physician), and anemia.

197

198 **4. Mortality assessment**

Baseline data from the NHANES from 2007 through 2016 were linked to the National

200 Death Index (NDI) through December 31, 2019, to determine survival.

201

202 5. Statistical analysis

All analyses accounted for the complex sampling design of the NHANES and used fasting subsample weights(wtsaf10yr) to ensure nationally representative results. CVH status was classified according to the LE8: 80-100 as high CVH, 0-49 as low CVH, and 50-79 as moderate CVH status¹⁵.

First, Kaplan-Meier method was used to visually analyze the cumulative mortality of prediabetic patients with different CVH status categories. We then developed multivariable COX proportional hazards models to assess the association bwtween CVH status and all-cause mortality based on follow-up time. The model passed Schoenfeld residual tests for statistical compliance (Supplementary Figure 1), and C statistics were calculated. We included demographic variables, health-related exposures, and basic diseases as covariates to adjust for confounding factors.

214 Next, to analyze the dose-response relationship between all-cause mortality and the total CVH score LE8 in prediabetic patients, restricted cubic spline models (RCS) of 3 215 sections (90th, 50th, and 10th percentiles) were applied. Models were evaluated 216 according to Akaike information criterion (AIC) and tested for nonlinearity. To test 217 the generalizability and sensitivity of this relationship, we repeated the RCS models, 218 stratified according to age, sex, income and poverty ratio, and the presence or absence 219 of cardiovascular disease or anemia. The second sensitivity analysis eliminates the 220 survival time less than one year follow-up of adults, to evaluate whether the results 221 under the influence of reverse causation. In the third sensitivity analysis, all 222 participants with CVD were excluded, because the disease itself may force patients to 223 make lifestyle changes, such as physical activity restrictions. 224

225	Finally, to investigate the best protective factors for patients with prediabetes, and to
226	analyze the protective effect of health behaviors and health indicators, each LE8
227	component score (grouped by whether it was 50 or higher) and the mean score on
228	health behaviors and on health indicators were included as additional covariates in the
229	COX proportional-hazards model. R software was used to perform all analyses
230	(Version: R 4.2.1; https://www.r-project.org/). Double-sided P-values of less than 0.05
231	were considered to indicate statistical significance.

232

233 III. Results

1. Baseline characteristics

Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of the total cohort of participants. Only 7.0% of participants were classified as having high CVH. Prediabetic adults with better CVH status were more likely to be male, white people with higher family income level, do not drink alcohol or smoke, better control of blood lipids, blood glucose, and blood pressure, and do not have basic diseases.

240

241 **2.Association between CVH status and mortality in patients with prediabetes**

242 During a median follow-up period of 8.33 years (95%CI, 8.25-8.50), 658 deaths

- 243 occurred. As shown in Figure 1, Kaplan-Meier survival curves differed by CVH status
- 244 (P<0.001, Log-rank). The survival curves of the high CVH group were significantly

245 higher than those of the moderate and low CVH groups.

246

247 3.Association between CVH status and risk of mortality in patients with

248 prediabetes

256	4.Dose-response relationship between CVH total score (Life's essential 8) and
255	
254	high CVH group.
253	95%CI: 1.23-5.31) and 3.92 times (HR:3.92, 95%CI:1.70-9.02) higher than that in the
252	moderate CVH group and the low CVH group was respectively 2.55 times (HR: 2.55,
251	for all potential covariates (Model 3, C-statistic: 0.8252166), the risk of death in the
250	in which worsening CVH status leading to an increased risk of death. After adjusting
249	We developed multivariable COX proportional hazards models, as shown in Table 2,

all-cause mortality in prediabetic patients

RCS modeling and visualization were adopted to investigate the dose-response relationship in prediabetic patients. Multivariable adjusted RCS models showed a significant linear association between LE8 and all-cause mortality (P-overall < 0.0001, P-nonliner = 0.7989, Figure 2a). Akaike information criterion evaluation showed that the model had good statistical power with AIC = 19.00. Through calculation, every 10 points average increment, can avoid the risk for all-cause mortality in patients with up to 30%.

Stratified analyses showed that the LE8 score was linearly associated with all-cause mortality across all strata (Figure 2b through 2f). In addition, after eliminated all CVD patients, dose-response relationship between LE8 and all-cause mortality is still significant(P-overall < 0.0001, P-nonliner = 0.8749, Supplementary Figure 2a). To avoid the effect of reverse causality, we also examined the association of LE8 with all-cause mortality in the subgroup of patients who had at least 1 year of follow-up,

271	and the results were generally consistent with those in the overall population
272	(P-overall < 0.0001, P-nonliner = 0.7198; Supplementary Figure 2b).
273	
274	5.Associations of individual components of CVH, health behaviors, and health
275	indicators with all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes
276	We included individual components of CVH status as covariates in the multivariable
277	COX regression model, and the results are shown in the forest plot (Figure 3a). We
278	found that among health behaviors, higher scores of sleep (HR: 0.76, 95%CI:
279	0.62-0.92), exercise (HR: 0.49, 95%CI: 0.38-0.62) and smoking (HR: 0.73, 95%CI:
280	0.54-0.98) were associated with lower risk of all-cause mortality. However, no
281	significant association was found for Diet Score. In terms of health indicators, we
282	found that prediabetic patients with higher scores were at higher risk of all-cause
283	mortality, such as BMI Score (HR: 1.37, 95%CI: 1.13-1.66) and Bodylipid Score (HR:
284	1.21, 95%CI: 1.01-1.44). Moreover, we noticed a protective association in the high

Glucose Score (HR: 0.63, 95%CI: 0.49-0.80) but no significant association in the
Bloodpressure Score.

