Comparison between 70% ethyl alcohol and 10% formalin as fixative mediums in surgical

cooperation campaigns: a pilot study

Authors: Javier Arredondo Montero (MD, PhD) (1), Elena Carracedo Vega (MD) (2), Paula Ortolá

Fortes (MD) (3), Mónica Bronte Anaut (MD) (4), Yerani Ruiz de Azúa-Ciria (MD) (2),

Adriana Fernández-Ariza (2), Alejandra Moreno Ibérico (MD), Jessica Paulina Rodríguez

(MD) (5), Carlos Bardají Pascual (MD, PhD), Rosa Guarch Troyas (MD, PhD) (2)

Affiliations:

(1) Pediatric Surgery Department, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León, Castilla y León,

Spain.

(2) Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario de Navarra, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain.

(3) Pediatric Surgery Department, Hospital Universitario de Castellón, Comunidad Valenciana,

Spain.

(4) Pathology Department, Hospital General Rio Carrión, Palencia, Spain.

(5) Pathology Department, Hospital Universitario Donostia, Basque Country, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Javier Arredondo Montero MD, PhD

Address: Department of Pediatric Surgery, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León,

24008 León, Spain

Email: Javier.montero.arredondo@gmail.com/jarredondo@saludcastillayleon.es

Phone: +34 640 840 487

Acknowledgments

We want to thank the patients who participated in this study. Despite their difficult situation, they enrolled altruistically in this project. Thank you for trying to make the world a better place.

Author contributions

JAM: conceptualization and study design; literature search and selection; recruitment; histological analysis; data curation and extraction; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing POF: literature search and selection; recruitment; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing ECV: histological analysis; data curation and extraction; writing – review and editing CBP: recruitment, writing – review and editing. MBA, AMI, JPR, YRC, RGT: histological analysis; writing – review and editing. AFA: sample processing, preparation, and classification, writing – review and editing.

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

This study has not received external funding. None of the authors have financial disclosures to declare.

Conflicts of interest

No financial or non-financial benefits have been received or will be received from any party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Data availability

All data on this study are available upon justified request through the author in correspondence.

Ethical approval

The institutional review board (IRB) from Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León approved this study under code 24026. The ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) were followed.

Consent for publication

All patients or their legal representatives signed an informed consent form before being included in the study. Following current international legislation, all patient data was anonymized.

Comparison between 70% ethyl alcohol and 10% formalin as fixative mediums in surgical

cooperation campaigns: a pilot study

Abstract

Background: The lack of adequate resources in international cooperation limits the study of

anatomopathological specimens. The literature on potentially inexpensive and available fixation

media is scarce.

Material and methods: Our surgical team prospectively collected specimens during cooperation

campaigns developed in Senegal. Lesions were fixed in parallel in 10% formalin (FF) and 70%

ethyl alcohol (AF). Hematoxylin and eosin sections (HE) and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

techniques were performed. Images were anonymized and assessed by two senior and two junior

pathologists, who evaluated the quality of staining and diagnostic feasibility using an

anonymized questionnaire.

Results: Three surgical specimens were included: 1 lymph node (3 HE, 4 IHC), one seborrheic

keratosis (2 HE, 5 IHC), and one branchial remnant (2 HE, 2 IHC). Fixation times were similar

in all the specimens (10-13 days). All FF HE were diagnostic. AF H&E was 100% diagnostic in

the 5/7 sections and 75% in the remaining sections. In most cases, pathologists preferred FF.

CK7, P40, EMA, CKAE1/AE3, and TTF1 were 100% diagnostic in both groups. CD20, CD45,

and EMA were 100% diagnostic (FF) and 75% diagnostic (AF). CD10 was 75% diagnostic (FF)

and 25% diagnostic (AF). BCL6 was 75% diagnostic (FF) and 100% diagnostic (AF). IHC

preferences were inconsistent.

