#### 1 Antenatal corticosteroids for pregnant women at risk of preterm labor in low- and middle-

#### 2 income countries: utilization and facility readiness

3

#### 4 Authors information

- 5 Wen-Chien Yang (0000-0001-8206-1496)<sup>1\*</sup>; Catherine Arsenault (0000-0003-2410-3015)<sup>1</sup>;
- 6 Victoria Y. Fan (0000-0001-7755-9425)<sup>2,3</sup>; Hannah H. Leslie (0000-0002-7464-3645)<sup>4</sup>; Fouzia
- 7 Farooq (0000-0001-6491-6323)<sup>1</sup>; Andrea B. Pembe (0000-0002-8090-3298)<sup>5</sup>; Theodros
- 8 Getachew (0000-0002-6486-0531)<sup>6</sup>; Emily R. Smith (0000-0001-5715-9459)<sup>1,7</sup>

9

- 10 1. Department of Global Health, Milken Institute School of Public Health, The George
- 11 Washington University, Washington, DC, USA
- 12 2. Center for Global Development, Washington, DC, USA
- 13 3. College of Social Sciences, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, USA
- 14 4. Division of Prevention Science, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA,
- 15 USA
- 16 5. School of Clinical Medicine, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es
- 17 Salaam, Tanzania
- 18 6. Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
- 19 7. Department of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Milken Institute School of Public Health, The
- 20 George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA
- 21
- <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Wen-Chien Yang, MD, MPH
- 23 Address: 950 New Hampshire Ave, NW, Washington DC, 20037

| 24 | Telephone: 617-794-2209                          |
|----|--|
| 25 | Email: wenchienyang@gwmail.gwu.edu               |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | Source(s) of support: This study was not funded. |
| 28 |  |
| 29 | Word count: 3075                                 |
| 30 |  |
| 31 | Number of figures and tables: 4                  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 |  |
| 34 |  |
| 35 |  |
| 36 |  |
| 37 |  |
| 38 |  |
| 39 |  |
| 40 |  |
| 41 |  |
| 42 |  |
| 43 |  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 |  |
| 46 |  |

## 47 ABSTRACT

### 48 Background

- 49 Antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) use among pregnant women with a high likelihood of preterm
- 50 labor improves newborn survival. ACS adoption in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)
- remains limited. Giving ACS in inadequately equipped settings could be harmful to mothers and
- newborns. Thus, health facilities have to demontrate readiness to administer ACS. However, the
- 53 degree to which health systems are ready is unknown.

#### 54 **Objective**

We assessed facility readiness to administer ACS based on the 2022 WHO recommendations on
ACS use and ACS utilization.

#### 57 Methods

The study used Service Provision Assessment surveys administered between 2013 and 2022 in nine LMICs. The primary outcome was whether facilities had ever provided ACS. We also assessed injectable corticosteroid (dexamethasone or betamethasone) availability and facility readiness to administer ACS. We used a total of 35 indicators, grouped into four readiness categories based on the WHO recommendations, to measure facility readiness.

### 63 Findings

64 Across eight countries with comparable sampling strategies, only 10.7% (median, range 6.7% -

65 35.2%) of facilities had ever provided ACS; one-fourth (median 25.3%, range 4.6% - 61.5%) of

- 66 facilities had injectable corticosteroids available at the time of the survey; overall readiness
- 67 indices were low ranging from 8.1% for Bangladesh to 32.9% for Senegal. Across four readiness
- categories, the readiness index was the lowest for criterion 1 (ability to assess gestational age

| 69 | accurately and identify a high likelihood of preterm birth) (7.3%), followed by criterion 2 (ability |
|----|--|
| 70 | to identify maternal infections) (24.8%), criterion 4 (ability to provide adequate preterm care)     |
| 71 | (31.3%), and criterion 3 (ability to provide adequate childbirth care) (32.9%).                      |
| 72 | Conclusion   |
| 73 | We proposed a strategy for measuring facility readiness to implement one of the most effective       |
| 74 | interventions to improve neonatal survival. Countries should operationalize readiness                |
| 75 | measurement, improve facilities readiness to deliver this life-saving intervention, and encourage    |
| 76 | ACS uptake by targeting facilities that are well-equipped.   |
| 77 |  |
| 78 |  |
| 79 |  |
| 80 |  |
| 81 |  |
| 82 |  |
| 83 |  |
| 84 |  |
| 85 |  |
| 86 |  |
| 87 |  |
| 88 |  |
| 89 |  |
| 90 |  |
| 91 |  |
|    |  |

## 92 INTRODUCTION

Antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) use among pregnant women at risk of preterm labor is one of
the most effective interventions to improve neonatal outcomes. By accelerating fetal lung
maturity, ACS can reduce the risk of respiratory distress syndrome by 30% and neonatal death
by 20%.(1) The World Health Organization (WHO) and other professional medical organizations
recommend giving ACS to pregnant women at risk of imminent preterm labor from gestational
age (GA) of 24 to 34 weeks.(2-5)

99 While ACS is commonly used in high-income countries, its adoption remains extremely limited 100 in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) despite LMICs contributing to 80% of preterm 101 births worldwide.(6) An estimated 13.4 million newborns were born prematurly in 2020.(7) 102 Globally, preterm births are the leading cause of neonatal death, accounting for nearly half (46%) of under-five moratlity (U5M).(8) Additionally, 75% of all neonatal deaths occur in the first 103 104 week of life, with 1 million neonatal deaths happening within the first 24 hours after birth (9, 10), 105 which motivates the interventions targteing this critical period.(11, 12) ACS has been considered 106 "the lowest-hanging and sweetest fruit" as an intervention to improve preterm outcomes in 107 LMICs.(13) However, ACS uptake in LMICs has been a subject of widespread debate.(13-18) 108 Two major evidence gaps remain. First, the current status of ACS use in LMICs is unknown 109 despite some outdated coverage data. Second, the structural readiness of health facilities in 110 LMICs to provide ACS based on international guidelines is unclear. In 2022, the WHO released 111 its recommendations emphasizing five conditions for safe and effective administration of ACS: 1) 112 GA can be accurately assessed, 2) there is a high likelihood of preterm birth within 7 days of starting ACS therapy, 3) there is no evidence of maternal infections, 4) adequate childbirth care 113 114 is available, and 5) the preterm newborn can receive adequate care.(2)

115 The WHO recommendations caution that these five conditions might not be met consistently 116 across settings because of varied capabilities, highlighting the potential harms of ACS in places 117 lacking the capacity to meet the criteria.(2). As a potent anti-inflammatory drug, ACS suppresses 118 immune functions. Maternal infections remain a major concern if ACS is given to vulnerable pregnant women who are ineligible for this intervention. Also, observational studies found 119 120 increased neurocognitive disorders among late preterm infants (born at GA 34 to 36 weeks) who were exposed to ACS.(19-21) The balance between the benefits and risks emphasized the 121 importance of locations in which ACS should be given. However, knowledge about facility 122 123 readiness in resource-constraint settings remains scarce. In 2021, Kankaria et al. found that 124 primary and secondary facilities in northern India were not ready to administer ACS safely, which is, to date and to our knowledge, the only study assessing facility readiness to give 125 126 ACS.(22) As facility readiness is crucial in providing healthcare of good quality (23), there is an 127 urgent need to expand evidence on ACS use in low resource countries.

This study aimed to address these knowledge gaps. The objectives were to assess ACS use,
corticosteroid availability, and facility readiness to administer ACS according to the WHO
criteria.

## 131 METHODS

#### 132 Study sample

This study used data from the Service Provision Assessment (SPA), a health facility survey on
service availability and quality of care.(24) We restricted our analysis to SPAs done in the past
10 years and used the latest survey available for countries with SPA data. This study included 10
surveys from 9 countries: Afghanistan 2018-2019, Bangladesh 2017-2018, Nepal 2021, Haiti
2017-2018, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) 2017-2018, Ethiopia 2021-2022, Malawi

| 138 | 2013-2014, Senegal 2018 and 2019, and Tanzania 2014-2015. All surveys were completed               |
|-----|--|
| 139 | before the release of the 2022 WHO recommendations but most, except Malawi 2013-2014 and           |
| 140 | Tanzania 2014-2015 surveys, were conducted after the WHO recommendations on interventions          |
| 141 | to improve preterm birth outcomes in 2015, which contained guidelines on ACS use.(25) The          |
| 142 | sampling strategies varied based on country needs.(26-35) The majority of surveys adopted          |
| 143 | stratified random sampling strategies to obtain a national representative sample in the            |
| 144 | country.(26-28, 31, 32) Malawi 2013-2014 and Haiti 2017-2018 SPAs were national census.(33,        |
| 145 | 35) Unlike other surveys, Afghanistan 2018-2019 mainly sampled urban hospitals.(34) Senegal        |
| 146 | implemented continuous SPA over a consecutive 5 years to survey all health facilities.(29, 30, 36) |
| 147 | In order to have similar sample sizes across countries, we merged two years (2018 and 2019) of     |
| 148 | data from Senegal (Supplemental Table 1). Our study used data from two core instruments (out       |
| 149 | of five) of the SPA survey questionnaire: facility inventory and health worker interviews. In each |
| 150 | country, only facilities that provided antenatal care (ANC), performed normal deliveries, and/or   |
| 151 | performed Cesarean deliveries were included.   |

#### 152 *Measures*

Our primary outcome was ACS utilization, defined as facilities that had ever provided ACS to pregnant women. We focused on two secondary outcomes: corticosteroid availability (injectable dexamethasone or betamethasone) and facility structural readiness. Most countries did not survey corticosteroid availability (except for Afghanistan 2018-2019) within the maternal and child health care sections in SPA surveys. We reported the availability of injectable corticosteroids that were surveyed in the section on medicines for non-communicable diseases.

- 159 We assessed facility readiness to provide ACS in accordance with the 2022 WHO
- 160 recommendations on ACS use. We identified 35 indicators from the SPA questionnaire, which

161 were grouped into four readiness categories based on the five WHO criteria (collapsing criterion 162 1 and criterion 2) as outlined in **Supplemental Table 2**. The first category focused on the 163 facility's ability to assess GA accurately and to identify pregnant women with a high likelihood 164 of preterm labor; we included only one indicator for this category (presence of a functional ultrasound). The second category included 4 indicators and covered the facility's ability to 165 166 identify maternal infections. The third and fourth categories included 13 and 17 indicators and 167 assessed the facility's readiness to provide adequate childbirth care and preterm newborn care, respectively. For each category, readiness indices were calculated by dividing the number of 168 169 indicators available by the total number of indicators assessed, where higher percentages 170 indicating higher readiness. An overall readiness index was calculated by averaging the readiness indices from four categories. This approach was chosen based on previous studies on facility 171 172 readiness to implement health improvement interventions.(37, 38)

#### 173 Statistical analysis

174 First, we presented descriptive statistics of ACS utilization, corticosteroid availability, and 175 facility readiness indices, taking into account survey sampling weights. Results were stratified by 176 three facility levels. Level 1 facilities were those that only provided ANC and did not conduct 177 deliveries; ANC was defined as the care that pregnant women receive before birth, including risk 178 identification, prevention, and management of pregnancy related health conditions, education, 179 and health promotion.(39) Level 2 facilities performed normal deliveries, but not Cesarean 180 deliveries, and level 3 facilities performed Cesarean deliveries. Because Afghanistan mainly sampled hospitals, which substantially differed from other countries, it was excluded from the 181 182 summary descriptive analysis of our outcomes of interest and included only in analyses by 183 facility levels.

| 184 | Second, we assessed the relationships between ACS use and, respectively, ACS availability, and       |
|-----|--|
| 185 | overall readiness indices at sub-national levels (e.g. regions in Ethiopia, provinces in DRC). This  |
| 186 | was driven by the hypothesis that facilities without corticosteroids or with low readiness might     |
| 187 | refer patients in need of ACS to facilities in geographic proximity. We averaged each of the three   |
| 188 | measures (ACS use, corticosteroid availability, and readiness index) for all facilities in each sub- |
| 189 | national region. Lastly, we examined the difference in the overall readiness index between           |
| 190 | facilities that had ever and never used ACS by country. All analyses were performed using R.         |

### 191 **RESULTS**

This study included a total of 8669 facilities from ten surveys in nine countries. All surveys had a
high response rate (median 94.9%) ranging from 88.8% in Afghanistan to 99.0% in Tanzania

## 194 (Supplemental Table 3).

195 The majority (88.9%, median; range 66.1% - 98.8%) of facilities provided maternal health care 196 services and were included in our analysis (Supplemental Table 3). The median sample size 197 was 929 facilities (median; range 108 -1500) (Table 1). Among eight countries (excluding 198 Afghanistan), 22.6% (median; range 7.1% - 88.7%) of facilities were urban. The proportion of 199 facilities of different levels varied across countries. Across eight countries, one third (median 200 32%) of the facilities were level 1 facilities that provided antenatal care, with Bangladesh having 201 the highest proportion (76.2%) of level 1 facilities and the DRC having the lowest proportion 202 (1.9%). The proportion of level 2 facilities was 59.4% (median) with Tanzania having the 203 highest proportion (83.3%) and Bangladesh with the lowest proportion (19.5%). Only 6.2% 204 (median) of facilities were level 3 facilities that performed Cesarean deliveries, with 26.5% of facilities in DRC and 2.7% in Ethiopia were level 3 (Table 1). 205

| 206 | ACS utilization. ACS was underutilized across all countries. Excluding Afghanistan, median         |
|-----|--|
| 207 | ACS use was 10.7%, ranging from 6.7% of facilities in Bangladesh to 35.2% of facilities in the     |
| 208 | DRC having ever administered ACS (Figure 1a). In Afghanistan, 68.7% of facilities had              |
| 209 | administered ACS at the time of the survey. We did not find higher ACS use in surveys that were    |
| 210 | done in more recently. While none of the level 1 facilities had provided ACS, ACS use increased    |
| 211 | by facility level (Figure 1b). Within facility level across 9 countries, 21.6% (median, range 5.0% |
| 212 | - 26.9%) of level 2 facilities and 76.3% (median, range 52.7% - 90.3%) of level 3 facilities had   |
| 213 | provided ACS.  |

214 ACS availability. Corticosteroid availability was limited. Across eight countries, a fourth of the facilities (median 25.3%, range 4.6% - 61.5%) had at least one valid corticosteroid (injectable 215 216 betamethasone or dexamethasone) available at the time of the survey, while 93.3% of facilities in 217 Afghanistan had corticosteroids available (Figure 1c). Corticosteroid availability generally 218 increased by facility level within each country (Figure 1d). Also, gaps between corticosteroid 219 availability and ACS utilization existed. For example, 48.5% of level 2 facilities in Nepal had 220 corticosteroid available at the time of survey but only 7.3% had ever used it (Supplemental 221 Figure 5).

*Facility readiness*. Readiness indices were low (**Figure 2**). Overall, only 22% of the facilities in the sample had an overall readiness index above 50%. Other than Afghanistan, overall readiness indices were low among the eight countries ranging from 8.1% in Bangladesh to 32.9% in Senegal. Afghanistan had an overall readiness index of 57.7%. We did not see higher overall readiness indices in surveys done in more recent years. Also, overall readiness indices increased by facility level. Across four readiness categories among 8 countries, facilities performed the worst in the ability to assess GA accurately, with a readiness index of 7.3% (median), followed

by the ability to identify maternal infection (median, 24.8%), provide adequate preterm care (median 31.3%), and provide adequate childbirth care (median 32.9%). When delving into the details of specific indicators among the 35 ones used, we found very limited availability for respiratory support-related equipment across countries in the readiness category of adequate

- preterm newborn care (**Supplemental Table 4**, **Supplemental Figures 1- 4**).
- ACS use, availability, and readiness at sub-national levels. At the sub-national level, positive
- associations were observed between corticosteroid availability and ACS use as well as between
- average readiness and ACS use (Figures 3a and 3b). A few Ethiopian regions (Dire Dawa and
- Harari) had an average overall readiness index above 50% but low ACS use (<25%). In contrast,
- some regions of the DRC (Kasaï Central, Kongo Central, Kinshasa, and Haut-Katanga) had low
- overall readiness indices (<50%), but ACS use greater than 50% (Figure 3b).
- At the facility level, overall readiness indices differed between facilities that had ever and never
- 241 provided ACS within each country (**Supplemental Figures 6-8**). In Afghanistan, Bangladesh,
- 242 DRC, Senegal, and Tanzania, facilities that had utilized ACS had higher overall readiness indices
- compared to facilities that had never used ACS. On the contrary, the results were reversed for
- 244 Nepal, Haiti, Ethiopia, and Malawi.

#### 245 **DISCUSSION**

- 246 This study assessed antenatal corticosteroid use, corticosteroid availability and structural
- readiness to administer the drug adequately based on international recommendations among
- 248 8,669 health facilities from nine resource-constrained countries. We had three major findings: 1)
- antenatal corticosteroids were substantially underused, 2) corticosteroid availability was limited,
- and 3) facilities in these countries had low levels of readiness.

| 251 | We found limited ACS use with only one out of ten health facilities having ever administered              |
|-----|---|
| 252 | ACS. However, it is challenging to compare our results with existing literature as previous work          |
| 253 | mostly measured ACS coverage among pregnant women who delivered preterm infants.(40-45)                   |
| 254 | A 2011 WHO maternal and newborn health survey for 29 countries found 54% of women who                     |
| 255 | gave birth at GA 26 to 34 weeks were given ACS, with the lowest use in Afghanistan (16%),                 |
| 256 | Nepal (20%), and DRC (16%).(41) Another analysis using the data from the 2015 Antenatal                   |
| 257 | Corticosteroids Trial (ACT trial) showed an overall low ACS use in control clusters; in Kenya,            |
| 258 | only 3.8 % of pregnant women of infants born with birthweight less than the 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile, a |
| 259 | proxy for preterm births, received ACS.(40) Our findings adds value in understanding the status           |
| 260 | of ACS use at the facility level. Also, we found that only one third of the facilities in the sample      |
| 261 | had either injectable dexamethasone or betamethasone. Dexamethasone is on the WHO List of                 |
| 262 | Essential Medicines, but limited availability remains a major barrier to ACS use.(46) Strategies          |
| 263 | to improve ACS coverage need to ensure drug availability.   |
|     |   |

The location where ACS is given is crucial to ensure safe and effective use. Two landmark 264 studies on the effects of ACS in LMICs presented conflicting results, highlighting the importance 265 of settings in which ACS is given.(47, 48) The 2015 ACT trial, a cluster randomized trial of a 266 267 multifaceted intervention to promote ACS use in six LMICs unexpectedly found increased 268 neonatal deaths and suspected maternal infections among intervention clusters.(47) On the 269 contrary, the WHO Antenatal Corticosteroids for Improving Outcomes in Preterm Newborns 270 Trial (ACTION-I trial) in 2020, an RCT in five LMICs, showed ACS reduced neonatal deaths 271 without increasing maternal infections.(48) These contradictory findings can partially be 272 explained by the different settings for the two trials; the ACT trial was done in all levels of care 273 including clinics and primary care centers, whereas the ACTION-I trials included secondary or

12

| 274 | tertiary hospitals.(48) The drastically different findings emphasized the importance of locations – |
|-----|---|
| 275 | or more precisely, the readiness of facilities – in providing ACS. Our study offered a strategy for |
| 276 | measuring facility readiness to implement this life-saving intervention. However,                   |
| 277 | recommendations on what levels of facilities should give ACS need to be made carefully.             |
| 278 | Assuming essential equipment, medicines and trained staff are available, some level 2 and level     |
| 279 | 3 facilities with high readiness that have never provided ACS should be targeted for the            |
| 280 | expansion of ACS use. Also, our sub-national level analyses showed that some provinces in           |
| 281 | DRC with low overall readiness (<50%) frequently administered ACS. Policymakers should              |
| 282 | ensure that ACS is delivered in well-equipped settings.   |
|     |   |
| 283 | Another critical issue centers around the different aspects of readiness to ensure safe and         |
| 284 | effective ACS use. Our readiness index was developed based on the WHO criteria. However, it         |
| 285 | is debatable, first, whether some criteria could be met across facility levels, and second, whether |
| 286 | facilities need to meet all criteria to safely and effectively administer ACS. For example,         |
| 287 | ultrasound examination in early pregnancy is the gold standard for GA assessment. However, we       |
| 288 | found that only 7.3% (median across eight countries, range 0.2% to 12.3%; 82.4% for                 |
| 289 | Afghanistan) of maternal care facilities had a functional ultrasound. Access to ultrasound for GA   |
| 290 | dating remains a major barrier to proper ACS use despite its increasing use in obstetric care in    |
| 291 | LMICs.(17, 49) In this case, GA dating should occur early in pregnancy, while ACS use occurs        |
| 292 | later in pregnancy. Thus, the decision to administer ACS should not be based on the ultrasound      |
| 293 | availability in place, but the availability of accurate GA assessment (which may come from care     |
| 294 | obtained at another facility). In addition, regarding the WHO recommendations for adequate          |
| 295 | preterm newborn care, one important element is non-invasive respiratory support, such as            |
| 296 | continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP). However, this recommendation might exclude most         |

297 preterm infants who might benefit from ACS use because they usually do not have access to 298 respiratory support in LMICs.(50) A similar concern applies to adequate childbirth care, 299 referring to nine signal functions of Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care 300 (CEmONC), including blood transfusions and Cesarean sections. Again, it is debatable whether a 301 facility can give ACS only when it can do blood transfusions. 302 Our study had several strengths. To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess ACS use, 303 availability and structural readiness to give ACS at the facility level. Also, we included data from 304 multiple countries to understand the landscape of ACS use and identify policy directions in areas 305 with a high burden of preterm births. Nonetheless, our study has a few limitations. First, 306 sampling strategies and survey years varied across countries spanning 9 years. All surveys were 307 done prior to the release of the 2022 WHO recommendations while most of them were completed after the release of 2015 WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm 308 309 birth outcomes that contained guidelines on ACS utilization. (2, 25) Also, countries have 310 different national guidelines regarding ACS use. One policy analysis on ACS use in Africa 311 found ACS could be given in lower levels of care before referral in Ethiopia and Tanzania, but 312 DRC and Malawi only allowed ACS use in hospitals.(51) Hence, we caution careful 313 interpretations when comparing across countries. Secondly, some readiness indicators served as 314 proxies. For instance, SPA surveys do not assess the availability of corticosteroids and 315 ultrasound in the maternal care section. Instead, corticosteroid availability is surveyed in the 316 section for medicines for non-communicable diseases, and ultrasound availability is assessed 317 within the facility in general. We also used the availability of rapid diagnostic tests for HIV and 318 syphilis as a proxy to estimate facilities' ability to detect maternal infections. This approach 319 might overestimate or underestimate true availability or readiness. Thirdly, we were unable to

- draw correlations between ACS use or facility readiness with preterm health outcomes becauseSPAs do not have patient-level data for newborns.
- 322 Antenatal corticosteroid use has gained tremendous international attention as its population-level health benefits could be profound.(12, 52-55) ACS should not be used as a "just-in-case" 323 324 intervention.(56) It should be given to the right people (pregnant women at risk of imminent 325 preterm labor and without maternal infections), at the right time (the specified GA window), and 326 in the right place (settings that are properly equipped and ready to provide quality maternal and 327 newborn care). Future research and programs should operationalize readiness measurement and 328 assess facility readiness for safe and effective ACS use to enhance readiness and encourage ACS uptake among well-prepared facilities. 329
- **Disclosure of relationships and activities:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform
- disclosure form at <u>www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf</u> and declare no support from any
- organization for submitted work; no financial relationships with any organization that might have
- an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities
- that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.
- 335 Supporting information
- 336 S1 Supplementary file

# References

1. McGoldrick E, Stewart F, Parker R, Dalziel SR. Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;12(12):Cd004454. Epub 2020/12/29. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004454.pub4. PubMed PMID: 33368142; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8094626 Stuart Dalziel reports receiving research funding, not associated with the review topic, from Cure Kids, Health Research Council, and Starship Foundation, New Zealand. Stuart Dalziel is employed by The University of Auckland and Auckland District Health Board. He is also on the board of the Advanced Paediatric Life Support New Zealand.

2. The World Health Organization. 2022 WHO recommendations on ACS to improve preterm birth outcomes. 2022. Epub 2022/09/29.

3. Reddy UM, Deshmukh U, Dude A, Harper L, Osmundson SS. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine Consult Series #58: Use of antenatal corticosteroids for individuals at risk for late preterm delivery: Replaces SMFM Statement #4, Implementation of the use of antenatal corticosteroids in the late preterm birth period in women at risk for preterm delivery, August 2016. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2021;225(5):B36-b42. Epub 2021/08/08. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.07.023. PubMed PMID: 34363784.

4. Norman J, Shennan A, Jacobsson B, Stock SJ, Birth FWGfP. FIGO good practice recommendations on the use of prenatal corticosteroids to improve outcomes and minimize harm in babies born preterm. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2021;155(1):26-30. Epub 2021/09/15. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.13836. PubMed PMID: 34520057.

5. El-Sayed YY, Borders AEB, Gyamfi-Bannerma C. ACOG committee opinion, Antenatal Corticosteroid Therapy for Fetal Maturation The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. 2017;130(2):e102-e9.

6. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller AB, Lumbiganon P, Petzold M, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels of preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. The Lancet Global health. 2019;7(1):e37-e46. Epub 2018/11/06. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(18)30451-0. PubMed PMID: 30389451; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6293055.

7. Ohuma EO, Moller AB, Bradley E, Chakwera S, Hussain-Alkhateeb L, Lewin A, et al. National, regional, and global estimates of preterm birth in 2020, with trends from 2010: a systematic analysis. Lancet (London, England). 2023;402(10409):1261-71. Epub 2023/10/08. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)00878-4. PubMed PMID: 37805217.

8. Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, Villavicencio F, Lopez G, Strong KL, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000-19: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. The Lancet Child & adolescent health. 2022;6(2):106-15. Epub 2021/11/21. doi: 10.1016/s2352-4642(21)00311-4. PubMed PMID: 34800370; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8786667.

9. The World Health Organization. Newborn mortality 2024 [cited 2024 May 13]. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/westernpacific/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/newborn-mortality</u>.

10. Sankar MJ, Natarajan CK, Das RR, Agarwal R, Chandrasekaran A, Paul VK. When do newborns die? A systematic review of timing of overall and cause-specific neonatal deaths in developing countries. Journal of perinatology : official journal of the California Perinatal Association. 2016;36 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S1-s11. Epub 2016/04/26. doi: 10.1038/jp.2016.27. PubMed PMID: 27109087; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4848744.

11. Hofmeyr GJ, Black RE, Rogozińska E, Heuer A, Walker N, Ashorn P, et al. Evidence-based antenatal interventions to reduce the incidence of small vulnerable newborns and their associated poor outcomes. Lancet (London, England). 2023;401(10389):1733-44. Epub 2023/05/12. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)00355-0. PubMed PMID: 37167988.

12. Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Bahl R, Lawn JE, Salam RA, Paul VK, et al. Can available interventions end preventable deaths in mothers, newborn babies, and stillbirths, and at what cost? Lancet (London, England). 2014;384(9940):347-70. Epub 2014/05/24. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60792-3. PubMed PMID: 24853604.

13. Jobe AH, Kemp MW, Kamath-Rayne B, Schmidt AF. Antenatal corticosteroids for low and middle income countries. Semin Perinatol. 2019;43(5):241-6. Epub 2019/04/14. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2019.03.012. PubMed PMID: 30979597.

14. Sultana S, Vogel JP, Oladapo OT. The efficacy of antenatal corticosteroids to improve preterm newborn outcomes in low-resource countries: Are we there yet? BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology. 2023. Epub 2023/08/02. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.17611. PubMed PMID: 37530472.

15. Vogel JP, Oladapo OT, Pileggi-Castro C, Adejuyigbe EA, Althabe F, Ariff S, et al. Antenatal corticosteroids for women at risk of imminent preterm birth in low-resource countries: the case for equipoise and the need for efficacy trials. BMJ Glob Health. 2017;2(3):e000398. Epub 2017/10/31. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000398. PubMed PMID: 29082019; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5656119.

16. McClure EM, Goldenberg RL, Jobe AH, Miodovnik M, Koso-Thomas M, Buekens P, et al. Reducing neonatal mortality associated with preterm birth: gaps in knowledge of the impact of antenatal corticosteroids on preterm birth outcomes in low-middle income countries. Reprod Health. 2016;13(1):61. Epub 2016/05/26. doi: 10.1186/s12978-016-0180-6. PubMed PMID: 27221397; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4877818.

17. Townsend R, Calvert C. Antenatal corticosteroids in adequately equipped facilities in lowresource settings. The Lancet Global health. 2022;10(10):e1379-e80. Epub 2022/09/17. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(22)00387-4. PubMed PMID: 36113517.

18. Azad K, Costello A. Extreme caution is needed before scale-up of antenatal corticosteroids to reduce preterm deaths in low-income settings. The Lancet Global health. 2014;2(4):e191-2. Epub 2014/08/12. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(14)70020-8. PubMed PMID: 25103050.

19. Ninan K, Liyanage SK, Murphy KE, Asztalos EV, McDonald SD. Evaluation of Long-term Outcomes Associated With Preterm Exposure to Antenatal Corticosteroids: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA pediatrics. 2022;176(6):e220483. Epub 2022/04/12. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2022.0483. PubMed PMID: 35404395; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9002717.

20. Lin YH, Lin CH, Lin MC, Hsu YC, Hsu CT. Antenatal Corticosteroid Exposure Is Associated with Childhood Mental Disorders in Late Preterm and Term Infants. J Pediatr. 2022. Epub 2022/10/07. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2022.09.050. PubMed PMID: 36202238.

21. Raikkonen K, Gissler M, Kajantie E. Associations Between Maternal Antenatal Corticosteroid Treatment and Mental and Behavioral Disorders in Children. JAMA. 2020;323(19):1924-33. Epub 2020/05/20. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.3937. PubMed PMID: 32427304; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7237984.

22. Kankaria A, Duggal M, Chauhan A, Sarkar D, Dalpath S, Kumar A, et al. Readiness to Provide Antenatal Corticosteroids for Threatened Preterm Birth in Public Health Facilities in Northern India. Global health, science and practice. 2021;9(3):575-89. Epub 2021/10/02. doi: 10.9745/ghsp-d-20-00716. PubMed PMID: 34593583; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8514043.

23. Leslie HH, Spiegelman D, Zhou X, Kruk ME. Service readiness of health facilities in Bangladesh, Haiti, Kenya, Malawi, Namibia, Nepal, Rwanda, Senegal, Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2017;95(11):738-48. Epub 2017/11/18. doi: 10.2471/blt.17.191916. PubMed PMID: 29147054; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5677617.

