

1 **Exploring the factors associated with prelacteal feeding in Papua New**
2 **Guinea: a population-based cross-sectional study**

3

4 McKenzie Maviso¹, Elias Namosha¹, Georgia Guldan¹

5

6 ¹Division of Public Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New

7 Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

8

9

10

11 Correspondence to McKenzie Maviso; mckenzie.maviso@upng.ac.pg

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 **Objective**

29 Prelacteal feeds disrupt early breastfeeding initiation and exclusive breastfeeding and increase the
30 risk of childhood illnesses and under-five mortality. Despite its negative health outcomes, prelacteal
31 feeding prevails in Papua New Guinea (PNG). This study aimed to investigate the factors associated
32 with prelacteal feeding among women in PNG.

33 **Design and setting**

34 A population-based cross-sectional study based on Demographic and Health Survey data

35 **Setting**

36 Papua New Guinea

37 **Participants**

38 A total weighted sample of 4399 women was included in the study.

39 **Main outcome measures**

40 Prelacteal feeding, modeled using multivariable logistic regression.

41 **Results**

42 About 10% (95% CI: 9 to 11) of women provided prelacteal feeds to their infants in PNG. The most
43 frequently reported prelacteal feed was plain water (71.7%), followed by grains (eg, noodles)
44 (47.1%), dark green leafy vegetables (42.1%), and soup (39.7%). Women with no formal (AOR 1.4,
45 95% CI: 1.0 to 3.0) or primary education (AOR 1.5, 95% CI: 1.0 to 2.9), from the Islands region
46 (AOR 2.3, 95% CI: 1.5 to 3.5), who had a cesarean section (AOR 4.1, 95% CI: 2.4 to 7.2), and who
47 had given birth at home or in the village (AOR 3.7, 95% CI: 2.1 to 6.8) had higher odds of
48 providing prelacteal feeds. No statistically significant association was found between immediate
49 newborn skin-to-skin contact after birth and prelacteal feeding.

50 **Conclusion**

51 Our study underscores the role of sociodemographic and healthcare system factors in prelacteal
52 feeding. Strengthening healthcare providers' capacity to increase mothers' awareness of optimal

53 breastfeeding and promote more health facility births is warranted. Comprehensive breastfeeding
54 education should also be promoted at antenatal clinics and during outreach healthcare activities.

55

56 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

- 57 • The rigor of the methodological approach and representativeness of the survey render its results
58 and recommendations generalisable to the country.
- 59 • The study's findings, based on appropriate estimation adjustments and sample design, are
60 representative of female population in PNG; thus could guide policy development and design
61 for effective infant and child feeding interventions.
- 62 • The study's cross-sectional nature means that a temporal relationship cannot be established
63 between the outcome and independent variables.
- 64 • Prolactal feeding was assessed based on the maternal self-report, which might have resulted in
65 desirability bias; mothers may be less likely to report that they have provided prolactal feeds
66 while knowing its adverse outcomes.
- 67 • The DHS did not collect some important information, such as maternal beliefs, misconceptions,
68 knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards breastfeeding, which could impact prolactal
69 feeding in this context.

70

71 **Introduction**

72 Breastfeeding and human milk are the normative standards for infant feeding and nutrition, offering
73 numerous short- and long-term health benefits for both mother and child [1]. Improving
74 breastfeeding rates could potentially save over 800 000 children under five globally every year [2].
75 Breastfeeding has been proven to decrease childhood diseases such as respiratory tract infections,
76 otitis media, diarrheal diseases, undernutrition, and childhood obesity [2–5]. Also, breastfeeding has
77 the potential to prevent approximately 20 000 deaths from various diseases such as breast cancer,
78 diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular diseases [2,4]. Thus, early initiation of breastfeeding within

79 the first hour after birth, exclusively until six months, and continuing up to two years of age is
80 highly recommended [6].

81
82 Despite the multiple benefits of breastfeeding, not all infants worldwide receive early, exclusive, or
83 continued breastfeeding. Only 37% of infants under five are exclusively breastfed in low- and
84 middle-income countries (LMICs) [2,7], while inappropriate feeding practices account for two-
85 thirds of malnutrition-related deaths during the first 24 months of life [8]. Delays in breastfeeding
86 initiation within the first hour of birth can disrupt exclusive breastfeeding and increase the odds of
87 prelacteal feeding, including neonatal mortality [9,10].

88
89 Prelacteal feeding, which is the provision of any food or liquid to an infant before breastfeeding is
90 established during the first three days [11], has been shown to interrupt breastfeeding initiation and
91 impede exclusive breastfeeding [12–14]. It can also be detrimental to breastfeeding and harmful to
92 health, as it deprives infants of valuable nutrients and antibody-rich colostrum and exposes them to
93 the risk of infection [15–18]. Studies have shown that the type of prelacteal feed given to the
94 newborn is predominantly associated with sociodemographic and economic factors (eg, education,
95 occupation, income), maternal health care service utilization, mode of birth, place of giving birth,
96 breastfeeding education, and unskilled birth attendants [16,19–21]. Other factors such as poor
97 breastfeeding knowledge, breastfeeding problems, maternal illness, delayed initiation of
98 breastfeeding, and social and cultural norms are factors associated with prelacteal feeding [22–25].

