Effectiveness Over Time of a Primary Series of the Original Monovalent COVID-19 Vaccines in Adults in the United States

J. Bradley Layton	Tainya C. Clarke
Patricia C. Lloyd	Jessica Rose Hervol
Lauren S. Peetluk	Dóra Illei
Yixin Jiao	Elizabeth J. Bell
Djeneba Audrey Djibo	Grace Wenya Yang
Joann F. Gruber	John D. Seeger
Jie Deng	Michael Wernecke
Christine Bui	Morgan M. Richey
An-Chi Lo	Richard A. Forshee
Rachel P. Ogilvie	Steven A. Anderson
Ron Parambi	Yoganand Chillarige
Michael Miller	Cheryl N. McMahill-Walraven
Jennifer Song	Kandace L. Amend
Lisa B. Weatherby	Mary S. Anthony
Sylvia Cho	Azadeh Shoaibi

Hui Lee Wong

Corresponding Author:

J. Bradley Layton, PhD 3040 East Cornwallis Road, PO Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194, USA Phone: +1.919.541.8885 Fax: +1.919.541.7222 jblayton@rti.org

Word Count: 3,965

Number of Tables and Figures: 5

Acknowledgements:

- Author affiliations: RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, United States (J. Bradley Layton, Christine Bui, Morgan M. Richey,* Mary S. Anthony); US Food and Drug Administration, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Silver Spring, MD, United States (Patricia C. Lloyd, Joann F. Gruber, Sylvia Cho, Hui Lee Wong,* Tainya C. Clarke, Richard A. Forshee, Steven A. Anderson, Azadeh Shoaibi*); Optum Epidemiology, Boston, MA, United States (Lauren S. Peetluk, Jie Deng, Rachel P. Ogilvie, Ron Parambi, Michael Miller, Jennifer Song, Lisa B. Weatherby, Elizabeth J. Bell, John D. Seeger, Kandace L. Amend); Acumen LLC, Burlingame, CA, United States (Yixin Jiao, An-Chi Lo, Jessica Rose Hervol, Michael Wernecke, Yoganand Chillarige); CVS Health, Safety Surveillance & Collaboration, Blue Bell, PA, United States (Djeneba Audrey Djibo, Cheryl N. McMahill-Walraven); RTI International, Washington, DC, United States (Dóra Illei); OptumServe, Falls Church, VA, United States (Grace Wenya Yang) *Affiliation at time of study
- Financial support: This work was supported by the United States Food and Drug Administration as part of the BEST Initiative.
- 3. **Data-availability statement**: The data that support the findings of this study are available from Optum and CVS Health. Restrictions apply to the availability of these data which were authorized for use in this study.
- 4. The authors thank the following for their contributions: Sarah Harris, MA, of RTI International for project management and support; Dr. Melissa McPheeters, PhD, of RTI International for supervision; Kathryn Matuska, BA, and Wenxuan Zhou, BS, of

Acumen LLC for editorial review of the manuscript and interpretation of results; Vivian Wilt, MPH, Julia McIlmail, BS, and Rebecca Warsawski, MPH, of Optum Epidemiology for Project management; Michael Kirksey, MBA, of Optum Enterprise Analytics for data analysis; Dr. Alexandra Stone, PhD, MSc, and Dr. Wafa Tarazi, PhD, MHPA, of Optum Serve Consulting for immunization data integration and quality assurance; Emily Myers, MPH, of Optum Serve Consulting for analytical support; Eli Wolter of Optum Technology for immunization data acquisition and processing; Edward Novak, MS, of Optum Technology for senior technology leadership; Megan Ketchell, BS, of Optum Serve Consulting for project coordination and communications support; Kathryn Federici, MSW, of Optum Serve Consulting for communications lead and legal liaison; Amaka Ume, MPH, of Optum Serve Consulting for analytical support.

- 5. **Meeting presentation**: Portions of this work have been accepted for a podium presentation at the 2024 ISPE Annual Meeting.
- 6. **Disclaimer**: This activity was conducted as part of the FDA public health surveillance mandate, and FDA did not require Institutional Review Board review; individual-level consent was not required for this analysis of secondary healthcare data.
- 7. Conflict of interest statement: JBL, CB, DI, MMR, and MSA are employees of RTI International, an independent, nonprofit research institute that performs research on behalf of governmental and commercial clients, including vaccine manufacturers. LP, JD, RO, RP, MM, JS, LBW, GWY, JS, and KA are employees of Optum and own stock in UnitedHealth Group. EJB is an employee of Optum. DAD and CNMW are employees of CVS Health. The other authors have no conflicts to report.

Keywords: COVID-19 vaccines; public health surveillance; pharmacoepidemiology

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; HR = hazard ratio; IIS = Immunization Information Systems; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; QBA = quantitative bias analysis; RR = risk ratio; RVE = relative vaccine effectiveness; SD = standard deviation; sIPT = stabilized inverse probability of treatment; US = United States; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

ABSTRACT

With data from 2 US claims databases (Optum, CVS Health) supplemented with Immunization Information System COVID-19 vaccine records, we evaluated overall and timespecific vaccine effectiveness (VE) of an initial primary series for 3 monovalent COVID-19 vaccines-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and JNJ-7836735-in adults (18-64 years). Vaccinated individuals were matched to unvaccinated comparators, and we estimated VE against any medically diagnosed COVID-19 and hospital/emergency department (ED)-diagnosed COVID-19. Additionally, we estimated VE by era of predominant variants, in subgroups, and compared across vaccine brands. The cohorts consisted of 341,097 (Optum) and 1,151,775 (CVS Health) matched pairs for BNT162b2; 201,604 (Optum) and 651,545 (CVS Health) for mRNA-1273; and 49,285 (Optum) and 149,813 (CVS Health) for JNJ-7836735. Summary VE estimates from meta-analysis against hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 were: BNT162b2, 77% (95% CI, 76%-78%); mRNA-1273, 84% (95% CI, 83%-85%), JNJ-7836735 66% (95% CI, 63%-68%). VE estimates were higher for hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 than for medically diagnosed COVID-19, and VE estimates were highest in adults receiving mRNA-1273 for both outcomes. VE was sustained for approximately 7 months for medically-diagnosed and up to 9 months for hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19. VE differed by brand and variant era. Additional real-world research is needed as new variants and recommendations for updated vaccines have evolved.

INTRODUCTION

Vaccines for the prevention of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) became available in the United States (US) through either emergency use authorization or licensure by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This study evaluated the initial, monovalent primary series of the first 3 authorized vaccines in the US—BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech's messenger ribonucleic acid [mRNA] COVID-19 vaccine, Comirnaty®), mRNA-1273 (Moderna's mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, Spikevax®), and JNJ-7836735 (Janssen Pharmaceutical Company's adenovirus COVID-19 vaccine). These vaccines demonstrated efficacy in preventing various COVID-19 outcomes, including symptomatic infection,¹⁻³ severe COVID-19,¹⁻⁴ and death due to COVID-19⁴ in clinical trials before authorization.

Many early real-world US studies affirmed the real-world effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in preventing severe disease,⁵⁻¹² though some suggested that vaccine effectiveness (VE) waned over time in fully vaccinated individuals.^{3,7,13-15} However, some studies demonstrated only minimal or no meaningful decreases in VE estimates over time against more severe COVID-19 or COVID-19-related hospitalization.^{5-7,9,16} In the context of rapidly evolving pandemic conditions, real-world studies on the duration of vaccines' effectiveness may be challenging. Approaches that compare individuals with different lengths of follow-up may be subject to selection bias and time-related biases (e.g., immortal person-time bias).¹⁷ Changes in the predominant circulating viral variants further complicate the question of waning effectiveness over time. Specifically, the emergence of Delta and Omicron variants, which emerged several months after vaccination rollout, resulted in large increases in COVID-19 cases among unvaccinated and vaccinated individuals in the US.¹⁸

FDA continues to monitor the safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines using realworld evidence through the Biologics Effectiveness and Safety (BEST) Initiative. This study, which is part of the BEST Initiative, evaluated the potential for changing effectiveness of the original complete COVID-19 primary series vaccine over time using rich real-world data and robust methodology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Source and Population

This cohort study of adults aged 18-64 years was conducted using 2 US health insurance claims data sources (Optum pre-adjudicated commercial claims; CVS Health adjudicated commercial claims) supplemented with vaccination records from US Immunization Information Systems (IISs) (sTable 1).¹⁹ The data sources contain enrollment information, dispensed prescription drug claims, and hospital, physician, and healthcare professional health insurance claims for individuals with commercial insurance from throughout the US. The study period began on 11 December 2020 (the date COVID-19 vaccines were first available in the US) and ended on 15 January 2022 in Optum and 31 March 2022 in CVS Health (end of complete data at the time of analysis). Vaccinated individuals were identified at the first record of a COVID-19 vaccination during the study period (Time 0), and unvaccinated individuals were matched by calendar date, US county of residence, age (5-year increments), sex, immunocompromised status, pregnancy status, history of COVID-19 diagnosis, influenza vaccination, and presence of at least 1 of the conditions identified by CDC as increasing individuals' risk of severe COVID-19.²⁰ The calendar date of the vaccinated individual were set at Time 0 for the matched unvaccinated comparator.

Vaccinated and matched unvaccinated individuals were required to meet the following eligibility criteria on or before Time 0: have continuous medical and pharmacy coverage in the database before Time 0 for at least 365 days prior to Time 0 or back until 11 December 2020 (the day COVID-19 vaccines were initially authorized in the US); be within the indicated/authorized age range of the vaccine; and reside within the catchment area of the combined claims and IIS data. To align vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals, all eligible individuals were required to be free of any of the following conditions in the specified time periods before Time 0, given that these could reduce the probability of vaccination: monoclonal antibody or convalescent plasma treatment (90 days); COVID-19 diagnosis (30 days); fever, nausea/vomiting, rash diagnosis (3 days); hospitalization or emergency department (ED) visit (3 days); or be hospitalized or a long-term care resident (on Time 0).²¹

Exposure Assessment

Vaccine doses were identified in insurance claims and IIS databases using procedure billing codes for vaccine administration, National Drug Codes for vaccine products, or IIS administration records.²² The dose number was inferred from the order of observed doses within an individual's record—thus continuous enrollment for the entire period since the introduction of vaccines was required. If a vaccine dose of the same brand or a dose record which did not specify the vaccine brand occurred within 3 days following another dose, the second record was considered a duplicate and was excluded; if a dose for a different brand was received within 3 days, the brand of the first dose was considered unknown and both dose records were excluded.

All subsequent COVID-19 vaccines received by an individual after Time 0 were used to evaluate continuing adherence to the primary series (Figure 1). For vaccines with 2-dose primary series, Dose 2 needed to be received no more than 4 days before the recommended interval and

no more than 42 days after Dose 1 (sTable 2). A secondary analysis evaluated receipt of only a single dose of the 2-dose mRNA vaccine primary series (Supplemental Methods).

Outcome Assessment

Individuals were followed from Time 0 until the occurrence of an outcome of interest, or were censored at the first of the following: last day of the study period; health plan disenrollment; relocation outside of the claims and IIS data catchment area; death; deviation from receiving the primary series (i.e., receipt of Dose 2 too early, failure to receive Dose 2 on time, receiving a booster/additional dose) for the vaccinated, or receipt of any COVID-19 vaccine for the unvaccinated.

Two nested COVID-19 outcomes were evaluated separately: (1) medically diagnosed COVID-19, identified as a recorded COVID-19 diagnosis from hospital, ED, outpatient, or physician encounters; and (2) hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19. Recorded COVID-19 diagnosis codes (ICD-10-CM U07.1) were identified in claims in any diagnosis field coding position. For each outcome, the date of the first observed qualifying diagnosis was assigned as the outcome date.

Covariates

Descriptive characteristics were measured on or before Time 0 (Figure 2) using enrollment, diagnosis, procedure, and pharmacy data.²³ Covariates included demographics, comorbidities, frailty indicators, healthcare utilization, and conditions potentially increasing risk of severe COVID-19.²⁰

Statistical Analysis

Analyses were performed separately by data source and vaccine brand. The distribution of characteristics by vaccination group were described with means, standard deviations (SDs), medians, and first and third quartiles (Q1, Q3) for continuous variables, and counts and proportions for categorical variables. Covariate balance between vaccination groups was evaluated with absolute standardized differences.²⁴

In addition to individual matching, propensity score weighting was used to address confounding. Propensity scores were estimated with multivariable logistic regression models including all prespecified covariates and matching factors (sFigure 1). Stabilized inverse probability of treatment (sIPT) weights were estimated from propensity scores with truncation below the 1st percentile and above the 99th percentile of the propensity score distribution.

The cumulative incidence of each COVID-19 outcome was estimated in the sIPTweighted vaccine exposure groups as 1 minus the Kaplan-Meier estimator.²⁵ Cumulative incidence of COVID-19 outcomes in the first 14 days of follow-up was evaluated as a negative control outcome because vaccines are not expected to produce an immune response until 10-14 days after vaccination.^{26,27} Hazard ratios (HRs) for the association of vaccination status with COVID-19 outcomes were estimated using sIPT-weighted Cox proportional hazards models; 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated with robust sandwich variance estimators.²⁸ Overall VE was estimated as 1 minus the HR. Time-specific VEs were estimated using daily cumulative incidence estimates in both treatment groups to estimate daily risk ratios (RRs) from which VEs were estimated as 1 minus the RR; time-specific risk differences (RDs) were estimated by subtracting daily cumulative incidence estimates. Nonparametric bootstrapping was used to estimate 95% CIs for time-specific VEs and RDs.

Meta-analyses across data sources were performed with fixed-effects meta-analysis methods²⁹ for the overall, subgroup, and variant era–specific VE. Statistical evidence of heterogeneity between data source estimates was evaluated by obtaining log-transformed HR estimates and their standard errors, with p values less than 0.05 indicating evidence of statistical heterogeneity.

Subgroup analyses for the overall VE estimation were performed by age, immunocompromised status, and history of COVID-19 diagnosis. Analyses by variant era (pre-Delta era, 11 December 2020 through 31 May 2021; Delta era, 1 June 2021 through 24 December 2021; Omicron era, 25 December 2021 through the end of data availability³⁰) were restricted to individuals with Time 0 within the era, and follow-up was censored on the last day of the era. Two sensitivity analyses evaluated the potential selection bias introduced by censoring for receipt of a censoring dose (e.g., individuals in the unvaccinated group receiving any vaccine, or individuals in the vaccinated group receiving Dose 2 too early, Dose 2 of a different brand, or a third dose): (1) delay censoring for 7 days after receipt of a censoring vaccine dose, as there would not be an expected effect during this time; and (2) apply inverse probability of censoring weights.

Quantitative bias analyses (QBA)^{31,32} estimated the impact of potential exposure misclassification because of missing vaccine records by estimating corrected HRs accounting for a range of vaccine exposure sensitivities (Supplemental Methods).

A secondary analysis evaluated the relative VE (RVE) of receiving a complete primary series of different COVID-19 vaccine brands. For each comparison, the study period was restricted to calendar time periods when both vaccine brands in that comparison were authorized. To account for variation in local COVID-19 burden between the 2 exposure groups, vaccinated

individuals in both exposure groups were exact-matched 1:1 without replacement on calendar week, age, sex, and US county of residence. RVE was estimated as 1 minus the HR for each pairwise comparison.

Statistical analyses were performed with SAS Version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and R 4.1.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). This activity was conducted as part of the FDA public health surveillance mandate; FDA did not require Institutional Review Board review. Individual-level consent was not required for this analysis of secondary healthcare data. The study protocol was publicly posted on the BEST Initiative webpage.²³

RESULTS

Descriptive Analyses

In Optum, we identified 612,125 individuals who received an eligible first COVID-19 vaccine dose during the study period. After matching, the analytic cohorts consisted of 341,097 in each group for BNT162b2, 201,604 in each group for mRNA-1273, and 49,285 in each group for JNJ-7836735. In CVS Health, we identified 1,979,109 eligible individuals receiving a first COVID-19 vaccine dose during the study period. After matching, the analytic cohorts consisted of 1,151,775 in each group for BNT162b2, 651,545 in each group for mRNA-1273, and 149,813 in each group for JNJ-7836735. The length of follow-up varied across vaccine brands and outcome analyses, but in Optum, median follow-up ranged from 232 to 248 days for the vaccinated groups, and 88 to 114 days for the unvaccinated; in CVS Health, median follow-up ranged from 244 to 258 days in the vaccinated, and from 102 to 129 days in the unvaccinated.

Selected characteristics of individuals who received a COVID-19 vaccine dose and matched unvaccinated comparators are shown in Table 1 (complete details in Supplemental

Information sTables 3-5). Across both data sources, the mean age was approximately 42 to 43 years for each vaccine-specific cohort. The BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 matched cohorts were slightly more female (51%-52%), but the JNJ-7836735 matched cohort was slightly more male (56%). A very small proportion of the cohorts were pregnant at the time of vaccination (approximately $\leq 1\%$), and approximately 4% of each cohort were immunocompromised. Vaccine and comparator groups were matched exactly on age, sex, geography, pregnancy, COVID-19 history, and immunocompromised status, thus these characteristics were all perfectly balanced in the matched groups. Additionally, all other measured characteristics (i.e., healthcare utilization, comorbidities, frailty markers) were all well balanced between treatment groups as indicated by absolute standardized differences close to 0 (sTable 3 through sTable 5). The substantial overlap of propensity score distributions for all cohorts indicated a high degree of exchangeability between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups for all measured covariates (sFigure 1).

Outcome Analyses

In each cohort, incidence of medically diagnosed COVID-19 was much higher than the incidence of hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19. For instance, in Optum, the BNT162b2 vaccinated group had 11,399 medically diagnosed cases and 1,066 hospital/ED-diagnosed cases in nearly 70 million person-days of follow-up; in CVS Health, the BNT162b2 vaccinated group had 40,116 medically diagnosed cases and 4,496 hospital/ED-diagnosed cases in nearly 270 million person-days of follow-up (sTable 6).

Overall Vaccine Effectiveness

Overall VE estimates are summarized in Figure 3 (complete details in sTable 6). Summary VE estimates from meta-analysis against medically diagnosed COVID-19 for

receiving the complete primary series compared with being unvaccinated ranged from 38% to 59% (BNT162b2, 50% [95% CI, 50%-51%]; mRNA-1273, 59% [95% CI, 58%-60%]; JNJ-7836735, 38% [95% CI, 36%-40%]). Meta-analysis VE estimates against hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 were higher, ranging from 66% to 84% (BNT162b2, 77% [95% CI, 76%-78%]; mRNA-1273, 84% [95% CI, 83%-85%]; JNJ-7836735, 66% [95% CI, 63%-68%]). For all outcomes and vaccines, Optum VE estimates were slightly higher than those in CVS Health. Because of the precision of the study estimates, most estimates of overall VE demonstrated evidence of statistical heterogeneity, but estimated magnitudes were similar clinically; therefore, summary VE estimates were reported for all estimates as summaries across both data sources, despite evidence of statistical heterogeneity.

In subgroup analyses, VE estimates for those with a previous COVID-19 diagnosis were generally lower than in those without a previous COVID-19 diagnosis for all brands and both outcomes in both data sources (sFigure 2); however, in the unvaccinated groups, COVID-19 incidence was generally lower among those with a previous COVID-19 diagnosis than among those without a previous diagnosis (sTable 7). Sensitivity analyses were mostly consistent with the primary analyses, but the IPC-weighted analyses resulted in slightly higher VE estimates for the medically diagnosed COVID-19 outcome (sFigure 3). QBA for potentially missing vaccine records suggest the observed overall VE estimates may underestimate the true VE by 2% to 14%, depending on the outcome, analysis, and data source (sTable 8).

Vaccine Effectiveness Over Time

To evaluate potential changing VE over time for the primary vaccinated versus unvaccinated comparison, the cumulative incidence of COVID-19 outcomes over time by vaccination status and vaccine brand was plotted (sFigure 4). Because of its introduction later in

the study period, there was less available follow-up time for the JNJ-7836735 vaccine than the other available vaccines. For each COVID-19 vaccine comparison and outcome, rates were higher in the unvaccinated group throughout follow-up. Generally, differences in outcome rates between the 2 groups were initially small but then widened over time.

Daily VE estimates throughout follow-up were estimated, and the VE estimates over time were plotted (Figure 4; VE and RD estimates and 95% CIs at specific timepoints are reported in sTable 9). Estimates fluctuated widely during the first week after Time 0 (as the early time periods have the smallest number of events, and estimates were highly subject to change), and negative control outcome analyses of the first 14 days revealed some small differences between vaccinated and unvaccinated groups, mainly in the first few days immediately after vaccination (sFigure 5). Following the initial few days after vaccination, all vaccines followed similar patterns: for medically diagnosed COVID-19, VE estimates increased over time until approximately 90 days and were sustained through approximately day 183, with evidence of waning afterward by day 270; for hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19, the pattern was very similar, but the VE estimates were higher throughout, and a smaller degree of waning was observed by day 270 (Figure 4, sTable 9). Despite evidence of waning of the VE estimate, absolute RD estimates showed increasing reductions in numbers of cases through at least day 270 after vaccination (sTable 9).

Vaccine Effectiveness by Variant Era

VE against both COVID-19 outcomes generally declined between the pre-Delta and Omicron eras (sFigure 6), although there was less available follow-up time during the Omicron era (e.g., only 20 days of follow-up in Optum occurred during the Omicron era), resulting in imprecise estimates. The change in VE between the pre-Delta and Delta eras was greatest for

medically diagnosed COVID-19; the meta-analyzed VE of JNJ-7836735 declined by 12 percentage points, followed by BNT162b2 with a 3–percentage point decline. In contrast, the VE of mRNA-1273 increased by 4 percentage points between the pre-Delta and Delta period. Much larger decreases in VE were observed between the Delta and Omicron eras (sFigure 6). For hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19, a similar pattern was observed, with only modest changes between the pre-Delta and Delta eras, and more substantial decreases with the Omicron era.

Relative Vaccine Effectiveness

When estimating the overall RVE for each vaccine brand during time periods when both brands were available, the summary VE estimates for mRNA-1273 demonstrated 16% (95% CI: 15%, 18%) to 19% (95% CI: 14%, 24%) higher effectiveness than BNT162b2 for medically diagnosed and hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19, respectively. The relative effectiveness of the 1-dose JNJ-7836735 series was lower than both 2-dose mRNA vaccine brands for both outcomes (sFigure 7).

Receiving Only One Dose

For the analysis of receiving only a single dose of a 2-dose primary series compared with being unvaccinated, meta-analyzed VE estimates for hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 were 57% (95% CI: 54%, 59%) and 69% (95% CI: 67%, 72%) for single doses of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, respectively (sFigure 8). However, these VE estimates for both outcomes were more modest than those seen for the analyses of the complete 2-dose primary series.

DISCUSSION

In this large, real-world evaluation, receiving a complete primary series of COVID-19 vaccination was effective at preventing medically diagnosed COVID-19 and hospital/EDdiagnosed COVID-19 in adults aged 18 to 64 years, and that effectiveness was sustained for at

least 7 months. The observed VE estimates were generally higher for hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 than for any medically diagnosed COVID-19 and were highest in adults receiving the mRNA-1273 vaccine across all analyses. Results from both data sources suggested lower VE in adults receiving the JNJ-7836735 vaccine.

VE was also reduced during the Omicron era across all brands, although sample sizes were too small for the hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19 results to be meaningful. When evaluating VE by variant era, VE estimates were lower in the Omicron era than in the Delta or pre-Delta eras for all vaccines and both outcomes. Although waning of VE for the primary series and lower VE in later variant eras was observed, booster doses were recommended and have also been demonstrated to be effective.³³

Our study utilized 2 US data sources, representing commercially insured adults from jurisdictions across the US with participating IISs who received COVID-19 vaccinations in various healthcare settings and geographic locations. Despite the different data sources, the overall results as well as many of subgroup results were consistent. To summarize the results from these 2 data sources, VE estimates from a meta-analysis are presented. Statistical heterogeneity was observed in many of these results, based on the I^2 statistic, which in some settings would prompt reconsideration of the appropriateness of meta-analysis. However, because our analytical approaches in each data source were identical and the populations similar, we did not find evidence of clinical heterogeneity and thus considered meta-analysis to be a reasonable approach to summarizing, smoothing, and drawing inferences.^{34,35}

The eligibility and matching criteria were designed to avoid selection bias by identifying vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals who were eligible for vaccination on each calendar day. Starting follow-up on Time 0 without considering future vaccination behaviors avoided immortal

person-time bias by not using future information to define exposure status at baseline.¹⁷ The daily VE estimates allowed for granular evaluation of changing VE over time.

Initial vaccination rollout focused on higher-risk individuals, but the exact prioritization procedures and groups varied by jurisdiction and changed over time. Because authorizations and recommendations for vaccination changed rapidly during the initial vaccine role out, defining time period- and geography-specific eligibility criteria for the entire study population was impractical; thus, we matched unvaccinated individuals to vaccinated on multiple indicators of eligibility status, including calendar date, immunocompromised status, pregnancy, comorbidities, and age; this matching approximated the rolling eligibility criteria by identifying unvaccinated individuals who were similar to vaccinated individuals on each calendar day in each US county of residence.

Despite the study's matching on demographic and clinical characteristics and using propensity score weighting, residual confounding may remain. The generally increased VE estimates during the first 14 days of follow-up suggested a potential difference between the exposure groups during a time when vaccines are assumed to have no biologic effect while the body mounts an immune response to a novel antigen. However, other studies³⁶ have demonstrated differential COVID-19 testing and diagnoses in the 3-4 days after Time 0, as recently vaccinated individuals may not seek COVID-19 testing, attributing symptoms to vaccine side effects. Additionally, diagnoses of COVID-19 recorded on the same day as vaccination may indicate recording of history of COVID-19,³⁷ and these Time 0 events may be more common with vaccine brands administered in healthcare practice settings, rather than pharmacies or mass vaccination centers where concurrent diagnoses cannot be recorded. However, longer-term

differences in healthcare-seeking behavior or residual unmeasured confounding cannot be ruled out.

COVID-19 testing capacity and capability have changed rapidly throughout the course of the pandemic, and COVID-19 laboratory testing results were not widely available in the databases utilized for this study, so COVID-19 diagnoses rather than confirmed COVID-19 infections were used as outcomes. COVID-19 severity is not accurately represented in diagnoses,³⁸ so we evaluated COVID-19 diagnoses overall in any medically attended setting, and separately in hospital/ED settings as a proxy for severity. However, as the pandemic has progressed, COVID-19–related hospitalizations may be the most relevant public health measure for contemporary surveillance and prevention efforts.^{38,39} We matched vaccination groups on calendar time and evaluated outcomes over calendar time to account for the potentially changing meaning of a COVID-19 diagnosis over time (e.g., care seeking for COVID-19 versus incidental findings).

This real-world study is subject to many limitations common to observational research using existing data sources (e.g., key study elements may be misclassified or missing, the observed VEs may be subject to confounding by unmeasured characteristics, and these results may not be generalizable to other populations). We used health insurance claims supplemented with IIS encounters to identify vaccination status, substantially increasing vaccination capture compared to either data source alone.¹⁹ However, some vaccines may still be missed, resulting in differential misclassification of exposure. We expect that some truly vaccinated persons may have been classified as unvaccinated due to missing or unidentifiable records, recording errors, or other technical challenges that resulted in incomplete recording of information during the pandemic response. Given this potential for less than 100% sensitivity of our exposure

classification records, VE may be underestimated; truly vaccinated individuals, along with their presumably lower risk of COVID-19, would be included in the unvaccinated pool, and thus decrease estimates of VE. To address this potential misclassification, we performed a QBA to determine how our estimates of VE would change based on hypothesized rates of underreporting of vaccination; for medically diagnosed COVID-19, the observed VE may underestimate the true VE by up to 14%. The extent of vaccination completeness and exposure misclassification was estimated using CDC and state-level estimates from the entire population aged less than 65 years, which may not always perfectly align with the study population. Thus, these bias analyses should be interpreted as suggestive of general estimates of direction and magnitude of bias, and not perfectly corrected estimates. The capture of covariates in health insurance diagnosis data may be affected by pandemic-related reductions in healthcare access and utilization, which also may change over the study period.

Receiving a complete primary series of BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, or JNJ-7836735 was associated with reductions in medically diagnosed COVID-19 incidence and hospital/EDdiagnosed COVID-19 in the US adult population, although the observed VE estimates differed across vaccine brands and by variant era. In the rapidly changing dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic, additional real-world evidence is important for evaluating and understanding changes in VE and impacts of waning immunity on serious COVID-19 outcomes over time.

REFERENCES

 Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 4 2021;384(5):403-416. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035389

2. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 31 2020;383(27):2603-2615.

doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577

3. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Jr., Kitchin N, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *N Engl J Med*. Nov 4 2021;385(19):1761-1773. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2110345

4. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose
Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* Jun 10 2021;384(23):2187-2201.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2101544

5. Bajema KL, Dahl RM, Prill MM, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization - Five Veterans Affairs Medical Centers, United States, February 1-August 6, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* Sep 17 2021;70(37):1294-1299. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7037e3

 Thompson MG, Stenehjem E, Grannis S, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines in Ambulatory and Inpatient Care Settings. *N Engl J Med.* Oct 7 2021;385(15):1355-1371. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2110362

7. Tartof SY, Slezak JM, Fischer H, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine up to 6 months in a large integrated health system in the USA: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. Oct 16 2021;398(10309):1407-1416. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02183-8

Grannis SJ, Rowley EA, Ong TC, et al. Interim Estimates of COVID-19 Vaccine
 Effectiveness Against COVID-19-Associated Emergency Department or Urgent Care Clinic
 Encounters and Hospitalizations Among Adults During SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant
 Predominance - Nine States, June-August 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* Sep 17
 2021;70(37):1291-1293. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7037e2

9. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Naioti EA, et al. Sustained Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines Against COVID-19 Associated Hospitalizations Among Adults - United States, March-July 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. Aug 27 2021;70(34):1156-1162. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7034e2

 Bergwerk M, Gonen T, Lustig Y, et al. Covid-19 Breakthrough Infections in Vaccinated Health Care Workers. *N Engl J Med.* Oct 14 2021;385(16):1474-1484.

doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2109072

Griffin JB, Haddix M, Danza P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infections and hospitalizations
among persons aged≥ 16 years, by vaccination status—Los Angeles County, California, May 1–
July 25, 2021. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2021;70(34):1170.

12. Scobie HM, Johnson AG, Suthar AB, et al. Monitoring incidence of covid-19 cases, hospitalizations, and deaths, by vaccination status—13 US jurisdictions, April 4–July 17, 2021. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report.* 2021;70(37):1284.

Pilishvili T, Gierke R, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA Covid-19
Vaccine among U.S. Health Care Personnel. *N Engl J Med.* Dec 16 2021;385(25):e90.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2106599

14. Fowlkes A, Gaglani M, Groover K, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection among frontline workers before and during B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant predominance - eight US locations, December 2020-August 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* Aug 27 2021;70(34):1167-1169.

15. Tabak YP, Sun X, Brennan TA, Chaguturu SK. Incidence and Estimated Vaccine Effectiveness Against Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Persons Tested in US Retail Locations, May 1 to August 7, 2021. *JAMA Netw Open*. Dec 1 2021;4(12):e2143346. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.43346

16. Ioannou GN, Locke ER, O'Hare AM, et al. COVID-19 Vaccination Effectiveness Against Infection or Death in a National U.S. Health Care System : A Target Trial Emulation Study. *Ann Intern Med.* Mar 2022;175(3):352-361. doi:10.7326/M21-3256

17. Hernan MA, Sauer BC, Hernandez-Diaz S, Platt R, Shrier I. Specifying a target trial prevents immortal time bias and other self-inflicted injuries in observational analyses. *J Clin Epidemiol*. Nov 2016;79:70-75. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.04.014

18. Taylor CA, Whitaker M, Anglin O, et al. COVID-19-Associated Hospitalizations Among Adults During SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance, by Race/Ethnicity and Vaccination Status - COVID-NET, 14 States, July 2021-January 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* Mar 25 2022;71(12):466-473. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7112e2

 CBER Surveillance Program. Supplementing Administrative Claims COVID-19 Vaccine Data with Immunization Information Systems (IIS) Data: A Feasibility Study Report. 2022. July
 Accessed October 20, 2022. <u>https://bestinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/IIS-</u> Feasibility-Optum-Study-Report-2022.pdf

20. CDC. *People with certain medical conditions*. 2022. *COVID-19*. 2 May. Accessed 25 July 2022. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/people-with-medical-conditions.html</u>

21. CDC. Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines Currently

Approved or Authorized in the United States. 2021. Vaccines & Immunizations. 31 December.

Accessed 3 April 2024.

https://web.archive.org/web/20211231002947/https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinicalconsiderations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html

22. CDC. COVID-19 vaccine related codes. 2022. Immunization Information Systems (IIS).

12 December. Accessed 13 December 2022.

https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/iis/COVID-19-related-codes.html

23. CBER Surveillance Program. Assessment of Effectiveness of COVID-19 Vaccination in

the United States: Protocol. 2022. 3 March. Accessed 26 July 2022. https://bestinitiative.org/wp-

content/uploads/2022/03/C19-VX-Effectiveness-Protocol_2022_508.pdf

24. Austin PC. Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates

between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. Stat Med. Nov 10

2009;28(25):3083-107. doi:10.1002/sim.3697

25. Hernan MA, Robins JM. Causal survival analysis. *Causal inference: what if.* Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2020.

26. Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Jr., Falsey AR, et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 17 2020;383(25):2439-2450. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2027906

27. Dagan N, Barda N, Kepten E, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a
Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting. *N Engl J Med.* Apr 15 2021;384(15):1412-1423.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2101765

28. Webster-Clark M, Sturmer T, Wang T, et al. Using propensity scores to estimate effects of treatment initiation decisions: State of the science. *Stat Med*. Mar 30 2021;40(7):1718-1735. doi:10.1002/sim.8866

29. Fleiss JL. The statistical basis of meta-analysis. *Stat Methods Med Res*. 1993;2(2):12145. doi:10.1177/096228029300200202

CDC. COVID data tracker: variant proportions. 2022. 26 February. Accessed 3 March
 2022. <u>https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#variant-proportions</u>

31. Funk MJ, Landi SN. Misclassification in administrative claims data: quantifying the impact on treatment effect estimates. *Curr Epidemiol Rep.* Dec 2014;1(4):175-185. doi:10.1007/s40471-014-0027-z

32. Lash TL, Fox MP, MacLehose RF, Maldonado G, McCandless LC, Greenland S. Good practices for quantitative bias analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. Dec 2014;43(6):1969-85. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu149

33. Layton JB, Peetluk L, Wong HL, et al. Effectiveness of Monovalent COVID-19
Booster/Additional Vaccine Doses in the United States. *Vaccine: X.* 2024/01/20/

2024;16:100447. doi:10.1016/j.jvacx.2024.100447

34. Greenland S. Summarization, smoothing, and inference in epidemiologic analysis. 1991 Ipsen Lecture, Hindsgavl, Denmark. *Scand J Soc Med*. Dec 1993;21(4):227-32. doi:10.1177/140349489302100402

35. Greenland S. Smoothing Observational Data: A Philosophyand Implementation for the Health Sciences. *Int Stat Rev.* 2006;74(1):31-46.

36. Ostropolets A, Hripcsak G. COVID-19 vaccination effectiveness rates by week and sources of bias: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. Aug 23 2022;12(8):e061126.

doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061126

37. Lynch KE, Viernes B, Gatsby E, et al. Positive Predictive Value of COVID-19 ICD-10
Diagnosis Codes Across Calendar Time and Clinical Setting. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2021;13:10111018. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S335621

38. Vu C, Kawaguchi ES, Torres CH, et al. A More Accurate Measurement of the Burden of Coronavirus Disease 2019 Hospitalizations. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. Jul 2022;9(7):ofac332. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofac332

39. Silk BJ, Scobie HM, Duck WM, et al. COVID-19 Surveillance After Expiration of the Public Health Emergency Declaration - United States, May 11, 2023. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* May 12 2023;72(19):523-528. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7219e1

TABLES

Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Adults Aged 18-64 Years Vaccinated with a COVID-19 Vaccine and Matched

BNT162b2		L62b2	mRNA-1273		JNJ-7836735	
Characteristic	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators
Optum	N = 341,097	N = 341,097	N = 201,604	N = 201,604	N = 49,285	N = 49,285
Age, years						
Median (Q1, Q3)	42 (32, 53)	42 (32, 53)	44 (33, 54)	44 (33, 54)	44 (33, 54)	44 (33, 54)
Mean (SD)	42.03 (12.95)	42.02 (12.95)	43.23 (12.95)	43.19 (12.97)	42.91 (12.88)	42.89 (12.87)
Sex, N (%)						
Female	175,029 (51.31%)	175,029 (51.31%)	103,947 (51.56%)	103,947 (51.56%)	21,443 (43.51%)	21,443 (43.51%)
Male	166,068 (48.69%)	166,068 (48.69%)	97,657 (48.44%)	97,657 (48.44%)	27,842 (56.49%)	27,842 (56.49%)
Region, N (%)						
Midwest	144,657 (42.41%)	144,657 (42.41%)	85,564 (42.44%)	85,564 (42.44%)	22,568 (45.79%)	22,568 (45.79%)
Northeast	40,009 (11.73%)	40,009 (11.73%)	26,580 (13.18%)	26,580 (13.18%)	5,838 (11.85%)	5,838 (11.85%)
South	86,610 (25.39%)	86,610 (25.39%)	47,138 (23.38%)	47,138 (23.38%)	10,032 (20.36%)	10,032 (20.36%)
West	69,821 (20.47%)	69,821 (20.47%)	42,322 (20.99%)	42,322 (20.99%)	10,847 (22.01%)	10,847 (22.01%)
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	1,837 (1.05%)	1,837 (1.05%)	759 (0.73%)	759 (0.73%)	72 (0.34%)	72 (0.34%)
Immunocompromised	15,135 (4.44%)	15,135 (4.44%)	9,000 (4.46%)	9,000 (4.46%)	1,865 (3.78%)	1,865 (3.78%)
CVS Health	N = 1,151,775	N = 1,151,775	N = 651,545	N = 651,545	N = 149,813	N = 149,813
Age, years						
Median (Q1, Q3)	42 (31, 53)	42 (31, 53)	44 (33, 55)	44 (32, 55)	44 (32, 54)	44 (32, 54)
Mean (SD)	41.92 (13.22)	41.91 (13.23)	43.37 (13.4)	43.33 (13.42)	42.98 (13.31)	42.97 (13.32)
Sex, N (%)						
Female	615,551 (53.44%)	615,551 (53.44%)	338,084 (51.89%)	338,084 (51.89%)	67,116 (44.80%)	67,116 (44.80%)
Male	536,224 (46.56%)	536,224 (46.56%)	313,461 (48.11%)	313,461 (48.11%)	82,697 (55.20%)	82,697 (55.20%)

Unvaccinated Comparators

	BNT162b2		mRNA-1273		JNJ-7836735	
Characteristic	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators	Vaccinated	Matched, unvaccinated comparators
Region, N (%)						
Midwest	206,280 (17.91%)	206,280 (17.91%)	114,493 (17.57%)	114,493 (17.57%)	28,431 (18.98%)	28,431 (18.98%)
Northeast	204,777 (17.78%)	204,777 (17.78%)	139,585 (21.42%)	139,585 (21.42%)	29,728 (19.84%)	29,728 (19.84%)
South	251,233 (21.81%)	251,233 (21.81%)	113,510 (17.42%)	113,510 (17.42%)	26,237 (17.51%)	26,237 (17.51%)
West	489,485 (42.50%)	489,485 (42.50%)	283,957 (43.58%)	283,957 (43.58%)	65,417 (43.67%)	65,417 (43.67%)
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	6,611 (0.57%)	6,611 (0.57%)	2,557 (0.39%)	2,557 (0.39%)	274 (0.18%)	274 (0.18%)
Immunocompromised	49,753 (4.32%)	49,753 (4.32%)	31,211 (4.79%)	31,211 (4.79%)	5,561 (3.71%)	5,561 (3.71%)

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; IQR = interquartile range; SD = standard deviation.


FIGURE LEGEND

- Figure 1. Patterns of Primary Series Completion for 2-Dose and 1-Dose COVID-19 Vaccines
- Figure 2. Schematic for Assessing Eligibility, Covariates, and COVID-19 Outcomes Among Vaccinated Individuals and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators
- Figure 3. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID 19 Vaccine Compared With Being Unvaccinated in Adults Aged 18-64 Years
- Figure 4. Estimated Effectiveness Over Time of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccines Compared With Being Unvaccinated in Adults Aged 18-64 Years

FIGURES

Figure 1. Patterns of Primary Series Completion for 2-Dose and 1-Dose COVID-19

Vaccines



COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019.

^a Day 17 for BNT162b2, day 24 for mRNA-1273.

Figure 2. Schematic for Assessing Eligibility, Covariates, and COVID-19 Outcomes Among

Vaccinated Individuals and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators

Cohort entry (First observed COVID-19 vaccine dose or matched unvaccinated date) Time 0 Inclusion assessment window (Continuous medical and drug coverage^a) Days [earlier of -365 or 11 Dec 2020^b, -1] Washout window (exposure) (No previous COVID-19 vaccines) Days [all available, -1] **Exclusion Assessment Window** (Off-label age, residence outside study area, immediate health status^c) Days [0, 0] **Exclusion assessment window** (COVID-19 treatment^d) Days [-90, -1] Exclusion assessment window (Immediate health status^e) Days [-3, -1] Washout window (outcome) (No previous COVID-19 diagnosis) Days [-30, -1] Covariate assessment window (Age, sex, county of residence, pregnancy) Days [0, 0] **Covariate assessment window** (Baseline conditions and healthcare utilization^f) Days [-365, -1] **Covariate assessment window** (Baseline chronic conditions⁹) Days [all available, -1] Follow-up window Days [0, censor^h] ÷ Time

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; LTC = long-term care.

- Note: Use of "all available" data indicates that the entire duration of an individual's available continuous enrollment
- information before Time 0, back to the beginning of data availability (1 December 2017), was used; the duration of available data was at least 365 days, but may vary for each individual.
- ^a Gaps in medical and pharmacy coverage < 32 days permitted.
- ^b Date of first COVID-19 vaccine authorization in the US.
- ^c Hospitalization or long-term care residence at Time 0.
- ^d COVID-19 monoclonal antibodies or convalescent plasma.
- ^e Diagnoses of general acute symptoms (fever, nausea/vomiting, rash) and healthcare utilization (hospitalization, ED visit) serving as an indicator of health status at the time of vaccination.
- ^fNumber of hospitalizations, number of ED visits, skilled nursing facility stay, influenza vaccination, pneumococcal vaccination, encounter for cancer screening, eye examination, colonoscopy, bone mineral density test, well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit, arthritis, lipid abnormality, ambulance use/life support service, weakness, pregnancy completion before Time 0.
- ^g Autoimmune disorders, cancer, chronic kidney disease or renal disease, chronic liver disease, chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism), dementia or other neurological conditions, diabetes mellitus type 1 or 2, Down syndrome, heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias), hypertension, immunocompromised state, mental health conditions, obese or severely obese, sickle cell disease or thalassemia, stroke or cerebrovascular disease, tuberculosis, COVID-19 laboratory test performed (binary indicator of any test performed or none), COVID-19 diagnoses.
- ^h End of study period, end of continuous health plan enrollment, relocation out of study area, deviation from the categorized vaccine exposure status.

Figure 3. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19


Vaccine	Data source	VE (95% CI)	Medically Diagnosed COVID-19	VE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19
BNT162b2					
	Optum	56% (55%-58%)	•	82% (80%-83%)	•
	CVS Health	49% (48%-50%)	•	76% (75%-77%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	50% (50%-51%) ^a	•	77% (76%-78%) ^a	•
mRNA-1273					
	Optum	66% (64%-67%)	•	87% (86%-88%)	•
	CVS Health	57% (56%-58%)	•	82% (81%-83%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	59% (58%-60%) ^a	•	84% (83%-85%) ^a	٠
JNJ-7836735					
	Optum	44% (40%-47%)	Hei	69% (64%-74%)	HeH
	CVS Health	36% (34%-38%)		65% (62%-68%)	Hel
	Meta-Analyzed	38% (36%-40%) ^a	i 🏘	66% (63%-68%)	H
		0	20 40 60 80 1	00 0	20 40 60 80 100
			VE (95% CI)		VE (95% CI)

Vaccines Compared With Being Unvaccinated in Adults Aged 18-64 Years


CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

^a Indicates evidence of statistical heterogeneity between data source–specific estimates, p < 0.05.

Figure 4. Estimated Effectiveness Over Time of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of



Years



COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Methods: Receiving Only 1 Dose of a 2-Dose Primary Series

This secondary analysis evaluated the effectiveness of receiving a single dose of a 2-dose primary series (BNT-162b2 or mRNA-1273), by vaccine brand, compared with being unvaccinated.

The individuals included in this analysis were drawn from the same brand-specific matched analytic cohorts used for the primary analysis (complete vaccine series versus being unvaccinated). Time 0 for both the vaccinated (receipt of Dose 1) and unvaccinated comparator (matched unvaccinated date) groups were the same, and the same 1:1 matching of vaccinated (with an eligible Dose 1 of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273) and unvaccinated individuals was maintained. However, as this analysis evaluated a different vaccine exposure pattern than the primary analysis (i.e., receiving a single dose of a 2-dose primary series rather than receiving a complete primary series), the censoring criteria for deviation from the vaccine exposure pattern differed for the vaccinated group; vaccinated individuals were censored at the receipt of an additional COVID-19 vaccine dose after Dose 1, as follows:

Vaccine exposure pattern	Included individuals	Time 0 (beginning of follow-up)	Deviation from vaccine exposure pattern after Time 0 resulting in censoring
Single dose of a BNT162b2 primary series	All eligible individuals receiving Dose 1 of BNT162b2	Date of Dose 1 of BNT162b2	Receipt of any other COVID-19 vaccine dose
Single dose of an mRNA-1273 primary series	All eligible individuals receiving Dose 1 of mRNA-1273	Date of Dose 1 of mRNA-1273	Receipt of any other COVID-19 vaccine dose
Unvaccinated	Matched eligible unvaccinated comparator individuals	Matched calendar date	Receipt of any COVID- 19 vaccine

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019.

The same propensity scores and IPT weights used in the primary analysis were used in this analysis. The IPT-weighted cumulative incidence curves of COVID-19 outcomes were plotted by exposure group, and HRs and 95% CIs with the corresponding VE were estimated using IPT-weighted Cox proportional hazards models.

Supplemental Methods: Quantitative Bias Analysis for Exposure Misclassification

Although vaccinations were identified in both health insurance claims data and IIS COVID-19 vaccine records [1], the possibility for missing vaccine information remains. Quantitative bias analyses [2,3] were performed to estimate the impact of truly vaccinated individuals being misclassified as unvaccinated due to missing vaccine records. No gold standard for vaccine status is available, so estimates of statewide receipt of at least 1 COVID-19 vaccine dose among individuals aged younger than 65 years from CDC, state departments of health, and capture-recapture methods [4-6] were obtained and compared with observed state-level estimates in the study data [1] to estimate maximum and minimum potential sensitivities of vaccine exposure.

Using the minimum and maximum sensitivity estimates from CDC, state departments of health, or capture-recapture methods, 2 "corrected" RR estimates (the primary analyses estimated HRs, but for the purposes of the quantitative bias analyses, RRs and 95% CIs were estimated in the weighted cohorts using a fixed 61-day follow-up time for both outcomes)—a minimum and maximum corrected estimate—were generated for each outcome by reassigning exposure status from unvaccinated to vaccinated based on the sensitivity estimate. A correction factor was then estimated as follows:

bias correction factor =
$$1 - \frac{corrected RR}{uncorrected RR}$$

The bias correction factors were then applied to the observed HR estimates from the primary analyses. Specificity of the study's vaccine assessment was assumed to be 100% (i.e., all observed claims or IIS records were assumed to be true vaccination events, and no truly unvaccinated individuals were misclassified as being vaccinated).

References

- CBER Surveillance Program, Schneider K, Bell E, Zhou C, et al. Supplementing administrative claims COVID-19 vaccine data with Immunization Information Systems (IIS) data: A feasibility study report. U.S. Food & Drug Administration; 2022. https://bestinitiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/IIS-Feasibility-Optum-Study-Report-2022.pdf. Accessed October 2022.
- Funk MJ, Landi SN. Misclassification in administrative claims data: Quantifying the impact on treatment effect estimates. *Curr Epidemiol Rep.* 2014 Dec;1(4):175-85. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40471-014-0027-z</u>.
- Lash TL, Fox MP, MacLehose RF, et al. Good practices for quantitative bias analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014 Dec;43(6):1969-85. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu149</u>.
- 4. International Working Group for Disease Monitoring and Forecasting. Capture-recapture and multiple-record systems estimation I: History and theoretical development. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1995 Nov 15;142(10):1047-58. PMID: 7485050.
- Seeger JD, Schumock GT, Kong SX. Estimating the rate of adverse drug reactions with capture-recapture analysis. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 1996 Jan 15;53(2):178-81. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/53.2.178</u>.
- Hook EB, Regal RR. Capture-recapture methods in epidemiology: Methods and limitations. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1995;17(2):243-64. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a036192.

IIS Jurisdiction	Optum Study Period	CVS Health Study Period
1	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
2	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	NA
3	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
4	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
5	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
6	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
7	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
8	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
9	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
10	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
11	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	NA
12	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022
13	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	NA
14	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	NA
15	11 December 2020 – 15 January 2022	NA
16	NA	11 December 2020 – 31 March 2022

sTable 1. IIS Jurisdictions and Study Periods Utilized

IIS = Immunization Information System; NA = not applicable.

Note: Optum used data from 10 IIS jurisdictions from 10 US states; CVS Health used data from 11 IIS jurisdictions from 9 unique US states. Sixteen unique IIS jurisdictions were used in the study.

Vaccine exposure pattern	Included individuals	Time 0 (beginning of follow-up)	Deviation from vaccine exposure pattern after Time 0 resulting in censoring
BNT162b2 complete primary series	All eligible individuals receiving Dose 1 of BNT162b2	Date of Dose 1 of BNT162b2	 Receipt of Dose 2 of BNT162b2 < 17 days after Dose 1 Failure to receive Dose 2 of BNT162b2 by day 42^a Receipt of any other COVID-19 vaccine brand or unspecified brand Receipt of a third dose
mRNA-1273 complete primary series	All eligible individuals receiving Dose 1 of mRNA- 1273	Date of Dose 1 of mRNA-1273	 Receipt of Dose 2 of mRNA-1273 < 24 days after Dose 1 Failure to receive Dose 2 of mRNA-1273 by day 42¹/₂^a Receipt of any other COVID-19 vaccine brand or unspecified brand Receipt of a third dose
JNJ-7836735 complete primary series	All eligible individuals receiving Dose 1 of JNJ- 7836735	Date of Dose 1 of JNJ-7836735	 Receipt of any other COVID-19 vaccine dose
Unvaccinated	Matched eligible unvaccinated comparator individuals	Matched calendar date	• Receipt of any COVID-19 vaccine dose

sTable 2. Details of Follow-up for the Complete Primary Vaccination Series Exposure Patterns

COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019.

^a A 42-day maximum time period was considered for receiving Dose 2 of a 2-dose series.

sTable 3. Characteristics of Adults Aged 18-64 Years Vaccinated With BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators

A. Optum

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with BNT162b2 N = 341,097	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 341,097	ASD
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	42 (32, 53)	42 (32, 53)	
Mean (SD)	42.03 (12.95)	42.02 (12.95)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	166,068 (48.69%)	166,068 (48.69%)	0.00
Female	175,029 (51.31%)	175,029 (51.31%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	40,009 (11.73%)	40,009 (11.73%)	0.00
South	86,610 (25.39%)	86,610 (25.39%)	0.00
Midwest	144,657 (42.41%)	144,657 (42.41%)	0.00
West	69,821 (20.47%)	69,821 (20.47%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	1,837 (0.54%)	1,837 (0.54%)	0.00
Characteristics assessed in the 365 days before Time	e O, N (%)	1	I
Hospitalizations			
0	231,487 (67.87%)	231,943 (68.00%)	0.00
1	60,877 (17.85%)	60,921 (17.86%)	0.00
2+	48,733 (14.29%)	48,233 (14.14%)	0.00
Emergency department visits			
0	307,322 (90.10%)	303,855 (89.08%)	0.03
1	27,521 (8.07%)	29,610 (8.68%)	0.02
2+	6,254 (1.83%)	7,632 (2.24%)	0.03
Skilled nursing facility stay	536 (0.16%)	254 (0.07%)	0.02
Influenza vaccination	132,439 (38.83%)	132,439 (38.83%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	4,732 (1.39%)	4,316 (1.27%)	0.01
Encounter for cancer screening	96,432 (28.27%)	91,430 (26.80%)	0.03
Eye examination	21,650 (6.35%)	20,043 (5.88%)	0.02
Colonoscopy	14,213 (4.17%)	13,179 (3.86%)	0.02
Bone mineral density test	4,011 (1.18%)	3,684 (1.08%)	0.01
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	146,908 (43.07%)	139,588 (40.92%)	0.04
Arthritis	44,721 (13.11%)	45,255 (13.27%)	0.00
Lipid abnormality	60,872 (17.85%)	58,489 (17.15%)	0.02
Ambulance use or life support services	5,239 (1.54%)	6,070 (1.78%)	0.02

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with BNT162b2 N = 341,097	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 341,097	ASD
Weakness	6,580 (1.93%)	6,492 (1.90%)	0.00
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	7,183 (4.10%)	7,389 (4.22%)	0.01
Characteristics assessed using all available data before	re Time 0, N (%)		
Autoimmune disorders	15,710 (4.61%)	15,224 (4.46%)	0.01
Cancer	20,072 (5.88%)	19,337 (5.67%)	0.01
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	6,231 (1.83%)	6,313 (1.85%)	0.00
Chronic liver disease	14,482 (4.25%)	14,576 (4.27%)	0.00
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	36,710 (10.76%)	36,427 (10.68%)	0.00
Dementia or other neurological conditions	23,297 (6.83%)	23,987 (7.03%)	0.01
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	25,169 (7.38%)	24,030 (7.04%)	0.01
Down syndrome	83 (0.02%)	61 (0.02%)	0.00
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	45,648 (13.38%)	46,051 (13.50%)	0.00
Hypertension	72,219 (21.17%)	71,842 (21.06%)	0.00
Immunocompromised state	15,135 (4.44%)	15,135 (4.44%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	96,696 (28.35%)	95,899 (28.11%)	0.01
Obese or severely obese	71,294 (20.90%)	70,829 (20.77%)	0.00
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	816 (0.24%)	904 (0.27%)	0.01
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	5,025 (1.47%)	5,159 (1.51%)	0.00
Tuberculosis	210 (0.06%)	223 (0.07%)	0.00
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory test performed	127,334 (37.33%)	115,959 (34.00%)	0.07
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside a hospital or emergency department setting	18,045 (5.29%)	17,947 (5.26%)	0.00
Hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19	2,810 (0.82%)	2,777 (0.81%)	0.00

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

B. CVS Health

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with BNT162b2 N = 1,151,775	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 1,151,775	ASD
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	42 (31, 53)	42 (31, 53)	0.00
Mean (SD)	41.92 (13.22)	41.91 (13.23)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	536,224 (46.56%)	536,224 (46.56%)	0.00
Female	615,551 (53.44%)	615,551 (53.44%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	204,777 (17.78%)	204,777 (17.78%)	0.00
South	251,233 (21.81%)	251,233 (21.81%)	0.00
Midwest	206,280 (17.91%)	206,280 (17.91%)	0.00
West	489,485 (42.50%)	489,485 (42.50%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	6,611 (0.57%)	6,611 (0.57%)	0.00
Characteristics assessed in the 365 days before Time	0, N (%)		I
Hospitalizations			
0	812,173 (70.51%)	814,894 (70.75%)	0.01
1	180,041 (15.63%)	179,387 (15.57%)	0.00
2+	159,561 (13.85%)	157,494 (13.67%)	0.01
Emergency department visits			
0	1,041,322 (90.41%)	1,027,640 (89.22%)	0.04
1	88,687 (7.70%)	96,968 (8.42%)	0.03
2+	21,766 (1.89%)	27,167 (2.36%)	0.03
Skilled nursing facility stay	837 (0.07%)	870 (0.08%)	0.00
Influenza vaccination	388,801 (33.76%)	388,801 (33.76%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	15,854 (1.38%)	14,639 (1.27%)	0.01
Encounter for cancer screening	309,502 (26.87%)	290,259 (25.20%)	0.04
Eye examination	89,086 (7.73%)	80,665 (7.00%)	0.03
Colonoscopy	46,035 (4.00%)	42,535 (3.69%)	0.02
Bone mineral density test	16,067 (1.39%)	14,520 (1.26%)	0.01
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	455,406 (39.54%)	428,537 (37.21%)	0.05
Arthritis	156,828 (13.62%)	159,792 (13.87%)	0.01
Lipid abnormality	215,402 (18.70%)	207,851 (18.05%)	0.02
Ambulance use or life support services	17,857 (1.55%)	21,695 (1.88%)	0.03
Weakness	21,292 (1.85%)	21,612 (1.88%)	0.00
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	25,139 (2.18%)	25,011 (2.17%)	0.00

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with BNT162b2 N = 1,151,775	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 1,151,775	ASD
Characteristics Assessed Using all Available Data, N (%)		
Autoimmune disorders	56,025 (4.86%)	54,760 (4.75%)	0.01
Cancer	70,717 (6.14%)	66,968 (5.81%)	0.01
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	25,567 (2.22%)	26,629 (2.31%)	0.01
Chronic liver disease	56,281 (4.89%)	56,607 (4.91%)	0.00
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	131,033 (11.38%)	132,083 (11.47%)	0.00
Dementia or other neurological conditions	85,608 (7.43%)	87,745 (7.62%)	0.01
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	88,515 (7.69%)	86,908 (7.55%)	0.01
Down syndrome	427 (0.04%)	364 (0.03%)	0.00
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	163,592 (14.20%)	166,283 (14.44%)	0.01
Hypertension	243,221 (21.12%)	243,503 (21.14%)	0.00
Immunocompromised state	49,753 (4.32%)	49,753 (4.32%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	323,819 (28.11%)	326,266 (28.33%)	0.00
Obese or severely obese	241,844 (21.00%)	244,264 (21.21%)	0.01
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	4,042 (0.35%)	3,904 (0.34%)	0.00
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	20,848 (1.81%)	22,258 (1.93%)	0.01
Tuberculosis	1,145 (0.10%)	1,098 (0.10%)	0.00
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed	527,313 (45.78%)	484,001 (42.02%)	0.08
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of a hospital or emergency department	52,778 (4.58%)	52,350 (4.55%)	0.00
Hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19	8,983 (0.78%)	9,161 (0.80%)	0.00

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

sTable 4. Characteristics of Adults Aged 18-64 Years Vaccinated With an mRNA-1273 COVID-19 Vaccine and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators

A. Optum

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with mRNA-1273 N = 201,604	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 201,604	ASD
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	44 (33, 54)	44 (33, 54)	
Mean (SD)	43.23 (12.95)	43.19 (12.97)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	97,657 (48.44%)	97,657 (48.44%)	0.00
Female	103,947 (51.56%)	103,947 (51.56%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	26,580 (13.18%)	26,580 (13.18%)	0.00
South	47,138 (23.38%)	47,138 (23.38%)	0.00
Midwest	85,564 (42.44%)	85,564 (42.44%)	0.00
West	42,322 (20.99%)	42,322 (20.99%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	759 (0.38%)	759 (0.38%)	0.00
Characteristics assessed in the 365 days before Time	0, N (%)		
Hospitalizations			
0	134,597 (66.76%)	134,239 (66.59%)	0.00
1	36,616 (18.16%)	36,784 (18.25%)	0.00
2+	30,391 (15.07%)	30,581 (15.17%)	0.00
Emergency department visits			
0	181,625 (90.09%)	179,425 (89.00%)	0.04
1	16,280 (8.08%)	17,696 (8.78%)	0.03
2+	3,699 (1.83%)	4,483 (2.22%)	0.03
Skilled nursing facility stay	210 (0.10%)	123 (0.06%)	0.02
Influenza vaccination	84,003 (41.67%)	84,003 (41.67%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	3,293 (1.63%)	2,916 (1.45%)	0.02
Encounter for cancer screening	59,499 (29.51%)	57,384 (28.46%)	0.02
Eye examination	13,344 (6.62%)	12,829 (6.36%)	0.01
Colonoscopy	8,905 (4.42%)	8,366 (4.15%)	0.01
Bone mineral density test	2,526 (1.25%)	2,522 (1.25%)	0.00
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	85,408 (42.36%)	83,689 (41.51%)	0.02
Arthritis	27,688 (13.73%)	27,843 (13.81%)	0.00
Lipid abnormality	40,255 (19.97%)	38,246 (18.97%)	0.03
Ambulance use or life support services	3,211 (1.59%)	3,669 (1.82%)	0.02

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with mRNA-1273 N = 201,604	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 201,604	ASD
Weakness	3,741 (1.86%)	3,908 (1.94%)	0.01
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	3,593 (3.46%)	4,090 (3.93%)	0.03
Characteristics Assessed Using all Available Data, N (%	6)		
Autoimmune disorders	9,343 (4.63%)	9,329 (4.63%)	0.00
Cancer	12,495 (6.20%)	12,215 (6.06%)	0.01
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	4,027 (2.00%)	3,925 (1.95%)	0.00
Chronic liver disease	9,056 (4.49%)	9,026 (4.48%)	0.00
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	23,005 (11.41%)	22,562 (11.19%)	0.01
Dementia or other neurological conditions	14,078 (6.98%)	14,325 (7.11%)	0.00
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	17,003 (8.43%)	16,045 (7.96%)	0.02
Down syndrome	50 (0.02%)	41 (0.02%)	0.00
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	28,052 (13.91%)	28,853 (14.31%)	0.01
Hypertension	47,534 (23.58%)	46,870 (23.25%)	0.01
Immunocompromised state	9,000 (4.46%)	9,000 (4.46%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	59,501 (29.51%)	58,206 (28.87%)	0.01
Obese or severely obese	44,965 (22.30%)	44,041 (21.85%)	0.01
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	433 (0.21%)	450 (0.22%)	0.00
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	3,236 (1.61%)	3,501 (1.74%)	0.01
Tuberculosis	142 (0.07%)	109 (0.05%)	0.01
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed	72,155 (35.79%)	65,871 (32.67%)	0.07
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of a hospital or emergency department	9,428 (4.68%)	9,380 (4.65%)	0.00
Hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19	1,476 (0.73%)	1,445 (0.72%)	0.00

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

B. CVS Health

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with mRNA-1273 N = 651,545	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 651,545	ASD
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	44 (33, 55)	44 (32, 55)	0.00
Mean (SD)	43.37 (13.4)	43.33 (13.42)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	313,461 (48.11%)	313,461 (48.11%)	0.00
Female	338,084 (51.89%)	338,084 (51.89%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	139,585 (21.42%)	139,585 (21.42%)	0.00
South	113,510 (17.42%)	113,510 (17.42%)	0.00
Midwest	114,493 (17.57%)	114,493 (17.57%)	0.00
West	283,957 (43.58%)	283,957 (43.58%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	2,557 (0.39%)	2,557 (0.39%)	0.00
Characteristics in the 365 Days Before Time 0, N (%)			
Hospitalizations			
0	456,478 (70.06%)	453,734 (69.64%)	0.01
1	103,083 (15.82%)	103,735 (15.92%)	0.00
2+	91,984 (14.12%)	94,076 (14.44%)	0.01
Emergency department visits			
0	587,420 (90.16%)	580,072 (89.03%)	0.04
1	51,337 (7.88%)	55,763 (8.56%)	0.02
2+	12,788 (1.96%)	15,710 (2.41%)	0.03
Skilled nursing facility stay	567 (0.09%)	550 (0.08%)	0.00
Influenza vaccination	239,416 (36.75%)	239,416 (36.75%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	10,819 (1.66%)	9,675 (1.48%)	0.01
Encounter for cancer screening	182,176 (27.96%)	174,072 (26.72%)	0.03
Eye examination	52,718 (8.09%)	48,741 (7.48%)	0.02
Colonoscopy	28,306 (4.34%)	26,338 (4.04%)	0.02
Bone mineral density test	10,114 (1.55%)	9,424 (1.45%)	0.01
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	251,488 (38.60%)	241,716 (37.10%)	0.03
Arthritis	95,284 (14.62%)	95,699 (14.69%)	0.00
Lipid abnormality	140,791 (21.61%)	134,374 (20.62%)	0.02
Ambulance use or life support services	11,600 (1.78%)	12,988 (1.99%)	0.02
Weakness	12,206 (1.87%)	12,208 (1.87%)	0.00
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	11,057 (1.70%)	12,237 (1.88%)	0.01
Characteristics Assessed Using all Available Data, N (, , ,		
Autoimmune disorders	34,439 (5.29%)	33,671 (5.17%)	0.01
Cancer	43,865 (6.73%)	42,441 (6.51%)	0.01

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with mRNA-1273 N = 651,545	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 651,545	ASD
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	17,312 (2.66%)	17,201 (2.64%)	0.00
Chronic liver disease	35,180 (5.40%)	35,288 (5.42%)	0.00
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	81,057 (12.44%)	80,729 (12.39%)	0.00
Dementia or other neurological conditions	51,559 (7.91%)	52,066 (7.99%)	0.00
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	59,510 (9.13%)	56,297 (8.64%)	0.02
Down syndrome	313 (0.05%)	212 (0.03%)	0.01
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	100,214 (15.38%)	101,431 (15.57%)	0.01
Hypertension	158,070 (24.26%)	156,296 (23.99%)	0.01
Immunocompromised state	31,211 (4.79%)	31,211 (4.79%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	193,580 (29.71%)	191,353 (29.37%)	0.01
Obese or severely obese	151,991 (23.33%)	149,445 (22.94%)	0.01
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	2,011 (0.31%)	2,055 (0.32%)	0.00
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	14,143 (2.17%)	14,716 (2.26%)	0.01
Tuberculosis	575 (0.09%)	614 (0.09%)	0.00
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed	290,717 (44.62%)	266,802 (40.95%)	0.07
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of a hospital or emergency department	27,212 (4.18%)	27,004 (4.14%)	0.00
Hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19	4,739 (0.73%)	4,899 (0.75%)	0.00

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

sTable 5. Characteristics of Adults Aged 18-64 Years Vaccinated With JNJ-7836735 COVID-19 Vaccine and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators

A. Optum

	Individuals vaccinated with	Matched unvaccinated	
Characteristic	JNJ-7836735	individuals	ASD
	N = 49,285	N = 49,285	
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	44 (33, 54)	44 (33, 54)	
Mean (SD)	42.91 (12.88)	42.89 (12.87)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	27,842 (56.49%)	27,842 (56.49%)	0.00
Female	21,443 (43.51%)	21,443 (43.51%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	5,838 (11.85%)	5,838 (11.85%)	0.00
South	10,032 (20.36%)	10,032 (20.36%)	0.00
Midwest	22,568 (45.79%)	22,568 (45.79%)	0.00
West	10,847 (22.01%)	10,847 (22.01%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	72 (0.15%)	72 (0.15%)	0.00
Characteristics in the 365 Days Before Time 0, N (%)			-
Hospitalizations			
0	34,682 (70.37%)	34,074 (69.14%)	0.03
1	8,388 (17.02%)	8,557 (17.36%)	0.01
2+	6,215 (12.61%)	6,654 (13.50%)	0.03
Emergency department visits			
0	44,621 (90.54%)	43,909 (89.09%)	0.05
1	3,803 (7.72%)	4,308 (8.74%)	0.04
2+	861 (1.75%)	1,068 (2.17%)	0.03
Skilled nursing facility stay	31 (0.06%)	36 (0.07%)	0.00
Influenza vaccination	16,343 (33.16%)	16,343 (33.16%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	568 (1.15%)	538 (1.09%)	0.01
Encounter for cancer screening	13,424 (27.24%)	12,875 (26.12%)	0.03
Eye examination	2,976 (6.04%)	2,837 (5.76%)	0.01
Colonoscopy	2,006 (4.07%)	1,981 (4.02%)	0.00
Bone mineral density test	529 (1.07%)	493 (1.00%)	0.01
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	19,704 (39.98%)	18,851 (38.25%)	0.04
Arthritis	6,600 (13.39%)	6,455 (13.10%)	0.01
Lipid abnormality	8,711 (17.67%)	8,650 (17.55%)	0.00
Ambulance use or life support services	791 (1.60%)	890 (1.81%)	0.02
Weakness	941 (1.91%)	924 (1.87%)	0.00
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	568 (2.65%)	856 (3.99%)	0.08

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with JNJ-7836735 N = 49,285	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 49,285	ASD
Characteristics Assessed Using all Available Data, N (%	6)		
Autoimmune disorders	2,186 (4.44%)	1,994 (4.05%)	0.02
Cancer	2,822 (5.73%)	2,622 (5.32%)	0.02
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	861 (1.75%)	929 (1.88%)	0.01
Chronic liver disease	1,943 (3.94%)	2,068 (4.20%)	0.01
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	5,011 (10.17%)	5,031 (10.21%)	0.00
Dementia or other neurological conditions	3,168 (6.43%)	3,115 (6.32%)	0.00
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	3,400 (6.90%)	3,489 (7.08%)	0.01
Down syndrome	< 11	<11	0.00
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	6,147 (12.47%)	6,519 (13.23%)	0.02
Hypertension	10,468 (21.24%)	10,757 (21.83%)	0.01
Immunocompromised state	1,865 (3.78%)	1,865 (3.78%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	13,612 (27.62%)	13,198 (26.78%)	0.02
Obese or severely obese	9,764 (19.81%)	9,928 (20.14%)	0.01
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	63 (0.13%)	122 (0.25%)	0.03
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	714 (1.45%)	822 (1.67%)	0.02
Tuberculosis	23 (0.05%)	24 (0.05%)	0.00
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed	17,668 (35.85%)	16,423 (33.32%)	0.05
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of a hospital or emergency department	2,761 (5.60%)	2,749 (5.58%)	0.00
Hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19	393 (0.80%)	440 (0.89%)	0.01

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

Note: Privacy rules require masking cell sizes of fewer than 11 individuals.

B. CVS Health

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with JNJ-7836735 N = 149,813	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 149,813	ASD
Characteristics assessed at Time 0			
Age, years			
Median (Q1, Q3)	44 (32, 54)	44 (32, 54)	0.00
Mean (SD)	42.98 (13.31)	42.97 (13.32)	0.00
Sex, N (%)			
Male	82,697 (55.20%)	82,697 (55.20%)	0.00
Female	67,116 (44.80%)	67,116 (44.80%)	0.00
Region, N (%)			
Northeast	29,728 (19.84%)	29,728 (19.84%)	0.00
South	26,237 (17.51%)	26,237 (17.51%)	0.00
Midwest	28,431 (18.98%)	28,431 (18.98%)	0.00
West	65,417 (43.67%)	65,417 (43.67%)	0.00
Pregnant at Time 0, N (%)	274 (0.18%)	274 (0.18%)	0.00
Characteristics in the 365 Days Before Time 0, N (%)			
Hospitalizations			
0	110,435 (73.72%)	108,225 (72.24%)	0.03
1	21,706 (14.49%)	22,499 (15.02%)	0.01
2+	17,672 (11.80%)	19,089 (12.74%)	0.03
Emergency department visits			
0	136,145 (90.88%)	134,052 (89.48%)	0.05
1	11,123 (7.42%)	12,310 (8.22%)	0.03
2+	2,545 (1.70%)	3,451 (2.30%)	0.04
Skilled nursing facility stay	110 (0.07%)	103 (0.07%)	0.00
Influenza vaccination	44,501 (29.70%)	44,501 (29.70%)	0.00
Pneumococcal vaccination	1,675 (1.12%)	1,661 (1.11%)	0.00
Encounter for cancer screening	37,861 (25.27%)	36,403 (24.30%)	0.02
Eye examination	10,930 (7.30%)	10,443 (6.97%)	0.01
Colonoscopy	6,043 (4.03%)	5,801 (3.87%)	0.01
Bone mineral density test	1,839 (1.23%)	1,778 (1.19%)	0.00
Well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit	54,304 (36.25%)	51,984 (34.70%)	0.03
Arthritis	21,142 (14.11%)	20,700 (13.82%)	0.01
Lipid abnormality	28,124 (18.77%)	28,063 (18.73%)	0.00
Ambulance use or life support services	2,439 (1.63%)	2,882 (1.92%)	0.02
Weakness	2,689 (1.79%)	2,676 (1.79%)	0.00
Pregnancy completion before Time 0	1,703 (1.14%)	2,198 (1.47%)	0.03
Characteristics Assessed Using all Available Data, N (9	6)	,	1
Autoimmune disorders	6,805 (4.54%)	6,812 (4.55%)	0.00
Cancer	8,817 (5.89%)	8,438 (5.63%)	0.01

Characteristic	Individuals vaccinated with JNJ-7836735 N = 149,813	Matched unvaccinated individuals N = 149,813	ASD
Chronic kidney disease or renal disease	3,300 (2.20%)	3,559 (2.38%)	0.01
Chronic liver disease	6,946 (4.64%)	7,437 (4.96%)	0.02
Chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism)	16,433 (10.97%)	16,778 (11.20%)	0.01
Dementia or other neurological conditions	10,781 (7.20%)	10,868 (7.25%)	0.00
Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2	10,737 (7.17%)	11,694 (7.81%)	0.02
Down syndrome	51 (0.03%)	29 (0.02%)	0.01
Heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias)	20,073 (13.40%)	21,716 (14.50%)	0.03
Hypertension	31,615 (21.10%)	33,133 (22.12%)	0.02
Immunocompromised state	5,561 (3.71%)	5,561 (3.71%)	0.00
Mental health conditions	42,143 (28.13%)	41,064 (27.41%)	0.02
Obese or severely obese	30,537 (20.38%)	31,435 (20.98%)	0.01
Sickle cell disease or thalassemia	359 (0.24%)	415 (0.28%)	0.01
Stroke or cerebrovascular disease	2,563 (1.71%)	3,018 (2.01%)	0.02
Tuberculosis	98 (0.07%)	131 (0.09%)	0.01
At least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed	67,266 (44.90%)	61,505 (41.05%)	0.08
COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of a hospital or emergency department	7,207 (4.81%)	7,095 (4.74%)	0.00
Hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19	1,076 (0.72%)	1,271 (0.85%)	0.01

ASD = absolute standardized difference; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; Q1, Q3 = first and third quartiles; SD = standard deviation.

COVID-19 outcome	Vaccine exposure group	Ν	Events	Person-time (days)	HR (95% CI)	VE (95% CI)
Optum						
Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	341,097	11,399	68,335,369	0.44 (0.42-0.45)	56% (55%-58%)
	Unvaccinated	341,097	15,007	41,954,661	—	—
	mRNA-1273	201,604	5,691	42,145,941	0.34 (0.33-0.36)	66% (64%-67%)
	Unvaccinated	201,604	9,122	25,093,307	—	—
	JNJ-7836735	49,285	2,215	10,249,729	0.56 (0.53-0.60)	44% (40%-47%)
	Unvaccinated	49,285	2,327	6,337,889	—	—
Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	341,097	1,066	69,030,682	0.18 (0.17-0.20)	82% (80%-83%)
	Unvaccinated	341,097	3,470	42,860,899	—	—
	mRNA-1273	201,604	494	42,501,963	0.13 (0.12-0.14)	87% (86%-88%)
	Unvaccinated	201,604	2,131	25,680,890	_	_
	JNJ-7836735	49,285	274	10,387,121	0.31 (0.26-0.36)	69% (64%-74%)
	Unvaccinated	49,285	548	6,480,273	—	—
CVS Health		÷		·		÷
Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	1,151,775	40,116	268,538,241	0.51 (0.5-0.52)	49% (48%-50%)
	Unvaccinated	1,151,775	47,292	167,925,461	—	—
	mRNA-1273	651,545	19,347	153,442,531	0.43 (0.42-0.44)	57% (56%-58%)
	Unvaccinated	651,545	27,079	95,983,285	—	—
	JNJ-7836735	149,813	6,931	36,342,423	0.64 (0.62-0.66)	36% (34%-38%)
	Unvaccinated	149,813	6,507	22,691,059	_	_
Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	1,151,775	4,496	272,234,682	0.24 (0.23-0.25)	76% (75%-77%)
	Unvaccinated	1,151,775	11,464	172,260,575	_	_
	mRNA-1273	651,545	2,042	155,254,572	0.18 (0.17-0.19)	82% (81%-83%)
	Unvaccinated	651,545	6,884	98,442,974	_	_
	JNJ-7836735	149,813	957	37,004,803	0.35 (0.32-0.38)	65% (62%-68%)
	Unvaccinated	149,813	1,702	23,256,138	—	_

sTable 6. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated, Overall

CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; HR = hazard ratio; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

Note: — indicates the reference group.

Subgroup	COVID-19 outcome	Vaccine exposure group	N	Events	Person-time (days)	HR (95% CI)	VE (95% CI)
Optum						- i	
With previous	Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	18,962	759	3,389,905	0.66 (0.59-0.74)	34% (26%-41%)
COVID-19		Unvaccinated	18,962	779	2,334,640	—	—
diagnosis [®]		mRNA-1273	9,914	341	1,857,921	0.55 (0.47-0.64)	45% (36%-53%)
		Unvaccinated	9,914	409	1,248,699	—	—
	Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	18,962	39	3,454,998	0.28 (0.18-0.43)	72% (57%-82%)
		Unvaccinated	18,962	92	2,381,274	—	—
		mRNA-1273	9,914	16	1,886,452	0.25 (0.14-0.45)	75% (55%-86%)
		Unvaccinated	9,914	42	1,280,414	—	—
Without a	Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	322,135	10,640	64,945,464	0.42 (0.41-0.44)	58% (56%-59%)
previous		Unvaccinated	322,135	14,228	39,620,021	—	—
COVID-19		mRNA-1273	191,690	5,350	40,288,020	0.33 (0.32-0.35)	67% (65%-68%)
diagnosis		Unvaccinated	191,690	8,713	23,844,608	—	—
	Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	322,135	1,027	65,575,684	0.18 (0.16-0.19)	82% (81%-84%)
		Unvaccinated	322,135	3,378	40,479,625	—	—
		mRNA-1273	191,690	478	40,615,511	0.13 (0.12-0.14)	87% (86%-88%)
		Unvaccinated	191,690	2,089	24,400,476	—	—

sTable 7. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated, by Previous COVID-19 Diagnosis Status

Subgroup	COVID-19 outcome	Vaccine exposure group	N	Events	Person-time (days)	HR (95% CI)	VE (95% CI)
CVS Health							
With previous	Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	56,266	2,768	12,001,685	0.71 (0.67-0.75)	29% (25%-33%)
COVID-19		Unvaccinated	56,266	2,745	8,365,317	—	—
diagnosis ^a		mRNA-1273	29,029	1,350	6,297,879	0.66 (0.61-0.72)	34% (28%-39%)
		Unvaccinated	29,029	1,436	4,371,147	—	—
		JNJ-7836735	7,660	406	1,743,282	0.74 (0.64-0.85)	26% (15%-36%)
		Unvaccinated	7,660	371	1,164,613	—	—
	Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	56,266	186	12,324,343	0.44 (0.35-0.53)	56% (47%-65%)
		Unvaccinated	56,266	306	8,644,775	—	—
		mRNA-1273	29,029	87	6,472,352	0.34 (0.26-0.45)	66% (55%-74%)
		Unvaccinated	29,029	174	4,519,359	—	—
		JNJ-7836735	7,660	28	1,790,309	0.59 (0.36-0.99)	41% (1%-64%)
		Unvaccinated	7,660	34	1,198,980	_	_
Without a	Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	1,095,509	37,348	256,536,556	0.50 (0.49-0.50)	50% (50%-51%)
previous		Unvaccinated	1,095,509	44,547	159,560,144	_	—
COVID-19 diagnosis		mRNA-1273	622,516	17,997	147,144,652	0.42 (0.41-0.42)	58% (58%-59%)
ulagnosis		Unvaccinated	622,516	25,643	91,612,138	—	_
		JNJ-7836735	142,153	6,525	34,599,141	0.63 (0.61-0.65)	37% (35%-39%)
		Unvaccinated	142,153	6,136	21,526,446	—	_
	Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	1,095,509	4,310	259,910,339	0.24 (0.23-0.25)	76% (75%-77%)
		Unvaccinated	1,095,509	11,158	163,615,800	—	_
		mRNA-1273	622,516	1,955	148,782,220	0.17 (0.17-0.18)	83% (82%-83%)
		Unvaccinated	622,516	6,710	93,923,615		
		JNJ-7836735	142,153	929	35,214,494	0.34 (0.32-0.37)	66% (63%-68%)
		Unvaccinated	142,153	1,668	22,057,158	_	_

CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; HR = hazard ratio; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

Note: — indicates the reference group.

^a Having a diagnosis of COVID-19 in any medically attended setting at any point before Time 0.

sTable 8. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine Compared with Being Unvaccinated, Corrected for Potentially Missing Vaccine Records

COVID-19 outcome	Vaccine	Hypothesized sensitivity of vaccination exposure measurement	Corrected VE (95% CI)
Optum			
Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	100% (uncorrected)	56% (55%-58%)
		83%	61% (60%-63%)
		71%	67% (66%-68%)
	mRNA-1273	100% (uncorrected)	66% (64%-67%)
		83%	70% (68%-71%)
		71%	75% (74%-76%)
	JNJ-7836735	100% (uncorrected)	44% (40%-47%)
		83%	49% (45%-52%)
		71%	56% (53%-58%)
Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	100% (uncorrected)	82% (80%-83%)
		83%	85% (83%-85%)
		71%	88% (86%-88%)
	mRNA-1273	100% (uncorrected)	87% (86%-88%)
		83%	89% (88%-90%)
		71%	91% (91%-92%)
	JNJ-7836735	100% (uncorrected)	69% (64%-74%)
		83%	73% (69%-77%)
		71%	78% (74%-81%)
CVS Health			
Medically diagnosed	BNT162b2	100% (uncorrected)	49% (48%-50%)
		89%	52% (52%-53%)
		69%	63% (62%-64%)
	mRNA-1273	100% (uncorrected)	57% (56%-58%)
		89%	60% (59%-61%)
		69%	69% (69%-70%)
	JNJ-7836735	100% (uncorrected)	36% (34%-38%)
		89%	38% (36%-40%)
		69%	46% (44%-48%)
Hospital/ED-diagnosed	BNT162b2	100% (uncorrected)	76% (75%-77%)
		89%	78% (77%-79%)
		69%	84% (83%-85%)
	mRNA-1273	100% (uncorrected)	82% (81%-83%)
		89%	84% (83%-85%)
		69%	89% (88%-89%)
	JNJ-7836735	100% (uncorrected)	65% (62%-68%)
		89%	67% (64%-70%)

COVID-19 outcome	Vaccine	Hypothesized sensitivity of vaccination exposure measurement	Corrected VE (95% CI)
		69%	74% (72%-76%)

CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; VE = vaccine effectiveness.


Vaccine	Time	Medically diagnosed COVID-19		Hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19	
	Point	VE (95% CI)	RD (95% CI)	VE (95% CI)	RD (95% CI)
Optum	ł				
BNT162b2	Day 14	22% (16%-28%)	-0.0008 (-0.0011 to -0.0006)	32% (17%-45%)	-0.0002 (-0.0003 to -0.0001)
	Day 28	30% (25%-34%)	-0.0017 (-0.0020 to -0.0014)	41% (29%-51%)	-0.0004 (-0.0006 to -0.0003)
	Day 42	43% (39%-46%)	-0.0039 (-0.0044 to -0.0035)	57% (50%-64%)	-0.0010 (-0.0012 to -0.0008)
	Day 60	57% (55%-59%)	-0.0084 (-0.0089 to -0.0079)	73% (68%-77%)	-0.0023 (-0.0025 to -0.0020)
	Day 90	64% (63%-66%)	-0.0135 (-0.0142 to -0.0128)	79% (77%-82%)	-0.0036 (-0.0039 to -0.0033)
	Day 183	65% (64%-67%)	-0.0367 (-0.0379 to -0.0353)	87% (85%-88%)	-0.0116 (-0.0123 to -0.0111)
	Day 270	56% (55%-58%)	-0.0564 (-0.0582 to -0.0544)	83% (82%-85%)	-0.0202 (-0.0212 to -0.0193)
mRNA-1273	Day 14	26% (18%-34%)	-0.0011 (-0.0015 to -0.0007)	46% (29%-59%)	-0.0003 (-0.0005 to -0.0002)
	Day 28	46% (41%-50%)	-0.0038 (-0.0043 to -0.0032)	63% (55%-71%)	-0.0010 (-0.0012 to -0.0008)
	Day 42	54% (50%-57%)	-0.0062 (-0.0068 to -0.0056)	72% (66%-77%)	-0.0017 (-0.0019 to -0.0014)
	Day 60	63% (60%-65%)	-0.0097 (-0.0104 to -0.0090)	79% (74%-82%)	-0.0025 (-0.0028 to -0.0022)
	Day 90	69% (67%-71%)	-0.0148 (-0.0157 to -0.0140)	83% (80%-86%)	-0.0038 (-0.0041 to -0.0034)
	Day 183	74% (73%-75%)	-0.0404 (-0.0419 to -0.0389)	91% (89%-92%)	-0.0119 (-0.0127 to -0.0112)
	Day 270	68% (67%-69%)	-0.0680 (-0.0704 to -0.0654)	88% (87%-90%)	-0.0216 (-0.0229 to -0.0205)
JNJ-7836735	Day 14	24% (5%-39%)	-0.0009 (-0.0017 to -0.0002)	42% (7%-66%)	-0.0004 (-0.0007 to -0.0001)
	Day 60	51% (43%-57%)	-0.0075 (-0.0089 to -0.0060)	64% (51%-74%)	-0.0023 (-0.0030 to -0.0016)
	Day 90	55% (49%-60%)	-0.0117 (-0.0136 to -0.0099)	70% (61%-78%)	-0.0035 (-0.0044 to -0.0027)
	Day 183	52% (49%-56%)	-0.0298 (-0.0333 to -0.0266)	74% (69%-79%)	-0.0106 (-0.0124 to -0.0090)
	Day 270	42% (39%-46%)	-0.0441 (-0.0500 to -0.0388)	69% (64%-75%)	-0.0175 (-0.0202 to -0.0151)

sTable 9. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine Compared With Being Unvaccinated, Over Time

Vaccine	Time	Medically diagnosed COVID-19		Hospital/ED-diagnosed COVID-19		
vaccine	Point	VE (95% CI)	RD (95% CI)	VE (95% CI)	RD (95% CI)	
CVS Health						
BNT162b2	Day 14	25% (21%-28%)	-0.0008 (-0.0009 to -0.0007)	34% (25%-42%)	-0.0002 (-0.0003 to -0.0001)	
	Day 28	38% (36%-40%)	-0.0024 (-0.0026 to -0.0022)	48% (43%-53%)	-0.0006 (-0.0007 to -0.0005)	
	Day 42	48% (47%-50%)	-0.0043 (-0.0046 to -0.0041)	62% (58%-65%)	-0.0012 (-0.0013 to -0.0011)	
	Day 60	56% (55%-58%)	-0.0067 (-0.0070 to -0.0065)	70% (68%-72%)	-0.0018 (-0.0020 to -0.0017)	
	Day 90	62% (61%-64%)	-0.0106 (-0.0109 to -0.0103)	77% (75%-79%)	-0.0029 (-0.0031 to -0.0028)	
	Day 183	62% (62%-63%)	-0.0272 (-0.0277 to -0.0267)	83% (82%-84%)	-0.0090 (-0.0092 to -0.0087)	
	Day 270	54% (53%-54%)	-0.0416 (-0.0425 to -0.0407)	79% (79%-80%)	-0.0155 (-0.0159 to -0.0151)	
mRNA-1273	Day 14	16% (10%-21%)	-0.0005 (-0.0007 to -0.0003)	42% (31%-51%)	-0.0002 (-0.0003 to -0.0002)	
	Day 28	39% (36%-42%)	-0.0024 (-0.0026 to -0.0022)	62% (57%-67%)	-0.0008 (-0.0009 to -0.0007)	
	Day 42	49% (47%-51%)	-0.0044 (-0.0047 to -0.0041)	72% (69%-75%)	-0.0014 (-0.0015 to -0.0012)	
	Day 60	58% (56%-60%)	-0.0069 (-0.0073 to -0.0066)	78% (76%-81%)	-0.0021 (-0.0022 to -0.0019)	
	Day 90	65% (64%-67%)	-0.0109 (-0.0113 to -0.0105)	84% (82%-85%)	-0.0032 (-0.0034 to -0.0031)	
	Day 183	71% (70%-72%)	-0.0295 (-0.0303 to -0.0288)	89% (88%-90%)	-0.0097 (-0.0101 to -0.0093)	
	Day 270	65% (64%-66%)	-0.0499 (-0.0510 to -0.0487)	86% (85%-87%)	-0.0177 (-0.0183 to -0.0170)	
JNJ-7836735	Day 14	10% (-5% to 24%)	-0.0002 (-0.0006 to 0.0001)	-16% (-68% to 22%)	0.0001 (-0.0001 to 0.0002)	
	Day 28	26% (18%-34%)	-0.0013 (-0.0018 to -0.0008)	31% (11%-47%)	-0.0004 (-0.0006 to -0.0001)	
	Day 42	33% (27%-40%)	-0.0024 (-0.0031 to -0.0019)	48% (35%-59%)	-0.0008 (-0.0011 to -0.0006)	
	Day 60	39% (35%-44%)	-0.0042 (-0.0048 to -0.0035)	55% (46%-64%)	-0.0014 (-0.0017 to -0.0010)	
	Day 90	43% (39%-47%)	-0.0069 (-0.0077 to -0.0060)	61% (55%-68%)	-0.0025 (-0.0029 to -0.0020)	
	Day 183	46% (43%-48%)	-0.0204 (-0.0220 to -0.0188)	69% (66%-73%)	-0.0083 (-0.0091 to -0.0075)	
	Day 270	39% (37%-41%)	-0.0326 (-0.0350 to -0.0300)	68% (65%-71%)	-0.0150 (-0.0162 to -0.0138)	


CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; RD = risk difference; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

sFigure 1. Propensity Score Distributions of Adults Aged 18-64 Years Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators




A. BNT162b2 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum

Note: Propensity score variables included: age at index date (linear); female sex indicator; state indicator (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); skilled nursing facility stay indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; eye examination indicator; colonoscopy indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator; arthritis indicator; lipid abnormality indicator; ambulance use or life support services indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; down syndrome indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; hypertension indicator; immunocompromised state (identified through diagnoses of immunocompromising conditions and use of immunosuppressive therapies) indicator; stroke or cerebrovascular disease indicator; tuberculosis indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator; COVID-19 diagnosis in any setting indicator; delta or omicron variant era indicator; increased risk of COVID-19 indicator; Delta/Omicron era indicator * COVID-19 laboratory test indicator (interaction term).




B. BNT162b2 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health

Note: Propensity score variables included: age (categorical with indicator variables: 18-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64 years); ambulance use of life support services indicator; arthritis indicator; autoimmune disorders indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; cancer indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; chronic kidney disease or renal disease indicator; chronic liver disease indicator; colonoscopy indicator; chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism) indicator; county Federal Information Processing System (FIPS) codes (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0 indicator; COVID-19 diagnoses in any setting indicator; hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19 indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator, COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of hospital or ED indicator; COVID-19 vaccination after 31 May 2021 * 1 or more COVID-19 laboratory tests performed (interaction term); COVID-19 vaccination index date in the Delta/Omicron era indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; Down syndrome indicator; ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); eye examination indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; overall binary indicator of the presence of any of these conditions will be defined to identify individuals who may qualify for priority groups for vaccination eligibility; hypertension indicator; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); lipid abnormality indicator; mental health conditions indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; obese or severely obese indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; female indicator; male indicator; sickle cell disease or thalassemia indicator; skilled nursing facility stay indicator; IIS jurisdiction (categorical with indicator variables); stroke or cerebrovascular disease indicator; tuberculosis indicator; immunocompromised state indicator; pregnancy completion before time 0 indicator; weakness indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator.




C. mRNA-1273 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum

Note: Propensity score variables included: age at index date (linear); female sex indicator; state indicator (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); skilled nursing facility stay indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; eye examination indicator; colonoscopy indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator; arthritis indicator; lipid abnormality indicator; ambulance use or life support services indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; down syndrome indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; hypertension indicator; immunocompromised state (identified through diagnoses of immunocompromising conditions and use of immunosuppressive therapies) indicator; mental health conditions indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator; COVID-19 diagnosis in any setting indicator; delta or omicron variant era indicator; increased risk of COVID-19 indicator; Delta/Omicron era indicator * COVID-19 laboratory test indicator (interaction term).




D. mRNA-1273 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health

Note: Propensity score variables included: age (categorical with indicator variables: 18-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64 years); ambulance use of life support services indicator; arthritis indicator; autoimmune disorders indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; cancer indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; chronic kidney disease or renal disease indicator; chronic liver disease indicator; colonoscopy indicator; chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism) indicator; county Federal Information Processing System (FIPS) codes (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0 indicator; COVID-19 diagnoses in any setting indicator; hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19 indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator, COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of hospital or ED indicator; COVID-19 vaccination after 31 May 2021 * 1 or more COVID-19 laboratory tests performed (interaction term); COVID-19 vaccination index date in the Delta/Omicron era indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; Down syndrome indicator; ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); eye examination indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; overall binary indicator of the presence of any of these conditions will be defined to identify individuals who may qualify for priority groups for vaccination eligibility; hypertension indicator; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); lipid abnormality indicator; mental health conditions indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; obese or severely obese indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; female indicator; male indicator; sickle cell disease or thalassemia indicator; skilled nursing facility stay indicator; IIS jurisdiction (categorical with indicator variables); stroke or cerebrovascular disease indicator; tuberculosis indicator; immunocompromised state indicator; pregnancy completion before time 0 indicator; weakness indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator.



E. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum

Note: Propensity score variables included: age at index date (linear); female sex indicator; state indicator (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); skilled nursing facility stay indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; eye examination indicator; colonoscopy indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator; arthritis indicator; lipid abnormality indicator; ambulance use or life support services indicator; chronic liver disease indicator; chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism) indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; down syndrome indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; hypertension indicator; immunocompromised state (identified through diagnoses of immunocompromising conditions and use of immunosuppressive therapies) indicator; mental health conditions indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator; COVID-19 diagnosis in any setting indicator; Delta or Omicron variant era indicator; increased risk of COVID-19 indicator; Delta/Omicron era indicator * COVID-19 laboratory test indicator (interaction term).



F. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health

Note: Propensity score variables included: age (categorical with indicator variables: 18-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64 years); ambulance use of life support services indicator; arthritis indicator; autoimmune disorders indicator; bone mineral density test indicator; cancer indicator; encounter for cancer screening indicator; chronic kidney disease or renal disease indicator; chronic liver disease indicator; colonoscopy indicator; chronic lung diseases (e.g., asthma, COPD, cystic fibrosis, pulmonary embolism) indicator; county Federal Information Processing System (FIPS) codes (categorical with indicator variables); pregnant at time 0 indicator; COVID-19 diagnoses in any setting indicator; hospitalization or emergency department-diagnosed COVID-19 indicator; at least 1 COVID-19 laboratory performed indicator, COVID-19 diagnoses occurring outside of hospital or ED indicator; COVID-19 vaccination after 31 May 2021 * 1 or more COVID-19 laboratory tests performed (interaction term); COVID-19 vaccination index date in the Delta/Omicron era indicator; diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2 indicator; Down syndrome indicator; ED visits (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); eye examination indicator; influenza vaccination indicator; heart conditions (e.g., heart failure, coronary artery disease, arrhythmias) indicator; overall binary indicator of the presence of any of these conditions will be defined to identify individuals who may qualify for priority groups for vaccination eligibility; hypertension indicator; hospitalizations (categorical with indicator variables: 2+, 1, reference = 0); lipid abnormality indicator; mental health conditions indicator; dementia or other neurological conditions indicator; obese or severely obese indicator; pneumococcal vaccination indicator; female indicator; male indicator; sickle cell disease or thalassemia indicator; skilled nursing facility stay indicator; IIS jurisdiction (categorical with indicator variables); stroke or cerebrovascular disease indicator; tuberculosis indicator; immunocompromised state indicator; pregnancy completion before time 0 indicator; weakness indicator; well-check/well-child preventive healthcare visit indicator.

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; IIS = immunization information system.

sFigure 2. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated, Overall and Within Subgroups

	Subgroup	Data source	VE (95% CI)	Medically Diagnosed COVID-19	VE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19
	Overall					
		Optum	56% (55%-58%)	•	82% (80%-83%)	•
		CVS Health	49% (48%-50%)	•	76% (75%-77%)	•
		Meta-Analyzed	50% (50%-51%) ^a	•	77% (76%-78%) ^a	•
	Immunocom	promised	-		-	
		Optum	52% (45%-57%)	⊢ ●I	74% (65%-80%)	⊷⊷
		CVS Health	46% (43%-49%)	HeH	65% (60%-69%)	Her
		Meta-Analyzed	47% (44%-50%)	H	66% (62%-70%)	H∳H
	Previous CO	VID-19	-		_	
		Optum	34% (26%-41%)	⊢ •i	72% (57%-82%)	
		CVS Health	29% (25%-33%)	HeH	56% (47%-65%)	⊢ ● − 1
		Meta-Analyzed	30% (26%-34%)	H 4 -1	60% (52%-67%)	⊢♦ −1
	No Previous		, , , , ,		, , , -	
		Optum	58% (56%-59%)	ю	82% (81%-84%)	•
		CVS Health	50% (50%-51%)	•	76% (75%-77%)	•
		Meta-Analyzed	52% (51%-52%) ^a		77% (77%-78%) ^a	
_	Overall					
		Optum	66% (64%-67%)		87% (86%-88%)	
		CVS Health	57% (56%-58%)		82% (81%-83%)	
		Meta-Analyzed	59% (58%-60%) ^a		84% (83%-85%) ^a	
	Immunocom		-			
	mmunocom	Optum		⊢●⊣		⊢ ●-1
		CVS Health	54% (50%-57%)	Her	74% (70%-78%)	HOH
		Meta-Analyzed	56% (53%-59%) ^a	H	75% (71%-78%)	H
	Previous CO	1070			/5/8 (/1/2-/6/8)	2.51 9 7
	Frevious CO	Optum	45% (36%-53%)	— •–		
		CVS Health	34% (28%-39%)	H.	66% (55%-74%)	
		Meta-Analyzed	37% (32%-41%) ^a	→	67% (58%-75%)	
	No Previous		37 /6 (32 /6-41 /6)		07 % (56 % 75 %)	
	No Previous		-			
		Optum	67% (65%-68%)		87% (86%-88%)	
		CVS Health	58% (58%-59%)		83% (82%-83%)	
	0 "	Meta-Analyzed	60% (59%-61%) ^a	•	84% (83%-85%) ^a	
	Overall	Optum	44% (40%-47%)	H e t		
		CVS Health	36% (34%-38%)	101	65% (62%-68%)	IOI
		Meta-Analyzed	38% (36%-40%) ^a	H e i	66% (63%-68%)	i dei
	Immunocom					
		Optum	NE		NE	
		CVS Health	31% (19%-41%)		51% (34%-64%)	⊢
		Meta-Analyzed	NE		NE	
	Previous CO		·			
	i revious co	Optum	NE			
		CVS Health	26% (15%-36%)	⊢ −●−−1	41% (1%-64%)	
		Meta-Analyzed	20% (15%-30%) NE		41% (1%-64%) NE	
	No Previous		NE		NE	
	No Previous		NE		-	
		Optum	-		NE	
		CVS Health	37% (35%-39%)		66% (63%-68%)	
		Meta-Analyzed	NE		NE	


CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; VE = vaccine effectiveness. ^a Indicates evidence of statistical heterogeneity between data source-specific estimates, p < 0.05.


sFigure 3. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated, Primary and Sensitivity Analyses


	Vaccine Analysis	VE (95% CI)	Medically Diagnosed COVID-19	VE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19
	BNT162b2				
	Primary		+	82% (80%-83%)	
	Inverse probability of censoring weighting	 60% (57%-63%)	Her	79% (74%-83%)	Her
	Delay censoring 7 day after censoring dose ^a	⁵ 56% (55%-57%)	•	82% (81%-83%)	•
	mRNA-1273	_			
Ē	Primary	66% (64%-67%)	14	87% (86%-88%)	•
optum	Inverse probability of censoring weighting	74% (71%-77%)	Her	85% (79%-89%)	⊢●
	Delay censoring 7 day after censoring dose ^a	^{65%} (64%-67%)	•	87% (85%-88%)	٠
,	JNJ-7836735				
	Primary	44% (40%-47%)	⊢ ∳ -I	69% (64%-74%)	⊢♦ −1
	Inverse probability of censoring weighting		• • •••	70% (46%-84%)	⊢ −−−−−−−−−
	Delay censoring 7 day after censoring dose ^a	⁵ 43% (40%-47%)	H	69% (64%-73%)	⊢● I
	BNT162b2				
	Primary	49% (48%-50%)	•	76% (75%-77%)	•
	Inverse probability of censoring weighting		•	80% (80%-81%)	•
	Delay censoring 7 day after censoring dose ^a	⁵ 49% (48%-49%)	•	76% (75%-76%)	•
	mRNA-1273				
ealth	Primary	57% (56%-58%)	•	82% (81%-83%)	•
CVS Health	Inverse probability of censoring weighting	 66% (65%-67%)	•	86% (85%-86%)	•
	Delay censoring 7 day after censoring dose ^a	⁵ 57% (56%-58%)	•	82% (81%-83%)	•
	JNJ-7836735				
	Primary	36% (34%-38%)	i þ i	65% (62%-68%)	i ¢ i
	Inverse probability of censoring weighting	41% (39%-43%)	101	66% (63%-69%)	H G H
	Delay censoring 7 day	. 7		65% (62%-68%)	101

CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; VE = vaccine effectiveness.
 ^a Censoring doses consisted of individuals in the unvaccinated group receiving any vaccine, or individuals in the vaccinated group receiving Dose 2 too early, Dose 2 of a different brand, or receiving a third dose.


sFigure 4. Weighted Cumulative Incidence of COVID 19 Outcomes in Adults Aged 18-64 Years Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccines and **Matched Unvaccinated Comparators**









ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19












C. mRNA-1273 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum
















E. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum

ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19







F. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health


COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019.


sFigure 5. Cumulative Incidence of COVID-19 Outcomes in Adults Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccines and Matched Unvaccinated Comparators, Negative Control Outcome in the First 14 Days






ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19






B. BNT162b2 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health i. Medically Diagnosed COVID-19


ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19






C. mRNA-1273 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum i. Medically Diagnosed COVID-19


ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19
















E. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, Optum


ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19





F. JNJ-7836735 vs. Unvaccinated Comparators, CVS Health i. Medically Diagnosed COVID-19

ii. Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19



CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department.

Note: The study Time 0 (the date of vaccination or matched comparator date) is the first day of follow-up, and it is displayed as day 1 in the cumulative incidence figures.

sFigure 6. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated, by SARS-CoV-2 Variant Era

Subgroup	Data source	VE (95% CI)	Medically Diagnosed COVID-19	VE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19
Overall		_		_	
	Optum	56% (55%-58%)	•	82% (80%-83%)	
	CVS Health	49% (48%-50%)	•	76% (75%-77%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	50% (50%-51%) ^a	•	77% (76%-78%) ^a	•
Pre-delta		_			
	Optum	60% (57%-63%)	101	77% (72%-82%)	He
	CVS Health	60% (59%-62%)	•	77% (74%-79%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	60% (59%-62%)	*	77% (74%-79%)	•
Delta		_		_	
	Optum	57% (54%-60%)	I O I	77% (72%-80%)	H
	CVS Health	58% (56%-59%)	•	72% (69%-75%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	57% (56%-59%)	•	73% (71%-76%) ^a	•
Omicron		_		_	
	Optum	9.8% (-36% to 40%)	• •	25% (-86% to 70%)	• •
	CVS Health	28% (17%-38%)	→	55% (31%-70%)	
27 - 2740	Meta-Analyzed	26% (16%-36%)	⊢ ♦1	51% (28%-66%)	⊢ •−1
Overall	25				
	Optum	66% (64%-67%)		87% (86%-88%)	
	CVS Health	57% (56%-58%)	•	82% (81%-83%)	
	Meta-Analyzed	59% (58%-60%) ^a	•	84% (83%-85%) ^a	
Pre-delta		_	-24		
	Optum	67% (64%-70%)	н	84% (79%-88%)	
	CVS Health	59% (57%-61%)	•	84% (81%-86%)	
	Meta-Analyzed	61% (60%-63%) ^a	•	84% (81%-86%)	
Delta		_		-	
	Optum	62% (58%-66%)		78% (71%-83%)	н
	CVS Health	67% (64%-69%)	H	79% (75%-82%)	
	Meta-Analyzed	65% (63%-67%) ^a _	•	79% (75%-81%)	
Omicron		-		_	
	Optum	-5.8% (-69% to 34%)	•	-1.3% (-306% to 75%)_+	•
	CVS Health	37% (25%-48%)		23% (-25% to 53%)	•
	Meta-Analyzed	33% (20%-43%) ^a	·●(21% (-25% to 50%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Overall	Optum	44% (40%-47%)		69% (64%-74%)	
	CVS Health	36% (34%-38%)		65% (62%-68%)	
	Meta-Analyzed	38% (36%-40%) ^a		66% (63%-68%)	
Pre-delta	meta-Analyzeu	38% (38%-40%)	· · ·	00% (03%-08%)	
Fie-deita	Optum			65% (44%-78%)	
	CVS Health	46% (38%-53%)		62% (48%-73%)	
		49% (43%-55%)			
Delta	Meta-Analyzed	45% (45%-55%)		63% (52%-72%)	
Delta	Optum	35% (24%-43%)		59% (44%-70%)	
	CVS Health	38% (32%-44%)	H B -1	61% (53%-69%)	
	Meta-Analyzed	38% (32%-44%) 37% (32%-42%)		61% (53%-67%)	
Omicron	meta-Analyzed	51 % (52%-42%)		01/0 (55/007/0)	
Unicion	Optum	-10% (-286% +0.62%)		NE	
	Optum CVS Health	-19% (-286% to 63%)_+		NE	
		-18% (-96% to 30%)		-56% (-391% to 51%)_● NE	· · ·
	meta-Analyzed	-10/0 [-05/0 10 20/0]		NE	

CI = confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; ED = emergency department; NC = not calculable; VE = vaccine effectiveness.

^a Indicates evidence of statistical heterogeneity between data source–specific estimates, p < 0.05.

sFigure 7. Estimated Relative Effectiveness of Receiving a Complete Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18-64 Years, Compared With Receiving a Complete Primary Series of Different COVID-19 Vaccines

Vaccine	Data source	RVE (95% CI)	Medically Diagno COVID-19	osed	RVE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19				
mRNA-1273 vs. BNT162b2										
	Optum	17% (13%-20%)		Hei	20% (7.2%-30%)		Heri			
	CVS Health	16% (14%-18%)			19% (13%-24%)		-			
	Meta-Analyzed	16% (15%-18%)		•	19% (14%-24%)		ı ¢ i			
JNJ-783673	5 vs. BNT162b2									
	Optum	-35% (-45% to -26%)	⊢ ●i		-80% (-126% to -43%)	► ●				
	CVS Health	-25% (-30% to -20%)	HOH		-50% (-67% to -34%)	⊢●⊣				
	Meta-Analyzed	-27% (-32% to -23%)	H∳H		-55% (-71% to -40%)	⊢ ♦–1				
JNJ-783673	5 vs. mRNA-1273									
	Optum	-60% (-74% to -47%)			–122% (–192% to –69%) ⊢	•i				
	CVS Health	-47% (-54% to -41%)	⊢●⊣		-100% (-127% to -76%)	⊢ −●−−1				
	Meta-Analyzed	-50% (-56% to -44%)	⊢∳⊣		–103% (–128% to –81%)	⊷				
		-80	-60 -40 -20 (0 20	40 -200	0 -150 -100 -50	0			
			RVE (95% CI)		RVE (95% CI)				

CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department; RVE = relative vaccine effectiveness.

Note: For the comparison of a complete mRNA-1273 primary series versus complete BNT162b2 primary series, the study period was 18 Dec 2020 through the end of study. For the comparison of a complete JNJ-7836735 primary series versus complete BNT162b2 primary series, the study period was 27 Feb 2021 through the end of study. For the comparison of a complete JNJ-7836735 primary series versus complete MRNA-1273 primary series, the study period was 27 Feb 2021 through the end of study. For the comparison of a complete JNJ-7836735 primary series versus complete mRNA-1273 primary series, the study period was 27 Feb 2021 through the end of study.

sFigure 8. Estimated Effectiveness of Receiving a Single Dose of a 2-Dose Primary Series of COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults Aged 18□64 Years, Compared With Being Unvaccinated,

Vaccine	Data source	VE (95% CI)	Medically Diagnosed COVID-19									VE (95% CI)	Hospital/ED-Diagnosed COVID-19							10
BNT162b2																				
	Optum	31% (27%-34%)	⊢ ●-1				⊢ •			•	•									
	CVS Health	33% (31%-34%)	Her				₩													
	Meta-Analyzed	32% (31%-34%)	* -						⊢ ∳I											
mRNA-1273																				
	Optum	42% (38%-46%)					-	67% (61%-72%)						+	•					
	CVS Health	38% (36%-40%)				HeH		70% (67%-73%)							HeH					
	Meta-Analyzed	39% (37%-41%)				⊢♦⊣		69% (67%-72%)							II II					
		0	10	20	30	40	50	(5	10 20	30	40	50	60	70	8				
			VE (95% CI)							VE	(95%	CI)								

COVD-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department; VE = vaccine effectiveness.