- 1 **Geospatial Analysis of Multilevel Socio-environmental Factors Impacting the**
- 2 *Campylobacter* **Burden Among Infants in Rural Eastern Ethiopia: A One Health**
- 3 **Perspective**
- 4
- 5 Xiaolong Li^{1,2}, Dehao Chen^{1,2}, Song Liang^{1,2}, Jemal Yousuf Hassen³, Sarah L.
- 6 McKune^{1,4,6}, Arie H. Havelaar^{2,5,6}, Jason K. Blackburn^{2,7*} on behalf of the CAGED
- 7 Research Team
- 8 ¹ Department of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health and Health
- 9 Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 10 ² Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 11 ³ Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia
- 12 ⁴ Center for African Studies, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 13 **Department of Animal Sciences, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University**
- 14 of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- ⁶ Global Food Systems Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 16 ⁷ Spatial Epidemiology and Ecology Research Laboratory, Department of Geography,
- 17 College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- 18 *Corresponding author: Jason K. Blackburn (jkblackburn@ufl.edu)
- 19 **Key words**: *Campylobacter* infection; geospatial analysis; infants; Ethiopia; One Health

21 **Abstract**

22 Increasing attention has focused on health outcomes of *Campylobacter* infections 23 among children under five years in low-resource settings. Recent evidence suggests 24 colonization of *Campylobacter* species contributes to environmental enteric dysfunction, 25 malnutrition, and growth faltering in young children. *Campylobacter* species are 26 zoonotic, and factors from humans, animals, and the environment are involved in 27 transmission. Few studies have assessed geospatial effects of environmental factors 28 along with human and animal factors on *Campylobacter* infections. Here, we leveraged 29 *Campylobacter* Genomics and Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project 30 data to model multiple socio-environmental factors on *Campylobacter* burden among 31 infants in Eastern Ethiopia. Stool samples from 106 infants were collected monthly from 32 birth through the first year of life (December 2020 – June 2022). Genus-specific 33 Taqman real-time PCR was performed to detect and quantify *Campylobacter* spp. and 34 calculate cumulative *Campylobacter* burden for each child as the outcome variable. 35 Thirteen regional environmental covariates describing topography, climate, vegetation, 36 soil, and human population density were combined with household demographics, 37 livelihoods/wealth, livestock ownership, and child-animal interactions as explanatory 38 variables. We dichotomized all continuous outcome and explanatory variables and built 39 logistic regression models for the first and second half of the infant's first year of life. 40 Infants being female, living in households with cattle, reported to have physical contact 41 with animals, or reported to have mouthed soil or animal feces had increased odds of 42 higher cumulative *Campylobacter* burden in Eastern Ethiopia. Future interventions

- 43 should focus on infant-specific transmission pathways and create adequate separation
- 44 of domestic animals from humans to prevent potential fecal exposures.

46 **Introduction**

47 *Campylobacter* species are zoonotic bacteria, and the animal reservoirs include 48 poultry, free-living birds, ruminants such as cattle and goats, as well as other warm-49 blooded animals ^{1,2}. Common symptoms of *Campylobacter* infection include fever, 50 $\,$ diarrhea, and abdominal pain 3 . In addition to symptomatic infections, asymptomatic 51 *Campylobacter* cases are common, specifically among children and adults in low- and 52 middle-income countries (LMICs)^{4,5}. Evidence from studies in low-resource settings has 53 shown that colonization of *Campylobacter* species acquired at the early stage of child 54 development potentially contributes to environmental enteric dysfunction (EED), 55 malnutrition, and growth faltering in children $4,6,7$, which draws increasing attention to the 56 long-term health effects of *Campylobacter* infections among children under five years of 57 age (CU5) in LMICs.

58 *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* have been reported as the two most common species that cause illness in humans 3 . Traditional methods selectively isolating these 60 two species have resulted in a large body of knowledge specific to their disease burden 61 and clinical manifestations ⁸. However, several *Campylobacter* species other than *C.* 62 *jejuni/coli* (non- *C. jejuni/coli* species), such as *C. concisus*, *C. lari*, *C. upsaliensis*, and 63 *C. ureolyticus,* have been recognized as "emerging species" and have shown increasing 64 clinical importance ⁹. An increasing proportion of non-*C. jejuni/coli* species, including a 65 new species "*Candidatus Campylobacter infans*" (*C. infans*), were isolated from child 66 stool samples collected in low-resource settings, and several studies have revealed 67 their potential linkages with child stunting $7,10-13$. This evidence shifts our attention

68 towards the genus *Campylobacter* as a whole, rather than focusing solely on *C.* 69 *jejuni/coli* in the context of child health.

70 The classic "F-diagram" (fluids, fields, flies, fingers, fomites, and food) from WHO 71 indicates that adequate sanitation and hygiene can be effective in interrupting the fecal-72 oral transmission routes of diarrheic pathogens, including *Campylobacter*, in low-73 resource settings $14,15$. Driven by this idea, water, sanitation, and hygiene (WaSH) 74 interventions, including improved pit latrine, hand-washing stations, liquid soap, and 75 point-of-use water chlorination, have been developed and implemented in LMICs $16,17$. 76 Substantial evidence suggests that adequate WaSH contributes to reducing the risk of 77 childhood diarrheal disease $18,19$. However, several recent large-scale randomized 78 controlled trials have found that WaSH interventions did not significantly reduce the 79 occurrence of diarrhea or other enteric infections $20-22$. One possible explanation is that 80 traditional WaSH interventions generally target routes of children's exposure to human 81 feces but fail to pay adequate attention to children's exposure to animal feces $15,23$. Risk 82 factors for exposing children to animal feces might include livestock ownership, 83 proximity to livestock, cohabitation of humans and animals, etc.

84 The first year of a child's life is marked by remarkable developmental milestones, 85 and the differentiation between the first and second six months brings about major 86 changes in both biological risk and environmental interaction. In the initial six months, 87 breastfeeding plays a crucial role in offering infants essential nutrients and immune 88 protection against pathogens 24 . However, as the infant transitions into the second six 89 months, the introduction of solid foods broadens the child's nutritional spectrum but also 90 amplifies their exposure to contaminants and pathogens through the food pathway 25 .

91 Meanwhile, the infant becomes more mobile, gaining the ability to explore their 92 surroundings independently. This newfound mobility empowers infants to explore their 93 environment actively through touching and tasting 26 , potentially increasing exposure to 94 pathogens via the fecal-oral pathway. This developmental transition underscores the 95 dynamic effects of age on infant's biological risk and environmental interaction. 96 Moreover, other environmental factors could impact the non-food transmission 97 route of *Campylobacter* to humans directly or via animal reservoirs indirectly ^{27,28}. 98 Studies conducted in European countries, the United States, and Canada found 99 campylobacteriosis incidence to be correlated with climatic variables, including 100 temperature and precipitation $29-33$. In addition to weather and climate factors, 101 Sanderson et al. also examined the potential impacts of hydrology and landscape 102 features, like soil type and land use, on the rates of human *Campylobacter* cases in the 103 UK ³⁴. This study showed that an increased risk of *Campylobacter* infections was 104 associated with periods of high surface-water flow and catchment areas with 105 cattle/sheep grazing on stagnogley soils. Another similar study conducted in New 106 Zealand linked the risk of infection to a high dairy cattle density 35 . However, these kinds 107 of studies that investigate the influence of environmental factors have been 108 predominantly conducted in developed countries with a focus on *C. jejuni/coli*. There is 109 a critical knowledge gap in environmental effects on *Campylobacter* infections in LMICs. 110 Although multiple factors are involved in the transmission pathways of 111 *Campylobacter*, few studies have assessed the combined effects of environmental 112 covariates with other relevant factors from different domains including humans and 113 animals. Since environmental data often comprise spatial information such as land

114 cover, precipitation, and topography, geospatial analysis is needed to address the 115 inherent differences in data types between environmental factors and human/animal 116 factors by integrating them within a spatial framework (e.g., spatial regression). This 117 capability to analyze data at different levels, from individual households to broader 118 environmental landscapes, enhances the depth of risk factor identification. Coupled with 119 the One Health perspective, this kind of analysis will help unravel the complex interplay 120 between human, animal, and environmental factors involved in *Campylobacter* 121 transmissions among children in a low-resource setting.

122 The longitudinal study of the *Campylobacter* Genomics and Environmental 123 Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project conducted in rural Eastern Ethiopia aimed to 124 examine the association between *Campylobacter* infection, related reservoirs, and child 125 health outcomes 36 . It collected not only the child fecal samples but also environmental 126 (e.g., soil and drinking water) and livestock samples. A household questionnaire 127 included components on people (e.g., demographics, livelihoods and wealth), livestock, 128 and the interactions between people, livestock, and the environment. Combined with the 129 child and environmental samples, these data provide an opportunity to investigate the 130 potential combined effects of these risk factors on *Campylobacter* infection in infants.

131 **Materials and Methods**

132 **Study Design and Protocol**

133 A detailed description of the CAGED study design and protocol can be found 134 elsewhere 36 . Briefly, a total of 106 infants from 10 kebeles (the smallest administrative 135 unit) of Haramaya woreda, Eastern Hararghe Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia (Figure 1) were 136 enrolled at birth and followed until approximately 13 months of age. Written informed 137 consent was obtained from both parents of each participating child in the local language 138 (Afan Oromo). Household information, including demographics, livelihoods and wealth, 139 livestock ownership, and child health and nutrition status, was collected through 140 household surveys at baseline and endline, along with short surveys conducted 141 monthly. During the study period, child stool samples were collected monthly and tested 142 for *Campylobacter* species. In addition, fecal samples from mothers and siblings of the 143 enrolled children, feces from livestock (i.e., chicken, cattle, goat, and sheep), and 144 environmental samples (soil and drinking water) were collected biannually. DNA 145 extraction, genus-specific Taqman real-time PCR, and species-specific Sybr Green 146 real-time PCR were performed afterwards to detect, quantify, and characterize 147 Campylobacter spp. in these samples ³⁷.

148 **Outcome variable**

149 We calculated the cumulative burden of *Campylobacter* infection for each 150 enrolled child as the outcome variable of interest derived from the Ct values of their 151 fecal samples collected over time. A genus-specific standard curve for expected 152 bacterial load (log genome copies per 50 ng of DNA) against the Ct values was first 153 generated using the 16S Tagman approach 38 . One ml normalized bacterial culture 154 cocktail (including *Campylobacter jejuni, Campylobacter coli, Campylobacter* 155 *hyointestinalis, Campylobacter lari* and *Campylobacter fetus*) was used for DNA 156 extraction, and 2 μl extracted DNA for qPCR afterwards. Nuclease free water and 157 *Salmonella* genomic DNA were used as negative controls. We tested up to 10 158 *Campylobacter* DNA concentrations and repeated the experiment three times. Then the 159 Ct values of the tested stool samples were converted to the expected bacterial load 160 using this standard curve. The cumulative *Campylobacter* burden was defined as the 161 average of the expected bacterial loads from available stool samples for each child 37 .

162 **Explanatory Variables**

163 **Household surveys**

164 Human and animal data were derived from household surveys. Demographic 165 data including child sex, mother's age, and mother's education level were selected from 166 the baseline survey, along with ownership of livestock (i.e., cattle, goat, sheep, and 167 chicken) and assets (Table 1). To quantify all the livestock kept in a household, a 168 composite metric, tropical livestock unit (TLU) was calculated based on the number of 169 each species of livestock recorded in the baseline household survey 39 . 170 In the monthly short surveys, we selected variables that reflect interactions 171 between the target child and animals or the environment (e.g., physical contact with 172 animals, crawling in areas with animal droppings, and mouthing of soil or animal feces), 173 diet and nutrition (e.g., consumption of animal source food [ASF]), feeding practices 174 (e.g., pre-lacteal feeding, introduction of complementary foods, and consumption of any 175 solid food in the past 24 h), and use of antibiotics (i.e., being treated with antibiotics in 176 the past month) (Table 2). In addition, two composite variables, minimum dietary 177 diversity (MDD) and household food insecurity access score (HFIAS), were generated 178 α according to their respective literature $40,41$.

179 **Environmental variables**

180 Thirteen environmental covariates used for ecological niche modeling of the 181 genus *Campylobacter* in a previous analysis⁴² were also included in this study (Table 182 3). Corresponding values in grids within which the 106 enrolled households were 183 located were extracted from the raster layer of each environmental covariate using the 184 *raster* package ⁴³ in R.

185 **Statistical Analysis**

186 Given that feeding practices (exclusive breastfeeding vs. complementary 187 feeding) and the motor ability of infants are most often quite different between the first 188 and second half of infants' first year of life, related risk factors such as probability of 189 exposure to livestock and contaminated environments are likely to dynamically change 190 over time. Child age is also an important confounding factor for *Campylobacter* 191 infections $4,39$. To be consistent with our previous analysis about the prevalence of 192 *Campylobacter* by age groups ⁴², we split the whole study period into two parts, with a 193 cut-off of 177 days of child age, which reflects the boundary between the first two and 194 second two age groups classified in that analysis.

195 The outcome variable, cumulative *Campylobacter* burden, and all explanatory 196 variables derived from the short household surveys were calculated separately for the 197 two periods. We took the average of the monthly short survey data for each selected 198 short survey variable, which resulted in an average value for the numeric variables or a 199 proportion of being "1" for the binary variables (ordinal variable MDD was dichotomized 200 using a generally accepted cut-off of < 5 and ≥ 5) during each period. Given the 201 relatively small sample size, a median split approach was applied to dichotomize all 202 continuous variables to improve the model robustness following a previous study 44 .

203 The purposeful selection of covariates approach was employed in this study to 204 choose the candidate variables and determine which to include in the final model $45,46$. 205 The likelihood ratio test from logistic regression was performed for each explanatory 206 variable with the outcome variable. The univariate analysis was conducted for the two 207 time periods separately with the same pool of explanatory variables. For the first period, 208 two variables, consumption of ASF in the past 24 h and MDD, were excluded from the

209 univariate analysis, as these questions were not asked until a child reached six months 210 of age. Given 105 of 106 children didn't have vitamin-A supplements in the first period, 211 the variable vitamin-A supplementation was also excluded from the first period 212 univariate analysis. Any variables with a *p*-value less than 0.25 were selected as 213 candidates for the following multivariate analysis.

214 A multivariate logistic regression model was built first with all candidate variables, 215 followed by an iterative variable selection process. In each iteration, the covariate with 216 the maximum *p*-value in the model was identified first. If the covariate was not 217 significant at the 0.1 alpha level, it was removed from the model temporally, and a 218 reduced model was fit to compare the change of coefficients $(Δβ)$ without that covariate. 219 If the maximum $Δβ$ was greater than 20%, which indicates a potential confounding 220 effect of the excluded covariate with one or more of the remaining variables in the 221 model, the excluded covariate was added back to the model, and the next covariable 222 with the maximum *p*-value was evaluated. Otherwise, this temporarily excluded 223 covariate was deleted from the pool of candidates, and a new multivariate model was 224 built to start another round of evaluations. After multiple iterations, the final model with 225 significant covariates and potential confounders was obtained.

226 Nagelkerke $R^{2.47}$, a so-called pseudo- R^2 measure, and Hosmer & Lemeshow 227 goodness-of-fit test 48 were used to test the model fit. For a sample size less than 200 228 and percentage of success in the outcome variable ranging from 38 – 62%, benchmark 229 values between 0.32 and 0.58 of Nagelkerke R^2 indicate good fit of the model 49 . The 230 Hosmer & Lemeshow test is a hypothesis test and evaluates if the expected event 231 frequencies from the logistic regression model match the observed event frequencies in

232 subgroups. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) 233 was used to assess the model performance in discriminating the positive results from 234 the negatives 50 . AUC values range from 0 to 1, and, empirically, values between 0.7 235 and 0.9 are a sign of good predictive performance. Values greater than 0.9 represent an 236 excellent performance 51 .

237 We first fitted a multivariate model using the data collected from the first period 238 and tested if it was a good fit for the second period data. If not, a separate model was 239 built for the second period, and effects of the covariates between two periods were 240 evaluated. All the statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.1.1 52 .

241 **Spatial Autocorrelation Test**

242 Spatial autocorrelation refers to the correlation within variables across different 243 spatial units 53 . If the values of a particular variable in nearby locations tend to be 244 similar, a positive spatial autocorrelation exists in this variable, while negative spatial 245 autocorrelation occurs when the values of a variable are more dissimilar than expected 246 with their spatial neighbors. If spatial autocorrelation exists in the residuals of a 247 regression model, it violates the assumption of independent errors and may result in an 248 underestimation of the standard errors of the coefficient estimates of the model ⁵⁴. To 249 test the spatial autocorrelation in the residuals of the logistic models fit in this study, we 250 performed Moran's I test on the residuals using *spdep* package in R⁵⁵. 251 **Results**

252 **Demographics and Socio-economic Status**

253 Among the 106 enrolled children, 51.9% were male and 48.1% were female 254 (Table 1). The mother's age at baseline ranged from 17 to 43 years, with a median age 255 of 27 years. A high proportion of mothers reported not attending school at any level;

256 only 28% had some primary education. For livestock ownership, 49%, 57%, 45%, and

- 257 50% of households owned cattle, goat, sheep, and chicken, respectively. Accordingly,
- 258 the indicator of tropical livestock units (TLU) kept by the household ranged from 0 to
- 259 5.54, with a median value of 0.62.
- 260 **Cumulative** *Campylobacter* **Burden**
- 261 The calculated cumulative *Campylobacter* burden for infants in the first period 262 ranged from 0.77 to 3.75 log genome copies per 50 ng of DNA with a median value of
- 263 2.10, while the minimum and maximum of cumulative *Campylobacter* burden for the
- 264 second period were 1.95 and 5.06 log genome copies per 50 ng of DNA, respectively.
- 265 The *Campylobacter* burden in the second half year of life (*M* = 3.52, *SD* = 0.75) was
- 266 significantly higher than in the first half year of life $(M = 2.14, SD = 0.62)$; $t(210) = 14.7$,
- 267 *p* < .01 (Figure 2).

268 **Univariate Analysis**

269 Based on the *p*-value of likelihood ratio test with a cut-off of 0.25, 13 and 8 270 variables were selected as candidate variables for the first and second period,

- 271 respectively (Table 2). Among the candidates, three variables, namely, cattle
- 272 ownership, sheep ownership, and consumption of any solid food in the past 24h, were
- 273 included in the multivariate analysis for both periods. Though child sex was not
- 274 significant at the alpha level of 0.25 in the first period, we still included it in the
- 275 multivariate analysis given the confounding effect of child sex in *Campylobacter*
- 276 infection reported in previous studies $4,7,39,56$.
- 277 **Multivariate Analysis**
- 278 *Period One*

279 The final logistic regression model for the first period showed that contact with 280 animals, mouthing of soil or animal feces, and drinking from a bottle with nipple in the 281 past 24h were statistically significant at the 0.05 level (Table 4). The direction of the 282 estimated coefficients indicated children in the first period who had more physical 283 contact with animals and more mouthing of soil or animal feces had greater odds of high 284 cumulative *Campylobacter* burden, while drinking from a bottle with nipple was found to 285 be a protective factor for high *Campylobacter* burden. Sheep ownership was marginally 286 significant in the model and had a negative coefficient estimate. Through the purposeful 287 selection of covariates process, child sex, pre-lacteal feeding, elevation, and soil pH 288 were identified as potential confounding factors for *Campylobacter* burden.

289 The Nagelkerke R^2 of the logistic regression model was 0.40, which fell into the 290 benchmark values (0.32 – 0.58) for good model fit (Table 4). Hosmer & Lemeshow test 291 also showed there was no evidence of poor model fit. The model also had a relatively 292 good predictive performance with an AUC of 0.79 (95% CI: $0.70 - 0.88$) (Figure 3A). 293 Moran's I statistics showed that no spatial autocorrelation existed in the model 294 residuals, suggesting there was no need to consider a spatial regression model to 295 account for the spatial autocorrelation.

296 To test if one single model can be obtained for both periods, the second period 297 data were plugged into the model for the first period as a testing data set. Hosmer & 298 Lemeshow test checked the difference between observed data and predicted values 299 and showed this difference was significant $(p = 0.00)$, suggesting a poor fit of the model 300 to the second period data. It was also supported by a low AUC of 0.57 (95% CI: 0.46 – 301 0.69) (Figure 3B).

302 *Period two*

317 From a One Health perspective, this study identified potential factors involved in 318 the *Campylobacter* transmission pathways between humans, animals, and the 319 environment in a low-resource setting. Child age-specific behaviors and other factors 320 that may increase the risk of children's exposure to animal feces are largely missing 321 from previous interventions that aimed to mitigate the burden of *Campylobacter* 322 infection or other enteric illnesses among infants and young children in LMICs⁵⁷. Risk 323 factors of *Campylobacter* infections that have been commonly identified in previous 324 studies conducted among CU5 in low-resource settings are primarily limited to maternal

325 educational level, feeding practices, WaSH indicators, and ownership of domestic 326 animals ^{4,58–60}. However, fewer studies have delved into infant-specific behaviors and 327 the interactions between infants and livestock, which could also contribute to the risk of 328 Campylobacter infections ⁶¹. Considering the dynamic effects of age, particularly 329 distinguishing between infants aged less than six months and those aged six to twelve 330 months, on biological risk and environmental interaction, our study introduces a novel 331 approach. By fitting models and identifying risk factors separately for the first and 332 second six months of infancy, we aim to capture the nuanced variations in infection 333 dynamics during these critical developmental stages. This innovative methodology, 334 coupled with the exploration of previously overlooked factors using longitudinal data, 335 sets our study apart from existing research on *Campylobacter* infection risk factors. 336 At an early stage of life, infants and young children put non-food objects in their 337 mouths as one way to explore the surrounding environment 62 . However, this 338 exploratory behavior could put children at higher risk of contracting zoonotic pathogens 339 if they live in an environment contaminated by livestock and poultry feces. Our results 340 identify mouthing of soil or animal feces as a significant risk factor contributing to a 341 higher cumulative *Campylobacter* burden during the first half of the first year of life. This 342 association can be attributed to the high prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in the feces 343 of animals, including domestic livestock and poultry. Results from the laboratory showed 344 that the prevalence of *Campylobacter* in the fecal samples of cattle, sheep, goats, and 345 chicken collected from the CAGED longitudinal study were 99%, 98%, 99%, and 93%, 346 \degree respectively ³⁷. In rural areas of LMICs, infants and young children are frequently placed 347 on the ground sharing space with free-ranging livestock 57 . As animals defecate in the

348 homestead, or sometimes even inside the homes, enteric pathogens harbored in 349 livestock feces or the soil contaminated by the feces may be ingested by infants through 350 their routine mouthing behaviors while they are on the floor. Ingestion of soil and 351 livestock feces is therefore a point of exposure specific to infant and young child 352 behavior. This infant-specific transmission pathway needs to be considered in the future 353 design of intervention strategies for preventing children from fecal exposure. This 354 finding echoes the collective conclusion from the WASH Benefits and the Sanitation, 355 Hygiene, Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) trials; that more effective interventions are 356 needed to reduce the exposure to fecal contaminations in the domestic environments 357 other than the traditional WaSH interventions 63 .

358 Similarly, physical contact with animals was identified as another risk factor 359 existing in the child-livestock interaction in the first period (before six months of age) 360 that increases the exposure of children to animal feces. It is very common that people in 361 rural areas share living and sleeping quarters with their livestock, which frequently 362 exposes young children in the household to direct contact with livestock. Keeping 363 animals inside human living spaces has been associated with *Campylobacter*-positive 364 child stools in another study in rural Ethiopia 57 , highlighting the need to design 365 interventions creating adequate separation of domestic animals from the human living 366 spaces to block the transmission pathway through direct contact.

367 The risks and benefits of raising livestock in smallholder families on child health 368 are intertwined 23 . On one hand, livestock raised in the household can provide animal 369 source foods, which are seen as the best source of nutrition-rich food for infants and 370 young children. Livestock production is also a source of household income. Increased

371 income from livestock sales can grant families more purchasing power for food, which 372 further helps improve child nutritional status. However, livestock ownership can 373 potentially increase the risk of children's exposure to animal feces, as discussed above. 374 In this study, we didn't find a significant association between consumption of animal 375 source food and *Campylobacter* burden, but cattle ownership was identified as a 376 significant factor associated with increased odds of having higher cumulative 377 *Campylobacter* burden during the second half of the first year of life. In our study 378 population, when cattle were kept either inside the homes or on the homestead but 379 outside the home during the day, 100% (27/27) and 93.3% (28/30) of households, 380 respectively, confined those cattle. During the night, almost all cattle were kept and tied 381 inside the home. Regarding the purposes of raising cattle, households reported selling 382 livestock for income (44.2%; 23/52) and consumption of milk (51.9%; 27/52) as the two 383 major purposes. These data support other findings indicating a strong cultural focus on 384 milk consumption in this area, which may correspond with an underlying risk in the 385 consumption of raw/unpasteurized milk which has been associated with reported 386 outbreaks of campylobacteriosis in HICs $64,65$. A recent Ethiopian study showed a higher 387 prevalence of *C. jejuni* (16%) in raw milk compared to other dairy products ⁶⁶. Though 388 consumption of raw milk was not identified in our models as a significant risk factor of 389 *Campylobacter* burden in our study population, further work is still needed to unpack 390 this association among children in low-resource settings.

391 Appropriate infant and young child feeding practices could improve child 392 nutritional status, growth, and development 67 . For infant aged from 0 – 5 months, 393 exclusive breastfeeding is strongly recommended by WHO, while, bottle feeding, using

394 a bottle with a nipple/teat to feed any liquid or semi-solid food, is discouraged at this 395 early stage of life due to global concerns that include excessive weight gain, iron 396 depletion, etc. 68 . In addition, a bottle with a nipple is more likely to be contaminated in 397 low-resource settings where inadequate cleaning and disinfection of bottles is more 398 \degree common, which increases the risk of enteric infections 69 . Lengerh et al. showed that 399 bottle feeding was associated with increased odds of *Campylobacter* infection among 400 diarrheic children in northwest Ethiopia 60 . However, in this study, drinking from a bottle 401 with nipple was shown to be a protective factor for higher cumulative *Campylobacter* 402 burden in the first time period. Our results should not be interpreted as an 403 encouragement of bottle feeding. One potential explanation could be that this practice is 404 linked to other socio-economic factors that contribute to reducing the risk of 405 *Campylobacter* infections. A previous study using Ethiopian Demographic and Health 406 Surveys data (EDHS) to examine the determinants of bottle feeding suggested that 407 women who had a higher education, came from a richer household, and lived in urban 408 areas were more likely to bottle feed 68 . The role of mother's education level and 409 household wealth status in *Campylobacter* burden was not clear in our analysis with a 410 relatively small sample size, and further work is needed to unpack these potential links. 411 Influences of environmental and climatic factors have been rarely reported in 412 studies investigating risk factors of *Campylobacter* infections among children in LMICs. 413 Here, we included several environmental covariates previously used to model the 414 prevalence of enteric diseases globally and regionally. Due to the small geography of 415 our study area, most environmental covariates have less heterogeneity to show signals 416 in their association with *Campylobacter* infections. This is one of the limitations in this

417 study. Evaluating these environmental effects on *Campylobacter* infections at a larger 418 scale in LMICs would be a future direction.

419 We tested the spatial autocorrelation in the model residuals during the model-420 building process, a step that has seldom been taken in previous work on identifying risk 421 factors of *Campylobacter* infections. We did so not only because we included 422 environmental covariates in the models, but to consider the potential spatial effects (i.e., 423 spatial dependence and spatial heterogeneity) introduced by georeferenced data 70 . 424 Neglecting the spatial effects could lead to an inflation of variance in regression 425 estimates and, consequently, a less reliable regression model $⁷¹$. Therefore, it would be</sup> 426 appropriate for future studies to consider including the spatial autocorrelation test as a 427 core component of the modeling-building process to ensure the reliability and accuracy 428 of the regression model.

429 Another innovative aspect of this study involves the adoption of bacterial load-430 based cumulative burden, departing from traditional prevalence measures when dealing 431 with longitudinal data. By calculating the cumulative burden, we gain insight into the 432 persistent impact of *Campylobacter* infections over time, offering a nuanced perspective 433 on disease dynamics. This method enables a comprehensive assessment of 434 *Campylobacter* burden over a certain period and holds promise for advancing 435 longitudinal studies on *Campylobacter* infections.

436 In conclusion, our study reveals that factors involved in the interactions between 437 human (infants), livestock, and home environment impacted the presence of higher 438 cumulative *Campylobacter* burden among infants in eastern Ethiopia. For young infants 439 aged less than 6 months, being reported to have physical contact with animals and

440 have mouthing of soil or animal feces were identified as risk factors of higher 441 *Campylobacter* burden. And drinking from a bottle with nipple was shown to be a 442 protective factor. This result requires additional research to understand and should not 443 be interpreted to encourage bottle feeding; additional information is required to 444 understand whether there is a direct causal mechanism or whether underlying factors or 445 confounders, such as socio-economic status or overall household hygiene, might 446 explain the finding. While older infants (aged between 6 and 12 months) being female 447 and living in households with cattle had increased odds of higher *Campylobacter* 448 burden. High population density (potentially linked to urban residency) was identified as 449 a protective factor for this age group. Future interventions should pay more attention to 450 the infant-specific transmission pathway and create adequate separation of domestic 451 animals from humans to prevent infants and young children from potential fecal 452 exposures.

453 **Acknowledgments**

454 This work is a result of the CAGED Research Team whose members include: Amanda 455 Evelyn Ojeda, Arie H. Havelaar, Abadir Jemal Seran, Abdulmuen Mohammed Ibrahim, 456 Bahar Mummed Hassen, Belisa Usmael Ahmedo, Cyrus Saleem, Dehao Chen, Efrah 457 Ali Yusuf, Gireesh Rajashekara, Getnet Yimer, Ibsa A. Ahmed, Ibsa Aliyi Usmane, 458 Jafer Kedir Amin, Jason K. Blackburn, Jemal Y. Hassen, Kedir A. Hassen, Kunuza 459 Adem Umer, Karah Mechlowitz, Kedir Teji Roba, Loic Deblais, Mussie Bhrane, Mark J. 460 Manary, Mawardi M. Dawid, Mahammad Mahammad Usmail, Nigel P. French, Nur 461 Shaikh, Nitya Singh, Sarah L. McKune, Wondwossen A. Gebreyes, Xiaolong Li, 462 Yenenesh Demisie Weldesenbet, Yang Yang, Zelalem Hailu Mekuria.

463 **Financial Support**

- 464 This project is funded by the United States Agency for International Development
- 465 Bureau for Food Security under Agreement #AID-OAA-L-15-00003 as part of Feed the
- 466 Future Innovation Lab for Livestock Systems, and by the Bill & Melinda Gates
- 467 Foundation OPP#1175487. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative
- 468 Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author
- 469 Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission. Any opinions,
- 470 findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed here are those of the authors
- 471 alone. Research reported in this publication was supported by the University of Florida
- 472 Clinical and Translational Science Institute, which was supported in part by the NIH
- 473 National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences under award number
- 474 UL1TR001427.

475 **Authors contributions**

- 476 XL, SL, SLM, AHH and JKB conceptualized and designed the study; XL and DC curated
- 477 and analyzed data; JYH, SLM, and AHH managed and coordinated the research activity
- 478 in this project; XL drafted the initial manuscript; and SL, DC. SLM, AHH, JKB reviewed
- 479 and critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as
- 480 submitted and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

481 **Authors contact information**

- 482 Xiaolong Li, Department of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health
- 483 and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, Email:
- 484 xiaolong.li@ufl.edu; Dehao Chen, Department of Environmental and Global Health,
- 485 College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL,
- 486 Email: dehao.chen@emory.edu; Song Liang, Department of Environmental and Global

- 488 Gainesville, FL, Email: songliang@umass.edu; Jemal Yousuf Hassen, Haramaya
- 489 University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia, Email: jemaly2001@yahoo.com; Sarah L. McKune,
- 490 Department of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health and Health
- 491 Professions, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, Email: smckune@ufl.edu; Arie H.
- 492 Havelaar, Department of Animal Sciences, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences,
- 493 University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, Email: ariehavelaar@ufl.edu; Jason K. Blackburn,
- 494 Spatial Epidemiology and Ecology Research Laboratory, Department of Geography,
- 495 College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, Email:
- 496 ikblackburn@ufl.edu.

497 **Availability of data and materials**

- 498 Deidentified individual participant data will be made available through Dataverse
- 499 (https://dataverse.org/) after December 31, 2024.

500 **References**

- 501 1. Sahin O, Fitzgerald C, Stroika S, Zhao S, Sippy RJ, Kwan P, Plummer PJ, Han J,
- 502 Yaeger MJ, Zhang Q., 2012. Molecular Evidence for Zoonotic Transmission of an
- 503 Emergent, Highly Pathogenic Campylobacter jejuni Clone in the United States. *J*
- 504 *Clin Microbiol 50*: 680
- 505 2. Chlebicz A, Śliżewska K., 2018. Campylobacteriosis, Salmonellosis, Yersiniosis,
- 506 and Listeriosis as Zoonotic Foodborne Diseases: A Review. *Int J Environ Res*
- 507 *Public Health 15*
- 508 3. Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM., 2015. Global
- 509 epidemiology of campylobacter infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev 28*: 687–720
- 510 4. Amour C, Gratz J, Mduma ER, Svensen E, Rogawski ET, McGrath M, Seidman

- 511 JC, McCormick BJJ, Shrestha S, Samie A, Mahfuz M, Qureshi S, Hotwani A,
- 512 Babji S, Trigoso DR, et al., 2016. Epidemiology and Impact of Campylobacter
- 513 Infection in Children in 8 Low-Resource Settings: Results From the MAL-ED
- 514 Study. *Clin Infect Dis 63*: 1171–1179
- 515 5. Platts-Mills JA, Kosek M., 2014. Update on the burden of Campylobacter in 516 developing countries
- 517 6. Lee G, Pan W, Peñataro Yori P, Paredes Olortegui M, Tilley D, Gregory M,
- 518 Oberhelman R, Burga R, Chavez CB, Kosek M., 2013. Symptomatic and
- 519 Asymptomatic Campylobacter Infections Associated with Reduced Growth in
- 520 Peruvian Children. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*
- 521 7. Haque MA, Platts-Mills JA, Mduma E, Bodhidatta L, Bessong P, Shakoor S, Kang
- 522 G, Kosek MN, Lima AAM, Shrestha SK, Alam MA, Havt A, Samie A, Guerrant RL,
- 523 Lang D, et al., 2019. Determinants of Campylobacter infection and association
- 524 with growth and enteric inflammation in children under 2 years of age in low-
- 525 resource settings. *Sci Rep 9*
- 526 8. François R, Yori PP, Rouhani S, Siguas Salas M, Paredes Olortegui M, Rengifo
- 527 Trigoso D, Pisanic N, Burga R, Meza R, Meza Sanchez G, Gregory MJ, Houpt
- 528 ER, Platts-Mills JA, Kosek MN., 2018. The other Campylobacters: Not innocent
- 529 bystanders in endemic diarrhea and dysentery in children in low-income settings.
- 530 *PLoS Negl Trop Dis 12*: e0006200
- 531 9. Man SM., 2011. The clinical importance of emerging Campylobacter species. *Nat* 532 *Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011 812 8*: 669–685
- 533 10. Terefe Y, Deblais L, Ghanem M, Helmy YA, Mummed B, Chen D, Singh N,

- 560 17. Dangour AD, Watson L, Cumming O, Boisson S, Che Y, Velleman Y, Cavill S,
- 561 Allen E, Uauy R., 2013. Interventions to improve water quality and supply,
- 562 sanitation and hygiene practices, and their effects on the nutritional status of 563 children
- 564 18. Wolf J, Hunter PR, Freeman MC, Cumming O, Clasen T, Bartram J, Higgins JPT,
- 565 Johnston R, Medlicott K, Boisson S, Prüss-Ustün A., 2018. Impact of drinking
- 566 water, sanitation and handwashing with soap on childhood diarrhoeal disease:

567 updated meta-analysis and meta-regression

- 568 19. Freeman MC, Garn J V., Sclar GD, Boisson S, Medlicott K, Alexander KT,
- 569 Penakalapati G, Anderson D, Mahtani AG, Grimes JET, Rehfuess EA, Clasen
- 570 TF., 2017. The impact of sanitation on infectious disease and nutritional status: A
- 571 systematic review and meta-analysis
- 572 20. Clasen T, Boisson S, Routray P, Torondel B, Bell M, Cumming O, Ensink J,
- 573 Freeman M, Jenkins M, Odagiri M, Ray S, Sinha A, Suar M, Schmidt WP., 2014.
- 574 Effectiveness of a rural sanitation programme on diarrhoea, soil-transmitted
- 575 helminth infection, and child malnutrition in Odisha, India: A cluster-randomised
- 576 trial. *Lancet Glob Heal*
- 577 21. Null C, Stewart CP, Pickering AJ, Dentz HN, Arnold BF, Arnold CD, Benjamin-
- 578 Chung J, Clasen T, Dewey KG, Fernald LCH, Hubbard AE, Kariger P, Lin A, Luby
- 579 SP, Mertens A, et al., 2018. Effects of water quality, sanitation, handwashing, and

- 580 nutritional interventions on diarrhoea and child growth in rural Kenya: a cluster-
- 581 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Heal 6*: e316–e329
- 582 22. Pickering AJ, Djebbari H, Lopez C, Coulibaly M, Alzua ML., 2015. Effect of a
- 583 community-led sanitation intervention on child diarrhoea and child growth in rural
- 584 Mali: A cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Glob Heal*
- 585 23. Chen D, Mechlowitz K, Li X, Schaefer N, Havelaar AH, McKune SL., 2021.
- 586 Benefits and Risks of Smallholder Livestock Production on Child Nutrition in Low-587 and Middle-Income Countries. *Front Nutr 8*
- 588 24. Hanson LÅ, Wiedermann U, Ashraf R, Zaman S, Adlerberth I, Dahlgren U, Wold
- 589 A, Jalil F., 1996. Effects of breastfeeding on the baby and on its immune system. 590 *Food Nutr Bull 17*: 384–389
- 591 25. Oriá RB, Murray-Kolb LE, Scharf RJ, Pendergast LL, Lang DR, Kolling GL,
- 592 Guerrant RL., 2016. Early-life enteric infections: Relation between chronic
- 593 systemic inflammation and poor cognition in children. *Nutr Rev 74*: 374–386
- 594 26. Gibson E., 1988. Exploratory Behavior In The Development Of Perceiving, Acting,
- 595 And The Acquiring Of Knowledge. *Annu Rev Psychol 39*: 1–41
- 596 27. Bronowski C, James CE, Winstanley C., 2014. Role of environmental survival in
- 597 transmission of Campylobacter jejuni. *FEMS Microbiol Lett 356*: 8–19
- 598 28. Whiley H, van den Akker B, Giglio S, Bentham R., 2013. The Role of
- 599 Environmental Reservoirs in Human Campylobacteriosis. *Int J Environ Res Public* 600 *Health 10*: 5886
- 601 29. Arsenault J, Berke O, Michel P, Ravel A, Gosselin P., 2012. Environmental and
- 602 demographic risk factors for campylobacteriosis: Do various geographical scales

- 603 tell the same story? *BMC Infect Dis 12*: 1–12
- 604 30. Louis VR, Gillespie IA, O'brien SJ, Russek-Cohen E, Pearson AD, Colwell RR.,
- 605 2005. Temperature-Driven Campylobacter Seasonality in England and Wales.
- 606 *Appl Environ Microbiol 71*: 85–92
- 607 31. Kuhn KG, Nygård KM, Guzman-Herrador B, Sunde LS, Rimhanen-Finne R,
- 608 Trönnberg L, Jepsen MR, Ruuhela R, Wong WK, Ethelberg S., 2020.
- 609 Campylobacter infections expected to increase due to climate change in Northern
- 610 Europe. *Sci Rep 10*: 1–9
- 611 32. Soneja S, Jiang C, Romeo Upperman C, Murtugudde R, Mitchell CS, Blythe D,

612 Sapkota AR, Sapkota A., 2016. Extreme precipitation events and increased risk of

613 campylobacteriosis in Maryland, U.S.A. *Environ Res 149*: 216–221

- 614 33. Weisent J, Seaver W, Odoi A, Rohrbach B., 2014. The importance of climatic
- 615 factors and outliers in predicting regional monthly campylobacteriosis risk in

616 Georgia, USA. *Int J Biometeorol 58*: 1865–1878

- 617 34. Sanderson RA, Maas JA, Blain AP, Gorton R, Ward J, O'Brien SJ, Hunter PR,
- 618 Rushton SP., 2018. Spatio-temporal models to determine association between
- 619 Campylobacter cases and environment. *Int J Epidemiol 47*: 202–216
- 620 35. Spencer SEF, Marshall J, Pirie R, Campbell D, Baker MG, French NP., 2012. The
- 621 spatial and temporal determinants of campylobacteriosis notifications in New
- 622 Zealand, 2001-2007. *Epidemiol Infect 140*: 1663–1677
- 623 36. Havelaar AH, Brhane M, Ahmed IA, Kedir J, Chen D, Deblais L, French N,
- 624 Gebreyes WA, Hassen JY, Li X, Manary MJ, Mekuria Z, Ibrahim AM, Mummed B,
- 625 Ojeda A, et al., 2022. Unravelling the reservoirs for colonisation of infants with

649 eng.pdf;jsessionid=5B7CD35139464EA9E9214B4F68A81B5E?sequence=1.

- 650 Accessed. 2017
- 651 41. Coates J, Swindale A, Bilinsky P., 2007. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale
- 652 (HFIAS) for measurement of food access: indicator guide. *Washington, DC FHI*
- 653 *360/FNATA*
- 654 42. Li X., 2023. *Applying Geospatial Approaches to Studying the Epidemiology of* 655 *Enteric Illnesses at Different Scales*. University of Florida
- 656 43. Hijmans RJ., 2024. raster: Geographic analysis and modeling with raster data.
- 657 Available at: https://rspatial.org/raster. Accessed. 2024
- 658 44. Chen D, Mckune SL, Yang Y, Usmane IA, Ahmed A, Amin JK, Ibrahim AM, Jemal
- 659 A, Shaik N, Ojeda A, Hassen BM, Deblais L, Ahmedo BU, Hassen KA, Bhrane M,
- 660 et al., 2024. Campylobacter colonization and undernutrition in infants in rural
- 661 Eastern Ethiopia: a longitudinal community-based birth cohort study. *medRxiv*: 1–
- 662 44
- 663 45. Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, Hosmer DW., 2008. Purposeful selection of 664 variables in logistic regression. *Source Code Biol Med 3*: 17
-
- 665 46. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX., 2013. *Applied Logistic Regression:* 666 *Third Edition*
- 667 47. Nagelkerke NJD., 1991. A note on a general definition of the coefficient of 668 determination
- 669 48. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S., 1980. Goodness of fit tests for the multiple logistic 670 regression model. *Commun Stat - Theory Methods 9*: 1043–1069
- 671 49. Hemmert GAJ, Schons LM, Wieseke J, Schimmelpfennig H., 2018. Log-

- 672 likelihood-based Pseudo-R2 in Logistic Regression: Deriving Sample-sensitive
- 673 Benchmarks. *Sociol Methods Res 47*: 507–531
- 674 50. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ., 1982. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver
- 675 operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology 143*: 29–36
- 676 51. Li H, Chen Y, Deng S, Chen M, Fang T, Tan H., 2019. Eigenvector Spatial
- 677 Filtering-Based Logistic Regression for Landslide Susceptibility Assessment.
- 678 *ISPRS Int J Geo-Information 8*: 332
- 679 52. R Core Team., 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- 680 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 681 53. Getis A., 2007. Reflections on spatial autocorrelation. *Reg Sci Urban Econ 37*: 682 491–6
- 683 54. Lichstein JW, Simons TR, Shriner SA, Franzreb KE., 2002. Spatial autocorrelation
- 684 and autoregressive models in ecology. *Ecol Monogr 72*: 445–463
- 685 55. Bivand R., 2022. R Packages for Analyzing Spatial Data: A Comparative Case
- 686 Study with Areal Data. *Geogr Anal 54*: 488–518
- 687 56. Strachan NJC, Watson RO, Novik V, Hofreuter D, Ogden ID, Galán JE., 2008.
- 688 Sexual dimorphism in campylobacteriosis. *Epidemiol Infect 136*: 1492–5
- 689 57. Budge S, Barnett M, Hutchings P, Parker A, Tyrrel S, Hassard F, Garbutt C,
- 690 Moges M, Woldemedhin F, Jemal M., 2020. Risk factors and transmission
- 691 pathways associated with infant Campylobacter spp. Prevalence and malnutrition:
- 692 A formative study in rural Ethiopia. *PLoS One 15*: 1–17
- 693 58. Haque MA, Platts-Mills JA, Mduma E, Bodhidatta L, Bessong P, Shakoor S, Kang
- 694 G, Kosek MN, Lima AAM, Shrestha SK, Alam MA, Havt A, Samie A, Guerrant RL,

- 704 *BMC Pediatr 13*: 82
- 705 61. Budge S, Barnett M, Hutchings P, Parker A, Tyrrel S, Hassard F, Garbutt C,
- 706 Moges M, Woldemedhin F, Jemal M., 2020. Risk factors and transmission
- 707 pathways associated with infant Campylobacter spp. prevalence and malnutrition:

708 A formative study in rural Ethiopia. *PLoS One 15*: e0232541

- 709 62. Ruff HA, Saltarelli LM, Capozzoli M, Dubiner K., 1992. The Differentiation of
- 710 Activity in Infants' Exploration of Objects. *Dev Psychol 28*: 851–861
- 711 63. Pickering AJ, Null C, Winch PJ, Mangwadu G, Arnold BF, Prendergast AJ, Njenga
- 712 SM, Rahman M, Ntozini R, Benjamin-Chung J, Stewart CP, Huda TMN, Moulton
- 713 LH, Colford JM, Luby SP, et al., 2019. The WASH Benefits and SHINE trials:
- 714 interpretation of WASH intervention effects on linear growth and diarrhoea. *Lancet*
- 715 *Glob Heal 7*: e1139–e1146
- 716 64. Wulsten IF, Galeev A, Stingl K., 2020. Underestimated Survival of Campylobacter
- 717 in Raw Milk Highlighted by Viability Real-Time PCR and Growth Recovery. *Front*

- 718 *Microbiol 11*: 1107
- 719 65. Davys G, Marshall JC, Fayaz A, Weir RP, Benschop J., 2020. Campylobacteriosis
- 720 associated with the consumption of unpasteurised milk: Findings from a sentinel
- 721 surveillance site. *Epidemiol Infect 148*: e16
- 722 66. Admasie A, Eshetu A, Tessema TS, Vipham J, Kovac J, Zewdu A., 2023.
- 723 Prevalence of Campylobacter species and associated risk factors for
- 724 contamination of dairy products collected in a dry season from major milk sheds in
- 725 Ethiopia. *Food Microbiol 109*: 104145
- 726 67. World Health Organization., 2008. *Indicators for Assessing Infant and Young*
- 727 *Child Feeding Practices: Conclusions of a Consensus Meeting Held 6-8*
- 728 *November 2007 in Washington DC, USA*
- 729 68. Belay DG, Getnet M, Akalu Y, Diress M, Gela YY, Getahun AB, Bitew DA, Terefe
- 730 B, Belsti Y., 2022. Spatial distribution and determinants of bottle feeding among
- 731 children 0-23 months in Ethiopia: spatial and multi-level analysis based on 2016
- 732 EDHS. *BMC Pediatr 22*: 120
- 733 69. Rothstein JD, Mendoza AL, Cabrera LZ, Pachas J, Calderón M, Pajuelo MJ,
- 734 Caulfield LE, Winch PJ, Gilman RH., 2019. Household contamination of baby
- 735 bottles and opportunities to improve bottle hygiene in Peri-Urban Lima, Peru. *Am*
- 736 *J Trop Med Hyg 100*: 988–997
- 737 70. Anselin L, Getis A., 1992. Spatial statistical analysis and geographic information 738 systems. *Ann Reg Sci 26*: 19–33
- 739 71. Bertazzon S, Olson S, Knudtson M., 2010. A spatial analysis of the demographic
- 740 and socio-economic variables associated with cardiovascular disease in calgary

741 (Canada). *Appl Spat Anal Policy 3*: 1–23

742

744 **Tables**

745 Table 1. Demographics and socio-economic status of the households enrolled in this 746 study.

Variable	First period		Second period	
	OR $(Cl)^{8}$	p	OR (CI)	\boldsymbol{p}
Child sex	0.8(0.4, 1.7)	0.56	2.3(1.1, 5.1)	0.03
Mother's age	1.3(0.6, 2.8)	0.55	0.8(0.4, 1.7)	0.55
Mother's education	1.2(0.5, 2.9)	0.49	1.1(0.4, 2.6)	0.49
Cattle ownership	0.6(0.3, 1.4)	$0.24*$	2.1(1.0, 4.7)	0.05
Goat ownership	1.4(0.6, 3.0)	0.43	0.9(0.4, 1.9)	0.70
Sheep ownership	0.6(0.3, 1.4)	0.24	0.5(0.2, 1.2)	0.12
Chicken ownership	0.8(0.4, 1.7)	0.56	1.5(0.7, 3.2)	0.33
Tropical livestock unit	1.1(0.5, 2.3)	0.85	1.3(0.6, 2.7)	0.56
Asset	1.3(0.6, 3.0)	0.44	0.8(0.4, 1.8)	0.70
Pre-lacteal feeding	2.3(1.0, 5.5)	0.06	NA	NA
Time of introduction of complementary foods (days)	0.6(0.3, 1.3)	0.17	NA	NA
Drinking from a bottle with nipple in the past 24h	0.6(0.3, 1.3)	0.17	1.0(0.5, 2.1)	1
Consumption of unpasteurized animal milk in the past 24h	1.0(0.2, 4.4)	$\mathbf{1}$	1.4(0.6, 2.9)	0.44
Consumption of any solid food in the past 24h	4.5(1.1, 31.1)	0.04	1.8(0.9, 4.0)	0.12
Consumption of animal source food in the past $24h§$	NA	NA	0.9(0.4, 1.9)	0.70
Minimum dietary diversity ^{\$}	NA	NA	0.8(0.4, 1.7)	0.56
Household food insecurity access score	1.3(0.6, 2.7)	0.56	2.3(1.1, 5.1)	0.03
Vitamin-A supplementation in the past month#	NA	NA	1.2(0.5, 2.6)	0.69
Being treated with antibiotics in the past month	1.6(0.7, 3.7)	0.22	0.8(0.4, 1.7)	0.55
Contact with animals	3.2(1.4, 7.7)	0.01	1.3(0.6, 2.7)	0.56
Crawling in areas with animal feces	3.0(0.8, 14.2)	0.11	1.5(0.7, 3.2)	0.33
Mouthing of soil or animal feces	12.1 (2.2, 226)	0.00	1.5(0.7, 3.2)	0.33
Preventive actions (mother) for mouthing behavior	1.1(0.5, 2.4)	0.77	0.9(0.4, 2.0)	0.84
Elevation (m)	0.6 $(0.3, 1.3)$	0.17	0.7(0.3, 1.5)	0.33

749 Table 2. Variables used for regression modeling and univariate analysis results.

750 & Odds ratio (confidence interval)

751 * Bold script represents the *p*-value is less than 0.25, and the corresponding variable

752 was included as a candidate for the multivariate analysis.

 753 $$$ Data were not available for the first period as the minimum dietary diversity (MDD) 754 survey was administered after 6 months of age.

 755 $*$ Only one child had vitamin-A supplements for the first period. This variable was

756 excluded from the univariate analysis for the first period.

757 ^{\ddagger} Normalized difference vegetation index

759 Table 3. Environmental variables used in this study and their sources.

762 Table 4. Logistic regression model for the cumulative *Campylobacter* burden in the first 763 period.

764 ²Odds ratio
765 ² Confidence

*Confidence interval

766 $$B$ oldness represents the *p*-value is less than 0.05.

767 [#]Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve

769 Table 5. Logistic regression model for the cumulative *Campylobacter* burden in the

771 ^{λ} Odds ratio
772 * Confidence

*Confidence interval

773 $$$ Bold script represents the *p*-value is less than 0.05.

 774 $*$ Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve

776 **Figure Legends**

- 777 **Figure 1**. Study area and enrolled households in the *Campylobacter* Genomics and
- 778 Environmental Enteric Dysfunction (CAGED) project.
- 779 **Figure 2**. Frequency distribution of the cumulative *Campylobacter* burden for the first
- 780 and second period in this study.
- 781 **Figure 3.** Receiver operating curves (ROC) for logistic regression models fit in this
- 782 study. A: model fit with the first period data; B: test of the fit of the first period model to
- 783 the second period data; C: model fit with the second period data.

Receiver Operating Curve

Receiver Operating Curve

Receiver Operating Curve