Because of the aforementioned heterogeneity in the associations between scores on health behaviors and health indicators, we further explored the association between mean scores on health behaviors and health indicators and all cause-mortality by constructing a multivariate COX regression model (Figure 3b). Compared with participants with high average scores of health behavior, participants with medium and low average scores of health behavior had 1.93 times (HR: 1.93, 95%CI: 1.15-3.24) and 3.92 times (HR:3.06, 95%CI:1.89-4.98) risk of death, respectively.

However, the protective effect of higher mean scores on health indicators was no 294 longer significant (Figure 3b). 295

296

312

IV. Discussion 297

Based on a nationally representative sample from the NHANES, we found that high 298 CVH status quantified by LE8, had a significant effect on preventing mortality 299 outcomes in patients with prediabetes. In the linear dose-response relationship, the 300 avoidable mortality risk in prediabetic patients reached 30% for every 10-point LE8 301 increment on average. Improving CVH health behaviors has a more significant 302 protective effect on prediabetic patients than CVH health indicators. Due to the strong 303 accessibility of health behavior assessment in patients' daily life, the CVH status 304 based on LE8 may reduce the risk of death and improve their quality of life. 305

There has been controversy in previous large cohort studies about whether lifestyle 306 interventions are effective in reducing the all-cause mortality in diabetic patients. We 307 applied a uniform CVH quantification criterion based on LE8 and showed that high 308 309 CVH status was significantly associated with reduced all-cause mortality in prediabetic patients. Effective health interventions are important for improving the 310 survival prognosis and reducing the medical burden of prediabetes, the results of the 311 Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Outcomes Study (DQDPOS) support our view.

Previous studies have shown that quantified CVH has a protective effect on diabetes 313 incidence, quality of life and mortality^{20, 30-33}. In a follow-up cohort of 309,789 314 participants, Sun et al. found that higher CVH status was associated with reduced 315 premature mortality among T2DM patients (HR=0.42,95%CI=0.39-0.45)²¹. Based on 316

the guidelines from American Association of Clinical Endocrinology and considering 317 the value of early life interventions, we further studied patients with prediabetes and 318 319 found a significant protective effect. In a meta-analysis of 193,126 participants, Geidl et al. found that a 1-point improvement in CVH status based on the LS7 score was 320 321 associated with average 11% reduction in all-cause mortality an (RR=0.89,95%CI=0.86-0.93) observing significant dose-response characteristics³⁴. 322 However, LS7 quantifies CVH by simply adding categorical scores of seven factors, 323 which may result in insufficient statistical power for dose-response associations²⁰. 324 Therefore, we used LE8 from the mean score of the hundred-mark scale for the eight 325 health factors for the analysis and found significant dose-response associations 326 (P-overall < 0.0001, P-nonliner = 0.7989). Every 10-point improvement in LE8 score 327 328 was associated with a 30% reduction in prediabetic patients' all-cause mortality, which means that even a slight improvement in CVH status may have a significant 329 effect on the prevention of mortality in prediabetic patients. Given the accessibility of 330 the various assessments of the LE8 in the daily life of patients, we focused on the 331 health behavior part of CVH. The results showed that health behaviors could have 332 more significant improvement on the survival prognosis of patients. However, after 333 adjustments, the health indicator scores have no significant protective effect, which 334 may be due to a more fundamental effect of health behaviors. Our results may suggest 335 that physicians should strengthen health behavior education for patients with 336 prediabetes. Marteau et al. highlighted that promoting diet, physical activity and other 337 behaviors into the healthiest state, remains a major challenge for cardiometabolic 338

disease prevention efforts, which further supports our view 35 .

Among CVH health behaviors, we noticed that increased physical activity was the top 340 protective factor in reducing the risk of all-cause mortality. The protective association 341 of physical activity has been widely demonstrated³⁰, and our analysis validates the 342 recent scoring method of physical activity in LE8 in the prediabetic population. We 343 also suggest that nicotine exposure was the second most significant contributor to 344 prediabetic patient's mortality. Cohort study data show that smoking cessation is 345 associated with reduced risk for all-cause mortality³⁶. Our findings confirmed this 346 association and emphasize the protective significance of long-term maintenance of 347 smoking cessation status in prediabetic patients with a history of smoking, based on 348 the LE8-based scoring method for the duration of smoking cessation. Previous 349 350 evidence has shown that unhealthy sleep duration (<7 or >8 hours) is associated with increased all-cause mortality³⁷. Our results showed that the risk of all-cause mortality 351 decreased significantly with the increase of sleep index score. 352

