

1 **Aberrant flexibility of dynamic brain network in patients**
2 **with autism spectrum disorder**

3 Hui Zhang¹, Dehong Peng^{2,*}, Shixiong Tang², Anyao Bi², and Yicheng Long³

4

5 ¹The Department of Clinical Nursing Teaching and Research Section, The Second
6 Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China;

7 ²Department of Radiology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University,
8 Changsha, Hunan, China;

9 ³Department of Psychiatry, and National Clinical Research Center for Mental
10 Disorders, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha,
11 Hunan, China

12

13 ***Correspondence:**

14 Dehong Peng

15 pdh123456@csu.edu.cn

16

17

18

19 **Abstract**

20 **Introduction:** Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a collection of neurodevelopmental
21 disorders whose pathobiology remains elusive. This study aimed to investigate the
22 possible neural mechanisms underlying ASD using a dynamic brain network model and
23 a relatively large-sample, multi-site dataset.

24 **Methods:** Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data were acquired
25 from 208 ASD patients and 227 typical development (TD) controls, who were drawn
26 from the multi-site Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange (ABIDE)-Preprocessed
27 database. Brain network flexibilities were estimated and compared between the ASD
28 and TD groups at both global and local levels, after adjusting for sex, age, head motion,
29 and site effects. Correlations between the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule
30 (ADOS) total score and brain network measures were also investigated after adjusting
31 for the same above covariates.

32 **Results:** Significantly increased brain network flexibilities (indicating a decreased
33 stability) at the global level, as well as at the local level within the default-mode and
34 sensorimotor areas were found in ASD patients than TD participants. Additionally,
35 significant ASD-related decreases in flexibilities (indicating excessively increased
36 stability) were also observed in several occipital regions at the nodal level. Most of
37 these changes were significantly correlated with the ADOS total score in the entire
38 sample.

39 **Conclusion:** The results in this study suggested that ASD is characterized by significant
40 changes in temporal stabilities of functional brain network. Our results also pointed to
41 ASD-related dysfunctions in the default-mode, sensorimotor, and occipital systems
42 from a perspective of brain network stability, which can further strengthen our
43 understanding of the pathobiology of ASD.

44

45 **Keywords:** connectome; neuroimaging; fMRI; dynamic functional connectivity;
46 dynamic brain network; autism spectrum disorder

47

48

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a collection of neurodevelopmental disorders
51 which are characterized by early social communication deficits and impaired repetitive
52 behaviors/interests (1,2). The prevalence of ASD is growing in the past decades, leading
53 to high economic burdens globally (1,3). However, the pathobiology of ASD remains
54 elusive; multiple genetic mutations, maternal immune activations, and environmental
55 factors were thought to be involved in the development of ASD (2,4).

56 Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has been widely used in clinical
57 studies, as a non-invasive and convenient method, to investigate the neural mechanisms
58 underlying many common mental disorders (e.g., major depressive disorder and
59 schizophrenia) (5–7). Past fMRI studies have demonstrated that ASD is associated with
60 aberrant brain functions such as significantly decreased/increased functional
61 connectivity (FC) within the visual, frontoparietal (cognition), and language-related
62 subnetworks in the brain (8–11). These studies have significantly improved our
63 understanding of the complex pathobiology of ASD.

64 The traditional fMRI studies were usually performed under the assumption that
65 FCs within or between different brain networks would be “static (never change)” over
66 time during the fMRI scans. In recent years, however, it was promoted that FCs
67 within/between different brain networks would actually fluctuate over time, and that
68 constructing a “dynamic” rather than “static” brain network model may be valuable to
69 capture important information ignored by traditional brain network models (12–14).
70 Research on properties of “dynamic brain network” under such a framework are
71 emerging, with various measures of temporal variability/stability of the brain networks
72 proposed by different researchers (15–18). Specifically, the “flexibility” (also named
73 “switching rate” by some researchers) of a dynamic functional brain network, which
74 estimates the temporal stability of brain network according to its changing frequencies
75 of modularity structures, has been proved to valid (19) and widely used in recent studies
76 (20–28). In these studies, the flexibility of brain network has been associated with
77 cognition (20,21), emotion (26), aging (24), as well as many common neuropsychiatric
78 disorders such as Parkinson's disease (27), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (25),

79 schizophrenia (22), anxiety disorder (28), and major depressive disorder (23). Notably,
80 a published study by Harlalka et al. (29) has reported that atypical flexibility of the
81 dynamic functional brain network can quantify (positively associated with) the illness
82 severity in ASD patients. A significantly increased brain network flexibility when
83 compared to matched normal controls has been thought to be reflective of brain
84 dysfunction, which indicates a decreased stability of the brain network organization
85 (30,31). Therefore, the observed positive correlation of symptom severity with
86 flexibility in ASD patients (29) has suggested that a disrupted stability of the brain
87 network may be related to the pathobiology of ASD.

88 Nevertheless, there are still some notable limitations in the above-mentioned
89 earlier work by Harlalka et al. (29). First, their sample size is relatively small: only 72
90 patients with ASD and 72 typical development (TD) controls from a single study site
91 was included (29). The previous researchers have suggested that for human connectome
92 studies, satisfactory statistical power and reliability can be achieved when the sample
93 size is larger than a cutoff of approximately 250 subjects (32). Therefore, repeating the
94 study with a larger sample size might be necessary to obtain more reliable conclusion.
95 Second, besides brain network flexibility at the global level, the flexibility can be also
96 estimated at the subnetwork level for particular subsystems of the brain (e.g., for the
97 default-mode, visual, and frontoparietal subnetworks). For instance, using the measure
98 of brain network flexibility, a study by Huang et al. (30) suggested that childhood
99 trauma experiences are linked to decreased temporal stabilities within the default-mode,
100 fronto-parietal, cingulo-opercular, and occipital subnetworks in the brain network.
101 Beyond the global-level brain network flexibility, such results could further strengthen
102 our understanding of the relationship between brain network stability and psychiatric
103 symptoms/disorders at the local level. However, in the earlier study by Harlalka et al.
104 (29), there were no results reported on the possible relationship between brain network
105 flexibility and the ASD at the subnetwork level, which deserves further investigation.
106 Third, when constructing the dynamic functional brain network, an anatomical-based
107 Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas with 90 regions of interest (ROIs) was
108 used in the study by Harlalka et al. (29). However, it has been well documented that in

109 studies on human functional brain networks, the anatomical-based AAL atlas would
110 have a poorer performance compared to those higher-resolution functional atlases, such
111 as the Dosenbach atlas (with 160 ROIs) and the Power atlas (with 264 ROIs) (32–34).
112 Thus, performing the analyses based on a functional atlas might be also meaningful for
113 obtaining more accurate results.

