Proportional Mortality and Years of Potential Life Lost Due to Liver Diseases among Agricultural Workers, Brazil, 2017 to 2022

Jailma dos Santos Silva¹, Soraia Arruda², Thayane Silva Nunes¹, Wiler de Paula Dias¹, Adedayo Michael Awoniyi¹, Armando Meyer³, Cleber Cremonese¹

¹Institute of Collective Health, Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Brazil
²Porto Alegre Clinical Hospital. Epidemiological Surveillance, Porto Alegre, Brazil
³Environmental and Occupational Health Branch, Public Health Institute, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Corresponding author: Cleber Cremonese, e-mail: <u>cleber.cremonese@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The objective of the study was to describe the profile and calculate the Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) due to liver diseases in Brazilian agricultural workers, between 2017 and 2022. For this, we analyzed microdata available in the Mortality Information System (Sistema de Informação de Mortalidade - SIM), considering the outcome as the underlying cause of death with codes K70-K77 (ICD-10). Workers' profile was characterized according to socio-demographic variables and the Brazilian regions, with a comparison group formed by all other Brazilian workers aged 18 to 69 who died in the same period and from the same underlying cause. Calculations of proportional mortality, YPLL rates, and YPLL rate ratios were applied. In the studied period, 15,362 deaths due to liver diseases were recorded among Brazilian agricultural workers, with an average age at death of 51.3 years (± 10.7), concentrated in K70 - Alcoholic liver disease (53.8%). Higher proportional mortality was observed in men (86.2%), Brown race (61.1%), up to 49 years old (40.9%), with \leq 7 years of education level (52.4%), and residents in Northeast (56.9%). The total sum of YPLL was 382,869 years among agricultural workers, with YPLL rate of 4,527 years per 100,000 workers and YPLL rate ratio 1.45 times higher than the national average. The concentration of deaths due to K70 raises concern for potential chronic exposure to alcoholic beverages. These results highlight the early causes of deaths resulting from liver diseases among agricultural workers, especially those in the

Northeast region of Brazil and of Brown race. NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Keywords: Liver Diseases; Occupational Mortality; Years of Potential Life Lost; Rural Workers; Health Information System

INTRODUCTION

Liver diseases encompass a range of morbidities that impair the liver's ability to effectively perform its functions such as substance and nutrient metabolism, blood filtration, toxin elimination, bile production, and protein synthesis¹. The International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10)² categorizes liver diseases under eight codes (K70-K77), which can result from viral infections, intoxication by harmful substances, excessive alcohol consumption, poor diet, autoimmune conditions, and genetic factors^{3,4,5,6}.

Annually, liver diseases are responsible for two million deaths globally, making it the eleventh leading cause of death and accounting for 4% of the total mortality. Two-thirds of these deaths occur in men⁷. Factors such as low education level and non-white race/ethnicity (hereafter referred to as race) background have been linked to higher incidences of liver diseases^{8,9,10}.

In Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs) like Brazil, factors such as sex, race, and geographic location, combined with risk behaviors and limited access to healthcare, exacerbate liver dysfunction, increasing morbidity and mortality rates^{4,6,11}. In Brazil, from 1996 to 2012, the mortality rate from liver diseases showed an upward trend, reaching 20 deaths per 100,000 people, although with somewhat fluctuations averaging 19 deaths per 100,000 Brazilians over the past decade. During this period, all regions exhibited a rising trend in these deaths, with the Northeast and Midwest regions particularly notable for a higher proportion of K70-Alcoholic liver disease. Liver diseases account for 3% of total deaths in Brazil, costing the Brazilian healthcare services approximately USD 60 million annually¹².

Although previous studies have provided valuable insights into the epidemiological assessment of global morbidity and mortality ^{5,6,11} as well as national liver diseases¹², investigations often overlook specific segments such as economic activity or type of occupation. Agricultural workers represent a distinct labor group that frequently handles pesticides and heavy metals, endures long working hours, and

performs intense physical labor. Numerous studies have examined the adverse health effects on agricultural workers, focusing on chronic diseases such as neoplasms ^{13,14,15,16}, cardiovascular diseases ^{17,18,19}, degenerative diseases ^{20,21,22}, and common mental disorders ^{15,23,24}. Additionally, acute effects, including work accidents ²⁵ and exogenous intoxications ^{16,26,27} are well-documented. However, studies evaluating the relationship between agricultural occupations and liver diseases, considering factors like demographics, geographic location, occupational exposure, and access to preventive examinations or treatments are rare or nonexistent in Brazil.

As a result, this study aims to characterize the profile of death records from liver diseases and calculate the Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) among Brazilian agricultural workers compared to other workers, aged 18 to 69 years, taking into account sociodemographic determinants and geographical distribution across Brazil from 2017 to 2022.

METHODS

Study design and population

This is a descriptive study that analyzed microdata from death records due to liver diseases, in individuals aged 18 to 69 years across the entire Brazilian territory, from 2017 to 2022. The final dataset includes all death records that provide information on both the cause of death and the occupation of the deceased.

Data collection and processing

The data were obtained from the Mortality Information System (Sistema de Informação sobre Mortalidade - SIM), derived from Death Certificates (DCs) provided by the Department of Informatics of the Unified Health System (Departamento de Informática do Sistema Único de Saúde - DATASUS), accessible through https://datasus.saude.gov.br. For outcome selection, all records from field 40 of the DC, (Causa básica – CAUSABAS), containing codes K70 to K77 referring to Liver Diseases according to Chapter XI - Diseases of the Digestive System, as per ICD-10² were considered. Briefly, these codes include K70-Alcoholic liver disease, K71-Toxic liver disease, K72-Unspecified liver failure, K73-Chronic hepatitis, K74-Fibrosis and cirrhosis of the liver, K75-Other inflammatory liver diseases, K76-Other diseases of the liver, and K77-Liver disorders in diseases classified elsewhere.

The usual occupation indicated in field 14 (Ocupação – OCUP), refers to the type of work the deceased mostly engaged in during their productive life, as per the Death Certificate Manual²⁸. The Brazilian Classification of Occupations (Classificação Brasileira de Ocupações - CBO)²⁹ was used to categorize 'Agricultural workers', encompassing codes 61 (Agricultural producers), 62 (Agricultural workers), and 64 (Agricultural and forestry mechanization workers). All other valid occupation codes were grouped under 'other workers'.

The workers' population data were obtained from the Demographic Census available in the IBGE Automatic Recovery System (SIDRA), specifically table 3584 selecting the age range of 18 to 69 years (https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/Tabela/3584)³⁰.

To characterize the profile of deaths resulting from liver diseases, we analyzed information on sex (male/female), age groups (18 - 29, 30 - 39, 40 - 49, 50 - 59, and 60 -69 years), race (White, Black, Yellow, Brown, and Indigenous), years of education (≤3, 4 - 7, 8 - 11, and ≥12 years), marital status (single, married, legally separated, and widowed), regions (North, Northeast, Midwest, Southeast, and South), and municipality typology (urban, adjacent intermediary, remote intermediary, adjacent rural, and remote rural). Missing data are presented in the descriptive tables. All analyses were performed in R software version $4.1.2^{31}$. All datasets and codes used during this study are available Creative Commons 4.0 under license, accessible through https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1209302332.

Data analysis

The studied populations were characterized using absolute values and their corresponding frequencies. Proportional Mortality (PM) was calculated by dividing the total number of deaths in the category of interest by the total number of deaths in the variable of interest for the period multiplied by 100.

$$PM = \frac{\textit{total number of deaths in the category of interest in the period}}{\textit{total number of deaths in the variable of interest in the period}} \ X \ 100$$

The mean age of death (MAoD) was calculated by dividing the sum of ages at death by the total number of deaths in the variable of interest.

$$MAoD = \frac{\textit{sum of ages at deaths in the variable of interest in the period}}{\textit{total number of deaths in the variable of interest in the period}}$$

To calculate the YPLL, we considered the difference between the mean age of the variable of interest and the corresponding Life Expectancy (LE - 76.2 years in 2019, overall for Brazil) (mi)³³, multiplied by the total number of deaths in the variable of interest (ai).

$$totalYPLL = \sum mi \ x \ ai$$

The percentage distribution of YPLL (%YPLL) by categories of interest was calculated by dividing the YPLL in the category of interest by the total YPLL in the variable of interest, multiplied by 100.

$$\%YPLL = \frac{YPLL \text{ in the category of interest}}{total YPLL \text{ in the variable of interest}} X 100$$

The YPLL rate (YPLLr) was calculated by dividing the YPLL by the standard population, multiplied by 100,000 workers. Here, YPLL rates were calculated by Brazilian region, by agricultural workers, and other workers.

$$YPLLr = \frac{YPLL \ in \ the \ category \ of \ interest}{standard \ population \ in \ the \ category \ of \ interest} \ X \ 100.000$$

Finally, the YPLLr ratios were calculated for the regions and groups of workers. For regions analysis, those with the lowest YPLL rates were used as the reference (denominator) and "other workers" used as reference (denominator) for occupation groups.

$$YPLLr\ ratio = \frac{YPLLr\ in\ the\ category\ of\ interest}{YPLLr\ in\ the\ reference\ category}$$

Inferential analyses were not applied due to the census nature of the data, which includes all deaths registered in the investigation period, thereby eliminating the need for hypothesis testing.

Ethical Statement

Given the study used non-identifiable open access data (microdata) available in the public domain, ethical approval was not required for this study.

RESULTS

There were a total of 4,058,039 deaths registered in SIM among adults aged 18 to 69 years during the study period. Among these, 120,552 cases (2.9%) were attributed to liver diseases as the underlying cause of death (ICD-10, K70-K77). In general, the MAoD was 52.2 years (± 13.7), whereas for liver diseases, it was 53.5 years (± 10.3). Deaths due to liver diseases were significantly higher (p-value<0.001) among men (80.4%), in the age group of 50 to 59 years (34.5%), of Brown race (46.3%), and single individuals (40.1%). Geographically, the Southeast and Northeast regions accounted for 43.7% and 28.9% of these deaths, and urban municipalities 76.1% respectively (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of general deaths and those resulting from liver diseases* among adult Brazilian populations** from 2017 to 2022.

| Variables | Genera | | Liver dise | ases | p-value*** |
|------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|------------|
| | n = 4,058,0 | 039 | n = 120, | 552 | |
| | n | % | n | % | |
| Sex | | | | | <0.001 |
| Female | 1,463,801 | 36.0 | 23,582 | 19.5 | |
| Male | 2,593,835 | 63.9 | 96,957 | 80.4 | |
| Missing data | 403 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.1 | |
| Mean age of death (SD) | 52.2 (13.7) | | 53.5 (10.3) | | |
| Age range | | | | | <0.001 |
| 18 - 29 | 373,161 | 9.2 | 2,370 | 1.9 | |
| 30 - 39 | 401,971 | 9.9 | 10,369 | 8.6 | |
| 40 - 49 | 618,394 | 15.3 | 26,344 | 21.8 | |
| 50 - 59 | 1,055,512 | 26.2 | 41,623 | 34.5 | |
| 60 - 69 | 1,594,717 | 39.4 | 39,836 | 33.2 | |
| Race/color | | | | | < 0.001 |
| White | 1,762,347 | 43.4 | 49,260 | 40.8 | |
| Black | 392,560 | 9.7 | 11,397 | 9.4 | |
| Yellow | 15,687 | 0.4 | 432 | 0.3 | |
| Brown | 1,781,600 | 43.9 | 55,747 | 46.3 | |
| Indígenous | 12,157 | 0.3 | 428 | 0.4 | |
| Missing data | 93,688 | 2.3 | 3,288 | 2.8 | |
| Education level | | | | | < 0.001 |
| ≤3 | 362,897 | 8.9 | 11,698 | 9.7 | |
| 4 - 7 | 727,277 | 17.9 | 24,905 | 20.6 | |
| 8 - 11 | 996,511 | 24.6 | 31,748 | 26.4 | |
| ≥12 | 993,710 | 24.5 | 25,891 | 21.4 | |
| Missing data | 977,644 | 24.1 | 26,310 | 21.9 | |
| Marital status | , | | ŕ | | < 0.001 |
| Single | 1,540,895 | 37.9 | 48,272 | 40.1 | |
| Married | 1,388,777 | 34.3 | 36,569 | 30.3 | |
| Legally separated | 355,700 | 8.8 | 13,790 | 11.4 | |
| Widowed | 260,980 | 6.4 | 6,154 | 5.1 | |
| Missing data | 511,687 | 12.6 | 15,767 | 13.1 | |
| Regions of Brazil | , | | ŕ | | < 0.001 |
| North | 295,141 | 7.3 | 6,476 | 5.3 | |
| Northeast | 1,052,022 | 25.9 | 34,882 | 28.9 | |
| Central-West | 302,270 | 7.5 | 9,311 | 7.8 | |
| Southeast | 1,806,142 | 44.5 | 52,646 | 43.7 | |
| South | 602,464 | 14.8 | 17,237 | 14.3 | |
| Municipal typology | , | - | , - | - | < 0.001 |

| Urban | 3,191,498 | 78.6 | 91,755 | 76.1 | |
|-----------------------|-----------|------|--------|------|--|
| Adjacent intermediary | 257,042 | 6.3 | 8,840 | 7.3 | |
| Remote intermediary | 22,157 | 0.5 | 662 | 0.5 | |
| Adjacent rural | 530,295 | 13.1 | 17,686 | 14.8 | |
| Remote rural | 50,572 | 1.3 | 1,461 | 1.2 | |
| Missing data | 6,475 | 0.2 | 148 | 0.1 | |

Source: Mortality Information System (SIM), DataSUS, Ministry of Health.

Agricultural workers reported younger MAoD (about 3 years younger) compared to other workers (Table 2). Regarding PM, male agricultural workers represented 86.2% of cases, as against 78.2% of other workers, while Brown race individuals accounted for 61.1% of deaths among agricultural workers, as against 43.1% among other workers. Notably, the Northeast region of the country reported 56.9% of liver disease-related deaths among agricultural workers, which was 2.7 times higher than cases registered among other workers in the same region (Table 2).