24. The DHS program. The Demographic and Health Surveys, The Service Provision Assessment USAID; [cited 2023 July 20]. Available from: <u>https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/Survey-</u> Types/SPA.cfm.

25. The World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm birth outcomes. 2015

26. Ministry of Health, ICF. Nepal Health Facility Survey 2021. Kathmandu, Nepal, Rockville, Maryland, USA: Ministry of Health and Population, New ERA and ICF, 2022.

27. Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Ministry of Health E, ICF. Ethiopia Service Provision Assessment 2021-22. 2021.

28. National Institute of Population Research, Ministry of Health, ICF. Bangladesh Health Facility Survey 2017. Dhaka, Bangladesh: NIPORT - ICF, 2020.

29. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie/ANSD, ICF. Senegal: Enquête Continue sur la Prestation des Services de Soins de Santé (ECPSS) 2018. Dakar, Sénégal: ANSD/ICF, 2020.

30. Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie , ICF. Senegal: Enquête Continue sur la Prestation des Services de Soins de Santé (ECPSS) 2019. Dakar, Sénégal: ANSD/ICF, 2020.

31. Ecole de Santé Publique de Kishasa ESPK/Kinshasa RDdC, ICF. Republique Democratique du Congo Évaluation des Presentations des Services de souns de Santé EPSS 2017-2018. Kinshasa, République Démocratique du Congo: ESPK and ICF, 2019.

32. Ministry of Health Tanzania, National Bureau of Statistics Tanzania, Office of Chief Government Statistician/Tanzania, ICF International. Tanzania Service Provision Assessment Survey 2014-2015. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: MoHSW/Tanzania, MoH/Tanzania, NBS/Tanzania, OCGS/Tanzania, and ICF International, 2016.

33. Ministry of Health - MoH/Malawi, ICF International. Malawi Service Provision Assessment 2013-14. Lilongwe, Malawi: MoH/Malawi and ICF International, 2014.

34. The World Bank. The World Bank Microdata Library. Service Provision Assessment Survey Afghanistan 2018-2019 Available from: <u>https://microdata.worldbank.org/index.php/catalog/3645/study-description</u>.

35. Institut Haïtien de l'Enfance , ICF. Haiti Évaluation de la Prestation des Services de Soins de Santé (EPSSS 2017-2018). 2019.

36. The DHS program. Continuous Service Provision Assessment.

37. Mallick L, Temsah G, Wang W. Comparing summary measures of quality of care for family planning in Haiti, Malawi, and Tanzania. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(6):e0217547. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0217547.

38. Sheffel A, Zeger S, Heidkamp R, Munos MK. Development of summary indices of antenatal care service quality in Haiti, Malawi and Tanzania. BMJ Open. 2019;9(12):e032558. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032558.

39. The World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. 2016.

40. Berrueta M, Hemingway-Foday J, Thorsten VR, Goldenberg RL, Carlo WA, Garces A, et al. Use of antenatal corticosteroids at health facilities and communities in low-and-middle income countries. Reprod Health. 2016;13(1):66. Epub 2016/05/28. doi: 10.1186/s12978-016-0176-2. PubMed PMID: 27228986; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4882797.

41. Vogel JP, Souza JP, Gülmezoglu AM, Mori R, Lumbiganon P, Qureshi Z, et al. Use of antenatal corticosteroids and tocolytic drugs in preterm births in 29 countries: an analysis of the WHO Multicountry Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health. Lancet (London, England). 2014;384(9957):1869-77. Epub 2014/08/17. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)60580-8. PubMed PMID: 25128271.

42. Riganti AA, Cafferata ML, Althabe F, Gibbons L, Segarra JO, Sandoval X, et al. Use of prenatal corticosteroids for preterm birth in three Latin American countries. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2010;108(1):52-7. Epub 2009/11/07. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2009.08.022. PubMed PMID: 19892349; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3401047.

43. Pattanittum P, Ewens MR, Laopaiboon M, Lumbiganon P, McDonald SJ, Crowther CA. Use of antenatal corticosteroids prior to preterm birth in four South East Asian countries within the SEA-ORCHID project. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2008;8:47. Epub 2008/10/18. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-8-47. PubMed PMID: 18925968; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2596081.

44. Krauss Silva L PT, Franklin R, Oliveira N. Assessment of quality of obstetric care and corticoid use in preterm labor. Cadernos de Salude Publica. 1999;15(4):1-23.

45. Tita AT, Selwyn BJ, Waller DK, Kapadia AS, Dongmo S. Evidence-based reproductive health care in Cameroon: population-based study of awareness, use and barriers. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2005;83(12):895-903. Epub 2006/02/08. PubMed PMID: 16462981; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2626486.

46. The World Health Organization. The WHO Model List of Essential Medicines. 2023.

47. Althabe F, Belizán JM, McClure EM, Hemingway-Foday J, Berrueta M, Mazzoni A, et al. A population-based, multifaceted strategy to implement antenatal corticosteroid treatment versus standard care for the reduction of neonatal mortality due to preterm birth in low-income and middle-income countries: the ACT cluster-randomised trial. The Lancet. 2015;385(9968):629-39. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)61651-2.

48. Collaborators WAT, Oladapo OT, Vogel JP, Piaggio G, Nguyen MH, Althabe F, et al. Antenatal Dexamethasone for Early Preterm Birth in Low-Resource Countries. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(26):2514-25. Epub 2020/10/24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2022398. PubMed PMID: 33095526; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7660991.

49. Zahroh RI, Hazfiarini A, Eddy KE, Vogel JP, Tunçalp 🛛, Minckas N, et al. Factors influencing appropriate use of interventions for management of women experiencing preterm birth: A mixed-methods systematic review and narrative synthesis. PLoS medicine. 2022;19(8):e1004074. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1004074.

50. Hall M, Valencia CM, Soma-Pillay P, Luyt K, Jacobsson B, Shennan A. Effective and simple interventions to improve outcomes for preterm infants worldwide: The FIGO PremPrep-5 initiative. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2024;165(3):929-35. Epub 2024/01/24. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.15269. PubMed PMID: 38264849.

51. Greensides D, Robb-McCord J, Noriega A, Litch JA. Antenatal Corticosteroids for Women at Risk of Imminent Preterm Birth in 7 sub-Saharan African Countries: A Policy and Implementation Landscape Analysis. Global health, science and practice. 2018;6(4):644-56. Epub 2018/12/24. doi: 10.9745/ghsp-d-18-00171. PubMed PMID: 30573455; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6370350.

52. Sebastian E, Bykersma C, Eggleston A, Eddy KE, Chim ST, Zahroh RI, et al. Cost-effectiveness of antenatal corticosteroids and tocolytic agents in the management of preterm birth: A systematic review. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;49:101496. Epub 2022/06/25. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2022.101496. PubMed PMID: 35747187; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9167884.

53. Eddy KE, Vogel JP, Scott N, Fetene D, Tidhar T, Oladapo OT, et al. Antenatal dexamethasone for improving preterm newborn outcomes in low-resource countries: a cost-effectiveness analysis of the WHO ACTION-I trial. The Lancet Global Health. 2022;10(10):e1523-e33. doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(22)00340-0.

54. Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. 2023 Goalkeepers Report. 2023.

55. The United Nations Population Fund. UN Commission on Life-Saving Commodities for Women and Children Commissioners' Report. 2012.

56. Norman J, Shennan A, Jacobsson B, Stock SJ. FIGO good practice recommendations on the use of prenatal corticosteroids to improve outcomes and minimize harm in babies born preterm. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2021;155(1):26-30. Epub 2021/09/15. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.13836. PubMed PMID: 34520057.

# **Figure legends**

Figure 1a. Utilization of antenatal corticosteroids by country

- Figure 1b. Utilization of antenatal corticosteroids by country and facility level
- Figure 1c. Availability of at least one valid corticosteroid by country
- Figure 1d. Availability of at least one valid corticosteroid by country and facility level
- Figure 2. Facility readiness by country, readiness category, and facility level
- Figure 3a. Corticosteroid availability versus ACS utilization by region

Figure 3b. Overall readiness indices versus ACS utilization by region

| Tuble I. C  |               | s of surveys and re                                  | iemnes meruueu i   | in the study   |  |   |   |
|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Country     | Survey year   | Number of<br>facilities surveyed <sup>1</sup>        | Number of<br>facilities included<br>in the analysis <sup>2</sup> | Urban location   |  | Facility type   |   |
|             |               |  |  |  | Level 1:<br>Facilities that provide<br>antenatal care          | Level 2:<br>Facilities that perform<br>normal delivery          | Level 3:<br>Facilities that perform<br>Cesarean section       |
|             |               | <b>N = 9793</b><br>Median 1158<br>(range 142 - 1576) | <b>N = 8669</b><br>Median 929<br>(range 108 - 1500)              | Median 22.6% <sup>3</sup><br>(range 7.1% - 88.7%) <sup>3</sup> | Median 32.0% <sup>3</sup><br>(range 1.9% - 76.2%) <sup>3</sup> | Median 59.4% <sup>3</sup><br>(range 19.5% - 83.3%) <sup>3</sup> | Median 6.2% <sup>3</sup><br>(range 2.7% - 26.5%) <sup>3</sup> |
| South Asia  |               |  |  |  |  |   |   |
| Afghanistan | 2018-2019     | 142  | 108  | 107 (99.4%)  | 7 (4.1%)   | 10 (10.1%)  | 91 (85.9%)  |
| Bangladesh  | 2017-2018     | 1524   | 1498   | 379 (7.1%)   | 676 (76.2%)  | 551 (19.5%)   | 271 (4.4%)  |
| Nepal       | 2021          | 1576   | 1500   | 961 (53.2%)  | 693 (47.5%)  | 565 (47.1%)   | 242 (5.3%)  |
| Caribbean   |               |  |  |  |  |   |   |
| Haiti       | 2017-2018     | 1007   | 929  | 340 (36.5%)  | 567 (61.0%)  | 255 (27.5%)   | 107 (11.5%)   |
| Sub-Saharar | n Africa      |  |  |  |  |   |   |
| DRC         | 2017-2018     | 1380   | 1364   | 297 (22.0%)  | 10 (1.9%)  | 511 (71.6%)   | 843 (26.5%)   |
| Ethiopia    | 2021-2022     | 1158   | 911  | 455 (18.2%)  | 261 (75.0%)  | 309 (22.3%)   | 341 (2.7%)  |
| Malawi      | 2013-2014     | 977  | 645  | 119 (18.6%)  | 103 (16.5%)  | 471 (72.6%)   | 71 (10.9%)  |
| Senegal     | 2018 and 2019 | 841  | 658  | 594 (88.7%)  | 65 (14.3%)   | 531 (78.6%)   | 62 (7.1%)   |
| Tanzania    | 2014-2015     | 1188   | 1056   | 325 (19.1%)  | 104 (11.5%)  | 681 (83.3%)   | 271 (5.2%)  |

## Table 1. Characteristics of surveys and facilities included in the study

<sup>1</sup> A total of 625 facilities that were sampled had a sampling weight of zero, indicating non-response, refusal, or closure. Those facilities were not surveyed and were excluded.

<sup>2</sup> Only facilities that provided either antenatal care, performed normal delivery or Cesarean section were included in the analysis.

<sup>3</sup> The median and range were obtained across eight countries excluding Afghanistan because its survey used a remarkably different sampling strategy.

Figure 1a. Utilization of antenatal corticosteroids by country
Figure 1b. Utilization of antenatal corticosteroids by country and facility level
Figure 1c. Availability of at least one valid corticosteroid by country
Figure 1d. Availability of at least one valid corticosteroid by country and facility level



AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Halti 2017-2018; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 2021-2022; IWV13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015

# Figure 2. Facility readiness by country, readiness category, and facility level

| All facilities     |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |                               |   |   |   |
|--------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| G                  | SA assessment and identify preterm labor index   | 82.4%   | 3.6%   | 12.3%   | 10.8%   | 10.7%  | 4.5%  | 10%                                    | 0%                            | 4.6%                                      | 7.3%                                      |   |
| readiness category | Identify maternal infection index  | 49.5%   | 1.9%   | 23.1%   | 26.5%   | 15.3%  | 18.7%   | 38.5%                                  | 40.9%                         | 48.3%                                     | 24.8%                                     | readiness level   |
|                    | Adequate childbirth care index   | 50.3%   | 8.5%   | 28.5%   | 14.5%   | 37.3%  | 14.6%   | 46.9%                                  | 49.1%                         | 37.9%                                     | 32.9%                                     | 0-20%<br>21-40%<br>41-60%   |
|                    | Adequate preterm newborn care index  | 48.5%   | 18.3%  | 30%   | 28.7%   | 32.7%  | 37%   | 32.5%                                  | 41.6%                         | 27.4%                                     | 31.3%                                     | 81-100%   |
|                    | Overall readiness index  | 57.7%   | 8.1%   | 23.5%   | 20.1%   | 24%  | 18.2%   | 32%                                    | 32.9%                         | 29.1%                                     | 23.7%                                     |   |
|                    |  | AFG18   | BD17   | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17  | ET21  | MW13                                   | SN1819                        | TZ14                                      | median*                                   |   |
|                    | I  | _evel 1 f   | acilities  |   |   |  |   |  |                               |   |   |   |
| G                  | A assessment and identify preterm labor index  | 41.2%   | 0.7%   | 5.3%  | 3.2%  | 12.5%  | 1.2%  | 3.8%                                   | 0%                            | 2.9%                                      | 3.2%                                      |   |
| Anoge              | Identify maternal infection index  | 35.3%   | 0.5%   | 3.8%  | 14.4%   | 13.3%  | 4.2%  | 19.9%                                  | 27.2%                         | 32.2%                                     | 14.4%                                     | readiness level   |
| iness cate         | Adequate childbirth care index   | 0%  | 0%   | 0%  | 0%  | 0%   | 0%  | 0%                                     | 0%                            | 0%  | 0%  | 0-20%   |
| read               | Adequate preterm newborn care index  | 18.5%   | 13.5%  | 15.4%   | 18.2%   | 20.3%  | 17.6%   | 14.1%                                  | 21.8%                         | 17.5%                                     | 17.5%                                     | 41-60%  |
|                    | Overall readiness index  | 23.7%   | 3.7%   | 6.1%  | 8.4%  | 11.5%  | 5.7%  | 9.4%                                   | 12.3%                         | 13.1%                                     | 9.4%                                      |   |
|                    |  | AFG18   | BD17   | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17  | ET21  | MW13                                   | SN1819                        | TZ14                                      | median                                    |   |
|                    | I  | Level 2 f   | acilities  |   |   |  |   |  |                               |   |   |   |
| G                  | A assessment and identify preterm labor index –  | 67%   | 1.7%   | 10.3%   | 5.5%  | 1.2%   | 6.1%  | 1.5%                                   | 0%                            | 1%  | 1.7%                                      | _   |
| gory               | Identify maternal infection index  | 39.9%   | 1.5%   | 37.8%   | 43.4%   | 12.8%  | 52.6%   | 39.1%                                  | 43.6%                         | 48.9%                                     | 39.9%                                     | readiness_level   |
| iness cate         | Adequate childbirth care index   | 34.8%   | 29.8%  | 51.6%   | 31.5%   | 33%  | 55.8%   | 53.5%                                  | 55.9%                         | 41.1%                                     | 41.1%                                     | 0-20%<br>21-40%<br>41-60%   |
| read               | Adequate preterm newborn care index  | 28.9%   | 29.2%  | 41.6%   | 43.6%   | 29%  | 39.5%   | 32.6%                                  | 43%                           | 27.1%                                     | 32.6%                                     | 61-80%  |
|                    | Overall readiness index  | 42.6%   | 15.5%  | 35.3%   | 31%   | 19%  | 38.5%   | 31.7%                                  | 35.6%                         | 29%                                       | 31.7%                                     |   |
|                    |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  | SN1010                        | T714                                      | median                                    |   |
|                    |  | AFG18   | BD17   | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17  | ET21  | MW13                                   | 3141015                       | 1214                                      |   |   |
|                    | I  | AFG18   | BD17<br>acilities  | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17  | ET21  | MW13                                   | 3141013                       | 1214                                      |   |   |
| G                  | l  | AFG18<br>_evel 3 f  | BD17<br>acilities  | NP21  | HT17<br>63.5%                                     | 38.2%  | ET21  | MW13                                   | 0%                            | 65.1%                                     | 65.1%                                     |   |
| G<br>Alobi         | L<br>A assessment and identify preterm labor index<br>Identify maternal infection index  | AFG18<br>_evel 3 fi<br>08.2%<br>51.4%                         | BD17<br>acilities<br>62.8%<br>28.4%                            | 93.3%<br>67.3%                                    | HT17<br>63.5%<br>50.2%                            | 38.2%<br>22.4%                                     | ET21  | 76%<br>62.3%                           | 0%                            | 85.1%                                     | 85.1%<br>51.4%                            | readiness level   |
| ness category      | L<br>A assessment and identify preterm labor index<br>Identify maternal infection index<br>Adequate childbirth care index  | AFG18<br>Level 3 fr<br>80 2%<br>51.4%                         | BD17<br>acilities<br>e2.8%<br>28.4%<br>01.9%                   | NP21<br>93.3%<br>67.3%                            | HT17<br>83.5%<br>50.2%<br>50.6%                   | DRC17<br>38.2%<br>22.4%<br>51.6%                   | ET21<br>84.9%<br>70.4%                          | MW13<br>78%<br>82.3%<br>74.8%          | 0%<br>38.8%<br>73.7%          | 65.1%<br>67.8%<br>70.5%                   | 85.1%<br>51.4%<br>70.5%                   | readiness level<br>0-20%<br>21-40%<br>41-60%                      |
| readiness category | L<br>SA assessment and identify preterm labor index<br>Identify maternal infection index<br>Adequate childbirth care index<br>Adequate preterm newborn care index                      | AFG18<br>.evel 3 fr<br>50.2%<br>51.4%<br>54.5%<br>52.2%       | BD17<br>acilities<br>02.8%<br>23.4%<br>01.9%                   | NP21<br>93.3%<br>67.3%<br>78.4%                   | HT17<br>03.5%<br>50.2%<br>50.6%<br>69.1%          | DRC17<br>36.2%<br>22.4%<br>51.5%                   | ET21<br>84.9%<br>70.4%<br>80%<br>61.5%          | MW13<br>76%<br>62.3%<br>74.6%<br>69.6% | 0%<br>38.8%<br>73.7%          | 65.1%<br>67.8%<br>70.5%<br>53.8%          | 05.1%<br>51.4%<br>70.6%<br>67.9%          | readiness level<br>0-20%<br>21-40%<br>41-60%<br>61-80%<br>81-100% |
| readiness category | A assessment and identify preterm labor index<br>Identify maternal infection index<br>Adequate childbirth care index<br>Adequate preterm newborn care index<br>Overall readiness index | AFG18<br><b></b><br>60.2%<br>51.4%<br>54.5%<br>52.2%<br>01.1% | BD17<br>acilities<br>02.8%<br>28.4%<br>01.9%<br>52.9%<br>51.5% | NP21<br>93.3%<br>67.3%<br>78.4%<br>67.9%<br>67.9% | HT17<br>03.5%<br>60.2%<br>60.6%<br>69.1%<br>65.9% | DRC17<br>36.2%<br>22.4%<br>51.5%<br>43.6%<br>38.4% | ET21<br>84.9%<br>70.4%<br>80%<br>61.5%<br>74.2% | MW13<br>76%<br>02.3%<br>74.6%<br>69.6% | 0%<br>38.8%<br>73.7%<br>65.9% | 05.1%<br>07.8%<br>70.5%<br>53.8%<br>04.3% | 05.1%<br>51.4%<br>70.5%<br>57.9%<br>01.1% | readiness level<br>0-20%<br>21-40%<br>41-60%<br>61-80%<br>81-100% |

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Haiti 2017-2018; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015; median\*: median across eight countries, excluding AFG18





AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Haili 2017; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015

# Antenatal corticosteroids for pregnant women at risk of preterm labor in lowand middle-income countries: utilization and facility readiness

Supplementary file

| Contents              |  | Page |
|-----------------------|--|------|
| Supplemental Table 1  | Sampling strategies of included SPA surveys  | 3    |
| Supplemental Table 2  | The indicators used to assess facility readiness based on the 2022 WHO recommendations on ACS            | 4    |
| Supplemental Table 3  | Response rates and number of facilities included in the analysis for each survey                         | 5    |
| Supplemental Table 4  | Facility structural readiness for 35 indicators by country and facility level                            | 6    |
| Supplemental Figure 1 | Heatmap of facility readiness for all facilities by country  | 11   |
| Supplemental Figure 2 | Heatmap of facility readiness for level 1 facilities by country  | 12   |
| Supplemental Figure 3 | Heatmap of facility readiness for level 2 facilities by country  | 13   |
| Supplemental Figure 4 | Heatmap of facility readiness for level 3 facilities by country  | 14   |
| Supplemental Figure 5 | ACS utilization and corticosteroid availability facility level   | 15   |
| Supplemental Figure 6 | Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for all facilities     | 16   |
| Supplemental Figure 7 | Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for level 2 facilities | 17   |
| Supplemental Figure 8 | Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for level 3 facilities | 18   |

| Survey                  | Sampling strategy   |
|-------------------------|---|
| Afghanistan 2018-2019   | The survey focused on major public and private hospitals in the main seven provinces (including Nangarhar, Paktya, Kunduz,        |
|                         | Balkh, Kandahar, and Herat, and Kabul) in the country. Among six provinces excluding Kabul, all 12 public hospitals, 37           |
|                         | private hospitals, and 52 private clinics were included. In Kabul, all 26 public and 20 private hospitals were surveyed, but a    |
|                         | randomly selected sample of 13 private clinics (out of 84) were included.   |
| Bangladesh 2017-2018    | The survey adopted a stratified random sampling strategy for 1,600 facilities to obtain nationally representative data for        |
|                         | different facility types, different facility management authorities, and each of the eight divisions (Barisal, Chittagong, Dhaka, |
|                         | Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur, and Sylhet) of the country.  |
| Nepal 2021              | The survey adopted a stratified random sampling strategy for 1,633 health facilities through an equal probability systematic      |
|                         | sampling. All government hospitals, all non-government hospitals with at least one bed, and all non-government hospitals in       |
|                         | the provinces of Karnali and Sudurpashchim were included because of their small numbers. Also, all primary health care            |
|                         | centers (PHCCs) and stand-alone HIV testing and counseling centers (stand-alone HTCs) were included.                              |
| Haiti 2017-2018         | The survey was a national census of all operational health facilities in the country during the survey period of 2017 to 2018.    |
| Demographic Republic of | The survey adopted a probability sampling strategy from 12,059 health facilities in the country, excluding health posts. On       |
| Congo 2017-2018         | average, approximately 50 facilities were selected for each of the 26 provinces, reaching a sample of 1,380 facilities.           |
| Ethiopia 2021-2022      | The survey adopted a stratified random sampling strategy reaching a sample of 1,407 health facilities via an unequal              |
|                         | probability systematic sampling. Within each region, stratification was achieved by facility type. All public and private         |
|                         | hospitals were included because of their small numbers and crucial roles in the country's health system. Health centers were      |
|                         | sampled but all health centers in Dire Dawa and Harari were included. Clinics were sampled with all higher clinics included       |
|                         | and all clinics in Harari included. Health posts were sampled.  |
| Malawi 2013-2014        | The survey was a national census of all formal-sector health facilities, including public, private and other managing authority   |
|                         | types, in the country during the survey period between 2013 to 2014.  |
| Senegal 2018 and 2019   | Senegal implemented a continuous SPA, which was designed to continuously collect data on health facilities over a period of 5     |
|                         | years from 2012 to 2017, aiming to survey all health facilities in the country.   |
| Tanzania 2014-2015      | The survey was a sample of formal-sector health facilities, including public and private, during the survey period between 2014   |
|                         | to 2015. The sample was selected to obtain national representative data by facility type, managing authority type, and regions.   |

# Supplemental Table 1. Sampling strategies of included SPA surveys

# **Supplemental Table 2.** The indicators used to assess facility readiness based on the 2022 WHO recommendations on ACS use

Antenatal corticosteroid therapy is recommended for women with a high likelihood of preterm birth from 24 weeks to 34 weeks of gestation when the following conditions (1 to 5) are met:

| WHO conditions/criteria   | Readiness categories  | Indica                                       | SPA dataset   |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| <ol> <li>Gestational age assessment can be<br/>accurately undertaken.</li> <li>There is a high likelihood of<br/>preterm birth within 7 days of starting<br/>therapy.</li> </ol>  | Readiness to accurately<br>assess GA and identify<br>high likelihoods of<br>preterm labor | Equipment                                    | Inventory<br>dataset  |  |
| 3. There is no clinical evidence of maternal infection.   | Readiness to identify maternal infections   | Equipment<br>Diagnostics                     | thermometer<br>hematology analyzer<br>HIV rapid diagnostic test<br>syphilis rapid diagnostic test   | -  |
| 4. Adequate childbirth care is<br>available (including capacity to<br>recognize and safely manage preterm<br>labor and birth).  | Readiness to provide<br>adequate childbirth care  | Equipment                                    | delivery pack         cord clamp         manual vacuum extractor         vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit         forceps (large)         forceps (medium)  | -  |
|   |   | Medicines<br>and<br>commodities<br>Staff and | parenteral antibiotics<br>parenteral antibiotics<br>parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam)<br>parenteral oxytocin<br>a health worker who can perform C/S   | -  |
|   |   | guidennes                                    | an anestnetist<br>national guidelines for BEmONC<br>national guidelines for CEmONC  |  |
| 5. The preterm newborn can receive<br>adequate care (including<br>resuscitation, kangaroo mother care,<br>thermal care, feeding support,<br>infection treatment and respiratory<br>support including continuous positive<br>airway pressure as needed). | Readiness to provide<br>preterm newborn care  | Equipment                                    | suction bulb or penguin sucker<br>stethoscope<br>newborn masks (0, 1), neonatal size<br>self-inflating bag<br>incubator<br>other external heat source<br>pulse oximeter<br>oxygen concentrator<br>filled oxygen cylinder<br>oxygen distribution system                            |  |
|   |   | Medicines<br>and<br>commodities              | glucometer<br>glucometer strips<br>hand-washing soap<br>disposable latex gloves   | -  |
|   |   | Staff and<br>guidelines                      | staff trained on integrated Management<br>of Pregnancy and Childbirth (IMPAC)<br>staff trained on Comprehensive<br>Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care<br>staff trained on routine care for labor<br>and normal vaginal delivery<br>guidelines on management of preterm<br>labor | Health worker<br>interview<br>dataset <sup>1</sup> |

\*GA: gestational age; C/S Cesarean section; BEmONC: Basic Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; CEmONC: Comprehensive Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care

<sup>1</sup> To report readiness at the facility level when using data from health worker interview, we converted data from health worker level to facility level by measuring the facility with at least one health worker who had received relevant training.

| Country     | Survey year | <b>Response rate</b> <sup>1</sup>    | Number of facilities<br>sampled                     | Number of facilities<br>surveyed <sup>4</sup>       | Number (%) of facilities included in the analysis <sup>5</sup> |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
|             |             | median 94.9%<br>(range 88.8%, 99.0%) | <b>N =10418</b><br>median 1130<br>(range 160, 1626) | <b>N = 9793</b><br>median 1158<br>(range 142, 1576) | <b>N = 8669</b><br>median 929<br>(range 108, 1500)             |
| South Asia  |             |                                      |   |   |  |
| Afghanistan | 2018-19     | 88.8% <sup>2</sup>                   | 160   | 142   | 108 (76.1%)  |
| Bangladesh  | 2017-18     | 95.3%                                | 1600  | 1524  | 1498 (98.3%)   |
| Nepal       | 2021        | 97.0%                                | 1626  | 1576  | 1500 (95.2%)   |
| Caribbean   |             |                                      |   |   |  |
| Haiti       | 2017-18     | 97.5%                                | 1033  | 1007  | 929 (92.3%)  |
| Sub-Saharan | Africa      |                                      |   |   |  |
| DRC         | 2017-18     | 97.7%                                | 1412  | 1380  | 1364 (98.8%)   |
| Ethiopia    | 2021-22     | 82.3%                                | 1407  | 1158  | 911 (78.7%)  |
| Malawi      | 2013-14     | 92.2%                                | 1060  | 977   | 645 (66.1%)  |
| Senegal     | 2018        | 89.3%                                | 466   | 841   | 601 (71 5%)  |
| Senegal     | 2019        | 94.5% <sup>3</sup>                   | 454   | (2018: 416; 2019: 425)                              | 001 (71.3%)  |
| Tanzania    | 2014-15     | 99.0%                                | 1200  | 1188  | 1056 (88.9%)   |

Supplemental Table 3. Survey response rates and number of facilities sampled, surveyed, and included in the analysis

<sup>1</sup> Response rates were obtained from SPA final reports.

<sup>2</sup> Afghanistan 2018-2019 survey does not have a publicly available SPA final report. Its response rate was manually calculated.
 <sup>3</sup> The response rate for Senegal 2019 from its final report is 94.5%, calculated by excluding health huts. The rate is 93.6% (425/454) if including health huts.

<sup>4</sup> The numbers of facilities surveyed are smaller than the numbers of facilities sampled because of non-response, refusal, or closure.

<sup>5</sup> Facilities included in the analysis are facilities that either provided antenatal care, performed normal delivery, or performed Cesarean sections. The proportion was calculated by dividing the number of facilities included in the analysis by the number of facilities surveyed.

| Four readiness   |                             |   |  |                       |            |            |            | South Asia |                          |             |             |            |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| categories based |                             | The proportion of facilities                  | with the indicators available                                      |                       |            | Afghanista | an 2018-19 |            |                          | Banglades   | h 2017-18   |            |
| criteria         |                             |   |  | Median (range)1       | Overall    | Level 1    | Level 2    | Level 3    | Overall                  | Level 1     | Level 2     | Level 3    |
| 1. Assess GA     | Equipment                   | The proportion of facilities w                | vith a functional ultrasound machine in use                        |                       |            |            |            |            |                          |             |             |            |
| accurately and   |                             |   |  | 7.3% (0.2%, 12.3%)    | 92(82.4%)  | 3(41.2%)   | 5(67%)     | 84(86.2%)  | 178(3.6%)                | 13(0.7%)    | 19(1.7%)    | 92(82.4%)  |
| preterm labor    |                             |   |  |                       |            |            |            |            |                          |             |             |            |
| 2. Identify      | Equipment                   | The proportion of                             | thermometer  |                       |            |            |            |            |                          |             |             |            |
| maternal         |                             | facilities with this                          |  | 52.3% (20.7%, 76.2%)  | 65(58.6%)  | 0(0%)      | 6(75%)     | 59(59.4%)  | 734(20.7%)               | 0(0%)       | 481(85.2%)  | 65(58.6%)  |
| milections       | Diagnostics                 | The proportion of                             | (1) hematology analyzer  | 7.6% (2.7%, 30.5%)    | 94(92%)    | 6(86.3%)   | 5(70.1%)   | 83(94.8%)  | 131(2.7%)                | 11(0.5%)    | 16(1.7%)    | 94(92%)    |
|                  | 8                           | facilities with the                           | (2) HIV rapid diagnostic test                                      | 52.4% (21.2%, 82.1%)  | 37(25.8%)  | 2(27.5%)   | 1(7.2%)    | 34(27.9%)  |                          | (           |             | 37(25.8%)  |
|                  |                             | following diagnostics                         | (3) syphilis rapid diagnostic test                                 | 13.8% (3.0%, 68.8%)   | 30(21.9%)  | 2(27.5%)   | 1(7.2%)    | 27(23.3%)  | 128(3%)                  | 18(1.1%)    | 22(2.7%)    | 30(21.9%)  |
| 3. Provide       | Equipment                   | The proportion of                             | (1) delivery pack  | 62.5% (19.0%, 87.5%)  | 80(74.5%)  | 0(0%)      | 5(28.6%)   | 75(83.4%)  | 692(19%)                 | 0(0%)       | 449(77.5%)  | 80(74.5%)  |
| adequate         |                             | facilities with the                           | (2) cord clamp   | 55.8% (15.8%, 82.1%)  | 78(70.3%)  | 0(0%)      | 6(37.3%)   | 72(77.5%)  | 566(15.8%)               | 0(0%)       | 358(63.6%)  | 78(70.3%)  |
| childbirth care  |                             | following equipment to                        | (3) manual vacuum extractor  | 9.1% (4.7%, 33.9%)    | 76(69.1%)  | 0(0%)      | 3(17.4%)   | 73(78.4%)  | 214(4.8%)                | 0(0%)       | 90(14.7%)   | 76(69.1%)  |
|                  |                             | (obstetric related)                           | (4) vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit                               | 10.9% (5.8%, 49.4%)   | 74(60.5%)  | 0(0%)      | 6(37%)     | 68(66.1%)  | 303(5.8%)                | 0(0%)       | 125(14.5%)  | 74(60.5%)  |
|                  |                             |   | (5) forceps (large)  | 32.2% (5.4%, 73.5%)   | 85(74.9%)  | 0(0%)      | 10(100%)   | 75(75.6%)  | 718(19.7%)               | 0(0%)       | 473(80.4%)  | 85(74.9%)  |
|                  |                             |   | (6) forceps (medium)   | 34.8% (5.2%, 82.2%)   | 84(74.3%)  | 0(0%)      | 10(100%)   | 74(74.8%)  | 697(19.4%)               | 0(0%)       | 451(79.4%)  | 84(74.3%)  |
|                  | Medicines                   | The proportion of                             | (1) parenteral antibiotics   | 31.4% (4.6%, 51.3%)   | 45(42%)    | 0(0%)      | 2(50%)     | 43(43%)    | 281(4.6%)                | 0(0%)       | 82(7.6%)    | 45(42%)    |
|                  | and                         | facilities with the                           | (2) parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam)                          | 31.8% (4.7%, 66.6%)   | 49(45.1%)  | 0(0%)      | 2(50%)     | 47(46.7%)  | 264(4.7%)                | 0(0%)       | 73(8.6%)    | 49(45.1%)  |
|                  | commountes                  | tonowing medicines                            | (3) parenteral oxytocin  | 56.4% (7.5%, 79.2%)   | 78(74.4%)  | 0(0%)      | 5(32.2%)   | 73(82.8%)  | 401(7.5%)                | 0(0%)       | 178(21.6%)  | 78(74.4%)  |
|                  | Staff and The proportion of |   | (1) a health worker who can perform C/S                            | 4.0% (2.2%, 20.5%)    | 46(32.5%)  | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 46(37.8%)  | 158(2.5%)                | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)       | 46(32.5%)  |
|                  | guidelines                  | facilities that has the following staff       | (2) an anesthetist   | 3.8% (1.9%, 12.3%)    | 36(26.6%)  | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 36(31%)    | 118(1.9%)                | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)       | 36(26.6%)  |
|                  |                             | The proportion of                             | (1) national guidelines for BEmONC                                 | 18.2% (2.8%, 40.9%)   | 9(5.1%)    | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 9(5.9%)    | 132(2.8%)                | 0(0%)       | 80(10.9%)   | 9(5.1%)    |
|                  |                             | facilities with the                           | (2) national guidelines for CEmONC                                 | 13.4% (2.2% 41.9%)    | 9(5.1%)    | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 9(5.9%)    | 114(2.2%)                | 0(0%)       | 65(8.7%)    | 9(5.1%)    |
| 4 Provido        | Fauinmont                   | The proportion of                             | (1) suction bulb or penguin sucker                                 | 46.00 (15.00, 80.40)  | 70(01.00)  | 0(0%)      | 7(70,4%)   | 71(85.7%)  | (52(15.9%)               | 0(0%)       | 414(62,6%)  | 79(91.6%)  |
| adequate preterm | Equipment                   | facilities with the                           | (1) stethoscope (in general)                                       | 40.2% (15.8%, 80.4%)  | /8(81.0%)  | 0(0%)      | 7(79.4%)   | /1(85.7%)  | 652(15.8%)<br>804(22.2%) | 0(0%)       | 414(62.6%)  | /8(81.0%)  |
| newborn care     |                             | following equipment for                       | (3) newhorn masks neonatal size self-                              | 51.1% (25.1%, 81.5%)  | 87(87.4%)  | 0(0%)      | 5(09.7%)   | 82(93.1%)  | 804(23.2%)               | 0(0%)       | 540(97.6%)  | 87(87.4%)  |
|                  |                             | neonatal resuscitation                        | inflating bag  | 37.3% (13.1%, 74.4%)  | 83(77.3%)  | 0(0%)      | 6(37%)     | 77(85.7%)  | 601(13.1%)               | 0(0%)       | 358(48%)    | 83(77.3%)  |
|                  |                             | The proportion of                             | (1) incubator  | 4.5% (2.2%, 6.6%)     | 54(55.4%)  | 0(0%)      | 2(11.1%)   | 52(63.3%)  | 127(2.2%)                | 0(0%)       | 29(2.7%)    | 54(55.4%)  |
|                  |                             | facilities with equipment<br>for thermal care | (2) other external heat source                                     | 14.6% (4.2%, 58.4%)   | 68(64.1%)  | 0(0%)      | 3(16.7%)   | 65(72.7%)  | 176(4.2%)                | 0(0%)       | 61(10.7%)   | 68(64.1%)  |
|                  |                             | The proportion of                             | (1) pulse oximeter   | 8.8% (2.8%, 42.0%)    | 49(53.7%)  | 1(13.7%)   | 1(5.6%)    | 47(61.2%)  | 142(3%)                  | 7(0.4%)     | 18(1.1%)    | 49(53.7%)  |
|                  |                             | facilities with equipment                     | (2) oxygen concentrator  | 9.2% (2.4%, 15.2%)    | 40(48.2%)  | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 40(56.1%)  | 192(3.2%)                | 6(0.3%)     | 69(5.8%)    | 40(48.2%)  |
|                  |                             | for respiratory care,                         | (3) filled oxygen cylinder   | 9.8% (1.8%, 22.5%)    | 82(84.9%)  | 3(45.1%)   | 7(82.4%)   | 72(87.1%)  | 334(6.6%)                | 23(1%)      | 122(13.2%)  | 82(84.9%)  |
|                  |                             | including sale oxygen use                     | (4) oxygen distribution system                                     | 3.5% (1.6%, 8.5%)     | 16(13.3%)  | 2(27.5%)   | 1(5.6%)    | 13(13.5%)  | 84(1.6%)                 | 2(0.1%)     | 26(2%)      | 16(13.3%)  |
|                  | Medicines                   | The proportion of                             | (1) glucometer   | 22.2% (19.3%, 79.7%)  | 42(37%)    | 0(0%)      | 3(55.5%)   | 39(36.6%)  | 310(21.1%)               | 124(21.7%)  | 64(10.6%)   | 42(37%)    |
|                  | and                         | facilities with                               | (2) glucometer strips  |                       | ()         | -()        | -()        |            |                          |             | - (()       | (- / / / / |
|                  | commodities                 | monitoring blood glucose                      |  | 20.6% (17.4%, 82.0%)  | 37(34%)    | 0(0%)      | 3(55.5%)   | 34(33.1%)  | 303(21.3%)               | 126(22.2%)  | 57(9.9%)    | 37(34%)    |
|                  |                             | The proportion of                             | (1) hand-washing soap  | 50.8% (45.3% 88.5%)   | 71(62.6%)  | 7(100%)    | 4(22,7%)   | 60(65.5%)  | 1075(72.8%)              | 473(72.1%)  | 406(77.3%)  | 71(62.6%)  |
|                  |                             | facilities with medicines                     | (2) disposable latex gloves  | 50.5% (45.5%, 66.5%)  | /1(02.070) | /(100/0)   | 4(22.176)  | 00(05.570) | 1075(72.070)             | 475(72.176) | 400(77.570) | /1(02.070) |
|                  |                             | and commodities for                           | (2) disposible little groves                                       | 89.8% (69.4%, 96.4%)  | 102(88.9%) | 7(100%)    | 8(50.5%)   | 87(92.9%)  | 1158(73.7%)              | 458(70.3%)  | 472(84.7%)  | 102(88.9%) |
|                  | Staff and                   | The proportion of                             | (1) Integrated Management of Pregnancy and                         | 19 60/ (2 40/ 27 80/) | 15/12 00() | 1(12.70()  | 0(0%)      | 14(14.20() | 116(150())               | 22/16 60/   | 45(11 70()  | 15(12.0%)  |
|                  | guidelines                  | facilities with at least one                  | Childbirth (IMPAC)   | 18.0% (2.4%, 37.8%)   | 15(12.9%)  | 1(13./%)   | 0(0%)      | 14(14.5%)  | 110(15%)                 | 32(10.0%)   | 45(11.7%)   | 15(12.9%)  |
|                  |                             | health worker who has                         | (2) Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and<br>Newborn Care (CEmONC) | 17.6% (2.0%, 29.6%)   | 7(4.2%)    | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 7(4.9%)    | 84(10.6%)                | 19(12.3%)   | 32(6.1%)    | 7(4.2%)    |
|                  |                             | the following in the past                     | (3) Routine care for labor and normal vaginal                      | AL ANY (A A-1) 10     | 1 // 10    | 1/10 =     | 0/0-11     |            | 150/10 000               | 22/26 5-13  | 50/1/ 0-12  | 16/10      |
|                  |                             | 24 months                                     | delivery   | 24.0% (2.8%, 43.5%)   | 16(13.5%)  | 1(13.7%)   | 0(0%)      | 15(15.1%)  | 153(18.2%)               | 32(20.5%)   | 78(14.8%)   | 16(13.5%)  |
|                  |                             | The proportion of                             | guidelines on management of preterm labor                          | 10.00 (2.00) 24.70()  | 0(5.10()   | 0(00)      | 0(00)      | 0(5.0%)    | 140/2 20/2               | 0(00()      | 95(12,00)   | 0(5.10()   |
|                  |                             | following guidelines                          |  | 10.2% (3.2%, 34.7%)   | 9(5.1%)    | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 9(3.9%)    | 140(3.2%)                | U(U%)       | 65(13.0%)   | 9(5.1%)    |

<sup>1</sup>Median and range were obtained from data across 8 countries (excluding Afghanistan).

| Four readiness<br>categories based                                   |  | The proportion of facil  | ities with the indicators available                                |             | <b>Caribbean</b><br>Haiti 2017-2018 |            |             |            |            |            |            |
|--|--|--|--|-------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| criteria   |  |  |  | Overall     | Level 1                             | Level 2    | Level 3     | Overall    | Level 1    | Level 2    | Level 3    |
| 1. Assess GA<br>accurately and<br>identify imminent<br>preterm labor | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities with                                  | a functional ultrasound machine in use                             | 422(12.3%)  | 61(5.3%)                            | 135(10.3%) | 226(93.3%)  | 100(10.8%) | 18(3.2%)   | 14(5.5%)   | 68(63.5%)  |
| 2. Identify<br>maternal  | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities                                       | thermometer  | 731(48%)    | 0(0%)                               | 515(91.7%) | 216(90.1%)  | 310(33.4%) | 0(0%)      | 227(89%)   | 83(77.5%)  |
| infections   | Diagnostics  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) hematology analyzer  | 309(8.6%)   | 37(3.8%)                            | 70(5.4%)   | 202(79.3%)  | 283(30.5%) | 123(21.7%) | 88(34.5%)  | 72(67.3%)  |
|  |  | with the following diagnostics                                     | (2) HIV rapid diagnostic test                                      | 507(0.070)  | 57(51070)                           | 10(01110)  | 202(1)1570) | 197(21.2%) | 97(17.1%)  | 68(26.6%)  | 32(29.9%)  |
|  |  |  | (3) syphilis rapid diagnostic test                                 | 304(12.8%)  | 69(7.2%)                            | 149(16.2%) | 86(32.4%)   | 194(20.9%) | 106(18.7%) | 60(23.5%)  | 28(26.2%)  |
| 3. Provide   | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) delivery pack  | 767(50.6%)  | 0(0%)                               | 549(97.2%) | 218(88.6%)  | 283(30.5%) | 0(0%)      | 198(77.6%) | 85(79.4%)  |
| adequate   | -1-1-1   | with the following equipment                                       | (2) cord clamp   | 714(46.8%)  | 0(0%)                               | 505(89.4%) | 209(87.1%)  | 314(33.8%) | 0(0%)      | 218(85.5%) | 96(89.6%)  |
| childbirth care  |  | to perform CEmONC  | (3) manual vacuum extractor  | 313(12.1%)  | 0(0%)                               | 137(17.6%) | 176(70.8%)  | 51(5.5%)   | 0(0%)      | 20(7.8%)   | 31(28.9%)  |
|  |  | (obstetric related)  | (4) vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit                               | 326(10.9%)  | 0(0%)                               | 138(14.5%) | 188(76.6%)  | 100(10.8%) | 0(0%)      | 55(21.6%)  | 45(42%)    |
|  |  |  | (5) forceps (large)  | 640(40.6%)  | 0(0%)                               | 441(76.7%) | 199(83.9%)  | 50(5.4%)   | 0(0%)      | 17(6.7%)   | 33(30.8%)  |
|  |  |  | (6) forceps (medium)   | 707(46.1%)  | 0(0%)                               | 499(88%)   | 208(87.1%)  | 49(5.3%)   | 0(0%)      | 20(7.9%)   | 29(27.1%)  |
|  | Medicines  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) parenteral antibiotics   | 575(34.4%)  | 0(0%)                               | 388(64%)   | 187(79%)    | 179(19.3%) | 0(0%)      | 109(42.8%) | 70(65.3%)  |
|  | and  | with the following medicines                                       | (2) parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam)                          | 358(14.7%)  | 0(0%)                               | 175(22.4%) | 183(76.8%)  | 112(12.1%) | 0(0%)      | 67(26.3%)  | 45(41.9%)  |
|  | commodities  |  | (3) parenteral oxytocin  | 760(50.5%)  | 0(0%)                               | 547(97.4%) | 213(86.7%)  | 257(27.7%) | 0(0%)      | 173(67.9%) | 84(78.4%)  |
|  | Staff and  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) a health worker who can perform C/S                            | 166(3.5%)   | 0(0%)                               | 0(0%)      | 166(65.6%)  | 54(5.8%)   | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 54(50.4%)  |
|  | guidelines         that has the following staff         (2) an anesthetist           The proportion of facilities         (1) national guidelines for BEmONC |  | (2) an anesthetist   | 152(3.2%)   | 0(0%)                               | 0(0%)      | 152(60.4%)  | 43(4.6%)   | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 43(40.1%)  |
|  |  |  | (1) national guidelines for BEmONC                                 | 102(0.270)  | 0(070)                              | 0(070)     | 102(00.170) | 13(11070)  | 0(070)     | 0(070)     | 15(101170) |
|  |  | with the following guidelines                                      | (2) national guidelines for CEmONC                                 |             |                                     |            |             | 124(13.4%) | 0(0%)      | 88(34.5%)  | 36(33.6%)  |
| 4. Provide   | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) suction bulb or penguin sucker                                 | 393(22.3%)  | 0(0%)                               | 252(40.4%) | 141(61.2%)  | 325(35%)   | 0(0%)      | 226(88.6%) | 99(92.5%)  |
| adequate preterm   |  | with the following equipment<br>for neonatal resuscitation         | (2) stethoscope (in general)                                       | 771(51.1%)  | 0(0%)                               | 551(98%)   | 220(91.9%)  | 335(36.1%) | 0(0%)      | 242(94.9%) | 93(86.8%)  |
| newborn care   |  |  | (3) newborn masks, neonatal size self-inflating bag                | 718(47.7%)  | 0(0%)                               | 511(91.5%) | 207(86.2%)  | 207(22.3%) | 0(0%)      | 122(47.8%) | 85(79.5%)  |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities<br>with equipment for thermal<br>care | (1) incubator  | 141(4.5%)   | 0(0%)                               | 42(4.7%)   | 99(43.6%)   | 59(6.4%)   | 0(0%)      | 24(9.5%)   | 35(32.7%)  |
|  |  |  | (2) other external heat source                                     | 530(33.6%)  | 0(0%)                               | 354(63.2%) | 176(72.8%)  | 160(17.2%) | 0(0%)      | 90(35.3%)  | 70(65.4%)  |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) pulse oximeter   | 770(42%)    | 211(28.1%)                          | 340(50.4%) | 219(91.8%)  | 155(16.7%) | 58(10.2%)  | 54(21.2%)  | 43(40.1%)  |
|  |  | with equipment for respiratory                                     | (2) oxygen concentrator  | 307(11.7%)  | 37(4.1%)                            | 145(14.7%) | 125(52.3%)  | 100(10.8%) | 23(4.1%)   | 40(15.7%)  | 37(34.6%)  |
|  |  | care, including safe oxygen  | (3) filled oxygen cylinder   | 507(22.5%)  | 85(8.5%)                            | 237(30.3%) | 185(78.7%)  | 149(16.1%) | 36(6.3%)   | 55(21.7%)  | 58(54.2%)  |
|  |  | use  | (4) oxygen distribution system                                     | 128(3.2%)   | 11(1%)                              | 7(0.6%)    | 110(45.4%)  | 32(3.5%)   | 5(0.9%)    | 11(4.4%)   | 16(14.9%)  |
|  | Medicines  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) glucometer   | 348(19.4%)  | 94(11.4%)                           | 150(24.9%) | 104(42.6%)  | 463(49.8%) | 227(40%)   | 159(62.3%) | 77(71.9%)  |
|  | commodities  | monitoring blood glucose   | (2) glucometer strips  | 325(17.4%)  | 82(10%)                             | 138(21.9%) | 105(42.9%)  | 424(45.6%) | 201(35.4%) | 146(57.2%) | 77(71.9%)  |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities                                       | (1) hand-washing soap  | 1297(88.5%) | 595(86.2%)                          | 507(91.7%) | 195(81.8%)  | 492(52.9%) | 305(53.8%) | 133(52.1%) | 54(50.5%)  |
|  |  | commodities for infection<br>management                            | (2) disposable latex gloves  | 1433(95.8%) | 651(93.8%)                          | 554(97.9%) | 228(94.2%)  | 831(89.5%) | 497(87.7%) | 235(92.2%) | 99(92.5%)  |
|  | Staff and guidelines   | The proportion of facilities<br>with at least one health worker    | (1) Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth<br>(IMPAC)   | 87(4.5%)    | 4(0.7%)                             | 54(7.7%)   | 29(10.2%)   | 257(27.8%) | 76(13.5%)  | 109(42.8%) | 72(67.3%)  |
|  |  | about the following in the past                                    | (2) Comprenensive Emergency Obstetric and Newborn<br>Care (CEmONC) | 98(4.4%)    | 5(1.2%)                             | 57(6.8%)   | 36(12.5%)   | 218(23.5%) | 59(10.4%)  | 91(35.7%)  | 68(63.5%)  |
|  |  | 24 months  | (3) Routine care for labor and normal vaginal delivery             | 180(11.1%)  | 7(1%)                               | 119(20.4%) | 54(18.9%)   | 258(27.9%) | 75(13.3%)  | 111(43.6%) | 72(67.3%)  |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities<br>with the following guidelines      | guidelines on management of preterm labor                          |             |                                     |            |             | 60(6.4%)   | 0(0%)      | 40(15.6%)  | 20(18.6%)  |

| Four readiness                                       |                      | •   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Sub-Saharan Africa |          |            |            |            |             |            |            |  |  |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| categories based                                     |                      | The proportion of facili  | ities with the indicators available   |                    | DRC 2017 | -2018      |            |            | Ethiopia 20 | 021-2022   |            |  |  |
| on nye who<br>criteria                               |                      |   |   | Overall            | Level 1  | Level 2    | Level 3    | Overall    | Level 1     | Level 2    | Level 3    |  |  |
| 1. Assess GA   | Equipment            | The proportion of facilities with                                       | a functional ultrasound machine in use  |                    |          |            |            |            |             |            |            |  |  |
| accurately and<br>identify imminent<br>preterm labor |                      |   |   | 450(10.7%)         | 1(12.5%) | 8(1.2%)    | 441(36.2%) | 344(4.5%)  | 16(1.2%)    | 38(6.1%)   | 290(84.9%) |  |  |
| 2. Identify<br>maternal                              | Equipment            | uipment The proportion of facilities thermometer<br>with this equipment |   | 1102(76.2%)        | 0(0%)    | 377(74.6%) | 725(85.9%) | 568(21%)   | 0(0%)       | 262(83.5%) | 306(91%)   |  |  |
| infections   | Diagnostics          | The proportion of facilities  | (1) hematology analyzer   | 179(5.4%)          | 1(12.5%) | 8(2%)      | 170(14.1%) | 364(5.7%)  | 17(1%)      | 68(12.4%)  | 279(82.2%) |  |  |
|  |                      | with the following diagnostics  | (2) HIV rapid diagnostic test   | 654(44.2%)         | 4(28.1%) | 189(39.3%) | 461(58.5%) | 616(29.1%) | 62(10.5%)   | 258(85.6%) | 296(80.1%) |  |  |
|  |                      |   | (3) syphilis rapid diagnostic test  | 218(11.8%)         | 1(12.5%) | 53(9.9%)   | 164(16.9%) | 238(11.1%) | 24(5.2%)    | 107(29.1%) | 107(28.2%) |  |  |
| 3. Provide   | Equipment            | The proportion of facilities  | (1) delivery pack   | 1240(87.5%)        | 0(0%)    | 437(87.2%) | 803(94.5%) | 605(22.5%) | 0(0%)       | 276(89.5%) | 329(96.9%) |  |  |
| adequate   |                      | with the following equipment  | (2) cord clamp  | 1160(79.1%)        | 0(0%)    | 409(80.1%) | 751(81.9%) | 625(23.4%) | 0(0%)       | 292(93.4%) | 333(97.9%) |  |  |
| childbirth care                                      |                      | (obstetric related)   | (3) manual vacuum extractor   | 273(7.2%)          | 0(0%)    | 28(5.1%)   | 245(13.4%) | 518(16.5%) | 0(0%)       | 205(62.8%) | 313(92.8%) |  |  |
|  |                      | ()  | (4) vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit  | 729(36.4%)         | 0(0%)    | 134(26.4%) | 595(65.9%) | 385(10%)   | 0(0%)       | 124(36.2%) | 261(70.4%) |  |  |
|  |                      |   | (5) forceps (large)   | 127(5.9%)          | 0(0%)    | 31(5.4%)   | 96(7.6%)   | 617(23.9%) | 0(0%)       | 286(95.4%) | 331(97.4%) |  |  |
|  |                      |   | (6) forceps (medium)  | 112(5.2%)          | 0(0%)    | 21(4.6%)   | 91(7.1%)   | 611(23.4%) | 0(0%)       | 281(93.4%) | 330(96.4%) |  |  |
|  | Medicines            | The proportion of facilities  | (1) parenteral antibiotics  | 549(36.4%)         | 0(0%)    | 174(34.3%) | 375(44.4%) | 489(16.4%) | 0(0%)       | 204(64.6%) | 285(75.7%) |  |  |
|  | and                  | with the following medicines  | (2) parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam)   | 785(55.4%)         | 0(0%)    | 253(52.7%) | 532(66.6%) | 351(10.4%) | 0(0%)       | 121(39.3%) | 230(61.6%) |  |  |
|  | commountes           |   | (3) parenteral oxytocin   | 1110(77.3%)        | 0(0%)    | 394(77.5%) | 716(82.4%) | 611(22.8%) | 0(0%)       | 283(90.7%) | 328(96.7%) |  |  |
|  | Staff and            | The proportion of facilities  | (1) a health worker who can perform C/S   | 725(20.5%)         | 0(0%)    | 0(0%)      | 725(77.3%) | 312(2.2%)  | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)      | 312(83.5%) |  |  |
|  | guidelines           | that has the following staff  | (2) an anesthetist  | 486(12.3%)         | 0(0%)    | 0(0%)      | 486(46.4%) | 311(2.2%)  | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)      | 311(82.8%) |  |  |
|  |                      | The proportion of facilities  | (1) national guidelines for BEmONC  |                    |          |            |            | 350(11.5%) | 0(0%)       | 147(45%)   | 203(55%)   |  |  |
|  |                      | with the following guidelines   | (2) national guidelines for CEmONC  | 411(24.7%)         | 0(0%)    | 118(23.2%) | 293(30.5%) | 162(4.3%)  | 0(0%)       | 45(15.5%)  | 117(33%)   |  |  |
| 4. Provide   | Equipment            | The proportion of facilities  | (1) suction bulb or penguin sucker  | 1099(80.4%)        | 0(0%)    | 388(80%)   | 711(87.1%) | 577(21.3%) | 0(0%)       | 261(84.3%) | 316(93.6%) |  |  |
| adequate preterm                                     |                      | with the following equipment  | (2) stethoscope (in general)  | 1144(81.3%)        | 0(0%)    | 412(81.5%) | 732(86.3%) | 612(23.1%) | 0(0%)       | 290(92.1%) | 322(95.1%) |  |  |
| newborn care   |                      | for neonatal resuscitation  | (3) newborn masks, neonatal size self-inflating bag   | 561(26.9%)         | 0(0%)    | 102(21%)   | 459(44.6%) | 593(21.6%) | 0(0%)       | 262(85.2%) | 331(97.4%) |  |  |
|  |                      | The proportion of facilities<br>with equipment for thermal<br>care      | (1) incubator   | 114(3%)            | 0(0%)    | 3(0.6%)    | 111(9.7%)  | 232(4.5%)  | 0(0%)       | 60(14.4%)  | 172(47.1%) |  |  |
|  |                      |   | (2) other external heat source  | 411(18.2%)         | 0(0%)    | 62(12.4%)  | 349(35.3%) | 382(9.4%)  | 0(0%)       | 118(32.7%) | 264(79.5%) |  |  |
|  |                      | The proportion of facilities  | (1) pulse oximeter  | 78(2.8%)           | 1(12.5%) | 2(0.4%)    | 75(8.6%)   | 318(26.8%) | 23(31.1%)   | 85(21%)    | 210(64.9%) |  |  |
|  |                      | with equipment for respiratory  | (2) oxygen concentrator   | 105(2.4%)          | 2(14.4%) | 2(0.5%)    | 101(6.7%)  | 184(11.2%) | 11(11.3%)   | 38(6.8%)   | 135(46.5%) |  |  |
|  |                      | use   | (3) filled oxygen cylinder  | 73(1.8%)           | 2(14.4%) | 1(0.3%)    | 70(4.8%)   | 200(13%)   | 12(15.7%)   | 43(7.9%)   | 145(49%)   |  |  |
|  |                      |   | (4) oxygen distribution system  |                    |          |            |            | 80(4.8%)   | 3(7.2%)     | 12(2.6%)   | 65(17.7%)  |  |  |
|  | Medicines<br>and     | The proportion of facilities<br>with commodities for                    | (1) glucometer  | 761(34%)           | 3(27.7%) | 76(18.2%)  | 682(77%)   | 564(21%)   | 38(4.1%)    | 202(68.8%) | 324(95.6%) |  |  |
|  | commodities          | monitoring blood glucose  | (2) glucometer strips   | 674(29.6%)         | 3(27.7%) | 63(15.6%)  | 608(67.4%) | 542(19.3%) | 35(3.8%)    | 188(62.5%) | 319(94.4%) |  |  |
|  |                      | The proportion of facilities<br>with medicines and                      | (1) hand-washing soap   | 686(48.4%)         | 7(57.8%) | 228(45.6%) | 451(55.6%) | 487(45.3%) | 116(42.7%)  | 160(51.8%) | 211(63.3%) |  |  |
|  |                      | commodities for infection management                                    | (2) disposable latex gloves   | 1256(90.2%)        | 10(100%) | 453(88.5%) | 793(93.9%) | 761(69.4%) | 160(61.5%)  | 283(93%)   | 318(94.1%) |  |  |
|  | Staff and guidelines | The proportion of facilities<br>with at least one health worker         | (1) Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth<br>(IMPAC)  | 423(34.5%)         | 1(41.7%) | 107(33.8%) | 315(35.6%) | 108(2.4%)  | 5(0.4%)     | 41(6.6%)   | 62(24.7%)  |  |  |
|  |                      | who has received training<br>about the following in the past            | <ul> <li>(2) Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Newborn<br/>Care (CEmONC)</li> <li>(3) Routing care for labor and normal vaginal delivery</li> </ul> | 401(29.6%)         | 1(41.7%) | 93(28.6%)  | 307(31.2%) | 112(2%)    | 4(0.3%)     | 38(5.6%)   | 70(19.3%)  |  |  |
|  |                      | 24 molitils   | (5) Routine care for fator and normal vaginar delivery  | 415(33.6%)         | 1(41.7%) | 107(33.5%) | 307(33.8%) | 126(2.8%)  | 7(1.1%)     | 44(6.5%)   | 75(20.6%)  |  |  |
|  |                      | The proportion of facilities<br>with the following guidelines           | guidelines on management of preterm labor   | 232(11.4%)         | 0(0%)    | 46(8.8%)   | 186(19%)   | 248(7.2%)  | 0(0%)       | 86(27.1%)  | 162(43.2%) |  |  |

| Four readiness                                       | ·  | Sub-Saharan Africa   |  |            |            |            |           |            |             |            |           |
|--|--|--|--|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| categories based                                     |  | The proportion of fac  | ilities with the indicators available                              |            | Malawi 20  | 013-2014   |           |            | Senegal 201 | 8 and 2019 |           |
| on nye who<br>criteria                               |  |  |  | Overall    | Level 1    | Level 2    | Level 3   | Overall    | Level 1     | Level 2    | Level 3   |
| 1. Assess GA   | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities with  | a functional ultrasound machine in use                             |            |            |            |           |            |             |            |           |
| accurately and<br>identify imminent<br>preterm labor |  |  |  | 65(10%)    | 4(3.8%)    | 7(1.5%)    | 54(76%)   | 1(0.2%)    | 0(0%)       | 1(0.2%)    | 0(0%)     |
| 2. Identify<br>maternal                              | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities<br>with this equipment                        | thermometer  | 453(69.6%) | 0(0%)      | 390(82.6%) | 63(88.6%) | 495(69.9%) | 0(0%)       | 436(80.2%) | 59(96.4%) |
| infections   | Diagnostics  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) hematology analyzer  | 57(8.8%)   | 8(7.7%)    | 6(1.3%)    | 43(60.8%) | 139(16.1%) | 7(28.9%)    | 80(10.5%)  | 52(52.2%) |
|  |  | with the following diagnostics   | (2) HIV rapid diagnostic test                                      | 391(60.6%) | 57(55.4%)  | 288(61.2%) | 46(64.6%) | 548(78.7%) | 40(40.5%)   | 461(86.2%) | 47(72.7%) |
|  |  |  | (3) syphilis rapid diagnostic test                                 | 96(14.8%)  | 17(16.4%)  | 54(11.4%)  | 25(35.2%) | 487(68.8%) | 37(39.5%)   | 410(77.6%) | 40(30.2%) |
| 3. Provide   | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) delivery pack  | 486(74.8%) | 0(0%)      | 418(88.7%) | 68(95.7%) | 579(83.7%) | 0(0%)       | 521(97.8%) | 58(96%)   |
| adequate   |  | with the following equipment   | (2) cord clamp   | 482(74.1%) | 0(0%)      | 416(88.2%) | 66(92.9%) | 568(82.1%) | 0(0%)       | 509(95.8%) | 59(96.4%) |
| childbirth care                                      |  | (obstetric related)  | (3) manual vacuum extractor  | 221(33.9%) | 0(0%)      | 165(34.8%) | 56(78.8%) | 96(11%)    | 0(0%)       | 57(7.6%)   | 39(71.5%) |
|  |  | (obstellie related)  | (4) vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit                               | 131(20.1%) | 0(0%)      | 97(20.4%)  | 34(48%)   | 343(49.4%) | 0(0%)       | 303(55.7%) | 40(79.1%) |
|  |  |  | (5) forceps (large)  | 448(69%)   | 0(0%)      | 390(82.8%) | 58(81.7%) | 521(73.5%) | 0(0%)       | 464(85%)   | 57(94.9%) |
|  |  |  | (6) forceps (medium)   | 434(66.9%) | 0(0%)      | 379(80.5%) | 55(77.5%) | 533(75.6%) | 0(0%)       | 474(87.5%) | 59(96.4%) |
|  | Medicines  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) parenteral antibiotics   | 299(46.1%) | 0(0%)      | 244(51.9%) | 55(77.4%) | 362(51.3%) | 0(0%)       | 316(60.7%) | 46(51.1%) |
|  | and  | and with the following medicines (2) parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam) |  | 433(66.6%) | 0(0%)      | 371(78.6%) | 62(87.2%) | 363(51.6%) | 0(0%)       | 317(58.1%) | 46(83.6%) |
|  | commodities  |  | (3) parenteral oxytocin  | 514(79.2%) | 0(0%)      | 449(95.3%) | 65(91.4%) | 451(62.3%) | 0(0%)       | 402(74.5%) | 49(52.2%) |
|  | Staff and  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) a health worker who can perform C/S                            | 57(8.7%)   | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 57(80.1%) | 49(3.5%)   | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)      | 49(48.7%) |
|  | guidelines   | that has the following staff   | (2) an anesthetist   | 47(7.2%)   | 0(0%)      | 0(0%)      | 47(66%)   | 51(3.6%)   | 0(0%)       | 0(0%)      | 51(50.4%) |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities   | cilities (1) national guidelines for BEmONC                        |            | 0(0%)      | 231(48.9%) | 35(49.1%) |            |             |            |           |
|  |  | with the following guidelines  | (2) national guidelines for CEmONC                                 | 148(22.8%) | 0(0%)      | 117(24.8%) | 31(43.6%) | 304(41.9%) | 0(0%)       | 269(47.5%) | 35(64.5%) |
| 4. Provide   | Equipment  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) suction bulb or penguin sucker                                 | 399(61.5%) | 0(0%)      | 346(73.6%) | 53(74.5%) | 480(66.4%) | 0(0%)       | 434(76.9%) | 46(84.9%) |
| adequate preterm                                     |  | with the following equipment   | (2) stethoscope (in general)                                       | 423(65.2%) | 0(0%)      | 369(78.4%) | 54(76.1%) | 369(51.1%) | 0(0%)       | 314(56.7%) | 55(92.2%) |
| newborn care   |  | for neonatal resuscitation   | (3) newborn masks, neonatal size self-inflating bag                | 483(74.4%) | 0(0%)      | 418(88.7%) | 65(91.4%) | 477(67.4%) | 0(0%)       | 419(77.1%) | 58(94.9%) |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities<br>with equipment for thermal<br>care         | (1) incubator  | 37(5.7%)   | 0(0%)      | 8(1.7%)    | 29(41.1%) | 41(6.6%)   | 0(0%)       | 18(3%)     | 23(59.4%) |
|  |  |  | (2) other external heat source                                     | 78(12%)    | 0(0%)      | 31(6.5%)   | 47(66.3%) | 407(58.4%) | 0(0%)       | 350(65.9%) | 57(94.2%) |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) pulse oximeter   | 60(9.3%)   | 9(8.8%)    | 25(5.3%)   | 26(36.9%) | 68(8.2%)   | 2(1.2%)     | 22(2.5%)   | 44(85.1%) |
|  |  | with equipment for respiratory   | (2) oxygen concentrator  | 99(15.2%)  | 9(8.5%)    | 54(11.4%)  | 36(50.7%) | 61(7.5%)   | 1(0.6%)     | 23(2.9%)   | 37(73.4%) |
|  |  | use  | (3) filled oxygen cylinder   | 47(7.3%)   | 7(6.8%)    | 16(3.4%)   | 24(34%)   | 99(12.4%)  | 5(10.9%)    | 44(6%)     | 50(85.7%) |
|  |  |  | (4) oxygen distribution system                                     | 27(4.2%)   | 2(1.9%)    | 15(3.2%)   | 10(14.2%) | 60(8.5%)   | 1(9.1%)     | 16(2.1%)   | 43(78.4%) |
|  | Medicines  | The proportion of facilities<br>with commodities for                       | (1) glucometer   | 151(23.4%) | 32(31.2%)  | 58(12.3%)  | 61(85.8%) | 532(79.7%) | 48(72.9%)   | 446(81.1%) | 38(77.7%) |
|  | commodities  | monitoring blood glucose   | (2) glucometer strips  | 129(20%)   | 24(23.4%)  | 48(10.1%)  | 57(80.2%) | 537(82%)   | 46(71%)     | 446(84%)   | 45(82.5%) |
|  |  | The proportion of facilities   | (1) hand-washing soap  | 313(48.7%) | 57(55.4%)  | 211(44.9%) | 45(63.5%) | 334(48.2%) | 36(44.9%)   | 263(50.1%) | 35(34.6%) |
|  |  | commodities for infection<br>management                                    | (2) disposable latex gloves  | 622(96.4%) | 96(93%)    | 458(97.2%) | 68(95.8%) | 584(86.7%) | 55(81.7%)   | 480(91.5%) | 49(44.4%) |
|  | Staff and guidelines   | The proportion of facilities with at least one health worker               | (1) Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth<br>(IMPAC)   | 137(21.2%) | 3(2.9%)    | 103(21.9%) | 31(44.2%) | 223(37.8%) | 10(19%)     | 190(41.8%) | 23(21.3%) |
|  |  | who has received training<br>about the following in the past               | (2) Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care<br>(CEmONC) | 133(20.6%) | 5(4.8%)    | 97(20.6%)  | 31(44.3%) | 170(27.5%) | 9(17.5%)    | 141(29.6%) | 20(18.6%) |
|  |  | 24 months  | (3) Routine care for labor and normal vaginal delivery             | 214(33.2%) | 3(2.9%)    | 166(35.3%) | 45(64.1%) | 236(43.5%) | 10(46.1%)   | 205(45.4%) | 21(18.8%) |
|  | The proportion of facilities guidelines on management of preterm labor with the following guidelines |  | 226(34.7%)   | 0(0%)      | 190(40.2%) | 36(50.6%)  | 130(18%)  | 0(0%)      | 107(17.7%)  | 23(57.6%)  |           |

| Four readiness categories based                                |                  | The proportion of facilities w                                | vith the indicators available                                   | Sub-Saharan Africa<br>Tanzania 2014-2015 |           |            |            |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|---|---|--|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| on live wito criteria  |                  |   |   | Overall                                  | Level 1   | Level 2    | Level 3    |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Assess GA accurately and<br>identify imminent preterm labor | Equipment        | The proportion of facilities with a functional ultrasound mac | hine in use   | 223(4.6%)                                | 6(2.9%)   | 23(1%)     | 194(65.1%) |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Identify maternal infections                                | Equipment        | The proportion of facilities with this equipment              | thermometer   | 654(56.6%)                               | 0(0%)     | 417(62.7%) | 237(84.6%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Diagnostics      | The proportion of facilities with the following diagnostics   | (1) hematology analyzer   | 233(6.7%)                                | 12(10.1%) | 52(3%)     | 169(58.2%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (2) HIV rapid diagnostic test                                   | 879(82.1%)                               | 76(77.4%) | 573(82.6%) | 230(83%)   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (3) syphilis rapid diagnostic test                              | 455(40%)                                 | 50(41.1%) | 275(39.5%) | 130(45.4%) |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Provide adequate childbirth                                 | Equipment        | The proportion of facilities with the following equipment     | (1) delivery pack   | 838(74.4%)                               | 0(0%)     | 575(83.2%) | 263(97.1%) |  |  |  |  |
| care   |                  | to perform CEmONC (obstetric related)                         | (2) cord clamp  | 711(64.7%)                               | 0(0%)     | 487(72.4%) | 224(83.3%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (3) manual vacuum extractor                                     | 176(4.7%)                                | 0(0%)     | 26(2.2%)   | 150(54.5%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (4) vacuum aspiration kit or D&C kit                            | 197(6.6%)                                | 0(0%)     | 77(5.1%)   | 120(45.7%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (5) forceps (large)   | 821(72.1%)                               | 0(0%)     | 568(80.7%) | 253(93.3%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (6) forceps (medium)  | 896(82.2%)                               | 0(0%)     | 634(92.5%) | 262(96.9%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medicines<br>and | The proportion of facilities with the following medicines     | (1) parenteral antibiotics                                      | 363(28.4%)                               | 0(0%)     | 211(30.7%) | 152(54.4%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (2) parenteral anticonvulsants (diazepam)                       | 580(48.9%)                               | 0(0%)     | 369(53.9%) | 211(76.7%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | commodities      |   | (3) parenteral oxytocin   | 818(69.8%)                               | 0(0%)     | 562(77.9%) | 256(93.2%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Staff and        | The proportion of facilities that has the following staff     | (1) a health worker who can perform C/S                         | 238(4.4%)                                | 0(0%)     | 0(0%)      | 238(83.6%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | guidelines       |   | (2) an anesthetist  | 215(3.9%)                                | 0(0%)     | 0(0%)      | 215(74.3%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | The proportion of facilities with the following guidelines    | (1) national guidelines for BEmONC                              | 368(25%)                                 | 0(0%)     | 249(27.3%) | 119(43.2%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (2) national guidelines for CEmONC                              | 134(7.9%)                                | 0(0%)     | 79(8.1%)   | 55(20.7%)  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Provide adequate preterm                                    | Equipment        | The proportion of facilities with the following equipment     | (1) suction bulb or penguin sucker                              | 652(57.4%)                               | 0(0%)     | 427(63.9%) | 225(80.7%) |  |  |  |  |
| newborn care   |                  | for neonatal resuscitation                                    | (2) stethoscope (in general)                                    | 732(64%)                                 | 0(0%)     | 490(71.4%) | 242(86.4%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (3) newborn masks, neonatal size self-inflating bag             | 772(67.4%)                               | 0(0%)     | 512(75%)   | 260(94.8%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | The proportion of facilities with equipment for thermal care  | (1) incubator   | 97(2.4%)                                 | 0(0%)     | 17(1.1%)   | 80(28%)    |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (2) other external heat source                                  | 188(5.6%)                                | 0(0%)     | 41(3.4%)   | 147(52.5%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | The proportion of facilities with equipment for respiratory   | (1) pulse oximeter  | 84(2.8%)                                 | 8(10.1%)  | 9(0.4%)    | 67(25.3%)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | care, including safe oxygen use                               | (2) oxygen concentrator   | 159(5.2%)                                | 11(12.2%) | 45(2.1%)   | 103(38.7%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (3) filled oxygen cylinder                                      | 105(3.7%)                                | 8(11.1%)  | 20(1%)     | 77(29.7%)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  |   | (4) oxygen distribution system                                  | 51(2.1%)                                 | 5(5.5%)   | 12(0.9%)   | 34(12.9%)  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medicines        | The proportion of facilities with commodities for             | (1) glucometer  | 453(19.3%)                               | 40(42.2%) | 181(12%)   | 232(86%)   |  |  |  |  |
|  | and              | monitoring blood glucose                                      | (2) glucometer strips   | 395(17.8%)                               | 35(39.3%) | 147(11%)   | 213(78.4%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | commodities      | The proportion of facilities with medicines and               | (1) hand-washing soap   | 697(63.5%)                               | 67(64.1%) | 434(63.1%) | 196(70%)   |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | commodities for infection management                          | (2) disposable latex gloves                                     | 996(94%)                                 | 98(94.1%) | 640(94%)   | 258(94.9%) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Staff and        | The proportion of facilities with at least one health worker  | (1) Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth (IMPAC)   | 228(16%)                                 | 3(5.1%)   | 138(16.6%) | 87(31.5%)  |  |  |  |  |
|  | guidelines       | who has received training about the following in the past     | (2) Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care (CEmONC) | 252(14.7%)                               | 2(5%)     | 146(14.6%) | 104(36.8%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | 24 monuns   | (3) Routine care for labor and normal vaginal delivery          | 308(20.1%)                               | 6(9.2%)   | 185(20.2%) | 117(42.6%) |  |  |  |  |
|  |                  | The proportion of facilities with the following guidelines    | guidelines on management of preterm labor                       | 153(10.2%)                               | 0(0%)     | 83(10.7%)  | 70(24.9%)  |  |  |  |  |