Conclusions: 70% ethyl alcohol has a worse fixation profile than 10% formalin but allows

diagnosis in most cases. The immunoreactivity observed is variable depending on the tissue and

the stain used. Based on these findings, it can be considered an inexpensive, readily available,

and potentially helpful fixation medium for diagnosis in developing countries where surgical

cooperation campaigns are conducted. Nevertheless, future studies of larger sample sizes and

characterizing other histologic subtypes are needed to confirm these findings.

Keywords: ethyl alcohol; formalin; fixative mediums; surgical; cooperation campaigns; pilot

study; feasibility study.

Comparison between 70% ethyl alcohol and 10% formalin as fixative mediums in surgical

cooperation campaigns: a pilot study

Introduction

In surgical pathology, diagnostic accuracy depends on the correct execution of several technical

steps, such as specimen collection, fixation, and staining, making fixation one of the process's

first and most important steps. An optimal fixative should be able to prevent putrefaction and

autolysis and preserve cellular and tissue details for reliable morphological,

immunohistochemical, and molecular studies. Moreover, it should be economic and non-toxic

[1-4]. Although suitable quality fixatives are on the market, none is perfect [5,6].

Formalin (aqueous formaldehyde) has been considered the fixative of choice for the last two

centuries [6-9]. A 10% solution of formalin (which contains a final 4% concentration of

formaldehyde) buffered with phosphate salts to achieve a neutral pH of 7 is usually employed in

clinical practice [5-10]. This formalin presentation, usually called neutral buffered formalin

(NBF), has significant advantages such as a low cost, a reasonably fast fixation, the possibility of

long-term storage, and standardized protocols regarding its use [3,5-7,9]. However, there is

extensive evidence regarding its role as a human carcinogen, having been linked to

nasopharyngeal cancer and some hematological malignancies such as leukemia [4,5,7,9,11]. In

addition, in case of prolonged exposure or accidental contact, it can cause severe mucocutaneous

irritation, chemical pneumonitis, and in case of ingestion, potentially life-threatening injuries

such as metabolic acidosis, acute renal failure, circulatory shock, and chromosomal alterations

[1,3,5]. Due to this, several working groups have proposed to develop new lines of research to

find a safer alternative as a histological fixative [4,9].

Another diagnostically relevant aspect is found in the interaction of formalin and specific biological structures: formalin binds DNA, RNA, and proteins (it is a non-coagulant or cross-linking fixative), which potentially can produce a loss of antigenicity and can make molecular biology techniques difficult to interpret [1,5,7-10,12]. Given the significant development of molecular biology in the last decade, this is another critical point that justifies the search for new fixative mediums shortly.

Several types of substances, either commercial or natural, have been proposed and studied as alternatives. The most promising ones are alcohol-based fixatives (ABF) (a mixture of ethanol, methanol, polyethylene glycol, acetic acid, and acetone, among others) [5,7-10]. ABF have shown an excellent fixative profile for morphological and immunohistochemical assessment of pathological specimens. ABF eliminate carcinogenic vapors and are inexpensive, easy to produce, and widely available worldwide. In addition, they offer better DNA, RNA, and protein integrity (they are coagulant fixatives) and more stable specimen preservation -if stored for prolonged periods- when compared to formalin [1,7,10,12]. However, ABF are not free of disadvantages: they are considered highly flammable products, they evaporate quickly, and sometimes they can associate shrinkage of the fixed specimen, which in turn can lead to variability in the stains performed [5,6,9,10,13,14].

Natural fixatives such as honey, sugar, or jaggery have also been considered potential alternative fixatives, especially in areas with scarce economic and material resources (such as low-to-middle-income countries or rural areas) [15]. Of these natural alternatives, bee honey is the one that has shown better results [4]. 10 to 20% dilutions of bee honey have contrasted antibacterial, acidic, and dehydrating properties, and they have demonstrated a similar fixation profile to formalin and alcoholic fixatives, even for nuclear structures [1,3,4,11,14-16].

Of all the options listed above, 70% ethyl alcohol had the best availability profile for our

working group. This pilot study aims to assess the methodological feasibility and clinical

applicability of using 70% ethyl alcohol as a fixative medium for the pathological study of

surgical specimens.

Methods

Study design

Our surgical team conducted this prospective, observational study during international

cooperation campaigns developed in Velingara, Saint Louis, and Kolda (Senegal). This study

included a single group of patients whose biological samples were equally divided into two

different fixation media: 1) 10% Formalin (FF) and 2) 70% Ethyl alcohol (AF).

Sample collection

Biological samples were taken from patients with a lesion that was indicated for surgical

resection. The specimens were processed immediately after excision, being sectioned into two

fragments of the same size at the expense of their major axis. One of the fragments was placed in

a commercial 10% Formalin solution with less than 2.5% methanol (Biopsafe biopsy container,

20 mL, Denmark ©). The other fragment was placed in a commercial solution of 70% ethyl

alcohol stained with methylene blue (Alcool Bleu, Valdafrique ©).

Sample processing

The fixation time was the same for both groups of samples, lasting between 10 and 13 days. The

difference in fixation days is because some samples were taken in the first days of the campaign

and others in the last days. All samples were processed in parallel. Dehydration and

paraffinization of the samples were carried out following a standard protocol. An initial

Hematoxylin & eosin from each specimen were evaluated by a consultant pathologist, who

oriented the lesions and chose a representative immunohistochemical staining panel. All IHC

stainings were performed in parallel on the AF and FF following the standard staining protocols

(Roche Diagnostics ©).

Before its evaluation, all sections were digitized (Philips Management System ©). To ensure the

study's rigor, digital photographs were taken at the same magnification level and of the same

area for each section to be compared. The images were then anonymized, coded, and pairwise

matched (i.e., if the H&E of a piece fixed in FF was designated as 1, the H&E of the same piece

fixed in AF was defined as 1B. The assignment of 1 or 1B to AF or FF was made randomly and

so forth). The same system was applied to the IHCs.).

Evaluation forms

Two questionnaires, one for the H&E stainings and one for the IHC stainings, were designed to

evaluate the samples.

Hematoxylin & Eosin evaluation form

In this questionnaire, two questions were asked for each crystal evaluated:

1) Does the staining allow to identify the histological structures adequately (Yes/No)?

2) Which of the two stains is better quality (1 vs. 1B, 2 vs. 2B, 3 vs. 3B, etc...)?

Immunohistochemical evaluation form

In this questionnaire, three questions were asked for each crystal evaluated:

1) What is the subjective degree of staining? (Artifact/No staining/Weak/Moderate/Strong)

2) Is it adequately distinguished whether the staining is cytoplasmic or nuclear? (Yes/No)

3) Which of the two stains is better quality (1 vs. 1B, 2 vs. 2B, 3 vs. 3B, etc...)?

<u>Histological evaluation</u>

Two senior pathologists (more than 20 years of clinical experience) and two junior pathologists

(less than five years of clinical experience) evaluated the samples. The four participating

pathologists were blinded to the surgical specimen, the diagnosis, and the fixative medium used

for each section by the abovementioned method. The information from the questionnaires was

entered into a database and subsequently analyzed.

Statistics

For descriptive statistics, percentages were used for categorical variables.

Research ethics board committee

Local authorities were consulted and confirmed that the health area where the study was

conducted did not have a local ethics committee to evaluate the project. The ethical principles of

the Declaration of Helsinki (2013) were followed. All patients or their legal representatives

signed an informed consent form before their inclusion in the study. All patient data was

anonymized following current international legislation.

Results.

Three surgical specimens were included in this study:

- 1) One lymph node located in the submental region, approximately 1.5 cm of major axis, belonging to a male of African descent (patient's age range: 5-10 years) compatible with atypical lymphoid hyperplasia. This lymph node was resected under the suspicion of a lymphoproliferative process. 3 H&E sections and 4 IHC were performed on it: CD10 (clone SP67), CD20 (clone L26), CD45 (clone RP2/18), BCL6 (clone GI191E/A8).
- 2) One skin lesion belonging to a male of African descent (patient's age range: 35-40 years), compatible with seborrheic keratosis. 2 H&E sections and 5 IHC techniques were performed on it: CK7 (two sections) (clone SP52), P40 (clone BC28), EMA (two sections) (clone E29).
- 3) One cervical congenital skin lesion present in a male of African descent (patient's age range: 5-10 years), compatible with a branchial remnant. 2 H&E and 2 IHC were performed on it: CKAE1/AE3 (clone AE1/AE3/PCK26), TTF1 (clone SP141).

Regarding H&E, all FF H&E (7 sections) were diagnostic. AF H&E was considered diagnostic by all pathologists in 5 sections and by 3 of 4 pathologists (2 seniors and one junior) in the two remaining sections. In most cases, pathologists preferred FF. The results obtained from the H&E questionnaire are shown in Table 1.

Regarding IHC staining, CK7, P40, EMA, CKAE1/AE3, and TTF1 were considered diagnostic by all pathologists in both groups. CD20 and CD45 were considered diagnostic by all pathologists in the FF group and by 3 of 4 pathologists in the AF group. In both cases, the same senior Pathologist considered stainings non-diagnostic. CD10 was considered diagnostic by 3 of 4 pathologists in the FF group but only by one pathologist in the AF group. BCL6 was considered diagnostic by 3 of 4 pathologists in the FF group and by all pathologists in the AF

group. Regarding artifacts, they were only reported by senior pathologists, mainly in lymphoid

tissue sections. IHC preferences were inconsistent. The results obtained from the IHC

questionnaire are shown in Table 2. Figure 1 shows some of the most representative sections of

the AF and FF groups.

Discussion

The present work constitutes a pilot field test to evaluate the fixative capacity of 70% ethyl

alcohol compared to the standardized 10% formalin medium used in clinical practice at our

center. This work aims to find economical and available alternatives for the study of

anatomopathological specimens obtained in international cooperation surgical campaigns. The

preliminary results of this work show that although, in general terms, the quality of fixation

(evaluated in terms of final staining results) is inferior to that of 10% formalin, 70% ethyl

alcohol has potential as a fixative in international cooperation campaigns since in most sections

of our study it allows the diagnosis to be established.

Concerning precedents in the literature, as we stated, there are multiple natural and industrial

alternatives to formalin. In our case, the wide availability of 70% ethyl alcohol in local

pharmacies led us to opt for this means of fixation. The commercial presentation we obtained

was tinted with methylene blue (as a protective measure to avoid accidental ingestion). Still, we

do not consider that this had any influence whatsoever on the study we have carried out. We

believe it may be interesting to explore alternatives such as ethyl alcohols at different

concentrations or honey (considering that there may be problems at the customs level because it

is an imported/exported food product).

Regarding the specimens' fixation time, we consider this a variable that is difficult to control.

Surgical campaigns have a variable duration, which, in our case, is usually ten days. This implies

that if a sample is collected on the first day of the campaign, it will take at least 13 days for this

sample to be processed. If these diagnostic circuits get standardized, patients could be distributed

so that those with potentially analyzable lesions could be operated on at the end of the campaign.

However, this will not always be feasible. In this regard, we believe it would be optimal to

identify the percentage of ethyl alcohol to be applied depending on the expected days of fixation.

However, the literature on this issue is limited.

Another relevant aspect of this first stage of specimen processing is the environmental

conditions: in Senegal, we generally find temperatures between 35 and 40 degrees Celsius.

Although the specimens were protected from sun exposure, the potential impact of external

agents on the fixation process must be considered.

It is also pertinent to highlight the medical-legal aspect of the international transport of

anatomopathological specimens in international cooperation contexts. This area has been

scarcely studied and requires urgent regulation.

Our findings showed better results with H&E than IHC stainings, which is consistent with

potential alterations in tissue antigenicity resulting from a suboptimal fixation process. We

believe that the poorer results obtained with the lymphoid tissue studied are also consistent with

this proposal, given that these tissues are more labile and more susceptible to tissue degradation,

which contributes to the fact that they present alterations in their antigenicity more easily.

The specific tissue representations we present constitute a first approximation to the study of

70% ethyl alcohol as a fixation medium. Still, we believe that it is necessary to extend the

present study with new tissue representations to better characterize the behaviour of this fixative.

Finally, another interesting point may be to "get used" to seeing pieces fixed in this material.

Given that the pathologists included in this study have always worked with pieces fixed in 10%

formalin, progressive training in the assessment of pieces fixed in alcoholic media may

contribute to improving the diagnostic performance attributable to these fixation media.

The main strengths of this work are its novel design, the extensive characterization of the

methodology employed, the use of standardized procedures for sample processing and staining,

the use of digitization for section assessment, and the participation of 4 blinded pathologists to

evaluate the specimens. Nevertheless, this work has significant limitations, such as the low

sample size or lack of representation of all human tissues. Although we believe the questionnaire

we have applied has potential usefulness for studies similar to ours, it must be considered that it

is not validated and involves an essential component of subjectivity concerning some of its

questions (such as the preference between stains).

In conclusion, 70% ethyl alcohol is an inexpensive, safe, and widely available fixation medium

that can potentially be used in international surgical cooperation campaigns to study

anatomopathological specimens. To validate these findings, more extensive studies with larger

sample sizes, greater tissue representation, and rigorous designs that address the limitations of

our work are needed.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. A, B: H&E stained sections showing part of a lymph node fixed in formalin 10% (A) and ethyl alcohol 70% (B). **C, D:** H&E stained sections showing a ciliated cylindrical epithelium lining a branchial cyst fixed in formalin 10% (C) and ethyl alcohol 70% (D). Although after alcohol fixation, there is greater eosinophilia and loss of contrast in the tissue, it is possible to distinguish the tissue architecture in both cases.

Fig. 2. A, B: IHC stained sections (CD10) of a lymph node fixed in formalin 10% (A) and ethyl alcohol 70% (B). **C, D:** IHC stained sections (CK7) of a cutaneous lesion fixed in formalin 10% (C) and ethyl alcohol 70% (D). In the case of alcohol fixation, the stains are weaker and patchier, but they still allow for the distinction of morphological features.

References

- [1].Ozkan N, Salva E, Cakalağaoğlu F, Tüzüner B. Honey as a substitute for formalin? Biotech Histochem. 2012 Feb; 87(2):148-53. doi: 10.3109/10520295.2011.590155. Epub 2011 Aug 23. PMID: 21859382.
- [2]. Kumarasinghe MP, Constantine SR, Hemamali RL. Methanol as an alternative fixative for cytological smears. Malays J Pathol. 1997 Dec; 19(2):137-40. PMID: 10879255.
- [3].Muddana K, Muppala JNK, Dorankula SPR, Maloth AK, Kulkarni PG, Thadudari D. Honey and olive oil as bio-friendly substitutes for formalin and xylene in routine histopathology. Indian J Dent Res. 2017 May-Jun; 28(3):286-290. doi: 10.4103/ijdr.IJDR_246_16. PMID: 28721993.
- [4]. Yee AWM, Oo PS, Aye SN, Lim WJ, Chee VCX, Krishnappa P. Natural fixatives alternative to formalin in histopathology: A systematic review. Med J Malaysia. 2023 Jan; 78(1):98-108. PMID: 36715199.
- [5]. Shetty JK, Babu HF, Hosapatna Laxminarayana KP. Histomorphological Assessment of Formalin versus Nonformalin Fixatives in Diagnostic Surgical Pathology. J Lab Physicians. 2020 Dec; 12(4):271-275. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1722546. Epub 2020 Dec 30. PMID: 33390677; PMCID: PMC7773438.
- [6]. Ali Jamal A, Abd El-Aziz GS, Hamdy RM, Al-Hayani A, Al-Maghrabi J. The innovative safe fixative for histology, histopathology, and immunohistochemistry techniques: "pilot

- study using shellac alcoholic solution fixative". Microsc Res Tech. 2014 May; 77(5):385-93. doi: 10.1002/jemt.22356. Epub 2014 Mar 13. PMID: 24633954.
- [7].Zanini C, Gerbaudo E, Ercole E, Vendramin A, Forni M. Evaluation of two commercial and three homemadefixatives for the substitution of formalin: a formaldehyde–free laboratory is possible. Environ Health. 2012 Sep 4; 11:59. doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-11-59. PMID: 22947094; PMCID: PMC3506558.
- [8].Benerini Gatta L, Cadei M, Balzarini P, et al. Application of alternative fixatives to formalin in diagnostic pathology. Eur J Histochem. 2012 Jun 29; 56(2): e12. doi: 10.4081/ejh.2012.12. PMID: 22688293; PMCID: PMC3428961.
- [9].Buesa RJ. Histology without formalin? Ann Diagn Pathol. 2008 Dec; 12(6):387-96. doi: 10.1016/j.anndiagpath.2008.07.004. Epub 2008 Sep 9. PMID: 18995201.
- [10]. Rahman MA, Sultana N, Ayman U, et al. Alcoholic fixation over formalin fixation: A new, safer option for morphologic and molecular analysis of tissues. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2022 Jan; 29(1):175-182. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.08.075. Epub 2021 Aug 27. PMID: 35002406; PMCID: PMC8716893.
- [11]. Srii R , Peter CD , Haragannavar VC , Shashidara R , Sridhara SU , Srivatsava S .

 Bee Honey as a Safer Alternative for Routine Formalin Fixation. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2017 Oct.-Dec.; 15(60):308-312. PMID: 30580347.
- [12]. Chung JY, Song JS, Ylaya K, et al. Histomorphological and Molecular Assessments of the Fixation Times Comparing Formalin and Ethanol-Based Fixatives. J Histochem Cytochem. 2018 Feb; 66(2):121-135. doi: 10.1369/0022155417741467. Epub 2017 Nov 10. PMID: 29125916; PMCID: PMC5794201.

- [13]. Bostwick DG, al Annouf N, Choi C. Establishment of the formalin-free surgical pathology laboratory. Utility of an alcohol-based fixative. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1994 Mar; 118(3):298-302. PMID: 8135636.
- [14]. Priyadarshi A, Kaur R, Issacs R. Honey as a Cytological Fixative: A Comparative Study With 95% Alcohol. Cureus. 2022 Aug 18; 14(8):e28149. doi: 10.7759/cureus.28149. PMID: 36148184; PMCID: PMC9482673.
- [15]. Pandiar D, Baranwal HC, Kumar S, Ganesan V, Sonkar PK, Chattopadhyay K. Use of jaggery and honey as adjunctive cytological fixatives to ethanol for oral smears. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2017 May-Aug; 21(2):317. doi: 10.4103/jomfp.JOMFP_224_15. PMID: 28932048; PMCID: PMC5596689.
- [16]. Singh A, Hunasgi S, Koneru A, Vanishree M, Ramalu S, Manvikar V. Comparison of honey with ethanol as an oral cytological fixative: A pilot study. J Cytol. 2015 Apr-Jun; 32(2):113-7. doi: 10.4103/0970-9371.160563. PMID: 26229248; PMCID: PMC4520042.