99
100 Additionally, prelacteal feeding predisposes infants to pathogenic factors, creating physiological
101 disruptions in the immature gastrointestinal systems, leading to infantile diarrhoea, and affecting
102 infants' development [18,26]. However, despite its consequences, it remains a prevailing nutritional
103 malpractice and a risk indicator for infant morbidity and mortality in LMICs [27]. A population-
104 based analysis of 57 participating countries found that prelacteal feeding remained prevalent in
105 LMICs, with Asia having the highest prevalence (nearly 60%) compared to other regions (<40%)
106 [28]. Similarly, recent studies in the Asia-Pacific, such as Bangladesh, Nepal, and Pakistan, showed

107 high prelacteal feeding rates (29%, 30.2%, and 32%, respectively) [29–31]. Such forms of
108 supplementation can be particularly deleterious in settings where poverty or resource scarcity can
109 impede nutritional potential.

110
111 PNG, a linguistically diverse country, has a strong breastfeeding culture, with an estimated
112 breastfeeding rate ranging from 62% to 85% among children aged less than 24 months [32,33].
113 Prior studies further indicated a high prevalence of early initiation of breastfeeding in the remote
114 settings of Madang (36%) and Gulf (69%) provinces [34,35]. Despite this evidence, a recent DHS
115 finding revealed that 40% of women in PNG delayed breastfeeding initiation within the first hour
116 after birth [36]. Similarly, only about 50% of children aged 6 to 8 months from urban and rural
117 locations in six provinces were fed complementary foods in the country [33]. Suboptimal
118 breastfeeding practices have been associated with maternal age, lack of breastfeeding knowledge
119 and support, poor antenatal education, place and type of birth, poor skin-to-skin contact, and
120 sociocultural norms [25,34,36–38].

121
122 There is therefore evidence that suboptimal breastfeeding and the introduction of prelacteal feeds
123 are common in PNG [25,34,37,38]. However, little is known about factors that may influence
124 prelacteal feeding at the population level. There is also insufficient evidence to determine whether
125 PNG women are aware of the risks associated with prelacteal feeding, such as gastroenteritis and
126 respiratory infections. To bridge the knowledge gap and create successful breastfeeding-targeted
127 interventions, further research is required. Hence, this study aimed to assess factors associated with
128 prelacteal feeding in PNG. The study's results could offer valuable insights for developing
129 innovative strategies to enhance infant and young child feeding and health in the country.

130

131 **Methods**

132 **Data source and sampling**

133 This study used a child dataset from the PNGDHS, a cross-sectional, two-stage cluster sampling
134 study representing the entire country from October 2016 to December 2018. The survey used the
135 census units (CU) list from the 2011 PNG National Population and Housing Census as the sampling
136 frame. Stratification of the sample was achieved by two categories: urban and rural areas, resulting
137 in 43 sampling strata, except for the National Capital District, which had no rural areas. Samples of
138 CUs were selected independently in each stratum in two stages. The first stage involved the
139 selection of 800 CUs, which was done through probability proportional to CU size. The second
140 stage involves the selection of 24 households from each cluster through probability random
141 sampling, resulting in a total sample size of approximately 19200 households. The selected sample
142 consisted of 17505 households. Of the 18175 women identified in the interview households, 15198
143 completed the interviews (84% response rate). The survey used three sets of validated
144 questionnaires to collect data: a household questionnaire, a woman's questionnaire, and a man's
145 questionnaire. The dataset used in this study included all the relevant information on child health
146 from all three questionnaires. Furthermore, the study population for this study was restricted to
147 mothers who had given birth in the last three years to enable comparability with the existing
148 literature and minimize recall bias [39]. Women who had never been pregnant were excluded from
149 the analysis. A total weighted sample of 4399 women with complete information about the
150 variables of interest was included in the final analysis. Details of methodology, sampling design,
151 pretesting, training of field workers, and household selection are in the final report [32].

152 153 **Study variables**

154 The outcome variable for this study was prelacteal feeding. Women were asked during the survey:
155 "In the first three days after birth, was (child's name) given anything to drink or eat other than
156 breast milk?" Their responses were coded as "1" for "yes" if the child was given anything other

157 than breast milk or “0” for “no” if prelacteal feeds were not given. Prelacteal feeding is described as
158 any food or liquid given before the onset of lactogenesis II, that is, the onset of copious breast milk
159 secretion occurring within four days of birth [40]. Infant feeding included plain water as the most
160 commonly reported, juices, powdered milk (non-breast milk), infant formula, fruits (papaya and
161 mango), meat products (eggs, chicken, lamb, and fish), vegetables and tubers (potatoes and
162 cassava), and others [32].

163
164 The independent variables were sociodemographic characteristics, which included maternal age,
165 marital status, education status, occupation, wealth index, region, and place of residence, and
166 frequency of mass media access, which included reading a newspaper or magazine, listening to the
167 radio, and watching television. Maternal health service use variables included the number of
168 antenatal visits in pregnancy, mode of birth, and place of birth. Child-related factors comprised the
169 child’s birth order, perceived birth size, birth weight, initiation of breastfeeding, and newborn skin-
170 to-skin contact immediately after birth.