Interestingly, a significant positive association was shown between BMI score and 353 blood lipid score greater than 50 and all-cause mortality in prediabete patients. This 354 may be related to the obesity paradox effect, where obesity appears as a risk factor in 355 disease incidence but has a protective effect in patients with severe disease^{25, 40-42}. 356 Similarly, in a meta-analysis of 161,984 participants, Liu et al. observed the protective 357 effect of overweight in patients with type 2 diabetes⁴³. However, an opposite result 358 was observed in a follow-up study with an average of 15.8 years, and Tobias et al. 359 suggested that the obesity paradox was due to insufficient follow-up time⁴⁴. Our 360

findings may provide new evidence in this controversial area, and further studies are 361 needed to confirm possible interactions in the prediabetic population. Our results 362 noticed that the relationship between dietary health score ≥ 50 and all-cause 363 mortality was not significant. Because there were few participants with a score of 80 364 or higher in each category, we used a cutoff of 50 or higher to improve statistical 365 power. This cutoff may not have met the health requirements for improved outcomes 366 in patients with prediabetes, given that diet quality is a major determinant of the risk 367 of diabetes^{38, 39}. In a cohort study, also based on a US population, Fretts et al. observed 368 a similar phenomenon³³. A similar reason may explain the nonsignificant results for 369 blood-pressure scores. 370

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association 371 372 between quantified CVH and all-cause mortality in prediabetic patients. The strength of this study is that it considers both the need to prevent prediabetes and the need to 373 mitigate the impact of CVD comorbidities on prediabetic patients. In addition, we 374 designed the study with a relatively large sample size and long-term follow-up, used 375 the new LE8 score recommended by the AHA to accurately quantify CVH status, and 376 performed covariate adjustment and sensitivity analysis during the data analysis, 377 which makes our results more reliable. 378

However, there are still several potential limitations worth considering. First, this study was an observational cohort study, so causality should be interpreted with caution. Secondly, the four CVH health behaviors (diet, physical activity, nicotine exposure, and sleep) in the LE8 score were all self-reported, which may lead to recall

bias. More objective monitoring would be beneficial to improve the accuracy of these
four health behaviors. Third, we obtained the CVH score only once at baseline, which
may change during long-term follow-up. Finally, our study population included only
U.S. adults, and generalization of the results to other populations should be cautious.

387

388 V. Conclusion

We suggest that a high CVH status based on LE8 quantification, especially CVH health behaviors in prediabetic adults has a significant effect in preventing mortality outcomes in prediabetic adults. There was a linear dose-response association between increased LE8 and reduced all-cause mortality. Our findings highlight the importance of focusing on CVH improvement in prediabetic patients, especially on more accessible health behaviors, as even small improvements can yield substantial reductions in the risk of all-cause mortality.

396

397 Acknowledgement

This research has been conducted using the NHANES resources. We are grateful tothe participants and staff of the NHANES for their valuable contributions.

400

401 Funding

This work was supported by research grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Y.J., 82370818, Z.Z., 82270862); Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province(Y.J.,2024A1515012744); the Guangzhou Science and Technology Project (Y.J.,2024A04J5098); and the National Undergraduate Training

406 Program for Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Southern Medical University (Z.Z.,
407 202312121031, Y.J., S202312121167).

408

409 Author contributions

410 A.C., Q.H., Y.W., Z.Z., and Y.J. designed this study, A.C., Y.W. and Q.H. performed

411 the data extraction and statistical analysis. Y.W., Q.H., J.C., X.M., L.H., G.W., and

412 Z.W. validated the statistical analysis. A.C. and Q.H. wrote the original draft of the

413 manuscript, which was revised by Y.W., Z.Z., and Y.J. All authors made great

414 contributions to the manuscript and approved it for submission. Z.Z. and Y.J. are the

415 guarantors of this work and, as much, had full access to all the data in the study and

take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis.

417

418 **Conflict of interest**

419 All authors declare no competing interests.

420

421

References:

Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for
 diabetes development. *The Lancet*. 2012;379(9833):2279-2290.

424 2. Cai X, Zhang Y, Li M, Wu JH, Mai L, Li J, Yang Y, Hu Y, Huang Y. Association between
425 prediabetes and risk of all cause mortality and cardiovascular disease: updated meta-analysis. *BMJ*.
426 2020:m2297.

427 3. Kim SH. Reframing prediabetes: A call for better risk stratification and intervention. *J INTERN* 428 *MED*. 2024;295(6):735-747.

429 4. 3. Prevention or Delay of Type 2 Diabetes:Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes — 2020.
430 *DIABETES CARE*. 2020;43(Supplement_1):S32-S36.

431 5. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, Federici M, Filippatos G,

432 Grobbee DE, Hansen TB, Huikuri HV, Johansson I, Jüni P, Lettino M, Marx N, Mellbin LG, Östgren

433 CJ, Rocca B, Roffi M, Sattar N, Seferović PM, Sousa-Uva M, Valensi P, Wheeler DC, Piepoli MF,

434 Birkeland KI, Adamopoulos S, Ajjan R, Avogaro A, Baigent C, Brodmann M, Bueno H, Ceconi C,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.31.24311259; this version posted August 2, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

435 Chioncel O, Coats A, Collet J, Collins P, Cosyns B, Di Mario C, Fisher M, Fitzsimons D, Halvorsen S,

- 436 Hansen D, Hoes A, Holt RIG, Home P, Katus HA, Khunti K, Komajda M, Lambrinou E, Landmesser U,
- 437 Lewis BS, Linde C, Lorusso R, Mach F, Mueller C, Neumann F, Persson F, Petersen SE, Petronio AS,
- 438 Richter DJ, Rosano GMC, Rossing P, Rydén L, Shlyakhto E, Simpson IA, Touyz RM, Wijns W,
- 439 Wilhelm M, Williams B, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, Federici M, Filippatos G,
- 440 Grobbee DE, Hansen TB, Huikuri HV, Johansson I, Jüni P, Lettino M, Marx N, Mellbin LG, Östgren 441 CJ, Rocca B, Roffi M, Sattar N, Seferović PM, Sousa-Uva M, Valensi P, Wheeler DC, Windecker S,
- 442 Aboyans V, Baigent C, Collet J, Dean V, Delgado V, Fitzsimons D, Gale CP, Grobbee DE, Halvorsen S,
- 443 Hindricks G. Jung B. Jüni P. Katus HA, Landmesser U, Leclercq C, Lettino M, Lewis BS, Merkely B,
- 444 Mueller C, Petersen SE, Petronio AS, Richter DJ, Roffi M, Shlyakhto E, Simpson IA, Sousa-Uva M,
- 445 Touyz RM, Zelveian PH, Scherr D, Jahangirov T, Lazareva I, Shivalkar B, Naser N, Gruev I, Milicic D,
- 446 Petrou PM, Linhart A, Hildebrandt P, Hasan-Ali H, Marandi T, Lehto S, Mansourati J, Kurashvili R,
- 447 Siasos G, Lengyel C, Thrainsdottir IS, Aronson D, Di Lenarda A, Raissova A, Ibrahimi P, Abilova S,
- 448 Trusinskis K, Saade G, Benlamin H, Petrulioniene Z, Banu C, Magri CJ, David L, Boskovic A, Alami
- 449 M, Liem AH, Bosevski M, Svingen GFT, Janion M, Gavina C, Vinereanu D, Nedogoda S, Mancini T,
- 450 Ilic MD, Fabryova L, Fras Z, Jiménez-Navarro MF, Norhammar A, Lehmann R, Mourali MS, Ural D,
- 451 Nesukay E, Chowdhury TA. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular 452 diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. EUR HEART J. 2020;41(2):255-323.
- 453 6. Huang Y, Cai X, Mai W, Li M, Hu Y. Association between prediabetes and risk of cardiovascular 454 disease and all cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2016:i5953.
- 7. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. DIABETES CARE. 2014;37 Suppl 1:S81-S90. 455
- 456 8. Gong Q, Zhang P, Wang J, Ma J, An Y, Chen Y, Zhang B, Feng X, Li H, Chen X, Cheng YJ, 457 Gregg EW, Hu Y, Bennett PH, Li G, Qian X, Zhang L, Hui Y, He S, Wang X, Thompson TJ, Gerzoff 458 RB, Liu P, Jiang Y, Hu Z, Wang J, Jiang X, Zhang J, Xi R, Pang C, Li C, Hu X, Yang W, An Z, Sun X, 459 Chen C, Gang Y, Liu J, Xiao J, Cao H, Zheng H, Zhang H, Li H, Hong J, Liu X, Zhao F, Wang W, 460 Chen B, Howard BV, Engelgau MM, Roglic G. Morbidity and mortality after lifestyle intervention for 461 people with impaired glucose tolerance: 30-year results of the Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Outcome 462 Study. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. 2019;7(6):452-461.
- 463 9. Lee CG, Heckman-Stoddard B, Dabelea D, Gadde KM, Ehrmann D, Ford L, Prorok P, Boyko EJ, 464 Pi-Sunyer X, Wallia A, Knowler WC, Crandall JP, Temprosa M. Effect of Metformin and Lifestyle 465 Interventions on Mortality in the Diabetes Prevention Program and Diabetes Prevention Program 466 Outcomes Study. DIABETES CARE. 2021;44(12):2775-2782.
- 10. Effects of Intensive Lifestyle Intervention on All-Cause Mortality in Older Adults With Type 2 467 468 Diabetes and Overweight/Obesity: Results From the Look AHEAD Study. DIABETES CARE. 469 2022;45(5):1252-1259.
- 470 11. Zhang Y, Pan X, Lu Q, Wang Y, Geng T, Zhou Y, Liao LM, Tu Z, Chen J, Xia P, Wang Y, Wan Z,
- 471 Guo K, Yang K, Yang H, Chen S, Wang G, Han X, Wang Y, Yu D, He M, Zhang X, Liu L, Wu T, Wu S,
- 472 Liu G, Pan A. Association of Combined Healthy Lifestyles With Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality
- 473 of Patients With Diabetes: An International Multicohort Study. MAYO CLIN PROC. 2023;98(1):60-74.
- 474 12. Effect of Metformin and Lifestyle Interventions on Mortality in the Diabetes Prevention Program 475 and Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study.
- 476 13. Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, Hu ZX, Lin J, Xiao JZ, Cao HB, Liu PA,
- 477 Jiang XG, Jiang YY, Wang JP, Zheng H, Zhang H, Bennett PH, Howard BV. Effects of diet and
- 478 exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and

- 479 Diabetes Study. DIABETES CARE. 1997;20(4):537-544.
- 480 14. He Y, Wang Z, Zhang H, Lai X, Liu M, Yang L, Zheng Y, He M, Kong W, Zhang X. Polygenic
- 481 Risk Score Modifies the Association of HbA1c With Hearing Loss in Middle-Aged and Older 482 Chinese: The Dongfeng-Tongji Cohort. DIABETES CARE. 2024.
- 483 15. Lloyd-Jones DM, Allen NB, Anderson CAM, Black T, Brewer LC, Foraker RE, Grandner MA,
- 484 Lavretsky H, Perak AM, Sharma G, Rosamond W. Life' s Essential 8: Updating and Enhancing the
- 485 American Heart Association' s Construct of Cardiovascular Health: A Presidential Advisory From the
- 486 American Heart Association. CIRCULATION. 2022;146(5).
- 487 16. Tang R, Wang X, Li X, Ma H, Liang Z, Heianza Y, Oi L, Adherence to Life' s Essential 8 and 488 incident chronic kidney disease: a prospective study of 147,988 UK Biobank participants. The 489 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2023;118(4):804-811.
- 490 17. Kulkarni AV, Anders M, Nazal L, Ridruejo E, Efe C. Cases of severe acute liver injury following inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. J HEPATOL. 2023;78(2):e60-e61. 491
- 492 18. Wu S, Wu Z, Yu D, Chen S, Wang A, Wang A, Gao X. Life' s Essential 8 and Risk of Stroke: A 493 Prospective Community-Based Study. STROKE. 2023;54(9):2369-2379.
- 494 19. Janssen I, Powell LH, Dugan SA, Derby CA, Kravitz HM. Cardiovascular Health, Race, and
- 495 Decline in Cognitive Function in Midlife Women: The Study of Women's Health Across the Nation. J 496 AM HEART ASSOC. 2024;13(9).
- 497 20. Sun J, Li Y, Zhao M, Yu X, Zhang C, Magnussen CG, Xi B. Association of the American Heart 498 Association's new "Life's Essential 8" with all-cause and cardiovascular disease-specific mortality: 499 prospective cohort study. BMC MED. 2023;21(1).
- 21. Sun Y, Yu Y, Zhang K, Yu B, Yu Y, Wang Y, Wang B, Tan X, Wang Y, Lu Y, Wang N. Association 500 501 between Life's Essential 8 score and risk of premature mortality in people with and without type 2 502 diabetes: A prospective cohort study. Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews. 2023;39(5).
- 503 22. Lloyd-Jones DM, Ning H, Labarthe D, Brewer L, Sharma G, Rosamond W, Foraker RE, Black T,
- 504 Grandner MA, Allen NB, Anderson C, Lavretsky H, Perak AM. Status of Cardiovascular Health in US
- Adults and Children Using the American Heart Association's New "Life's Essential 8" Metrics: 505
- 506 Prevalence Estimates From the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2013 507 Through 2018. CIRCULATION. 2022;146(11):822-835.
- 508 23. Boyer TM, Avula V, Minhas AS, Vaught AJ, Sharma G, Gemmill A. Psychosocial Stressors as a 509 Determinant of Maternal Cardiovascular Health During Pregnancy. The American Journal of
- 510 Cardiology. 2023;201:302-307.
- 511 24. Zhang H, Zhang L, Li J, Xiang H, Liu Y, Gao C, Sun X. The influence of Life's Essential 8 on the 512 link between socioeconomic status and depression in adults: a mediation analysis. BMC PSYCHIATRY. 513 2024;24(1).
- 514 25. Zheng J, Hu Y, Xu H, Lei Y, Zhang J, Zheng Q, Li L, Tu W, Chen R, Guo Q, Zang X, You Q, Xu
- 515 Z, Zhou Q, Wu X. Normal-weight visceral obesity promotes a higher 10-year atherosclerotic 516 cardiovascular disease risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus - a multicenter study in China. 517 CARDIOVASC DIABETOL. 2023;22(1).
- 518 26. Ueno K, Kaneko H, Okada A, Suzuki Y, Matsuoka S, Fujiu K, Michihata N, Jo T, Takeda N,
- 519 Morita H, Kamiya K, Ako J, Node K, Yasunaga H, Komuro I. Association of four health behaviors in
- 520 Life's Essential 8 with the incidence of hypertension and diabetes mellitus. PREV MED. 521 2023;175:107685.
- 522 27. Zhang Q, Xiao S, Jiao X, Shen Y. The triglyceride-glucose index is a predictor for cardiovascular

- 523 and all-cause mortality in CVD patients with diabetes or pre-diabetes: evidence from NHANES 2001 -
- 524 2018. CARDIOVASC DIABETOL. 2023;22(1).
- 525 28. Zou X, Zhou X, Zhu Z, Ji L. Novel subgroups of patients with adult-onset diabetes in Chinese and 526 US populations. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(1):9-11.
- 527 29. Shams-White MM, Pannucci TE, Lerman JL, Herrick KA, Zimmer M, Meyers MK, Stoody EE, 528 Reedy J. Healthy Eating Index-2020: Review and Update Process to Reflect the Dietary Guidelines
- 529 for Americans, 2020-2025. JACAD NUTR DIET. 2023;123(9):1280-1288.
- 530 30. Yang Q, Cogswell ME, Flanders WD, Hong Y, Zhang Z, Loustalot F, Gillespie C, Merritt R, Hu
- 531 FB. Trends in Cardiovascular Health Metrics and Associations With All-Cause and CVD Mortality 532 Among US Adults. JAMA. 2012;307(12):1273.
- 533 31. Perak AM, Ning H, Khan SS, Bundy JD, Allen NB, Lewis CE, Jacobs DR, Van Horn LV, 534 Lloyd-Jones DM. Associations of Late Adolescent or Young Adult Cardiovascular Health With 535 Premature Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality. JAM COLL CARDIOL. 2020;76(23):2695-2707.
- 536 32. Xanthakis V, Enserro DM, Murabito JM, Polak JF, Wollert KC, Januzzi JL, Wang TJ, Tofler G, 537 Vasan RS. Ideal Cardiovascular Health. CIRCULATION. 2014;130(19):1676-1683.
- 33. FRETTS AM, HOWARD BV, MCKNIGHT B, DUNCAN GE, BERESFORD SAA, METE M, 538
- 539 ZHANG Y, SISCOVICK DS. Life's Simple 7 and Incidence of Diabetes Among American Indians: The 540 Strong Heart Family Study. DIABETES CARE. 2014;37(8):2240-2245.
- 541 34. Geidl W, Schlesinger S, Mino E, Miranda L, Pfeifer K. Dose - response relationship between 542 physical activity and mortality in adults with noncommunicable diseases: a systematic review and 543 meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. INT J BEHAV NUTR PHY. 2020;17(1).
- 544 35. Marteau TM, Hollands GJ, Fletcher PC. Changing Human Behavior to Prevent Disease: The 545 Importance of Targeting Automatic Processes. SCIENCE. 2012;337(6101):1492-1495.
- 546 36. Han L, You D, Ma W, Astell-Burt T, Feng X, Duan S, Qi L. National Trends in American Heart 547 Association Revised Life's Simple 7 Metrics Associated With Risk of Mortality Among US Adults. 548 JAMA Network Open. 2019;2(10):e1913131.
- 549 37. Krittanawong C, Tunhasiriwet A, Wang Z, Zhang H, Farrell AM, Chirapongsathorn S, Sun T,
- 550 Kitai T, Argulian E. Association between short and long sleep durations and cardiovascular outcomes: a 551 systematic review and meta-analysis. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care. 552 2019;8(8):762-770.
- 553 38. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Lampousi A, Knüppel S, Iqbal K, Schwedhelm C, Bechthold A, 554 Schlesinger S, Boeing H. Food groups and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and 555 meta-analysis of prospective studies. EUR J EPIDEMIOL. 2017;32(5):363-375.
- 556 39. Wang B, Fu Y, Tan X, Wang N, Qi L, Lu Y. Assessing the impact of type 2 diabetes on mortality 557 and life expectancy according to the number of risk factor targets achieved: an observational study. 558 BMC MED. 2024;22(1).
- 559 40. Ottosson F, Smith E, Ericson U, Brunkwall L, Orho-Melander M, Di Somma S, Antonini P, 560 Nilsson PM, Fernandez C, Melander O. Metabolome-Defined Obesity and the Risk of Future Type 2 561 Diabetes and Mortality. DIABETES CARE. 2022;45(5):1260-1267.
- 562 41. Al-Chalabi S, Chinnadurai R, Kalra PA, Sinha S. Mortality and Renal Outcomes Are Impacted by 563 Obesity in Cardiorenal Metabolic Disease but Not in People with Concomitant Diabetes Mellitus. 564 CARDIORENAL MED. 2024;14(1):23-33.
- 565 42. Nie W, Zhang Y, Jee SH, Jung KJ, Li B, Xiu Q. Obesity survival paradox in pneumonia: a 566 meta-analysis. BMC MED. 2014;12:61.

perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

43. Liu X, Liu Y, Zhan J, He Q. Overweight, obesity and risk of all-cause and cardiovascular
mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a dose - response meta-analysis of prospective
cohort studies. *EUR J EPIDEMIOL*. 2015;30(1):35-45.

570 44. Tobias DK, Pan A, Jackson CL, O'Reilly EJ, Ding EL, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hu FB.
571 Body-Mass Index and Mortality among Adults with Incident Type 2 Diabetes. *NEW ENGL J MED*.
572 2014;370(3):233-244.

- 573
- 574

575 Figure legends

576 Figure 1 Association of different CVH status and cumulative mortality in prediabetic patients.

Figure 2 Dose-response relationship between the total CVH score of Life's essential 8 and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes. Panel b-f: Stratified analysis was performed according to age group (greater than 60 years old or not), gender group (male or female), income to poverty rate (higher than the study population median 2.06 or not), and whether there was cardiovascular disease (defined as any one of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, angina attack, or coronary heart disease, as diagnosed by a physician) and anemia(defined as hemoglobin < 12mg/dL in men and < 11mg/dL in women).

