1	Microvascular dysfunction induces a hyperdynamic circulation; a mathematical exploration
2	Ivor Popovich, MBChB
3	lvor.popovich@xtra.co.nz
4	Intensive Care Auckland Region, New Zealand
5	100 Hospital Road, Mangere East, Auckland
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

31 NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

- Background: The discordance between the macrocirculation and microcirculation in septic shock has
- been recognised but never explained. I present a novel mathematical hypothesis as to how
- heterogenous microcirculatory flow distribution directly induces a hyperdynamic circulation and
- how elevated central venous pressure induces microcirculatory dysfunction.
- Methods: I explore the tube law and modified Poiseuille resistance for compliant blood vessels.
- Using these equations a new equation is developed incorporating time constants, elastance of the
- vessel, unstressed volume and wave reflections that demonstrates the relationship between volume
- of a microcirculatory vessel and total flow through it.
- Results: The relationship is demonstrated to be constant at zero until the unstressed volume is
- reached after which it increases exponentially. By considering n of these vessels in parallel, I
- demonstrate that the summed flow is minimised when flow is equally distributed among the n
- vessels, while it is maximised when all flow goes through one vessel alone, thereby demonstrating
- that heterogenous microvascular perfusion leads to increased total flow. It is shown that if
- conditions of wave reflection are right then a hyperdynamic circulation with high cardiac output
- develops. It is also demonstrated that high central venous pressure increases wave reflections and
- necessarily leads to microvascular perfusion heterogeneity if cardiac output is to be maintained.
- Conclusions: Microvascular impairment in septic shock directly leads to a hyperdynamic circulation
- with high cardiac output. High central venous pressures impair the microcirculation. Decades of
- clinical findings can now be explained mathematically. Implications for hemodynamic therapy for
- septic shock are discussed.

69 <u>Clinical Perspective</u>

- 70 Research in septic shock has focussed on two main components of the circulation; the
- 71 macrocirculation and microcirculation. Very discordant findings have been observed between the
- two, to the point that the term 'uncoupling' has appeared in the literature in reference to these two
- circulations. Thus far nobody has put forward a satisfactory physiological explanation as to the
- 74 mechanism of this discordance. There is a need to understand the physiological mechanisms to
- 75 guide future attempts and research into methods of resuscitating the septic patient in order to
- 76 improve circulatory function.
- 77 This work provides the first theoretical mathematical groundwork as to not only how these
- circulations are linked, but how they directly influence one another. This sets the framework for
- 79 future clinical and basic science research and helps us understand how our current resuscitation
- 80 strategies may work to restore the microcirculation, and when they may start to impair it.

103 Introduction

- 104 The aim of resuscitating the shocked patient is to restore cellular oxygen delivery and energy
- 105 utilisation by restoring cellular blood flow (1). The adequacy of the circulation in this regard can be
- 106 assessed using either 'macrocirculatory' or 'microcirculatory' variables. The former consists of things
- 107 easily measured in clinical practice that reflect global oxygen delivery i.e. heart rate, cardiac output,
- 108 blood pressure, and central venous pressure. The latter is difficult to measure at the bedside and
- 109 includes parameters only used in research studies, such as the functional capillary density and
- 110 perfused vessel density (2). While assessment of the microcirculation is the more important of the
- 111 two, as it most closely reflects the end points of resuscitation, it is generally believed that optimising
- 112 macrocirculatory parameters will optimise the microcirculation.
- 113 Increasing evidence has accumulated that this is not the case. It is now well-known that in septic
- shock without concurrent hypovolemia that a hyperdynamic (high cardiac output) circulation
- develops, however severe microcirculatory derangements persist and are directly associated with
- 116 mortality. These consist of reduced vessel density and heterogeneous flow distribution. Organ
- dysfunction develops despite elevated organ blood flows (3-6). The nature of these paradoxical
- 118 findings has never been fully explained. Traditional resuscitation paradigms have focussed on fluid
- resuscitation to increase central venous pressure (CVP) in order to further increase cardiac output
- and organ perfusion. Recently, it has been shown in sepsis that a high central venous pressure,
- independently of other hemodynamic variables, impairs organ function, particularly renal function
- 122 (7). This adds to identical findings in another group of patients, those with chronic heart failure,
- 123 where the association is also independent of cardiac output (8).
- 124 The aim of this study is to put forward a mathematical explanation as to how the macrocirculation
- and microcirculation are linked and to explain why elevated central venous pressures may impair the
- 126 microcirculation despite being associated with improved global hemodynamics.
- 127
- 128 <u>Methods</u> (Mathematical background)
- 129 Understanding the novel mathematics in this paper requires us to explain some existing concepts.
- 130 The circulation is composed of travelling flow (Q) and pressure (P) waves. At any given anatomical
- 131 location in the circulation the relationship between the magnitude and shape of the pressure and
- 132 flow waves is determined by the impedance (Z). The equation relating the two is;
- 133 (1) P = QZ
- 134 P and Q are wave functions and Z is a complex number (i.e. it contains both real and imaginary parts)
- and multiplying Q by Z is not a straightforward exercise. However we can simplify this greatly by
- 136 considering only the mean pressure and flow, which is what we are interested in, rather than the
- 137 whole wave. In doing this Z reduces to a real function which is easy to multiply.
- 138 A problem is encountered however as we pass along the circulation; the impedance changes.
- 139 Imagine a junction between 2 segments of the circulation. Impedance of the upstream segment is Z₁
- and the impedance of the downstream segment is Z_2 . At the junction the flow and pressure are the
- same yet there are two different values of Z. Mother nature solves this paradox by reflecting some
- of the upstream waves back at the junction (9). Thereby each segment of the circulation contains
- both a forward and backward travelling wave, and therefore a forward and reverse mean flow. The
- 144 net flow is the same in each segment and results from subtracting the reverse flow from the forward
- 145 flow.

146 (2) $Q = Q_f - Q_r$

147 Where Q is the net flow, Q_f is the forward flow and Q_r is the reverse flow.

148 In contrast the forward and reverse pressures are additive;

149 (3)
$$P = Z_1(Q_f + Q_r)$$

This allows each segment to maintain its own Z, while allowing the net flow and net pressure at the junction to be equal between them. For example, the upstream segment may have a forward flow of 5 L/min and a reverse flow of 2 L/min, while the downstream segment may have a single forward flow of 3 L/min. In both cases the net flow is the same. In fact the size of the reverse flow relative to the forward flow (C), assuming that the downstream segment does not have any reflected waves of its own, can be defined as follows;

156 (4)
$$C = \frac{Z_2 - Z_1}{Z_2 + Z_1}$$

157 The mathematical derivation of this is straightforward (10) and not reproduced here. The

downstream segment in fact does have reflected waves of its own (from a segment even further

downstream from itself) so the equation is not quite so simple. However the same principle remains;

160 the greater the impedance of a downstream segment compared to the impedance of the upstream

segment, the stronger the reflected waves. This is the same principle that governs the clinical utility

162 of ultrasound waves.

163 Now we must turn our attention to understanding the nature of the compliant segments of the

164 circulation. The circulation is simplified for the benefit of clinicians' understanding into a linear

165 model. Linearity means that the flow through a vessel does not affect the characteristics of the

166 vessel. Taking a stiff artery, and assuming that the vascular tone of the artery remains constant,

167 doubling the flow through said artery will not change the volume of blood in the artery, its

- 168 compliance, nor its resistance. A doubling of flow leads to a doubling of the pressure gradient.
- 169 However in the microcirculation, composed of arterioles, capillaries and venules, this is not the case

170 (11). Haemodynamics here are highly non-linear. Because the microcirculation (in particular the

venules) is highly compliant, increasing flow through it will increase its volume, which will decrease
its resistance, and the resultant change in the pressure gradient is not easily predictable.

173 The importance of these observations has already been recognised in the venous function work of

174 Guyton and other authors who have followed on from this (12). The venous system is recognised as

having an unstressed volume, which does not generate any pressure in the veins. Anything abovethis volume is the stressed volume, which begins to generate pressure and therefore venous return.

177 Increasing venous return increases the preload available to the heart, which will respond by

increasing its cardiac output (in the long run venous return and cardiac output are the same) (13).

179 The stressed volume can either be increased by fluid or blood infusion, or by catecholamine induced

180 increases in venous tone, the latter decreasing the venous compliance and the unstressed volume.

181

182 <u>Results</u> (Mathematical development)

183 The pressure-volume relationship of veins has been extensively modelled in the works of Pedley et

al. (14-15). The same principle can be applied to the other compliant/non-linear vessels of the

185 microcirculation. Pressure as a function of volume of a vessel segment is described with the

186 following equation;

187 (5)
$$P(v) = K\left(\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^m - \left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^n\right)$$

188 This is known as the tube law.

189 P is the transmural pressure, although we assume the external pressure to be zero, so the internal 190 pressure equals the transmural pressure. K is a constant, v is the volume of the vessel segment, v_0 is 191 the unstressed volume, m is some positive integer and n is some negative integer.

In a non-compliant tube the resistance is described by the traditional Poiseuille equation. This is 192 193 inadequate for modelling the resistance in compliant vessels. As the volume and/or transmural 194 pressure of a compliant vessel decreases, the vessel undergoes partial collapse. The shape of the 195 cross-sectional area changes from tubular to elliptical, which imparts greater viscous resistance to 196 the tube. Thankfully Pedley has derived a modified Poiseuille equation to account for this behaviour 197 (14). Resistance as a function of volume is described by;

198 (6)
$$R(v) = \frac{8\pi\mu l}{\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^{2+a} \left(\frac{v_0}{l}\right)^2}$$

R is the resistance of the vessel segment, μ is the viscosity, I is the length of the segment (assumed 199 200 to remain constant), and a is some positive integer (0.5 was the value used by Pedley et al. (14)).

201 There are other assumptions inherent in using the modified Poiseuille equation to model resistance. 202 Firstly flow must be laminar and secondly the fluid involved must be Newtonian. Blood is known to 203 be non-Newtonian, meaning that its viscosity falls as its shear stress increases. Turbulent blood flow 204 also occurs in parts of the circulation, although in the microcirculation Reynolds numbers have been 205 found to be in the range indicating laminar flow (16). Either way these assumptions produce only minor deviations from observed values and are acceptable in modelling the circulation (17). 206

All distensible tubes with both a compliance and a resistance that either empty or fill with flow will 207 208 exhibit a time constant τ. Such a tube will empty at an initial rate that then decreases in an exponential fashion with time, until the tube has completely emptied. The time constant represents 209 210 the time that would be required for the system to empty to zero if emptying had continued at that e is;

212 (7)
$$Q_e = \frac{v}{\tau}$$

- 213 Q_e is the initial flow rate of emptying and v is the initial volume.
- 214 Usefully, the time constant is determined by the elastance and resistance of the tube.

$$215 \qquad (8) \ \tau = \frac{R}{E}$$

216 E is elastance. The elastance of a distensible tube segment is simply the derivative of its pressure 217 with respect to volume. Therefore;

218 (9)
$$E(v) = \frac{dP}{dv} = \frac{K\left(m\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^{m-1} - n\left(\frac{v}{v_0}\right)^{n-1}\right)}{v_0}$$

219 Eq. 9 is simply the first derivative of Eq. 5.

220 When the circulatory system is in steady state the mean volume of a compliant tube segment is

221 constant. Therefore the rate of emptying of the tube segment equals the inflow into the segment.

Since the segment is not changing volume, the emptying rate continues at the initial rate. We knowthe elastance and resistance of the tube segment, therefore;

224 (10)
$$Q_t(v) = Q_e(v) = \frac{\frac{v}{v_0} K \left(m \left(\frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{m-1} - n \left(\frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{n-1} \right) \left(\frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{2+a} v_0^2}{8\pi \mu l^3}$$

Q_t is both the inflow and outflow and therefore the total flow through the segment. This is where we
 need to recall the forward and reverse wave phenomena covered in the previous section. The tube

- 227 segment both empties and fills in both the forward and reverse directions. Therefore;
- 228 (11) $Q_t = Q_f + Q_r$

If we plot Eq. 10 we observe the following (Fig 1.).

230 We see that as the tube fills with volume this does not generate any flow at first until it reaches a

critical volume, after which flow starts to rise exponentially. By choosing an appropriately small

value for K in the equation, this critical volume becomes v_0 . The difference between the red curve

and the green curve is that v_0 has been decreased in the red curve.

234 That Q_t is the summation of both the forward and reverse mean flow may be difficult for some

235 readers to accept. We can see however how it is consistent with our clinical observations. If the

236 generated flow was only the net forward flow then we would expect an increase in volume of

compliant vessels to always result in an increased cardiac output. However in a failing heart a large

volume may exist in the venous circulation despite a low cardiac output; in this case the reverse flow

239 is large, so Q_t remains high, however the net forward flow is low.

Now let us consider the vascular bed of a single organ. Considering the microvascular, compliant

portion, we have a bed made up of n vessel segments in parallel, each represented by Eq. 10. Since

they exist in the same bed, let us assume they are identical in all the constants in Eq. 10. That is, the

only thing that changes between them is their volume. The Q_t of that organ bed as a whole will be

244 the summation of Q_t in the η vessel segments.

245 (12)
$$Q_{t_{organ}} = Q_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} Q_{t_i}$$

Any organ bed will contain a given total volume, which we will call B, which can be distributed amongst the ŋ vessel segments in any combination. The pattern of volume distribution will therefore affect the total Q_t. For example, to take an extreme hypothetical, if there are two total vessel segments, the total flow will be different if the total volume is distributed evenly amongst them compared to if all the volume is contained in only one of the vessel beds. In fact the total flow can be described with the following equation;

252 (13)
$$Q_t(B) = f(B - k_1) + f(k_1 - k_2) + f(k_2 - k_3) + \dots + f(k_{\eta-2} - k_{\eta-1}) + f(k_{\eta-1})$$

We use the notation f() for the simplification of the math that is to follow to represent each $Q_{t_i}(v)$, or the flow in each vessel segment. The k terms are simply a way of splitting up the total volume B amongst the η segments. You need η -1 k terms to create η vessel segments, where $B \ge k_1 \ge k_2 \ge$ $\dots \ge k_{n-1}$.

Now we want to find the values of k that minimise the function $Q_t(B)$. Taking the partial derivative of the function with respect to any one of the k variables, termed generically as k_0 ;

259 (14) $\frac{\partial Q_t}{\partial k_{\emptyset}} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}}(k_{\emptyset-1}-k_{\emptyset}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}}(k_{\emptyset}-k_{\emptyset+1})$

260 When $k_{\Phi} = k_1$, then $k_{\Phi-1} = B$.

261 To find the minimum or maximum the partial derivative is set equal to 0. Therefore;

262 (15)
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}}(k_{\emptyset-1}-k_{\emptyset}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}}(k_{\emptyset}-k_{\emptyset+1})$$

263 The entire function is either maximised or minimised when all values of k satisfy the above

formulation. This occurs when all the terms on the right side of Eq. 13 are identical i.e. when the
total volume B is divided evenly among the n vessel segments. Progressing on to the second
derivative;

267 (16)
$$\frac{\partial^2 Q_t}{\partial k_{\emptyset}^2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}^2} (k_{\emptyset-1} - k_{\emptyset}) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k_{\emptyset}^2} (k_{\emptyset} - k_{\emptyset+1})$$

268 Since $k_{\phi-1} - k_{\phi} = k_{\phi} - k_{\phi+1}$ at this maximum/minimum, then;

269 (17)
$$\frac{\partial^2 Q_t}{\partial k_{\phi}^2} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k_{\phi}^2} (k_{\phi} - k_{\phi+1})$$

270 The function $f(k_{\phi} - k_{\phi+1})$ is just the curve from Fig 1. It can be seen that all order derivatives of this

271 curve will be a similarly shaped curve that also has only positive values. Therefore $2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k_{\phi}^2}(k_{\phi}-k_{\phi+1})$

will always be a positive value and $k_{\emptyset-1} - k_{\emptyset} = k_{\emptyset} - k_{\emptyset+1}$ must be a local minimum. Total organ Q_t is therefore minimised when the total volume, B, of the organ is distributed evenly amongst all ŋ vessel segments.

- Plotting the curve graphically for Eq. 13 shows it to be u-shaped. We have identified the value for
- the minimum. The maximum with respect to any value of k_{Φ} exists at either end of the curve i.e. when either $k_{\phi-1} - k_{\phi} = 0$ or when $k_{\phi} - k_{\phi+1} = 0$.
- 278 If $k_{\phi-1} k_{\phi} = 0$, then the neighbouring terms to the right become;

279 (18)
$$f(k_{\emptyset} - k_{\emptyset+1}) + f(k_{\emptyset+1} - k_{\emptyset+2})$$

Now to maximise these with respect to $k_{\phi+1}$ either $k_{\phi-1} - k_{\phi+1} = 0$ or $k_{\phi+1} - k_{\phi+2} = 0$. Let us say now that $k_{\phi+1} - k_{\phi+2} = 0$. The new adjacent pair of terms becomes

282 (19)
$$f(k_{\emptyset} - k_{\emptyset+1}) + f(k_{\emptyset+1} - k_{\emptyset+3})$$

283 This can again be maximised with respect to $k_{\phi+1}$

We can continue this exercise in both directions maximising pairs of adjacent f() terms with respect to different k terms until we are left with just one term that contains the entire volume B. Therefore total organ Q_t is maximised when the total volume, B, of the organ is distributed into just one vessel segment and the other vessel segments contain zero volume.

288

289 <u>Discussion</u>

290 I have demonstrated a model wherein the total flow in a compliant segment of the microcirculation

is dependent on its volume. The total flow represents the mean of both forward and reverse

travelling waves. Total flow in an organ bed is related to the distribution of volume, and therefore

arterial inflow, amongst parallel microvascular segments, as well as total volume. High total flow

294 results from heterogeneous volume distribution, while low total flow results from homogenous

volume distribution. For volume to be redistributed, arterial inflow into the microvasculature must

be redistributed. Therefore a better way to think of the overall findings is that microvascular flow
 heterogeneity increases total flow, and vice-versa. Despite a high overall cardiac output, segments of
 the microcirculation may receive inadequate flow while others receive excessive flow.

This redistribution phenomenon provides a much needed theoretical model that explains the contradictory and paradoxical associations of the microcirculation and macrocirculation. Clinicians such as myself have long struggled to understand why patients with elevated cardiac output, warm peripheries and increased blood flow to all organ beds nevertheless exhibit clear signs of organ hypoperfusion. Maldistributed flow to the microcirculation has long been recognised, however this is the first work to suggest that there is a causative association; maldistribution directly induces a hyperdynamic circulation.

306 The traditional explanation for the hyperdynamic circulation has centred on generalised vasodilation 307 causing low systemic vascular resistance and therefore reduced load on the heart, increasing its 308 cardiac output. The generalised vasodilation hypothesis does not have strong grounding (19-20). It 309 has mostly been inferred from calculating global resistance by taking arterial pressure and dividing 310 by cardiac output and is at direct odds with microcirculatory observations. It has been demonstrated 311 in other mathematical work that heterogeneous vessel distribution of a fixed total volume lowers 312 the overall resistance of a vessel bed (21). Therefore microcirculatory heterogeneity may better 313 explain the reduced systemic vascular resistance. Adequate circulatory volume is also a factor; in 314 cases of concurrent hypovolemia patients with septic shock do not become hyperdynamic and 315 actually develop low cardiac output with increased systemic vascular resistance (3). It can be seen

316 from Eq. 6 that resistance of a compliant bed falls as its volume increases.

- 317 Of course, as emphasised, this is the total flow we are discussing. Assuming the ratio of forward to 318 reverse waves is unchanged, an increase in total flow will increase the net flow (cardiac output), 319 allowing the creation of a hyperdynamic circulation at sufficient total flow. If however wave 320 reflections increase, this may not be the case. The Starling curve (22) demonstrates the relationship 321 between the pressure in the right atrium (x axis) and the flow through it (y-axis) as a function of 322 increasing cardiac fluid volume. It is curvilinear in nature. At low right atrial pressures relatively large 323 increases in flow are associated with small increases in right atrial pressure, and at high right atrial 324 pressures relatively small (or no) increases in flow are associated with high increases in right atrial 325 pressure.
- 326 Therefore anything that causes either a rightward shift along the Starling curve or a rightward shift 327 of the entire curve will increase the right atrial impedance. This will increase wave reflections. In 328 order for cardiac output to not fall, total flow has to increase, so either the volume of the 329 microcirculation or its flow heterogeneity has to increase. Excessive exogenous fluid administration 330 causes a rightward shift along the curve. If the heart cannot respond to the fluid load by increasing 331 its pumping the extra volume will not be delivered to the microcirculation. Therefore to maintain 332 cardiac output the microvascular flow heterogeneity must increase. A failing heart, either 333 endogenous or in response to excessive vasoconstrictor medication will result in a rightward shift of 334 the entire curve. Again, the volume of the microvasculature cannot be increased in such a situation, 335 and the microcirculation will become impaired if cardiac output is to be maintained. In either 336 situation a rise in the central venous pressure without a concomitant rise in cardiac output will 337 impair microcirculatory function. This provides a hypothetical explanation as to why high central 338 venous pressures are an independent predictor of organ dysfunction in both sepsis and heart failure.

This is one clinical application of the hypothesis of this paper. Traditional therapies for hyperdynamic
 septic shock are fluid resuscitation and vasopressor medication. Fluid resuscitation, if the heart is

able to deliver the administered fluid into the microcirculation, may increase the volume of the "low-volume-low-flow" microcirculatory segments, thereby increasing their flow. Vasopressors are another therapy in use, and it has never been quite clear how they should improve organ function when they constrict flow to vessel beds. In fact, vasopressors may decrease v₀ of the "oversupplied" segments, thereby decreasing the volume required for a given flow, and this now excess volume may be shunted back to the "undersupplied" segments. However if either fluid resuscitation or vasopressor therapy is excessive and increases central venous pressure without the heart being able to pump more, then microcirculatory function is impaired, or cardiac output falls. During the development of sepsis concomitant hypovolemia and reduced microcirculatory volume may outweigh the effect of microvascular heterogeneity and total flow and cardiac output may be reduced. Administration of vasopressors may shrink the microvascular volume more than it decreases v₀ and be insufficient to restore cardiac output. Therefore it is important to monitor both central venous pressure and indicators of cardiac output during resuscitation. The limitations of this study is that it is theoretical and it would be difficult to develop experimental protocols to test the hypothesis. The applicability of the mathematics is related to the underlying assumptions. The non-Newtonian nature of blood may have a large effect than anticipated. There may be other physiological phenomena present that are not accounted for in the model.

377 <u>Disclosures:</u>

- 378 I have no conflicts of interest or other disclosure to make.

408 <u>References</u>

409

410	1.	Kislitsina ON, Rich JD, Wilcox JE, Pham DT, Churyla A, Vorovich EB, et al. Shock - Classification
411		and Pathophysiological Principles of Therapeutics. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2019;15(2):102-113. doi:
412		10.2174/1573403X15666181212125024. PMID: 30543176; PMCID: PMC6520577.
413	2.	Hernandez G, Bruhn A, Ince C. Microcirculation in sepsis: new perspectives. Curr Vasc
414		Pharmacol. 2013 Mar 1;11(2):161-9. PMID: 23506495.
415	3.	MacLean LD, Mulligan WG, McLean AP, Duff JH. Patterns of septic shock in mana detailed
416		study of 56 patients. Ann Surg. 1967 Oct;166(4):543-62. doi: 10.1097/00000658-196710000-
417		00004. PMID: 6061542; PMCID: PMC1477449.
418	4.	Russell JA, Rush B, Boyd J. Pathophysiology of Septic Shock. Crit Care Clin. 2018 Jan;34(1):43-
419		61. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2017.08.005. PMID: 29149941.
420	5.	Vincent JL. The International Sepsis Forum's frontiers in sepsis: High cardiac output should
421		be maintained in severe sepsis. Crit Care. 2003 Aug;7(4):276-8. doi: 10.1186/cc2349. Epub
422		2003 Jul 4. PMID: 12930548
423	6.	Top AP, Ince C, de Meij N, van Dijk M, Tibboel D. Persistent low microcirculatory vessel
424		density in nonsurvivors of sepsis in pediatric intensive care. Crit Care Med. 2011 Jan;39(1):8-
425		13.
426	7.	Rajendram R, Prowle JR. Venous congestion: are we adding insult to kidney injury in sepsis?
427		Crit Care. 2014 Jan 27;18(1):104. doi: 10.1186/cc13709. PMID: 24467922; PMCID:
428		PMC4055982.
429	8.	Mullens W, Abrahams Z, Francis GS, Sokos G, Taylor DO, Starling RC, et al. Importance of
430		venous congestion for worsening of renal function in advanced decompensated heart
431		failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009, 53: 589-596. 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.05.068
432	9.	O'Rourke MF. Wave travel and reflection in the arterial system. J Hypertens Suppl. 1999
433		Dec;17(5):S45-7. PMID: 10706326.
434	10.	Naishadham K. Transmission Lines. In: Chen W, editors. The Electrical Engineering
435		Handbook. Academic Press; 2005. p 525-537. ISBN 9780121709600.
436	11.	Secomb TW. Mechanics of blood flow in the microcirculation. Symp Soc Exp Biol.
437		1995;49:305-21. PMID: 8571232.
438	12.	Guyton AC, Lindsey AW, Abernathy B, Richardson T. Venous return at various right atrial
439		pressures and the normal venous return curve. Am J Physiol. 1957 Jun;189(3):609-15. doi:
440		10.1152/ajplegacy.1957.189.3.609. PMID: 13458395.
441	13.	Magder S, Famulari G, Gariepy B. Periodicity, time constants of drainage, and the mechanical
442		determinants of peak cardiac output during exercise. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2019 Dec
443		1;127(6):1611-1619. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00688.2018. Epub 2019 Aug 15. PMID:
444		31414960.
445	14.	Pedley, T., Luo, X. Modelling Flow and Oscillations in Collapsible Tubes. Theoret. Comput.
446		Fluid Dynamics 10, 277–294 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1007/s001620050064
447	15.	Kozlovsky P, Zaretsky U, Jaffa AJ, Elad D. General tube law for collapsible thin and thick-wall
448		tubes. J Biomech. 2014 Jul 18;47(10):2378-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.04.033. Epub
449		2014 Apr 26. PMID: 24837222.
450	16.	Secomb TW. Mechanics of blood flow in the microcirculation. Symp Soc Exp Biol.
451		1995;49:305-21. PMID: 8571232.
452	17.	Alotta G, Bologna E, Failla G, Zingales M. A Fractional Approach to Non-Newtonian Blood
453		Rheology in Capillary Vessels. J Peridyn Nonlocal Model. 2019:1:88–96. doi:10.1007/s42102-
454		019-00007-9

455	18.	Kennelly AE. Time Constants for Engineering Purposes in Simple Exponential Transient
456		Phenomena. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1924 Nov;10(11):467-73. doi:
457		10.10/3/pnas.10.11.46/. PMID: 16586952; PMCID: PMC1085755.
458	19.	Tucker JJ, Wilson MA, Wead WB, Garrison RN. Microvascular endothelial cell control of
459		peripheral vascular resistance during sepsis. Arch Surg. 1998 Dec;133(12):1335-42. doi:
460		10.1001/archsurg.133.12.1335. PMID: 9865652.
461	20.	Tyml K, Yu J, McCormack DG. Capillary and arteriolar responses to local vasodilators are
462		impaired in a rat model of sepsis. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1998 Mar;84(3):837-44. doi:
463		10.1152/jappl.1998.84.3.837. PMID: 9480941.
464	21.	Rosenberg E. On deriving Murray's law from constrained minimization of flow resistance. J
465		Theor Biol. 2021 Mar 7;512:110563. doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2020.110563. Epub 2020 Dec 24.
466		PMID: 33359240.
467	22.	Berlin DA, Bakker J. Starling curves and central venous pressure. Crit Care. 2015 Feb
468		16;19(1):55. doi: 10.1186/s13054-015-0776-1. PMID: 25880040; PMCID: PMC4329649.
469		
470		
471		
472		
473		
474		
475		
476		
477		
478		
479		
480		
481		
482		
483		
484		
485		
486		
487		
488		
489		
490		
491		
492		
493		
494		
495		
496		
497		
498		
499		
500		