171

172 **Statistical analysis**

173 Analyses were limited to participants with complete data for the variables of interest using IBM
174 SPSS for Windows, Version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The sample was weighted
175 using the primary sampling unit variable, stratification variable, and weight variable to restore its
176 representativeness and get a better estimate throughout the analysis. Descriptive statistics were used
177 to analyze the sociodemographic characteristics of participants, while inferential statistics were
178 employed for generalization to the entire population through bivariate and multivariate analysis. All
179 the variables, whether significant in the chi-square test or not were included in a multivariable
180 analysis to determine their collective associations with HIV testing. All the analyses were
181 performed using the Complex Sample Analysis procedure which was deemed necessary to adjust
182 for sample weight, and multi-stage

183 sampling [41]. Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported. A
184 $p \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

185

186 Patient and public involvement

187 Patients and the public were not involved in the study.

188 Results

189 Characteristics of the participants

190 **Table 1** presents the characteristics of the participants. A total of 4399 women were included in the
191 study. The mean maternal age of the respondents was 28.9 years (SD = 6.9). About half (50.4%)
192 had completed primary education, and most were not working (69.8%). In terms of mass media
193 exposure, over two-thirds of women never read a newspaper or magazine (67.2%), listened to the
194 radio (69.7%), or watched television (80.2%).

195

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants, PNG, 2016–2018 (N = 4399)

Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Weighted (%)
Age group (years)		
15–24	1323	(30.1)
25–34	2071	(47.1)
35–44	910	(20.7)
45–49	94	(2.1)
Mean age (SD) [†] 28.9 (6.89)		
Marital status		
Never married	159	(3.6)
Married	3984	(90.6)
Separated/divorced	257	(5.8)
Educational level		
No formal education	1140	(25.9)
Primary	2218	(50.4)
Secondary	911	(20.7)
College/tertiary	130	(3.0)
Occupation		
Not working	3071	(69.8)

Working	1328	(30.2)
Wealth index		
Poorest	961	(21.9)
Poorer	900	(20.5)
Middle	911	(20.7)
Richer	881	(20.0)
Richest	745	(16.9)
Frequency of reading a newspaper/magazine (missing, n = 32)		
Not at all	2934	(67.2)
Less than once a week	772	(17.7)
Once a week	661	(15.1)
Frequency of listening to the radio (missing, n = 32)		
Not at all	3043	(69.7)
Less than once a week	769	(17.6)
Once a week	555	(12.7)
Frequency of watching television (missing, n = 26)		
Not at all	3505	(80.2)
Less than once a week	393	(9.0)
Once a week	476	(10.9)
Place of Residence		
Urban	497	(11.3)
Rural	3902	(88.7)
Region		
Southern	900	(20.5)
Highlands	1655	(37.6)
Momase	1192	(27.1)
Islands	653	(14.8)

[†]SD = Standard deviation

196

197 **Maternal and child-related factors**

198 **Table 2** presents maternal and child-related factors. The majority of women had at least one
 199 antenatal visit during their pregnancies (72.8%) and gave birth in a public health facility (59.6%).
 200 Regarding child-related factors, over one-third of the infants (38.1%) had a birth order of four or
 201 more. Most weighed ≥ 2500 g at birth (82.7%) and also half (46.2%) were introduced immediately

202 to newborn skin-to-skin contact after birth with their mothers. About a quarter (25.1%) delayed
 203 initiation of breastfeeding for more than an hour of birth.
 204

Table 2. Maternal and child-related factors, PNG, 2016–2018 (N = 4399)

Characteristics	Frequency (n)	Weighted (%)
Antenatal visits (at least 1) (missing, n = 55)		
No	1182	(27.2)
Yes	3163	(72.8)
Mode of birth		
Vaginal	4276	(97.2)
Cesarean section	124	(2.8)
Place of birth		
Home/village	1779	(40.4)
Public health facility	2621	(59.6)
Birth order		
1 st	1,020	(23.2)
2 nd	901	(20.5)
3 rd	801	(18.2)
4 th or more	1677	(38.1)
Birth size (missing, n = 148)		
Large	1578	(37.1)
Average	1800	(42.3)
Small	873	(20.5)
Birth weight (g) (missing, n = 2,145)		
≤ 2,500	390	(17.3)
≥ 2,500	1864	(82.7)
Newborn skin-to-skin contact (missing, n = 62)		
No	2332	(53.8)
Yes	2005	(46.2)
Initiation of breastfeeding (missing, n = 968)		
Early (<1 hr.)	2570	(74.9)
Delayed (>1 hr.)	861	(25.1)

205
 206
 207

208 **Prevalence of prelacteal feeding**

209 **Table 3** shows the proportion of the type of prelacteal feeds given to newborn infants in PNG. A
 210 total of 433 [9.6% (95% CI: 9 to 11)] weighted proportion] women introduced prelacteal feeds to
 211 their infants other than breastfeeding in the first three days after the birth. The most frequently
 212 reported prelacteal feed was plain water (71.7%), followed by grains (eg, noodles) (47.1%), dark
 213 green leafy vegetables (42.1%) and soup (39.7%).

