

Gender Differences in the Diagnosis of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease after Spirometry

Alexander T. Moffett,¹²³ Scott D. Halpern,¹²³⁴⁵ and Gary E. Weissman¹²³⁴

¹Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Palliative and Advanced Illness Research (PAIR) Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

³Leonard Davis Institute of Health Economics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁴Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁵Department of Medical Ethics and Health Policy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Alexander T. Moffett

Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania

Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Critical Care Medicine

3400 Spruce Street

Philadelphia, PA 19104

amoffett@upenn.edu

Contributions: All authors fulfill ICMJE criteria for authorship.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number F32HL167456. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Word Count: 981 / 1000

Tables and Figures: 2 / 2

References: 10 / 10

Abstract

Background

Women are more likely than men to report delays in the diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), though the etiology of these delays is unknown. We sought to test whether delays in COPD diagnosis persist after the performance of spirometry.

Methods

We used the Optum Labs Data Warehouse to identify patients 18 years of age and older without a prior diagnosis of COPD, with a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) to forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.7 on spirometry. We used a Cox proportional hazards model to compare the time to diagnosis after spirometry in men and women, adjusting for age, race, ethnicity, tobacco use, and post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC.

Results

The probability of receiving a COPD diagnosis after the performance of spirometry was lower among women than men (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.66, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.50 to 0.88)

Conclusion

In this retrospective cohort study of patients with spirometric evidence of obstruction, the time to diagnosis of COPD was greater among women than men. While previous vignette-based studies have found that gender differences in the diagnosis of COPD resolve with the performance of spirometry, we found that gender differences persist after spirometry has been performed. Clinicians were less likely to diagnose COPD in women even when spirometry

supported this diagnosis.

Introduction

Women are more likely than men to report delays in the diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).¹ However, the etiology of these delays is unknown. Vignette-based studies, involving hypothetical patients who differ only by gender, have found that while physicians are less likely to consider COPD as an initial diagnosis in women, this difference resolves with the performance of spirometry.^{2,3} The implication is that delays in the diagnosis of COPD in women are due to delays in the performance of spirometry. If this hypothesis were true, increasing access to spirometry would yield diagnostic equity in men and women. However, this hypothesis has not been tested with clinical data. We sought to test the alternate hypothesis—that gender differences in the diagnosis of COPD persist after the performance of spirometry—by comparing the time to diagnosis of COPD in men and women with spirometric evidence of obstruction.

Methods

We used the Optum Labs Data Warehouse (OLDW)—a database consisting of de-identified administrative claims and electronic health record data from across the United States—to identify patients 18 years of age and older with a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) to forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 0.7 on spirometry performed between 2007 and 2020.⁴ A clinical encounter with a diagnosis code for COPD—including chronic bronchitis and emphysema—was interpreted as a diagnosis of COPD. We excluded patients who had been diagnosed with COPD prior to the performance of spirometry. To better measure the relationship between spirometry and the diagnosis of COPD, we also excluded patients with a positive bronchodilator response, who are more likely to be diagnosed with asthma.

We used a cause-specific Cox proportional hazards model to estimate the time to diagnosis of COPD, accounting for death as a competing risk. The primary exposure was patient

gender, with age, race, ethnicity, tobacco use, and post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC included as covariates.

As the most recent Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guidelines recommend repeating spirometry, prior to the diagnosis of COPD, in patients with a post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC \geq 0.6, in a sensitivity analysis we further compared the time to diagnosis in patients with an FEV₁/FVC $<$ 0.6.⁵

Results

OLDW included post-bronchodilator spirometry measurements for 4 193 patients, of whom 906 had a post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC $<$ 0.7. Of these patients 863 were without a prior diagnosis of COPD, and of the remaining patients, 565 were without a post-bronchodilator response. This cohort consisted of 292 men (52.0%) and 273 women (48.0%) (**Table 1**). The median age was 56 years (interquartile range [IQR] 22). A history of tobacco use was documented for 380 patients (67.6%). The median post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC was 0.64 (IQR 0.10). The median follow up time after spirometry was 24 months (IQR 33). COPD was diagnosed in 200 (35.4%) patients and 20 patients (3.5%) died without a diagnosis of COPD, while 345 patients (61.1%) were right censored.

The probability of receiving a COPD diagnosis after the performance of spirometry was lower among women than men (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.66, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.50 to 0.88) (**Figure 1**). In our model, the mean time to diagnosis after spirometry was greater in women (106.5 months [95% CI 86.2 to 127.7]) than men (89.7 months [95% CI 72.9 to 106.6]). The probability of receiving a COPD diagnosis was higher in older patients (aHR 1.05 per year of age [95% CI 1.03 to 1.06]) and in patients with a history of tobacco use (aHR 3.61 [95% CI 2.35 to 5.55]). The sensitivity analysis among patients with an FEV₁/FVC $<$ 0.6 also revealed a lower probability of COPD diagnosis in women than men (aHR 0.61, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.98).

Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study of patients with spirometric evidence of obstruction, the time to diagnosis of COPD was greater among women than men. While previous vignette-based studies have found that gender differences in the diagnosis of COPD resolve with the performance of spirometry, we found that gender differences persist after spirometry has been performed. In our cohort, clinicians were less likely to diagnose COPD in women even when spirometry supported this diagnosis.

Despite the presence of spirometric evidence supporting the diagnosis of COPD, less than half of the patients in our cohort received this diagnosis at any point during follow up, while one fourth of the patients did not receive any diagnosis with which to explain their documented obstruction. More patients were diagnosed with asthma than with COPD and the preference of physicians for this diagnosis—in a cohort defined by the presence of a post-bronchodilator $FEV_1/FVC < 0.7$ and the absence of a bronchodilator response—is unexpected, particularly as asthma and COPD are not mutually exclusive diagnoses.⁶ While previous studies involving the performance of spirometry have also found low rates of obstructive lung disease diagnosis as well as a preference for the diagnosis of asthma over COPD, our study is the first to suggest that this preference is present even in patients for whom spirometry has already been performed.^{7,8} Our study suggests that the underdiagnosis of COPD stems from more than just the underuse of spirometry.^{9,10}

Our study has several important limitations. First, though spirometric evidence of obstruction is necessary for the diagnosis of COPD, it is not sufficient, with the diagnosis dependent as well upon the presence of appropriate symptoms or risk factors.⁵ Data regarding the presence of symptoms and risk factors are not included in the OLDW and gender differences in symptoms and risk factors may account for some proportion of the diagnostic differences identified in this study. Second, though our cohort was drawn from a national database, the inclusion of PFT data in this database was subject to selection bias, potentially limiting the generalizability of our findings.

In summary, in a retrospective cohort of patients with spirometric evidence of obstruction, the time to diagnosis of COPD was greater among women than men. Further work is needed to understand the etiology of this difference.

References

1. Martinez CH, Raparla S, Plauschinat CA, et al. Gender differences in symptoms and care delivery for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Womens Health* 2012;21:1267–74.
2. Chapman KR, Tashkin DP, and Pye DJ. Gender bias in the diagnosis of COPD. *Chest* 2001;119:1691–5.
3. Miravittles M, de la Roza C, Naberan K, et al. [Attitudes toward the diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in primary care]. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2006;42:3–8.
4. Optum Labs. Optum Labs and Optum Labs Data Warehouse (OLDW) descriptions and citation. Eden Prairie: Optum Labs, 2023.
5. Agustí A, Celli BR, Criner GJ, et al. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease 2023 report: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2023;207.
6. Postma DS and Rabe KF. The asthma-COPD overlap syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1241–9.
7. Tinkelman DG, Price DB, Nordyke RJ, and Halbert RJ. Misdiagnosis of COPD and asthma in primary care patients 40 years of age and over. *J Asthma* 2006;43:75–80.
8. Tran TV, Kinney GL, Comellas A, et al. Prevalence of abnormal spirometry in individuals with a smoking history and no known obstructive lung disease. *Respir Med* 2023;208:107126.
9. Hangaard S, Helle T, Nielsen C, and Hejlesen OK. Causes of misdiagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A systematic scoping review. *Respir Med* 2017;129:63–84.

10. Diab N, Gershon AS, Sin DD, et al. Underdiagnosis and overdiagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018;198:1130–9.

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Cumulative incidence function for the diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in men and women, adjusting for age, race, ethnicity, tobacco use, and FEV₁/FVC. Shaded areas represent 95% confidence intervals. FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity.

Table 1: Patient Characteristics*

	Men (<i>n</i> = 292)	Women (<i>n</i> = 273)
Age, years	57 (19)	55 (23)
Race		
Asian	< 11	< 11
Black	27 (9.2)	25 (9.2)
White	245 (83.9)	220 (80.6)
Other	> 11	> 11
Ethnicity		
Hispanic	27 (9.2)	23 (8.4)
Non-Hispanic	265 (90.8)	250 (91.6)
History of Tobacco Use		
Yes	206 (70.5)	174 (63.7)
No	86 (29.5)	99 (36.3)
FEV₁/FVC	0.64 (0.11)	0.63 (0.10)
Follow Up, months	22 (31)	26 (33)
Diagnosis After Spirometry		
Asthma	130 (44.5)	139 (50.9)
Bronchiectasis	< 11	< 11
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	121 (41.4)	79 (28.9)
Cystic Fibrosis	0 (0)	0 (0)
Any of the Above	222 (76.0)	190 (69.6)
None of the Above	70 (24.0)	83 (30.4)
Treatment After Spirometry		
Short-Acting β_2 Agonist	160 (54.8)	149 (54.6)
Long-Acting β_2 Agonist	121 (41.4)	123 (45.1)
Long-Acting Muscarinic Antagonist	43 (14.7)	34 (12.5)
Inhaled Corticosteroid	146 (50.0)	146 (53.5)

* Values are medians (IQR) for continuous variables and counts (percentage) for categorical variables.

Definition of abbreviations: FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; IQR = interquartile range.

Figure 1