584 Figure 3 Association of individual CVH component scores, health behaviors or indicators and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetesa. Panel a-association of individual CVH 585 586 component scores and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes (high for \geq 50 points, 587 otherwise low). Panel b-association of mean score of health behaviors or indicators and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes. Covariates included age (greater than 60 years old or not), 588 589 gender (male or female), ethnicity (black, white, or other people), income and poverty rate (higher 590 than the study population median 2.06 or not), cardiovascular disease (defined as any one of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, angina attack, or coronary heart disease, as 591 592 diagnosed by a physician), and anemia (defined as hemoglobin < 12mg/dL in men and < 11mg/dL

in women). ALQ110: at least 12 cups of alcohol in a life time. CVD: cardiovascular disease.



Figure 1 Association of different CVH status and cumulative mortality in prediabetic patients.



Figure 2 Dose-response relationship between the total CVH score of Life's essential 8 and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes. Panel b-f: Stratified analysis was performed according to age group (greater than 60 years old or not), gender group (male or female), income to poverty rate (higher than the study population median 2.06 or not), and whether there was cardiovascular disease (defined as any one of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, angina attack, or coronary heart disease, as diagnosed by a physician) and anemia(defined as hemoglobin < 12mg/dL in men and < 11mg/dL in women).

	~		
		A	

3								U					
Variable		N	Haza	rd ratio			р	Variable		N	Hazard ratio		р
category.LE8	High	199			-	Reference		category.LE8	Hiah	199	i i	Reference	
	Low	1202				2.25 (0.92, 5.50)	0.077		L au	1202	-	2 02 (1 12 7 64)	0.02
Diet health	Moderate	2607				1.67 (0.65, 4.12) Reference	0.119		LOW	1202	-	2.92 (1.12, 7.04)	0.03
Diet_fieatti	High	2647		-		1.03 (0.83, 1.28)	0.797		Moderate	3943		2.13 (0.92, 4.95)	0.08
Sleep health	Low	1019		- i		Reference	0.101	category.HealthIndicatorScore	High	723	•	Reference	
	High	4325		-		0.76 (0.62, 0.92)	0.005		Low	1197	- -	0.68 (0.43, 1.07)	0.09
Sport_health	Low	3150				Reference			Madanta	2424		0.00 (0.40, 1.07)	0.00
	High	2194				0.49 (0.38, 0.62)	< 0.001		Moderate	3424		0.81 (0.58, 1.14)	0.23
Smoking_status	Low	1157				Reference		category.HealthBehaviorScore	High	562		Reference	
	High	4187	-	-		0.73 (0.54, 0.98)	0.034		Low	1757	- -	3.06 (1.89, 4.98)	< 0.001
Body_mass_index	Low	2372				Reference			Mederate	3025		1 03 (1 15 3 24)	0.01
Disad linid	High	2972				1.37 (1.13, 1.66)	0.002		wouerate	3025	-	1.85 (1.15, 5.24)	0.01
Blood_lipid	LOW	2/92				Reference	0.020	age	>60	2075		Reference	
Blood pressure	Low	628				Reference	0.039		<=60	3269	-∎ -	0.12 (0.09, 0.17)	< 0.001
biood_pressure	High	4716				0.99 (0.73, 1.36)	0.974	Sex	male	2745		Reference	
Glycemia	Low	1182				Reference			famile	0500		0.00 (0.54.0.05)	.0.004
	High	4162	-	E i		0.63 (0.49, 0.80)	< 0.001		temale	2288		0.68 (0.54, 0.85)	<0.001
age	>60	2075				Reference		Ethnicity	Black	1146		Reference	
	<=60	3269				0.13 (0.10, 0.18)	< 0.001		Other	1525		1.04 (0.80, 1.35)	0.79
sex	male	2745				Reference			Milito	2672		1 16 (0.04 1.44)	0.17
	female	2599	_			0.70 (0.55, 0.88)	0.002		vvriite	2075		1.10 (0.54, 1.44)	0.17
Ethnicity	Black	1146				Reference		income	High	2685		Reference	
	Other	1525				1.06 (0.81, 1.37)	0.677		Low	2659		1.80 (1.47, 2.21)	< 0.001
incomo	Winte	2073				1.10 (0.94, 1.43) Reference	0.100	ALQ110	Yes	3016	•	Reference	
lincome	Low	2659		- i -		1.54 (1.27, 1.87)	<0.001			0000	-	4.00/0.00 4.00	0.00
ALQ110	Yes	3016				Reference	-0.001		NO	2328		1.09 (0.92, 1.28)	0.32
ALATIO	No	2328		- - - -		1.04 (0.88, 1.23)	0.665	anemia	No	5162		Reference	
anemia	No	5162				Reference			Yes	182		3.71 (2.70, 5.09)	< 0.001
	Yes	182				3.20 (2.37, 4.30)	< 0.001	CVD	No	4783		Reference	
CVD	No	4783				Reference		015		4700		i considire	
	Yes	561			-	1.78 (1.45, 2.18)	<0.001		Yes	561		1.85 (1.49, 2.30)	<0.001
			0.2 0.5	1 2	2 5						0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5		

Figure 3 Association of individual CVH component scores, health behaviors or indicators and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetesa. Panel a-association of individual CVH component scores and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes (high for \geq 50 points, otherwise low). Panel b-association of mean score of health behaviors or indicators and all-cause mortality in patients with prediabetes. Covariates included age (greater than 60 years old or not), gender (male or female), ethnicity (black, white, or other people), income and poverty rate (higher than the study population median 2.06 or not), cardiovascular disease (defined as any one of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, angina attack, or coronary heart disease, as diagnosed by a physician), and anemia (defined as hemoglobin < 12mg/dL in men and < 11mg/dL in women). ALQ110: at least 12 cups of alcohol in a life time. CVD: cardiovascular disease.