214

Table 3. Types of prelacteal feeds given to newborn infants, PNGDHS 2016–2018

Prelacteal feeds	Frequency (n = 1988)			
	No	(%)	Yes	(%)
Baby formula	1902	(95.7)	86	(4.3)
Beans, peas, lentils, nuts	1629	(81.9)	359	(18.1)
Dairy products (eg, cheese, yogurt, other milk products)	1870	(94.1)	118	(5.9)
Dark green leafy vegetables	1152	(57.9)	836	(42.1)
Eggs	1583	(79.6)	405	(20.4)
Fruits (eg, mangoes, papayas, other vitamin A fruits)	1361	(68.5)	627	(31.5)
Gave child juice	1436	(72.2)	552	(27.8)
Grains (eg, bread, noodles)	1051	(52.9)	937	(47.1)
Liver, heart, other organs	1881	(94.6)	107	(5.4)
Meat (beef, pork, lamb, chicken, fish)	1699	(85.5)	289	(14.5)
Other liquid	1466	(73.7)	522	(26.3)
Other solid-semisolid food	1405	(70.7)	583	(29.3)
Plain water	563	(28.3)	1425	(71.7)
Soup/clear broth	1199	(60.3)	789	(39.7)
Tinned, powdered or fresh milk	1815	(91.3)	173	(8.7)
Tubers (eg, potatoes, cassava)	1257	(63.2)	731	(36.8)
Vegetables (eg, pumpkin, carrots, squash)	884	(44.5)	1104	(55.5)

215

216 **Factors associated with prelacteal feeding in PNG**

217 **Table 4** shows factors associated with prelacteal feeding in PNG. In the bivariate analysis,
218 educational level, occupation, wealth index, frequency of mass media access (eg, newspaper, radio,
219 and television), region, place of residence, mode of birth, place of birth, and birth weight were all
220 statistically significantly associated with prelacteal feeding. Women with no formal (AOR 1.4; 95%
221 CI: 1.0 to 3.0) or primary education (AOR 1.5, 95% CI: 1.0 to 2.9), from the Islands region (AOR
222 2.3, 95% CI: 1.5 to 3.5), had a cesarean section (AOR 4.1, 95% CI: 2.4 to 7.2), and who had given
223 birth at home or in the village (AOR 3.8, 95% CI: 2.1 to 6.8) had higher odds of providing
224 prelacteal feeds. However, secondary education (AOR = 0.9, 95% CI: 0.5 to 1.8), the Highlands
225 (AOR 0.9, 95% CI: 0.6 to 1.4) and the Momase region (AOR 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4 to 1.1) were
226 protective factors of prelacteal feeding. No statistically significant association was found between
227 immediate newborn skin-to-skin contact after birth and prelacteal feeding ($p = 0.772$).

228

229 **Discussion**

230 In this study, we assessed the prevalence and factors associated with prelacteal feeding in PNG
231 using nationally representative survey data. Overall, one in ten (10%) women had ever introduced
232 prelacteal feeds to their newborn infants. Our finding is similar to the proportion found in studies
233 conducted in East Africa (12%) [21] and Ethiopia (14%) [16,42], but much lower than findings
234 found in studies conducted in other Asian LMICs (33.9%) [17], including Bangladesh (29%) [31],
235 Nepal (30.6%) [29], and Indonesia (45%) [43]. Reasons for the differences in the rates of prelacteal
236 feeding may be due to sociocultural factors, geographical settings, maternal health service use or
237 availability, and access to media and information across different countries.

238

Table 4. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with prelacteal feeding in PNG, 2016–2018 (N = 4399)

Characteristics	Prelacteal feeds		COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	P value*
	No, n = 3967 (%)	Yes, n = 433 (%)			
Age group (years)					0.628
15–24	1215 (30.6)	108 (24.9)	Ref.	Ref.	
25–34	1858 (46.8)	213 (49.2)	1.3 (0.9 to 1.8)	1.3 (0.8 to 1.9)	
35–44	806 (20.3)	104 (24.1)	1.5 (1.0 to 2.0)	1.3 (0.8 to 2.2)	
45–49	87 (2.2)	8 (1.8)	1.0 (0.4 to 2.5)	0.9 (0.4 to 2.8)	
Marital status					0.595
Never married	141 (3.6)	18 (4.2)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)	1.5 (0.7 to 3.1)	
Married	3591 (90.5)	393 (90.8)	1.2 (0.7 to 1.9)	1.3 (0.8 to 2.1)	
Separated/divorced	235 (5.9)	22 (5.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Educational level					0.031
No formal education	1058 (26.7)	82 (19.0)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)	1.4 (1.0 to 3.0)	
Primary	1976 (49.8)	242 (56.0)	0.9 (0.5 to 1.7)	1.5 (1.0 to 2.9)	
Secondary	819 (20.6)	92 (21.3)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)	0.9 (0.5 to 1.8)	
College/tertiary	114 (2.9)	16 (3.7)	Ref.	Ref.	
Occupation					0.382
Not working	2794 (70.4)	277 (64.1)	0.8 (0.6 to 1.0)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.2)	
Working	1173 (29.6)	155 (35.9)	Ref.	Ref.	
Wealth index					0.855

Poorest	888 (22.4)	73 (16.9)	0.6 (0.4 to 0.9)	1.4 (0.6 to 3.1)	
Poorer	821 (20.7)	79 (18.2)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)	1.5 (0.7 to 3.2)	
Middle	830 (20.9)	82 (18.9)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.2)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)	
Richer	768 (19.4)	114 (26.3)	1.2 (0.8 to 1.7)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.6)	
Richest	660 (16.6)	85 (19.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
Frequency of reading a newspaper/magazine (missing, n = 34)					0.358
Not at all	2789 (70.9)	255 (59.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Less than once a week	670 (17.0)	99 (22.9)	1.6 (1.2 to 2.2)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.1)	
Once a week	477 (12.1)	78 (18.1)	1.8 (1.3 to 2.6)	1.2 (0.7 to 2.0)	
Frequency of listening to the radio (missing, n = 49)					0.212
Not at all	2693 (68.5)	242 (56.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Less than once a week	674 (17.1)	97 (22.5)	1.6 (1.2 to 2.3)	1.2 (0.8 to 1.9)	
Once a week	567 (14.4)	93 (21.5)	1.8 (1.3 to 2.7)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.4)	
Frequency of watching television (missing, n = 47)					0.444
Not at all	3189 (80.9)	317 (73.2)	Ref.	Ref.	
Less than once a week	344 (8.7)	49 (11.3)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.2)	1.2 (0.7 to 1.9)	
Once a week	409 (10.4)	67 (15.5)	1.6 (1.2 to 2.3)	1.4 (0.8 to 2.4)	
Region					<0.001
Southern	810 (20.4)	91 (21.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Highlands	1519 (38.3)	135 (31.1)	0.8 (0.6 to 1.1)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.4)	
Momase	1103 (27.8)	89 (20.6)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.2)	0.6 (0.4 to 1.1)	

Islands	535 (13.5)	118 (27.3)	1.9 (1.3 to 2.9)	2.3 (1.5 to 3.5)	
Place of Residence					0.081
Urban	423 (10.7)	74 (17.1)	Ref.	Ref.	
Rural	3541 (89.3)	358 (82.9)	0.6 (0.3 to 0.9)	0.5 (0.2 to 1.1)	
Antenatal visits during pregnancy (at least 1 or more) (missing, n = 69)					0.278
No	1083 (27.6)	99 (23.5)	0.8 (0.6 to 1.1)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.2)	
Yes	2840 (72.4)	323 (76.5)	Ref.	Ref.	
Mode of birth					<0.001
Vaginal	3875 (97.7)	401 (92.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
Cesarean section	92 (2.3)	32 (7.4)	3.4 (1.9 to 5.7)	4.1 (2.4 to 7.2)	
Place of birth					0.001
Home/village	1588 (40.0)	190 (44.0)	1.2 (0.9 to 1.5)	3.7 (2.1 to 6.8)	
Health facility	2378 (60.0)	242 (56.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Birth order					0.219
1 st	918 (23.1)	102 (23.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
2 nd	833 (21.0)	68 (15.7)	0.7 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.63 (0.4 to 1.0)	
3 rd	721 (18.2)	80 (18.5)	0.9 (0.7 to 1.4)	0.83 (0.5 to 1.3)	
4 th or more	1494 (37.7)	183 (42.2)	1.1 (0.8 to 1.5)	0.91 (0.6 to 1.5)	
Birth size (missing, n = 195)					0.600
Large	1429 (37.3)	150 (35.7)	0.9 (0.7 to 1.3)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.3)	
Average	1617 (42.2)	182 (43.2)	1.0 (0.7 to 1.4)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	

Small	784 (20.5)	89 (21.1)	Ref.	Ref.	
Birth weight (g) (missing, n = 2145)					0.293
≤ 2500	347 (9.3)	43 (10.5)	Ref.	Ref.	
≥ 2500	1660 (44.5)	204 (49.6)	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	
Skin-to-skin contact (missing, n = 85)					0.772
No	2104 (53.8)	228 (53.4)	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.9 (0.7, 1.3)	
Yes	1805 (46.2)	199 (46.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
Initiation of breastfeeding (missing, n = 968)					0.466
Early (< 1 hr.)	2341 (75.3)	230 (71.0)	Ref.	Ref.	
Delayed (> 1 hr.)	767 (24.7)	94 (29.0)	1.3 (0.9 to 1.8)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	
*The significance level is set at 0.05. COR = crude odds ratio; AOR = adjusted odds ratio; Ref. = Reference category.					

241 We found a statistically significant association between education level and prelacteal
242 feeding. Women with low educational levels were more likely to practice prelacteal feeding
243 compared to those who were more educated. This finding is consistent with previous studies
244 in sub-Saharan Africa [44] and Indonesia [45] that reported low maternal education as a
245 major determinant of some suboptimal breastfeeding practices. Studies have shown that
246 women who provide prelacteal feeds often come from disadvantaged, poor, and less educated
247 backgrounds [45,46]. Targeted educational and literacy support programs for women with no
248 formal education remain the fundamental prerequisite for imparting breastfeeding and infant
249 feeding information, fostering women's self-confidence, and enhancing the ability to utilize
250 such information effectively [47,48]. This suggests that while formal education is necessary
251 for all women, short-term basic nutrition education and literacy programs will significantly
252 impact women. Therefore, stakeholders and public health institutions are obligated to ensure
253 the provision of affordable, accessible, and family-focused nutrition, and health education
254 programs for these populations.

255
256 Our study found significant variations in prelacteal feeding rates across four major
257 administrative regions of PNG, ranging from 20.6% in the Momase region to 31.2% in the
258 Highlands region. This study further found that women from the Islands region were twice as
259 likely to practice prelacteal feeding compared to those from the Southern region. This could
260 have been influenced by geographic variations (ie, many scattered small islands and atolls),
261 remoteness and lack of health service delivery, and limited access to health information for
262 women. Another possible reason could be the inequitable distribution and allocation of health
263 infrastructure and healthcare services. Access to health services and information is more
264 accessible in some parts of the country, such as the Southern region, surrounding the more
265 centrally located capital, Port Moresby, compared to those in the more distant and peripheral
266 Islands region, which could have contributed to optimal infant feeding practices [36]. The
267 differences in the ethnic composition of different regions and their respective sociocultural

268 norms impacting prelacteal feeding may further offer an alternative explanation [49]. Efforts
269 to improve breastfeeding outcomes should be conceived to consider novel and context-
270 specific strategies for women in remote and isolated settings.

271
272 Regarding the mode of birth, women who delivered by cesarean section were four times more
273 likely to practice prelacteal feeding compared to those who delivered vaginally. These
274 findings align with previous studies conducted elsewhere [16,20,21,43,49], indicating that
275 cesarean sections have been recognised as a risk factor for prelacteal feeding. There are
276 numerous reasons suggesting that maternal recovery from pain, immobilisation, and fatigue
277 following a cesarean section may make mothers struggle with breastfeeding. Maternal
278 recovery from pain, immobilisation, and fatigue post-caesarean section are some of the
279 reasons that may cause difficulties in breastfeeding [21,43]. Another possible explanation is
280 that the post-anaesthesia effect may hinder early breastfeeding initiation, causing mothers to
281 introduce prelacteal feeds instead of breast milk [20,49]. This study suggests that healthcare
282 professionals and birthing facilities may be lacking in the necessary breastfeeding support
283 strategies for caesarean mothers. More education and support are also required for infant
284 feeding and the potential challenges women may encounter following caesarean births.

285
286 Existing studies show significant relationships between home or village birth and prelacteal
287 feeding [21,22,50]. We found that women who gave birth at home or in the village were three
288 times as likely to practice prelacteal feeding compared to those who gave birth in a health
289 facility. Women who give birth at home or in villages may not receive skilled birth attendant
290 supervision, leading to poor newborn and postnatal guidance and care. Additionally,
291 traditional birth attendants may have influenced women to introduce prelacteal feeds instead
292 of initiating early breastfeeding [22,25]. Increasing awareness about health facility births,
293 particularly among disadvantaged and rural women, is necessary to optimise exclusive
294 breastfeeding.

295 **Conclusion**

296 Our study revealed that prelacteal feeding is practised among women in PNG, implying that
297 exclusive breastfeeding behaviours are suboptimal. Women who were less educated, had a
298 cesarean section, had home or village births, and lived in the Islands region were more likely
299 to provide prelacteal feeds. Women and, ideally, families more generally, must have access to
300 effective health information and educational resources that will increase their understanding
301 of infant and young child feeding. This study calls for the strengthening of healthcare
302 providers' capacity to encourage more health facility births and improve mothers' and
303 household awareness of exclusive breastfeeding while addressing misconceptions and other
304 barriers to breastfeeding to reduce prelacteal feeding. Furthermore, breastfeeding counselling
305 and peer support for exclusive breastfeeding at antenatal clinics and outreach healthcare
306 services should be included as an integral component of breastfeeding promotion programs in
307 PNG and other similar settings.

308

309 **Acknowledgements**

310 We are thankful to the ICF International and DHS Program for permitting us to use the 2016–
311 2018 PNGDHS dataset to conduct this study.

312

313 **References**

- 314 [dataset] 1 Binns C, Lee M, Low WY. The Long-Term Public Health Benefits of
315 Breastfeeding. *Asia Pac J Public Health*. 2016;28:7–14.
- 316 [dataset] 2 Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, *et al*. Breastfeeding in the 21st century:
317 epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *The Lancet*. 2016;387:475–90.
- 318 [dataset] 3 Rito AI, Buoncristiano M, Spinelli A, *et al*. Association between
319 Characteristics at Birth, Breastfeeding and Obesity in 22 Countries: The WHO European
320 Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative – COSI 2015/2017. *Obesity Facts*.
321 2019;12:226–43.
- 322 [dataset] 4 Rollins NC, Bhandari N, Hajeebhoy N, *et al*. Why invest, and what it will take
323 to improve breastfeeding practices? *The Lancet*. 2016;387:491–504. doi: 10.1016/S0140-
324 6736(15)01044-2

- 325 [dataset] 5 Wang L, Collins C, Ratliff M, *et al.* Breastfeeding Reduces Childhood Obesity
326 Risks. *Childhood Obesity*. 2017;13:197–204.
- 327 [dataset] 6 World Health Organization, UNICEF. Capture the moment - Early initiation
328 of breastfeeding: the best start for every newborn. Geneva, Switzerland 2018.
329 [https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/UNICEF_WHO_Capture_the_moment_EIBF_](https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/UNICEF_WHO_Capture_the_moment_EIBF_2018.pdf)
330 [2018.pdf](https://www.unicef.org/publications/files/UNICEF_WHO_Capture_the_moment_EIBF_2018.pdf)
- 331 [dataset] 7 Zong X, Wu H, Zhao M, *et al.* Global prevalence of WHO infant feeding
332 practices in 57 LMICs in 2010–2018 and time trends since 2000 for 44 LMICs.
333 *eClinicalMedicine*. 2021;37. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100971
- 334 [dataset] 8 Jones AD, Ickes SB, Smith LE, *et al.* World Health Organization infant and
335 young child feeding indicators and their associations with child growth: a synthesis of
336 recent findings. *The FASEB Journal*. 2013;27:618.12-618.12.
- 337 [dataset] 9 Raihana S, Alam A, Chad N, *et al.* Delayed Initiation of Breastfeeding and
338 Role of Mode and Place of Childbirth: Evidence from Health Surveys in 58 Low- and
339 Middle- Income Countries (2012–2017). *International Journal of Environmental*
340 *Research and Public Health*. 2021;18. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18115976
- 341 [dataset] 10 Smith ER, Hurt L, Chowdhury R, *et al.* Delayed breastfeeding initiation and
342 infant survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12:e0180722.
- 343 [dataset] 11 World Health Organization (WHO). Exclusive breastfeeding for optimal
344 growth, development and health of infants. 2019.
345 https://www.who.int/elena/titles/exclusive_breastfeeding/en/
- 346 [dataset] 12 Chea N, Asefa A. Prolactal feeding and associated factors among newborns
347 in rural Sidama, south Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional survey. *International*
348 *Breastfeeding Journal*. 2018;13:7.
- 349 [dataset] 13 Jimoh A, Adaji S, Adelaiye H, *et al.* Factors associated with prolactal feeding
350 practices in a rural northern Nigerian setting. *null*. 2018;31:37–42.
- 351 [dataset] 14 Wolde TF, Ayele AD, Takele WW. Prolactal feeding and associated factors
352 among mothers having children less than 24 months of age, in Mettu district, Southwest
353 Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. *BMC Research Notes*. 2019;12:9.
- 354 [dataset] 15 Abdulla F, Hossain MdM, Karimuzzaman Md, *et al.* Likelihood of infectious
355 diseases due to lack of exclusive breastfeeding among infants in Bangladesh. *PLOS ONE*.
356 2022;17:e0263890.
- 357 [dataset] 16 Gilano G, Hailegebreal S, Kashala K, *et al.* Individual and community-level
358 factors associated with introducing prolactal feeding in Ethiopia. *Clinical Nutrition*
359 *Open Science*. 2023;52:14–24.
- 360 [dataset] 17 Neves PA, Armenta-Paulino N, Arroyave L, *et al.* Prolactal feeding and its
361 relationship with exclusive breastfeeding and formula consumption among infants in
362 low- and middle-income countries. *J Glob Health*. 2022;12:04104.
- 363 [dataset] 18 Nguyen P, Binns CW, Ha AVV, *et al.* Prolactal and early formula feeding
364 increase risk of infant hospitalisation: a prospective cohort study. *Arch Dis Child*.
365 2020;105:122.

- 366 [dataset] 19 Belachew AB, Kahsay AB, Abebe YG. Individual and community-level
367 factors associated with introduction of prelacteal feeding in Ethiopia. *Archives of Public*
368 *Health*. 2016;74:6.
- 369 [dataset] 20 Molla G, Getachew F, Tadele A, *et al.* Determinants of pre-lacteal feeding
370 practice in Ethiopia: Evidence from the 2019 Mini Demographic and Health Survey
371 (MDHS), a community-based cross-sectional study [version 1; peer review: awaiting peer
372 review]. *F1000Research*. 2023;12. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.124908.1
- 373 [dataset] 21 Teshale AB, Worku MG, Tessema ZT, *et al.* Prelacteal feeding practice and
374 its associated factors among mothers having children less than 2 years of age in East
375 Africa: a multilevel analysis of the recent demographic and health surveys. *International*
376 *Breastfeeding Journal*. 2021;16:68.
- 377 [dataset] 22 Akello R, Kimuli D, Okoboi S, *et al.* Prelacteal feeding among infants within
378 the first week of birth in eastern Uganda: evidence from a health facility-based cross-
379 sectional study. *International Breastfeeding Journal*. 2021;16:77.
- 380 [dataset] 23 Asaro T, Gutema BT, Weldehawaryat HN. Colostrum avoidance practice and
381 associated factors among mothers of infants less than six months in Chencha District:
382 cross-sectional study. *BMC Nutrition*. 2023;9:18.
- 383 [dataset] 24 Gebremeskel SG, Gebru TT, Kassahun SS, *et al.* Magnitude of Prelacteal
384 Feeding and Its Associated Factors among Mothers Having Children Less than One Year
385 of Age: A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study in Rural Eastern Zone, Tigray,
386 Ethiopia. *Advances in Public Health*. 2020;2020:4926890.
- 387 [dataset] 25 Maviso MK, Kaforau LM, Hastie C. Influence of grandmothers on
388 breastfeeding practices in a rural community in Papua New Guinea: A critical discourse
389 analysis of first-time mothers' perspectives. *Women and Birth*. 2023;36:e263–9.
- 390 [dataset] 26 Ogbo FA, Nguyen H, Naz S, *et al.* The association between infant and young
391 child feeding practices and diarrhoea in Tanzanian children. *Tropical Medicine and*
392 *Health*. 2018;46:2.
- 393 [dataset] 27 Tariku A, Biks GA, Wassie MM, *et al.* Factors associated with prelacteal
394 feeding in the rural population of northwest Ethiopia: a community cross-sectional study.
395 *International Breastfeeding Journal*. 2016;11:14.
- 396 [dataset] Early breastfeeding practices: Descriptive analysis of recent Demographic and
397 Health Surveys. *Maternal & Child Nutrition*. 2018;14:e12535.
- 398 [dataset] 29 Khanal V, Lee AH, Karkee R, *et al.* Prevalence and factors associated with
399 prelacteal feeding in Western Nepal. *Women and Birth*. 2016;29:12–7.
- 400 [dataset] 30 Kumar R, Amir-ud-Din R, Ahmed J, *et al.* Correlates of early initiation of
401 breast feeding and prelacteal feeding: a cross-sectional study in Sindh province of
402 Pakistan. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13:e069902.
- 403 [dataset] 31 Sultana F, Sheba IT. Factors Associated With Pre-Lacteal Feeding Practices
404 Among Mothers Having Children Aged 0-36 Months in Bangladesh: Evidence From
405 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. *Global Pediatric Health*.
406 2022;9:2333794X2210795.