114 To fill the gaps as mentioned above, this study aimed to investigate the possible
115 associations between changes in the brain network flexibility and ASD using a multi-
116 site resting-state fMRI dataset with a relatively large sample size (~500 participants in
117 total). Specifically, a widely used, validated functional atlas (Dosenbach atlas) was used,
118 and the brain network flexibility was compared between patients with ASD and TD
119 controls at both the global and local (subnetwork) levels. By using a much larger sample
120 and potentially better methodology, we anticipate that the results will provide more
121 reliable and accurate conclusions on the basis of prior work, as well as contribute to a
122 deeper understanding of the pathobiology of ASD.

123

124 **2. Methods and Materials**

125 **2.1. Participants**

126 The analyzed sample in this study consisted of 435 subjects (208 ASD patients and
127 227 healthy TD participants) from 9 study sites. Such a sample was drawn from the
128 open-access, multi-site Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange (ABIDE)-Preprocessed
129 database (<http://preprocessed-connectomes-project.org/abide/>) (35,36). Details about
130 the participant recruitment, assessment, resting-state fMRI neuroimaging data
131 acquisition, and data preprocessing protocols can be found on the ABIDE website and
132 prior publications (35,36). Most participants completed the Autism Diagnostic
133 Observation Schedule (ADOS) (37) to assess the severity of ASD symptoms.

134 The original ABIDE-Preprocessed database includes more than one thousand
135 participants recruited from 16 different study sites. In this study, we selected the
136 participants in the analyzed sample based on the following steps: 1) the participants
137 whose demographic information was incomplete (e.g., sex and age) were firstly
138 excluded; 2) participants were excluded when the repetition time (TR) \neq 2 seconds

139 during the fMRI scans, to prevent biases caused by different temporal resolutions of the
140 dynamic brain networks (38,39); 3) participant with poor fMRI data quality were
141 excluded, as defined by a mean framewise displacement (FD) > 0.2 mm (39,40), bad
142 image coverage (signal loss in any ROI in the Dosenbach atlas), or any of the 3
143 independent raters gave a “Fail” or “Maybe” rating when performing the manual data
144 checking during the ABIDE preprocessing pipeline (29); 4) finally, the sites with only
145 less than 10 individuals left after the above steps were excluded from the analysis, as
146 did in some other multi-site fMRI studies (40,41). The final analyzed sample of 435
147 subjects were from the following nine study sites: one from the New York University
148 (NYU), one from the Olin Center (OLIN), one from the San Diego State University
149 (SDSU), one from the Trinity Centre for Health Sciences (TRINITY), one from the
150 University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), two from the University of Miami
151 (UM_1 and UM_2), one from the University of Utah School of Medicine (USM), as
152 well as one from the Yale Child Study Center (YALE) (see **Table 1** for detailed number
153 of participants in each site).

154 The ABIDE-Preprocessed data is open shared, and Institutional Review Board
155 (IRB) approval was provided by each site (data contributor) in the ABIDE database.

156

157 **2.2. Neuroimaging data acquisition and preprocessing**

158 The resting-state fMRI data downloaded from the ABIDE database has been
159 preprocessed using the pipelines whose details can be found at: [http://preprocessed-](http://preprocessed-connectomes-project.org/abide/Pipelines.html)
160 [connectomes-project.org/abide/Pipelines.html](http://preprocessed-connectomes-project.org/abide/Pipelines.html). For all participants, the fMRI scans
161 were acquired and preprocessed in each study site independently. The scans were
162 acquired using SIEMENS, PHILIPS, or GE magnetic resonance imaging machines; the
163 TR was 2 seconds for all scans but there might be differences in other scanning
164 parameters (e.g., echo time and total scan duration). The preprocessing pipeline
165 includes slice timing correction, motion realignment, nuisance signals (motion and
166 tissue signals) removal, and registration. Notably, the ABIDE database provided both
167 the preprocessed data with and without the step of global signal regression (GSR). In
168 the current study, we used the data without GSR since the usage of GSR is still
169 controversial (42,43). Furthermore, to control for confounding effects caused by

170 differences in data acquisition and preprocessing procedures between different site, we
171 included “site” as covariates (using dummy coding) in all analyses (see details in the
172 followed sections).

173

174 **2.3. Construction of dynamic brain networks**

175 The steps of constructing dynamic brain networks and estimating brain network
176 flexibility are summarized in **Figure 1**. A dynamic functional brain network is
177 comprised of a set of nodes (ROIs) and connections (FCs) between nodes, where the
178 strengths of connections change over time (44). In this study, nodes in the dynamic
179 brain network were defined using the 160 ROIs from the Dosenbach atlas (45), which
180 has been validated and widely used in clinical studies (30,46–48). For each participant,
181 the mean time series were extracted from each ROI, and then divided into a number of
182 partly overlapping time windows using the “sliding-window” method which is
183 commonly used in dynamic brain network studies (**Figure 1A**) (49–51). Here, a fixed
184 window width of 100 seconds (50 TRs) and a sliding step length of 2 seconds (1 TR)
185 were used, according to the recommendations in previous works to balance the
186 reliability of results and computational complexity (30,33,39,52). Within each time
187 window, the FC strengths between all possible pairs of ROIs were computed using
188 Pearson correlations, resulting in a “snapshot” of the brain network organization as
189 shown by a 160×160 FC matrix. These time-ordered “snapshots” (matrices) then
190 formed a multilayer dynamic brain network $G = (G_t)_{t = 1, 2, 3, \dots, T}$, where the t th
191 “snapshot”/matrix (G_t) represents the brain network organization at the t th time window
192 (**Figure 1B**). Note that the total number of time windows (T) was determined by the
193 length of fMRI scanning and was thus different between different study sites.

194

195 **2.4. Estimating brain network flexibility**

196 After constructing the dynamic brain network for each participant, the brain
197 network flexibility was then computed strictly following some previous publications
198 (20,30,47,53–55). Briefly, a dynamic community detection algorithm as described by
199 Mucha et al. (54) was implemented in Matlab using an open-source code package at:
200 <https://github.com/GenLouvain/GenLouvain> (56). Based on such an algorithm, all
201 nodes (ROIs) in the dynamic brain network were assigned into several communities at

202 each time window, and result in different community assignments for different time
203 windows. The “flexibility/switching rate” of a node i (f_i) can be then computed based
204 on its switching frequency between different communities over time as:

$$205 \quad f_i = N_i / (T - 1),$$

206 where N_i is the number of times for which the node i “switched” from one community
207 to another (**Figure 1C**) (57). The calculation was performed with the assistance of the
208 Network Community Toolbox (<http://commdetect.weebly.com/>) (57). Notably, since
209 individual runs of the algorithm could lead to slightly different community assignments,
210 the algorithm and flexibility calculation were repeated for a total of 100 times, and the
211 final flexibility values were averaged across the 100 runs (30,47,55,58). After that,
212 flexibility of the whole brain network (global-level flexibility) was obtained by
213 averaging flexibilities of all the 160 ROIs.

214 According to previous research (45), all ROIs from the Dosenbach atlas can be
215 assigned into six subnetworks including the default-mode, occipital, cingulo-opercular,
216 fronto-parietal, sensorimotor, and cerebellar subnetworks. On the basis of such an
217 assignment, flexibilities for each of the six subnetworks were further obtained by
218 averaging all nodes belonging to each particular subnetwork (22,30,47).

219

220 **2.5. Statistics**

221 Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared between the ASD and
222 TD groups using two-sample t tests or Chi-square tests as appropriate. All brain
223 network measures (flexibilities at the global, subnetwork, and nodal levels) were
224 compared between the ASD and TD groups by the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA)
225 covarying for age, sex, head motion (mean FD), and site (dummy coded). False
226 discovery rate (FDR) corrections were performed to correct for multiple comparisons
227 across the six subnetworks or across the 160 nodes. Significance was set at a FDR-
228 corrected $p < 0.05$.

229 When significant between-group differences were found on any brain network
230 measures, post-hoc correlation tests were further performed to investigate their possible
231 relationships with the severity of clinical symptoms (measure by the total ADOS score)
232 in the ASD patients. Here, partial Pearson correlations adjusted for age, sex, head
233 motion and site effects were performed between the brain network measures and ADOS

234 total score. The correlation analyses were performed in the entire sample and in the
235 ASD group independently, respectively. Similar to the between-group comparisons,
236 FDR corrections were performed to correct for multiple correlation tests across multiple
237 subnetworks or nodes. Significance was set at a FDR-corrected $p < 0.05$, too.

238

239 **3. Results**

240 **3.1. Sample characteristics**

241 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the ASD and TD groups are presented
242 in **Table 2**. There was no significant group difference in age ($t = 0.847$, $p = 0.398$);
243 nevertheless, the ASD group had a significantly higher proportion of males and a higher
244 mean FD value than the TD group (both $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, the ASD group had a
245 significantly higher mean ADOS total score than the TD group ($t = 20.385$, $p < 0.001$),
246 which is not surprising.

247

248 **3.2. Group comparisons on flexibilities**

249 Compared to the TD group, the ASD patients showed a significantly higher brain
250 network flexibility at the global level ($F = 4.280$, $p = 0.039$) (**Figure 2A**). Furthermore,
251 at the subnetwork level, the ASD patients showed significantly higher flexibilities in
252 the default-mode and sensorimotor subnetworks than TD participants ($F =$
253 $11.404/7.730$, FDR-corrected $p = 0.006/0.018$ for the default-mode/sensorimotor
254 subnetworks, respectively) (**Figure 2B**). Compared to the TD group, significant higher
255 nodal level flexibilities in ASD patients were found in several ROIs belonging to the
256 default-mode subnetwork, including the precuneus, angular gyrus, and post cingulate
257 cortex (all corrected- $p < 0.05$, as marked in red in **Figure 3**); meanwhile, significant
258 lower nodal level flexibilities in ASD patients were found in two ROIs within the
259 occipital subnetwork, which are located in the occipital and post-occipital regions,
260 respectively (both corrected- $p < 0.05$, as marked in yellow in **Figure 3**). More details
261 about the nodes showing significant between-group differences can be found in
262 **Supplementary Table S1**.

263

264 **3.3. Correlation analyses**

265 When testing correlations in the entire sample, the AODS total score was found to

266 be significantly positively associated with flexibilities in the default-mode and
267 sensorimotor subnetworks flexibility, after adjusting for sex, age, head motion, and site
268 effects ($r = 0.109/0.134$, FDR-corrected $p = 0.024/0.010$ for the default-
269 mode/sensorimotor subnetworks, respectively) (**Figure 4**). Moreover, the AODS total
270 score was found to be significantly associated with flexibilities in several nodes
271 belonging to the default-mode and occipital subnetworks (**Supplementary Table S2**).
272 Nevertheless, no significant results were found when correlations were tested in the
273 ASD group independently (corrected $p > 0.05$).

274

275 **4. Discussion**

276 In the present study, we explored the possible neural mechanisms underlying ASD
277 using a measure of “flexibility” based on the dynamic brain network model, and a
278 relatively large-sample, multi-site dataset. When compared to TD participants, the most
279 significant findings in ASD patients included a higher brain network flexibility at the
280 global level, as well as higher flexibilities within the default-mode/sensorimotor areas
281 and lower flexibilities within the occipital areas at the local levels. These findings may
282 strengthen our understanding of the pathobiology of ASD from the perspective of brain
283 network stability.

284 At the global level, we observed a significantly higher flexibility (switching rate)
285 in the ASD patients than TD controls (**Figure 2A**), which is in line with an earlier study
286 with relatively small sample size by Harlalka et al. (29). It was thought that the human
287 brain network needs to be “flexible” (changing the community structures over time) for
288 cognitive and affective processes; however, an observed excessively increased
289 flexibility than normal controls may also be abnormal, indicating a decreased temporal
290 stability of the brain functional organizations (26,31,53,57). Therefore, our results
291 could further support the opinion by Harlalka et al. that ASD is accompanied by
292 decreased stability in the brain network (29). Some previous studies have reported both
293 decreased and increased temporal stabilities of functional brain network in ASD
294 patients based on other measures (other than flexibility) under a framework of dynamic
295 brain network. For example, using resting-state fMRI data collected from 62 ASD
296 patients/57 TD participants and the “transient connectivity patterns/states”-based
297 analyses, Mash et al. (59) found an increased variability over time (decreased stability)

298 of brain network in ASD patients, which is in line with the results in the current study.
299 However, using fMRI data from 24 ASD patients and 26 TD individuals, an opposite
300 conclusion was reported by Watanabe et al. (60) that ASD is associated with “overly
301 stable neural dynamics” (increased stability) in functional brain network. Notably, by
302 analyzing a multi-site dataset, the sample size in the current study is much larger when
303 compared to most of the above published studies, which could lead to more reliable
304 results (32). Therefore, our results may offer more solid evidence to demonstrate that
305 ASD is associated with a “less stable” functional brain network.

306 At the local (subnetwork and nodal) levels, it was observed that ASD-related
307 decreases in stabilities of the brain network (increases in brain network flexibilities)
308 were mainly found in the default-mode and sensorimotor areas (**Figure 2B** and **Figure**
309 **3**). The default-mode subnetwork in the brain is known to mediate one’s self-referential
310 and internally-directed processing (61), and a decreased stability of it has been linked
311 to multiple common mental illnesses such as depression (39,62). The sensorimotor
312 areas in the brain play important roles in sensorimotor control (63), whose dysfunctions
313 have also been associated with multiple illnesses such as depression and schizophrenia
314 (17,64). Actually, the key functions of these brain systems are well known to be
315 disrupted in ASD supported by previous studies. For example, there has been ample
316 evidence that ASD patients are impaired in one of the key functions of the default-mode
317 subnetwork: self-referential cognition, which is the ability to process social information
318 relative to oneself, and such impairments may be closely related to the social cognitive
319 dysfunction in ASD (65–68). On the other hand, it has been widely reported that
320 sensorimotor skills are atypical in individuals with ASD, and such deficits are
321 associated with severity of ASD symptoms (69–71). Therefore, results of the current
322 study may point to ASD-related dysfunctions in the default-mode and sensorimotor
323 systems from a perspective of brain functional dynamics, which may underlie the
324 clinical features of ASD such as impaired self-referential cognition and sensorimotor
325 difficulties.

326 At the nodal level, significant decreases in flexibilities (increased stability) in ASD
327 patients were additionally observed in several occipital regions (**Figure 3**). Such
328 alterations, interestingly, are opposite to the observed ASD-related changes at the
329 global level, as well as the changes in other subnetworks. While a decreased stability
330 may suggest abnormal changes in the brain network as mentioned earlier, an

331 excessively “decreased variability” (increased stability) may be also indicative of brain
332 dysfunctions and decreased ability to adapt to changing environmental demands (31,53).
333 The occipital cortex is known to involved in the visual processing of the brain (53,72);
334 previous research has reported significant ASD-related alterations in both structures
335 and functions in the occipital cortex, which were associated with visual processing and
336 social communication deficits in ASD patients (73,74). Our results thus again
337 highlighted the critical importance of focusing on the occipital areas in research on
338 ASD. Furthermore, combining such results with finding in the default-
339 mode/sensorimotor areas, it might be concluded that both excessively increased and
340 decreased functional stabilities (in different brain systems) are involved in the
341 pathobiology of ASD.

342 Our study has some limitations. First, although positive correlations were shown
343 between the brain network flexibilities and ASD symptom severity in the entire sample
344 (**Figure 4**), no significant results were obtained when testing the correlations in the
345 ASD group independently to support our clinical symptoms-related hypotheses. Second,
346 the current study used cross-sectional data and thus we were unable to ascertain the
347 participants’ developmental trajectories; future studies will benefit from longitudinal
348 designs.

349 In conclusion, this study investigated the possible relationships between ASD and
350 changes in functional brain network dynamics using a multi-site dataset. The results
351 suggested significantly increased brain network flexibilities (indicating a decreased
352 stability) at the global level, as well as at the local level within the default-mode and
353 sensorimotor areas in ASD patients than TD participants. Additionally, significant
354 ASD-related decreases in flexibilities (indicating excessively increased stability) were
355 also observed in several occipital regions at the nodal level. These results also pointed
356 to ASD-related dysfunctions in the default-mode, sensorimotor, and occipital systems
357 from a perspective of brain network stability, which can further strengthen our
358 understanding of the pathobiology of ASD.

359

360 **Author contributions**

361 HZ, ST and YL conceived the idea and structured the manuscript. YL performed
362 the data analysis. HZ and YL drafted the manuscript. DP, ST, and AB revised the
363 manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

364

365 **Funding**

366 This research was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province,
367 China (2023JJ60438 to Hui Zhang), the Scientific Research Launch Project for new
368 employees of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (to Bian Yao
369 and Yicheng Long), the Health Research Project of Hunan Provincial Health
370 Commission (W20243225 to Yicheng Long), and the National Natural Science
371 Foundation of China (82201692 to Yicheng Long).

372

373 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

374 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

375

376 **References**

- 377 1. Hirota T, King BH. Autism Spectrum Disorder. *JAMA* (2023) 329:157. doi:
378 10.1001/jama.2022.23661
- 379 2. Wang L, Wang B, Wu C, Wang J, Sun M. Autism Spectrum Disorder:
380 Neurodevelopmental Risk Factors, Biological Mechanism, and Precision
381 Therapy. *Int J Mol Sci* (2023) 24:1819. doi: 10.3390/ijms24031819
- 382 3. Talantseva OI, Romanova RS, Shurdova EM, Dolgorukova TA, Sologub PS,
383 Titova OS, Kleeva DF, Grigorenko EL. The global prevalence of autism
384 spectrum disorder: A three-level meta-analysis. *Front Psychiatry* (2023) 14: doi:
385 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1071181
- 386 4. Willsey HR, Willsey AJ, Wang B, State MW. Genomics, convergent
387 neuroscience and progress in understanding autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Rev*
388 *Neurosci* (2022) 23:323–341. doi: 10.1038/s41583-022-00576-7
- 389 5. Long Y, Li X, Cao H, Zhang M, Lu B, Huang Y, Liu M, Xu M, Liu Z, Yan C, et
390 al. Common and distinct functional brain network abnormalities in adolescent,

- 391 early-middle adult, and late adult major depressive disorders. *Psychol Med*
392 (2023)1–10. doi: 10.1017/S0033291723002234
- 393 6. Lin Z, Long Y, Wu Z, Xiang Z, Ju Y, Liu Z. Associations between brain
394 abnormalities and common genetic variants for schizophrenia: A narrative
395 review of structural and functional neuroimaging findings. *Ann Palliat Med*
396 (2021) 10:10031–10052. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-1210
- 397 7. Li X, Huang Y, Liu M, Zhang M, Liu Y, Teng T, Liu X, Yu Y, Jiang Y, Ouyang
398 X, et al. Childhood trauma is linked to abnormal static-dynamic brain topology
399 in adolescents with major depressive disorder. *International Journal of Clinical*
400 *and Health Psychology* (2023) 23: doi: 10.1016/j.ijchp.2023.100401
- 401 8. Hua Z, Hu J, Zeng H, Li J, Cao Y, Gan Y. Auditory language comprehension
402 among children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: An ALE meta-
403 analysis of fMRI studies. *Autism Research* (2024) 17:482–496. doi:
404 10.1002/aur.3055
- 405 9. Larson C, Thomas HR, Crutcher J, Stevens MC, Eigsti I-M. Language Networks
406 in Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review of connectivity-based fMRI
407 studies. *Rev J Autism Dev Disord* (2023) doi: 10.1007/s40489-023-00382-6
- 408 10. Lee Y, Park B, James O, Kim S-G, Park H. Autism Spectrum Disorder Related
409 Functional Connectivity Changes in the Language Network in Children,
410 Adolescents and Adults. *Front Hum Neurosci* (2017) 11: doi:
411 10.3389/fnhum.2017.00418
- 412 11. Xu S, Li M, Yang C, Fang X, Ye M, Wei L, Liu J, Li B, Gan Y, Yang B, et al.
413 Altered Functional Connectivity in Children With Low-Function Autism
414 Spectrum Disorders. *Front Neurosci* (2019) 13: doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00806
- 415 12. Sizemore AE, Bassett DS. Dynamic graph metrics: Tutorial, toolbox, and tale.
416 *Neuroimage* (2018) 180:417–427. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.06.081
- 417 13. Long Y, Ouyang X, Yan C, Wu Z, Huang X, Pu W, Cao H, Liu Z, Palaniyappan
418 L. Evaluating test–retest reliability and sex-/age-related effects on temporal
419 clustering coefficient of dynamic functional brain networks. *Hum Brain Mapp*
420 (2023) 44:2191–2208. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26202

- 421 14. Rossi A, Deslauriers-Gauthier S, Natale E. On null models for temporal small-
422 worldness in brain dynamics. *Network Neuroscience* (2024) 8:377–394. doi:
423 10.1162/netn_a_00357
- 424 15. Liu D, Liu X, Long Y, Xiang Z, Wu Z, Liu Z, Bian D, Tang S. Problematic
425 smartphone use is associated with differences in static and dynamic brain
426 functional connectivity in young adults. *Front Neurosci* (2022) 16: doi:
427 10.3389/fnins.2022.1010488
- 428 16. Ouyang X, Long Y, Wu Z, Liu D, Liu Z, Huang X. Temporal Stability of
429 Dynamic Default Mode Network Connectivity Negatively Correlates with
430 Suicidality in Major Depressive Disorder. *Brain Sci* (2022) 12: doi:
431 10.3390/brainsci12091263
- 432 17. Long Y, Liu Z, Chan CKY, Wu G, Xue Z, Pan Y, Chen X, Huang X, Li D, Pu W.
433 Altered Temporal Variability of Local and Large-Scale Resting-State Brain
434 Functional Connectivity Patterns in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder. *Front*
435 *Psychiatry* (2020) 11:422. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00422
- 436 18. Han S, Cui Q, Wang X, Li L, Li D, He Z, Guo X, Fan YS, Guo J, Sheng W, et al.
437 Resting state functional network switching rate is differently altered in bipolar
438 disorder and major depressive disorder. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2020) 41:3295–3304.
439 doi: 10.1002/hbm.25017
- 440 19. Yang Z, Telesford QK, Franco AR, Lim R, Gu S, Xu T, Ai L, Castellanos FX,
441 Yan CG, Colcombe S, et al. Measurement reliability for individual differences
442 in multilayer network dynamics: Cautions and considerations. *Neuroimage*
443 (2021) 225: doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117489
- 444 20. Pedersen M, Zalesky A, Omidvarnia A, Jackson GD. Multilayer network
445 switching rate predicts brain performance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2018)
446 115:13376–13381. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1814785115
- 447 21. He L, Zhuang K, Li Y, Sun J, Meng J, Zhu W, Mao Y, Chen Q, Chen X, Qiu J.
448 Brain flexibility associated with need for cognition contributes to creative
449 achievement. *Psychophysiology* (2019) 56: doi: 10.1111/psyp.13464
- 450 22. Braun U, Schäfer A, Bassett DS, Rausch F, Schweiger JI, Bilek E, Erk S,

- 451 Romanczuk-Seiferth N, Grimm O, Geiger LS, et al. Dynamic brain network
452 reconfiguration as a potential schizophrenia genetic risk mechanism modulated
453 by NMDA receptor function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2016) 113:12568–12573.
454 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608819113
- 455 23. Tian S, Zhang S, Mo Z, Chattun MR, Wang Q, Wang L, Zhu R, Shao J, Wang X,
456 Yao Z, et al. Antidepressants normalize brain flexibility associated with multi-
457 dimensional symptoms in major depressive patients. *Prog*
458 *Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* (2020) 100: doi:
459 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.109866
- 460 24. Lei T, Liao X, Chen X, Zhao T, Xu Y, Xia M, Zhang J, Xia Y, Sun X, Wei Y, et
461 al. Progressive Stabilization of Brain Network Dynamics during Childhood and
462 Adolescence. *Cerebral Cortex* (2022) 32:1024–1039. doi:
463 10.1093/cercor/bhab263
- 464 25. Yin W, Li T, Mucha PJ, Cohen JR, Zhu H, Zhu Z, Lin W. Altered neural
465 flexibility in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Mol*
466 *Psychiatry* (2022) 27:4673–4679. doi: 10.1038/s41380-022-01706-4
- 467 26. Betzel RF, Satterthwaite TD, Gold JI, Bassett DS. Positive affect, surprise, and
468 fatigue are correlates of network flexibility. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7: doi:
469 10.1038/s41598-017-00425-z
- 470 27. Lu J, Zhang X, Shu Z, Han J, Yu N. A dynamic brain network decomposition
471 method discovers effective brain hemodynamic sub-networks for Parkinson’s
472 disease. *J Neural Eng* (2024) 21:026047. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/ad3eb6
- 473 28. Broeders TAA, Linsen F, Louter TS, Nawijn L, Penninx BWJH, van Tol MJ, van
474 der Wee NJA, Veltman DJ, van der Werf YD, Schoonheim MM, et al. Dynamic
475 reconfigurations of brain networks in depressive and anxiety disorders: The
476 influence of antidepressants. *Psychiatry Res* (2024) 334:115774. doi:
477 10.1016/j.psychres.2024.115774
- 478 29. Harlalka V, Bapi RS, Vinod PK, Roy D. Atypical flexibility in dynamic
479 functional connectivity quantifies the severity in autism spectrum disorder. *Front*
480 *Hum Neurosci* (2019) 13: doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2019.00006

- 481 30. Huang D, Liu Z, Cao H, Yang J, Wu Z, Long Y. Childhood trauma is linked to
482 decreased temporal stability of functional brain networks in young adults. *J*
483 *Affect Disord* (2021) 290:23–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.04.061
- 484 31. Long Y, Liu X, Liu Z. Temporal Stability of the Dynamic Resting-State
485 Functional Brain Network: Current Measures, Clinical Research Progress, and
486 Future Perspectives. *Brain Sci* (2023) 13:429. doi: 10.3390/brainsci13030429
- 487 32. Cao H, McEwen SC, Forsyth JK, Gee DG, Bearden CE, Addington J, Goodyear
488 B, Cadenhead KS, Mirzakhani H, Cornblatt BA, et al. Toward leveraging
489 human connectomic data in large consortia: Generalizability of fmri-based brain
490 graphs across sites, sessions, and paradigms. *Cerebral Cortex* (2019) 29:1263–
491 1279. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhy032
- 492 33. Long Y, Ouyang X, Yan C, Wu Z, Huang X, Pu W, Cao H, Liu Z, Palaniyappan
493 L. Evaluating test–retest reliability and sex-/age-related effects on temporal
494 clustering coefficient of dynamic functional brain networks. *Hum Brain Mapp*
495 (2023) 44:2191–2208. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26202
- 496 34. Jain P, Sao AK, Minhas AS. Analyzing the Effect of Resolution of Network
497 Nodes on the Resting State Functional Connectivity Maps of Schizophrenic
498 Human Brains. *2021 43rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE*
499 *Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE (2021). p. 6695–
500 6698 doi: 10.1109/EMBC46164.2021.9630822
- 501 35. Di Martino A, Yan CG, Li Q, Denio E, Castellanos FX, Alaerts K, Anderson JS,
502 Assaf M, Bookheimer SY, Dapretto M, et al. The autism brain imaging data
503 exchange: Towards a large-scale evaluation of the intrinsic brain architecture in
504 autism. *Mol Psychiatry* (2014) 19: doi: 10.1038/mp.2013.78
- 505 36. Cameron C, Yassine B, Carlton C, Francois C, Alan E, Andrés J, Budhachandra
506 K, John L, Qingyang L, Michael M, et al. The Neuro Bureau Preprocessing
507 Initiative: open sharing of preprocessed neuroimaging data and derivatives.
508 *Front Neuroinform* (2013) 7: doi: 10.3389/conf.fninf.2013.09.00041
- 509 37. Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, Cook EH, Leventhal BL, Dilavore PC, Pickles A,
510 Rutter M. The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic: A standard

- 511 measure of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of
512 autism. *J Autism Dev Disord* (2000) 30: doi: 10.1023/A:1005592401947
- 513 38. Tang S, Wu Z, Cao H, Chen X, Wu G, Tan W, Liu D, Yang J, Long Y, Liu Z.
514 Age-Related Decrease in Default-Mode Network Functional Connectivity Is
515 Accelerated in Patients With Major Depressive Disorder. *Front Aging Neurosci*
516 (2022) 13: doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.809853
- 517 39. Long Y, Cao H, Yan C, Chen X, Li L, Castellanos FX, Bai T, Bo Q, Chen G,
518 Chen N, et al. Altered resting-state dynamic functional brain networks in major
519 depressive disorder: Findings from the REST-meta-MDD consortium.
520 *Neuroimage Clin* (2020) 26:102163. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102163
- 521 40. Yan CG, Chen X, Li L, Castellanos FX, Bai TJ, Bo QJ, Cao J, Chen GM, Chen
522 NX, Chen W, et al. Reduced default mode network functional connectivity in
523 patients with recurrent major depressive disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
524 (2019) 116:9078–9083. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1900390116
- 525 41. Yang H, Chen X, Chen ZB, Li L, Li XY, Castellanos FX, Bai TJ, Bo QJ, Cao J,
526 Chang ZK, et al. Disrupted intrinsic functional brain topology in patients with
527 major depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry* (2021) 26:7363–7371. doi:
528 10.1038/s41380-021-01247-2
- 529 42. Aquino KM, Fulcher BD, Parkes L, Sabaroedin K, Fornito A. Identifying and
530 removing widespread signal deflections from fMRI data: Rethinking the global
531 signal regression problem. *Neuroimage* (2020) 212:116614. doi:
532 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116614
- 533 43. Wanger TJ, Janes AC, Frederick BB. Spatial variation of changes in test–retest
534 reliability of functional connectivity after global signal regression: The effect of
535 considering hemodynamic delay. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2023) 44:668–678. doi:
536 10.1002/hbm.26091
- 537 44. Sizemore AE, Bassett DS. Dynamic graph metrics: Tutorial, toolbox, and tale.
538 *Neuroimage* (2018) 180:417–427. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.06.081
- 539 45. Dosenbach NU, Nardos B, Cohen AL, Fair DA, Power JD, Church JA, Nelson
540 SM, Wig GS, Vogel AC, Lessov-Schlaggar CN, et al. Prediction of individual

- 541 brain maturity using fMRI. *Science* (1979) (2010) 329:1358–1361. doi:
542 10.1126/science.1194144
- 543 46. Tan W, Ouyang X, Huang D, Wu Z, Liu Z, He Z, Long Y. Disrupted intrinsic
544 functional brain network in patients with late-life depression: Evidence from a
545 multi-site dataset. *J Affect Disord* (2023) 323:631–639. doi:
546 10.1016/j.jad.2022.12.019
- 547 47. Huang X, Wu Z, Liu Z, Liu D, Huang D, Long Y. Acute Effect of Betel Quid
548 Chewing on Brain Network Dynamics: A Resting-State Functional Magnetic
549 Resonance Imaging Study. *Front Psychiatry* (2021) 12: doi:
550 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.701420
- 551 48. Guan S, Wan D, Zhao R, Canario E, Meng C, Biswal BB. The complexity of
552 spontaneous brain activity changes in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and
553 <sc>ADHD</sc> was examined using different variations of entropy. *Hum*
554 *Brain Mapp* (2023) 44:94–118. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26129
- 555 49. Chu C, Zhang Z, Wang J, Wang L, Shen X, Bai L, Li Z, Dong M, Liu C, Yi G,
556 et al. Evolution of brain network dynamics in early Parkinson’s disease with mild
557 cognitive impairment. *Cogn Neurodyn* (2023) 17:681–694. doi: 10.1007/s11571-
558 022-09868-1
- 559 50. Zhou Y, Zhu Y, Ye H, Jiang W, Zhang Y, Kong Y, Yuan Y. Abnormal changes of
560 dynamic topological characteristics in patients with major depressive disorder. *J*
561 *Affect Disord* (2024) 345:349–357. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.10.143
- 562 51. Hu Z, Zhou C, He L. Abnormal dynamic functional network connectivity in
563 patients with early-onset bipolar disorder. *Front Psychiatry* (2023) 14: doi:
564 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1169488
- 565 52. Sun Y, Collinson SL, Suckling J, Sim K. Dynamic reorganization of functional
566 connectivity reveals abnormal temporal efficiency in schizophrenia. *Schizophr*
567 *Bull* (2019) 45:659–669. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sby077
- 568 53. Long Y, Chen C, Deng M, Huang X, Tan W, Zhang L, Fan Z, Liu Z.
569 Psychological resilience negatively correlates with resting-state brain network
570 flexibility in young healthy adults: a dynamic functional magnetic resonance

- 571 imaging study. *Ann Transl Med* (2019) 7:809–809. doi:
572 10.21037/atm.2019.12.45
- 573 54. Mucha PJ, Richardson T, Macon K, Porter MA, Onnela JP. Community structure
574 in time-dependent, multiscale, and multiplex networks. *Science (1979)* (2010)
575 328:876–878. doi: 10.1126/science.1184819
- 576 55. Braun U, Schäfer A, Walter H, Erk S, Romanczuk-Seiferth N, Haddad L,
577 Schweiger JI, Grimm O, Heinz A, Tost H, et al. Dynamic reconfiguration of
578 frontal brain networks during executive cognition in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
579 *U S A* (2015) 112:11678–11683. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1422487112
- 580 56. Jeub LGS, Bazzi M, Jutla IS, Mucha PJ. “A generalized Louvain method for
581 community detection implemented in MATLAB.” (2019)
582 <https://github.com/GenLouvain/GenLouvain>
- 583 57. Bassett DS, Wymbs NF, Porter MA, Mucha PJ, Carlson JM, Grafton ST.
584 Dynamic reconfiguration of human brain networks during learning. *Proc Natl*
585 *Acad Sci U S A* (2011) 108:7641–7646. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018985108
- 586 58. Paban V, Modolo J, Mheich A, Hassan M. Psychological resilience correlates
587 with EEG source-space brain network flexibility. *Network Neuroscience* (2019)
588 3:539–550. doi: 10.1162/netn_a_00079
- 589 59. Mash LE, Linke AC, Olson LA, Fishman I, Liu TT, Müller R. Transient states of
590 network connectivity are atypical in autism: A dynamic functional connectivity
591 study. *Hum Brain Mapp* (2019) 40:2377–2389. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24529
- 592 60. Watanabe T, Rees G. Brain network dynamics in high-functioning individuals
593 with autism. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:16048. doi: 10.1038/ncomms16048
- 594 61. Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Ford JM. Default mode network activity and connectivity
595 in psychopathology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* (2012) 8: doi: 10.1146/annurev-
596 clinpsy-032511-143049
- 597 62. Wise T, Marwood L, Perkins AM, Herane-Vives A, Joules R, Lythgoe DJ, Luh
598 WM, Williams SCR, Young AH, Cleare AJ, et al. Instability of default mode
599 network connectivity in major depression: A two-sample confirmation study.
600 *Transl Psychiatry* (2017) 7: doi: 10.1038/tp.2017.40

