1 Knowledge, perception, and attitude toward premarital screening among 2 university students in Kurdistan region-Iraq. Kochr Ali Mahmood<sup>1</sup> 3 Govand Saadadin Sadraldeen<sup>1</sup> 4 Samir M. Othman<sup>2</sup> 5 Nazar P. Shabila<sup>2,3</sup> 6 Abubakir M. Saleh<sup>2,4</sup> 7 Kameran Hassan Ismail<sup>2</sup> 8 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, Koya University, Koya, Kurdistan region-Iraq. 9 10 <sup>2</sup> Collage of Medicine, Hawler Medical University. Erbil, Kurdistan region-Iraq. <sup>3</sup> Collage of Health Science, Catholic University in Erbil, Erbil, Kurdistan 11 12 region-Iraq. <sup>4</sup> Department of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Tishk International University, 13 14 Erbil. Kurdistan region-Iraq. 15 **Abstract** 16 Background: Premarital screening programs are essential for identifying and providing counseling to couples at risk of transmitting genetic diseases or sexually 17 transmitted infections. Despite their importance, university students' awareness and 18 knowledge of premarital screening programs remain inadequate. This study aimed to 19 evaluate the knowledge, perceptions, and attitudes of university students in the 20 Kurdistan Region of Iraq regarding premarital screening programs. 21

Methods: A cross-sectional survey involving 960 students was conducted from December 2023 to February 2024. The survey assessed participants' demographics, knowledge, perception, and attitudes toward PMSP using a structured questionnaire. **Results:** Findings revealed that a significant portion of participants (39.4%) had poor knowledge of premarital screening programs, 35.9% had fair knowledge, and only 24.7% had good knowledge. Despite limited knowledge, there was strong support for premarital screening programs, with 83.1% agreeing on its importance and 78.8% recognizing the need for premarital awareness. Most participants (65.8%) believed premarital screening programs could reduce genetic diseases, and 65.6% thought it could lower sexually transmitted diseases' prevalence. Cultural acceptance of marrying relatives was notable, with 59.7% disagreeing with the preference for not marrying relatives. Married participants showed significantly higher knowledge and attitude scores compared to single participants. Gender differences were observed, with males having higher knowledge scores. There were no significant differences in perception and attitude scores based on gender or residential area. Conclusion: The study underscores the need for enhanced educational campaigns to improve premarital screening programs awareness and positively influence attitudes, especially targeting cultural aspects like accepting relative marriages. Comprehensive education and fostering positive attitudes toward premarital screening programs are vital for their broader acceptance and implementation. **Keywords** (Premarital screening test, Knowledge, Perception, Attitude, Kurdistan region, University students)

### Introduction

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

Premarital screening (PMS) programs are critical public health tools designed to identify and provide counseling for couples at risk of transmitting genetic diseases to their offspring or who may be at risk of sexually transmitted infections. Despite their importance, the awareness and knowledge regarding these screenings among university students remains a subject of interest and concern. This demographic represents a significant portion of society that is approaching or currently at the marriageable age, making their understanding of PMS vital for the well-being of future generations (1). Consequently, many nations and health organizations have embarked on educational campaigns to shed light on the significance of premarital tests, covering everything from inheritable diseases to reproductive health. However, the effectiveness of these programs is contingent upon the degree to which university students are engaged and informed about the purpose, procedures, and benefits of PMS. Research into this area often seeks to evaluate the awareness levels of these students and to identify opportunities to enhance education and outreach initiatives to improve the uptake and positive outcomes of premarital health practices (2–4). Tests for common genetic blood disorders (primary hemoglobinopathy, such as thalassemia and sickle cell anemia) and infectious diseases (like hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV/AIDS) are referred to as PMS if a couple plans to get married soon (5). PMS aims to give couples/partners options to help them plan a healthy family and medical advice regarding the likelihood of passing on the diseases above to the partner/spouse or other children. This process lowers the financial burden of treating sexually transmitted infections and some genetic disorders by reducing their prevalence. It lessens the workload for state-run hospitals and blood banks (6). It also raises awareness of a virtuous and well-being marriage. In addition, premarital examinations may involve blood tests, resuscitation factors, semen analysis, FSH,

prolactin, testosterone, and estrogen hormones, as well as tests for syphilis, gonorrhea, and other sexually transmitted diseases (7). Understanding the factors influencing students' knowledge about PMS-including cultural, religious, and socioeconomic backgrounds- and the role of university curriculum and public health interventions—is crucial for developing targeted strategies to boost their awareness and encourage proactive health behaviors. These endeavors not only support public health but also foster a culture of prevention, which is instrumental in reducing the burden of genetic and communicable diseases on individuals, families, and healthcare systems worldwide (4,8,9).Premarital counseling is a type of therapy designed to help couples become better prepared for marriage, recognize issues in their relationship, and give them the tools to handle disagreements both now and in the future. Couples also communicate their unique needs, preferences, and expectations for their marriage and learn how to settle disagreements in ways that satisfy both parties (10). In three Kurdistan provinces in northern Iraq (Duhok, Erbil, and Sulaimaniyah), a PMS program to find hemoglobinopathy carriers was started in 2008. The passage of legislation by the regional parliament mandating PMS for hemoglobinopathies made the program easier to implement (11). The current study aimed to determine students' knowledge, perception, and attitude regarding PMS programs and find the important factors related to their knowledge.