Table 2. Distribution of deaths resulting from liver diseases* among agricultural and non-agricultural workers aged 18 to 69 years in Brazil from 2017 to 2022. (n = 100,190)

| | Number of deat | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|------|---------|
| Variables | diseases | p-value*** | | | |
| variables | Agricultural** | Other | p-value · · · | | |
| | n = 15,362 | | n = 84,8 | | |
| | n | % | n | % | |
| Sex | | | | | <0.001 |
| Female | 2,118 | 13.8 | 18,478 | 21.7 | |
| Male | 13,244 | 86.2 | 66,342 | 78.2 | |
| Missing data | - | - | 8 | 0.1 | |
| Mean age of death (SD) | 51.3 (10.7) | | 54.2 (10.0) | | |
| Age range | | | | | <0.001 |
| 18 - 29 | 417 | 2.7 | 1,443 | 1.7 | |
| 30 - 39 | 1,954 | 12.7 | 6,273 | 7.5 | |
| 40 - 49 | 3,928 | 25.5 | 17,447 | 20.5 | |
| 50 - 59 | 5,045 | 32.9 | 29,421 | 34.6 | |
| 60 - 69 | 4,018 | 26.2 | 30,244 | 35.7 | |
| Race/colour | | | | | < 0.001 |
| White | 3,820 | 24.8 | 38,488 | 45.4 | |
| Black | 1,633 | 10.6 | 7,734 | 9.2 | |
| Yellow | 33 | 0.2 | 323 | 0.4 | |
| Brown | 9,400 | 61.1 | 36,638 | 43.1 | |
| Indígenous | 168 | 1.2 | 194 | 0.2 | |
| Missing data | 308 | 2.1 | 1,451 | 1.7 | |
| Education level | | | | | < 0.001 |
| ≤3 | 3,761 | 24.5 | 6,175 | 7.2 | |
| 4 - 7 | 4,296 | 27.9 | 17,103 | 20.1 | |
| 8 - 11 | 3,937 | 25.7 | 24,120 | 28.5 | |
| ≥12 | 1,298 | 8.5 | 21,379 | 25.3 | |
| Missing data | 2,070 | 13.4 | 16,051 | 18.9 | |
| Marital status | | | • | | < 0.001 |
| Single | 7,253 | 47.2 | 33,198 | 39.1 | |
| Married | 4,371 | 28.5 | 27,500 | 32.5 | |
| Legally separated | 1,006 | 6.5 | 10,951 | 12.9 | |

^{*}International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (CID-10) K70-K77;

^{**18} to 69 years;

^{****}Pearson's chi-square test for dichotomous and nominal variables and linear association test for ordinal variables.

| Widowar | 620 | 4.2 | 4.000 | F 7 | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------|--------|------|---------|
| Widower | 638 | 4.2 | 4,860 | 5.7 | |
| Missing data | 2,094 | 13.6 | 8,319 | 9.8 | |
| Regions of Brazil | | | | | < 0.001 |
| North | 1,148 | 7.4 | 4,244 | 5.1 | |
| Northeast | 8,742 | 56.9 | 18,173 | 21.4 | |
| Central-West | 921 | 5.9 | 7,468 | 8.8 | |
| Southeast | 2,948 | 19.3 | 41,502 | 48.9 | |
| South | 1,603 | 10.5 | 13,441 | 15.8 | |
| Municipal typology | | | | | < 0.001 |
| Urban | 5 <i>,</i> 575 | 36.3 | 71,096 | 83.8 | |
| Adjacent intermediary | 2,402 | 15.6 | 4,830 | 5.7 | |
| Remote intermediary | 192 | 1.2 | 361 | 0.4 | |
| Adjacent rural | 6,605 | 43.0 | 7,864 | 9.3 | |
| Remote rural | 586 | 3.4 | 616 | 0.7 | |
| Missing data | 2 | 0.0 | 61 | 0.1 | |

Source: Mortality Information System (SIM), DataSUS, Ministry of Health.

Among the 8 subdivisions of liver diseases in the ICD-10, alcoholic liver disease (K70) had the highest PM in both groups of workers. In agricultural workers, it accounted for 53.8% of deaths in this subcategory, while in other workers, it accounted for 44.9%. The K74 subgroup, fibrosis and cirrhosis of the liver, showed the second highest PM, with 28.1% among agricultural workers and 34.3% among other workers (Table 3).

Table 3. Distribution of deaths resulting from liver diseases, K70-K77 subgroups of chapter XI of CID-10 among agricultural and non-agricultural workers aged 18 to 69 years in Brazil from 2017 to 2022. (n = 100,190)

| | Number of deaths among workers | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--|--|
| CID 10 Chanter VI Diseases of the diseastive system K70 K77 subgroup | Agricultu | ıral* | Others | | | |
| CID-10, Chapter XI – Diseases of the digestive system, K70-K77 subgroup | n = 15,3 | n = 15,362 | | n = 84,828 | | |
| | n | % | n | % | | |
| K70 – Alcoholic liver disease | 8,276 | 53.8 | 38,122 | 44.9 | | |
| K71 – Toxic liver disease | 93 | 0.6 | 554 | 0.6 | | |
| K72 – Liver failure not classified elsewhere | 1,152 | 7.5 | 6,760 | 7.9 | | |
| K73 – Chronic hepatitis not classified elsewhere | 96 | 0.6 | 376 | 0.5 | | |
| K74 – Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis | 4,308 | 28.1 | 28,992 | 34.3 | | |
| K75 – Other inflammatory liver diseases | 206 | 1.3 | 1,842 | 2.2 | | |
| K76 – Other liver diseases | 1,231 | 8.1 | 8,182 | 9.5 | | |
| K77 – Liver disorders in diseases classified elsewhere | - | - | - | - | | |

Source: Mortality Information System (SIM), DataSUS, Ministry of Health.

In general, agricultural workers had lower MAoDs, except for K75 and the Central-West region. Alcoholic liver disease (K70) recorded the lowest MAoD among agricultural workers with 50.1 years (± 10.4), compared to 52.8 years (± 9.7) among other workers. Among agricultural workers, lower MAoDs were also observed among males with 51.1 years (± 10.6), individuals of Black race 50.3 years (± 10.8), Brown race 50.7 years (± 10.7), Indigenous 49.0 years (± 12.1), single individuals 47.7 years (± 10.6), and in Northeast region 50.1 years (± 10.9) (Table 4).

^{*}International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (CID-10) K70-K77;

^{**}Brazilian Classification of Occupations (CBO) 61, 62 & 64;

^{****}Pearson's chi-square test for dichotomous and nominal variables and linear association test for ordinal variables.

^{*}Brazilian Classification of Occupations (CBO) 61, 62 & 64; CID-10 = International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision

Table 4. Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) due to liver diseases, K70-K77 subgroups of chapter XI of CID-10, among agricultural and non-agricultural workers* aged 18 to 69 years in Brazil from 2017 to 2022. (n = 15,362 agricultural; n = 84,828 others)

| | Mean age o | f death (SD) | YPLL | • | % YPLI | L |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Variables | Agricultur al | Others | Agricultural | Others | Agricultural | Others |
| K70-K77** Subgroups | | | | | | |
| K70 | 50.1 (10.4) | 52.8 (9.7) | 216,003.6 | 737,380.8 | 56.4 | 47.2 |
| K71 | 50.7 (13.2) | 52.6 (13.2) | 2,371.5 | 10,761.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| K72 | 51.2 (11.7) | 54.0 (11.4) | 28,800 | 124,764 | 7.5 | 7.9 |
| K73 | 51.3 (12.4) | 56.0 (10.0) | 2,390.4 | 6,100.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| K74 | 52.7 (10.4) | 56.0 (9.3) | 101,238 | 495,526.2 | 26.4 | 31.8 |
| K75 | 53.2 (11.8) | 52.1 (13.6) | 4,738 | 38,560 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| K76 | 54.0 (11.1) | 55.0 (10.5) | 27,328.2 | 149,990 | 7.2 | 9.6 |
| K77 | | - | | | - | - |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Female | 52.9 (11.1) | 54.7 (11.0) | 49,349.4 | 397,277 | 13.3 | 21.4 |
| Male | 51.1 (10.6) | 54.1 (9.8) | 322,424.4 | 1,466,158.2 | 86.7 | 78.7 |
| Missing data | 31.1 (10.0) | 53.5 (12.5) | 522,424.4 | 181.6 | - | 0.9 |
| Age range | | 33.3 (12.3) | | 101.0 | | 0.5 |
| 18 - 29 | 25.8 (2.7) | 25.1 (3.2) | 21,016.8 | 73,737.3 | 5.5 | 3.9 |
| 30 - 39 | 35.4 (2.7) | 35.6 (2.7) | 79,723.2 | 254,683.8 | 20.8 | 13.7 |
| 40 - 49 | 44.8 (2.8) | 45.0 (2.7) | 123,339.2 | 544,346.4 | 32.6 | 29.2 |
| 50 - 59 | 54.4 (2.8) | 54.7 (2.8) | 109,981 | 632,551.5 | 28.6 | 33.9 |
| 60 - 69 | 64.2 (2.8) | • • | 48,216 | • | 12.5 | 19.3 |
| Race/colour | 04.2 (2.0) | 64.3 (2.8) | 40,210 | 359,903.6 | 12.5 | 19.5 |
| | F2 2 (40 2) | FF C (0 F) | 07.470 | 705 455 2 | 11 0 | 42.2 |
| White | 53.3 (10.2) | 55.6 (9.5) | 87,478 | 785,155.2 | 22.8 | 42.3 |
| Black | 50.3 (10.8) | 53.4 (10.3) | 42,294.7 | 176,335.2 | 11.1 | 9.5 |
| Yellow | 52.2 (10.5) | 55.5 (10.6) | 792 | 6,686.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Brown | 50.7 (10.7) | 53.0 (10.3) | 239,700 | 850,001.6 | 62.7 | 45.7 |
| Indígenous | 49.0 (12.1) | 50.0 (11.9) | 4,569.6 | 5,082.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| Missing data | 51.8 (10.7) | 54.4 (10.0) | 7,515.2 | 31,631.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Education level | | | | | | |
| ≤3 | 54.0 (9.8) | 55.8 (9.8) | 83,494.2 | 125,970 | 21.8 | 6.7 |
| 4 - 7 | 51.5 (10.5) | 55.2 (9.5) | 106,111.2 | 359,163 | 27.7 | 19.2 |
| 8 - 11 | 50.0 (10.8) | 53.7 (10.0) | 103,149.4 | 542,700 | 26.9 | 29.2 |
| ≥12 | 47.8 (11.5) | 53.1 (10.4) | 36,863.2 | 493,854.9 | 9.7 | 26.5 |
| Missing data | 50.7 (10.7) | 54.9 (10.0) | 52,785 | 341,886.3 | 13.9 | 18.4 |
| Marital status | | | | | | |
| Single | 47.7 (10.6) | 49.7 (10.5) | 206,710.5 | 879,747 | 53.9 | 47.1 |
| Married | 55.6 (9.1) | 57.7 (8.3) | 90,042.6 | 508,750 | 23.6 | 27.3 |
| Legally separated | 54.8 (8.6) | 56.7 (7.8) | 21,528.4 | 213,544.5 | 5.7 | 11.5 |
| Widower | 59.2 (8.0) | 61.2 (6.6) | 10,846 | 72,900 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Missing data | 50.6 (10.5) | 53.2 (10.0) | 53,606.4 | 191,337 | 13.9 | 10.2 |
| Regions of Brazil | | | | | | |
| North | 52.5 (11.0) | 53.2 (10.9) | 27,207.6 | 97,612 | 7.2 | 5.3 |
| Northeast | 50.1 (10.9) | 53.0 (10.6) | 228,166.2 | 421,613.6 | 59.6 | 22.6 |
| Central-West | 53.5 (9.8) | 52.6 (10.2) | 20,906.7 | 176,244.8 | 5.4 | 9.4 |
| Southeast | 52.1 (10.1) | 54.9 (9.7) | 71,046.8 | 883,992.6 | 18.7 | 47.4 |
| South | 54.3 (9.5) | 54.9 (9.5) | 35,105.7 | 286,293.3 | 9.1 | 15.3 |
| Brazil | 51.3 (10.7) | 54.2 (10.0) | 382,513.8 | 1,866,216 | - | - |
| Municipal typology | · · | | | | | |
| Urban | 52.6 (10.4) | 54.5 (9.9) | 131,570 | 1,542,783.2 | 34.7 | 82.9 |
| Adjacent intermediary | 51.1 (10.5) | 53.1 (10.4) | 60,290.2 | 111,573 | 15.9 | 5.9 |
| Remote intermediary | 48.8 (11.5) | 51.2 (11.9) | 5,260.8 | 9,025 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Adjacent rural | 51.1 (10.5) | 53.0 (10.8) | 165,785.5 | 182,444.8 | 43.7 | 9.8 |
| Remote rural | 49.7 (11.9) | 51.5 (11.3) | 15,529 | 15,215.2 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| | 59.5 (0.7) | 51.2 (10.3) | 33.4 | 1,525 | 0.2 | 0.1 |

Source: Mortality Information System (SIM), DataSUS, Ministry of Health.

^{*} Brazilian Classification of Occupations (CBO) 61, 62 & 64;

During the 6-year investigation period, agricultural workers reported 382,869 YPLL, with 1,866,216 years reported among other workers. The proportional distribution of YPLL (% YPLL) by determinants showed higher proportions for both groups of workers in the K70 subgroup, among males, individuals of Brown race, and single individuals. Geographical analysis showed that 59.6% of YPLL among agricultural workers was significantly concentrated in the Northeast region, compared to only 22.6% among other workers in the same area (Table 4).

The national YPLLr for deaths associated with liver diseases was 3,119.9 years per 100,000 agricultural workers. Within this group, the Northeast regions notably had YPLLr of 4,527 years per 100,000 agricultural workers. Comparing the YPLLr ratio between agricultural and other workers, the rate for agricultural workers was 1.33 times higher nationally and 2.24 times higher in the Northeast region. Additionally, within the agricultural workers group, the Northeast region showed a significantly worse situation, with YPLLr ratio 2.78 times higher than the South region, which had the lowest YPLLr for liver diseases among agricultural workers (Table 5).

