1	Diverging Patterns of Cognitive Decline by Sex and Race-Ethnicity
2	in Seriously Ill Older Americans
3	Iván Mejía-Guevara, PhD ^{1,2} , and V.S. Periyakoil, MD ^{1,2}
4	¹ Department of Medicine/Primary Care and Population Health, Stanford University School of
5	Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States; ² Longevity, Equity, and Aging Research Consortium
6	(LEARN), Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, United States
7	Main text word count: 4079; No. of References: 46; No. of data elements: 4 (2 Figures, 2 Tables)
8	Abstract
9	Objectives Differences in Cognitive decline are common in older adults in the last years of life,
10	but differences across sex and race-ethnicity are poorly understood. This study investigated if sex
11	and/or race-ethnicity moderated changes in cognitive function in older adults in the last years of
12	life.
13	Methods Data from the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) from 1993 to 2016 were used to
14	analyze imputed cognition summary scores for total word recall and mental status of older adults
15	aged 60-99. Loss of cognitive function was estimated using a multilevel mixed-effects model and
16	accelerated cognitive decline was approximated by incorporating a change-point model using a
17	restricted sample of decedent respondents who died aged 65-99.
18	Results Notable disparities were seen in the rates of cognitive decline across sex and race-ethnic
19	groups in the last years of life. Women consistently scored lower than men in word recall but
20	higher in mental status, regardless of race-ethnicity. Non-Hispanic White respondents, men and
21	women, consistently outperformed Hispanic and Black respondents in word recall tasks and
22	mental status.
23	Conclusions Our study shows that sex and race-ethnicity moderate cognitive decline in older
24	adults during the last years of life. Older adults from underserved communities are at higher risk
25	of cognitive decline. Our study could inform clinical practice and policy focused on mitigating
26	the adverse impact of cognitive decline experienced by marginalized populations of older adults
27	in the last years of life.
28	KEYWORDS: word recall, mental status, survivors, decedents, cognitive decline, age patterns
29	

30 Introduction

31 The impact of aging on cognition is clear, but sex differences in cognitive changes over time 32 in older adults are unclear (Miller & Halpern, 2014). Older women may have higher cognitive 33 reserve but faster cognitive decline than older men (Levine et al., 2021). Older women also 34 perform better than men in most standardized cognitive tests, except for spatial ability 35 (McCarrey, 2016; Miller & Halpern, 2014). In addition, mixed results have been found regarding 36 cognitive decline by race among older adults without dementia, some reporting no race 37 differences in cognitive decline (Castora-Binkley et al., 2015; Karlamangla et al., 2009). Race 38 and ethnic differences in cognitive decline are known, with Black Americans and Hispanic or 39 Latino individuals showing a higher incidence of dementia than non-Hispanic White (NHW) 40 populations (Mehta & Yeo, 2017). A recent study has identified significant race and ethnic 41 differences in mild cognitive impairment and dementia, with Hispanic or Latino groups showing 42 higher risk than NHW and Black or African American groups (Wright et al., 2021), although 43 cognitive impairment data on Hispanic or Latino individuals are more limited. 44 Little is known about sex and race-ethnic combined differences in seriously ill older adults in the last years of life. There is a well-established survival advantage of females relative 45 46 to males, and mortality disparities across racial and ethnic groups in old age (Crimmins, 2018).

Knowledge about cognitive patterns in the last years of life will serve as a critical piece of dataas we seek to mitigate late-life disparities in seriously ill older adults.

49 Dementia in older adults strongly predicts death, there is a competing risk of death that may 50 affect the accuracy of estimates of cognitive function and decline (Hayes-Larson et al., 2020). 51 Selective survival has been described as a phenomenon wherein individuals with specific 52 characteristics disproportionally survive to old age while those characteristics are also associated

with dementia risk (Hayes-Larson et al., 2020; Shaw et al., 2021a). It is also known that cognition declines in the last years of life (Riegel & Riegel, 1972; Wilson et al., 2003). In this study, we sought to explore the relationships between cognitive decline and its impact (if any) on mean age trajectories of cognitive function by sex and race-ethnicity using a national sample of older survivors and decedents.

58 Method

59 Study design and participants

60 The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a comprehensive, nationally representative

61 longitudinal survey encompassing over 43,000 Americans aged 50 and older (HRS, 2022). Its

62 primary objective is to monitor changes in the health, wealth, social structure, and functional

63 abilities of its participants as they age.

64 For this study, we retrieved information on demographics, cognitive function scores, and

mortality status for respondents aged 50 and older from eleven survey years (biennially 1996-

66 2016) of the latest version of the RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2020 (v1, March 2023), which

67 contains information from Core and Exit Interviews of the HRS, and revised cognitive scores

during this period (Health and Retirement Study, 2023; RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2020 (V1),

69 2023). Our analysis focused on alive and decedent respondents aged 65-99, with decedents dying

70 between the ages of 65-99 years in three self-reported racial and ethnic groups: Hispanic or

71 Latino, non-Hispanic White, and non-Hispanic Black or African American (hereafter Hispanic,

72 White, and Black, respectively). Mortality status was ascertained based on an interview status

variable indicating whether the respondent had passed away between the last and the current

74 interview or before a previous wave (Health and Retirement Study, 2023). Since our analysis

focused on respondents aged 65-99 at the time of measurement, disregarding observations from

76	individuals younger or older than this age range, the total number of respondents between 1996
77	and 2016 was 113,139 participants, 46,844 survivors, and 66,295 deceased, after these
78	exclusions (Table 1).
79	Ethics Review
80	This study relied on publicly available data and no review process was needed.
81	Outcomes
82	We considered two primary outcomes in this paper: (1) a total word summary score (TR20,
83	range 0-20), calculated as the sum of immediate and delayed word recall scores; and (2) a mental
84	status summary score (MSTOT, range 0-15), derived from a series of tests that evaluated
85	knowledge, that included serial 7's, backwards counting, date naming, object naming, and
86	naming the President and Vice President of the United States (see Appendix for details of the
87	cognitive tests). All these scores were retrieved from the HRS imputations of cognitive
88	functioning (McCammon et al., 2022).
89	Mortality and cognitive decline in the last phase of life
90	To investigate the relation of death to changes in cognitive function, we included mortality status
91	to account for the impact of mortality on decedents' outcomes to construct age trajectories of
92	cognition. Further, our goal was to investigate the influence (if any) of approaching mortality on
93	cognition (Wilson et al., 2003). Specifically, we built on previous research to estimate the onset
94	time of cognitive decline in the last phase of life relative to the time of death and the rates of
95	cognitive decline and cognitive scores during two distinct phases, a phase of cognitive decline
96	and a phase of accelerated cognitive decline, demarcated by this initial timing (Sliwinski et al.,
97	2006; Wilson et al., 2018, 2020). For this latter analysis, we restricted the analytic sample to all
98	decedent respondents who died between 1996 and 2016, aged between 65 and 99. Additionally,

99 we stratified the sample by sex and race-ethnicity to examine potential sub-group variations of 100 cognitive decline before death. We used the term sex here because in HRS, sex and gender are 101 used interchangeable (Hanes & Clouston, 2021).

102 Non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors

103 We account for non-modifiable risk factors, including sex, age, race-ethnicity, and years of

- school, as self-reported by participants (Bloomberg et al., 2021). We also considered the APOE
- 105 isoform, directly genotyped when available and imputed otherwise, which has three major
- 106 isoforms ($\Box 2$, $\Box 3$, and $\Box 4$), determined by two SNPs, rs7412 and rs429358. We inferred the
- 107 APOE isoform based on the combination of these major isoforms and categorized it into six

108 pairs: $\Box 2/\Box 2$, $\Box 2/\Box 3$, $\Box 2/\Box 4$, $\Box 3/\Box 3$, $\Box 3/\Box 4$, and $\Box 4/\Box 4$ (Faul et al., 2021). We recoded the

109 APOE isoform as a binary factor, where respondents with one or two copies of the $\Box 4$ allele

110 $(\Box 2/\Box 4, \Box 3/\Box 4, \Box 4/\Box 4)$ were assigned a value of one, while those without the $\Box 4$ allele

111 $(\Box 2/\Box 2, \Box 2/\Box 3, \Box 3/\Box 3)$ were assigned a value of zero. We referred to this resulting variable as

112 APOE-4, which has been significantly associated with Alzheimer's disease and dementia in

113 various studies (Safieh et al., 2019; Tang et al., 1998).

114 Modifiable factors, such as alcohol consumption (measured by the number of drinks per week),

115 current smoking status, and body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by

116 height in meters squared), and chronic diseases (diabetes, high blood pressure, heart disease, and

117 stroke, using indicators that stipulated whether a doctor had ever diagnosed the respondent with

any of these conditions) were included in the analysis (Health and Retirement Study, 2022;

119 RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2018 (V2), 2022).

120 Statistical Analysis

To assess changes in cognitive function/decline across ages before death, we utilized a mixedeffects change point model (see Appendix A in the Supplementary Material for a detailed analytic description of the model) (Hall et al., 2000; Karr et al., 2018; Sliwinski et al., 2006; Walter et al., 2016). This model combines elements from mixed-effects and change-point models to capture both fixed and random effects effectively. It aims to identify potential shifts in cognitive function at two different stages relative to the end of life, including the phase when cognitive decline accelerates before death.

128 Mixed-Effect Model: age trajectories of cognitive decline

129 To build age trajectories of cognition, we build up this model hierarchically, first estimating an 130 intercept and age slope of cognition for each individual and then estimating the determinants of 131 the person-specific intercepts and age coefficients (Karr et al., 2018; Walter et al., 2016). In our 132 first model (equation 1 in Appendix A), we consider all survivors and decedents, ignoring the 133 potential impact of accelerated cognitive decline before death; that is, we assume cognitive 134 decline only depends on the chronological age, regardless of the time of death, where the onset 135 time of the last phase relative to the time of death (represented by τ along the article) is equal to 136 zero (see equation 1 in Appendix A with $\tau=0$). In this model, we accounted for the age of each 137 respondent over time, and for all covariates described in the previous section (except sex and 138 race-ethnicity), including person-level variables (education, APOE) and those that can change 139 with age for every individual (risk factors and health conditions). We included death status as an 140 explanatory factor to account for the impact of mortality on the outcome of decedents in our 141 model. The influence of sex and race-ethnicity is modelled by specifying individual intercepts 142 and age slopes in the model (see equations 2-3 in Appendix A).

143 Change Point Model: cognitive decline acceleration in the last phase of life

144 To evaluate the potential acceleration of cognitive decline preceding death, we restricted the 145 sample to all decedent respondents, and we relied on the change point model specification of 146 equation 1 (Appendix A), which provides an adequate index of time to death, as opposed to 147 traditional approaches that use the chronological age or time from birth (Sliwinski et al., 2006; 148 Wilson et al., 2020). Therefore, the first model (see equation 1 in Appendix A, with $\tau \neq 0$) 149 represents two change processes: a decline phase and a phase of accelerated variation. The 150 decline phase uses chronological age to index normative age-related processes. In contrast, the 151 accelerated phase represents two effects, one capturing normative age effects and those related to 152 the progressive pathologies that lead to death (Sliwinski et al., 2006). To estimate the influence 153 of age, sex, and race-ethnic differences in cognitive function before death during the decline and 154 accelerated phases, we continued using the models specified in equations 1-3 (Appendix A).

Further details about the statistical model and estimation process are in Appendix A. All analyses
were performed using ®Stata/SE 16.1 for Mac (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

157 Sensitivity Analysis

158 We conducted two sensitivity analyses. First, we replicated the statistical analysis by 159 incorporating respondents with the APOE-4 variant into the change point model (equations 1-3) 160 to assess differences in the rate of cognitive decline during the early and accelerated phases. 161 Second, to evaluate the robustness and generalizability of our model, we employed a 10-fold 162 cross-validation approach. This involved replicating the original sex and race-ethnic stratified 163 analyses using the change point model to estimate rates of cognition change during the early and 164 accelerated phases. This method relies on out-of-sample predictions to assess the consistency of 165 coverage across validation sets, providing evidence of the stability and generalizability of the 166 model. Further details of this procedure are provided in Appendix A of the Supplementary

7

167 Material.

168 **RESULTS**

169 **Descriptive data**

170 We collected data on total word recall and mental status from the RAND-HRS biennial dataset

- 171 from 1996 to 2016 (waves 3-13) after excluding waves 1 and 2, due to a different approach used
- in constructing the summary scores, and waves 14 and 15 due to the lack of updated reports on
- these specific indicators, which are under current revision in the latest version of RAND-HRS
- 174 (Health and Retirement Study, 2023). About 58% of respondents were women, 88.2% of total
- 175 respondents were White, 7.8% were Black, and 4.0% were Hispanic (**Table 1**).
- 176 During the study period, the median number of cognitive reports per respondent was 6
- 177 (interquartile range (IQR): 4-8). The mean word recall score across all waves was 10.1 (standard
- deviation [SD] = 3.2) for survivors and 8.2 (SD = 3.7) for decedents, while the mean mental
- 179 status score for survivors was 13.1 (SD = 2.1) and 12.3 (SD = 2.8) for decedents. The median
- age across all mental status reports was 71 (IQR: 68-76) for survivors and 76 (71-82) for

181 decedents (**Table 1**).

182

[Table 1 about here]

183 Regarding risk factors, decedents were more likely than survivors to be less educated, and

184 exhibit higher prevalence of modifiable risk factors, including smoking, higher blood pressure,

185 heart disease, stroke, cancer, and depression (**Table 1**)

186 **Functional cognitive decline: age trajectories by sex and race-ethnicity**

- 187 Figure 1 depicts the relationship between age and cognitive function, with higher scores
- 188 indicating better performance. The results show that cognitive function significantly declines
- 189 with age. Irrespective of race and ethnicity, women outperformed men in word recall at all ages,

190 while men scored higher than women in total mental status. Additionally, there were significant 191 differences in cognition across ages among different racial and ethnic groups. Regardless of sex, 192 White respondents exhibited higher word recall and mental status than Hispanic and Black 193 respondents of all ages. Although Hispanic respondents had higher scores than Black 194 respondents, the gap between the scores of White and Hispanic groups was more significant than 195 those between Hispanic and Black respondents, even for men's total mental status, where the gap 196 between Hispanic and Black respondents was the highest (Figure 1). Figure S1 (in Appendix B 197 in the Online Supplementary file) displays the associations by sex and race-ethnicity separately, 198 confirming that women's total word recall was higher than men's, while men's mental status was 199 higher than women's at all ages. In addition, it also confirms racial-ethnic differences in both 200 scores. All these results were obtained after controlling for explanatory factors, the risk of 201 mortality, and the APOE-4 variant.

202

[Figure 1 about here]

203 Early and accelerated stages of cognitive decline: sex and race-ethnic differences

204 Since the HRS does not provide the dementia status of all respondents in the sample, which 205 could significantly bias the results of cognitive function/decline, we excluded respondents with 206 the APOE-4 variant, as this gene variant has been highly associated with dementia in the 207 literature. Table 2 presents the results of these models, including estimated rates during the early 208 and accelerated phases of cognitive decline in stratified models by sex and race-ethnicity of 209 deceased respondents. The table presents estimates of the onset time of the accelerated phase 210 relative to the time of death (τ) for individuals without the APOE-4 variant. On average, the 211 onset time of accelerated decline for word recall was 10 years for all race-ethnic groups, except 212 for Hispanic women and White men whose rate was 9 years. During the early phase, the

213 cognitive decline rates were significantly negative for both scores and all race-ethnic groups. 214 However, these rates accelerated for all groups after the onset or during the accelerated phase, 215 resulting in a total negative effect. For instance, White and Black women experienced around a 216 20% decline in total word recall during the early phase, which increased to 36% decline for 217 White women and 31% for Black women during the accelerated phase. In relative terms, the 218 annual rate of accelerated decline (ARAD = accelerated decline rate/ τ) during the latter phase for 219 White women was 3.6%, the highest rate observed among all race-ethnic and gender groups. 220 Regarding mental status in women, Black women had the highest ARAD at 3.0%, with an 221 additional cognitive decline of 27% attributable to accelerated phase, while the respective 222 ARADs for White and Hispanic women were 2.8% and 2.2%. When combining women's and 223 men's decline rates, White respondents exhibited more significant rates of cognitive decline 224 during the accelerated phase for word recall, but closely followed by Black respondents in the 225 case of mental status (Table 2).

226

[Table 2 about here]

227 Figure 2 illustrates the predicted mean word recall and mental status scores around age 75 228 during the early and accelerated phases. For both scores and two phases, White women's and 229 men's scores outperformed their Hispanic and Black counterparts. In addition, word recall 230 remained high for women than men for all race-ethnic groups during the early and accelerated 231 phases, while men outperformed women in total mental status during both phases as well. All 232 these differences were significant for white groups while less precise for minoritized groups in 233 some cases, perhaps due to the much larger sample of white respondents in the HRS study.

234

[Figure 2 about here]

235 Sensitivity analysis

236 For the sensitivity analysis, we included deceased participants with the APOE-4 variant and 237 replicated the results from Table 2 and Figure 2 using validation samples. Upon inclusion of 238 respondents with the APOE-4 variant, certain groups exhibited minor variations in the τ value 239 and rates of early and accelerated cognitive decline, although to a lesser extent regarding mental 240 status (Table S1). This outcome was anticipated as we intentionally included individuals with a 241 higher likelihood of cognitive impairment, given the strong relationship between APOE-4 and 242 dementia. However, the predicted values for mental scores at age 75, stratified by race-ethnicity 243 and gender groups (Figure S2), remained largely unchanged compared to those presented in 244 Figure 2. The results were also consistent at all ages, with those obtained in the original analysis, 245 as reported in Table S2 in Appendix B of the Supplementary file. 246 The sensitivity analysis conducted after applying 10-fold cross-validation to replicate the results 247 shown in Figure 1 consistently confirmed the differences in cognitive function and rates of 248 decline among different race-ethnic and gender groups, as initially predicted in the original

analysis. These findings are depicted in Figure S3 and Table S2.

250 **DISCUSSION**

251 This study has three salient findings. First, it shows sex and race-ethnic significant differences in 252 cognitive function among older U.S. individuals. Irrespective of race-ethnicity, women perform 253 better in word recall, while men exhibit higher mental status scores. Regardless of sex, Black 254 respondents had lower performance in both word recall and mental status than Hispanic and 255 White respondents. Second, we identified minor sex and race-ethnic differences in the estimated 256 onset time of accelerated cognitive decline before death, but more significant differences in the 257 rates of cognitive decline during both the early and accelerated phases. Third, we found 258 compelling evidence that sex differences persist until the end of life, with women outperforming

259 men in word recall and men surpassing women in mental status in both the early and accelerated260 phases of cognitive decline.

261 Our findings align with previous research indicating that women have lower performance levels 262 in active skills like spatial and numerical ability, whereas men have lower levels in passive 263 activities, such as verbal meaning, word fluency, and inductive reasoning (B. Bosworth, 1999; 264 Levine et al., 2021; McCarrey, 2016). Our findings were obtained after controlling for non-265 modifiable and modifiable risk factors, including educational level, race, vascular risk factors, 266 health conditions associated with increased risk of cognitive decline and dementia, mortality 267 status, and APOE-4 gene variants (Bloomberg et al., 2021; Levine et al., 2020, 2021; Miller & 268 Halpern, 2014; Takeda et al., 2020). Additionally, these findings also align with previous 269 research indicating that African American and Hispanic residents are more likely to experience 270 cognitive decline compared to non-Hispanic White residents, highlighting the presence of 271 significant decline differences across race-ethnic groups. Black and Hispanic groups bear a 272 higher burden of chronic conditions and adverse social determinants of health, which predict 273 worse cognitive outcomes, including Subjective Cognitive Decline (SCD), SCD-associated 274 functional limitations, cognitive function, or dementia incidence (Díaz-Venegas et al., 2016; 275 Gupta, 2021; Kornblith et al., 2022; Wooten, 2023). Our results further contribute to this 276 evidence by showing that racial-ethnic disparities persist irrespective of sex, although the 277 differences in mental status scores between Hispanic and Black women are significantly less 278 pronounced, with scores consistently lower than those of NHW women across all age groups. 279 Our results also confirm previous findings that cognitive decline accelerates in the last years of 280 life (MacDonald et al., 2011; Riegel & Riegel, 1972; Sliwinski et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2003, 281 2020). The decline rates are more significant among women maybe because of their higher

12

282 longevity and prevalence of Alzheimer's disease than men (Karlamangla et al., 2009; Levine et 283 al., 2021; Shaw et al., 2021b; Alzheimer's Association, 2023). Differences across race-ethnic 284 groups varied depending on sex, outcome, and phase, but irrespective of sex, NHW respondents 285 exhibited more significant rates of cognitive decline in the last years of life (Karlamangla et al., 286 2009; Weuve et al., 2018). Finally, the sensitivity analysis of including individuals with the 287 APOE-4 variant had a minor impact on the results on cognitive decline rates and the onset time 288 of accelerated cognitive decline among minoritized groups, and it did not change the main 289 conclusions regarding average cognitive differences and the evidence of accelerated cognitive 290 decline among all demographic groups. 291 Much has been written about Medicare expenses in the last phase of life, with estimates ranging 292 from 13% to 25% during the last year, contingent on various methodologies and assumptions 293 (Aldridge & Kelley, 2015; Duncan et al., 2019; Riley & Lubitz, 2010). Given the rising 294 proportion of older adult individuals due to population aging, and particularly the increasing 295 representation of underrepresented populations in population projections, (Colby & Ortman, 296 2015) healthcare spending has emerged as a critical concern for the sustainability of the 297 healthcare system. Our work shows all respondents undergo cognitive decline and especially 298 during the final phase of life, imposing an additional burden on healthcare systems that may not 299 be adequately prepared to handle the anticipated surge in demand for care and medical expenses. 300 For patients to get goal-concordant care, the Advanced Care Planning (ACP) process should start 301 much earlier. Especially for racial-ethnic minoritized groups, who exhibited lower cognitive 302 levels compared to NHW residents during the early and accelerated phases of cognitive decline. 303 We need to implement culturally respectful systems of care to support older adults from diverse 304 communities age and die at home despite cognitive decline that will happen in the last phase of

13

305 life.

306 This article relied on HRS data, a longitudinal nationally representative study that provides 307 objective measures of cognitive function. Our HRS data contained a large sample of repeated 308 measures during 20 years of follow-up that allowed us to build statistically robust trajectories of 309 cognitive function for both women and men and racial-ethnic survivors and decedents. We used 310 a multilevel approach that accounted for within and between individual cognitive variation. To 311 estimate the onset time of accelerated cognitive decline, we utilized a change-point model to 312 investigate the relationship between cognitive decline and the timing of death. Our analysis 313 included the mortality status and restricted the sample to individuals without the APOE-4 variant 314 to account for the influence of mortality on the outcome of decedents and selective survival. The 315 results and conclusions remained unchanged when we tested the performance and generalization 316 ability of our model using a well-established technique in statistics and machine learning for out-317 of-sample prediction.

318 **Limitations**

319 There are some limitations in this article that should be noted: 1) since HRS data is reported 320 biennially and we grouped age in 5-year bins to improve the statistical power of our estimates, 321 perhaps resulted in less detailed trajectories of cognitive decline and the onset time of the 322 accelerated decline phase; 2) the sex and race-ethnic differences and age trajectories in cognition 323 were primarily based on word recall and mental status scores; therefore, these findings might not 324 necessarily apply to other cognitive or dementia outcomes, which could exhibit different 325 patterns; 3) although our statistical models were robust and convergence was not an issue, it is 326 worth mentioning that the sample sizes for Hispanic and Black respondents were substantially 327 smaller compared to those for NHW respondents, and this was reflected in the precision of our

estimates for underrepresented groups; and 4) although we made efforts to incorporate mortality
status into the analysis and conducted sensitivity checks, there may still be persistent effects on
sex and race-ethnic disparities due to the competing risk of death and selective survival (Shaw et
al., 2021b; Tan et al., 2021).

332 CONCLUSION

333 This paper shows gender and race-ethnic differences in cognitive decline across ages, with 334 women performing better than men in word recall but men better than women in mental status, 335 irrespective of race-ethnicity. In contrast, Black respondents, irrespective of sex, generally 336 demonstrated lower performance in both word recall and mental status compared to Hispanic and 337 White respondents. Moreover, the study presents robust evidence of accelerated cognitive 338 decline, wherein cognition rates decreased at an accelerated pace in the years leading up to death. 339 The onset time, rates of decline, and levels of cognitive function differ not only between men and 340 women but also among different racial-ethnic groups. This research has potential clinical and 341 policy implications. It can help identify and address biases or discriminatory practices, allocate 342 resources effectively to areas or communities in greatest need, and facilitate the development of 343 evidence-based interventions to reduce disparities and improve end-of-life care for individuals of 344 all backgrounds. However, to better understand the underlying causes of these observed 345 differences in cognitive decline before death, further research is warranted.

346

347

348 **REFERENCES**

- 349 Aldridge, M. D., & Kelley, A. S. (2015). The Myth Regarding the High Cost of End-of-Life
- 350 Care. American Journal of Public Health, 105(12), 2411–2415.
- 351 https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302889
- 352 Alzheimer's Association. (2023). 2023 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures. 19(4),
- 353 Alzheimers Dement. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13016.
- B. Bosworth, K. W. S., Hayden. (1999). Survival Effects in Cognitive Function, Cognitive Style,
- 355 and Sociodemographic Variables in the Seattle Longitudinal Study. *Experimental Aging*
- 356 *Research*, 25(2), 121–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/036107399244057
- Bloomberg, M., Dugravot, A., Dumurgier, J., Kivimaki, M., Fayosse, A., Steptoe, A., Britton,
- A., Singh-Manoux, A., & Sabia, S. (2021). Sex differences and the role of education in
- 359 cognitive ageing: Analysis of two UK-based prospective cohort studies. *The Lancet*
- 360 *Public Health*, 6(2), e106–e115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30258-9
- 361 Castora-Binkley, M., Peronto, C. L., Edwards, J. D., & Small, B. J. (2015). A longitudinal
- analysis of the influence of race on cognitive performance. *The Journals of Gerontology*.
- 363 *Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 70(4), 512–518.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbt112
- 365 Colby, S. L., & Ortman, J. M. (2015). Projections of the Size and Composition of the U.S: 2014-
- 366 2060 (Current Population Reports P25-1143). U.S. Census Bureau.
- 367 https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2015/demo/p25-1143.html
- 368 Crimmins, E. (2018). Trends in Mortality, Disease, and Physiological Status in the Older
- 369 Population. In Future Directions for the Demography of Aging: Proceedings of a

- Workshop (Mark D. Hayward and Malay K. Majmundar). The National Academies Press.
 https://doi.org/10.17226/25064.
- 372 Díaz-Venegas, C., Downer, B., Langa, K. M., & Wong, R. (2016). Racial and ethnic differences
- in cognitive function among older adults in the USA. *International Journal of Geriatric*
- 374 *Psychiatry*, *31*(9), 1004–1012. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4410
- 375 Duncan, I., Ahmed, T., Dove, H., & Maxwell, T. L. (2019). Medicare Cost at End of Life.
- 376 *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine*, *36*(8), 705–710.
- 377 https://doi.org/10.1177/1049909119836204
- 378 Faul, J., Collins, S., Smith, J., Zhao, W., Kardia, S., & Weir, D. (2021). APOE and Serotonin
- 379 *Transporter Alleles Early release*. Survey Research Center, Institute for Social
- Research, University of Michigan. https://hrsdata.isr.umich.edu/data-products/apoe-and serotonin-transporter-alleles
- 382 Gupta, S. (2021). Racial and ethnic disparities in subjective cognitive decline: A closer look,
- 383 United States, 2015–2018. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1173.
- 384 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11068-1
- 385 Hall, C. B., Lipton, R. B., Sliwinski, M., & Stewart, W. F. (2000). A change point model for
- 386 estimating the onset of cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Statistics in*
- 387 *Medicine*, 19(11–12), 1555–1566. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
- 388 0258(20000615/30)19:11/12<1555::AID-SIM445>3.0.CO;2-3
- 389 Hanes, D. W., & Clouston, S. A. P. (2021). Ask Again: Including Gender Identity in
- 390 Longitudinal Studies of Aging. *The Gerontologist*, *61*(5), 640–649.
- 391 https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnaa107

- 392 Hayes-Larson, E., Ackley, S. F., Zimmerman, S. C., Ospina-Romero, M., Glymour, M. M.,
- 393 Graff, R. E., Witte, J. S., Kobayashi, L. C., & Mayeda, E. R. (2020). The competing risk
- 394 of death and selective survival cannot fully explain the inverse cancer-dementia
- 395 association. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *16*(12), 1696–1703.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12168
- 397 Health and Retirement Study. (2022). (RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2018 (V2)) public use
- 398 dataset. Produced and distributed by the University of Michigan with funding from the
 399 National Institute on Aging (grant number NIA U01AG009740).
- 400 Health and Retirement Study. (2023). (RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2020 (V1)) public use
- 401 *dataset. Produced and distributed by the University of Michigan with funding from the*402 *National Institute on Aging (grant number NIA U01AG009740).*
- 403 HRS. (2022). Health and Retirement Study 2020 Tracker Early. Data Description and Usage.
- 404 Karlamangla, A. S., Miller-Martinez, D., Aneshensel, C. S., Seeman, T. E., Wight, R. G., &
- 405 Chodosh, J. (2009). Trajectories of Cognitive Function in Late Life in the United States:
- 406 Demographic and Socioeconomic Predictors. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 170(3),
- 407 331–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp154
- 408 Karr, J. E., Graham, R. B., Hofer, S. M., & Muniz-Terrera, G. (2018). When does cognitive

409 decline begin? A systematic review of change point studies on accelerated decline in

- 410 cognitive and neurological outcomes preceding mild cognitive impairment, dementia, and
- 411 death. *Psychology and Aging*, *33*, 195–218. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000236
- 412 Kornblith, E., Bahorik, A., Boscardin, W. J., Xia, F., Barnes, D. E., & Yaffe, K. (2022).
- 413 Association of Race and Ethnicity With Incidence of Dementia Among Older Adults.
- 414 *JAMA*, 327(15), 1488–1495. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.3550

415	Levine, D. A.,	Gross, A. L.	Briceño, E. N	I., Tilton, N.	., Giordani,	B. J.,	Sussman	, J. B.	Haywar	d.
				.,,					,,	

- 416 R. A., Burke, J. F., Hingtgen, S., Elkind, M. S. V., Manly, J. J., Gottesman, R. F., Gaskin,
- 417 D. J., Sidney, S., Sacco, R. L., Tom, S. E., Wright, C. B., Yaffe, K., & Galecki, A. T.
- 418 (2021). Sex Differences in Cognitive Decline Among US Adults. JAMA Network Open,
- 419 4(2), e210169. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0169
- 420 Levine, D. A., Gross, A. L., Briceño, E. M., Tilton, N., Kabeto, M. U., Hingtgen, S. M.,
- 421 Giordani, B. J., Sussman, J. B., Hayward, R. A., Burke, J. F., Elkind, M. S. V., Manly, J.
- 422 J., Moran, A. E., Kulick, E. R., Gottesman, R. F., Walker, K. A., Yano, Y., Gaskin, D. J.,
- 423 Sidney, S., ... Galecki, A. T. (2020). Association Between Blood Pressure and Later-Life
- 424 Cognition Among Black and White Individuals. *JAMA Neurology*, 77(7), 810–819.

425 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.0568

426 MacDonald, S. W. S., Hultsch, D. F., & Dixon, R. A. (2011). Aging and the Shape of Cognitive

427 Change Before Death: Terminal Decline Or Terminal Drop? *The Journals of*

428 *Gerontology: Series B*, 66B(3), 292–301. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbr001

- 429 McCammon, R., Fisher, G., Hassan, H., Faul, J., Rogers, W., & Weir, D. (2022). Health and
- 430 *Retirement Study Imputation of Cognitive Functioning Measures: 1992-2018.* Survey
- 431 Research Center, University of Michigan.
- 432 McCarrey, A. C. (2016). Sex differences in cognitive trajectories in clinically normal older
 433 adults. *Psychology and Aging*, *31*(2), 166. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000070
- 434 Mehta, K. M., & Yeo, G. W. (2017). Systematic review of dementia prevalence and incidence in
- 435 United States race/ethnic populations. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *13*(1), 72–83.
- 436 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.06.2360

437	Miller, D. I.	. & Halpern.	D. F.	(2014)	. The new	science of	cognitive set	x differences	. Trends ir

- 438 *Cognitive Sciences*, *18*(1), 37–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.10.011
- 439 RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2018 (V2). (2022). Produced by the RAND Center for the Study
- 440 of Aging, with funding from the National Institute on Aging and the Social Security
- 441 *Administration*.
- RAND HRS Longitudinal File 2020 (V1). (2023). Produced by the RAND Center for the Study
 of Aging, with funding from the National Institute on Aging and the Social Security
- 444 Administration.
- 445 Riegel, K. F., & Riegel, R. M. (1972). Development, drop, and death. *Developmental*
- 446 *Psychology*, *6*, 306–319. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0032104
- Riley, G. F., & Lubitz, J. D. (2010). Long-Term Trends in Medicare Payments in the Last Year
 of Life. *Health Services Research*, 45(2), 565–576. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-
- 449 6773.2010.01082.x
- 450 Safieh, M., Korczyn, A. D., & Michaelson, D. M. (2019). ApoE4: An emerging therapeutic
- 451 target for Alzheimer's disease. *BMC Medicine*, *17*(1), 64. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916452 019-1299-4
- 453 Shaw, C., Hayes-Larson, E., Glymour, M. M., Dufouil, C., Hohman, T. J., Whitmer, R. A.,
- 454 Kobayashi, L. C., Brookmeyer, R., & Mayeda, E. R. (2021a). Evaluation of Selective
- 455 Survival and Sex/Gender Differences in Dementia Incidence Using a Simulation Model.
- 456 *JAMA Network Open*, 4(3), e211001.
- 457 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1001
- 458 Shaw, C., Hayes-Larson, E., Glymour, M. M., Dufouil, C., Hohman, T. J., Whitmer, R. A.,
- 459 Kobayashi, L. C., Brookmeyer, R., & Mayeda, E. R. (2021b). Evaluation of Selective

- 460 Survival and Sex/Gender Differences in Dementia Incidence Using a Simulation Model.
- 461 *JAMA Network Open*, 4(3), e211001.
- 462 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.1001
- 463 Sliwinski, M. J., Stawski, R. S., Hall, C. B., Katz, M., Verghese, J., & Lipton, R. (2006).
- 464 Distinguishing preterminal and terminal cognitive decline. *European Psychologist*, 11,
- 465 172–181. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.11.3.172
- 466 Takeda, S., Rakugi, H., & Morishita, R. (2020). Roles of vascular risk factors in the pathogenesis
- 467 of dementia. *Hypertension Research: Official Journal of the Japanese Society of*

468 *Hypertension*, 43(3), 162–167. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41440-019-0357-9

- 469 Tan, S. C., Gamaldo, A. A., Brick, T., Thorpe, R. J., Jr, Allaire, J. C., & Whitfield, K. E. (2021).
- 470 The Effects of Selective Survival on Black Adults' Cognitive Development. *The Journals*471 *of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(8), 1489–1498. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbab003
- 472 Tang, M.-X., Stern, Y., Marder, K., Bell, K., Gurland, B., Lantigua, R., Andrews, H., Feng, L.,
- 473 Tycko, B., & Mayeux, R. (1998). The APOE-€4 Allele and the Risk of Alzheimer
- 474 Disease Among African Americans, Whites, and Hispanics. *JAMA*, 279(10), 751–755.
- 475 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.279.10.751
- 476 Walter, S., Mejía-Guevara, I., Estrada, K., Liu, S. Y., & Glymour, M. M. (2016). Association of
- 477 a Genetic Risk Score With Body Mass Index Across Different Birth Cohorts. JAMA,
- 478 *316*(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.8729
- 479 Weuve, J., Barnes, L. L., Mendes de Leon, C. F., Rajan, K. B., Beck, T., Aggarwal, N. T.,
- 480 Hebert, L. E., Bennett, D. A., Wilson, R. S., & Evans, D. A. (2018). Cognitive Aging in
- 481 Black and White Americans: Cognition, Cognitive Decline, and Incidence of Alzheimer

- 482 Disease Dementia. *Epidemiology*, 29(1), 151–159.
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.00000000000747
- 484 Wilson, R. S., Beckett, L. A., Bienias, J. L., Evans, D. A., & Bennett, D. A. (2003). Terminal
- 485 decline in cognitive function. *Neurology*, 60(11), 1782–1787.
- 486 https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000068019.60901.C1
- 487 Wilson, R. S., Rajan, K. B., Barnes, L. L., Jansen, W., Amofa, P., Weuve, J., & Evans, D. A.
- 488 (2018). Terminal decline of episodic memory and perceptual speed in a biracial
- 489 population. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition*, 25(3), 378–389.
- 490 https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2017.1306020
- 491 Wilson, R. S., Yu, L., Leurgans, S. E., Bennett, D. A., & Boyle, P. A. (2020). Proportion of

492 cognitive loss attributable to terminal decline. *Neurology*, 94(1), e42–e50.

- 493 https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000008671
- 494 Wooten, K. G. (2023). Racial and Ethnic Differences in Subjective Cognitive Decline—United
- 495 States, 2015–2020. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 72.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7210a1
- 497 Wright, C. B., DeRosa, J. T., Moon, M. P., Strobino, K., DeCarli, C., Cheung, Y. K., Assuras, S.,
- 498 Levin, B., Stern, Y., Sun, X., Rundek, T., Elkind, M. S. V., & Sacco, R. L. (2021).
- 499 Race/Ethnic Disparities in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Dementia: The Northern
- 500 Manhattan Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 80(3), 1129–1138.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-201370
- 502

503

504 LEGENDS



(a) Total Word Recall

505 Figure 1 Predicted summary scores for the total word recall, and total mental status by age, sex,

506 and race-ethnicity

507 Note: Age trajectories of cognition were estimated using the model specification of equations 1-3 in Appendix A,

508 but with $\tau = 0$.









512 **Figure 2.** Mean predicted word and mental scores at age 75 from HRS decedents by sex and 513 race-ethnicity.

514 Note: Values predicted cognition were estimated using the change-point model specification from equations 1-3 in

515 Appendix A, and excluding respondents with the APOE-4 gene variant.

Tables

517	Table 1. Sam	ple size and	descriptive values	s for survivors'	and decedents'	cognitive scores,	exposure, and risk factors.

Variable	Total	Survivor	Decedent*
No. respondents by wave			
Total 1996-2016	113,139	46,844	66,295
1996	8,049	731	7,318
1998	10,425	1616	8,809
2000	10,379	1999	8,380
2002	10,581	2743	7,838
2004	10,768	3464	7,304
2006	11,065	4316	6,749
2008	11,025	5067	5,958
2010	10,635	5749	4,886
2012	10,439	6366	4,073
2014	10,077	7010	3,067
2016	9,696	7783	1,913
Age at first cognition report, median (IQR)			
Total word recall	67 (65-73)	66 (65-67)	71 (66-75)
Total mental status	67 (65-73)	65 (66-67)	71 (66-75)
Weighted mean cognitive score (SD) across all Cognitive scores			
Total word recall	9.1 (3.6)	10.1 (3.2)	8.2 (3.7)
Total mental status	12.7 (2.5)	13.1 (2.1)	12.3 (2.8)
No. cognition reports, median (IQR)			
Total word recall	6 (4-8)	7 (4-9)	6 (3-8)
Total mental status	6 (4-8)	7 (4-9)	6 (3-8)
Non-modifiable factors			

No. observation in each age			
category at baseline (weighted %)			
65-69	1469 (5.9)	369 (30.2)	1100 (4.4)
70-74	2020 (24.5)	200 (32.6)	1820 (24.6)
75-79	2115 (32.8)	111 (25.9)	2004 (33.9)
80-84	1471 (21.4)	40 (9)	1431 (21.9)
85-89	814 (10.9)	9 (2.1)	805 (11.2)
90-99	337 (4.5)	2 (0.3)	335 (3.9)
Age across all cognition			
reports, median (IQR)			
Total word recall	74 (69-80)	71 (68-76)	76 (71-82)
Total mental status	74 (69-80)	71 (68-76)	76 (71-82)
Sex, N at baseline (weighted			
%)			
Women	4585 (57.8)	446 (66.2)	4139 (56.6)
Men	3464 (42.2)	285 (33.8)	3179 (43.4)
Race/ethnicity, N at baseline (weighted %)			
Hispanic or Latino	496 (4)	51 (5.7)	445 (3.9)
non-Hispanic White	6504 (88.2)	608 (87.2)	5896 (88.3)
non-Hispanic Black or			
African American	1046 (7.8)	71 (7)	975 (7.8)
Education, N (weighted %)			
Less than HS or GED	3445 (41.2)	226 (32.1)	3219 (41.8)
HS graduate	2361 (29.9)	220 (30.2)	2141 (30.2)
Some college	1256 (16.2)	151 (20.9)	1105 (15.8)
College and above	986 (12.7)	134 (17.5)	852 (12.2)
APOE-4, mean across all			
waves (95% CI)	23.7 (20.6 - 27.1)	17.6 (11.7 - 25.7)	25.1 (21.5 - 29)

Modifiable factors, weighted percentage across all measures (95% CI)			
Current cigarette smoking	9.3 (8.6 - 10.1)	7.7 (7 - 8.6)	10.9 (10 - 11.8)
Alcohol drinks, No./wk.			
None	67.8 (66.3 - 69.4)	61.4 (59.4 - 63.3)	73.4 (71.9 - 74.9)
1-6	19.9 (18.8 - 21.1)	25.1 (23.6 - 26.6)	15.4 (14.4 - 16.5)
7-13	6.3 (5.9 - 6.7)	7.1 (6.6 - 7.6)	5.6 (5.1 - 6.1)
>=14	6 (5.6 - 6.4)	6.5 (5.9 - 7.1)	5.6 (5.1 - 6.1)
Body mass index			
< 18.5	2.3 (2.1 - 2.5)	1.1 (0.9 - 1.3)	3.3 (2.9 - 3.6)
18.5-25	34.1 (33 - 35.2)	28.5 (27.2 - 29.9)	38.9 (37.6 - 40.1)
25-30	37.8 (37.2 - 38.5)	40.2 (39 - 41.4)	35.8 (35 - 36.6)
>=30	25.8 (24.8 - 26.8)	30.1 (28.9 - 31.4)	22.1 (21.1 - 23.1)
High blood pressure	4.2 (4.1 - 4.4)	4 (3.8 - 4.2)	4.4 (4.3 - 4.6)
Diabetes	2.5 (2.4 - 2.7)	2.6 (2.4 - 2.8)	2.5 (2.4 - 2.7)
Hearth disease	4.2 (4 - 4.3)	3.3 (3.1 - 3.4)	5 (4.8 - 5.2)
Stroke	2.1 (2.1 - 2.2)	1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)	3 (2.9 - 3.2)
Cancer	2.7 (2.6 - 2.9)	2 (1.8 - 2.2)	3.4 (3.2 - 3.6)
Depression (CES-D score)	1.4 (1.4 - 1.4)	1.1 (1.1 - 1.2)	1.7 (1.6 - 1.7)

518 * Values and estimates for decedents correspond to observations provided when they were alive in the specific period.

519 **Table 2.** Point estimates of the onset time of the accelerated and rates of early and accelerated 520 cognitive decline across sex and race-ethnic groups.

Cognition Score	Phase 1: Early cognitive decline phase	Phase 2: Accelerated cognitive decline phase	Mean time in years of accelerated cognitive decline (Phase 2)	Annual Rate of Accelerated Decline (ARAD)
Total Word Recall				
Hispanic women	-0.19** (-0.22, -0.17)	-0.26** (-0.31, -0.22)	9	-2.9
Hispanic men	-0.14** (-0.18, -0.11)	-0.24** (-0.28, -0.20)	10	-2.4
White women	-0.20** (-0.20, -0.19)	-0.36** (-0.37, -0.35)	10	-3.6
White men	-0.16** (-0.17, -0.15)	-0.30** (-0.31, -0.29)	9	-3.3
Black women	-0.19** (-0.21, -0.17)	-0.31** (-0.34, -0.28)	10	-3.1
Black men	-0.15** (-0.17, -0.13)	-0.23** (-0.26, -0.2)	10	-2.3
Total Mental Status				
Hispanic women	-0.14** (-0.16, -0.11)	-0.22** (-0.26, -0.19)	10	-2.2
Hispanic men	-0.09** (-0.12, -0.07)	-0.21** (-0.25, -0.18)	9	-2.4
White women	-0.08** (-0.09, -0.08)	-0.26** (-0.26, -0.25)	9	-2.8
White men	-0.06** (-0.07, -0.05)	-0.20** (-0.21, -0.19)	9	-2.2
Black women	-0.12** (-0.14, -0.11)	-0.27** (-0.30, -0.25)	9	-3.0
Black men	-0.09** (-0.12, -0.07)	-0.22** (-0.25, -0.19)	10	-2.2

521 Note: For this analysis, we excluded respondents with the APOE-4 gene variant. The onset time refers to the

522 accelerated cognitive decline phase relative to the time of death (τ). The ARAD is estimated as the rate of

523 accelerated decline dividend by the onset time of accelerated decline. Levels of significance: *(p<0.05), **(p<0.01).