#### Methods

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

#### Design and setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Kurdistan Region of Iraq, from December

2023 to February 2024. The survey was based on a self-administered online survey

using Google Forms.

### **Participants**

The sample size for this study was calculated using Epi-info, using an estimated

prevalence of household members who need care of 33.9%, with a confidence interval

of 95% and ±5% precision. Thus, a sample size of 344 individuals was calculated,

which was increased to 500 to account for nonresponse. However, 960 students

answered the questions. A convenience sample of students from the universities was

recruited for this study.

#### **Study Tool and Data Collection**

The questionnaire used for data collection included three sections. The first section included information on participants' characteristics, such as age, gender, and economic status, and questions about hereditary diseases inside their families. The second section included participants' knowledge of the PMS test, which included nine questions to assess their knowledge. All the questions were answered with yes or no. In the third section, all the questions were related to the perception of the participants for PMS, and all of them were answered with disagree, neutral, and agree. This section included 12 questions to evaluate their perception. The final section included questions related to participants' attitudes toward PMS. It contained four questions and answered with disagree, neutral, and agree. For all three sections of the questions, knowledge, perception, and attitude, less than 50% considered poor, 50%-75% considered fair, and more than 75% considered good (12).

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

The online Google form was shared with the participants through social media, WhatsApp groups, and email. The form began with a description of the study and its significance. Participants were asked to provide informed consent before completing the online Google form. **Ethical aspects** Participants provided written informed consent online after being informed that participation was voluntary and anonymity was guaranteed. The Research Ethics Committee of the authors' institute approved the study protocol. Koya University and Hawler Medical University. Data processing and statistical analysis Different statistical tests were used to determine the factors that affected three elements of the study. Kolmogorov Smirnov test was used to determine the normality of the continuous variables. Since the data was non-parametric, the Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal Walles tests were used, and mean rank and mean with standard deviation were used to present the data. The data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 27). A p-value equal to and less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. **Results** In general, 960 persons answered the questions. 394 (41.1%) and 408 (42.5%) were less than 20 and 20 to 22 years old, respectively. The majority of the participants were female (n = 582, 60.6%). Most of them were single (n = 890.92.7%). Most (n = 907, 94.5%) were from urban areas, and 753 (78.4%) had enough economic status for life. Over half answered ves to parental consanguinity (n = 571, 59.5%). Regarding personal and family history for hereditary diseases, most participants reported no diseases (n =

140 814, 84.8% and n = 620, 64.6)), respectively. 319 (33.2%) were from stage 4, and 280

(29.2%) were from stage 1. The median and interquartile range was 3 (3), as shown in

142 Table 1.

141

143

## Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
Age group		
Less than 20	394	41.1
20-22	408	42.5
More than 22	157	16.4
Gender		
Female	582	60.6
Male	378	39.4
Marital status		
Single	890	92.7
Married	70	7.3
Resident place		
Urban area	907	94.5
Rural area	53	5.5
Economic status		
Not enough for daily life	79	8.2
Enough for daily life	753	78.4
Good	128	13.3
Parental consanguinity		
No	389	40.5

Yes	571	59.5
Personal history of		
hereditary diseases		
No	814	84.8
Yes	146	15.2
Family history of		
hereditary diseases		
No	620	64.6
Yes	340	35.4
In which stage do you		
study		
1	280	29.2
2	146	15.2
3	137	14.3
4	319	33.2
5	13	1.4
6	65	6.8
Median	3	
Inter quartile range	3	

Regarding the knowledge of the students, the vast majority of respondents have heard of PMS (n = 905, 94.3%) and know its meaning (n = 850, 88.5%) and objectives (n = 895, 93.2%). Furthermore, A majority recognize that PMS focuses on infectious (n = 739, 77%) and hereditary diseases (n = 863, 89.9%). Less than half of the respondents are aware of different places to perform PMS (n = 405, 42.2%), more than half (n = 863, 89.9%).

507, 52.8%) know the specific tests included in PMS, and a similar proportion knows about the physical examinations included in PMS (n = 496, 51.7%), as shown in Table 2.