|       | functional ultrasound                | 82.4% | 3.0%  | 12.3% | 10.8% | 10.7%                            | 4.5%  | 10%   | 0.2%   | 4.6%  |    |                 |
|-------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----|-----------------|
|       | thermometer                          | 58.6% | 20.7% | 48%   | 33.4% | 76.2%                            | 21%   | 69.6% | 69.9%  | 56.6% | -  |                 |
|       | hematology analyzer                  | 92%   | 2.7%  | 8.6%  | 30.5% | 5.4%                             | 5.7%  | 8.8%  | 18.1%  | 6.7%  |    |                 |
|       | HIV RDT                              | 25.8% |       |       | 21.2% | 44.2%                            | 29.1% | 60.6% | 78.7%  | 82.1% |    |                 |
|       | syphilis RDT                         | 21.9% | 3%    | 12.8% | 20.9% | 11.8%                            | 11.1% | 14.8% | 68.8%  | 40%   |    |                 |
|       | delivery pack                        | 74.5% | 19%   | 50.6% | 30.5% | 87.6%                            | 22.5% | 74.8% | 83.7%  | 74.4% |    |                 |
|       | cord clamp                           | 70.3% | 15.8% | 46.8% | 33.8% | 79.1%                            | 23.4% | 74.1% | 82.1%  | 64.7% |    |                 |
|       | manual vacuum extractor              | 69.1% | 4.8%  | 12.1% | 5.5%  | 7.2%                             | 18.5% | 33.9% | 11%    | 4.7%  |    |                 |
|       | D and C kit                          | 60.5% | 5.8%  | 10.9% | 10.8% | 38.4%                            | 10%   | 20.1% | 49.4%  | 6.6%  |    |                 |
|       | large forceps                        | 74.9% | 19.7% | 40.8% | 5.4%  | 5.9%                             | 23.9% | 69%   | 73.5%  | 72.1% | -  |                 |
|       | medium forceps                       | 74.3% | 19.4% | 46.1% | 5.3%  | 5.2%                             | 23.4% | 66.9% | 75.6%  | 82.2% |    |                 |
|       | parenteral antibiotics               | 42%   | 4.6%  | 34.4% | 19.3% | 38.4%                            | 18.4% | 48.1% | 51.3%  | 28.4% | -  |                 |
|       | parenteral anticonvulsants           | 45.1% | 4.7%  | 14.7% | 12.1% | 55.4%                            | 10.4% | 66.6% | 51.6%  | 48.9% |    |                 |
|       | parenteral oxytocin                  | 74.4% | 7.5%  | 50.5% | 27.7% | 77.3%                            | 22.8% | 79.2% | 62.3%  | 69.8% | -  |                 |
|       | CS health worker                     | 32.5% | 2.5%  | 3.5%  | 5.8%  | 20.5%                            | 2.2%  | 8.7%  | 3.5%   | 4.4%  |    |                 |
|       | anesthetist                          | 28.6% | 1.9%  | 3.2%  | 4.6%  | 12.3%                            | 2.2%  | 7.2%  | 3.8%   | 3.9%  |    | readiness level |
| L.    | BEmONC national guidelines           | 5.1%  | 2.8%  |       |       |                                  | 11.5% | 40.9% |        | 25%   |    | 21-40%          |
| dicat | CEmONC national guidelines           | 5.1%  | 2.2%  |       | 13.4% | 24.7%                            | 4.3%  | 22.8% | 41.9%  | 7.9%  | F. | 41-60%          |
| Ĕ.    | suction bulb                         | 81.6% | 15.8% | 22.3% | 35%   | 80.4%                            | 21.3% | 61.5% | 68.4%  | 57.4% |    | 61-80%          |
|       | stethoscope                          | 87.4% | 23.2% | 51.1% | 36.1% | 81.3%                            | 23.1% | 65.2% | 51.1%  | 64%   |    | NA 81-100%      |
| ne    | wborn masks and self inflating bag   | 77.3% | 13.1% | 47.7% | 22.3% | 28.9%                            | 21.6% | 74.4% | 67.4%  | 67.4% |    |                 |
|       | incubator                            | 55.4% | 2.2%  | 4.5%  | 8.4%  | 3%                               | 4.5%  | 5.7%  | 6.6%   | 2.4%  |    |                 |
|       | other external heat source           | 64.1% | 4.2%  | 33.6% | 17.2% | 18.2%                            | 9.4%  | 12%   | 58.4%  | 5.6%  |    |                 |
|       | pulse oximeter                       | 53.7% | 3%    | 42%   | 16.7% | 2.8%                             | 28.8% | 9.3%  | 8.2%   | 2.8%  |    |                 |
|       | oxygen concentrator                  | 48.2% | 3.2%  | 11.7% | 10.8% | 2.4%                             | 11.2% | 15.2% | 7.5%   | 5.2%  |    |                 |
|       | filled oxygen cylinder               | 84.9% | 6.6%  | 22.5% | 10.1% | 1.8%                             | 13%   | 7.3%  | 12.4%  | 3.7%  |    |                 |
|       | oxygen distribution system           | 13.3% | 1.6%  | 3.2%  | 3.5%  |                                  | 4.8%  | 4.2%  | 8.5%   | 2.1%  |    |                 |
|       | glucometer                           | 37%   | 21.1% | 19.4% | 49.8% | 34%                              | 21%   | 23.4% | 79.7%  | 19.3% |    |                 |
|       | glucometer strips                    | 34%   | 21.3% | 17.4% | 45.6% | 29.6%                            | 19.3% | 20%   | 82%    | 17.8% |    |                 |
|       | hand washing soap                    | 62.6% | 72.8% | 88.5% | 52.9% | 48.4%                            | 45.3% | 48.7% | 48.2%  | 63.5% |    |                 |
|       | disposable latex gloves              | 88.9% | 73.7% | 95.8% | 89.5% | 90.2%                            | 69.4% | 96.4% | 88.7%  | 94%   |    |                 |
|       | training about IMPAC                 | 12.9% | 15%   | 4.5%  | 27.8% | 34.5%                            | 2.4%  | 21.2% | 37.8%  | 18%   |    |                 |
|       | training about CEmONC                | 4.2%  | 10.6% | 4.4%  | 23.5% | 29.6%                            | 2%    | 20.6% | 27.5%  | 14.7% |    |                 |
| tra   | aining about normal vaginal delivery | 13.5% | 18.2% | 11.1% | 27.9% | 33.6%                            | 2.8%  | 33.2% | 43.5%  | 20.1% | -  |                 |
| pret  | erm labor management guidelines —    | 5.1%  | 3.2%  |       | 6.4%  | 11.4%                            | 7.2%  | 34.7% | 18%    | 10.2% | -  |                 |
|       |                                      | AFG18 | BD17  | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17<br>country and survey year | ET21  | MW13  | SN1819 | TZ14  |    |                 |

#### Supplemental Figure 1. Heatmap of facility readiness for all facilities by country Readiness of all facilities

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17; Halti 2017; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015; Tanzania 201 RDT: rapid diagnostic test; BEmONC: Basic Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; CEmONC: Comprehensive Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; IMPAC: Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth; NA: not applicable



## Supplemental Figure 2. Heatmap of facility readiness for level 1 facilities by country

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Halli 2017; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015; RDT: rapid diagnostic test; BEmONC: Basic Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; CEmONC: Comprehensive Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; IMPAC: Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth; NA: not applicable

|         | functional ultrasound            | 67%   | 1.7%  | 10.3% | 5.5%  | 1.2%                             | 6.1%  | 1.5%  | 0.2%   | 1%    |   |                 |
|---------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---|-----------------|
|         | thermometer                      | 75%   | 85.2% | 91.7% | 89%   | 74.6%                            | 83.5% | 82.6% | 80.2%  | 62.7% | _ |                 |
|         | hematology analyzer              | 70.1% | 1.7%  | 5.4%  | 34.5% | 2%                               | 12.4% | 1.3%  | 10.5%  | 3%    | _ |                 |
|         | HIV RDT                          | 7.2%  |       |       | 28.6% | 39.3%                            | 85.6% | 61.2% | 80.2%  | 82.6% |   |                 |
|         | syphilis RDT                     | 7.2%  | 2.7%  | 18.2% | 23.5% | 9.9%                             | 29.1% | 11.4% | 77.6%  | 39.5% | _ |                 |
|         | delivery pack                    | 28.6% | 77.5% | 97.2% | 77.6% | 87.2%                            | 89.5% | 88.7% | 97.8%  | 83.2% |   |                 |
|         | cord clamp                       | 37.3% | 63.6% | 89.4% | 85.5% | 80.1%                            | 93.4% |       | 95.8%  | 72.4% | - |                 |
|         | manual vacuum extractor —        | 17.4% | 14.7% | 17.8% | 7.8%  | 5.1%                             | 62.8% | 34.8% | 7.6%   | 2.2%  | _ |                 |
|         | D and C kit                      | 37%   | 14.5% | 14.5% | 21.8% | 28.4%                            | 38.2% | 20.4% | 55.7%  | 5.1%  |   |                 |
|         | large forceps                    | 100%  | 80.4% | 78.7% | 6.7%  | 5.4%                             | 95.4% | 82.8% | 86%    | 80.7% |   |                 |
|         | medium forceps                   | 100%  | 79.4% | 88%   | 7.9%  | 4.6%                             | 93.4% |       | 87.5%  |       | - |                 |
|         | parenteral antibiotics           | 50%   | 7.8%  | 64%   | 42.8% | 34.3%                            | 64.8% | 51.9% | 60.7%  | 30.7% | - |                 |
|         | parenteral anticonvulsants       | 50%   | 8.6%  | 22.4% | 28.3% | 52.7%                            | 39.3% | 78.6% | 58.1%  | 53.9% | - |                 |
|         | parenteral oxytocin              | 32.2% | 21.6% | 97.4% | 67.9% | 77.5%                            | 90.7% | 95.3% | 74.5%  | 77.9% | - |                 |
|         | CS health worker                 | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%                               | 0%    | 0%    | 0%     | 0%    | - |                 |
|         | anesthetist                      | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%    | 0%                               | 0%    | 0%    | 0%     | 0%    |   | readiness level |
| L.      | BEmONC national guidelines       | 0%    | 10.9% |       |       |                                  | 45%   | 48.9% |        | 27.3% |   | 21-40%          |
| dicat   | CEmONC national guidelines —     | 0%    | 8.7%  |       | 34.5% | 23.2%                            | 15.5% | 24.8% | 47.5%  | 8.1%  |   | 41-60%          |
| Ē.      | suction bulb                     | 79.4% | 62.6% | 40.4% | 88.6% | 80%                              | 84.3% | 73.6% | 76.9%  | 63.9% | - | 61-80%          |
|         | stethoscope                      | 69.7% | 97.6% | 98%   | 94.9% | 81.5%                            | 92.1% | 78.4% | 58.7%  | 71.4% | - | NA 81-100%      |
| newbo   | orn masks and self inflating bag | 37%   | 48%   | 91.5% | 47.8% | 21%                              | 85.2% | 88.7% | 77.1%  | 75%   | - |                 |
|         | incubator                        | 11.1% | 2.7%  | 4.7%  | 9.5%  | 0.6%                             | 14.4% | 1.7%  | 3%     | 1.1%  |   |                 |
|         | other external heat source       | 16.7% | 10.7% | 63.2% | 35.3% | 12.4%                            | 32.7% | 6.5%  | 65.9%  | 3.4%  |   |                 |
|         | pulse oximeter                   | 5.6%  | 1.1%  | 50.4% | 21.2% | 0.4%                             | 21%   | 5.3%  | 2.5%   | 0.4%  |   |                 |
|         | oxygen concentrator              | 0%    | 5.8%  | 14.7% | 15.7% | 0.5%                             | 8.8%  | 11.4% | 2.9%   | 2.1%  |   |                 |
|         | filled oxygen cylinder           | 82.4% | 13.2% | 30.3% | 21.7% | 0.3%                             | 7.9%  | 3.4%  | 6%     | 1%    |   |                 |
|         | oxygen distribution system       | 5.6%  | 2%    | 0.6%  | 4.4%  |                                  | 2.6%  | 3.2%  | 2.1%   | 0.9%  |   |                 |
|         | glucometer                       | 55.5% | 10.6% | 24.9% | 62.3% | 18.2%                            | 68.8% | 12.3% | 81.1%  | 12%   |   |                 |
|         | glucometer strips                | 55.5% | 9.9%  | 21.9% | 57.2% | 15.8%                            | 62.5% | 10.1% | 84%    | 11%   | - |                 |
|         | hand washing soap                | 22.7% | 77.3% | 91.7% | 52.1% | 45.6%                            | 51.8% | 44.9% | 50.1%  | 63.1% |   |                 |
|         | disposable latex gloves          | 50.5% | 84.7% | 97.9% | 92.2% | 88.5%                            | 93%   | 97.2% | 91.6%  | 94%   |   |                 |
|         | training about IMPAC             | 0%    | 11.7% | 7.7%  | 42.8% | 33.8%                            | 6.6%  | 21.9% | 41.8%  | 18.8% |   |                 |
|         | training about CEmONC            | 0%    | 6.1%  | 6.8%  | 35.7% | 28.6%                            | 5.6%  | 20.6% | 29.6%  | 14.8% | - |                 |
| trainir | ng about normal vaginal delivery | 0%    | 14.8% | 20.4% | 43.6% | 33.5%                            | 6.5%  | 35.3% | 45.4%  | 20.2% |   |                 |
| pretern | n labor management guidelines    | 0%    | 13.6% |       | 15.6% | 8.8%                             | 27.1% | 40.2% | 17.7%  | 10.7% |   |                 |
|         |                                  | AFG18 | BD17  | NP21  | HT17  | DRC17<br>country and survey year | ET21  | MW13  | SN1819 | TZ14  |   |                 |

#### Supplemental Figure 3. Heatmap of facility readiness for level 2 facilities by country Readiness of level 2 facilities

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Halti 2017; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015; RDT: rapid diagnostic test; BEmONC: Basic Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; CEmONC: Comprehensive Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; IMPAC: Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth; NA: not applicable



## Supplemental Figure 4. Heatmap of facility readiness for level 3 facilities by country

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2016; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Halil 2017; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 20121-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015; RDT: rapid diagnostic test; BEmONC: Basic Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; CEmONC: Comprehensive Emergency Obstetrics and Newborn Care; IMPAC: Integrated Management of Pregnancy and Childbirth; NA: not applicable



# Supplemental Figure 5. ACS utilization and corticosteroid availability by facility level

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Halti 2017-2018; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 2021-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015



## Supplemental Figure 6. Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for all facilities

Overall readiness index for all facilities by ACS utilization (%)

AFG18: Afahanistan 2018-2019: BD17: Bandladesh 2017-2018: NP21: Neoal 2021: HT17: Haiti 2017-2018: DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Conoo 2017-2018: ET21: Ethiopia 2021-2022: MW13: Malawi 2013-2014: SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019: TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015



Supplemental Figure 7. Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for level 2 facilities

Overall readiness index for level 2 facilities by ACS utilization

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17: Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21: Nepal 2021; HT17: Haiti 2017-2018; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 2021-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.31.24310863; this version posted August 2, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



## Supplemental Figure 8. Differences in overall readiness indexes by antenatal corticosteroids utilization for level 3 facilities

Overall readiness index for level 3 facilities by ACS utilization

AFG18: Afghanistan 2018-2019; BD17; Bangladesh 2017-2018; NP21; Nepal 2021; HT17: Haiti 2017-2018; DRC17: the Demographic Republic of Congo 2017-2018; ET21: Ethiopia 2021-2022; MW13: Malawi 2013-2014; SN1819: Senegal 2018 and 2019; TZ14: Tanzania 2014-2015

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.31.24310863; this version posted August 2, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .