# 1 Analysis of Frailty in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients Based on Logistic

## 2 Regression Model and XGBoost Model

3

4 Qi Liu<sup>a,†</sup>, Guanchao Tong<sup>b,c,†</sup>, Qiong Ye<sup>b,\*,†</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>a</sup> Wenzhou Central Hospital, 252 Baili East Rd, Lucheng, Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province

6 325000, China

<sup>b</sup> Wenzhou-Kean University, 88 Daxue Rd, Ouhai, Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province 325060,
 China.

<sup>c</sup> Kean University, 1000 Morris Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083, USA.

10 \* Corresponding author, e-mail: <u>yeqiong@wku.edu.cn</u>

<sup>11</sup> <sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

12

### 13 **ABSTRACT**:

Purpose: The aim of this study was to establish a model that would enable healthcare providers to use routine follow-up measures of peritoneal dialysis to predict frailty in those patients.

17

Design : A cross-sectional design with Logistic regression and XGBoost machine learning
 algorithms analysis.

20

Methods: One hundred and twenty-three cases of peritoneal dialysis patients who underwent regular follow-up at our center were included in this study. We use the FRAIL scale to confirm the frailty of the patients. Clinical and Laboratory data were obtained from the peritoneal dialysis registration system. Factors associated with patient Frailty were identified through regularized logistic regression and validated using an XGBoost model. The final selected variables were in-cluded in the unregularized Logistic Regression to construct the model

28

Findings: A total of 123 patients were reviewed in this study, with an average age of 61.58 years, and the median dialysis Duration was 38.5(18.07,60.53) months. 39 patients (31.71%) were female, 54 PD patients (43.9%) were classified as frail. Age, Ferritin, and TCH are the top three im-portant features labeled by the XGBoost. The results are consistent with the regularized logistic regression.

35 Conclusions: In this study, age, total cholesterol, and ferritin are the most important features 36 associated with the frailty in peritoneal dialysis patients. This model can be used to predict 37 frailty status and help health monitoring of peritoneal dialysis patients.

38

39 Clinical Evidence: Logistic regression and XGBoost machine learning algorithms can be 40 used to construct a predictive model of frailty in peritoneal dialysis patients. The model could 41 provide doctors with an objective tool to find frailty in peritoneal dialysis patients. As the data 42 is obtained from routine examinations, the prediction model will not bring additional burden 43 to the work of doctors or nurses.

44

45 **KEYWORDS**: peritoneal dialysis; frailty; FRAIL scale; Logistic Regression; XGBoost

- 46 model
- 47

## 48 INTRODUCTION

Peritoneal dialysis can bring many benefits to patients with end-stage renal disease and prolongs their lives. However, it also has disadvantages, such as infections, metabolic and mechanical complications, risk of inadequate dialysis or limited possibilities to increase adequacy, malnutrition, long-term viability, psychological problems related to indwelling abdominal dialysis catheters, and fatigue from continuous use, particularly among elderly people[1].

Frailty is a commonly used term to denote a multidimensional syndrome of loss of reserves (energy, physical ability, cognition, health), which gives rise to vulnerability[2]. Frailty is highly prevalent in older patients with dialysis-dependent kidney failure[3].

58 The causes of frailty in PD patients are a little more complex. The buildup of toxins in 59 uremia can cause loss of appetite and fatigue. Among those undergoing dialysis, particularly 60 peritoneal dialysis (PD), challenges such as abdominal distention and bloating can exacerbate the loss of appetite. This diminished appetite, combined with dietary restrictions and reduced 61 62 physical activity, leads to protein-energy wasting, muscle breakdown, and the onset of sarcopenia[6], [7]. Elevated levels of uremic toxins in circulation and disruptions in acid-base 63 balance contribute to chronic inflammation and hinder the effectiveness of anabolic 64 hormones, ultimately resulting in catabolism and impaired energy utilization[6]. Moreover, 65 dialysis removes uremic toxins and restores homeostasis, thus theoretically improving 66

weakness[7]. The interaction between malnutrition, inflammation, and frailty often coexistand have a combined effect on patient outcomes[8].

In patients with chronic kidney disease, both before or after dialysis initiation, frailty has been linked with increased risks of falls, hospitalization, cognitive dysfunction, and mortality[7], [9]. Early identification may enable timely intervention to prevent adverse health outcomes in this group of patients.