# Table 2. Knowledge of the participants regarding PMS

Questions	Frequency	Percentage
Did you hear PMS previously		
No	55	5.7
Yes	905	94.3
Do you know the meaning of PMS		
No	110	11.5
Yes	850	88.5
Do you know the objectives of PMS		
No	65	6.5
Yes	895	93.2
PMS focuses on infectious diseases		
No	221	23
Yes	739	77
PMS focuses on hereditary diseases		
No	97	10.1
Yes	863	89.9
Do you know different options of places to perform		
PMS		
No	555	57.8
Yes	405	42.2

Do you know the tests included in PMS		
No	453	47.2
Yes	507	52.8
Physical examinations included in PMS		
No	464	48.3
Yes	496	51.7

In terms of the sources of information that the students used, 477 (49.68%) of the participants took information from their family, 109 (11.4%) from the internet, 73 (7.6%) from school, and 301 (31.4%) from all sources.

As shown in Table 3, most participants fall into the "Poor Knowledge" category (n = 378, 39.4%), followed by those with "Fair Knowledge" (n = 345, 35.9%), and the fewest have "Good Knowledge" (n = 237, 24.7%).

Table 3. The knowledge, perception, and attitude of the participants

	P	oor	F	'air	G	ood
Variable	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Knowledge	378	39.4	345	35.9	237	24.7
Perception	394	41	346	36	220	22.9
Attitude	776	80.8	155	16.1	29	3

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

The data represents survey responses on various statements regarding the importance and implications of Premarital Screening (PMS) for future couples. The attitude of the participants for PMS. A large majority (n = 798, 83.1%), agree that PMS is important for future couples, indicating strong support for its perceived value, Additionally, most respondents (n = 756, 78.8%), agree that awareness about PMS before marriage is important, suggesting a high level of perceived importance for premarital awareness. A majority (n = 632, 65.8%) believe that PMS can reduce the prevalence of some genetic diseases, though a notable portion is neutral (n = 216, 22.5%) or disagrees (n = 112,11.7%). A significant majority (n = 666, 69.4%), agreed that consanguinity increases the risk of hereditary diseases, while a substantial number were neutral (n = 288, 30%). A majority (n = 630, 65.6%) believed PMS would reduce the prevalence of some sexually transmitted diseases, but a considerable portion is neutral (n = 258, 26.9%). Most respondents (n = 558, 58.1%) agree on the confidentiality of PMS and genetic counseling, though a significant number are neutral (n = 331, 34.5%). However, the majority are either neutral (n = 430, 44.8%) or disagree (n = 371, 38.6%) that PMS causes psychological troubles, indicating that most do not see it as a significant psychological burden. Moreover, the majority (n = 616, 64.2%) believe religious people should adopt PMS ideas in their discussions, showing support for integrating PMS into religious dialogue. Also, a significant majority (n = 755, 78.6%) support legal obligation for PMS and genetic counseling, indicating strong support for mandated screening. While opinions are more divided here, with 382 (39.8%) agreeing that the decision should be left to the couple, while a significant number are neutral (n = 345,35.9%) or disagree (n = 223, 24.3%). A majority (n = 617, 64.3%) agree on the importance of medical counseling post-results, with a notable neutral stance (n = 276, 28.7%). Additionally, a significant majority (n = 674, 70.2%) agree that any disease

# detected should be treated before marriage, indicating strong support for premarital

## treatment. Table 4 shows the details of the perception of participants toward PMS.

# Table 4. Perception of the participants toward PMS

191

192

Question	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)
PMS is important for future couples	57 (5.9)	105 (10.9)	798 (83.1)
Awareness about PMS before	53 (5.5)	151 (15.7)	756 (78.8)
marriage is important			
PMS will reduce the prevalence of	112 (11.7)	216 (22.5)	632 (65.8)
some genetic diseases			
Consanguinity can increase the risk of	6 (0.6)	288 (30)	666 (69.4)
hereditary diseases			
PMS will reduce the prevalence of	72 (7.5)	258 (26.9)	630 (65.6)
some sexual transmitted diseases			
PMS and genetic counselling should	71 (7.4)	331 (34.5)	558 (58.1)
be confidential			
PMS cause psychological troubles to	371 (38.6)	430 (44.8)	159 (16.6)
the couples			
The religious people should adopt the	106 (11)	238 (24.8)	616 (64.2)
ideas of PMS in their discussion			
Law should obligate all future	90 (9.4)	115 (12)	755 (78.6)
couples to do PMS and genetic			
counselling			

In detecting Sexually Transmitted	223 (24.3)	345 (35.9)	382 (39.8)
Diseases, marriage decision must be			
left for freedom of the couple			
The medical counselling is important	67 (7)	276 (28.7)	617 (64.3)
to be given after getting the results			
Any disease appeared in one of the	77 (8)	209 (21.8)	674 (70.2)
couple has to be treated before			
marriage			

As shown in Table 3, most participants fall into the "Poor Perception" category (n =

394, 41%), followed by those with "Fair Perception" (n = 346, 36%), and the fewest

have "Good Perception" (n = 220, 22.9%). This indicates that the overall perception of the topic among participants is more negative than positive, with a considerable number of participants having only a fair or poor perception.

The table presents survey data showing participants' levels of agreement with various statements related to PMS. The percentages reflect the proportion of participants who disagree, are neutral or agree with each statement.

A significant majority (n = 781, 81.4%) of participants agree with carrying out PMS, indicating strong support for this practice. Very few participants (n = 50, 5.2%) disagree, while a modest portion (n = 129, 13.4%) remain neutral. Most participants (n = 573, 59.7%) disagree with the preference for not marrying relatives, indicating a cultural or social acceptance of relative marriages. Only a small percentage (n = 69, 7.2%) agree with this preference, and a significant portion (n = 318, 33.1%) are

neutral. Most participants (n = 788, 82.1%) agree that the appropriate time for PMS is

just before marriage, showing strong consensus. A few (n = 40, 4.2%) disagree, and a small percentage (n = 132, 13.8%) are neutral.

A majority (n = 585, 60.9%) agree that future couples should be advised to conduct PMS, indicating general support for promoting PMS. However, a notable minority (n = 173, 18%) disagree, and (n = 202, 21%) are neutral, suggesting some reservations or lack of strong opinion among these groups (Table 5).

### Table 5. Attitude of the participants

Question	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)
Carryout PMS	50 (5.2)	129 (13.4)	781 (81.4)
Not- prefer relative marriage	573 (59.7)	318 (33.1)	69 (7.2)
Appropriate time for PMS just before	40 (4.2)	132 (13.8)	788 (82.1)
marriage			
Advise future couples to conduct	173 (18)	202 (21)	585 (60.9)
PMS			

Most participants (n = 776, 80.8%) exhibit a Poor Attitude. This category has the highest frequency by a significant margin, suggesting that most participants are not positively engaged or have negative feelings about the subject in question. A smaller but notable portion of participants (n = 155. 16.1%) have a Fair Attitude. Only 29 (3%) participants have a Good Attitude, indicating a very small fraction of the total population has a positive outlook, as shown in Table 3.

# Table 6. Correlation between student's stage and parameters

Confidence Intervals of Spearman's Rho						
	Spearman's	P value	95% Confidence Intervals			
	rho		Lower	Upper		
Students' stage-	0.114	< 0.001	0.049	0.178		
knowledge score						
Student's stage –	0.132	<0.001	0.067	0.195		
perception score						
Student's stage –	0.004	0.897	-0.061	0.069		
attitude score						

Kruskal Wallis test	Mean rank 1	Mean rank 2	Mean rank diff.	P value
Knowledge Score and age group				
less than 20 vs. 20-22	457.8	471.1	-13.35	>0.999
less than 20 vs. more than 22	457.8	562.0	-104.2	<0.001
20-22 vs. more than 22	471.1	562.0	-90.85	0.001
Perception score and age group				
less than 20 vs. 20-22	478.7	460.1	18.55	>0.999
less than 20 vs. more than 22	478.7	538.0	-59.35	0.067
20-22 vs. more than 22	460.1	538.0	-77.90	0.008

Attitude score and age group				
less than 20 vs. 20-22	485.6	456.3	29.31	0.356
less than 20 vs. more than 22	485.6	530.3	-44.70	0.225
20-22 vs. more than 22	456.3	530.3	-74.00	0.009
233	,			

As shown in Table 6, knowledge and perception scores have weak but statistically significant positive correlations with the students' stage. Attitude score shows no significant correlation with the students' stage.

Regarding knowledge scores, significant differences exist between "less than 20" vs. "more than 22" and "20-22" vs. "more than 22" age groups, with the "more than 22" group scoring higher. Regarding perception scores, significant differences are found between "20-22" vs. "more than 22" age groups, with a near-significant difference between "less than 20" vs. "more than 22." Regarding attitude scores, significant differences exist between "20-22" vs. "more than 22" age groups. Other comparisons show no significant differences, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7. The results of Kruskal Wallis test

The mean score of knowledge in females was  $(4.809) \pm (1.696)$ , (95% C.I: 4.671-4.947), while in males was  $(5.175) \pm (1.739)$ , (95% C.I: 4.999-5.351) with a P value of <0.001. Males have a higher mean knowledge score compared to females. The P

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

value is less than 0.001, indicating a statistically significant difference in knowledge scores between genders. Both genders have similar mean perception and attitude scores, and the P values were 0.383 and 0.273, respectively. This indicates no statistically significant difference in perception and attitude scores between genders. Regarding marital status and knowledge score, single participants' mean score was  $(4.193) \pm (1.714)$ , (95% C.I: 4.801-5.026) while married individuals' mean score was  $(5.457) \pm (1.759)$ , (95% C.I: 5.038-5.876) and the (P value 0.007). This mean score of married individuals has a higher mean knowledge score than single individuals. The mean perception score for single was  $(6.07) \pm (4.5)$ , (95% C.I. 5.78-6.37), and the mean for married was  $(7.47) \pm (5.1)$ , (95% C.I: 6.25-8.69) the (P value <0.001). This means that married individuals have a significantly higher mean perception score than single individuals. The mean attitude score for singles was  $(1.402) \pm (1.38)$ , (95% C.I: 1.311 - 1.493), and the mean of married was  $(1.986) \pm (1.749)$ , (95% C.I. 1.569-2.403) and (P value < 0.001) This shows that married individuals have a significantly higher mean attitude score than single individuals. Both rural and urban residents have similar mean knowledge scores since the P value was 0.944, indicating no statistically significant difference in knowledge scores between resident areas. Both rural and urban residents have similar mean perception scores, and the P value is 0.892, indicating no statistically significant difference in perception scores between resident areas. Rural residents have a slightly higher mean attitude score than urban residents; the P value is 0.133, indicating no statistically significant difference in attitude scores between resident areas (Table 8).

### Table 8. Association knowledge, perception, and attitude with the demographics.

	Knowledge score		edge score Perception score		Attitude score	
Variables	Mean ±(S.D)	95% C.I	Mean ±(S.D)	95% C.I	Mean ±(S.D)	95% C.I
Female	4.809	4.671-4.947	6.211	5.864-6.559	1.471	1.374-1.567
Male	5.175	4.999-5.351	6.119	5.617-6.622	1.405	1.231-1.579
P value	< 0.001		0.383		0.273	
Single	4.193	4.801-5.026	6.07	5.78-6.37	1.402	1.311-1.493
Married	5.457	5.038-5.876	7.47	6.25-8.69	1.986	1.569-2.403
P value	0.007		< 0.001		<0.001	
Rural	4.868	4.358-5.378	6.83	6.038-7.622	1.774	1.446-2.101
Urban	4.958	4.846-5.07	6.137	5.835-6.439	1.426	1.333-1.519
P value	0.944		0.892		0.133	

### **Discussion**

The recent study stated that most participants fall into the "Poor Knowledge" category 378 (39.4%), followed by those with "Fair Knowledge," 345 (35.9%), and the least number of participants have "Good Knowledge." 237 (24.7%). This result is in line with regional findings. A recent population-based study conducted in Saudi Arabia revealed that while 52.4% of participants (n = 3283) had a fair understanding of PMS programs, only 9.2% of participants (n = 575) had satisfactory knowledge (1). Similar results were obtained from another study conducted in Oman, which showed that although most participants (79%) had heard of PMS, half were ignorant of premarital

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

testing (13). Another study in Qatar reported low student knowledge of PMS (14). Additionally, less than half, 139 (46.3%) respondents had a good understanding of PMS, as the study's results plainly showed (15). This result is consistent with a study from Ile-Ife, Nigeria, which found that 69% of respondents had an insufficient understanding of PMS (16). 34.1% of respondents in a different survey on PMS knowledge and attitudes among students at the State School of Nursing in Sokoto, Nigeria, indicated that they were well-versed in the practice (17). These findings do highlight the necessity of health education initiatives to raise public awareness of PMS initiatives, which try to reduce the risk of certain genetic and sexually transmitted diseases among prospective spouses, particularly in nations where consanguineous marriages and inherited illnesses are highly common. A recent study demonstrated that males have a higher mean knowledge score than females. However, the findings of some other studies indicated that women are more likely than men to have higher knowledge ratings. In Saudi Arabia and Kuwait, women were more knowledgeable than men (9,18). A different Nigerian study (19) showed that female students' results differed from those of male pupils. Premarital genetic testing was more widely known among Syrian university students (20). On the other hand, adult men in Oman knew more about premarital carrier screening than women, similar to the recent study (13). However, investigations in Oatar did not find gender differences (21). This could be because the target groups are different. The findings pertaining to gender differences in knowledge may differ, and this could be explained by socioeconomic and cultural factors. For example, because they are the focus of distinct education programs, women are traditionally better educated about PMS and marriage-related issues (22).

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

Relations between the fields in which students study can increase the knowledge score regarding PMS since the scientific or health and medical branches study much more about the different diseases; therefore, they know more about hereditary diseases than those who study other branches (22,23). The existence of hereditary illnesses in families or personal illnesses, which help students cope with these circumstances and get more knowledge about them, is another topic that can broaden their knowledge (9,22). This may be because families of affected individuals have access to tools like therapy sessions and educational materials that help them become more knowledgeable. Furthermore, people with a family history of a particular genetic issue are probably more curious to learn about the condition because they worry about how it can affect their families or future children. According to a Kuwaiti study, partners who are not related to one another, such as cousins, know more about PMS. This could result from additional circumstances, such as parents' educational background, which probably increased their children's knowledge (9). Furthermore, compared to those who had heard of PMS, those who had never heard of it scored far worse on the knowledge test (14). This is expected and necessitates student education initiatives and awareness efforts (22). These initiatives, which can be carried out through academic courses and family members, which, in our survey, are rated as the source of PMS knowledge after academic courses and friends, are especially necessary for universities that are not healthrelated. The information shows that the survey respondents answered various questions about the significance and consequences of PMS for prospective spouses. The participants' perspective on PMS. PMS is crucial for future couples, according to a great majority

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

of 798 (83.1%), suggesting substantial support for its perceived importance. According to the survey, 41.4% of participants strongly agreed that the public would benefit more from genetic testing than suffer harm (14). In a study conducted in Oatar, 28% of participants believed that PMS would be beneficial and avoid unanticipated consequences (21). Given that 66.9% of participants in Bener et al.'s study had education levels below that of a university, the variation in the proportions is probably caused by the participants' educational backgrounds in the two studies. Additionally, a study evaluating young Jordanians' perceptions of premarital genetic testing revealed that about 90% of participants had a positive perception of premarital genetic screening because they thought it would help lower the likelihood of having affected children, which could be emotionally and financially taxing (2). Moreover, a strong majority, 616 (64.2%), believe religious people should adopt PMS ideas in their discussions, showing support for integrating PMS into religious dialogue in the current study. Similarly, a study reported that it is interesting to note that 24.8% of participants, or nearly one-fourth, agreed that marriage should be prohibited if there is a possibility that the kid may be born with a genetic condition (14). Additionally, a recent Omani study revealed that 36% of participants believed that laws and regulations should be put in place to stop high-risk marriages (24). Though PMS is required in the Kurdistan region, couples have the option to stay married or dissolve their union at any time, provided they first attend a genetic counseling session wherein they are informed about the disease and its implications if their results indicate incompatibility and they sign a consent form attesting to their understanding of the session. The prohibition against marriage for high-risk couples presents a significant moral dilemma about the right to choose a mate. Moreover, there is no legal requirement to forbid the marriage of at-risk couples because there are

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

alternative ways to reduce the likelihood of having an afflicted child, such as preimplantation genetic testing and prenatal diagnosis, which are becoming more widely available and accessible. Of all participants, 776 show a poor attitude, accounting for 80.8% of the total. This group has the largest frequency by far, indicating that most participants are either disengaged or have unfavorable opinions regarding the topic at hand. 155 (16.1), a smaller but significant portion of individuals have a Fair Attitude. Merely 39% of the participants exhibit a Good Attitude, suggesting that a minuscule portion of the population harbors a positive perspective. Given incompatible PMS findings, just one-third (37.4%) of the participants were willing to call off their marriage (14). In additional research, this proportion was greater. For instance, in a study conducted at Taif University (25), in another study conducted in Saudi Arabia (22), it was 67.1%. In a population-based study conducted in Saudi Arabia (26), it was over 60%. As indicated by earlier studies (27), this might be because prenatal diagnosis and pregnancy termination (under certain conditions) are available in Qatar. In the current study, the attitude was not affected by any other factors. Other studies found that this may be a reflection of this highly educated population's level of religious knowledge and the significance of spreading unambiguous religious teachings endorsing PMS as a preventive measure for a healthy populace. According to a different survey conducted at Al-Taif University, only 5.2% of students disagreed that PMS taints fate (25). This study was the first study conducted in Iraq and the Kurdistan region with a large sample size. It could be dependable for other studies and was key for educational and health programs. However, there are some limitations to the current study. Firstly, it could not be generalized to the whole population since only the university students

participated in the study. Secondly, people answered the self-reported questionnaire in a way that demonstrated their commitment to the ideal scenario, even though it was subject to social decrement. This might have overstated the sentiment toward ending a marriage when the PMS results were mismatched. Third, self-selection bias might exist, and the sample may not represent the broader population fairly. Lastly, since the design is cross-sectional in nature, causal inferences should not be made.

#### Conclusion

The participants widely acknowledge the importance and benefits of PMS; nevertheless, real knowledge regarding PMS is rather limited, and attitudes and perceptions are generally more negative than positive. The results emphasize the necessity of better education and awareness campaigns to increase understanding and modify attitudes and beliefs about PMS. In order to effectively address the important cultural component—particularly about the acceptance of marrying relatives—targeted educational initiatives may be necessary. Moreover, the notable distinctions in knowledge and attitude scores between married and single people imply that marital status and life experience are important factors in forming perspectives on PMS. Generally, for PMS to be more widely accepted and used, extensive education and the development of positive attitudes around it are crucial.

### References

Al-Shroby WA, Sulimani SM, Alhurishi SA, Bin Dayel ME, Alsanie NA,
 Alhraiwil NJ. Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among

413 Saudis and its Association with Sociodemographic Factors: a National Study. JMDH [Internet]. 2021 Feb [cited 2024 Mar 2]; Volume 14:389–99. Available 414 from: https://www.dovepress.com/awareness-of-premarital-screening-and-415 416 genetic-counseling-among-saudis--peer-reviewed-article-JMDH 417 2. Altaany Z, Khabour OF, Alzoubi KH, Alkaraki AK, Al-Taani G. The Perception of Premarital Genetic Screening within Young Jordanian Individuals. Public 418 Health Genomics [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Mar 2];24(3–4):182–8. Available 419 from: https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/517162 420 3. AlOtaiby S, Algahtani A, Saleh R, Mazyad A, Albohigan A, Kutbi E. 421 Comprehension of premarital screening and genetic disorders among the 422 423 population of Riyadh. Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences [Internet]. 424 2023 Aug [cited 2024 Mar 2];18(4):822–30. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1658361223000069 425 426 4. Wang P, Wang X, Fang M, Vander Weele TJ. Factors influencing the decision to participate in medical premarital examinations in Hubei Province, Mid-China. 427 BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2024 Mar 2];13(1):217. Available 428 from: https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2458-13-429 217 430 5. Al-Shafai M, Al-Romaihi A, Al-Hajri N, Islam N, Adawi K. Knowledge and 431 Perception of and Attitude toward a Premarital Screening Program in Qatar: A 432 433 Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Apr 6;19(7):4418. 6. Alhosain A. Premarital Screening Programs in the Middle East, from a Human 434 Right's Perspective. Divers Equal Health Care [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2023 Nov 435

7];15(2). Available from: http://diversityhealthcare.imedpub.com/premarital-436 437 screening-programs-in-the-middle-east-from-a-human-rightsperspective.php?aid=22083 438 7. Mohamady S H, Said S AE. Effect of Application of Health Belief Model on 439 females' Knowledge and Practice regarding the premarital counseling. IOSR 440 JNHS [Internet]. 2017 Feb [cited 2023 Nov 7];06(01):05–15. Available from: 441 http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jnhs/papers/vol6-issue1/Version-442 8/B0601080515.pdf 443 8. Natarajan J, Joseph MA. Premarital screening for genetic blood disorders — an 444 integrated review on the knowledge and attitudes of Middle Eastern university 445 446 students. Middle East Fertil Soc J [Internet]. 2021 Dec [cited 2024 Mar 447 2];26(1):19. Available from: https://mefj.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43043-021-00065-4 448 449 9. Al-Enezi K, Mitra AK, Knowledge, Attitude, and Satisfaction of University Students Regarding Premarital Screening Programs in Kuwait. European Journal 450 of Environment and Public Health [Internet]. 2017 Dec 28 [cited 2024 Mar 451 2];1(2). Available from: http://www.ejeph.com/article/knowledge-attitude-and-452 satisfaction-of-university-students-regarding-premarital-screening 453 10. Bittles AH, Black ML. The impact of consanguinity on neonatal and infant health. 454 455 Early Hum Dev. 2010 Nov;86(11):737–41. 456 11. Al-Allawi NA, Al-Dousky AA. Frequency of haemoglobinopathies at premarital 457 health screening in Dohuk, Iraq: implications for a regional prevention programme. East Mediterr Health J. 2010 Apr;16(4):381–5. 458

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

12. Hamed E, Eshra D, Qasem E, Khalil A. Knowledge, Perception, and Attitude of Future Couples towards Premarital Screening. Menoufia Nursing Journal [Internet]. 2022 Nov 1 [cited 2024 Jun 17];7(2):1–21. Available from: https://menj.journals.ekb.eg/article 254007.html 13. Al-Farsi OA, Al-Farsi YM, Gupta I, Ouhtit A, Al-Farsi KS, Al-Adawi S. A study on knowledge, attitude, and practice towards premarital carrier screening among adults attending primary healthcare centers in a region in Oman. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2014 Dec [cited 2024 Jun 19];14(1):380. Available from: http://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2458-14-380 14. Al-Shafai M, Al-Romaihi A, Al-Hajri N, Islam N, Adawi K. Knowledge and Perception of and Attitude toward a Premarital Screening Program in Qatar: A Cross-Sectional Study. IJERPH [Internet]. 2022 Apr 6 [cited 2024 Jun 19];19(7):4418. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/19/7/4418 15. Oluwole EO, Okove CD, Ogunyemi AO, Olowoselu OF, Oyedeji OA. Knowledge, attitude and premarital screening practices for sickle cell disease among young unmarried adults in an urban community in Lagos, Nigeria. Pan Afr Med J [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2024 Jun 19];42. Available from: https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/42/8/full 16. Abioye -Kuteyi Emmanuel A., Osakwe C, Oyegbade O, Bello I. Sickle cell knowledge, premarital screening and marital decisions among local government workers in Ile-Ife, Nigeria: original research. African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine [Internet]. 2009 Jan [cited 2024 Jun 19];1(1):1–5. Available from: https://journals.co.za/doi/abs/10.10520/EJC134460

482 17. Isah, BA, Musa Y, Mohammed UK, Awosan K, Yunusa EU. Knowledge and Attitude Regarding Premarital Screening for Sickle Cell Disease among Students 483 of State School of Nursing Sokoto. AIMDR [Internet]. 2016 Apr 25 [cited 2024] 484 485 Jun 19];2(3):29–34. Available from: http://aimdrjournal.com/pdf/Vol2Issue3 9 OA Musa 2 3 11.pdf 486 18. Al-Aama JY, Al-Nabulsi BK, Alyousef MA, Asiri NA, Al-Blewi SM. Knowledge 487 regarding the national premarital screening program among university students in 488 western Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J. 2008 Nov;29(11):1649-53. 489 490 19. Hussaini MA, Durbunde AA, Jobbi YD, Muhammad IY, Mansur AU, Umar M, et al. Assessment of Experience, Perception and Attitude Towards Premarital Sickle 491 492 Cell Disease Screening among Students Attending Federal College of Education, 493 Kano, Nigeria. International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology [Internet]. 2019 Mar 4 [cited 2024 Jun 19];2(1):15–26. Available from: 494 http://publications.openuniversitystm.com/id/eprint/1495/ 495 20. Gharaibeh H, Mater FK. Young Syrian adults' knowledge, perceptions and 496 attitudes to premarital testing. International Nursing Review [Internet]. 2009 Dec 497 [cited 2024 Jun 19];56(4):450–5. Available from: 498 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1466-7657.2009.00736.x 499 21. Bener A, Al-Mulla M, Clarke A. Premarital screening and genetic counseling 500 501 program: Studies from an endogamous population. Int J App Basic Med Res 502 [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2024 Jun 19];9(1):20. Available from:

https://journals.lww.com/10.4103/ijabmr.IJABMR 42 18

504 22. Ibrahim NKR, Al-Bar H, Al-Fakeeh A, Al Ahmadi J, Qadi M, Al-Bar A, et al. An educational program about premarital screening for unmarried female students in 505 506 King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah. Journal of Infection and Public Health 507 [Internet]. 2011 Mar [cited 2024 Jun 19];4(1):30–40. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876034110000833 508 23. Alhowiti A, Shagran T. Premarital Screening Program Knowledge and Attitude 509 among Saudi University Students in TABUK City 2019. 2019 [cited 2024 Jun 510 19]; Available from: http://imsear.searo.who.int/handle/123456789/205161 511 24. Al Kindi R, Al Rujaibi S, Al Kendi M. Knowledge and Attitude of University 512 Students Towards Premarital Screening Program. Oman Med J [Internet]. 2012 513 514 Jul 16 [cited 2024 Jun 19];27(4):291–6. Available from: 515 http://www.omjournal.org/fultext PDF.aspx?DetailsID=263&type=fultext 25. Melaibari M, Shilbayeh S, Kabli A. University Students' knowledge, attitudes, 516 517 and practices towards the National Premarital Screening Program of Saudi Arabia. EPX [Internet]. 2017 Mar 1 [cited 2024 Jun 19];92(1):36–43. Available 518 from: http://epx.journals.ekb.eg/article 7008.html 519 26. Al Sulaiman A, Suliman A, Al Mishari M, Al Sawadi A, Owaidah TM. 520 Knowledge and Attitude Toward the Hemoglobinopathies Premarital Screening 521 Program in Saudi Arabia: Population-Based Survey. Hemoglobin [Internet]. 2008 522 Jan [cited 2024 Jun 19];32(6):531–8. Available from: 523 524 http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03630260802508384 27. Abdul Azeez S, Al Qahtani NH, Almandil NB, Al-Amodi AM, Aldakeel SA, 525 Ghanem NZ, et al. Genetic disorder prenatal diagnosis and pregnancy termination 526

practices among high consanguinity population, Saudi Arabia. Sci Rep [Internet].

2019 Nov 21 [cited 2024 Jun 19];9(1):17248. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-53655-8