Characteristics	Querrell	CVH status (LE8 score)				
Characteristics	Overall	High(80-100)	Moderate(50-79)	Low(0-49)	μ	
Weighted N	226 million	7.0 (15.8 million)	76.7 (173.3 million)	13.6 (36.9 million)		
Age , years	52.86 (15.87)	44.73 (17.38)	53.24 (15.93)	54.58 (13.75)	<0.001	
Sex					0.008	
Male	51.6 (116.6 million)	57.4 (9.1 million)	52.3 (90.7 million)	45.7 (16.8 million)		
Female	48.4 (109.4 million)	42.6 (6.7 million)	47.7 (82.6 million)	54.3 (20 million)		
Ethnicity					0.01	
Black	15.1 (34 million)	11.0 (1.7 million)	14.7 (25.5 million)	18.3 (6.8 million)		
Other	20.2 (45.6 million)	25.7 (4.1 million)	20.1 (34.9 million)	18.1 (6.7 million)		
White	64.7 (146.3 million)	63.3 (10 million)	65.1 (112.9 million)	63.6 (23.4 million)		
PIR*	2.97(1.64)	3.30(1.59)	3.05(1.63)	2.34(1.58)	<0.001	
Drinker*	59.4 (134.3 million)	53.6 (8.5 million)	59.0 (102.3 million)	63.9 (23.6 million)	0.049	
Smoker*	48.6 (109.7 million)	21.7 (3.4 million)	45.3 (78.5 million)	75.4 (27.8 million)	<0.001	
BMI	30.14 (6.83)	24.80 (3.75)	29.72 (6.37)	34.40 (7.63)	<0.001	
Sleep duration, hours	6.77 (1.66)	7.20 (0.91)	6.82 (1.21)	6.35 (3.06)	<0.001	
Creatinine, mmol/L	0.89 (0.38)	0.87 (0.19)	0.90 (0.41)	0.87 (0.26)	0.151	
Glucose, mmol/L	102.19 (20.34)	95.73 (10.04)	101.58 (18.67)	107.81 (28.36)	<0.001	
TC, mmol/L	196.91 (41.58)	175.14 (29.26)	194.84 (40.58)	216.00 (43.65)	<0.001	
SBP,mmHg	124.06 (17.00)	114.90 (12.86)	123.56 (16.29)	130.34 (19.41)	<0.001	
DBP,mmHg	69.95 (12.56)	67.58 (8.87)	69.57 (12.37)	72.73 (14.26)	<0.001	
CVD	9.3 (21 million)	7.2 (1.1 million)	8.7 (15.1 million)	13.0 (4.8 million)	0.006	
Diabetes	17.8 (40.3 million)	0.7 (0.1 million)	16.1 (27.9 million)	33.3 (12.3 million)	<0.001	
Hypertension	38.1 (86.1 million)	14.2 (2.3 million)	37.6 (65.2 million)	50.4 (18.6 million)	<0.001	
Anemia	1.8 (4.1 million)	0.6 (0.1 million)	1.8 (3.1 million)	2.5 (0.9 million)	<0.001	
LE8	62.17 (12.03)	83.77 (3.16)	64.12 (8.02)	43.76 (4.91)	<0.001	

Table 1-Baseline characteristics of the weighted study population classified by CVH status

Data are % (weighted N) for categorical measures or mean (SD) for continuous measures. *PIR :the rate of income to poverty; Smoker:smoked at least 100 cigarettes in the past; Drinker: had at least 12 alcohol drinkers in lifetime; TC:total cholesterol.

CVH status (LE8	N	Model	1	Model2		Model3		
score)		HR(95%CI)	P-value	HR(95%CI)	P-value	HR(95%CI)	P-value	
High(80-100)	199	Reference		Reference		Reference		
Moderate(50-79)	3943	4.32(2.10,8.91)	<0.001	2.68(1.34, 5.36)	0.005	2.55(1.23, 5.31)	0.012	
Low(0-49)	1202	8.39(4.03,17.4 8)	<0.001	4.75(2.27, 9.93)	<0.001	3.92(1.70, 9.02)	0.001	

Table 2. Hazard ratios of cardiovascular health status to all-cause mortality in prediabetes.

Model1: No adjustment. Model2: Adjusted for sex, age, race/ethnicity, and income. Model3: Adjusted for sex, age, race/ethnicity, income, alcohol consumption, smoking, BMI, total cholesterol, diabetes, anemia, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease.