

Opening the digital doorway to sexual healthcare: Recommendations from a Behaviour Change Wheel analysis of barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health information and support among underserved populations

Julie McLeod^{1*}, Claudia S. Estcourt¹, Jennifer MacDonald¹, Jo Gibbs², Melvina Woode Owusu², Fiona Mapp², Nuria Gallego Marquez², Amelia McInnes-Dean², John Saunders^{2,3}, Ann Blandford⁴, and Paul Flowers⁵

¹ School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

² Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, England, UK

³ UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), London, England, UK

⁴ UCL Interaction Centre (UCLIC), University College London, London, England, UK

⁵ Psychological Science and Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

* Corresponding author:

Email: julie.mcleod@gcu.ac.uk

Data availability. Data and analyses can be made available on request to the corresponding author.

Funding: This research is part of the SEQUENCE Digital programme funded by the National Institute for Health Research (<https://www.sequencedigital.org.uk/about>)

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Key words: e-health, eHealth; digital health; digital healthcare; digital health care; digital literacy; health promotion; sexual health services; inequalities; information seeking; online support; sexually transmitted infections; STIs; chlamydia; behaviour change; intervention development; COM-B.

Abstract

Background. The ability to access and navigate online sexual health information and support is increasingly needed in order to engage with wider sexual healthcare. However, people from underserved populations may struggle to pass through this “digital doorway”. Therefore, using a behavioural science approach, we first aimed to identify barriers and facilitators to: i) seeking online sexual health information and ii) seeking online sexual health support. Subsequently, we aimed to generate theory-informed recommendations to improve these access points.

Methods. The PROGRESS framework guided purposive recruitment (October 2021–April 2022) of 35 UK participants from diverse backgrounds, including 51% from the most deprived areas and 26% from minoritised ethnic groups. Semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis identified barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health information and support. A Behaviour Change Wheel (BCW) analysis then identified recommendations to better meet the needs of underserved populations.

Results. We found diverse barriers and facilitators. Barriers included low awareness of and familiarity with online information and support; perceptions that online information and support were unlikely to meet the needs of underserved populations; overwhelming volume of information sources; lack of personal relevancy; chatbots/automated responses; and response wait times. Facilitators included clarity about credibility and quality; inclusive content; and in-person assistance. Recommendations included: Education and Persuasion e.g., online and offline promotion and endorsement by healthcare professionals and peers; Training and Modelling e.g., accessible training to enhance searching skills and credibility appraisal; and Environmental Restructuring and Enablement e.g., modifications to ensure online information and support are simple and easy to use, including video/audio options for content.

Conclusions. Given that access to many sexual health services is now digital, our analyses produced recommendations pivotal to increasing access to wider sexual healthcare among underserved populations. Implementing these recommendations could reduce inequalities associated with accessing and using online sexual health service.

Introduction

Over the past decade, the online delivery of sexual healthcare has increased, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic (1–4). Such healthcare includes online postal self-sampling (OPSS) for sexually transmitted infection (STI) and blood borne virus (BBV) testing (e.g., 5–8). More complex online clinical care pathways are also in development, such as the eSexual Health Clinic for accessing STI test results and treatment (9) and ePrEP for accessing HIV prevention medication, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) (10). For many people, the initial steps to accessing sexual healthcare, both online and traditional (i.e., in-person/phone), are seeking sexual health information and support online (11–13). Our definition of seeking online sexual health information is inclusive, referring to searching for, finding, understanding, and applying information from the internet (e.g., 14–16) typically found through search engines. Equally, regarding seeking online sexual health support, we refer to finding and using text-based interactions for answers to a range of sexual health queries. These include tools such as live chats and chatbots (synchronous communication with a trained professional (live chats) or with automatic responses (chatbots)) and email or short-messaging service (SMS) text exchange (asynchronous communication with a trained professional (e.g., 17–22)). See Appendix A for a list of examples. Together, these two steps (seeking online sexual health information and support) form a digital doorway to wider sexual healthcare (23–27).

Online sexual healthcare can overcome common barriers to accessing traditional sexual health services, offering privacy and convenience (e.g., 12,28,29). However, many may struggle to access and use online sexual healthcare due to inequalities patterned by socio-economic demographics, such as gender, sexual identity, ethnicity, and socio-economic status (3,30–40) (i.e., underserved populations (44)). Further, for people to engage with and pass through the digital doorway to wider sexual healthcare, they require sufficient digital literacy (skills to perform tasks and solve problems in digital environments (41)) and health literacy (capability to understand, evaluate, and use information and services to make choices about health (42)). This complex intersection of socio-economic factors precluding access to healthcare for those who often bear a disproportionate burden of STIs (e.g., 43) illustrates how the provision of online sexual healthcare has the potential to widen inequalities amongst underserved populations.

To prevent widening inequalities in access to online sexual healthcare, it is vital to understand the barriers and facilitators to the digital doorway among underserved populations, theorise the factors that underpin barriers and facilitators, and then identify appropriate theoretically informed recommendations for change (45). While some research exists in this area, the existing literature base is outdated (46–52) or uses exclusively quantitative methods (53–59). Thus, there is an absence of contemporary, in-depth research. Moreover, to our knowledge, there are no studies identifying evidence-based and theoretically informed recommendations for seeking online sexual health information and support among underserved populations. Therefore, using a behavioural science approach, we first aimed to identify barriers and facilitators to two key elements of the digital doorway: i) seeking online sexual health information and ii) seeking online sexual health support. Subsequently, we aimed to propose theory-informed recommendations to improve these two access points. We developed three research questions (RQs):

- RQ1) What are the barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health information among underserved populations?
- RQ2) What are the barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health support among underserved populations?
- RQ3) What evidence-based and theoretically informed recommendations can be made to enhance seeking online sexual health information and support among underserved populations?

Methods

Design

A behaviourally focused cross-sectional qualitative approach, conducted as part of the SEQUENCE Digital Programme (<https://www.sequencedigital.org.uk/>).

Applying a behavioural lens

High quality applied behavioural science requires a considered understanding of the specific behaviour(s) that are intended to be changed by an intervention (60). Within the broad behavioural system of ‘accessing and using online sexual healthcare’ we identified seven distinct yet interconnected behavioural domains (see Appendix B). Here, we focus on the first of these two domains that we consider to constitute the digital doorway: 1) seeking online sexual health information and 2) seeking online sexual health support.

Participants

Using a PROGRESS (Place of Residence, Race/Ethnicity, Occupation, Gender/Sex, Religion, Education, Socio-economic Status, Social Network) (61,62) informed purposive sampling framework (Appendix C), we recruited participants from underserved populations. See Table 1 for participant characteristics and demographics. Representatives from five regional National Health Service (NHS) Trusts/Boards (i.e., organisational areas), two non-governmental organisations (NGOs), and one community college across England and Scotland referred interested potential participants to the research team. One NGO served people with disabilities and learning difficulties, the other, people who identify as LGBTQI+ and Muslim. The community college served people with low educational attainment living in a deprived area. We then telephoned each referred participant to verify eligibility according to our inclusion criteria, screen them against the target sampling frame, collect demographics and information on internet use (see Appendix D), and schedule an interview (phone, video, or face-to-face). Inclusion criteria were: 1) never ordered/used or struggled to order/use an STI self-sampling kit (to recruit participants of lower digital literacy); 2) aged 16+; 3) sexually active; 4) had phone and internet access to enable data collection; 5) lived in the UK; and 6) spoke English well enough to participate in an interview.

Materials

We composed a questionnaire-based assessment of eligibility to take part in the study, demographics (based on the PROGRESS framework (61,62)), and experience and skills using technology and the internet (Appendix D). In line with inclusive guidance (63,64), the questions asked regarding socio-economic demographics, such as gender identity and ethnicity, were open questions to capture how participants self-identified.

We also developed an interview topic guide (Appendix E) and supporting visual aids (Appendix F). The topic guide was used to explore participants’ barriers (e.g., what makes it difficult, what are the drawbacks) and facilitators (e.g., what makes it easy, what are the benefits) to both seeking online sexual health information and support. Critically, barriers and facilitators could be either experiential (i.e., actually experienced) or hypothetical. The visual aids depicted the two behavioural domains of seeking online sexual health information and support. Respectively, these visual aids showed search engines with the typed words “sexually transmitted infection (STI) symptoms” for ‘seeking online sexual health information’ and examples of a sexual health live chat and SMS text exchange with a healthcare provider (HCP) for ‘seeking online sexual health support’.

Participant and patient involvement and engagement

For material development, we consulted public and patient involvement and engagement (PPIE) representatives (N=12) of diverse ages, genders, ethnicities, sexual orientations, religions, and experiences of disability, learning difficulties, and digital STI healthcare. The representatives offered intersectional perspectives and advice on our questionnaire-based assessment of participant demographics and internet use (n=5) and the interview topic guide and visual aids (n=7) to be used within data collection. Consent to share PPIE representatives' demographic information was not obtained.

Procedure

One-to-one semi-structured interviews (duration range: 38-82 minutes, $M=60$ minutes) were conducted remotely (video call n=7, phone n=23) or face-to-face (n=5) (all by JMCL). Prior to the interview, we provided participants with an information sheet, consent form, and the visual aids by email or WhatsApp for remote interviews and hard copy for face-to-face interviews. At the beginning of the interview, we obtained verbal consent, recorded via an encrypted recorder for remote interviews and written consent for face-to-face interviews. At the end of the interview, all participants were offered a shopping voucher (value £30) and were provided with a list of sexual health resources (Appendix G) either by email, WhatsApp or hard copy.

Analysis

For RQs one and two, using NVivo (version 12), we conducted inductive thematic analysis (65) to identify barrier and facilitator themes for each of the behaviours (conducted by JMCL and checked by PF). To do this, we described data using a brief summary barrier or facilitator statement (e.g., "*I suppose, when my son was younger, I worried that he might see... [...] search history and stuff like that, might have put me off*") was described as 'Concern about family seeing search history'. We then grouped similar summary statements for each behaviour to identify themes (e.g., 'Concern about family seeing search history', 'Having a shared device – lack of privacy' and 'Concern about people you know, particularly partners, finding out you may have an STI' were grouped together and labelled as the theme, 'Concerns about privacy').

For RQ three, using the BCW approach (45), barrier and facilitator themes were mapped onto appropriate components of the COM-B Model (66) (by JMCL and checked by PF). The COM-B model posits that behaviour is determined by '**Capability**' (physical and psychological attributes of a person), '**Opportunity**' (physical and social attributes of a person's environment), and/or '**Motivation**' (a person's reflective and automatic mental processes). The COM-B components were then matched to relevant Intervention Functions (nine broad categories of potential interventions to change the capability, opportunity and/or motivation to engage in a behaviour) (45,67) (by PF, audited by JMCL and JMacD). Subsequently, we drew on our collective expertise to operationalise the Intervention Functions into recommendations (conducted by PF and JM, audited by JMacD). The recommendations were reviewed by an interdisciplinary team including sexual health clinicians, public health researchers, and human computer interaction specialists. All BCW analyses were conducted by health psychology researchers who have completed the behaviour change technique taxonomy (version 1) training (<https://www.bct-taxonomy.com/>). Throughout all stages of analysis, discrepancies were resolved through discussion between JMCL, PF and, latterly, JMacD.

Ethics

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee (REC) (reference 21/EE/0148) and Glasgow Caledonian University REC (reference HLS/NCH/20/045).

Results

Participants

Participants (N=35) (see Table 1) ranged in age from 18-70 ($M=34$ years) and were diverse, representing several underserved populations: 51% ($n=18$) lived in the most deprived areas of the UK; 51% ($n=18$) had no higher (i.e., university) education; 40% ($n=14$) were of a minoritised ethnic group, of which, five were from an ethnic group other than White; 23% ($n=8$) did not speak English as their first language; 49% ($n=17$) had a mental or physical illness or condition lasting 12 months or more; and 29% ($n=10$) had a learning difficulty.

The majority of participants reported owning a digital device (e.g., mobile phone or laptop) to access the internet ($n=30$, 86%) and using the internet every day ($n=29$, 83%) for a wide range of activities, most frequently, social media ($n=22$, 63%), work including research ($n=17$, 48%), streaming TV shows or videos ($n=10$, 29%), searching the internet for information ($n=9$, 26%), and checking news ($n=5$, 14%) and emails ($n=5$, 14%). Over half of the participants described themselves as having 'high' level skills using the internet (i.e., digital literacy) ($n=20$, 57%), 12 reported 'medium' (34%) and 2 reported 'low' (6%). Over a third ($n=12$, 34.3%) had never searched for any sexual health information online, none had ever used a live chat or email or text exchange service for getting sexual health support, and few had used other online sexual health services such as booking an appointment ($n=4$, 11.4%) or ordering medication through a private (non-state-funded) clinic ($n=1$, 2.9%). Moreover, the majority had never ordered a postal STI self-sampling kit ($n=24$, 68.6%) and a few reported having tried and struggled to order ($n=3$, 9%), or use ($n=7$, 20%), a self-sampling kit for STIs and blood borne viruses. See Appendix H for an overview of participant data regarding experience and self-rated skills of using the internet.

Table 1. Participant self-reported socio-economic demographic characteristics.

Variable	n	% ^a
Age		
16-24	8	22.9
25-34	10	28.6
35-44	13	37.1
45-54	1	2.9
55-64	1	2.9
65+	1	2.9
Ethnicity^b		
Asian, Asian British or Asian Welsh: Chinese	1	2.9
Asian, Asian British or Asian Welsh: Pakistani	4	11.4
Black, Black British, Black Welsh, Caribbean or African: African	3	8.6
Mixed or Multiple ethnic groups: Other Mixed or Multiple ethnic groups	1	2.9
White: Irish	1	2.9
White: English, Welsh, Scottish, Northern Irish, or British	19	54.3
Other self-identified groups (e.g., Hungarian, Italian, Jewish)	5	14.3
Sexual orientation		
Bisexual (M)	2	5.7
Bisexual (F)	5	14.3
Bi/pansexual/queer (gender-diverse)	3	8.6
Heterosexual/straight (M)	6	17.1
Heterosexual/straight (F)	10	28.6

Homosexual/gay (M)	7	20.0
No response	1	2.9
Gender		
Cisgender woman	16	45.7
Cisgender man	16	45.7
Gender diverse (non-binary, trans masc)	2	5.7
Education		
Secondary/High school	6	17.1
College (introductory/foundational vocational award to diploma)	12	34.3
University (Undergraduate student)	5	14.3
University (Undergraduate degree achieved)	5	14.3
University (Postgraduate degree achieved)	5	14.3
No response	1	2.9
Occupation		
Unemployed	11	31.4
Student (full-time/part-time)	9	25.7
Employed (full-time/part-time)	13	37.1
Retired	1	2.9
Area of deprivation ^c		
Least deprived (IMD Quintiles 3, 4 and 5)	14	40.0
Most deprived (IMD Quintiles 1 and 2)	17	48.6
No postcode (i.e., homeless)	1	2.9
No response	2	5.7
Difficulty making ends meet ^d		
Yes	9	25.7
Sometimes	3	8.6
Not anymore but have in the past	2	5.7
No	20	57.1
Belong to any particular religion or faith		
No (never, not anymore)	20	57.1
Maybe (e.g., spiritual, agnostic)	2	5.7
Yes	12	34.3
<i>Christianity</i>	7	20.0
<i>Islam</i>	4	11.4
<i>Judaism</i>	1	2.9
Disability		
Learning disability (n=34)	10	28.6
Mental or physical disability (n=33)	17	48.6
<i>Reduces ability to carry out day-to-day activities</i>	12	34.3
<i>Sometimes reduces ability to carry out day-to-day activities</i>	1	2.9
<i>Does not reduce ability to carry out day-to-day activities</i>	3	8.6
First language		
English	26	74.3
Non-English (Italian, Indonesian, French, Hungarian, Yoruba, Urdu, Gaelic)	8	22.9
Country born		
UK (England, Scotland)	26	74.3
<i>England</i>	13	37.1
<i>Scotland</i>	13	37.1
Non-UK (Italy, Indonesia, Switzerland, Hungary, Nigeria)	8	22.9

^aParticipant demographics for one participant were not obtained, table includes demographics for n=34, except where participants did not wish to answer the question. Percentages are calculated for N=35.

^bReported using the Official National Statistics classifications (68)

^cIndex of Multiple Deprivation (69)

^d“Making ends meet” = ability to pay for essentials needed to live.

RQ1) What are the barriers and facilitators to seeking sexual health information online among underserved populations?

Table 2 details the nine barrier and eleven facilitator themes to seeking online sexual health information, with indicative data extracts, and their corresponding COM-B components.

Barrier themes relating to **‘Capability’** were *‘Lack of awareness’*; *‘Lack of familiarity’*; *‘Lack of knowledge of where to search’*; and *‘Communication and understanding difficulties’*. This cluster of barriers related to a lack of important knowledge about the existence of sexual health information and how to access and understand it. The barrier theme relating to **‘Opportunity’** focussed on features of the digital environment: *‘Volume of information sources’* and *‘Lack of inclusivity and personal relevance’*. This barrier provides a sense of how some people find seeking online sexual health information overwhelming whilst navigating search engine results and finding relevant information. Barrier themes relating to **‘Motivation’** were negative perceptions of online sexual health information: *‘Concerns about privacy’*; *‘Concerns about credibility’*; *‘Concerns about adequacy/inferiority (compared to in-person/phone)’*. This cluster related to concerns about the internet as a source of sexual health information.

Facilitator themes related to **‘Capability’** centred around knowledge: *‘Knowledge of how and for what to search’* and *‘Ability to determine credibility’*. Facilitator themes related to **‘Opportunity’** focussed on features of the digital environment, promotion, and help: *‘Credible information being easy to find’*; *‘Video and audio options (alternative to text)’*; *‘Simplicity of information and layout’*; *‘Inclusivity and personal relevancy’*; *‘Advertisements to raise awareness’*; and *‘In-person assistance’*. Further, in-person assistance speaks to the need for help to seek online sexual health information, for example from a key worker or family member, among those of lower digital literacy or with learning difficulties. Facilitator themes relating to **‘Motivation’** were positive perceptions on online sexual health information: *‘Belief that information is credible’*; *‘Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/phone)’*; and *‘Belief that online information is a good starting point’*.

Table 2. Barrier and facilitator themes and related COM-B components for seeking online sexual health information among underserved populations.

Theme and theme summary	Illustrative quote
Barriers to seeking online sexual health information	
'Capability' (Physical and psychological attributes of a person e.g., mental functioning and resources)	
<p>Lack of awareness <i>People from underserved populations reported that they found it hard to seek sexual health information online... if they lacked awareness that there is sexual health information online</i></p>	<p><i>"being closeted, I struggled to know where to go, who to speak to about it [sexual health] and if I'm honest with you, I have had no experience of actually sexual health online whatsoever. [...] I think some of the issues is just I think I didn't really know that you could actually search for sexual health related support. I thought it was always you'd have to visit a professional in person or go through my GP for referral, so that's why I've never really thought about searching"</i></p>
<p>Lack of familiarity <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... if they lacked familiarity with using the internet or digital devices to search for information and were used to and comfortable with phone and in-person services</i></p>	<p><i>"I've no(t) actually tried it [...]. I'll probably start Googling stuff to do with sexual health, since this is brought to my attention. I've never really thought about it, I've always just, is what I say is, I just booked up for an appointment to get sexual health."</i></p>
<p>Lack of knowledge of where to search <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... if they did not know how to begin looking for it</i></p>	<p><i>"I wouldn't know where to start, to be fair. Like I don't...like I said, there's no part...there's nothing really being promoted of...do you know what I mean? Cause I think what like, I wouldn't know where to start. Whereas, if you were talking about, I don't know, like depression or whatever, I could tell you where to go for that and who to reach out to, I just don't for [sexual health], you know?"</i></p>
<p>Communication and understanding difficulties <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... if they had difficulty reading, spelling, or there is medical jargon</i></p>	<p><i>"Sometimes I find it a bit too... some of it I find it a bit hard to look up on the internet because sometimes I can spell some of it but sometimes it can be hard for me."</i></p>
'Opportunity' (Physical and social attributes of a person's environment e.g., inanimate and interpersonal resources)	
<p>Volume of information sources <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... because of the overwhelming volume of sources returned in a search engine</i></p>	<p><i>"there's so much options that you're like which one? You understand? And I think the [real] one supposed to be at the top page. You understand what I mean? The one you're looking for should be on the top page so that people not get confused. For me I get confused so many times. [...] And I think even NHS (sites), [...] there's so much comes</i></p>

	<i>before the actual one. So, you get mixed up. You don't even know which one is which. So, I think that's the only thing that can make it difficult. [...] For me, I get confused."</i>
<p>Lack of and inclusivity and personal relevance <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... when resources were heteronormative, cishnormative, or perceived to lack personal relevance e.g., regarding gender differences in STI symptoms</i></p>	<i>"Yeah, well, now some people in some sexual health services are changing towards 'people with vaginas', 'people with penises', 'assigned male at birth', 'assigned female at birth'. But often it's like 'women' and 'men', which is, like obviously women are people with vaginas, men are people with penises, but that can be really kind of...not upsetting but it can make you uncomfortable if you're not a person who identifies that way."</i>
'Motivation' (Reflective and automatic mental processes e.g., conscious thought processes)	
<p>Concerns about privacy <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... if they were concerned about others witnessing their search or accessing their search history</i></p>	<i>"Maybe if you have a shared computer and you don't want the history on there. [...] Some people might want to keep their searching private and not want anyone else to know what they're looking at. [...] You might have a partner who you don't want to know that you have slept with someone else."</i>
<p>Concerns about credibility <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... because they were uncertain about the trustworthiness of sites and concerned about misinformation</i></p>	<i>"[...] if I'm not feeling well, I tend not to...I try not to look at the internet for answers anymore. Unless I...like if I knew it was an established website then that would be different. [...] Like a...like a...NHS first or like a registered charity, that they would...that deals with specific problems. I don't...I don't fancy just like searching in things onto google or whatever search drive and taking their answers for granted. It would have to be a recognised body or institution that I would be like dealing with."</i>
<p>Concerns about adequacy/inferiority (compared to in-person/phone) <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it hard or choose not to seek sexual health information online... if they believed it would not be sufficient in meeting their needs and they would need to speak to a healthcare professional</i></p>	<i>"Yeah. Well, any symptoms, not all necessarily STIs, but health in general, I feel like the doctors would tell you not to look on the internet, because of how scary it can look, and how unprofessional the diagnoses are. So yeah, I guess, it wouldn't be my first reflex, to check on the on the internet, if I had something going wrong."</i>
Facilitators to seeking online sexual health information	
'Capability' (Physical and psychological attributes of a person e.g., mental functioning and resources)	
<p>Knowledge of how and for what to search <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek online sexual health</i></p>	<i>"Just I click on the wrong thing and then I click on something else and then I'm led to somewhere and I haven't even got a clue how I got there. What I've started to do is, like just say it was a sexually transmitted, I've just started to look up that, and 'sexually</i>

<i>information... if they were familiar with using key words and formulating questions to locate more information</i>	<i>transmitted', I'd just look for that. But if it was the symptoms, I'd just put like the symptoms."</i>
Ability to determine credibility <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... if they could distinguish between credible (e.g., governmental websites) and "sensationalist" (e.g., news websites) sources of information</i>	<i>"when it comes to health, when you do web searches of these things, or anything like that, a lot of people perhaps could go to the worst case scenario, perhaps, or they could go to a source that could be quite sensationalist. For example, sources like, the Express, isn't something that I would consider somewhere you would get health information from. However, sources like that remain a popular way to get information in regards to health, when it really shouldn't be the place many people should go to for that type of thing."</i>
'Opportunity' (Physical and social attributes of a person's environment e.g., inanimate and interpersonal and resources)	
Credible sources being easy to find <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... if credible sources were easy to find i.e., at the top of a search engine</i>	<i>"the information was just there, it wasn't like any links to click through, it was literally just in black and white right there [...] Because, well, the is NHS the first one that pops up when you search anyway, well it was for me anyway, so it was just right there."</i>
Video/Audio options (alternative to text) <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to search for sexual information online... if sites had text to speech, audio options, or videos, to reduce the amount of text and reading</i>	Interviewer: <i>"I just want to clarify here, if you were to search for the information by yourself, so there's not someone reading the information out for you, would it be easier for you if there were videos explaining the information, rather than reading the information, does that make sense?"</i> Participant: <i>"Yeah."</i>
Simplicity of information and layout <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... when sites used clear, easy to understand language, avoiding jargon and simple structures such as overview tabs</i>	<i>"I suppose when you do click on the NHS website it is quite straightforward in terms of it has got the tabs at the top so it has an overview and symptoms, treatments and it usually always tells you where to refer to with more information, so, it's quite easy to understand I always find and it doesn't talk in too complicated medical terms that not everyone is going to understand."</i>
Inclusivity and personal relevancy <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... when sites were inclusive of and personally relevant to the reader, e.g., regarding gender, sexual identity, religion, drug use</i>	<i>"I get my information, when it comes to queer sexual health, from social media. It tends to be pages that are dedicated to that kind of thing or websites that are dedicated to queer orientated sexual health, because although NHS does have some information, it tends to be more difficult to get the information you need for using protection and that kind of thing [...], I was really I about it because obviously you don't get taught about it at school and I think the NHS website lacks a lot with that kind of thing. So, yes, that's just the kind of things that I use them to find out about."</i>
Advertisements to raise awareness	<i>"[What would make it easier to search for sexual health information online is] More information as to the direct type of place that we should be looking for. {...} So, it should</i>

<p><i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to seek online sexual health information online... if there was signposting both offline (e.g., community hubs, GPs) and online (e.g., social media, NHS websites)</i></p>	<p><i>be advertised a bit more, in that sense. If you need to do it [get sexual health information online], you can do it online [...] if [a community hub has] got it, there's...or even your own sexual health [local clinic] or whatever, there is all these places and numbers that you can get sexual health help but there is never a website saying, you can get it on this and you can do it online. There's nothing. There's no advertising saying that so, we [young vulnerable girls and women] don't know."</i></p>
<p>In-person assistance <i>People from underserved populations - particularly of lower digital literacy - reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... if they had support, for example from family or a key worker, to search for, find, and understand relevant information</i></p>	<p><i>"What would make it [searching for sexual health information online] easier? Maybe have my daughter beside me, cause sometimes I know with Google, you type in a certain thing and about 20 things come up and you're clicking things that you think you've typed in but it's something totally different. So maybe having my daughter beside me or maybe one of the [support workers], one of them beside me would help me, make me do it more."</i></p>
<p>'Motivation' (A person's reflective and automatic mental processes e.g., conscious thought processes)</p>	
<p>Belief that information is credible <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek sexual health information online... when sites were perceived as credible</i></p>	<p><i>"So, the NHS is, in general, regarded very favourably. [...] As I understand it, the information there is all provided by someone who would be qualified to write on that subject. Obviously, being the institution that it is, is what makes it the source to go to, in my opinion. It's there, to me, it seems accountable with the information it provides, that's its role, it's not doing it for any other kind of interest, so to speak. It's there to provide the information that we need for the sake of, you know, for our health, basically, that's number one viewpoint that comes across to me."</i></p>
<p>Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/phone) <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek online sexual health information online... if they perceived it to be more private than phone or in-person or knew their privacy was safeguarded</i></p>	<p><i>"it is a lot more private. [...] I think that is private, so, it's a lot easier but when you're sitting on the phone and people can hear you, people are in that conversation and people don't always want to...maybe we're told to give a bit of a description on the phone what a problem might be, we can't always do that because there are people there and taking it out the room doesn't mean anything because it's still...if people are as paranoid as what I can be, sometimes. People are always listening. So, aye, online's a lot more safer, private and a lot better."</i></p>
<p>Belief that online information is a good starting point <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would/did find it easy or choose to seek online sexual health information... if they perceived it to be a good starting point for basic information (e.g., about STIs and symptoms), answering</i></p>	<p><i>"I feel like the internet is a good starting point. It wouldn't be like my final point, but good starting point. [...] Because it's quite easy, it's easy obviously just do a quick google, have a little read, and then it wouldn't be my ending point, because I'd much rather go and actually speak to someone and like make sure that doubt [about symptoms], even though I know it's like with the information on the NHS website, would much rather hear it [information about sexual health online] from a person. [...] Just because I wouldn't want</i></p>

simple questions and reassurance (e.g., about STI prevention, symptoms and treatment)

to like, for me, myself, to like be misdiagnosed with anything or thinking...do you know what I mean, I wouldn't want to get confused myself, so I'd rather hear it from a professional."

RQ2) What are the barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health support among underserved populations?

Table 3 details eight barrier and eight facilitator themes to seeking online sexual health support (via a synchronous live chat or asynchronous email or SMS text exchange), with illustrative extracts, and corresponding COM-B components.

Barrier themes relating to **'Capability'** were: *'Lack of awareness'* and *'Lack of familiarity'* with online text-based support. These themes revealed a lack of awareness of the existence of online sexual health support services and experience using digital technology and the internet for sexual health support. Barrier themes relating to **'Motivation'** were negative perceptions about digital sexual health support: *'Concerns about confidentiality and anonymity'*; *'Concerns about the impersonal nature of online support'*; *'Concerns about understanding and communication'*; *'Concerns about online support meeting their needs'*; *'Concerns about chatbots and automated responses'*; and *'Concerns about response wait times'*. This cluster of themes highlighted the span of participants' concerns about seeking online support. Participants consistently reported that they would not use online support for sexual health that had any wait times (i.e., was not instantaneous) to receive a response, or if they would be speaking to a 'chatbot' with pre-set or automated responses instead of a person, particularly a trained professional. No barriers corresponded to **Opportunity**.

One facilitator theme related to **'Capability'** was identified regarding knowledge: *'Familiarity with online text-based support services'*. Facilitator themes corresponding to **'Opportunity'** related to advertisements, help, and features of the digital environment: *'Immediate responses'*; *'Clear quality and trustworthiness'*; *'Personal feel'*; *'Advertisements to increase awareness'*; and *'In-person assistance'*. Immediacy of the response was a feature that people felt they needed to engage with online support. Advertisements were also important in relation to ways in which awareness and skills with online support could be enhanced. Further, *'in-person assistance'* illustrates the needs of participants of lower digital literacy and/or those with a learning difficulty for help engaging and accessing online support. Facilitator themes relating to **'Motivation'** were positive perceptions on online sexual health support: *'Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/phone)'* and *'Beliefs about online support meeting their needs'*.

Table 3. Barrier and facilitator themes and corresponding COM-B components for seeking online sexual health support among underserved populations.

Theme and theme summary	Indicative quotes
Barriers to seeking online sexual health support	
'Capability' (Physical and psychological attributes of a person e.g., mental functioning and resources)	
<p>Lack of awareness <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard to get sexual health support online... because they lacked awareness that online sexual health support exists</i></p>	<p><i>"If I'm being honest, I didn't even know they existed, the live chats, through the sexual health worker. [...] I'm one of the older generation, though I'm 61, so I'm kind of older and I actually just even got a smartphone and I'm not clued into all that. I've come across a few people that have not got any computer skills at all. At least I've got some."</i></p>
<p>Lack of familiarity <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they lacked familiarity with using a live chat, email, or text exchange service and were used to phone or in-person services</i></p>	<p><i>"I've used services through calling the services, and that's what I've always known. Because I've not used live chat services like that, I wouldn't know, so I wouldn't know what would be involved"</i></p>
'Motivation' (A person's reflective and automatic mental processes e.g., conscious thought processes)	
<p>Concerns about confidentiality and anonymity <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they were concerned about the confidentiality of live chats and worried about others, such as partners or parents, finding out they may have STI or sexual health questions or concerns</i></p>	<p><i>"I mean as much as I think it's a good thing, I do...I would still have some concerns about putting in my full...you know, like my real name and stuff like that unless I really knew for sure I was dealing with a confidential company...or like the NHS"</i></p>
<p>Concerns about the impersonal nature of online support <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they perceived it to be impersonal and lacking capacity to provide reassurance</i></p>	<p><i>"Mhm. I guess, my first thought would be, how impersonal it can be. [...] I would say, it's more of a disadvantage, as in, yeah, it does feel a bit impersonal, and I feel, because of how personal sexual health is, that would be a bit...like a gap...that it's weird for me."</i></p>
<p>Concerns about understanding and communication <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they had difficulty with spelling or reading and/or were concerned about staff not being able to communicate clearly and effectively</i></p>	<p><i>"I'd probably be concerned that...whether I was able to understand what was being said in the first place."</i></p>

<p>Concerns about online support meeting their needs <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they believed it would not be sufficient in meeting their needs and they would need to see a healthcare professional in-person</i></p>	<p><i>"Yeah, yeah, yeah, so as in like, if I was texting someone and I thought I had an STI, it would for me it would just be the same as Googling. I'd personally want to speak to someone physically to kind of possibly be reassured. You can't translate that on a message, you know?"</i></p>
<p>Concerns about chatbots and automated responses <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if they knew or thought it was being delivered via automated responses instead of a qualified professional or trained member of staff due to concerns about the quality of the responses</i></p>	<p><i>"I don't really mind if it's trained staff or a doctor or a nurse, but I think I'd... not do it if it's a chatbot, because sometimes they can be not helpful. [...] Because... well, the chatbot I had experienced [for non-sexual-health-related help] only had like set responses and sometimes when you want to use the live chat you kind of have more complicated stuff to ask about, sometimes the set responses aren't that helpful, so it's more frustrating than just not doing it. [...] like I could just Google it instead of talking to a chatbot, cause like I said, if I'm going to do a live chat, it's probably something more complicated and it's like something I can't Google. [...] I'd use like a live chat or an email thing, if it's a healthcare professional on the other end."</i></p>
<p>Concerns about response wait times <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it hard or choose not to get sexual health support online... if there was a wait time for receiving response including hours to days via asynchronous communication or half an hour for synchronous communication</i></p>	<p><i>"I just probably wouldn't use that because it's just prolonging like whatever problem I need help with. [...] if I wanted to speak to someone and wanted to get help, then it doesn't really seem useful to be waiting for them a couple of days or a day or so for an answer, then send one back and then wait another day, it just doesn't really seem like...don't really see the point in it."</i></p>
<p>Facilitators to seeking online sexual health support</p>	
<p>'Capability' (Physical and psychological attributes of a person e.g., mental functioning and resources)</p>	
<p>Familiarity with online text-based support <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if they had familiarity with using live chats, email, or text exchange services as modes of communication</i></p>	<p><i>"If you're very familiar with texting, and using your email, to me, it would be pretty convenient to use it, because obviously you're very familiar with that already."</i></p>
<p>'Opportunity' (Physical and social attributes of a person's environment e.g., inanimate and interpersonal and resources)</p>	
<p>Immediate responses <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online if responses were quick (i.e., immediate), as sexual health queries were typically perceived to be urgent</i></p>	<p><i>"I imagine it [sexual health live chat] would be quite good cos it would be like immediate...you're immediately directed to someone, so you can ask questions."</i></p>

<p>Clear trustworthiness and high quality <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if they knew the interaction was with a qualified/trained person and, for some, if it was a safe space for discussing LGBTQI+ issues</i></p>	<p><i>"If I knew this person was working for the NHS, I would... I immediately have a trust. I just trust them completely. I know sometimes there are breaches in confidentiality in all organisations, but I just immediately feel I can trust this person with very sensitive information. If it's a private company, I wouldn't feel the same [...] I wouldn't automatically trust them"</i></p>
<p>Personal feel <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if it felt personal (e.g., had a friendly face, welcome invitation, and/or feels like a chat rather than formal)</i></p>	<p><i>"someone who kind of talks in their dialect so every time you come on to these chats it's a different speech pattern, it's a different stress pattern on words and you can see it there visually in front of you so you know it's someone different and you know it's a person, if that makes sense. [...] So, just having like a true voice, like allowing people to have that true voice, let the informality, let the slang be there... Maybe give someone, maybe like at the start when opting in for the chat, give someone the option like would you like a more formal chat or an informal chat or if there's another way to discuss that or ask that question."</i></p>
<p>Advertisements to increase awareness <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if there was promotion both offline (e.g., community hubs, GPs) and online (e.g., social media, NHS websites)</i></p>	<p><i>"Again, like I said, advertisement, more people talking about it [sexual health live chat services], like sexual health clinics and people opening up and talking about it but it's no...if we go to a sexual health team, we never hear anybody, you know you can go online and get this, we don't hear anything like that. It's just, right, see you next week"</i></p>
<p>In-person assistance <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if they had help, e.g., from key workers, to find online services, type questions, and interpret responses</i></p>	<p><i>"Some people with disabilities who need...who get support would need to do it with support 'cause like me, probably wouldn't understand the long jargon words. And need help to spell"</i></p>
<p>'Motivation' (A person's reflective and automatic mental processes e.g., conscious thought processes)</p>	
<p>Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/ phone) <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if they perceived it to be more private than phone or in-person or knew their privacy was safeguarded</i></p>	<p><i>"it can feel a bit uncomfortable or a bit embarrassing going to a sexual health clinic in a way. So, it [a sexual health live chat/email/text service] would take that out of it as well. [...] I suppose it's because sexual health is still not something we just talk about openly, especially not as an Asian person. In the Asian community, we don't really talk about sex a lot, so I feel quite like I'm being watched when I do go into the clinic."</i></p>
<p>Beliefs about online support meeting their needs <i>People from underserved populations reported that they would find it easy or choose to get sexual health support online... if</i></p>	<p><i>"as far as I understand, these live chat services [...] is to kind of get sexual health support and advice so, and what I understand by sexual health support could be, again, general information about kind of sexual health in general but also kind of support about, say,</i></p>

they perceived it to be sufficient to meet their needs, i.e., for general or specific queries, link to in-person services, to arrange a call back, help to order an OPSS, or to book an appointment

suppose I might just, I've just had sex and I'm concerned about this...information about a specific instance, for example, am I at risk, am I a risk? So, again, I don't think speaking to someone in person, for example, wouldn't necessarily be better than using the live chat. [...] so if it's just concerning a) some general questions about, say, what kind of services are available or b) general information about STIs and sexual health in general and c) information about...again, suppose I just had a sexual encounter that was deemed to be kind of a risk and I wanted to kind of speak to someone about it because I had some concerns then an online live chat would do absolutely fine."

RQ3) What evidence-based and theoretically informed recommendations can be made to enhance seeking online sexual health information and support among underserved populations?

The BCW analysis identified potentially useful Intervention Functions to assist underserved populations in seeking online sexual health information and support: **Education, Persuasion, Training, Modelling, Enablement, and Environmental Restructuring** (see Appendix I for definitions). From these Intervention Functions, we proposed a range of more specific recommendations outlined below. Table 4 and Table 5 provide an overview of the BCW analysis of barriers and facilitators and proposed recommendations for online sexual health information and support, respectively.

Education and Persuasion

It may be critical to educate people from underserved populations that online sexual health information and support services exist, for example, through advertising online (e.g., via social media) and offline (e.g., via HCPs and NGOs), and persuade them to use these via endorsement by a range of credible professionals and peers. This education could be delivered via face-to-face interactions with service staff (e.g., HCPs and key workers), posters or leaflets, or posts on professional social media accounts (e.g., from HCPs or influencers) detailing where to search (i.e., search engines/NHS website), what to search for (i.e., key terms), and how to identify credible sources (i.e., key logos). It may also be important to inform people about the benefits and limits of online sexual health information and support and when in-person care should be sought i.e., that online information and support are appropriate for simple issues, such as symptom checking, finding clinics, booking appointments, and signposting, and not appropriate for complex healthcare, such as diagnosis. Further, education regarding the privacy and confidentiality of online sexual health information and support may be important, as well as promoting them as simple and easy to use, personal, synchronous with immediate responses, and not reliant on automated responses). It is critical that Education and Persuasion are delivered alongside Environmental Restructuring and Enablement to ensure online sexual health information and support are private/confidential and simple to use.

Training and Modelling

Training opportunities for necessary skill acquisition could be part of all services that offer online information and support. Specifically, this could involve step-by-step instructions on using search engines, identifying and typing key terms, determining source credibility, and understanding and applying information. Training could be delivered in the form of videos, posters or leaflets, or professional or peer-led tutorials. To ensure accessibility in relation to digital provision, audiovisual training options should be offered alongside text-based and training should be provided both in-person as well as online. It might also be critical to provide modelling of peers successfully using online sexual health information and support, such as showing videos or images of peers from underserved populations searching for sexual health information such as STI symptoms or using a sexual health live chat to discuss safe sex. Further, online sexual health information and support service providers could explore partnerships with NGOs for delivery of training.

Environmental restructuring and Enablement

Restructuring the online environment and enabling people from underserved populations to seek online sexual health information and support is essential. Particularly, it may be vital to ensure that online sexual health information and support services are clearly labelled as delivered by credible, trusted sources (i.e., NHS, registered charities) and are designed to be simple to use, avoiding medical jargon and explaining terms when they must be used. Further, enablement could involve providing a glossary of sexual health terms for examples of correct spelling and video and/or audio options for information. Online sexual information and support content could also be demonstrably tailored to a range of communities (e.g., gender, sexuality, and religious minorities, and people who use recreational drugs). Further, in-person assistance could enable people of lower digital literacy and

with learning difficulties to access and use sexual health information and support. Finally, online sexual health information and support service providers could ensure that any text-based interactions are synchronous (in real-time) and delivered by a trained professional, stating the name and training/qualifications of the professional involved.

Table 4. Intervention content from Behaviour Change Wheel to address barriers and facilitators to seeking sexual health information online.

Barriers	Facilitators	COM-B	Intervention Functions and recommendations for those providing sexual health information online
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of awareness • Lack of familiarity • Communication and understanding difficulties • Lack of knowledge of where to search 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge of <i>how</i> and <i>for what</i> to search • Ability to determine credibility 	<p>Capability</p>	<p>Education and Persuasion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Promote online sexual health information via social media, HCPs and NGOs with the professional endorsement of credible sites. <p>Education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide information via interactions with service staff, leaflets or adverts detailing where to search (i.e., search engines/NHS website), what to search for (i.e., key terms), and how to identify credible sources (i.e., key logos). <p>Training:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide in-person and online training for actual or potential service users in using search engines and key terms, focus on developing skills to determine source credibility and understanding and applying information. 2. Provide contemporary guidance to assist with the phraseology of searches to make the most out of artificial intelligence. 3. Provide professional or peer-led opportunities for people to shadow trusted others 4. Provide online tutorials of how to use services. 5. Ensure all training is accessible to many (e.g., in-person as well as online provision, and audiovisual rather than text-based). 6. Explore partnerships with NGOs and other non-sexual health service providers, to identify where, and by who, this activity should be delivered. <p>Modelling:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide accessible examples, such as videos, or posters of how to search effectively, using peers that show diverse service users successfully searching for sexual health information online. <p>Enablement:</p>

			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide an easily accessible, 'copy and paste-able' glossary of terms (i.e., 'gonorrhoea') that give simple access to the correct spelling for searches.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volume of information sources • Lack of and inclusivity and personal relevance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Credible sources being easy to find • Inclusivity and personal relevancy • Video/Audio options (alternative to text) • Simplicity of information and layout • Advertisements to raise awareness • In-person assistance 	Opportunity	<p>Environmental restructuring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Advertise online information services both online and offline. 2. Ensure credible sources (i.e., NHS, registered charities) are accessible and simple to use and working with providers attempt to ensure that credible sources appear first in search results. 3. Ensure the use of LGBTQI+, religious, and drug user inclusive content, language and images throughout. <p>Enablement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where it is needed, provide in-person assistance to educate, train, or support people accessing and using online information services. 2. Provide inclusive sexual health information (language and visuals) for a range of communities (e.g., LGBTQI+ communities, people who use drugs). 3. Clearly differentiate sexual health information for different genders and anatomies. 4. Ensure that video and/or audio options are available as an alternative to reading text; avoid medical jargon and explain terms when they must be used.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns about privacy • Concerns about credibility • Concerns about adequacy/ inferiority (compared to in-person/phone) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belief that information is credible • Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/phone) • Belief that online information is a good starting point 	Motivation	<p>Persuasion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure that professionals, or peers, affirm the value and credibility of trusted sites within face-to-face interactions. 2. Ensure adverts can promote credible sites harnessing key opinion leaders with multiple followers e.g., social media influencers. <p>Education and Persuasion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide information about the privacy and confidentiality of online information services; provide information about the likely adequacy of online information to meet people's needs (e.g. finding services vs seeking assessment of symptoms). 2. Provide transparent information about the limits of online information services clearly showing when in-person care should be sought.

Table 5. Intervention content from Behaviour Change Wheel to address barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health support.

Barriers	Facilitators	COM-B	Intervention functions and suggested intervention content for those providing online sexual health support
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of awareness • Lack of familiarity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Familiarity with text-based support services 	Capability	<p>Education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Promote high-quality online sexual health support both online (i.e., social media) and offline (i.e., diverse professionals and/or peers and carers) and ensure that people are aware of what online support services is, and is not, good for (e.g. not for seeking diagnosis but for details about when to seek an in-person appointment). <p>Training:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide video tutorials of how to use online sexual health support, including top tips of what to do and not to do. 2. Provide posters with step-by-step instructions, and top tips. 3. Provide professional or peer-led opportunities for people to shadow trusted others 4. Provide online tutorials of how to use services. <p>Modelling:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide videos or posters, with examples of peers, to show service users successfully searching for and using online sexual health support.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Immediate responses • Clear quality and trustworthiness • Personal feel • Advertisements to raise awareness • In-person assistance 	Opportunity	<p>Environmental restructuring:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure text-based interactions are simple and easy to use as well as being synchronous (in real-time), provided by a trained professional with interpersonal skills. 2. Ensure the name and training/qualifications of the providers are stated. 3. Advertise online support services both online and offline. <p>Enablement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where it is needed, provide in-person assistance to educate, train, or support people accessing and using online support services.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns about confidentiality and anonymity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beliefs about equal/increased privacy (compared to in-person/phone) 	Motivation	<p>Education and Persuasion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide information about the privacy and confidentiality of online support services.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns about chatbots and automated responses • Concerns about response wait times • Concerns about online support meeting their needs • Concerns about the impersonal nature of online support • Concerns about understanding and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beliefs about online support meeting their needs 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Provide information about the likely adequacy of online support to meet people’s needs (e.g. finding services vs seeking assessment of symptoms). 3. Provide transparent information about the limits of online support services clearly showing when in-person care should be sought. <p>Education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Promote online sexual health support as simple and easy to use, personal, synchronous with immediate responses, and not reliant on automated responses <p>Persuasion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use professionals or peers to affirm value and credibility of trusted support services during face-to-face interactions 2. Advertise to promote credible support services harnessing key opinion leaders with multiple followers e.g., social media influencers <p>Training:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Train staff in managing situations where communication is genuinely challenging <p>Enablement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide a useable, ‘copy and paste’-able glossary of terms (i.e., ‘gonorrhoea’) for people to copy correct spelling
--	--	--	---

Discussion

This study is the first to detail barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health information and support amongst underserved populations and propose specific recommendations to enable underserved populations to find and use online sexual health information and support. These findings can be applied existing and novel online sexual health information and support services to improve access to this digital doorway to wider sexual health services.

Barriers and facilitators to passing through the digital doorway

Key barriers to people from underserved populations seeking online sexual health information and support were a lack of awareness of their availability and familiarity with using them; privacy concerns; and the perception of as inadequate to meet varied and complex sexual health needs and inferior to traditional information and support services (e.g., in-person appointment or phone call with a HCP). For seeking online sexual health information, specifically, barriers were navigating the overwhelming volume of information and different sources of and the perceived lack of inclusivity and relevance of information, particularly on government websites. For seeking online sexual health support, specifically, barriers were chatbots and automated responses; asynchronous communication; and wait times for responses. An important consideration here is that none of the participants had ever used an online sexual health support service, despite their availability (e.g., 17–22), thus these barriers were exclusively hypothetical.

Important facilitators to seeking online sexual health information and support were the perceived benefit of increased privacy compared to traditional services; the provision of video and/or audio options as alternatives to text; the presentation of information and support in a simple way – such as step by step and without jargon; and in-person assistance, for example, from key workers, family members, NGO staff, or GPs. For seeking online sexual health information, specifically, facilitators were inclusivity and personal relevancy of sexual health information, particularly for people from LGBTQI+ populations, religious backgrounds, and recreational drug users. For seeking online sexual health support, specifically, facilitators were synchronous communication; the perception of online support as acceptable for simple tasks such as appointment booking, general sexual health advice, and signposting to services; and delivery by qualified personnel.

Some of these findings are novel to this study. Importantly, low awareness of online sexual health information and support was common, even among participants who reported using the internet ‘all the time’. Additionally, the perceived inferiority and inadequacy of online sexual health information compared to traditional sources of sexual health information and unanimous rejection of chatbots and automated responses for sexual health support, due to the perceived complexity of sexual health, were critical. However, beliefs about the inferiority of online services may change, as generative artificial intelligence becomes more commonplace and trusted (80). Further, many of our barrier and facilitators findings are consistent with previous literature, offering a novel perspective from a diverse sample of underserved populations. Privacy benefits and concerns (38,57,71–74) and the value of video and audio options and simplicity (e.g., 29,38,75) have been previously reported amongst a range of populations. Further, the overwhelming volume of sources and information, need for inclusivity and personal relevancy of information, and preferences for online support to be delivered synchronously have been reported previously among sexual minority women (76) and African American youth (75), and young people (38,77,78). Moreover, concerns about the adequacy of online information and support has been reported previously (73,74,79) and the acceptability of online support for simple tasks is in line with research regarding HCPs’ views of chatbots for sexual health (73). Overall, the consistency of these findings with research with other populations indicates that addressing the barriers and enhancing the facilitators from this current study will improve online sexual health information and support for many beyond this sample.

Recommendations for the digital doorway to wider sexual healthcare

We identified a range of theory informed Intervention Functions to improve access to the digital doorway to wider sexual healthcare, including **Education, Persuasion, Training, Modelling, Environmental Restructuring, and Enablement**. First, advertising and promotion of services that provide online sexual health information and support and HCP's endorsing online services and supporting patients to use them is vital. Together, these findings resonate with wider research on telehealth *per se* (81), highlighting the importance of marketing and communication activities to increase awareness of online services to enable equitable access. Additionally, interventions could include online services offering audio-visual forms of communication, such as text-to-speech or cartoon animations. Moreover, our recommendations include the provision of inclusive and personally relevant information and support, such as information about sexual health relevant to those of diverse sexual and/or gender identities, religious backgrounds, and drug use. This is in line with previous research showing that tailoring of information can positively influence acceptability of interventions and address barriers to care (82–84). Further, interventions could include training HCP's on how to use and promote online sexual health services effectively, in line with previous research regarding reducing digital inequalities (81). The latter is essential for effective professional endorsement of high-quality online services for sexual health information and support (85). Such endorsements should stress the services' credibility, useability, and details of its functionality (e.g., trained professional will interact in real time). Interventions could also include embedding optional training for service users regarding how to use online services.

While the BCW analysis identified the above recommendations, it is important to consider that intervention development guidance highlights the centrality of the involvement of relevant stakeholders to co-produce culturally appropriate intervention content (86), whilst considering affordability, practicability, efficacy, acceptability, side-effects, and equity (45). Such stakeholder engagement must consider and address the operationalisation of our proposed recommendations to ensure final intervention content aligns with local circumstances and wider legislative socio-cultural contexts. Furthermore, the involvement of stakeholders in shaping future interventions can help secure their effective delivery, given their investment of time and resource.

Implications

Changes to the ways online sexual health information and support are provided are required to facilitate more people to pass through the digital doorway to wider sexual healthcare. Given that some of these findings are already well documented in the literature, it is concerning that sufficient changes have not yet been made. Our evidence-based and theoretically informed recommendations (i.e., Tables 5 and 6) should assist these changes to take place. As such, our detailed specification of recommendations is a valuable contribution to the field of sexual health with the potential to catalyse change in the delivery of sexual health information and support online.

Strengths and limitations

First, the PPIE activities with diverse representatives ensured the development of inclusive study materials that were fit for purpose. Second, as the study was conducted in the UK, some of the findings may be specific to the UK health setting. However, most of the findings presented here are likely to be generalisable to the wider population and to other countries and settings. Third, in addition to a rigorous thematic analysis, we conducted a BCW analysis to provide theory informed and evidence-based recommendations for improvements to seeking online sexual health information and support. As the BCW draws on the cumulative learning from multiple theories of behaviour change (66), it enabled us to specify potentially useful intervention content beyond that suggested by our participants.

Furthermore, our sample included people from a range of underserved populations whose needs are typically unmet by existing services and whose perspectives are often overlooked, such as people from minoritised sexual, ethnic, and religious groups, and those living in the most deprived areas. However, despite our best efforts, we were unable to meet our target recruitment for participants who are from minoritised gender and ethnic groups, particularly those of Black African and Black Caribbean ethnicity who experience a disproportionate burden of STIs (35,43) and have been historically underserved. Such populations have been involved in previous research into online sexual health information and support (48,75,87–89), however, all of these studies were conducted in the United States. Future research should prioritise recruiting people from minoritised gender and ethnic groups in the UK.

Conclusion


This study identified key barriers and facilitators to seeking online sexual health information and support among a diverse sample of underserved populations. Our BCW analyses then suggested an array of potentially useful changes that could be made to reduce barriers and enhance facilitators to passing through this digital doorway and subsequently increase access to wider in-person and online sexual healthcare. Overall, our recommendations focus on adding to existing services in ways that enable use of them and educate, persuade, and offer accessible training to those from underserved populations. Recommendations include educate about the existence of online services that provide sexual health information and support; persuade people about their credibility; provide training, such as step-by-step instructions on how to seek information online and use online support and appraise the credibility of online information and support services; model peers successfully seeking information and using support services online; and enable use of them by ensuring they are inclusive and simple to use, including provision of providing a glossary of terms to assist with spelling for searches and communication and step-by-step instructions on how to seek information online and use online support. Ultimately, while online sexual health provision has the potential to extend access to healthcare for some, addressing the needs of underserved population outlined here is crucial to facilitate access to through the digital doorway to sexual healthcare and prevent the widening of health inequalities.

Appendices

Appendix A: A list of examples of online sexual health information and support services

Online sexual health information/support	Website name	Website link
Online sexual health information		
Information on websites	NHS	https://www.nhs.uk/service-search/sexual-health
	Brook	https://www.brook.org.uk/
	SH:24	https://sh24.org.uk/
	Terrance Higgins Trust	https://www.tht.org.uk/
	Waverly care	https://www.waverleycare.org/
Online sexual health support		
Synchronous communication (live chat) with trained professional	Terrance Higgins Trust	https://www.tht.org.uk/our-services/support-services/tht-direct-helpline
Synchronous communication (live chat) with automated responses (chatbot)	Planned Parenthood	https://roo.plannedparenthood.org/
	PositiveEast	https://www.positiveeast.org.uk/chattopat/
	Brook	https://www.brook.org.uk/contact-us/
	NHS Blackpool Teaching Hospitals	https://www.lancashiresexualhealth.nhs.uk/sexual-health-guides/chatbot
Asynchronous communication (email) with a trained professional	Embrace	https://www.embracewolverhampton.nhs.uk/about-us/chat-sexual-health#:~:text=Text%20a%20sexual%20health%20nurse,Smear%20tests
	Sandyford	https://www.sandyford.scot/about-us/#:~:text=If%20you%20are%20involved%20in,.scot.nhs.uk
	Umbrella	https://umbrellahealth.co.uk/our-services/chathealth/
	Sexual Health Heartfordshire	https://www.sexualhealthhertfordshire.clch.nhs.uk/support/chat-sexual-health
	Waverly care	https://www.waverleycare.org/home/contact-us/
	Terrance Higgins Trust	https://www.tht.org.uk/get-help/support-services/tht-direct-helpline
	SH:24	https://sh24.org.uk/contact-us
Asynchronous communication (SMS text) with a trained professional	Leeds Sexual Health	https://www.leedssexualhealth.com/wheretogo/chat-health
	NHS Dorset HealthCare University	https://sexualhealthdorset.org/how-can-we-help/chat-sexual-health/

Appendix B: An overview of seven interrelated elements of care within the behavioural system of accessing and using online sexual healthcare



Note. Numbers and thick arrows represent a pathway for accessing and using online sexual healthcare, typically beginning with seeking online sexual health information and support online, which can lead to a sequential online STI and BBV self-sampling service pathway. Thick yellow arrows indicate a non-sequential order, where the elements of care can occur in any order, e.g., seeking online sexual health information can occur before, simultaneous to, or after seeking online sexual health support. Thin yellow arrows indicate that seeking online sexual health information or support can occur at any point in the STI self-sampling and treatment pathway. Thick blue arrows indicate a sequential order, where a later element of care cannot precede an earlier domain, e.g., getting STI test result online must occur after getting a postal STI/BBV self-sampling kit online.

Appendix C: Target sampling frame developed prior to data collection.

Demographic characteristics and socioeconomic indicators	Targets (if sample n=30)
Ethnicity	n=10 from minority ethnic groups (especially Black Caribbean, Black Other, and Mixed ethnic populations)
Age	n=15 aged under 25 years n=10 aged 25 to 49 years n=5 aged 50+ years
Gender	n=5-10 non-binary and trans people n=20-25 cisgender
Sexuality	n=5 bisexual women n=5 heterosexually identifying MSM ^a (HIMSM) n=5-10 gay and bisexual MSM (GBMSM) n=15-20 heterosexual men
Disability	n=5 with a mild learning disability
Education	n=10-15 with no higher education
Employment	n=10 out of work / in temporary work
Area deprivation (IMD^b)	n=10-15 from the most deprived quintiles
Geography/Area of residence	n=5 people from a geographic area with limited access to physical sexual health services?

^aMSM = men who have sex with men

^bIMD = Index of Multiple Deprivation.

Appendix D: Socio-economic demographics and screening survey.

Eligibility Questions
1. Are you aged 16 or over?
2. Do you live in the UK?
3. Do you have access to the internet?
4. Have you had sex in the last 12 months?
5. a) Have you ever ordered a postal STI self-sampling kit before? b) <i>[If yes]</i> Did you experience any difficulty in ordering it?
PROGRESS+ screening questions:
1. What age are you?
2. How would you best describe your gender?
3. Were you assigned a different gender at birth?
4. How would you describe your sexual orientation?
5. How would you describe your ethnicity?
6. What is your highest educational qualification?
7. How would you describe your current occupation?
8. What is your postcode?
9. a) Do you have any physical or mental health conditions or illnesses that have lasted or are expected to last for 12 months or more? b) <i>[If yes]</i> Do any of your illnesses or conditions reduce your ability to carry out day to day activities?
10. Do you consider yourself to have a learning disability?
PROGRESS+ demographic questions:
1. a) Were you born in the UK? b) <i>[If no]</i> Which country were you born in?
2. What is your first language?
3. a) Do you regard yourself as belonging to any particular religion or faith? b) <i>[If yes]</i> Which religion or faith?
4. Do you ever have difficulty making ends meet at the end of the month?
5. How many people can you call upon to provide support if you need it?
Internet access and use questions:
1. How do you typically access the Internet?
2. What device(s) do you use to access the internet?
3. Are these your own devices?
4. How often do you go online?
5. What sorts of things do you go online for?
6. a) Have you ever searched for health or sexual health information online? b) <i>[if yes]</i> What sort of information have you searched for?
7. a) Have you ever used any online health or sexual health services? b) <i>[if yes]</i> Which services have you used? • prompt about live chat/email or text service
8. How would you rate your skills in using the internet?

Appendix E: Interview topic guide.

Behavioural domain Description of element of online sexual healthcare and corresponding slide	Specific behaviour		Question	Prompts
Knowledge of current online sexual healthcare (1 min)	-		<i>[If never searched for/used SHS online before]</i> Can you briefly tell me about your experience of accessing sexual health services in the past?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How did you become aware of these health / sexual health services? • What, if anything, has affected your decision not to use online sexual health services? • What do you think about online sexual health services as an idea? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What do you think it may be like? ○ What do you think it might involve? • Do you have a good idea of what STIs are?
			<i>[If searched for/used SHS online before]</i> Can you briefly tell me, from your recent experience, what you know about online sexual health services/ health services?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How did you become aware of these online sexual health services? • Can you tell me what has affected your decision to use online sexual health services?
Online sexual healthcare <i>per se</i> (2 mins)	Access online sexual healthcare		Is there anything that might make/has made it difficult for you to access online sexual health services?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there anything that might make it difficult for you to get online to use online SHS? • Think about how you normally access the internet
	Use online sexual healthcare		Is there anything that might/has make it difficult for you to use online sexual health services?	

<p>1. Getting sexual health information online (5-7 mins)</p> <p><i>[#1 on slide 3 & Slide 4]</i> You can find a wide range of information about sexual health online, on websites like the NHS and sexual health charity websites. These websites have information on them about sexual health services, including clinic locations and opening times. They also have information about sexual health, including how to have safe sex and STI symptoms and testing <i>[Slide 5]</i> You can search for this information on Internet, using devices like a mobile phone or computer. <i>[Sense check]</i></p>	-	Previous experience	<p><i>[If never searched for sexual health or health information online before]</i> Tell me about your experience using the internet for any kind of information</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What, if anything, has stopped you from searching for health/sexual health information online? • Compared to getting sexual health information in person or on the phone
			<p><i>[If only searched for other health information]</i> Tell me about any experience of searching for other health information online</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are your thoughts on searching for SH information online?
			<p><i>[If have searched for sexual health information online before]</i> Tell me about your previous experience of searching for sexual health information online</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are your thoughts on searching for SH information online?
		Barriers	<p>What might make/ has made it difficult for you to search for sexual health information online?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why? • What might you struggle with? • Is there anything that might stop you/put you off? • Is there anything you might be concerned about? • How would you know if you could trust the website? • What challenges may there be for you in searching for sexual health information online?
		Facilitators	<p>What might make/has made it easy for you to search for</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why? • What are the benefits for you to this?

			sexual health information online?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What might encourage you to do this? • What would help you do this? • What do you think would be good about it? Why? 	
<p>2. Getting sexual health information and advice online (5-7 mins)</p> <p><i>[#2 on slide 3 & slide 6]</i></p> <p>Some sexual health clinic and charity websites offer a service that lets you talk to a sexual healthcare professional (doctor or nurse on the NHS) or trained staff (on a sexual health charity website). You can ask or talk about things like safe sex, sexually transmitted infections, and the services available to you.</p> <p>These are screenshots of these services from a sexual health website.</p> <p><i>[Slide 7]</i></p> <p>This includes a live chat service where you type your name and a question and are connected to someone immediately, or an email or text service here you type your name, email address or phone number and a question, and they respond to you by email or text as soon as possible (generally within a few hours to days)</p> <p><i>[Sense check]</i></p>	-		<p><i>[If never got sexual health support and advice online before]</i></p> <p>What do you think about getting sexual health support and advice online?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What might be different for you about using a live chat and an email or text service to get sexual health support and advice online? • Do you think there might be any difference between talking to a HCP or a trained member of staff 	
		Live chat		<p><i>[If never used a live chat for getting sexual health support and advice online before]</i></p> <p>What do you think about using a live chat service to get sexual health support and advice online?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why?
			Barriers	<p>What would make/ has made it difficult for you to use a live web chat to get sexual health support and advice online?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there anything you might be concerned about? • Is there anything that would stop you? • What might put you off using a live web chat for getting sexual health support and advice? • Why wouldn't you do this? • What challenges might there be for you? • What might help you with this?
			Facilitators	<p>What would make/ has made it easy for you to use a live web chat to get sexual</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What do you think would encourage you to use a live web chat for getting sexual health support and advice? • Why would you do this?



			health support and advice online?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difference between talking to HCP and trained staff?
	Email/Text service		<p><i>[If never used an email/text service for getting sexual health support and advice online before]</i></p> <p>What do you think about using an email/text service to get sexual health support and advice online?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why?
		Barriers	What would make/ has made it difficult for you to use an email/text service to get sexual health support and advice online?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What might stop you? • What might put you off using an email/text service for getting sexual health support and advice? • Why wouldn't you do this? • What challenges might there be? • What might help you with this?
		Facilitators	What would make/ has made it easy for you to use an email or text service to get sexual health support and advice online?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What would encourage you to use an email/text service to get sexual health support and advice? • Why would you do this?
	Sexual health service	Barriers		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u> </u> Is there anything that would make it difficult for you to collect your STI treatment from a pharmacy? • <u> </u> Challenges for you
		Facilitators		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u> </u> Is there anything that would make it easy for you to collect your STI treatment from a SHS? • <u> </u> Benefits for you

Appendix F: Interview slides provided to every participant prior to the interview

Slide 4

1 Searching for sexual health information online

- There is a wide range of sexual health information available online, including on the NHS website and on sexual health charities' websites.
- These websites have information about sexual health, like
 - how to have safe sex, sexually transmitted infection (STI) symptoms, and STI testing.
 - sexual health clinic locations, opening times and services




SEQUENCE Study A Access Visual Tool v3.1 20.01.22; IRAS 299331

Slide 5

1 Searching for sexual health information online

- You can **search for this information on the Internet**, using devices like a mobile phone or computer.



SEQUENCE Study A Access Visual Tool v3.1 20.01.22; IRAS 299331

Slide 6

2 Getting sexual health support and advice online

- Some sexual health websites have a service that lets you talk to:
 - a **sexual healthcare professional** (e.g., doctor or nurse on the NHS website)
 - **trained sexual health staff** on a sexual health charity website
- You can ask them questions about sexual health, e.g., safe sex, STIs, and the services available to you.

Send message ×
Please fill out the form below and we will get back to you as soon as possible.

* Name
* Email
* Message
Submit

We're by tawk.to

Email/text service

Online ×
Please fill out the form below to start chatting with the next available agent.

Name
Question
Cancel Start Chat

We're by tawk.to


Live chat

SEQUENCE Study A Access Visual Tool v3.1 20.01.22; IRAS 299331

Slide 7

2 Getting sexual health support and advice online

- These online services include:
 - a **live chat service**
 - you type your *name* and *question* and are **connected to someone immediately**.
 - or an **email/text service**
 - you type your *name*, *email address* or *phone number* and *question* and **they respond by email or text within a few hours/days**.



SEQUENCE Study A Access Visual Tool v3.1 20.01.22; IRAS 299331

Appendix G: Sexual health resources information provided to each participant post interview

Sources of support

We want to make sure that you have a list of services that offer different types of support and information in case something came up in the interview that was difficult or uncomfortable for you.

Sexual health services and organisations

Name and details of support offered	Website and contact details
<i>Sandyford Sexual Health Service</i> offers sexual healthcare for those living in the Glasgow area	https://sandyford.scot 0141 211 8130 sandyford@ggc.scot.nhs.uk
<i>The Chalmers Centre</i> offers sexual healthcare for those living in the Lothian area	https://lothiansexualhealth.scot 0131 536 1070
To find your nearest sexual health service visit:	https://sexualhealthscotland.co.uk/get-help/sexual-health-service-finder
<i>S-X</i> provide information on sexual health, relationships, and mental health for gay and bisexual men, and all men who have sex with men living in Scotland (including testing services)	https://s-x.scot 0131 652 3250 or 07703 840970 info@s-x.scot
<i>Terrence Higgins Trust</i> provide information on sexual health, safer sex, and sexually transmitted infections, including testing services	https://tth.org.uk/sexual-health 0808 802 1221 (direct helpline)
<i>Waverley Care</i> provide information on sexual health, sex, and relationships	https://www.waverleycare.org/support-and-advice/sexual-health
<i>The African Health Project</i> provide support and advice on HIV and sexual health (and a range of other health and social care issues)	0141 332 2520 0131 558 1425
<i>Young Scot</i> offer information about sex, sexual health, and relationships for young people living in Scotland	https://young.scot/campaigns/national/sexual-health

Wider health services and organisations

Name and details of support offered	Website and contact details
<i>NHS Inform</i> provide up-to-date information about COVID-19	https://www.nhsinform.scot/illnesses-and-conditions/infections-and-poisoning/coronavirus-covid-19
<i>NHS 24</i> provide urgent care advice and mental health support	https://www.nhs24.scot 111
<i>Breathing Space</i> have experienced advisors who will listen and offer information and advice regarding mental health	https://breathingspace.scot 0800 83 85 87
<i>Hwupenyu Health and Wellbeing Project</i> offer information, support, and guidance on health, mental health, and social topics for Black ethnic communities living in Scotland	http://www.hwupenyuproject.org/ 0141 418 0940 info@hwupenyuproject.org
<i>The Scottish Refugee Council</i> provide practical support, advice, and a listening ear for people in need of refugee protection	https://www.scottishrefugeecouncil.org.uk/contact-us/ 0808 196 7274
<i>Switchboard</i> provide an information, support and referral service for LGBTQ+ people	https://switchboard.lgbt/ 0300 330 0630 chris@switchboard.lgbt
<i>Disability Information Scotland</i> provide reliable, accurate, and accessible information for people living with disability in Scotland	https://www.disabilityscot.org.uk/ 0300 323 9961

<i>Victim Support Scotland</i> provide support and information to people affected by crime, including but not limited to domestic abuse and sexual assault	https://victimsupport.scot 0800 160 1985
--	---

Appendix H: Participant self-reported experience and skills using the internet.

Variables	n	% ^a
Digital literacy		
Skills using the internet		
High (8-10; pretty good, confident, excellent)	20	57.1
Medium (4-7; not great, getting better, not bad)	12	34.3
Low (0-3)	2	5.7
Experience using the internet for sexual health		
Previously searched for health or sexual health information		
Yes	20	57.1
No	11	
Yes, health only, not sexual health	2	5.7
Yes, tried and struggled	1	2.9
Sexual health information searched for		
Sexually transmitted infections (STIs)/ blood borne viruses (BBV) symptoms (including yeast infection, thrush, urinary tract infections)	11	31.4
Information about STIs/BBV (including most common, how they're contracted)	7	20.0
How/where to get tested	5	14.3
Where to find local clinics	3	8.6
Information about contraception (including the coil, morning after pill)	3	8.6
Information about HIV (including support groups, pre-exposure prophylaxis)	2	5.7
Treatment for STIs/HIV (e.g., what the options are, where to get treatment)	2	5.7
Window periods	2	5.7
Efficacy and reliability of tests	1	2.9
Trans specific sexual health	1	2.9
Sexual health in general	1	2.9
Previous use of online sexual health services		
Live chat or email/text exchange service	0	0
Booking appointment for in-person clinic online	4	11.4
Ordering medication (private clinic)	1	2.9
Ordered or used an online postal STI/BBV self-sampling (OPSS) kit		
Never ordered an OPSS	24	68.6
Ordered and struggled to use an OPSS (e.g., blood sampling)	7	20.0
Struggled to order an OPSS	3	8.6
Experience using the internet		
Devices used to access the internet		
Laptop/computer and/or mobile phone	20	57.1
Phone only	6	17.1
Multiple devices (e.g., smart TV, mobile phone, tablet, computer, laptop)	4	11.4
Phone and tablet	2	5.7
Tablet only	1	2.9
iPad	1	2.9
WiFi	1	2.9
Own device owned		

Yes	30	85.7
Yes, phone only	3	8.6
No	1	2.9
How often online		
Every day/daily	25	71.4
Constantly/all the time/every hour	4	11.4
Weekly or monthly	2	5.7
Not often/not too much	2	5.7
Once or twice a week	1	2.9
What participants go online for		
Social media (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, WhatsApp)	22	62.9
School/University work (e.g., homework, research, classwork/coursework)	12	34.3
TV streaming (e.g., YouTube, Netflix)	10	28.6
Engine searches (e.g., how to spell words, “NHS searches”, google images)	9	25.7
Online shopping	7	20.0
News	6	17.1
Work (e.g., rotas, research)	5	14.3
Checking emails	5	14.3
Online groups (e.g., prayer group, Zoom meetings)	3	8.6
Contacting friends/family	3	8.6
Online gaming	2	5.7
Steaming music	2	5.7
Entertainment	1	2.9
Booking appointments	1	2.9
Online banking	1	2.9
Meditation/motivational speeches	1	2.9
“Random”	1	2.9

^aParticipant demographics for one participant were not obtained, table includes demographics for n=34, except where participants did not wish to answer the question. Percentages are calculated for N=35.

Appendix I: Intervention Functions and their definitions, adapted from Michie et al. (45).

Intervention Function	Definition of Intervention Function
Education	Increasing knowledge or understanding
Persuasion	Using communication or induce positive or negative feelings or stimulate action
Training	Imparting skills
Modelling	Providing an example for people to aspire to or imitate
Environmental restructuring	Changing the physical or social context
Enablement	Increasing means/reducing barriers to increase capability (beyond education and training) and opportunity (beyond environmental restructuring)

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the participants who took part in the study. We are also grateful to the following sexual health services and organisations for invaluable assistance with the study. We would like to thank Castle Circus Health Centre (Torbay & South Devon NHS Foundation Trust), Devon Sexual Health – Exeter & Barnstaple (Northern Devon NHS Foundation Trust), Mortimer Market Centre, Archway, Barnet and Buryfields Clinics (Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust), Sandyford Clinic (Greater Glasgow & Clyde), and Sexual Health Sheffield (Sheffield Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust), for advertising the study to support with recruitment. We would also like to thank Hidayah LGBT and get2gether, for publicising the study to help with recruitment.

Author contributions

Funding acquisition: CSE, JG, MWO, AB, PF; **Conceptualisation:** JMcl, PF, JMacD; **Project administration:** MWO; **Supervision:** PF, CSE; **Methodology:** JMacD, PF; **Resources:** JMcl, JMacD, FM; **Investigation:** JMcl; **Formal analysis:** JMcl, PF; **Visualisation:** JMcl, PF; **Writing – original draft:** JMcl, PF; **Writing – review & editing:** JMcl, PF; CSE, JMacD, JG, MWO, FM, NGM, AMD, JS, AB.

References

1. Tucker JD, Hocking J, Oladele D, Estcourt C. Digital sexually transmitted infection and HIV services across prevention and care continuums: Evidence and practical resources. *Sex Health*. 2022 Jun 16;19(4):278–85.
2. Ong JJ, Estcourt C, Tucker JD, Golden MR, Hocking JS, Fairley CK. How should we deliver sexual health services in the 2020s? *Sex Health*. 2022 Aug 29;19(4):233–5.
3. Gibbs J, Solomon D, Jackson L, Mullick S, Burns F, Shahmanesh M. Measuring and evaluating sexual health in the era of digital health: challenges and opportunities. *Sex Health*. 2022 Aug 16;19(4):336–45.
4. Minichiello V, Rahman S, Dune T, Scott J, Dowsett G. E-health: potential benefits and challenges in providing and accessing sexual health services. *BMC Public Health*. 2013 Dec 30;13(1):790.
5. Flowers P, Vojt G, Pothoulaki M, Mapp F, Woode Owusu M, Estcourt C, et al. Understanding the barriers and facilitators to using self-sampling packs for sexually transmitted infections and blood-borne viruses: Thematic analyses for intervention optimization. *Br J Health Psychol*. 2023 Feb 2;28(1):156–73.
6. Flowers P, Vojt G, Pothoulaki M, Mapp F, Woode Owusu M, Cassell JA, et al. Using the behaviour change wheel approach to optimize self-sampling packs for sexually transmitted infection and blood borne viruses. *Br J Health Psychol*. 2022 Nov 29;27(4):1382–97.
7. Melendez JH, Hamill MM, Armington GS, Gaydos CA, Manabe YC. Home-Based Testing for Sexually Transmitted Infections: Leveraging Online Resources During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2021 Jan;48(1):e8–10.
8. Sumray K, Lloyd KC, Estcourt CS, Burns F, Gibbs J. Access to, usage and clinical outcomes of, online postal sexually transmitted infection services: a scoping review. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2022 Nov;98(7):528–35.
9. Estcourt CS, Gibbs J, Sutcliffe LJ, Gkatzidou V, Tickle L, Hone K, et al. The eSexual Health Clinic system for management, prevention, and control of sexually transmitted infections: exploratory studies in people testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Lancet Public Health*. 2017 Apr 1;2(4):e182–90.
10. Kincaid RM, Moore Building G, Estcourt C, Frankis J, Dalrymple J, Gibbs J. Delivering HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) care online: A scoping review. *medRxiv*. 2021;1–31.
11. Aicken CR, Estcourt CS, Johnson AM, Sonnenberg P, Wellings K, Mercer CH. Use of the Internet for Sexual Health Among Sexually Experienced Persons Aged 16 to 44 Years: Evidence from a Nationally Representative Survey of the British Population. *J Med Internet Res*. 2016 Jan 20;18(1):e14.
12. Cassidy C, Bishop A, Steenbeek A, Langille D, Martin-Misener R, Curran J. Barriers and enablers to sexual health service use among university students: a qualitative descriptive study using the Theoretical Domains Framework and COM-B model. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2018 Dec 24;18(1):581.
13. Mapp F, Wellings K, Hickson F, Mercer CH. Understanding sexual healthcare seeking behaviour: Why a broader research perspective is needed. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017 Jul 6;17(1).
14. NHS. Find sexual health services [Internet]. [cited 2023 Aug 27]. Available from: <https://www.nhs.uk/service-search/sexual-health>
15. Terrance Higgins Trust. HIV and sexual health [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Apr 13]. Available from: <https://www.tht.org.uk/hiv-and-sexual-health>
16. Brook. YOUR FREE & CONFIDENTIAL SEXUAL HEALTH & WELLBEING EXPERTS [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jun 15]. Available from: <https://www.brook.org.uk/>
17. Brook. What can brook help you with today? [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Apr 13]. Available from: <https://www.brook.org.uk/help-advice/>
18. Planned Parenthood. Introducing Roo [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 10]. Available from: <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/roo-sexual-health-chatbot>

19. PositiveEast. ChattoPat Our sexual health chatbot [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 10]. Available from: <https://www.positiveeast.org.uk/chattopat/>
20. NHS Sexual Health Hertfordshire. Chat sexual health [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 10]. Available from: <https://www.sexualhealthhertfordshire.clch.nhs.uk/support/chat-sexual-health>
21. Embrace. Chat Sexual Health [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 10]. Available from: <https://www.embracewolverhampton.nhs.uk/about-us/chat-sexual-health#:~:text=Text%20a%20sexual%20health%20nurse,Smear%20tests>
22. NHS. Sexual health live chat [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Apr 13]. Available from: <https://www.kentcht.nhs.uk/service/sexual-health/live-chat/>
23. Brook Digital Front Door - Phase One: Evaluation Report [Internet]. Zoe Amar Digital. Zoe Amar Digital. ; 2022 [cited 2023 Sep 4]. Available from: <https://www.brook.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Brook-Digital-Front-Door-Phase-1-Evaluation-Report-FINAL.pdf>
24. Bailey J, Mann S, Wayal S, Hunter R, Free C, Abraham C, et al. Sexual health promotion for young people delivered via digital media: a scoping review. *Public Health Research*. 2015 Nov;3(13):1–120.
25. NHS England. What does creating a ‘digital front door’ mean? [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 Jun 15]. Available from: <https://digital.nhs.uk/blog/transformation-blog/2022/what-does-creating-a-digital-front-door-mean>
26. National Association of Primary Care. The Digital Front Door [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jun 15]. Available from: <https://napc.co.uk/the-digital-front-door/#:~:text=So%2C%20what%20is%20a%20digital,app%20is%20a%20good%20example>.
27. NHS England. Inclusive digital healthcare: a framework for NHS action on digital inclusion [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jun 15]. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/inclusive-digital-healthcare-a-framework-for-nhs-action-on-digital-inclusion/>
28. Mills R, Mangone ER, Lesh N, Mohan D, Baraitser P. Chatbots to Improve Sexual and Reproductive Health: Realist Synthesis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023 Aug 9;25:e46761.
29. Courtenay T, Baraitser P. Improving online clinical sexual and reproductive health information to support self-care: A realist review. *Digit Health*. 2022 Jan 14;8:205520762210844.
30. Gann B. Transforming lives: Combating digital health inequality. *IFLA Journal*. 2019 Oct 7;45(3):187–98.
31. Helsper E. Inequalities in digital literacy: definitions, measurements, explanations and policy implications. In: *Pesquisa sobre o uso das tecnologias de informação e comunicação nos domicílios brasileiros: TIC domicílios 2015* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Mar 1]. p. 175–85. Available from: <https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/68329/>
32. Boutrin MC, Williams DR. What Racism Has to Do with It: Understanding and Reducing Sexually Transmitted Diseases in Youth of Color. *Healthcare*. 2021 Jun 4;9(6):673.
33. Van Gerwen OT, Muzny CA, Marrazzo JM. Sexually transmitted infections and female reproductive health. *Nat Microbiol*. 2022 Aug 2;7(8):1116–26.
34. Sinka K, Wilkinson G. Variation in outcomes in sexual and reproductive health in England: A toolkit to explore inequalities at a local level. [Internet]. Public Health England; 2021 [cited 2022 Nov 25]. p. 1–37. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/984393/SRH_variation_in_outcomes_toolkit_May_2021.pdf
35. Wayal S, Hughes G, Sonnenberg P, Mohammed H, Copas AJ, Gerressu M, et al. Ethnic variations in sexual behaviours and sexual health markers: findings from the third British National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). *Lancet Public Health*. 2017 Oct;2(10):e458–72.
36. Woodhall SC, Soldan K, Sonnenberg P, Mercer CH, Clifton S, Saunders P, et al. Is chlamydia screening and testing in Britain reaching young adults at risk of infection? Findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). *Sex Transm Infect*. 2016 May;92(3):218–27.

37. Neter E, Brainin E. eHealth Literacy: Extending the Digital Divide to the Realm of Health Information. *J Med Internet Res*. 2012 Jan 27;14(1):e19.
38. Patterson SP, Hilton S, Flowers P, McDaid LM. What are the barriers and challenges faced by adolescents when searching for sexual health information on the internet? Implications for policy and practice from a qualitative study. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2019 Sep;95(6):462–7.
39. McDaid L, Flowers P, Ferlatte O, Young I, Patterson S, Gilbert M. Sexual health literacy among gay, bisexual and other men who have sex with men: a conceptual framework for future research. *Cult Health Sex*. 2021 Feb 1;23(2):207–23.
40. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Sexually Transmitted Infections: Adopting a sexual health paradigm. Vermund SH, Geller AB, Crowley JS, editors. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2021.
41. Reddy P, Sharma B, Chaudhary K. Digital Literacy. *Int J Technoethics*. 2020 Jul 1;11(2):65–94.
42. Dodson S, Good S, Osborne RH. Health literacy toolkit for low- and middle-income countries: A series of information sheets to empower communities and strengthen health systems. [Internet]. New Delhi: World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia; 2015 [cited 2022 Dec 13]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789290224754>
43. Bardsley M, Wayal S, Blomquist P, Mohammed H, Mercer CH, Hughes G. Improving our understanding of the disproportionate incidence of STIs in heterosexual-identifying people of black Caribbean heritage: findings from a longitudinal study of sexual health clinic attendees in England. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2022 Feb;98(1):23–31.
44. National Institute for Health and Care Research. Improving inclusion of under-served groups in clinical research: Guidance from INCLUDE project. 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 31]; Available from: <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/documents/improving-inclusion-of-under-served-groups-in-clinical-research-guidance-from-include-project/25435>
45. Michie S, Atkins L, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a guide to designing interventions. Silverback Publishing; 2014. 1–329 p.
46. Magee JC, Bigelow L, DeHaan S, Mustanski BS. Sexual Health Information Seeking Online: A Mixed-Methods Study Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Young People. *Health Education and Behavior*. 2012 Jun;39(3):276–89.
47. Barman-Adhikari A, Rice E. Sexual Health Information Seeking Online Among Runaway and Homeless Youth. *J Soc Social Work Res*. 2011 Jan;2(2):89–103.
48. Fogel J, Fajiram S, Morgan P. Sexual Health Information Seeking on the Internet: Comparisons between White and African American College Students. *Association of Black Nursing Faculty Foundation Journal (ABNFF)*. 2010;21(4):79–84.
49. Buhi ER, Daley EM, Fuhrmann HJ, Smith SA. An Observational Study of How Young People Search for Online Sexual Health Information. *Journal of American College Health*. 2009 Sep 30;58(2):101–11.
50. Lindley LL, Friedman DB, Struble C. Becoming Visible. *Health Promot Pract*. 2012 Jul 15;13(4):472–80.
51. Mitchell KJ, Ybarra ML, Korchmaros JD, Kosciw JG. Accessing sexual health information online: Use, motivations and consequences for youth with different sexual orientations. *Health Educ Res*. 2014 Feb;29(1):147–57.
52. Zhao S. Parental education and children’s online health information seeking: Beyond the digital divide debate. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009 Nov;69(10):1501–5.
53. Yi YJ. Sexual health information-seeking behavior on a social media site: predictors of best answer selection. *Online Information Review*. 2018 Sep 13;42(6):880–97.
54. Shrestha R, Lim SH, Altice FL, Copenhaver M, Wickersham JA, Saifi R, et al. Use of Smartphone to Seek Sexual Health Information Online Among Malaysian Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM): Implications for mHealth Intervention to Increase HIV Testing and Reduce HIV Risks. *J Community Health*. 2020 Feb 2;45(1):10–9.

55. Diez SL, Fava NM, Fernandez SB, Mendel WE. Sexual health education: the untapped and unmeasured potential of US-based websites. *Sex Educ.* 2022 May 4;22(3):335–47.
56. Nikkelen SWC, van Oosten JMF, van den Borne MMJJ. Sexuality Education in the Digital Era: Intrinsic and Extrinsic Predictors of Online Sexual Information Seeking Among Youth. *The Journal of Sex Research.* 2020 Feb 12;57(2):189–99.
57. Behre J. Young Adults' Online Sexual Health Information Seeking and Evaluating Skills: Implications for Everyday Life Information Literacy Instruction. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology.* 2022 Oct 14;59(1):1–10.
58. Mohamad Shakir SM, Wong LP, Abdullah KL, Adam P. Factors associated with online sexually transmissible infection information seeking among young people in Malaysia: an observational study. *Sex Health.* 2019;16(2):158–71.
59. Nadarzynski T, Bayley J, Llewellyn C, Kidsley S, Graham CA. Acceptability of artificial intelligence (AI)-enabled chatbots, video consultations and live webchats as online platforms for sexual health advice. *BMJ Sex Reprod Health.* 2020 Jul;46(3):210–7.
60. Presseau J, McCleary N, Lorencatto F, Patey AM, Grimshaw JM, Francis JJ. Action, actor, context, target, time (AACTT): A framework for specifying behaviour. *Implementation Science.* 2019 Dec 5;14(1).
61. O'Neill J, Tabish H, Welch V, Petticrew M, Pottie K, Clarke M, et al. Applying an equity lens to interventions: Using PROGRESS ensures consideration of socially stratifying factors to illuminate inequities in health. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2014 Jan;67(1):56–64.
62. Kavanagh J, Oliver S. Reflections on developing and using PROGRESS-Plus. Vol. 2, Cochrane. 2008.
63. Hughes JL, Camden AA, Yangchen T. Rethinking and Updating Demographic Questions: Guidance to Improve Descriptions of Research Samples. *Psi Chi Journal of Psychological Research.* 2016;21(3):138–51.
64. Slade T, Gross DP, Niwa L, McKillop AB, Guptill C. Sex and gender demographic questions: improving methodological quality, inclusivity, and ethical administration. *Int J Soc Res Methodol.* 2021 Nov 2;24(6):727–38.
65. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol.* 2006 Jan;3(2):77–101.
66. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implementation Science.* 2011 Dec 23;6(1):42.
67. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, Abraham C, Francis J, Hardeman W, et al. The Behavior Change Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically Clustered Techniques: Building an International Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change Interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine.* 2013 Aug 20;46(1):81–95.
68. Official National Statistics. Ethnic group variable: Census 2021 [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jun 19]. Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/census2021dictionary/variablesbytopic/ethnicgroupnationalidentitylanguageandreligionvariables/census2021/ethnicgroup>
69. Consumer Data Research Centre. Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Sep 29]. Available from: <https://data.cdrc.ac.uk/dataset/index-multiple-deprivation-imd>
70. Pope C, MacLellan J, Prichard J, Turnbull J. The remarkable invisibility of NHS 111 online. *Sociol Health Illn.* 2023 May 29;45(4):772–90.
71. Flanders CE, Pragg L, Dobinson C, Logie C. Young sexual minority women's use of the internet and other digital technologies for sexual health information seeking. *Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality.* 2017;26(1):17–25.
72. Martin S. Young people's sexual health literacy: seeking, understanding, and evaluating online sexual health information [Internet]. University of Glasgow; 2017 [cited 2023 Jun 13]. Available from: <https://theses.gla.ac.uk/id/eprint/8528>

73. Nadarzynski T, Lunt A, Knights N, Bayley J, Llewellyn C. "But can chatbots understand sex?" Attitudes towards artificial intelligence chatbots amongst sexual and reproductive health professionals: An exploratory mixed-methods study. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2023 Oct 3;34(11):809–16.
74. Nadarzynski T, Puentes V, Pawlak I, Mendes T, Montgomery I, Bayley J, et al. Barriers and facilitators to engagement with artificial intelligence (AI)-based chatbots for sexual and reproductive health advice: a qualitative analysis. *Sex Health*. 2021 Nov 16;18(5):385–93.
75. Dolcini MM, Catania JA, Cotto-Negron C, Canchola JA, Warren J, Ashworth C, et al. Challenges in Reach with Online Sexual Health Information Among African American Youth: Assessing Access and Engagement. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*. 2019 Mar 8;16(1):12–21.
76. Flanders CE, Dinh Ren N., Pragg L, Dobinson C, Logie CH. Young Sexual Minority Women's Evaluation Processes of Online and Digital Sexual Health Information. *Health Commun*. 2021;36(10):1286–94.
77. Flinn C, Koretsidou C, Nearchou F. Accessing Sexual Health Information Online: Content, Reasons and Practical Barriers in Emerging Adults. *Youth*. 2023 Jan 13;3(1):107–24.
78. Bennett C, Kelly D, Dunn C, Musa MK, Young H, Couzens Z, et al. 'I wouldn't trust it ...' Digital transformation of young people's sexual health services: a systems-informed qualitative enquiry. *BMJ Public Health*. 2023 Dec 11;1(1):e000259.
79. Willoughby JF, Jackson K. 'Can you get pregnant when u r in the pool?': young people's information seeking from a sexual health text line. *Sex Educ*. 2013 Jan;13(1):96–106.
80. De Choudhury M, Morris MR, White RW. Seeking and sharing health information online. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM; 2014. p. 1365–76.
81. Gallegos-Rejas VM, Thomas EE, Kelly JT, Smith AC. A multi-stakeholder approach is needed to reduce the digital divide and encourage equitable access to telehealth. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2023 Jan 22;29(1):73–8.
82. Radix AE, Bond K, Carneiro PB, Restar A. Transgender Individuals and Digital Health. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2022 Dec 1;19(6):592–9.
83. Noar SM, Benac CN, Harris MS. Does tailoring matter? Meta-analytic review of tailored print health behavior change interventions. *Psychol Bull*. 2007;133(4):673–93.
84. Horvath KJ, Bauermeister JA. eHealth Literacy and Intervention Tailoring Impacts the Acceptability of a HIV/STI Testing Intervention and Sexual Decision Making Among Young Gay and Bisexual Men. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2017 Feb;29(1):14–23.
85. Kanthawala S, Peng W. Credibility in Online Health Communities: Effects of Moderator Credentials and Endorsement Cues. *Journalism and Media*. 2021 Jul 6;2(3):379–96.
86. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, Craig P, Baird J, Blazeby JM, et al. A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2021 Sep 30;n2061.
87. Dolcini MM, Warren J, Towner SL, Catania JA, Harper GW. Information Age: Do Urban African-American Youth Find Sexual Health Information Online? *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*. 2015 Jun 22;12(2):110–4.
88. Dworkin M, Chakraborty A, Lee S, Monahan C, Hightow-Weidman L, Garofalo R, et al. A realistic talking human embodied agent mobile phone intervention to promote HIV medication adherence and retention in care in young HIV-positive African American men who have sex with men: Qualitative study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2018 Jul 1;6(7).
89. Kaufman MR, Casella A, Wiginton JM, Xu W, DuBois DL, Arrington-Sanders R, et al. Mentoring young african american men and transgender women who have sex with men on sexual health: Formative research for an HIV mobile health intervention for mentors. *JMIR Form Res*. 2020 Dec 1;4(12).