Table 5. Rate and rate ratio with 95% confidence interval (CI) of Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) resulting from liver disease*, among agricultural and non-agricultural workers** aged 18 to 69 years in Brazil from 2017 to 2022.

| | YPLL rate | YPLL rate*** | | YPLL rate ratio | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Variables | Agricultural Others | | Agricultural/Others (CI) | Agricultural/Agricultural (CI) | Others/Others (CI) | | | |
| Regions of Brazil | | | | | | | | |
| North | 1,886.1 | 1,558.7 | 1.21 (1.13 - 1.29) | 1.16 (1.09 - 1.24) | Ref (-) | | | |
| Northeast | 4,527.0 | 2,021.7 | 2.24 (2.12 - 2.36) | 2.78 (2.63 - 2.95) | 1.30 (1.21 - 1.39) | | | |
| Central-West | 2,610.8 | 2,563.3 | 1.02 (0.96 - 1.08) | 1.61 (1.51 - 1.71) | 1.64 (1.54 - 1.75) | | | |
| Southeast | 2,523.0 | 2,319.5 | 1.09 (1.03 - 1.15) | 1.55 (1.46 - 1.65) | 1.49 (1.40 - 1.59) | | | |
| South | 1,626.3 | 2,009.1 | 0.81 (0.76 - 0.86) | Ref (-) | 1.29 (1.21 - 1.38) | | | |
| General Brazil | 3,119.9 | 2,160.6 | 1.44 (1.37 - 1.53) | 1.92 (1.81 - 2.04) | 1.39 (1.30 - 1.48) | | | |

Source: Mortality Information System (SIM), DataSUS, Ministry of Health, and Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE).

DISCUSSION

This is the first national study focusing on occupation and early deaths due to liver diseases among individuals aged 18 to 69. The early mortality, particularly among agricultural workers, is evident from the MAoD of 51.3 years and YPLLr of 4,532.5 per 100,000 agricultural workers during the study period. The type of liver disease identified

^{**}K70-Alcoholic liver disease; K71-Toxic liver disease; K72-Liver failure not classified elsewhere; K73-Chronic hepatitis not classified elsewhere; K74-Liver fibrosis and cirrhosis; K75-Other inflammatory liver diseases; K76-Other liver diseases; K77-Liver diseases classified elsewhere.

^{*}International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (CID-10) K70-K77;

^{**}Brazilian Classification of Occupations (CBO) 61, 62 & 64;

^{***}YPLL rate = YPLL rate per 100,000 workers; Ref = reference, defined as the region with the lowest YPLL rate.

and the concentration of deaths in Northeast Brazil, especially with higher proportions in the K70 subgroup - alcoholic liver disease, suggest explanatory hypotheses, such as possible early and excessive alcohol consumption, combined with a lack of access to preventive examinations or treatments during the early stages of liver diseases.

Diseases of the Digestive System (CID-10 - Chapter XI), was the 6th leading underlying cause of death during the study period, accounting for approximately 227,000 deaths among Brazilians aged 18 to 69. Among these, liver diseases represented 52% of the deaths³⁴. Pathologies of K70-K77 are challenging due to their multifactorial causes, including viral infections, poisoning by harmful substances, excessive alcohol consumption, high-fat diets, or genetic factors^{3,6,7,12}. In the field of occupational health, efforts are focused on understanding the direct or indirect role of work in the development of these morbidities. For example, agricultural workers are highly exposed to exogenous agents that can cause or heighten chronic diseases¹⁵.

The population of agricultural workers in Brazil, the focus of this study, is approximately 15 million, predominantly male (81%), with 45% identified as White and 44% as Brown race, and low education level (70%). Economically, 70% are engaged in subsistence farming, primarily producing plant-based foods and raising animals for slaughter or their derivatives (milk, wool etc.)³⁵. These workers, historically exploited and still facing significant social inequalities, endure routine long working hours, exposure to various pesticides and heavy metals, and vulnerability to weather conditions, among other hazards^{15,23,24}. Such conditions increase their susceptibility to work-related accidents, diseases, and health issues ^{7,36,37}. Additionally, considerable distances from standard healthcare centers pose challenges for agricultural workers in accessing preventive examinations or early treatment, leading to permanent disabilities or increased risk of death among them.

For comparison purposes, a reference group consisting of all other workers in the same age range and period was created. With no exclusion criteria applied, this group included all CBOs except agricultural workers, resulting in a heterogeneous mix regarding occupational risk or protective factors for liver diseases. Notably, the reference group had twice as many White workers, with higher levels of education, and a geographical distribution primarily concentrated in the Southeast and in urban municipalities. Epidemiologically, these differences are important for identifying potential determinants contributing to the outcome of interest.

The use of the YPLL indicator allows for qualifying and measuring the magnitude of deaths due to specific causes of interest. In occupational health ^{38,39,40,41,42}, YPLL permits quantification of the burden of work-related risks and, when feasible, presents determinants or exposure factors for diseases and injuries possibly associated with deaths. YPLL calculation is recommended when the data source has good quality dimensions, including coverage, completeness, reliability, and validity. SIM, the data source of this study, has satisfactory registration coverage for the occupation variable among Brazilian SIS (Sistemas de Informação da Saúde) despite some incompletely filled fields ⁴³. In this study, the database had 83% completion rate for the occupation field, classified as regular in information quality⁴⁴. Similarly, age, which is essential for YPLL calculation, has nearly 100% completion in the SIM records⁴⁵.

In this study, the YPLL calculation used the LE of 76.2 years, based on the year 2019 for Brazil. This year was chosen as it represents the midpoint of the study period and the year before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, which eventually decreased LE in subsequent years. Here, the YPLL calculations did not consider differences in sex, race, or region where deaths occurred, as it is common in national and international studies to use a single LE value for YPLL calculations ^{39,41,42,46}. However, it is important to note that LE may vary by up to 10 years depending on regions or various other determinants. For instance, in 2019, LE for men and women was 73.1 and 80.1 years, and regionally, the North had the lowest LE at 72.9 years, while the South had the highest at 78.6 years. Similarly, the Northeast had LE of 73.9 years, the Midwest 75.8 years, and the Southeast 78.3 years ³³. Historically, Black and Brown individuals usually have lower LE compared to White individuals^{41,46,47,48}, likely due to centuries of inequality and systematic disadvantages. Therefore, the potential for under or overestimation of some results cannot be ruled out. Despite this methodological limitation, the use of data analysis techniques, such as rate and rate ratio calculations, are appropriate strategies for comparing different determinants and reducing potential biases.

For all calculated indicators, males exhibited significantly higher incidences, both among general and agricultural workers. These findings align with previous studies that consistently identify higher incidence and mortality rates from liver diseases in males, irrespective of occupation or other determinants^{3,5,7,11}. This historical pattern of liver disease-related deaths may be attributed to several factors, including higher frequencies of risk behaviors such as excessive alcohol consumption and smoking, poor health-seeking behaviors, and greater environmental and occupational exposures^{4,12,23}.

The 51.3 years MAoD resulting from liver diseases among agricultural workers highlights the early onset of this disease within the study population. In acute events such as work-related accidents, it is common for the average age at death to be low, often lower than these findings. For instance, among workers in the state of Bahia, the MAoD was 38.9 years for individuals of Brown race, 39.4 for Black individuals, and 40.6 for White individuals⁴¹. Similarly, a study among Iranian workers found MAoD of 36 years, which was higher than that among Turkish workers, who had MAoD of 34 years^{40,49}. Mortality from non-communicable chronic diseases related to work, such as cancers and kidney diseases, typically have higher average age at death than liver disease-related deaths^{50,51}. Premature deaths have profound impacts on families, causing disruption in the parental nucleus, loss of human references, and household income, resulting in economic loss for spouses and dependents. Socially, these deaths can exacerbate inequalities, reduce the country's workforce, and negatively impact regional and national development⁵².

The low levels of education of agricultural workers in this study is similar to findings from previous national studies using primary data^{37,53,54} and the 2017 Agricultural Census³⁵. A high proportion of agricultural workers have ≤ 7 years of schooling (52.4%), which is double that of other workers (27.3%), potentially contributing to the main findings here. When stratified by groups or regions, the low levels of education of agricultural workers, reveal specific vulnerabilities. For example, the percentage of agricultural workers who have never attended school is higher in states in the North and Northeast, which may be associated with the development of liver diseases^{8,10}. This educational disparity may contribute to a lesser perception of behavioral or environmental risks, correlate with lower income, and result in poor health-seeking behaviors, leading to high morbidity and mortality from liver diseases.

Racially, the PM from liver diseases among Black agricultural workers (Brown race and Black individuals) was 19.4% higher compared to other Black workers (71.7% vs. 52.3%). Adverse occupational effects based on race are commonly investigated concerning workplace accidents. A national study published in 2021, using SIM data, identified that Brown race workers had the highest average annual mortality rates from workplace accidents across all regions of Brazil⁵⁵. Additionally, a temporal trend analysis on fatal workplace accidents involving workers from Bahia between 2000 and 2019 concluded that Brown race workers had a lower MAoD (38.9 years), as well as higher average YPLL and higher YPLLr compared to Black and White race workers⁴¹. These findings are consistent with international literature, which identifies non-White and

Hispanic workers as having a higher prevalence of injuries, assaults, and risks related to workplace accidents^{56,57}.

Regarding the population of agricultural workers, an Epidemiological Bulletin from the Ministry of Health, using data from the Notifiable Diseases Information System, identified that 46.8% of accidents involving venomous animals and 45.4% of severe workplace accidents between 2010 and 2019 in Brazil occurred among Brown race agricultural workers⁵⁸. Similarly, a systematic review with meta-analysis, evaluating 38 international studies (USA, Australia, Belgium, Canada, China, and Finland), identified higher risks of accidents and injuries among non-White agricultural workers⁵⁹, which is similar to the principal findings of this study.

Despite the significant proportion of YPLL (73.8%) for liver diseases among Black and Brown individuals, YPLL rates were not calculated due to the limitation of lacking denominators related to occupation by race. As of May 2024, the main source of this data, IBGE did not provide tables with this information - Table 3584 (https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/Tabela/3584). Also, tables related to occupation with information by race did not allow tabulation by specific types of occupations, as seen with tables 4040 (https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/Tabela/3581). Therefore, alongside determinants such as sex, age, and levels of education, location and occupation, there is an urgent need to include race/ethnicity in information systems, to permit widespread application of health indicators for workers.

The K70 subgroup - alcoholic liver disease was recorded as the underlying cause of death for 53.8% of the investigated agricultural workers. These findings align with a national retrospective historical series (1996-2022) that examined various liver-related morbidities, identifying alcoholic liver disease as the leading cause of death¹². The K70 subgroup is primarily driven by chronic and high alcohol consumption^{6,7,11}. This exposure can trigger the formation of stress granules and the restructuring of hepatic tissue, leading to the degeneration of various liver cells, including hepatocytes, stellate cells, cholangiocytes, and Kupffer cells¹¹. The progressive inflammatory process results in the replacement of healthy hepatic tissue with nodules and fibrosis, compromising blood circulation⁴.

The relationship between alcohol consumption and agricultural workers has been extensively studied^{15,20,23,24}. Potential determinants of abusive alcohol behavior include crop loss²⁴, climate change²⁴, low economic yields²³, psychological adversities²³, food

insecurity²³, intense and exhausting workload²³, and high exposure to pesticides¹⁵. However, there is limited research on the consequences of this chronic exposure and its potential adverse health outcomes, such as the development of alcoholic liver disease, which was responsible for 8,276 deaths among Brazilian agricultural workers in the study period.

The unfavorable outcomes for liver disease-related deaths among agricultural workers in the Northeast region support previous studies that identified adverse conditions among workers in this region^{36,39,41}. With approximately 68% of its municipalities classified as rural, the Northeast has the largest population of subsistence agricultural workers in the country³⁵. Deficient infrastructure, such as basic health units, specialized centers, hospitals, and a lower number of healthcare professionals, especially in remote rural areas, exacerbates early morbidity and mortality among this population³⁶. Consequently, there is a pressing need to expand occupational health surveillance and implement measures to improve working environments and conditions. Such actions are essential to reduce the burden associated with environmental and behavioral factors, like liver diseases and promote equity among the study population.

Reflecting on the limitations of the study, the YPLL calculation represents an absolute value, leading to higher YPLL values in large populations. To ensure comparability with studies and populations of different sizes, the YPLLr and YPLLr ratios were applied^{41,60}, as these strategies are commonly used in epidemiological studies^{42,46}. The YPLLr and YPLLr ratios confirmed the magnitude of the problem and highlighted the vulnerability of agricultural workers in the Northeast region of Brazil. Although no studies with directly comparable populations were found, these findings are similar to national studies that observed premature deaths among Brazilian workers due to chronic diseases⁴², infectious diseases³⁶, or workplace accidents^{38,41}.

Although this study relied on secondary data from SIM and IBGE, the quality of SIM records has improved significantly, particularly in the sociodemographic and occupational fields, which are now completed in 85% of the records. Additionally, there is a decreasing trend in the proportions of codes classified as ill-defined causes, especially within the investigated population aged 18 to 69 years.

The inability to measure variables such as alcohol consumption, use of nonprescription medications, dietary habits, and other factors limits the ability to infer causality of liver diseases among workers. Future epidemiological studies with prospective (cohort) and retrospective (case-control) designs, involving representative sample sizes of the worker population, are necessary to better assess the potential impact of multiple risk exposures, with an emphasis on alcohol consumption and its relation to early mortality from liver diseases among agricultural workers.

Highlighting the positive aspects of the study, approximately 4 million death records formed the initial basis of analysis. The application of indicators such as PM and YPLL, along with YPLLr and YPLLr ratio, enabled comparisons between groups of workers based on occupational, sociodemographic, and geographic aspects, paving the way for understanding liver disease mortality among agricultural workers in Brazil. The study's findings prompt reflection on the determinants that have rendered this group of agricultural workers particularly vulnerable to liver diseases. The concentration of deaths in the K70 subgroup - Alcoholic liver disease suggests the need for developing continuous education strategies aimed at agricultural workers to raise awareness about the risks associated with early, high, and frequent alcohol consumption. Overall, this study contributes to the field of occupational health by fostering the development of targeted actions to promote the health and well-being of populations involved in agricultural activities.

CONCLUSIONS

During the 6-year investigation period, 120,552 deaths associated with liver diseases were recorded among Brazilians aged 18 to 69 years. Of this, 12.7% occurred among agricultural workers, with MAoD of 51.3 years and a total of 382,869 YPLL. The higher proportion of deaths in the K70-Alcoholic liver disease subgroup suggests precocious, high, and frequent alcohol consumption among agricultural workers. The Northeast region had a YPLL rate of 4,527 years per 100,000 agricultural workers and YPLLr ratio 1.45 times higher than the national average, rendering it the region with the most severe situation nationwide. Characterizing the vulnerability of agricultural workers to liver diseases requires a combination of epidemiological methods to measure behavioral, environmental, and occupational risks. This approach should help fill the knowledge gaps not covered by the scope of this study.

Acknowledgements: J. S. Silva and T. S. Nunes received CNPq_IC Scientific Initiation and CAPES Master's Scholarships during this study.