73 A significant amount of research has employed statistical learning and machine learning 74 algorithms to address medical problems and identify relationships between various factors through patient observation and indicators of different diseases[10]. Machine learning can 75 process large data sets and then efficiently process this data into clinical knowledge that 76 77 enables physicians to prepare and deliver treatments, ultimately improving outcomes[11]. 78 Based on clinical data, machine learning models can diagnose aphasia, and urinary tract infections, and predict breast cancer, among others, providing clinicians with a "second 79 opinion". 80

This study aims to analyze the relationship between frailty and various indicators (especially nutritional related) through the observation of patients with regular peritoneal dialysis follow-up in our center by using logistic regression and extreme Gradient Boost (XGBoost) algorithms and try to find out the important factors of frailty in patients with peritoneal dialysis, to intervene in the early stage and improve the quality of life of patients.

86

## 87 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 88 **Participants**

A total of 123 patients with peritoneal dialysis followed up for more than 1 year were
included in the study. The inclusion criteria were patients on maintenance PD and age >18
years old, with basic listening, speaking, reading, and understanding skills. The exclusion
criteria were patients with critical illness, unable to cooperate with the required actions,
unable to understand the content of the scale, patients with acute infection, tumor patients, etc.
This study was approved by the Wenzhou Central Hospital Human Research Ethics
Committee, and prior written consent was obtained from the Participants.

96

#### 97 Data collection

The study started with registration on September 02, 2023. Trained and qualified nurses
explained the study's purpose to patients and obtained their informed consent. They

administered the scale questions by reading them and recording the patient's responses.

101 Researchers then reviewed the completed scales to ensure data accuracy and consistency.

102 Clinical and Laboratory data were obtained from the peritoneal dialysis registration103 system.

104

### 105 Assessment of Frailty

106 The FRAIL scale includes 5 components: Fatigue, Resistance, Ambulation (slow

107 walking speed), Illness, and Loss of Weight (5% or more in the previous year). Frail scale

scores range from 0-5 (i.e., 1 point for each component; 0=best to 5=worst) and represent

109 frail (3–5), pre-frail (1–2), and robust (0) health status[12]. The FRAIL scale has been

recommended by the latest international guidelines as the best screening tool for Frailty[13].

111 In a study of HD (hemodialysis) patients, the FRAIL scale proved to be an easy-to-apply tool,

identifying a high prevalence of frailty and being a predictor of hospital admission[14]. In this

study, we combine frail and pre-frail, classify 1-5 as frail, and 0 as non-frail status.

114

### 115 Logistic Regression model

Logistic regression is a widely used classification algorithm that uses a linear model to predict the probability of a binary outcome[24]. The model of the binary logistic regression is a linear regression of the log odds which can be expressed as[15]:

$$logit(p) = log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i x_i$$

119 It is a simple and effective way to model binary data, but it can sometimes suffer from overfitting. The loss function of the Overfitted models will fail to replicate in future samples, 120 thus creating considerable uncertainty about the scientific merit of the finding[16]. 121 Regularization is a technique used to avoid overfitting in machine learning models. The lasso, 122 123 ridge, and elastic net are popular regularized regression models for supervised learning[17]. 124 Elastic Net regularization combines L1 (Lasso) and L2 (Ridge) regularization by adding a penalty term to the objective function that is a combination of the absolute value and square 125 126 of the coefficients. The loss function of the elastic net model can be expressed as [18]:

$$L + \lambda \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right) \sum \beta_i^2 + \alpha \sum |\beta_i|$$
127

In the loss function, the value of the  $\alpha$  controls the mix of the lasso and ridge regression.

129 When  $\alpha = 0$ , it becomes the pure ridge regression, and when  $\alpha = 1$ , it is the pure lasso

- 130 regression.
- 131

#### 132 XGBoost Model

XGBoost was mainly designed for speed and performance using gradient-boosted
 decision trees. It represents a way for machine boosting, or in other words, applying boosting

to machines[19]. It is based on the sparsity-aware algorithm and weighted quantile sketch,

136 which can converge the weak learners step-wisely into the ensemble to form a strong learner.

Overfitting also can be reduced largely in the XGBoost model through parallel calculation and
 regularization lifting technology[20].

139

#### 140 Data Analysis

141 IBM SPSS Statistics 25 and R-Studio software (version 4.3.2) were used for data 142 analysis. The Continuous data were expressed in different ways according to the results of 143 normal tests, mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, or median (interquartile range). The count data were 144 expressed as the percentage of cases. Regularized Logistic Regression analysis was used to 145 filter the effective variables for the frailty. The variables with non-zero regression coefficients 146 would be included in the final model. The importance of the prediction model variables was 147 ranked by XGBoost, by which the results of Regularized Logistic Regression analysis would 148 be verified. The final selected variables were included in the unregularized Logistic 149 Regression to construct the model (Figure 1).

150

### 151 **RESULTS**

## 152 Participants and Baseline Frailty Status

A total of 123 patients were reviewed in this study, with an average age of  $(61.58 \pm 12.8)$ 

years, and the median dialysis Duration was 38.5(18.07,60.53) months. 39 patients (31.71%)

were female, 54 patients with original kidney disease (43.9%) were hypertensive

nephropathy, and 54 PD patients (43.9%) were classified as frail(Table 1).

157

## 158 Regularized Logistic Regression Results and Verified by XGBoost

159 Using the Regularized Logistic Regression algorithm with optimal parameters

160 (Alpha=0.5, lambda=0.15), three significant factors associated with frailty occurrence in PD

patients, each with non-zero coefficients, were selected from clinical data. As shown in the
Table 2, the three most important factors are Age, Total Cholesterol, and Ferritin.

163

Using the XGBoost algorithm with optimal parameters (max\_depth = 4, verbose = 0, 164 165 nrounds = 20). The factors are ranked by importance from XGBoost as shown in Table 3 and 166 Figure 2. Table 3 shows the three measures: Gain, Cover, and Frequency in the variable 167 importance matrix [19]. The Gain implies the relative contribution of the corresponding feature to the model. The Cover shows the relative number of observations related to the 168 corresponding feature. Moreover, The Frequency represents the percentage of times a 169 particular feature occurs in the model. The Gain is the most relevant attribute for interpreting 170 171 the relative importance of each feature[19]. Figure 2 shows the visualization of the Gain of 172 the different features. 173 The top three features in the Gain column, Age, Total Cholesterol, and Ferritin, are consistent with the results of the regularized logistic regression model. 174 175 176 **Unregularized Logistic Regression** 177 After applying the regularized logistic regression and being verified by XGBoost, we 178 determined that age, total cholesterol, and ferritin are the three significant factors associated 179 with the occurrence of frailty in PD patients. Then, we use the unregularized Logistic 180 Regression to obtain the relationship between the three variables and the occurrence of frailty.

Based on the results of Unregularized Logistic Regression, a frailty prediction model for PD patients was established using three independent predictive factors: age, total cholesterol (TCH), and ferritin. The prediction model is as follows:

184

$$logit(p) = -3.0444 + 0.0664 \times Age - 0.2157 \times TCH - 0.003 \times Ferritin$$

185

186 , where logit(p) is equal to the log-odds of the p, which is  $\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$ .

In the model above, the p is the probability of frailty. The model above shows that increasing one unit (year) of the age will result in a 0.0664 increase in logit(p), which means there is a 6.8% increase in the odd of probability of frailty. Increasing one unit (mmol/L) of the TCH will result in a 0.2157 decrease in logit(p), which means there is a 19.4% decrease in the odd of the probability of frailty. Increasing one unit (ug/L) of the Ferritin will result in a

0.003 decrease in logit(p), which means there is a 0.30% decrease in the odd of the probabilityof frailty.

194

The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) for the unregularized logistic regression model is 0.736 as shown in Figure 3. The model shows a positive association between age and frailty, while total cholesterol and ferritin are nagetively associated with the development of frailty.

199

## 200 DISCUSSION

We found that 43.9% of peritoneal dialysis patients from our data had different levels of frailty based on the FRAIL scale. The main related factors for frailty are age, total cholesterol, and ferritin.

204 In this study, age was the most important factor for frailty in both logistic regression and 205 importance analysis with XGBoost, which is consistent with previous studies[21], [22] 206 (Figure 2). While the biological causative mechanisms of frailty are different from those 207 processes causing the aging process, age is still the most important factor affecting frailty. 208 With aging, one or more physiological systems change especially the neuro-muscular, 209 neuroendocrine, and immune systems[23]. These changes interact and accumulate, decreasing 210 physiological function and reserve. Frailty is thought to result when damage to multiple 211 physiological systems exceeds a threshold so much that repair mechanisms fail to maintain 212 system homeostasis[22], [24]. Peritoneal dialysis patients often face a lot of health challenges, such as malnutrition, metabolic disorders, and cardiovascular disease[8]. Peritoneal dialysis 213 may affect patients' physiological function, immune system function, mental health, and 214 215 quality of life[1], [25]. The patients often have chronic diseases such as diabetes and 216 hypertension. Peritoneal dialysis patients would be sensitive to the age-related features, such 217 that the effect of age on frailty may be exacerbated. 218 Too much cholesterol could increase the risk of heart disease, stroke, and pancreatitis. 219 However, the low cholesterol is also related to nutrition, infections, tumors, and liver

disease[26], [27]. For patients on regular dialysis, the lower total cholesterol is usually due to

221 malnutrition and inflammation[28]. A cross-sectional study in older adults found being at a

high level of TCH was associated with a lower risk of frailty. A low TCH level might be the

- most associated with frailty risk[29]. People with lower TCH (≤5.2 mmol/l) were more likely
- to decline in functional status compared to others with higher TCH[27]. The complex effects

225 of cholesterol may be related to age. A report showed high midlife TCH is a risk factor for subsequent dementia/Alzheimer's disease, but decreasing TCH after midlife may reflect 226 227 ongoing disease processes and may represent a risk marker for late-life cognitive impairment[30]. Additionally, other studies show that total TCH is inversely associated with 228 229 mortality as a metabolic shift and reverse metabolism as well[31], [32]. Hypocholesterolemia 230 was associated not only with an increased risk of mortality but also with an increased rate of 231 complications (especially infections), clear biochemical evidence of malnutrition, and higher 232 duration and cost of hospitalization [33]. In our study, the total cholesterol of the patients was 233 4.26(1.54)mmol/L, and only 15 percent were above the upper limit of normal. Because the 234 overall TCH level is not high, this may be the reason why the lower the TCH, the higher the 235 risk of frailty in our study. 236 Ferritin is an important iron storage protein in the human body and participates in the 237 regulation of hematopoietic and immune systems. Ferritin levels can reflect the iron reserve 238 and nutritional status of the body, and it is related to a variety of diseases[34], [35]. 239 We found a relationship between ferritin and frailty. A cross-sectional study in a tertiary care center also showed ferritin was an independent significant predictor of frailty[36]. The effect 240 241 of ferritin on frailty may be related to factors including anemia, oxygen supply, aerobic 242 metabolism, inflammation, immune response, and malnutrition [37], [38]. 243 As people age, frailty has long been considered a state that accelerates the risk of adverse 244 outcomes. Frailty is more common in patients with uremia and peritoneal dialysis[7]. 245 Identifying biomarkers associated with frailty may benefit the care of peritoneal dialysis patients. Our center's routine follow-up of peritoneal dialysis patients includes the complete 246 blood count (CBC), Biochemistry Panel, and other indicators. Compared to frailty assessment 247 248 scales such as FRAIL and FSQ(Frailty Screening Questionnaire), which include subjective 249 questions about fatigue, stair climbing difficulties, and community ambulation[39], [40], these 250 biochemical indicators are easy to obtain, stable, and objectives. 251 Our results may help to improve the ability to identify those adults at greatest risk of

frailty in the management of peritoneal dialysis patients and provide early intervention afterward. We should pay attention to the age, total cholesterol, and ferritin levels during routine examinations of peritoneal dialysis patients. Patients with frailty risk can be further examined with a frailty scale to improve the accuracy of the diagnosis (such as the FRAIL scale).

257 However, some indicators that have diagnostic significance for frailty in other articles were not screened out in this study (such as albumin, hemoglobin, etc.) [36], [41]. The reason 258 259 may be that our sample size is too small. Therefore, in the future, we plan to expand the 260 sample size and use big data analytics to train and refine this model, and use the model to 261 detect the peritoneal dialysis patients. The model could provide doctors with an objective tool 262 to diagnose frailty in peritoneal dialysis patients. As the data is obtained from routine 263 examinations, the prediction model will not bring additional burden to the work of doctors or 264 nurses. 265 CONCLUSION 266 In this study, age, total cholesterol, and ferritin are the most important features associated 267 with the frailty in peritoneal dialysis patients. This model can be used to predict frailty status 268 269 and help health monitoring of peritoneal dialysis patients. In the future, we will collect more 270 data to verify and improve the accuracy of the model. 271 272 273 Funding: This work was supported by Wenzhou-Kean University 2023 Internal 274 (Faculty/Staff) Start-Up Research Grant, under ISRG2023023. 275 276 Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Dec-277 laration of Helsinki and approved by Wenzhou Central Hospital. 278 279 Informed Consent Statement: Written informed consent has been obtained from the patients to 280 publish this paper. 281 282 Data Availability Statement: Dataset available on request from the authors 283 Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. 284 285 286 287 REFERENCES 288 289 [1] R. Gokal and N. P. Mallick, "Peritoneal dialysis," The Lancet, vol. 353, no. 9155, pp. 823-828, Mar. 1999, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)09410-0. 290

291 [2] K. Rockwood *et al.*, "A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people," CMAJ, vol. 173, no. 5, pp. 489–495, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1503/cmaj.050051. 292 293 [3] M. G. Shlipak et al., "The presence of frailty in elderly persons with chronic renal 294 insufficiency," American Journal of Kidney Diseases, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 861–867, May 295 2004, doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2003.12.049. [4] G. C.-K. Chan, J. K.-C. Ng, P. M.-S. Cheng, K.-M. Chow, C.-C. Szeto, and P. K.-T. Li, 296 297 "Dietary Micronutrient Intake and Its Relationship with the Malnutrition–Inflammation– Frailty Complex in Patients Undergoing Peritoneal Dialysis," Nutrients, vol. 15, no. 23, 298 Art. no. 23, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/nu15234934. 299 [5] J. J. Carrero et al., "Muscle atrophy, inflammation and clinical outcome in incident and 300 prevalent dialysis patients," Clinical Nutrition, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 557–564, Aug. 2008, 301 302 doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2008.04.007. [6] D. Fouque et al., "A proposed nomenclature and diagnostic criteria for protein-energy 303 304 wasting in acute and chronic kidney disease," Kidney Int, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 391–398, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5002585. 305 [7] G. C.-K. Chan et al., "Progression in Physical Frailty in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients." 306 307 Kidney and Blood Pressure Research, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 342–351, May 2021, doi: 308 10.1159/000515635. [8] G. C.-K. Chan, W. W.-S. Fung, C.-C. Szeto, and J. K.-C. Ng, "From MIA to FIFA: The 309 vicious matrix of frailty, inflammation, fluid overload and atherosclerosis in peritoneal 310 311 dialysis," Nephrology (Carlton), vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 215–226, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1111/nep.14150. 312 [9] G. C.-K. Chan *et al.*, "Polypharmacy Predicts Onset and Transition of Frailty, 313 Malnutrition, and Adverse Outcomes in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients," The Journal of 314 315 nutrition, health and aging, vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 1054–1060, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12603-022-1859-8. 316 317 [10] J. Song, G. Tong, and W. Zhu, "A Detecting System for Abrupt Changes in Temporal Incidence Rate of COVID-19 and Other Pandemics," Stats, vol. 6, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Sep. 318 319 2023, doi: 10.3390/stats6030058. [11] K. A. Bhavsar, J. Singla, Y. D. Al-Otaibi, O. Y. Song, Y. B. Zikria, and A. K. Bashir, 320 321 "Medical diagnosis using machine learning: a statistical review," Computers, Materials 322 and Continua, vol. 67, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Jan. 2021. [12] J. Woo, R. Yu, M. Wong, F. Yeung, M. Wong, and C. Lum, "Frailty Screening in the 323 Community Using the FRAIL Scale," J Am Med Dir Assoc, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 412–419, 324 325 May 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2015.01.087. 326 [13] E. Dent et al., "Physical Frailty: ICFSR International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Identification and Management," The Journal of nutrition, health and aging, vol. 23, no. 327 328 9, pp. 771–787, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12603-019-1273-z. [14] E. M. S. Barbosa *et al.*, "Comparison between FRAIL Scale and Clinical Frailty Scale in 329 330 predicting hospitalization in hemodialysis patients," J Nephrol, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 687-693, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1007/s40620-022-01532-5. 331 [15] M. P. LaValley, "Logistic Regression," Circulation, vol. 117, no. 18, pp. 2395–2399, 332 333 May 2008, doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.682658. [16] M. A. Babyak, "What You See May Not Be What You Get: A Brief, Nontechnical 334 Introduction to Overfitting in Regression-Type Models," *Psychosomatic Medicine*, vol. 335 336 66, no. 3, p. 411, Jun. 2004. [17] J. Friedman, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani, "Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear 337 Models via Coordinate Descent," J Stat Softw, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–22, 2010. 338

339 [18] J. K. Tay, B. Narasimhan, and T. Hastie, "Elastic Net Regularization Paths for All Generalized Linear Models," J Stat Softw, vol. 106, p. 1, 2023, doi: 340 341 10.18637/jss.v106.i01. 342 [19] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, "XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System," in *Proceedings* 343 of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, in KDD '16. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 344 345 Aug. 2016, pp. 785–794. doi: 10.1145/2939672.2939785. [20] Y. Zhang et al., "Comparison of Prediction Models for Acute Kidney Injury Among 346 Patients with Hepatobiliary Malignancies Based on XGBoost and LASSO-Logistic 347 348 Algorithms," International Journal of General Medicine, vol. 14, pp. 1325–1335, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S302795. 349 [21] J. K.-C. Ng et al., "Frailty in Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: Prevalence and 350 Prognostic Significance," Kidney and Blood Pressure Research, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 736-351 352 745, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1159/000450563. [22] L. P. Fried *et al.*, "Frailty in Older Adults: Evidence for a Phenotype," *The Journals of* 353 354 Gerontology: Series A, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. M146–M157, Mar. 2001, doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.M146. 355 356 [23] J. Walston *et al.*, "Research agenda for frailty in older adults: toward a better understanding of physiology and etiology: summary from the American Geriatrics 357 Society/National Institute on Aging Research Conference on Frailty in Older Adults," J 358 Am Geriatr Soc, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 991–1001, Jun. 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1532-359 5415.2006.00745.x. 360 [24] A. Clegg, J. Young, S. Iliffe, M. O. Rikkert, and K. Rockwood, "Frailty in elderly 361 people," The Lancet, vol. 381, no. 9868, pp. 752-762, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1016/S0140-362 6736(12)62167-9. 363 [25] S.-M. Kim *et al.*, "Comparison of hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients' dietary 364 365 behaviors," BMC Nephrology, vol. 21, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12882-020-01744-6. [26] J. Wang and Z. Hong, "Low Plasma Total Cholesterol Concentration: A Sensitive 366 367 Evaluation Marker in Hospitalized Patients with Nutritional Deficiency Malnutrition," JFNR, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 551–555, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.12691/jfnr-2-9-4. 368 [27] B. W. M. Schalk, M. Visser, D. J. H. Deeg, and L. M. Bouter, "Lower levels of serum 369 370 albumin and total cholesterol and future decline in functional performance in older persons: the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam," Age Ageing, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 371 266-272, May 2004, doi: 10.1093/ageing/afh073. 372 373 [28] Y. Liu *et al.*, "Association Between Cholesterol Level and Mortality in Dialysis 374 PatientsRole of Inflammation and Malnutrition," JAMA, vol. 291, no. 4, pp. 451–459, 375 Jan. 2004, doi: 10.1001/jama.291.4.451. 376 [29] M. Yin et al., "Cholesterol alone or in combination is associated with frailty among community-dwelling older adults: A cross-sectional study," Exp Gerontol, vol. 180, p. 377 378 112254, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2023.112254. [30] A. Solomon et al., "Serum cholesterol changes after midlife and late-life cognition," 379 Neurology, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 751–756, Mar. 2007, doi: 380 10.1212/01.wnl.0000256368.57375.b7. 381 [31] A. H. Abdelhafiz, B. E. Loo, N. Hensey, C. Bailey, and A. Sinclair, "The U-shaped 382 Relationship of Traditional Cardiovascular Risk Factors and Adverse Outcomes in Later 383 384 Life," Aging Dis, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 454–464, Oct. 2012. [32] U. M. Vischer et al., "Cardiometabolic determinants of mortality in a geriatric 385 population: Is there a 'reverse metabolic syndrome'?," Diabetes & Metabolism, vol. 35, 386 387 no. 2, pp. 108-114, Apr. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2008.08.006.

[33] P. Ranieri, R. Rozzini, S. Franzoni, P. Barbisoni, and M. Trabucchi, "Serum Cholesterol 388 Levels as a Measure of Frailty in Elderly Patients," Experimental Aging Research, vol. 389 390 24, no. 2, pp. 169–179, Mar. 1998, doi: 10.1080/036107398244300. 391 [34] W. Wang, M. A. Knovich, L. G. Coffman, F. M. Torti, and S. V. Torti, "Serum ferritin: 392 Past, present and future," Biochim Biophys Acta, vol. 1800, no. 8, pp. 760–769, Aug. 393 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2010.03.011. 394 [35] M. N. Garcia-Casal, S.-R. Pasricha, R. X. Martinez, L. Lopez-Perez, and J. P. Peña-Rosas, "Serum or plasma ferritin concentration as an index of iron deficiency and 395 overload," Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, no. 5, 2021, doi: 396 397 10.1002/14651858.CD011817.pub2. [36] A. Kumar, M. Dhar, M. Agarwal, A. Mukherjee, and V. Saxena, "Predictors of Frailty in 398 399 the Elderly Population: A Cross-Sectional Study at a Tertiary Care Center," Cureus, vol. 14, no. 10, p. e30557, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.7759/cureus.30557. 400 401 [37] C. Dugan, K. Cabolis, L. F. Miles, and T. Richards, "Systematic review and metaanalysis of intravenous iron therapy for adults with non-anaemic iron deficiency: An 402 403 abridged Cochrane review," J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 2637-2649, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1002/jcsm.13114. 404 [38] O. A. Abulseoud et al., "Attenuated initial serum ferritin concentration in critically ill 405 coronavirus disease 2019 geriatric patients with comorbid psychiatric conditions," Front 406 Psychiatry, vol. 13, p. 1035986, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1035986. 407 [39] K.-U. Saum, H. Müller, C. Stegmaier, K. Hauer, E. Raum, and H. Brenner, 408 "Development and Evaluation of a Modification of the Fried Frailty Criteria Using 409 Population-Independent Cutpoints," Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, vol. 60, 410 no. 11, pp. 2110–2115, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04192.x. 411 412 [40] I. Palomo et al., "Characterization by Gender of Frailty Syndrome in Elderly People according to Frail Trait Scale and Fried Frailty Phenotype," Journal of Personalized 413 414 Medicine, vol. 12, no. 5, Art. no. 5, May 2022, doi: 10.3390/jpm12050712. 415 [41] K. Jayanama, O. Theou, J. M. Blodgett, L. Cahill, and K. Rockwood, "Frailty, nutrition-416 related parameters, and mortality across the adult age spectrum," BMC Med, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 188, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s12916-018-1176-6. 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433

434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444

445 **Table 1** Patient demographics. There are a total of one hundred and twenty-three patients in

the dataset, of which forty-five Peritoneal Dialysis (PD) patients were frail.

Variable	All patients	Not frail	Frail	
Number	123	69	54	
Gender (%)				
Male	84(68.29)	50(72.46)	34(62.97)	
Female	39(31.71)	19(27.54)	20(37.04)	
Education level (%)		. ,	. ,	
Never go to school	19(15.45)	9(13.04)	10(18.52)	
Primary school	54(43.90)	27(39.13)	27(50.00)	
Junior school	33(26.83)	20(28.99)	13(24.07)	
High school	13(10.57)	11(15.94)	2(3.70)	
College degree or above	4(3.25)	2(2.90)	2(3.70)	
Primary Diagnosis of Renal Disease				
(%)				
Hypertension	54(43.90)	34(49.28)	20(37.04)	
Diabetes	50(40.65)	22(31.88)	28(51.85)	
Chronic Glomerulonephritis	15(12.20)	9(13.04)	6(11.11)	
Others	4(3.25)	4(5.80)	0(0)	
Age(year)	61.58±12.56	57.94±11.78	66.56±11.68	
Dialysis Duration (months)	38.50(42.46)	36.63(43.74)	38.00(36.72)	
Vitamin D(nmol/L)	42.20(20.90)	41.50(21.60)	42.55(20.50)	
HB(Hemoglobin)(g/L)	113.20±17.32	$114.00 \pm 19.22$	112.44±14.79	
Albumin(g/L)	34.7(4.8)	34.75(4.8)	34.1(5.0)	
TCH(Total Cholesterol)(mmol/L)	4.26(1.54)	4.38(1.96)	4.04(1.62)	
TG(Triglyceride)(mmol/L)	2.09(1.59)	2.05(1.82)	2.08(1.54)	
Ca(mmol/L)	2.32(0.21)	$2.30\pm0.20$	2.33±0.17	
PTH(Parathyroid Hormone)(pg/mL)	198.50(330.75)	200.00(300)	193.50(362)	
Prealbumin(mg/L)	335.00±143	$350.85 \pm 102.80$	345.98±108.66	
Iron saturation	0.23(0.17)	0.21(0.19)	0.25(0.16)	
Ferritin(ug/L)	78.70(119.40)	99.80(163.7)	63.35(97.2)	
$\beta$ 2-microglobulin(mg/L)	36.55(20.25)	38.64(18.05)	34.42(23.99)	
Transferrin(g/L)	2.49(0.93)	2.45(1.07)	2.54(0.79)	

Table 2 The Importance and the Coefficients for the Regularized Model. We find three
 variables are significantly related to the frailty occurrence in PD patients: Age, Total
 Cholesterol, and Ferritin.

Variable	Variable Importance	Coefficients*		
Gender	0	0		
Age	0.0252664469	0.0252608776		
Dialysis Duration	0	0		
Education.Level	0	0		
Protopathy	0	0		
Vitamin D	0	0		
HB	0	0		
Albumin	0	0		
TCH	0.0016228674	-0.0015955269		
TG	0	0		
Ca	0	0		
PTH	0	0		
Prealbumin	0	0		
Iron saturation	0	0		
Ferritin	0.0001208403	-0.0001204672		
β2-microglobulin	0	0		
Transferrin	0	0		
*The Intercept is -1.7843008324				

**Table 3** The Importance Matrix of the Variables from XGBoost There are three measures:

Variable	Gain	Cover	Frequency
Age	0.17481068	0.08966518	0.09302326

Gain, Cover and Frequency in the variable importance matrix The Gain is the most relevant attribute for interpreting the relative importance of each feature.

Ferritin	0.15382618	0.06178086	0.07441860
TCH	0.08037195	0.04529906	0.06511628
HB	0.07744565	0.06675650	0.06976744
Vitamin D	0.07142420	0.07784804	0.06511628
TG	0.06544205	0.04840883	0.07441860
Iron.saturation	0.05993071	0.06914067	0.06046512
PTH	0.05386862	0.04312221	0.04186047
Ca	0.05379215	0.11827511	0.07906977
β2-microglobulin	0.05291816	0.09049445	0.06511628
transferrin	0.03860538	0.03130507	0.05581395
Albumin	0.03137946	0.05763450	0.05116279
Prealbumin	0.03117914	0.06748212	0.06046512
Protopathy	0.02292476	0.06530528	0.02790698
Dialysis Duration	0.02013171	0.02726236	0.05116279
Education Level	0.00622742	0.01585985	0.02790698
Gender	0.00572177	0.02435990	0.03720930

469

470

	Regularized logistic regression		Find the crucial features		Construct model by	
	Full Hypothetical		→	and	→	Unregluarized logistic
r.	relationship	Extreme Gradient Boosting		Verified by XGBoost		regression

471

472 Figure 1 Flow Chart of the procedure: Regularized logistic regression analysis and the

473 XGBoost model were used to screen variables. The three features significantly related to the

474 frail were filtered by regularized logistic regression and are the top three important variables

in the XGBoost importance. The results from regularized logistic regression and XGBoost are

consistent. The unregularized logistic regression was used to construct the prediction model

477 for the frail.



# Visualization of the XGBoost Variable Importance

479

Figure 2 *XGBoost Variable Importance Plot*: The Variable importance (Gain) of the
XGBoost. Age, Ferritin, and TCH are the top three important features labeled by the
XGBoost. The results are consistent with the regularized logistic regression.





484

Figure 3 *The ROC of the Unregularized Logistic Regression Model:* The AUC for the
 unregularized logistic regression model is 0.736