# Study Title: HIV self-testing and its associated factors among young university students: A cross-sectional study in a Public University in Uganda

### Authors.

Winnie Nambi<sup>1</sup>, Rose Chalo Nabirye<sup>1</sup>, Gloria Nakato<sup>1</sup>, Mary Aleni<sup>2</sup>; Joshua Epuitai<sup>1</sup>

# **Author Affiliations**

- Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Busitema University, P.O. Box 1460, Mbale, Uganda.
- 2. Department of Nursing and Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, Muni University.

\*Corresponding author: e-mail: joshuaepuitai@gmail.com

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Uptake of HIV testing services is sub-optimal among young adults. HIV self-testing offers opportunities to improve uptake of HIV testing services among young adults. The study was conducted to determine preferences of HIV testing, uptake of HIV self-testing and the factors associated with HIV-self testing among young adults.

**Methods:** The study was a descriptive cross-sectional design. A self-administered questionnaire for data collection. We interviewed 384 university students to collect information about HIV testing and their preferences. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine the factors associated with HIV self-testing. The study obtained ethical clearance from the Mbale Regional Referral Hospital Research and Ethics Committee.

**Results:** The median age of the participants was 24 (interquartile range: 22-28). Uptake of HIV self-testing was 55% among young adults. Similarly, 56% of the young adults preferred HIV self-testing over facility-based HIV testing in the future. Privacy (46%), confidentiality (7%) and convenience (32%) were the most common reasons given for preferring HIV self-testing. Participants who preferred to test in the healthcare facility cited preference for counselling NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

services (21%) and the perception that the HIV test results from the healthcare facility were more accurate (37%), credible and trustworthy (21%). HIV self-testing was significantly associated with several factors: increasing age (95% CI: 0.89 (0.80-0.98)), fourth year of study (95%CI: 2.90 (1.01-8.31), students of anaesthesia program (95% CI: 0.40 (0.17-0.95), Muslim religion (95% CI: 0.07 (0.01-0.41), consistent use of condoms (95% CI: 0.36 (0.15-0.88), and having multiple sexual partners (95% CI: 3.22 (1.49-7.00).

**Conclusion:** Young adults preferred HIV self-testing over provider-based testing in the health facility. This preference was related to privacy, confidentiality and convenience. Addressing concerns about accuracy of test results in HIV self-testing and need for counselling services may improve uptake of HIV self-testing among young adults.

Keywords: HIV self-testing, preferences, HIV, Uganda & young adults

### 1 Background

In Uganda, an estimated 1.4 million people are living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus 2 (HIV) [1]. Young adults, especially adolescent girls, are disproportionately affected with a 3 4 high risk of acquiring new HIV infections [2]. In 2023, an estimated 52,000 new HIV infections were reported in Uganda of which 36% were among people between the age of 15-5 24 years [1]. The AIDS- related deaths in Uganda were estimated at about 17,000 annually 6 7 [1]. The 95-95-95 strategy is critical in eliminating HIV transmission by 2030 as in the sustainable development goals [3]. This strategy involves ensuring that 95% of people living 8 9 with HIV (PLHIV) are aware of their HIV status, 95% of the PLHIV are on highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), and 95% of PLHIV on HAART have achieved viral load 10 suppression [4]. 11

12 HIV testing enables individuals to adopt prevention practices that reduce HIV transmission [4]. This ensures that PLHIV are aware of their status, thus facilitating early initiation on 13 HAART [4]. Consequently, HIV testing helps improve quality of life for PLHIV and it also 14 reduces AIDS related mortality [5]. Despite the critical role of HIV testing in reducing the 15 burden of HIV, it is still suboptimal, especially among young adults [6]. In Nigeria, only 16 17 24% of adolescents and young adults had ever tested for HIV [5]. Furthermore, HIV testing in sub-Saharan Africa was least likely among young adults who were living with HIV, and 18 therefore, were unaware of their HIV positive status [6]. HIV testing rates in Uganda were 19 lowest among young adults 15-24 years than any other age groups [7]. 20

The traditional HIV testing services, especially voluntary counselling and testing and
provider-initiated HIV counselling and testing, have not significantly improved uptake of
HIV testing services in sub-Saharan Africa [6]. Stigma, provider bias, lack of access, and
concerns of privacy have affected uptake of HIV services among young adults [5,6]. The new
HIV testing technologies and approaches such as HIV self-testing offers opportunities to

improve uptake of HIV testing services [6]. HIV self-testing (HIVST) can occur in different 26 forms where individuals can test at home, using oral or blood testing kits, and can access 27 counselling services from trained healthcare professionals over the phone [8]. Individuals 28 with positive HIV tests results after HIV home self-testing can be linked to counselling and 29 receive further care in the healthcare facilities [9]. 30 Young adults tend to have higher preference and acceptability of HIVST options [8,10]. In 31 Nigeria, the majority (49%) of young adults preferred blood-based HIVST than the 44% who 32 preferred facility-based HIV testing and oral HIVST [8]. In Uganda, 95% of university 33 female students were willing to use HIVST [11]. HIVST addresses youth-specific barriers 34 inherent in the traditional HIV testing services as it fosters respect, privacy, convenience, 35 confidentiality and comfort [10,12]. In Uganda, a limited number of studies, to the best of our 36

knowledge, have explored HIVST preferences among young adults [11,13]. Therefore, this

38 study was conducted to determine the preferences for HIVST, uptake of HIVST and its

39 associated factors associated among young adults in a public University.

# 40 Methods and materials

### 41 Study design and setting

We used a cross-sectional study to determine the HIVST preferences and practices among
young adults. The study was conducted in Busitema University, Faculty of Health Sciences.
This public university attracts a large number of students from different socioeconomic,
cultural, and religious backgrounds. The Faculty of Health Sciences is located in Eastern
Uganda, where it offers undergraduate and postgraduate programs. The undergraduate
programs are in nursing, anaesthesia, medicine and surgery, while postgraduate programs are
in the area of public health and master of medicine programs.

### 49 **Study population**

The study population was comprised of young university students in the Faculty of Health Science who were pursuing clinical disciplines. We used consecutive sampling to select the participants for the study. The sample size, determined using the Kish-Leslie formular, was 384. This represented 85% of the student population in the Faculty of Health Sciences.

### 54 **Data collection tool**

We used a self-administered questionnaire for data collection. Data was collected between 01<sup>st</sup> November 2022 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2022. Participants filled the questionnaires designed on the Kobo toolbox. The questionnaire had sections for socio-demographic, sexual behaviours, and HIV testing practices (Appendix 1). The questionnaire had an open-ended question on the challenges that participants face while seeking HIV testing services, and the reasons for preferring HIVST or provider-based testing in the facilities.

### 61 Study variables

The dependent variable in the study was HIV self-testing which was considered in individuals who tested themselves at home in the first sexual encounter with a new or current partner. Additionally, participants were asked about their preferences for HIV testing (self-testing at home or healthcare provider testing in the healthcare facility) if they were to enter into a new relationship in the future.

The independent variables included age, gender, marital status, employment status (employed/unemployed), year of study, program of study, religion, sexual risk behaviors, and HIV testing practices. The sexual risk behaviors included number of sexual partners in the last 12 months, age at sex debut, inconsistent use of condoms, non-disclosure of HIV status, broken condoms, and whether they were sexually active. HIV testing practices investigated were ever testing for HIV, number of times HIV was tested, and HIV testing at the last sexual encounter.

### 73 Data analysis

Data was analysed using STATA version 15 software. The open-ended questions on reasons 74 for preferring self-testing or provider-based testing were coded and labelled into categories. 75 Categorical variables were analysed through use of frequencies and percentages, while median 76 and interquartile range was used to describe continuous variables not normally distributed. 77 Logistic regression at bivariate and multivariate level was used to determine the factors 78 79 associated with HIV self-testing among university students. The probability value (p-value) of less than 5% indicated significant association, while the 95% confidence level (CI) was used 80 81 to infer the magnitude of association. P-values which were less than 0.2 at the bivariate level and variables relevant to HIV self-testing were included in the multivariate logistic regression 82 model. Factors associated with HIV self-testing were those that were significant at the p-value 83 84 of less than 0.05 at the multivariate logistic regression.

### 85 Ethical consideration

The Mbale Regional Referral Hospital (MRRH) Research and Ethics Committee (MRRH-2022-212) provided ethical clearance for the study. A written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants. Privacy was observed through sending participants an anonymised link to the questionnaire instead of the face-to-face interview where information from the participants could be identified. The filled information was confidential, while measures were put in place to maintain confidentiality.

- 92
- 93
- 94
- 95
- 96

# 97 **Results**

# 98 Description of study participants.

99 The median age of the participants was 24 (interquartile range of 22-28 years), while the mean 100 age at sex debut was 19.6 (standard deviation of 2.56) (Table 1). More than a half of the 101 participants had two or more partners in the last year (55%), while 61% of the participants had 102 sex with a partner of unknown HIV status.

# **103 Table 1: Description of the study participants**

Variable	Frequency (percent)
	N=384
Age (n=384)	
Median (interquartile range)	24 (22-28)
Gender (n=384)	
Male	230 (59.9)
Female	154 (40.1)
Marital status (n=384)	
Single	242 (63.0)
Married/cohabiting	142 (37.0)
Year of study	
Year 1	64 (16.7)
Year 2	92 (24.0)
Year 3	62 (16.1)
Year 4	90 (23.4)
Year 5	76 (19.8)
Program of study	
Bachelor of Nursing	71 (18.5)
Bachelor of Anaesthesia	70 (18.2)
Bachelor of Medicine and Surgery	243 (63.3)
Employment	
Unemployed	282 (73.4)

Employed	102 (26.6)
Religion	
Christian	362 (94.3)
Moslem	22 (5.7)
Are you in any sexual relationship?	
No	65 (16.9)
Yes	319 (83.1)
Age at sex debut	
Mean (standard deviation)	19.6 (2.56)
Knows the HIV status of the partner in the first sexual	
encounter (n=320)	168 (52.5)
No	152 (47.5)
Yes	
Number of partners in the past year (n=320)	
1	146 (45.6)
2 or more	174 (54.4)
HIV high risky behaviours	
Inconsistent use of condoms	274 (71.4)
Broken condoms	175 (45.6)
Multiple sexual partners	171 (44.5)
Sex with a person with unknown status	234 (60.9)
Not disclosing the HIV status	109 (28.4)

104

# 105 HIV testing practices among young adults

Almost all the study participants had ever tested for HIV (table 2). The majority (80%,

n=258) of the participants tested for HIV in the first sexual encounter with a new partner.

108 Among participants tested for HIV, 55% (144/260) of them opted for HIV self-testing. The

109 challenges associated with HIV testing were it being expensive or cost of testing,

110 unavailability and inaccessibility of the test kits or services.

### 112

# 113 Table 2: HIV testing practices among young adults

Variable	Frequency,
	n=320
	(percentage)
Ever tested for HIV	
Yes	375 (97.7)
No	9 (2.3)
Number of times of HIV testing (n=349)	
Once	105 (30.1)
Multiple times	244 (69.9)
HIV testing in the last sexual encounter	
Yes	206 (64.4)
No	73 (22.8)
Not applicable (status known for both of us)	41 (12.8)
Tested for HIV in the first sexual encounter with a new partner	
(n=324)	
Yes	258 (79.6)
No	66 (20.4)
Place of HIV testing in the first sexual encounter with a new partner	
(N=260)	
Home/self-testing	144 (55.4)
Health facility/healthcare provider testing	116 (44.6)
Challenges of HIV testing	
HIV testing kits unavailable	226 (58.8)
HIV testing expensive	120 (31.2)
Unable to access drug store/pharmacy	10 (2.6)
Unable to test myself	28 (7.3)

114

# 115 **Preferences for HIV testing options.**

116 Similar to the higher proportion of young adults who self-tested for HIV at home, more than

a half of the participants (56%, n=213) preferred to test by themselves at home if they were to

- enter into new sexual relationships in the future (table 3). Privacy and convenience were the
- 119 most common reasons given for preferring HIV-self testing. Individuals who preferred
- 120 provider-based HIV testing in the health facilities did so because of concerns of accuracy of
- test results and the need for pre-test and post-test counselling.

#### 

# 124 Table 3: Preferences of HIV testing options

Variable	Frequency, n=320 (percentage)
Would you wish to test for HIV in a new relationship? (N=384)	
Yes	376 (97.9)
Undecided	8 (2.1)
Preferred type of HIV testing (=376)	
Home/self-testing	213 (56.6)
Health facility/healthcare provider	163 (43.4)
Reasons for preferring HIV self-testing (n=238)***	
Privacy	110 (46.2)
Convenience	76 (31.9)
Confidentiality	16 (6.7)
Other reasons*	36 (15.1)
Reasons for preferring health facility (n=174)***	
Proper testing and accurate results	65 (37.3)
Trustworthy/credible/reliable results	37 (21.3)
Pre and post-test counselling	36 (20.7)
Needs to be tested by healthcare provider	16 (9.2)
Safety of HIV testing	12 (6.9)
Other reasons**	8 (4.6)
Preference for pre- and post-testing counselling (n=384)	
Yes	331 (86.2)
No	53 (13.8)
Other reasons (able to test on their own, saves time, cheap and mist	rust of healthcare
vorkers)	
*Other reasons (confidentiality, convenience, easier and cannot do	self-test)
**multiple responses	

1	$\mathbf{r}$	$\mathbf{n}$
	-	ч
-	-	-

140

# 141 Factors associated with HIV-self testing among medical/nursing students

- 142 After controlling for confounders, the following factors were significantly associated with
- self-testing for HIV: age, program of study, year of study, religion, number of sexual
- 144 partners, and inconsistent use of condoms (table 4). Increase in age significantly reduced the
- odds of HIV self-testing by 11%. Students who were pursuing the BNA program were
- significantly less likely to test for HIV at home compared to students who were pursuing the
- 147 MBChB program. Consistent use of condoms and Muslim religion significantly reduced the
- 148 odds of self-testing for HIV. Students in year four of study compared to those in year five,
- and having 2 or more partners in the last year increased the odds of HIV self-testing.

Variable	COR (95% CI)	P-	AOR (95% CI)	P-
		value		Value
Age (continuous variable)	0.97 (0.92-1.01)	0.123	0.89(0.80-0.98)	0.018
Gender		0.073		
Male	Ref		Ref	
Female	0.63 (0.38-1.04)		0. 66(0.32-1.34)	0.249
Program of study				
MBChB	Ref		Ref	
BNS	1.37 (0.73-2.55)	0.326	1.24 (0.51-3.02)	0.638
BNA	0.89 (0.87-1.61)	0.741	0.40 (0.17-0.95)	0.039
Year of study				
1	1.66 (0.71-3.88)	0.240	0.61 (0.18-2.03)	0.423
2	1.82 (0.83-3.98)	0.132	1.03 (0.36-2.98)	0.955
3	1.21 (0.52-2.77)	0.658	1.02 (0.34-3.01)	0.974
4	2.57 (1.17-5.65)	0.019	2.90 (1.01-8.31)	0.048
5	Ref		Ref	
Marital status				
Married/cohabiting	Ref		Ref	
Single	1.16 (0.71-1.89)	0.560	1.29 (0.60-2.77)	0.517
Religion				
Christian	Ref		Ref	
Muslim	0.19 (0.04-0.91)	0.038	0.07 (0.01-0.41)	0.003
Employment				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	1.09 (0.65-1.83)	0.734	0.45 (0.18-1.16)	0.101

150	Table 4: Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression for factors associated with HIV
151	self-testing.

Age at sex debut (continuous variable)	0.94 (0.85-1.03)	0.179	1.02 (0.89-1.19)	0.720
HIV testing at sex debut				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	1.85 (1.12-3.06)	0.016	1.49 (0.69-3.22)	0.307
Number of sex partners in	,,,,,,, _			
the past year				
≥2	2.32 (1.40-3.85)		3.22 (1.49-7.00)	
1	Ref	0.001	Ref	0.003
Disclosed HIV status				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	0.59 (0.35-0.98)	0.044	1.02 (0.47-2.22)	0.961
Inconsistent use of condoms				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	0.65 (0.34-1.26)	0.202	0.36 (0.15-0.88)	0.024
Broken condoms				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	1.32 (0.81-2.17)	0.267	1.59 (0.74-3.38)	0.232
Sex with partner of unknown				
status				
Yes	Ref		Ref	
No	0.56 (0.33-0.97)	0.040	1.29 (0.53-3.10)	0.572
Frequency of HIV testing in				
the past year				
0	Ref		Ref	
1	2.66 (0.72-9.83)	0.143	3.92 (0.86-17.96)	0.078
≥2	2.94 (0.87-9.86)	0.081	3.51 (0.87-14.10)	0.077

152

### 153 **Discussion**

The study was conducted to determine HIV testing preferences, uptake of HIVST and its 154 associated factors among young adults in a public university. Overall, 55% of the young 155 adults had tested by themselves at home which was congruent with their preferences to use 156 HIV self-testing in the future. HIV self-testing was preferred over provider-based HIV testing 157 because of the associated privacy and convenience linked to HIVST. These study findings 158 should be interpreted in light of its limitations. Although we maintained anonymity in data 159 collection, social desirability bias is likely given the sensitivity of the topic under study. 160 Nevertheless, because we measured for both the preferences and actual behaviours in HIV 161 self-testing, the study findings are likely to be valid. The study provides important 162

163	implications that can promote adoption of HIV testing approaches that can improve HIV
164	testing among young adults. Ultimately, the improved HIV testing can contribute to the
165	attainment of the sustainable development goals to reduce the AIDS epidemic by 2030 [3].
166	In our study, the uptake of HIV self-testing was 55% which was consistent with 56% of
167	participants who preferred to use HIV self-testing over provider-based in the future among
168	young adults. This highlights a congruence between preferences for HIV self-testing among
169	young adults and their actual behaviours. The HIV self-testing in our study was higher than
170	24% reported among university students in Central Uganda [11]. The high uptake of HIV
171	self-testing in our study may be attributable to the study population who were medical and
172	nursing students, and thus, had some level of knowledge regarding HIV testing.
173	The majority of young adults preferred HIV self-testing which was consistent with high
174	preferences and acceptability for HIVST in most of the studies [11,13,14]. Qualitative studies
175	have equally reported that young adults find HIVST services to be more preferable,
176	acceptable, feasible and appropriate [10,12]. Contrary to our study findings, 77% of
177	adolescents and young girls in Kenya preferred provider- aided HIV testing to HIVST
178	probably related to low levels of literacy [15]. This highlights that one size may not fit all,
179	and that it is critical to consider the socio-demographic profile of young adults especially
180	their levels of literacy in promoting HIVST practices.
181	One of the major findings in our study was that young adults valued privacy and
182	confidentiality associated with HIV self-testing. Previous studies have equally reported that
183	young adults prefer HIV self-testing because of its privacy and confidentiality [10,16].
184	HIVST eliminates youth-related barriers that affect uptake of HIV testing services among
185	young adults [10,12]. Young adults face numerous barriers such as provider bias,
186	discrimination, stigma, and negative judgement from older clients in the clinic-based HIV

testing all of which were not of much concern in HIVST [10]. Adolescents and young adultsare able to test themselves for HIV in the privacy of their homes without judgment,

discrimination or mistreatment in the health facilities [10]. The resulting confidentiality of the

190 test results enables young adults to maintain their dignity and autonomy while accessing HIV

191 testing services [10,13].

192 Previous studies have underscored that young adult find HIVST to be more convenient, easy to use, efficient, and that it saves time and money [16-18]. This was consistent with our study 193 findings where young adults preferred HIVST and the majority of them used HIVST because 194 it was more convenient for them. The convenience in HIV self-testing can enable couples to 195 test before sex and promote safer sex practices, while it is also known to increase HIV testing 196 across all populations including young adults [9]. The convenient self-testing can assuage the 197 lack of youth-friendly health services which deters the majority of young adults from going to 198 health facilities for HIV testing [10]. Furthermore, convenience in self-testing for HIV 199 200 eliminates health system bottlenecks especially in a setting like Uganda where long waiting times, distant health facilities, lack of HIV testing services, and shortage of personnel can 201 deter many young adults from testing for HIV [17]. 202

Young adults in our study who preferred provider-based HIV testing over HIVST did so 203 because testing in the health facilities were seen to provide more accurate, trustworthy, 204 205 credible, and reliable test results. Although the accuracy of test results in HIVST is equivalent to that from health facility-based HIV testing [15,19], previous studies have underscored 206 young adults' scepticism over the accuracy of test results obtained from HIVST [18,20]. 207 208 Concerns over accuracy in HIVST were even more pronounced in oral-based HIV test kits than in blood-based HIV test kits [21]. Therefore, it is important to address fears and 209 210 scepticism regarding accuracy of results from HIVST as concerns over test accuracy may act

as a deterrent for use of HIVST among young adults [17].

211

Previous studies have highlighted the lack of pre-test and post-test counselling in HIV testing 212 as a major concern for individuals seeking HIV testing [14,17]. Our study findings were 213 consistent with studies which cited lack of counselling as a major deterrent for uptake of 214 HIVST [10,20]. The lack of counselling in HIVST has been suggested to result in gender-215 based violence, emotional distress, suicide and self-harm though evidence to that effect is 216 currently lacking [14,18,20]. This may be because individuals who opt for HIV self-testing 217 218 are informed that HIVST does not confirm the HIV status but that it serves to screen for HIV [9]. HIVST approaches that include virtual counselling by healthcare providers through the 219 220 mobile telephone have been suggested to meet the needs of young adults to access counselling before and after the HIV test [21]. Post-test counselling over the telephone can 221 reduce maladaptive behaviours in case of the positive test [21]. 222 223 The predictors for uptake of HIVST in our study were consistent with those in the literature [11,20,22]. Participants with multiple sex partners were more likely to have used HIVST, a 224 finding which was similar to that in Tanzania [20]. The increased odds of HIVST among 225 participants with multiple sex partners was plausible because the new partners may have to be 226 tested at the point of sexual encounter. Our study was consistent with a study in Nigeria 227 where young adults were less likely to have HIVST as they get older [22]. The increased 228 likelihood of HIVST among younger people is likely because of higher sexual activity among 229 230 younger people than their older counterparts. Similar to our study findings, previous studies have reported socio-demographic variables such as religion, program of study, and year of 231 study to be associated with HIVST [11,20]. 232

233

234

# 236 Conclusion

Young adults preferred HIV self-testing over the provider-based HIV testing in the health 237 facility. Privacy, confidentiality and convenience were the most common reasons given for 238 preferring HIVST over clinic-based HIV testing. The preference for clinic-based HIV testing 239 was because of counselling and the perception that the results in the health facilities were 240 credible, accurate, and trustworthy. HIVST was associated with having multiple sex partners. 241 Muslim religion, students doing anaesthesia program and those in the fourth year of study. 242 Addressing fears of test accuracy in the HIVST, and the lack of counselling can promote 243 increased preference to use HIVST which has wider implications on uptake of HIV testing, 244 linkage to care, viral load suppression and reduced AIDS-related mortality. 245

# 246 Abbreviations

247 HIV: Human Immunodeficiency syndrome; AIDS: Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome;
248 HIVST: HIV self-testing; PLHIV: People living with HIV; HAART: Highly active

249 antiretroviral therapy; CI: Confidence intervals; BNA: Bachelor of Anaesthesia; BSN;

250 Bachelor of Science in Nursing; MBChB: Bachelor of Medicine and Surgery; MRRH: Mbale

251 Regional Referral Hospital; REC.

# 252 **Consent for publication**

253 All authors have provided consent for publication

### 254 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

255 The authors declare no known competing interests.

# 256 Funding

257 There was no funding for the study.

### 258 Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the supplementaryfiles.

### 261 Author's contributions

WN &JE participated in the conceptualization of the research idea, developed the study protocol, and obtained ethical clearance for the study. WN and GN participated in data collection and analysis. WN wrote the first draft of the manuscript while RCN, and JE reviewed the first draft of the manuscript. All the authors met the criteria for authorship and have approved the final draft for publication.

### 267 Acknowledgment

268 We thank the study participants and the faculty in the Department of Nursing, Busitema

269 University for their respective roles in the implementation of this study. We thank Ms.

270 Madeline Powers for editing the manuscript.

### 271

## 272 **References**

- Uganda AIDS Commission; Ministry of Health & UNAIDS (2023). Uganda HIV & AIDS
   FactSheet (Based on data ending in December 2022).
   https://uac.go.ug/index.php?option=com\_sppagebuilder&view=page&id=24&Itemid=
- 140. Last accessed on 01/06/2024.
  2. Karim SSA, Baxter C (2019) HIV incidence rates in adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa. The Lancet Global Health 7: e1470-e1471.
- 3. The United Nations. Department of Economic and Social Affairs Sustainable
  Development. The Sustainable Development Goals. https://sdgs.un.org/goals.
  Accessed on 9th February 2024.
- 4. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Strategy 2021-2026 End Inequalities. End AIDS.
   https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/2021-2026-global-AIDS strategy. Last accessed on 01st June 2024.
- 5. Ajayi AI, Awopegba OE, Adeagbo OA, Ushie BA (2020) Low coverage of HIV testing
   among adolescents and young adults in Nigeria: Implication for achieving the
   UNAIDS first 95. PLoS One 15: e0233368.

- 6. Giguère K, Eaton JW, Marsh K, Johnson LF, Johnson CC, et al. (2021) Trends in
  knowledge of HIV status and efficiency of HIV testing services in sub-Saharan
  Africa, 2000–20: a modelling study using survey and HIV testing programme data.
  The Lancet HIV 8: e284-e293.
- 7. Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) and ICF. 2018. Uganda Demographic and Health
   Survey 2016. Kampala, Uganda and Rockville, Maryland, USA: UBOS and ICF.
- 8. Nwaozuru U, Iwelunmor J, Ong JJ, Salah S, Obiezu-Umeh C, et al. (2019) Preferences for
   HIV testing services among young adults in Nigeria. BMC Health Services Research
   19: 1-9.
- 9. Hamilton A, Thompson N, Choko AT, Hlongwa M, Jolly P, et al. (2021) HIV self-testing
  uptake and intervention strategies among men in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic
  review. Frontiers in public health 9: 594298.
- 10. Indravudh PP, Sibanda EL, d'Elbée M, Kumwenda MK, Ringwald B, et al. (2017) 'I will
   choose when to test, where I want to test': investigating young adults's preferences for
   HIV self-testing in Malawi and Zimbabwe. Aids 31: S203-S212.
- 303 11. Segawa I, Bakeera-Kitaka S, Ssebambulidde K, Muwonge TR, Oriokot L, et al. (2022)
   304 Factors associated with HIV self-testing among female university students in Uganda: 305 a cross-sectional study. AIDS Research and Therapy 19: 59.
- 306 12. Obiezu-Umeh C, Gbajabiamila T, Ezechi O, Nwaozuru U, Ong JJ, et al. (2021) Young
   307 adults's preferences for HIV self-testing services in Nigeria: a qualitative analysis.
   308 BMC public health 21: 1-9.
- 309 13. Wachinger J, Kibuuka Musoke D, Oldenburg CE, Bärnighausen T, Ortblad KF, et al.
  310 (2021) "But I gathered my courage": HIV self-testing as a pathway of
  311 empowerment among Ugandan female sex workers. Qualitative health research 31:
  312 443-457.
- 14. Harichund C, Moshabela M (2018) Acceptability of HIV self-testing in sub-Saharan
   Africa: scoping study. AIDS and Behavior 22: 560-568.
- 15. Inwani I, Chhun N, Agot K, Cleland CM, Rao SO, et al. (2021) Preferred HIV testing
  modalities among adolescent girls and young women in Kenya. Journal of Adolescent
  Health 68: 497-507.
- 16. Koris AL, Stewart KA, Ritchwood TD, Mususa D, Ncube G, et al. (2021) Youth-friendly
   HIV self-testing: Acceptability of campus-based oral HIV self-testing among young
   adult students in Zimbabwe. PLoS One 16: e0253745.
- 17. Iliyasu Z, Haladu ZA, Iliyasu BZ, Kwaku AA, Nass NS, et al. (2024) A Qualitative Study
   of HIV Testing Experiences and HIV Self-Testing Perspectives among Men in
   Northern Nigeria. Nursing Research and Practice 2024.
- 18. Aizobu D, Wada YH, Anyanti J, Omoregie G, Adesina B, et al. (2023) Enablers and
  barriers to effective HIV self-testing in the private sector among sexually active
  youths in Nigeria: A qualitative study using journey map methodology. PLoS One 18:
  e0285003.
- 19. Krause J, Subklew-Sehume F, Kenyon C, Colebunders R (2013) Acceptability of HIV
   self-testing: a systematic literature review. BMC public health 13: 1-9.
- 20. Vara PA, Buhulula LS, Mohammed FA, Njau B (2020) Level of knowledge,
  acceptability, and willingness to use oral fluid HIV self-testing among medical
  students in Kilimanjaro region, Tanzania: a descriptive cross-sectional study. AIDS
  Research and Therapy 17: 1-10.
- 334 21. Stevens DR, Vrana CJ, Dlin RE, Korte JE (2018) A global review of HIV self-testing:
   335 themes and implications. AIDS and Behavior 22: 497-512.

- 22. Iliyasu Z, Kassim RB, Iliyasu BZ, Amole TG, Nass NS, et al. (2020) Acceptability and
   correlates of HIV self-testing among university students in northern Nigeria.
- International journal of STD & AIDS 31: 820-831.