- 601 63. Chen J, Jiang S, Lu B, Liao J, Yang Z, Li H, Pei H, Li J, Iturria-Medina Y, Yao
602 D, et al. The role of the primary sensorimotor system in generalized epilepsy:
603 Evidence from the cerebello–cerebral functional integration. *Hum Brain Mapp*
604 (2024) 45: doi: 10.1002/hbm.26551
- 605 64. Javaheripour N, Li M, Chand T, Krug A, Kircher T, Dannlowski U, Nenadić I,
606 Hamilton JP, Sacchet MD, Gotlib IH, et al. Altered resting-state functional
607 connectome in major depressive disorder: a mega-analysis from the PsyMRI
608 consortium. *Transl Psychiatry* (2021) 11:511. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01619-
609 w
- 610 65. Lombardo M V., Barnes JL, Wheelwright SJ, Baron-Cohen S. Self-Referential
611 Cognition and Empathy in Autism. *PLoS One* (2007) 2:e883. doi:
612 10.1371/journal.pone.0000883
- 613 66. Burrows CA, Usher L V., Mundy PC, Henderson HA. The salience of the self:
614 Self-referential processing and internalizing problems in children and
615 adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research* (2017) 10:949–960.
616 doi: 10.1002/aur.1727
- 617 67. Padmanabhan A, Lynch CJ, Schaer M, Menon V. The Default Mode Network in
618 Autism. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* (2017) 2:476–486. doi:
619 10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.04.004
- 620 68. Harikumar A, Evans DW, Dougherty CC, Carpenter KLH, Michael AM. A
621 Review of the Default Mode Network in Autism Spectrum Disorders and
622 Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Brain Connect* (2021) 11:253–263. doi:
623 10.1089/brain.2020.0865
- 624 69. Mosconi MW, Sweeney JA. Sensorimotor dysfunctions as primary features of
625 autism spectrum disorders. *Sci China Life Sci* (2015) 58:1016–1023. doi:
626 10.1007/s11427-015-4894-4
- 627 70. Hannant P, Cassidy S, Tavassoli T, Mann F. Sensorimotor Difficulties Are
628 Associated with the Severity of Autism Spectrum Conditions. *Front Integr*
629 *Neurosci* (2016) 10: doi: 10.3389/fnint.2016.00028
- 630 71. Coll S-M, Foster NEV, Meilleur A, Brambati SM, Hyde KL. Sensorimotor skills

- 631 in autism spectrum disorder: A meta-analysis. *Res Autism Spectr Disord* (2020)
632 76:101570. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2020.101570
- 633 72. Beffara B, Hadj-Bouziane F, Hamed S Ben, Boehler CN, Chelazzi L, Santandrea
634 E, Macaluso E. Separate and overlapping mechanisms of statistical regularities
635 and salience processing in the occipital cortex and dorsal attention network. *Hum*
636 *Brain Mapp* (2023) 44: doi: 10.1002/hbm.26520
- 637 73. Jung M, Tu Y, Lang CA, Ortiz A, Park J, Jorgenson K, Kong X-J, Kong J.
638 Decreased structural connectivity and resting-state brain activity in the lateral
639 occipital cortex is associated with social communication deficits in boys with
640 autism spectrum disorder. *Neuroimage* (2019) 190:205–212. doi:
641 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.031
- 642 74. Matsuoka K, Makinodan M, Kitamura S, Takahashi M, Yoshikawa H, Yasuno F,
643 Ishida R, Kishimoto N, Yasuda Y, Hashimoto R, et al. Increased Dendritic
644 Orientation Dispersion in the Left Occipital Gyrus is Associated with Atypical
645 Visual Processing in Adults with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Cerebral Cortex*
646 (2020) 30:5617–5625. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhaa121
- 647
- 648

649 **Table 1** Number of participants from each site in the analyzed sample.

Site	ASD	TD	Total
NYU	65	83	148
OLIN	11	6	17
SDSU	8	15	23
TRINITY	17	19	36
UCLA	20	15	35
UM_1	26	43	69
UM_2	12	18	30
USM	32	18	50
YALE	17	10	27
Total	208	227	435

650 Abbreviations: ASD = autism spectrum disorder; TD = typical development; the full

651 names of each site can be found in the main text of the **Section 2.1**.

652

653

654 **Table 2** Comparisons on demographic and clinical characteristics between the ASD
655 and TD groups.

	ASD (<i>n</i> = 208), mean ± SD	TD (<i>n</i> = 227), mean ± SD	Group comparisons
Age (years)	16.35 ± 6.72	15.86 ± 5.16	<i>t</i> = 0.847, <i>p</i> = 0.398
Sex (male/female)	179/29	171/56	$\chi^2 = 7.945$, <i>p</i> = 0.005
Mean FD (mm)	0.09 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.03	<i>t</i> = 5.715, <i>p</i> < 0.001
AODS total score ^a	8.48 ± 5.90	0.08 ± 0.44	<i>t</i> = 20.385, <i>p</i> < 0.001

656 Abbreviations: AODS = the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule; ASD = autism
657 spectrum disorder; FD = framewise displacement; TD = typical development.


658 ^aData on the AODS scores were available for 206 ASD patients and 225 TD participants,
659 respectively.

660

661


662 **Figure Legends**

663 **Figure 1** Steps of constructing dynamic brain networks and calculating flexibility (see
664 details in **Sections 2.3** and **2.4**). **(A)** The time series of each region of interest (ROI)
665 were divided into a number of time windows using the sliding-windows approach. **(B)**
666 Brain network organizations were constructed for each time window, which formed a
667 dynamic brain network. **(C)** Flexibilities (switching rates) of each ROI were then
668 estimated by number of times for which it switched from one “community” to
669 another.
670



671
672
673

674 **Figure 2** Results of comparisons on global and subnetwork-level brain network
675 flexibilities between the autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typical development
676 (TD) groups. **(A)** Comparison on flexibility at the global level. **(B)** Comparisons on
677 flexibilities at the subnetwork level. The “*” indicates a significant between-group
678 difference with corrected- $p < 0.05$.




679

680


681 **Figure 3** The brain nodes which showed significant differences in flexibility
682 (corrected- $p < 0.05$) between the autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typical
683 development (TD) groups.

684



687 **Figure 4** Results of partial correlations (adjusted for sex, age, head motion, and site
688 effects) between the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (AODS) total score and
689 subnetwork-level flexibilities in the entire sample. ASD = autism spectrum disorder;
690 TD = typical development.

691



692