



1 Brief Report

Associations of Circulating Biomarkers with Disease Risks: a Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study

4 Abdulkadir Elmas^{1,†}, Kevin Spehar^{2,3,4,5,†}, Ron Do¹, Joseph M. Castellano^{2,3,4,5}, Kuan-lin Huang^{1,*}

5	¹ Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY
6 7	10029, USA 2 Nash Family Department of Neuroscience, Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Si-
8	nai, New York, NY, USA
9	³ Ronald M. Loeb Center for Alzheimer's Disease, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,
10	
11	 ⁴ Department of Neurology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA ⁵ Black Family Stem Call Institute Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA
13	 black ranny stem cen institute, icam school of medicine at mount smal, new Tork, NT, OSA These authors contributed equally to this work
14	* Correspondence: kuan-lin.huang@mssm.edu
15	
16	Abstract: Background: Circulating biomarkers play a pivotal role in personalized medicine, offer-
17	ing potential for disease screening, prevention, and treatment. Despite established associations
18	between numerous biomarkers and diseases, elucidating their causal relationships is challenging.
19	Mendelian Randomization (MR) can address this issue by employing genetic instruments to dis-
20	cern causal links. Additionally, using multiple MR methods with overlapping results enhances the
21	reliability of discovered relationships. Methods : Here we report an MR study using multiple
22	methods, including inverse variance weighted, simple mode, weighted mode, weighted median,
23	and MR Egger. We use the MR-base resource $(v0.5.6)^1$ to evaluate causal relationships between 212
24	circulating biomarkers (curated from UK Biobank analyses by Neale lab and from Shin et al. 2014,
25	Roederer et al. 2015, and Kettunen et al. 2016) ²⁻⁴ and 99 complex diseases (curated from several
26	consortia by MRC IEU and Biobank Japan). Results: We report novel causal relationships found by
27	4 or more MR methods between glucose and bipolar disorder (Mean Effect Size estimate across
28	methods: 0.39) and between cystatin C and bipolar disorder (Mean Effect Size: -0.31). Based on
29	agreement in 4 or more methods, we also identify previously known links between urate with gout
30	and creatine with chronic kidney disease, as well as biomarkers that may be causal of cardiovas-
31	cular conditions: apolipoprotein B, cholesterol, LDL, lipoprotein A, and triglycerides in coronary
32	heart disease, as well as lipoprotein A, LDL, cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B in myocardial in-
33	farction. Conclusions: This Mendelian Randomization study not only corroborates known causal
34	relationships between circulating biomarkers and diseases but also uncovers two novel biomarkers
35	associated with bipolar disorder that warrant further investigation. Our findings provide insight
36	into understanding how biological processes reflecting circulating biomarkers and their associated
37	effects may contribute to disease etiology, which can eventually help improve precision diagnostics
38	and intervention.
39	Keywords: Biomarkers; Metabolome; Proteome; Mendelian Randomization; Human Disease
40	
41	1. Introduction
42	Molecular abnormalities detected in the blood that cause complex diseases represent
43	an opportunity to identify biomarkers for both preventive and therapeutic interventions.
44	These circulating biomolecules include proteins (enzymes and hormones), lipids, and
45	metabolites that reflect physiological states of organ functions, immune response, and

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

85

86

87 88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96 97

98

metabolism. Despite substantial observational evidence linking systemic biomarker levels with diverse health conditions, their causal relationships to complex diseases remain to be established, especially for diseases for which no reliable biomarkers exist. Previous studies that identify correlations often do not delineate cause and effect, thereby limiting the translational value of the findings. For example, while an increased incidence of impaired glucose metabolism has been demonstrated in patients with bipolar disorder across multiple studies, a causal relationship between the two has yet to be established.⁵⁻⁷

The application of Mendelian Randomization (MR) represents an approach in addressing this critical gap. By utilizing genetic instruments as proxies for biomarker levels, MR, under specific assumptions, can control for confounding factors and reverse causation, offering insights into the causal effects of biomarkers on disease risks. Lipids have been particularly well studied in MR, where previous studies have demonstrated the causal relationships between LDL-c and coronary artery disease, and HDL-c and breast cancer.⁸⁻¹¹ Other studies have also identified likely causal relationships between homocysteine and stroke,¹² metabolic syndrome,¹³ as well as tyrosine and Type 2 Diabetes.¹⁴ However, the effects of many other biomarkers remain unclear, and existing MR studies have often been limited to specific biomarkers or disease categories.

The power of MR has been boosted by the availability of large genome-wide association studies (GWAS), published in more than 379 studies including those conducted using the UK Biobank dataset that has recently released a resource of plasma biomarker data measured by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in addition to other lab markers.¹⁵ Herein, we conducted a comprehensive MR analysis encompassing a broad spectrum of 212 biomarkers—including 115 circulating biomolecules measured through NMR⁴—and 99 human diseases to unveil previously obscured relationships of the circulating biomarkers' role in disease etiology that may be leveraged for personalized prevention.

2. Results

Based on the final 212 exposures (circulating biomarkers) and 99 outcomes (diseases) from the MRC IEU OpenGWAS database^{1,16} (Methods, STROBE-MR checklist), we analyzed exposure-outcome relationships using 5 different MR analysis methods: inverse variance weighted, MR Egger, simple mode, weighted median, and weighted mode. To ensure our results were robust, we focused on findings that had a significant (Bonferroni p-value < 0.05) association by MR-Egger and matched in 4 or more methods (with same effect direction and raw p-value < 0.05) based on MR-Egger's robustness to directional pleiotropy relevant to circulating biomarkers. We identified a total 21 significant biomarker exposure vs. disease outcome associations (Figure 1). A list of all significant relations, including IEU GWAS study IDs for each exposure/outcomes, and the summary statistics from the MR analyses are included in Supplementary Table 1.

We provide validation for our analysis by demonstrating agreement using 4 or more analysis methods that have been previously demonstrated in MR studies such as urate with gout¹⁷ and LDL,¹⁸ triglycerides,¹⁰ lipoprotein A,¹⁹ and apolipoprotein B²⁰ with coronary heart disease. We also found previously demonstrated relationships shown in MR studies between lipoprotein A²¹ and LDL²² with myocardial infarction. Additionally, we did not find any relationships that showed conflicting directionality to the previous literature. While many identified relationships are only maintained in a few methods, previously supported relationships show strong alignment in multiple MR analysis methods, demonstrating the robustness of associations found using multiple approaches.

For cardiometabolic traits, we demonstrated a causal relationship between total cholesterol and coronary heart disease, and this association has previously been shown in a meta-analysis and systematic review.²³ We also showed a causal relationship between total cholesterol and myocardial infarction as well as apolipoprotein B and myocardial infarction, both of which has been previously demonstrated by non-MR studies.^{24,25}

We also found a causal relationship between serum creatinine and chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, serum creatinine is an important biomarker for measuring

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

kidney function, as it is used to clinically estimate glomerular filtration rate to help di-99 agnose CKD.^{26,27} While this connection could explain this result, there may be 100 creatinine-specific effects that may cause or exacerbate CKD which remain to be charac-101 terized. We also identified a trend for alanine transferase with liver cell carcinoma that 102 agreed for 4+ methods when using raw p-value cut-offs of < 0.05. 103 Of note, we discovered a strong, direct relationship between glucose and bipolar 104disorder and a strong, inverse relationship between cystatin C levels and bipolar disorder 105 which, to the best of our knowledge, has never been directly reported before. Previous 106 107 studies have demonstrated an association between impaired glucose metabolism and bipolar disorder in addition to increased prevalence of pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes 108

mellitus.728,29 Table 1 lists the identified exposure-outcome relationships along with pre-



111

109

110

- 112
- 113
- 114
- 115

Figure 1. Heatmap summarizing the key findings of this study, including the most significant findings from the MR Egger analysis. MR analyses were conducted using 5 methods: Inverse variance weighted, MR Egger, Simple mode, Weighted Median, and Weighted Mode. Larger arrow sizes correspond to more significant results and the directionality of the arrow indicates a posi-

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

tively-correlated relationship, i.e., higher biomarkers associated with increased risk (upward arrow) or inverse (downward arrow) relationship. We displayed all exposures and outcomes that demonstrated at least one significant (Bonferroni p-value < 0.05) relationship by at least one MR method. **Table 1**. Significant exposure-outcomes relationships consistently identified across 4 or more MR methods. Previous literature demonstrating these relationships and the type of evidence supporting each relationship are also detailed. In evidence type, retrospective, prospective, and

that do not suggest causality.

Exposure	Outcome	Previous Evidence	Evidence Type				
IDI	Coronary Heart	18	MD				
LDL	Disease	10	IVIIK				
Triclucoridos	Coronary Heart	10	MD				
Ingrycendes	Disease	10	IVIIX				
Linoprotoin A	Coronary Heart	19	MR				
Lipoprotein A	Disease	15					
Apolipoprotein	Coronary Heart	20	MD				
В	Disease		IVIIX				
Chalastaral	Coronary Heart	23.30	Meta-Analysis, Systematic				
Cholesteroi	Disease	20,00	Review, MR				
Urate	Gout	17	MR				
Lipoprotein A	Myocardial Infarction	21	MR				
LDL	Myocardial Infarction	22	MR				
Cholesterol	Myocardial Infarction	24	Retrospective				
Apolipoprotein B	Myocardial Infarction	25,31	Prospective, MR				
Serum Creatinina	Chronic Kidney	26,27	MR, Meta-Analysis				
Cleannine	Disease		Cross Sectional				
Chucoso	Binolor Disordor	28.29	Mote A palveie Systematic				
Glucose	Dipolar Disorder		Poviow				
Custatin C	Ripolar Disordar	NT / A	NI/A				
Cystatin C	Dipolar Disorder	$\perp N/A$	$\perp N/A$				

cross-sectional indicates cohort studies that demonstrate associations of the biomarker with the

disease outcome, whereas meta-analysis and systematic review represent syntheses of such studies

Figure 2 illustrates the top-16 significant (Bonferroni p-value < 0.05) biomarker exposure-disease outcome associations with agreement in effect directionality in 4 or more methods (effect size > |0.1|).

Several biomarkers are associated with an increased risk of disease. The average effect size of total cholesterol and coronary heart disease is 0.66, ranging from 0.90 ± 0.22 (MR Egger) to 0.47 ± 0.13 (IVW). The association of total cholesterol and myocardial infarction has the average effect size of 0.61 with a range of (0.26, 1.13). For the apolipoprotein B and myocardial infarction, the average effect size is estimated as 0.59 with a range of (0.39, 0.90). For the glucose on bipolar disorder the average effect estimate is 0.39 with a range of (0.29, 0.55), while cystatin C has an inverse relationship on bipolar disorder with the average effect size of -0.31 ranging from -0.107 to -1 (Supplementary Table 1).

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

139

140

141

142 143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170 171

172 173



Figure 2. Forest Plot of Mendelian Randomization Analysis Results Assessing the Causal Effects of Circulating Biomarkers on Diseases. The forest plot illustrates the estimated causal effects of top-16 significantly associated (Bonferroni p-value < 0.05) biomarkers on the risk of various diseases based on Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis consistent across 4 or more methods. Each row represents a different MR association, with the biomarker as the exposure and the disease as the outcome. The points represent different effect size estimates from different MR methods and the 95% confidence intervals (based on standard errors of the effect size estimates) are displayed by the horizontal lines forming around the average effect sizes of different methods.

3. Methods

3.1. Datasets

This study is a two-sample MR study based on publicly available GWAS summary statistics data from MR-base resource (v0.5.6).¹ The study design follows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology using Mendelian Randomisation (STROBE-MR) checklist, which is included in Supplementary information.

The MR-base platform, developed by the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, serves both as a database and an analytical framework accessed through the TwoSampleMR R package (v0.5.7). MR-base offers access to multiple GWAS data including the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (IEU) OpenGWAS database consisting of 26k GWAS reported in at least 379 different studies, covering ~40k individuals (in median) per study.^{1,16} Based on MRbase, we utilized all accessible exposure traits grouped under "Metabolites" category as well as other circulating biomarkers, and all available outcomes categorized as "Disease". All diseases we used are from datasets with IDs beginning with "ieu" (GWAS summary datasets generated by many consortia curated by IEU) and "bbj" (Biobank Japan), and the biomarkers are from "ukb" (UK Biobank analyses by IEU or Neale lab covering 28 biomarkers) and "met" (human blood biomarkers, immune markers, and circulating biomarkers analyzed by Shin et al. 2014 covering 76 biomarkers and Kettunen et al. 2016 covering 115 biomarkers).²⁴ In instances where there are multiple summary datasets for the same trait (i.e., from different GWAS cohorts), we selected the top one from them based on the largest "sample size," "year," "number of SNPs," or "number of cases and controls," prioritizing the information available in this specified order. A list of all biomarkers used as exposures and information for their corresponding GWAS studies are available in Supplementary Table 2. Overall, we retained a total of 212 exposures (biomarkers) and 99 outcomes (diseases) for MR analyses.

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

174

175

176 177

178

179

180

181 182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199 200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210 211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219 220

221

222

223

224 225

226

Given that this is a two-sample MR study, we provide two major justifications: (1) Similarity of the Genetic Variant-Exposure Associations Between the Exposure and Outcome Samples: Genetic instruments for the exposure were primarily derived from GWAS of circulating biomarkers conducted using data from the UK Biobank in addition to Shin and Kettunen et al., all of which predominantly consists of individuals of European ancestry. The outcome data were mainly sourced from case-control GWAS of European populations that likely share genetic architectures similar to those of the exposure study participants. (2) Number of Individuals Who Overlap Between the Exposure and Outcome Studies: Given the separate sources of the genetic data for exposures and outcomes (various case-control studies), and the timing of data availability and publication, it is reasonable to assume minimal overlap in the individual participants across these studies. Particularly, all significant results were found based on exposure (biomarker) GWAS from UK Biobank, which has its first genetic data published in 2018. The significant outcome GWAS for bipolar disorder, cardiovascular disease (CVD), myocardial infarction (MI), gout, and chronic kidney disease, all predated 2018. This temporal discrepancy between the data collection phases further supports the assumption of negligible overlap bias in our MR estimates.

3.2. MR Methods

The three core assumptions for Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis are:

Relevance: The genetic instruments (e.g., single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) used as instrumental variables (IVs) should be associated with the exposure (circulating biomarkers in this case). This assumption ensures that the IVs are strong enough to influence the exposure. Herein, 2685 SNPs were found associated with 198 circulating biomarker exposures subject to the IV assumptions that were imposed by the standard two-sample MR approach (association threshold, P<5e-8; LD clumping cutoff r2>0.001 within 10Mb window) and were used for further analyses (Supplementary Table 3).

Independence: The genetic instruments should be independent of any confounding factors that may affect both the exposure and the outcome (disease). This assumption is justified by the random allocation of genetic variants. Further, each of the GWAS studies carefully control for covariates that may confound genetic associations. For example, in the UK Biobank biomarker GWAS conducted by the Neale lab, covariates include age, sex, age2, age*sex, age*sex2, and the first 20 PCs, making the final genetic instruments less prone to confounding. Additionally, the prior knowledge of demographic consistency can likely minimize the impact of unmeasured confounders due to similar demographic and genetic backgrounds.

Exclusion restriction: The genetic instruments should affect the outcome (disease) only through their effects on the exposure (circulating biomarkers) and not through any other direct or indirect pathways. This assumption ensures that the IVs influence the outcome solely via the exposure of interest. Critically, MR Egger was employed as a primary MR method in this study to detect and adjust for pleiotropy, where genetic variants may influence the outcome via pathways other than through the exposure.

To enhance robustness, we inferred causality using multiple MR methods, specifically inverse variance weighted, simple mode, weighted mode, weighted median, and MR Egger. The inverse variance weighted (IVW) method conducts regression analysis between the SNP-exposure effect size and the SNP-outcome effect size, giving more importance to SNPs with the lowest standard error in SNP-outcome association. The IVW method relies on either all variants being valid instruments or the presence of balanced horizontal pleiotropy, where the combined horizontal pleiotropic effects of individual instruments cancel out. Additionally, it assumes that such pleiotropic effects are not dependent on instrument strength across all variants, a concept known as the Instrument Strength Independent of Direct Effects (InSIDE) assumption.³² The simple mode method operates under the assumption that the most common value (i.e., mode) for horizontal pleiotropy is zero, known as the ZEro Modal Pleiotropy Assumption (ZEMPA), regard-

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

less of the specific type of horizontal pleiotropy.³³ Likewise, the weighted MBE method operates under the supposition that the most substantial weights in the k subsets originate from valid instruments, adhering to ZEMPA assumption, irrespective of the particular form of horizontal pleiotropy. The weighted median method relies on the assumption that over half of the weight comes from reliable instruments, irrespective of the nature of horizontal pleiotropy.³⁴ MR-Egger permits all genetic variants to exhibit pleiotropic effects, but it necessitates that these effects are independent of the SNP–exposure associations, following the InSIDE principle.³⁵ Notably, the method can correct for the average horizontal pleiotropic effects commonly seen in biomarkers and their relevance to health and disease,³⁶ we used MR-Egger as the primary method for determining important exposure-outcome associations in our analyses and employed other sensitivity methods for validation.

For each method, the associations are reported with effect size and corresponding p-value statistics. The raw p-values are multi-testing corrected using the Bonferroni method. The confidence intervals (95%) are also calculated from standard errors of the test statistics. Overall, robustness of the results is ensured based on the use of MR-Egger as discovery method, agreement across at least 4 MR methods, and Bonferroni correction.

4. Discussion

We analyzed exposure-outcome relationships using 5 MR analysis methods to identify causal relationships between circulating biomarkers and diseases. In this study, significant results are defined as agreement between 4 or more analysis methods. Many of our demonstrated results replicated results from previous MR studies and other literature and none of our findings run contrary to previous literature. Additionally, our analysis also discovered two relationships of note: a direct relationship between glucose and bipolar disorder and an inverse relationship between cystatin C and bipolar disorder.

Bipolar disorder is a neuropsychiatric disorder with multifactorial causes (genetic, trauma, exposure to certain medications, etc.) Our findings demonstrate two potential circulating markers that may contribute to development of bipolar disorder, high glucose and low cystatin C. In our study, the effect size for glucose and bipolar disorder is 0.39 and for cystatin C and bipolar disorder is -0.31 which is comparable to the average effect size for triglycerides and coronary heart disease (a8) of 0.42 and greater than those of triglycerides and myocardial infarction (0.20), lipoprotein A and coronary heart disease (0.22), and lipoprotein A and myocardial infarction (0.21) (Supplementary Table 1). These results suggest that these relationships are likely to be of clinical significance and warrants further study. Although high cystatin C levels have been linked with major depressive disorder,³⁷ no studies, to the best of our knowledge, have demonstrated an association between cystatin C and bipolar disorder. Levels of Cystatin C, a natural inhibitor of cysteine proteases, are typically used clinically to assess kidney function. A common treatment for bipolar disorder is lithium, which has been shown to decrease renal function and can lead to elevated cystatin C levels,³⁸ which may lend further insight to the mechanism behind lithium's use as a treatment, however this remains to be confirmed.

A connection between impaired glucose metabolism and bipolar disorder has been well documented in the literature, with over half of patients diagnosed with bipolar disorder also having insulin resistance, impaired glucose tolerance, or type 2 diabetes.⁷ Furthermore, it has been shown that modulating the PI3K/Akt insulin signaling pathway may be a mechanism of lithium for the treatment of bipolar disorder.³⁹ The PI3K/Akt pathway helps regulate glucose metabolism in the hippocampus, cerebellum, and olfactory bulb⁴⁰ which are vulnerable sites of gray matter loss in bipolar disorder.⁴¹ It has been speculated that long-term disruption of this pathway can lead to mitochondrial dysfunction and energy dysregulation which may affect brain processes contributing to bipolar

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

280	disorder. ³⁹ Therefore, our identification of a causal relationship between high glucose
281	levels and bipolar disorder further supports the current body of evidence, and our find-
282	ings for cystatin C highlight a novel finding that warrants investigation in subsequent
283	studies. Our findings suggest that future studies aiming to reduce the risk of bipolar
284	disorder could explore the lifestyle and clinical interventions that reduce blood glucose
285	and maintain kidney function.
286	Although our study focused on links that were aligned with 4 or more MR methods,
287	other notable relationships were identified that were aligned with 2-3 MR methods
288	(Figure 1). For example, C-reactive protein (CRP) and Alzheimer's disease were causally
289	linked in 2 methods (MR Egger and IVW) which has been previously noted in previous
290	non-MR studies. ⁴²
291	A limitation of this study is that much of the exposure GWAS data comes from the
292	UK biobank, a large-scale volunteer databank, which has a biased representation of Eu-
293	ropeans and results may not generalize outside UK. ^{43,44} However, UK biobank provides
294	the largest GWAS datasets of most biomarkers studied herein and adequate statistical
295	power: this bias may be overcome as other biobanks with diverse populations continue to
296	expand MR studies have their own limitations including but not limited to potential
297	confounding factors limitations of estimating associations for binary outcomes plei-
298	otropic effects which should be mitigated by our use of MR Egger and population strat-
200	ification 45.47
299	Overall by utilizing several MR methods as cross-validation our study successfully
201	reaffirmed several established connections in cardiovascular diseases, gout and kidney
202	disaassas, while uncovaring intriguing novel hismarkars that may be saysal for hindlar
302	diseases, while uncovering intriguing nover biomarkers that may be causar for bipolar
303	may play in disease mechanisme, which should open pay evenues for torgeted research
304	may play in disease mechanisms, which should open new avenues for targeted research
305	and motivate development of precise diagnostic tools and therapeutic interventions.
306	
307	Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at:
308	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title.
308	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title.
308 309 310	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions : AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results KS AE IMC and KH wrote the manuscript KH supervised the
308 309 310 311	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions : AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript.
308 309 310 311	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions : AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript.
308 309 310 311 312	www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions : AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding : This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number:
308 309 310 311 312 313	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using pub-
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 218	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: CWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR base resource (v0.5.6).
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the jeu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the fourty of the source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary statistic open for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary statistic open for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the mendelian randomization analyses is available at the open GWAS is a summary for the open GWAS is an analyses is avail
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability: Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in part through the computational and data resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in part through the computational and data resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific Computing and Data at the Lahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and supported by the Clinical Computing and Data at the Lahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and supported by the Clinical Computing and Data at the label.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in part through the computational and data resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific Computing and Data at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and supported by the Clinical and Translational Science Awards (CTSA) grant UL1TR004419 from the National Center for Admendia.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: 1R35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in part through the computational and data resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific Computing and Data at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and supported by the Clinical and Translational Science. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the Clinical and Translational Science. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the Clinical and Translational Science. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the Clinical and Translational Science.
308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331	 www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: title; Table S1: title; Video S1: title. Author Contributions: AE designed and conducted the analyses. KS performed the literature search and interpreted the results. KS, AE, JMC, and KH wrote the manuscript. KH supervised the study. All authors read, edited, and approved the manuscript. Funding: This research was funded by National Institutes of Health, grant number: IR35GM138113-03P1. Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. Our analyses were conducted using publicly available summary statistics data from GWAS, not individual-level data; therefore, this is a non-human subject research that requires no additional ethical approval. Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article and supplementary materials. Data Availability: GWAS summary statistics data used can be found on MR-base resource (v0.5.6) through the ieu open GWAS project at the URL: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/. Code Availability: The source code for the Mendelian randomization analyses is available at github.com/Huang-lab/MR. Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge providers of GWAS summary datasets. The authors thank all members of the Huang lab for constructive discussion. Large language models (LLM) may have been used in the initial drafts of coding and writing of this work. All final codes and texts have been extensively edited and verified by the authors. This work was supported in part through the computational and data resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific Computing and Data at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and supported by the Clinical and Translational Sciences. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the Office of Research Infrastructure of the National Institutes of Health under award number SU0DDW20803 and S100DW20463. The content is codely the prepareitive of the award number of the sufface of the sciency is of Health under award number supported

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

332 333 334		not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work was supported by NIH NIGMS R35GM138113 and ACS RSG-22-115-01-DMC to KH and RF1AG072300 to JMC.
335		Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
336	Referer	nces
337	1.	Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human
338		phenome. Elife 2018;7. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.34408.
339	2.	Shin SY, Fauman EB, Petersen AK, et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. Nat Genet
340		2014;46(6):543-550. DOI: 10.1038/ng.2982.
341	3.	Roederer M, Quaye L, Mangino M, et al. The genetic architecture of the human immune system: a bioresource for
342		autoimmunity and disease pathogenesis. Cell 2015;161(2):387-403. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.046.
343	4.	Kettunen J, Demirkan A, Wurtz P, et al. Genome-wide study for circulating metabolites identifies 62 loci and reveals novel
344		systemic effects of LPA. Nat Commun 2016;7:11122. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms11122.
345	5.	Ruzickova MS, C.
346	Garnhar	n, J., Alda M. Clinical Features of Bipolar Disorder With and WithoutComorbid Diabetes Mellitus. The Canadian Journal of
347	Psychiat	ry 2003;48(7):431-502.
348	6.	Calkin CV, Ruzickova M, Uher R, et al. Insulin resistance and outcome in bipolar disorder. Br J Psychiatry 2015;206(1):52-7.
349		DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.152850.
350	7.	Lojko D, Owecki M, Suwalska A. Impaired Glucose Metabolism in Bipolar Patients: The Role of Psychiatrists in Its
351		Detection and Management. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16(7). DOI: 10.3390/ijerph16071132.
352	8.	Beeghly-Fadiel A, Khankari NK, Delahanty RJ, et al. A Mendelian randomization analysis of circulating lipid traits and
353		breast cancer risk. Int J Epidemiol 2020;49(4):1117-1131. DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyz242.
354	9.	Burgess S, Harshfield E. Mendelian randomization to assess causal effects of blood lipids on coronary heart disease:
355		lessons from the past and applications to the future. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2016;23(2):124-30. DOI:
356		10.1097/MED.0000000000230.
357	10.	Holmes MV, Asselbergs FW, Palmer TM, et al. Mendelian randomization of blood lipids for coronary heart disease. Eur
358		Heart J 2015;36(9):539-50. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht571.
359	11.	Thomas DG, Wei Y, Tall AR. Lipid and metabolic syndrome traits in coronary artery disease: a Mendelian randomization
360		study. J Lipid Res 2021;62:100044. DOI: 10.1194/jlr.P120001000.
361	12.	Larsson SC, Traylor M, Markus HS. Homocysteine and small vessel stroke: A mendelian randomization analysis. Ann
362		Neurol 2019;85(4):495-501. DOI: 10.1002/ana.25440.
363	13.	Lee HS, In S, Park T. The Homocysteine and Metabolic Syndrome: A Mendelian Randomization Study. Nutrients
364		2021;13(7). DOI: 10.3390/nu13072440.
365	14.	Jager S, Cuadrat R, Wittenbecher C, et al. Mendelian Randomization Study on Amino Acid Metabolism Suggests Tyrosine
366		as Causal Trait for Type 2 Diabetes. Nutrients 2020;12(12). DOI: 10.3390/nu12123890.
367	15.	Julkunen H, Cichonska A, Tiainen M, et al. Atlas of plasma NMR biomarkers for health and disease in 118,461 individuals
368		from the UK Biobank. Nat Commun 2023;14(1):604. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-36231-7.
369	16.	Elsworth B, Lyon M, Alexander T, et al. The MRC IEU OpenGWAS data infrastructure. bioRxiv 2020. DOI:
370		10.1101/2020.08.10.244293.
371	17.	Adams CD, Boutwell BB. Using multiple Mendelian randomization approaches and genetic correlations to understand
372		obesity, urate, and gout. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):17799. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-97410-4.

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

- 18. Ference BA. Mendelian randomization studies: using naturally randomized genetic data to fill evidence gaps. Curr Opin 373 Lipidol 2015;26(6):566-71. DOI: 10.1097/MOL.0000000000247. 374 19. 375 Wang S, Zha L, Chen J, et al. The relationship between lipoprotein(a) and risk of cardiovascular disease: a Mendelian randomization analysis. Eur J Med Res 2022;27(1):211. DOI: 10.1186/s40001-022-00825-6. 376 377 20. Richardson TG, Sanderson E, Palmer TM, et al. Evaluating the relationship between circulating lipoprotein lipids and 378 apolipoproteins with risk of coronary heart disease: A multivariable Mendelian randomisation analysis. PLoS Med 2020;17(3):e1003062. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003062. 379 Nordestgaard BG, Langsted A. Lipoprotein (a) as a cause of cardiovascular disease: insights from epidemiology, genetics, 21. 380 and biology. J Lipid Res 2016;57(11):1953-1975. DOI: 10.1194/jlr.R071233. 381 22. Pham K, Mulugeta A, Lumsden A, Hypp Inen E. Genetically instrumented LDL-cholesterol lowering and multiple disease 382 outcomes: A Mendelian randomization phenome-wide association study in the UK Biobank. Br J Clin Pharmacol 383 2023;89(10):2992-3004. DOI: 10.1111/bcp.15793. 384 Peters SA, Singhateh Y, Mackay D, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Total cholesterol as a risk factor for coronary heart disease 385 23. 386 and stroke in women compared with men: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Atherosclerosis 2016;248:123-31. DOI: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2016.03.016. 387
 - 38824.Kim MK, Han K, Kim HS, et al. Cholesterol variability and the risk of mortality, myocardial infarction, and stroke: a389nationwide population-based study. Eur Heart J 2017;38(48):3560-3566. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx585.
 - Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, Aastveit AH, Kolar W, Steiner E. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and
 improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. Lancet
 2001;358(9298):2026-33. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)07098-2.
 - 39326.Park S, Lee S, Kim Y, et al. Mendelian randomization reveals causal effects of kidney function on various biochemical394parameters. Commun Biol 2022;5(1):713. DOI: 10.1038/s42003-022-03659-4.
 - 39527.Levey AS, de Jong PE, Coresh J, et al. The definition, classification, and prognosis of chronic kidney disease: a KDIGO396Controversies Conference report. Kidney Int 2011;80(1):17-28. DOI: 10.1038/ki.2010.483.
 - Mansur RB, Rizzo LB, Santos CM, et al. Impaired glucose metabolism moderates the course of illness in bipolar disorder. J
 Affect Disord 2016;195:57-62. DOI: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.02.002.
 - Vancampfort D, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, et al. Prevalence and predictors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in people with bipolar
 disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry 2015;76(11):1490-9. DOI: 10.4088/JCP.14r09635.
 - 401 30. Liao LZ, Li WD, Liu Y, Li JP, Zhuang XD, Liao XX. Exploring the causal pathway from omega-6 levels to coronary heart
 402 disease: A network Mendelian randomization study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2020;30(2):233-240. DOI:
 403 10.1016/j.numecd.2019.09.013.
 - 40431.Ding Y, Yang S, He M, Fan S, Tao X, Lu W. Lipid Metabolism Traits Mediate the Effect of Psoriasis on Myocardial405Infarction Risk: A Two-Step Mendelian Randomization Study. Metabolites 2023;13(9). DOI: 10.3390/metabol3090976.
 - 40632.Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using407summarized data. Genet Epidemiol 2013;37(7):658-65. DOI: 10.1002/gepi.21758.
 - Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal
 pleiotropy assumption. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46(6):1985-1998. DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyx102.
 - 34. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid
 Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol 2016;40(4):304-14. DOI: 10.1002/gepi.21965.
 - 41235.Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias413detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol 2015;44(2):512-25. DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyv080.

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, x FOR PEER REVIEW

- Smith CJ, Sinnott-Armstrong N, Cichonska A, et al. Integrative analysis of metabolite GWAS illuminates the molecular
 basis of pleiotropy and genetic correlation. Elife 2022;11. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.79348.
- Sun T, Chen Q, Li Y. Associations of serum cystatin C with depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation in major
 depressive disorder. BMC Psychiatry 2021;21(1):576. DOI: 10.1186/s12888-021-03509-3.
- 38. Dastych M, Synek O, Gottwaldova J. Impact of Long-Term Lithium Treatment on Renal Function in Patients With Bipolar
 Disorder Based on Novel Biomarkers. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2019;39(3):238-242. DOI: 10.1097/JCP.00000000001030.
- 39. Campbell IH, Campbell H, Smith DJ. Insulin signaling as a therapeutic mechanism of lithium in bipolar disorder. Transl
 Psychiatry 2022;12(1):350. DOI: 10.1038/s41398-022-02122-6.
- 422 40. Gabbouj S, Ryhänen S, Marttinen M, et al. Altered Insulin Signaling in Alzheimer's Disease Brain Special Emphasis on
 423 PI3K-Akt Pathway. Frontiers in Neuroscience 2019;13 (Mini Review) (In English). DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00629.
- 424 41. Moorhead TWJ, McKirdy J, Sussmann JED, et al. Progressive Gray Matter Loss in Patients with Bipolar Disorder.
 425 Biological Psychiatry 2007;62(8):894-900. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.03.005</u>.
- 426 42. Huang J, Tao Q, Ang TFA, et al. The impact of increasing levels of blood C-reactive protein on the inflammatory loci SPI1
 427 and CD33 in Alzheimer's disease. Transl Psychiatry 2022;12(1):523. DOI: 10.1038/s41398-022-02281-6.
- 43. Hanlon P, Jani BD, Nicholl B, Lewsey J, McAllister DA, Mair FS. Associations between multimorbidity and adverse health
 outcomes in UK Biobank and the SAIL Databank: A comparison of longitudinal cohort studies. PLoS Med
 2022;19(3):e1003931. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003931.
- 431 44. Brayne C, Moffitt TE. The limitations of large-scale volunteer databases to address inequalities and global challenges in
 432 health and aging. Nat Aging 2022;2(9):775-783. DOI: 10.1038/s43587-022-00277-x.
- 433 45. Glynn RJ. Promises and limitations of mendelian randomization for evaluation of biomarkers. Clin Chem 434 2010;56(3):388-90. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2009.142513.
- 435 46. Haycock PC, Burgess S, Wade KH, Bowden J, Relton C, Davey Smith G. Best (but oft-forgotten) practices: the design,
 436 analysis, and interpretation of Mendelian randomization studies. Am J Clin Nutr 2016;103(4):965-78. DOI:
 437 10.3945/ajcn.115.118216.
- 438 47. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations. Int J Epidemiol 2004;33(1):30-42.
 439 DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyh132.

440 Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual 441 author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury 442 to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Urate	△ △ ▼	△		△ ▼ ▼ △ ▼					• ▽ △ ▲ △				* *	•	
medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.or (which was not bendhed by pee	o g/10,11(review dt is	01/20 /) is t made	24. <u>0</u> 6.30.24 he author/fu available u	△ 309729; this v nder, who has nder a CCABY	ersion posted granted med 4.0 Internatio	July 1, 20 Rxiv a lice nal licens)24. The inse to c	e copyric display th	the preprint in	his prepri perpetuity	nt			۵	
Total protein	▼ ▼ ⊽	▽ ▽	• • •	▲ ▽ △ ▽ △	▽ ▼	⊽ ⊽ ⊽ ▲ ⊽	, <u>2</u>	× △ △ △	•	▼ △ ∠ ▼ ∠	7 ^ 7 ^ 2	7 7 ⊽ 7 ⊽	• • • •	▲	
Lipoprotein A	▲ ▼ ▽	▽ ▽		⊽		▼ ▼ ⊽ ▼ ▼		7 ⊽ 7 ⊽ 7 ⊽							
LDL direct	▼ △ △	⊽ ▼		▼ △ △ ▼ △			4	, ∆ , ∧ , ∧	▲ ▼ △	▼ 7 ▼ 4					Method Inverse variance weighted
IGF–1	▼ ▼ ▼	⊽ ▼		▽ ▽ ▽ ▽ ▼	▼ ▼ ▼ △ ▼	V V V A V V V A V V V V V A V V A A A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	 MR Egger Simple mode Weighted median 								
Glucose	▼ △ ▼	△ △						Δ Δ Δ 7 Δ	Weighted mode	Weighted mode Effect Direction					
Gamma glutamyltransferase		∆ ∆		▼ ▼	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 ✓ – △ + 									
Cystatin C	∧ ▼ ▼	△	↓ ↓ ↓			v A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	–log10(p–value)								
Creatinine	▽ ▽ ▽	⊽ ▲				▼ ▽ ⊽ ▽ ▼		× ⊽ 2 ⊽		7 7 7 4	7 5	,	• 	•	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta & 1 \\ \Delta & 5 \\ \Delta & \mathbf{>} 10 \end{array}$
Cholesterol	▼ △ △	▼ ▼						, △ ▽ 7 ▽	▲ △ ▼ ▲					▼ ▽	
C-reactive protein		∆ ⊽	▼ ▼	▼	▼ ▼ ▼ • ▼			× ▼ ▽ × ▼			7 Å	, 7 ▼ ▼ ▽	• •	۵	
Apoliprotein B	▼ △ ▲	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					7 △ ▽ △ ▲		▼ 7 ▼ 7			•		
Alanine aminotransferase	△ ▼ ▽			▼ ▲ △ △ ▲				\ \ \	▲ ▼ ▼ △				▲ ▼		
	Althoimor's discosed		Bipolar disorder	Chronic kidney disease	Coronary heart disease	Coronary heart disease (a8)		Gout	Inflammatory bowel disease	Liver cell carcinoma		Myocardial infarction		Schizophrenia	

Urate || Gout Triglycerides || Coronary heart disease (a8) Lipoprotein A || Myocardial infarction Lipoprotein A || Coronary heart disease LDL direct || Myocardial infarction LDL direct || Coronary heart disease (a8) LDL direct || Coronary heart disease Glucose || Bipolar disorder Cystatin C || Bipolar disorder Creatinine || Chronic kidney disease Cholesterol || Myocardial infarction Cholesterol || Coronary heart disease (a8) Cholesterol || Coronary heart disease Apoliprotein B || Myocardial infarction Apoliprotein B || Coronary heart disease (a8)

Apoliprotein B || Coronary heart disease



Exposure || Outcome