Authors' Contributions: J. S. Silva and C. Cremonese contributed equally to the conception of the study proposal; data collection; analysis; interpretation of results; drafting of the manuscript; and critical review of the final version. S. Arruda, T. S. Nunes, M. Armando and W. P. Dias performed data analysis; interpretation of results; and critical review of the manuscript. A. M. Awoniyi collaborated in the drafting of the manuscript; translation from Portuguese to English; and critical review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Additional Information

ORCID: Jailma dos Santos Silva - 0009-0004-6893-1415; Soraia Arruda - 0000-0001-5506-0009; Thayane Silva Nunes - 0009-0002-2048-3284; Wiler de Paula Dias - 0009-0002-6322-9884; Adedayo Michael Awoniyi - 0000-0002-4017-6567; Armando Meyer - 0000-0002-5258-8016; and Cleber Cremonese - 0000-0003-2700-7416

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Trefts E, Gannon M, Wasserman DH. The liver. Current Biology. 2017 Nov;27(21):R1147-R1151.
- 2. Organização Mundial da Saúde. Classificação estatística internacional de doenças e problemas relacionados à saúde, 10a revisão. v. 1. São Paulo: Centro Colaborador da OMS para a Classificação de Doenças em Português. 1995.
- 3. Seitz HK, Bataller R, Cortez-Pinto H, Gao B, Gual A, Lackner C, et al. Publisher Correction: Alcoholic liver disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018 Aug 28;4(1).
- 4. Ginès P, Krag A, Abraldes JG, Solà E, Fabrellas N, Kamath PS. Liver cirrhosis. The Lancet. 2021 Oct;398(10308):1359-76.
- 5. Riazi K, Azhari H, Charette JH, Underwood FE, King JA, Afshar EE, et al. The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2022 Sep;7(9):851-61.
- 6. Wu X, Fan X, Miyata T, Kim A, Cajigas-Du Ross CK, Ray S, et al. Recent Advances in Understanding of Pathogenesis of Alcohol-Associated Liver Disease. Annu Rev Pathol Mech Dis. 2023 Jan 24;18(1):411-38.
- 7. Devarbhavi H, Asrani SK, Arab JP, Nartey YA, Pose E, Kamath PS. Global burden of liver disease: 2023 update. Journal of Hepatology. 2023 Aug;79(2):516-37.
- 8. Stroffolini T, Sagnelli E, Sagnelli C, Morisco F, Babudieri S, Furlan C, et al. The association between education level and chronic liver disease of any etiology. European Journal of Internal Medicine. 2020 May;75:55-9.

- 9. Asrani SK, Hall L, Reddy V, Ogola G, Izzy M. Comorbid Chronic Diseases and Survival in Compensated and Decompensated Cirrhosis: A Population-Based Study. Am J Gastroenterol. 2022 Dec;117(12):2009-16.
- 10. Koutny F, Aigner E, Datz C, Gensluckner S, Maieron A, Mega A, et al. Relationships between education and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. European Journal of Internal Medicine. 2023 Dec;118:98-107.
- 11. Xu H, Xiao P, Zhang F, Liu T, Gao Y. Epidemic characteristics of alcohol-related liver disease in Asia from 2000 to 2020: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Liver International. 2022 Aug;42(9):1991-8.
- 12. Guimarães JSF, Mesquita JA, Kimura TY, Oliveira ALM, Leite MF, Oliveira AG. Burden of liver disease in Brazil, 1996–2022: a retrospective descriptive study of the epidemiology and impact on public healthcare. The Lancet Regional Health-Americas. 2024 May;33:100731.
- 13. Gatto NM, Ogata P, Lytle B. Farming, Pesticides, and Brain Cancer: A 20-Year Updated Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancers. 2021 Sep 5;13(17):4477.
- 14. Togawa K, Leon ME, Lebailly P, Beane Freeman LE, Nordby K, Baldi I, et al. Cancer incidence in agricultural workers: Findings from an international consortium of agricultural cohort studies (AGRICOH). Environment International. 2021 Dec;157:106825.
- 15. de Graaf L, Boulanger M, Bureau M, Bouvier G, Meryet-Figuiere M, Tual S, et al. Occupational pesticide exposure, cancer and chronic neurological disorders: A systematic review of epidemiological studies in greenspace workers. Environmental Research. 2022 Jan;203:111822
- 16. Farias RNd, Thaler SM. Uso de agrotóxicos e mortes por câncer no estado do Rio Grande do Sul. Saude soc. 2023;32(3).
- 17. Cezar-Vaz MR, Bonow CA, de Mello MCVA, Xavier DM, Vaz JC, Schimith MD. Use of Global Risk Score for Cardiovascular Evaluation of Rural Workers in Southern Brazil. The Scientific World Journal. 2018;2018:1-5.
- 18. Kongtip P, Nankongnab N, Tipayamongkholgul M, Bunngamchairat A, Yimsabai J, Pataitiemthong A, et al. A Cross-Sectional Investigation of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Biomarkers among Conventional and Organic Farmers in Thailand. IJERPH. 2018 Nov 20;15(11):2590.
- 19. Wang T, Tsai Y, Yang J, Lin M, Lin Y, Huang T, et al. The prevalence of chronic dehydration and associated with cardiometabolic risks among agriculture and aquaculture workers. Front Public Health. 2023 Sep 7;11.
- 20. Shrestha S, Parks CG, Umbach DM, Richards-Barber M, Hofmann JN, Chen H, et al. Pesticide use and incident Parkinson's disease in a cohort of farmers and their spouses. Environmental Research. 2020 Dec;191:110186.
- 21. Vasconcellos PRO, Rizzotto MLF, Obregón PL, Alonzo HGA. Exposição a agrotóxicos na agricultura e doença de Parkinson em usuários de um serviço público de saúde do Paraná, Brasil. Cad saúde colet. 2020 Dec;28(4):567-78.
- 22. Perrin L, Spinosi J, Chaperon L, Kab S, Moisan F, Ebaz A. Pesticides expenditures by farming type and incidence of Parkinson disease in farmers: A French nationwide study. Environmental Research. 2021 Jun;197:111161.
- 23. Watanabe-Galloway S, Chasek C, Yoder AM, Bell JE. Substance use disorders in the farming population: Scoping review. The Journal of Rural Health. 2022 Jan;38(1):129-50.

- 24. Mohammed K, Batung E, Kansanga MM, Luginaah I. Alcohol misuse as a social determinant of food insecurity among smallholder farmers. Social Science & Medicine. 2024 Jan;340:116489.
- 25. Johnson A, Baccaglini L, Haynatzki GR, Achutan C, Loomis D, Rautiainen RH. Agricultural Injuries among Farmers and Ranchers in the Central United States during 2011-2015. Journal of Agromedicine. 2021 Jan 2;26(1):62-72.
- 26. Okuyama JHH, Galvão TF, Silva MT. Intoxicações e fatores associados ao óbito por agrotóxicos: estudo caso controle, Brasil, 2017. Rev bras epidemiol. 2020;23.
- Nguyen D, Tsai CSJ. Inadequate Personal Protective Equipment Factors and Odds Related to Acute Pesticide Poisoning: A Meta-Analysis Report. IJERPH. 2024 Feb 23;21(3):257.
- 28. Ministério da Saúde. Declaração de Óbito: Manual de Instruções para Preenchimento. Brasília-DF: Ministério da Saúde. 2022.
- 29. Brasil. Ministério do Trabalho e Emprego. Classificação Brasileira de ocupações: CBO 2010 3a ed, vol. 1. Brasília: MTE, SPPE, p. 828. Available in. https://portalfat.mte.gov.br/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/CBO2002_Liv3.pdf. 2010.
- 30. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. SIDRA: Tabela 3584. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2018.
- 31. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2019 https://www.R-project.org
- 32. Cremonese C, Silva J dos S, Arruda S, Nunes TS, Dias W de P, Awoniyi AM, et al. Code used to analyze deaths and YPLL due to liver diseases among agricultural workers in Brazil, 2017-2022. Zenodo; 2024. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12093023.
- 33. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Tábuas Completas de Mortalidade. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2019.
- 34. Ministério da Saúde. Departamento de Informática do SUS. Tabnet. http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/deftohtm.exe?sim/cnv/obt10ba.def (acessado em 15/Mai/2024).
- 35. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Censo Agropecuário 2017. Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. 2017.
- 36. Sombra Neto LL, Silva FVEd, Barbosa ACM, Carneiro FF, Pessoa VM. Condições de vida e saúde de famílias rurais no sertão cearense: desafios para Agenda 2030. Saúde debate. 2022 Mar;46(132):148-62.
- 37. Cremonini ACP, Ferreira JRS, Martins CA, do Prado CB, Petarli GB, Cattafesta M, et al. Metabolic Syndrome and Associated Factors in Farmers in Southeastern Brazil: A Cross-Sectional Study. IJERPH. 2023 Jul 8;20(14):6328.
- 38. Santana VS, Araújo-Filho JB, Silva M, Albuquerque-Oliveira PR, Barbosa-Branco A, Nobre LCdC. Mortalidade, anos potenciais de vida perdidos e incidência de acidentes de trabalho na Bahia, Brasil. Cad Saúde Pública. 2007 Nov;23(11):2643-52.
- 39. Cardoso EM. Morbimortalidade relacionada ao trabalho no estado do Amazonas, Brasil, 2000-2011. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2014 Mar;23(1):143-53.
- 40. Aghaali M, Mirtorabi SD, Ghadirzadeh MR, Hashemi-Nazari SS. Mortality and Years of Life Lost Due to Occupational Injury in Iran (2012-2016). J Res Health Sci. 2019 Jun 1;19(2):e00444.

- 41. Nery FSD, Souza IMd, Araújo EMd, Oliveira NFd, Nery MGD. Tendência temporal dos anos potenciais de vida perdidos por acidentes de trabalho fatais segundo raça/cor da pele na Bahia, 2000-2019. Rev bras saúde ocup. 2022;47.
- 42. Buralli R, Ribeiro A, Cremonese C, Vineis P, Meyer A. Cancer mortality and premature deaths among hairdressers in Brazil. Environmental Research. 2024 Mar;244:117942.
- 43. Santos CVBd, Ferreira VdM, Sampaio JRC, Ribeiro PC, Castro HAd, Gutierrez AC, et al. Incompletude da variável profissão/ocupação nos bancos de síndrome gripal, síndrome respiratória aguda grave e mortalidade, Brasil, 2020-2021. Rev bras saúde ocup. 2023;48.
- 44. Romero DE, Cunha CBd. Avaliação da qualidade das variáveis sócio-econômicas e demográficas dos óbitos de crianças menores de um ano registrados no Sistema de Informações sobre Mortalidade do Brasil (1996/2001). Cad Saúde Pública. 2006 Mar;22(3):673-81.
- 45. Felix JD, Zandonade E, Amorim MHC, Castro DSd. Avaliação da completude das variáveis epidemiológicas do Sistema de Informação sobre Mortalidade em mulheres com óbitos por câncer de mama na Região Sudeste: Brasil (1998 a 2007). Ciênc saúde coletiva. 2012 Apr;17(4):945-53.
- 46. Silva Filho AMd, Araújo EMd, Souza IMd, Luiz OdC, Máximo G, Queiroz FdA, et al. Anos Potenciais de Vida Perdidos devido à COVID-19, segundo a raça/cor e gênero, no Brasil, entre 2020 e 2021. Ciênc saúde coletiva. 2024;29(3).
- 47. Silva Ad, Rosa TEdC, Batista LE, Kalckmann S, Louvison MCP, Teixeira DSdC, et al. Iniquidades raciais e envelhecimento:análise da coorte 2010 do Estudo Saúde,Bem-Estar e Envelhecimento (SABE). Rev bras epidemiol. 2018;21(suppl 2).
- 48. Camelo LV, Coelho CG, Chor D, Griep RH, Almeida MdCCd, Giatti L, et al. Racismo e iniquidade racial na autoavaliação de saúde ruim: o papel da mobilidade social intergeracional no Estudo Longitudinal de Saúde do Adulto (ELSA-Brasil). Cad Saúde Pública. 2022;38(1).
- 49. Hösükler E. Analysis of Injuries and Deaths by Trauma Scores due to Occupational Accidents. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2022.
- 50. Braga SFM, Souza MCd, Oliveira RRd, Andrade EIG, Acurcio FdA, Cherchiglia ML. Patient survival and risk of death after prostate cancer treatment in the Brazilian Unified Health System. Rev Saúde Pública. 2017;51(0).
- 51. Gouvêa EdCDP, Ribeiro AM, Aquino ECd, Stopa SR. Mortality trend due to chronic kidney disease in Brazil: an ecological study. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2023;32(3).
- 52. Łyszczarz B. Production losses associated with premature mortality in 28 European Union countries. J Glob Health. 2019 Dec;9(2):020418.
- 53. Buralli RJ, Ribeiro H, Iglesias V, Muñoz-Quezada MT, Leão RS, Marques RC, et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides and health symptoms among family farmers in Brazil. Rev saúde pública. 2020 Dec 12;54:133.
- 54. Araújo RAL, Cremonese C, Santos R, Piccoli C, Carvalho G, Freire C, et al. Association of occupational exposure to pesticides with overweight and abdominal obesity in family farmers in southern Brazil. International Journal of Environmental Health Research. 2022 Dec 2;32(12):2798-809
- 55. Menegon LdS, Menegon FA, Kupek E. Mortalidade por acidentes de trabalho no Brasil: análise de tendência temporal, 2006-2015. Rev bras saúde ocup. 2021;46.

- 56. Seabury SA, Terp S, Boden LI. Racial And Ethnic Differences In The Frequency Of Workplace Injuries And Prevalence Of Work-Related Disability. Health Affairs. 2017 Feb;36(2):266-73.
- 57. Shannon CA, Rospenda KM, Richman JA, Minich LM. Race, Racial Discrimination, and the Risk of Work-Related Illness, Injury, or Assault: Findings From a National Study. Journal of Occupational & Environmental Medicine. 2009 Apr;51(4):441-8.
- 58. Ministério da Saúde. Boletim Epidemiológico: Perfil Sociodemográfico e Ocupacional dos trabalhadores agropecuários do Brasil, 2010 a 2019. Brasília-DF: Ministério da Saúde. 2020.
- 59. Jadhav R, Achutan C, Haynatzki G, Rajaram S, Rautiainen R. Review and Metaanalysis of Emerging Risk Factors for Agricultural Injury. Journal of Agromedicine. 2016 Jul 2;21(3):284-97.
- 60. Romeder JM, McWhinnie JR. Le Développement des années potentielles de vie perdues comme indicateur de mortalité pré-maturée. Revue D'Epidemiologie et de Santé Publique 1978;26(1):97-115.