- 407 [dataset] 32 National Statistical Office (NSO) [Papua New Guinea], ICF. Papua New
408 Guinea Demographic and Health Survey 2016-18. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea,
409 and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NSO and ICF 2019.
410 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR364/FR364.pdf>
- 411 [dataset] 33 Pham BN, Silas VD, Okely AD, *et al.* Breastfeeding Rate, Food
412 Supplementation, Dietary Diversity Among Children Aged 6–59 Months, and Associated
413 Factors in Papua New Guinea. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2021;8:18.
- 414 [dataset] 34 Kuzma J. Knowledge, attitude and practice related to infant feeding among
415 women in rural Papua New Guinea: a descriptive, mixed method study. *International*
416 *Breastfeeding Journal*. 2013;8:16.
- 417 [dataset] 35 Goris JM, Zomerdijk N, Temple VJ. Nutritional status and dietary diversity of
418 Kamea in Gulf province, Papua New Guinea. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*.
419 2017;26:665.
- 420 [dataset] 36 Seidu A-A, Ahinkorah BO, Agbaglo E, *et al.* Determinants of early initiation
421 of breastfeeding in Papua New Guinea: a population-based study using the 2016-2018
422 demographic and health survey data. *Archives of Public Health*. 2020;78:124.
- 423 [dataset] 37 Maviso MK, Ferguson B, Kaforau LM, *et al.* A qualitative descriptive inquiry
424 into factors influencing early weaning and breastfeeding duration among first-time
425 mothers in Papua New Guinea’s rural eastern highlands. *Women and Birth*. 2022;35:e68–
426 74.
- 427 [dataset] 38 Terry B, Kebio L, Kuzma J. Knowledge, attitude and practice of mothers
428 towards breastfeeding in rural Papua New Guinea: a mixed method study. *Papua New*
429 *Guinea Medical Journal*. 2015;58:22.
- 430 [dataset] 39 Li R, Scanlon KS, Serdula MK. The validity and reliability of maternal recall
431 of breastfeeding practice. *Nutrition reviews*. 2005;63:103–10.
- 432 [dataset] 40 Neville MC, Morton J. Physiology and Endocrine Changes Underlying
433 Human Lactogenesis II. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 2001;131:3005S-3008S.
- 434 [dataset] 41 West BT. Statistical and methodological issues in the analysis of complex
435 sample survey data: Practical guidance for trauma researchers. *Journal of Traumatic*
436 *Stress*. 2008;21:440–7.
- 437 [dataset] 42 Argaw MD, Asfaw MM, Ayalew MB, *et al.* Factors associated with prelacteal
438 feeding practices in Debre Berhan district, North Shoa, Central Ethiopia: a cross-
439 sectional, community-based study. *BMC Nutrition*. 2019;5:14.
- 440 [dataset] 43 Rahmartani LD, Carson C, Quigley MA. Prevalence of prelacteal feeding and
441 associated risk factors in Indonesia: Evidence from the 2017 Indonesia Demographic
442 Health Survey. *PLOS ONE*. 2020;15:e0243097.
- 443 [dataset] 44 Berde AS, Ozcebe H. Risk factors for prelacteal feeding in sub-Saharan
444 Africa: a multilevel analysis of population data from twenty-two countries. *Public Health*
445 *Nutrition*. 2017;20:1953–62.
- 446 [dataset] The multiple factors of suboptimal early feeding practices among infants aged 0–
447 5 months in Indonesia. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2023;10. doi:
448 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2023.1080727>

- 449 [dataset] 46 Zakarija-Grković I, Šegvić O, Vučković Vukušić A, *et al.* Predictors of
450 suboptimal breastfeeding: an opportunity for public health interventions. *European*
451 *Journal of Public Health.* 2016;26:282–9.
- 452 [dataset] 47 Abdulahi M, Fretheim A, Argaw A, *et al.* Breastfeeding Education and
453 Support to Improve Early Initiation and Exclusive Breastfeeding Practices and Infant
454 Growth: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial from a Rural Ethiopian Setting.
455 *Nutrients.* 2021;13:1204.
- 456 [dataset] 48 Valero-Chillerón MJ, Mena-Tudela D, Cervera-Gasch Á, *et al.* Influence of
457 Health Literacy on Maintenance of Exclusive Breastfeeding at 6 Months Postpartum: A
458 Multicentre Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19:5411.
- 459 [dataset] 49 Merga BT, Balis B, Fekadu G, *et al.* Determinants of pre-lacteal feeding
460 practices among mothers having children aged less than 36 months in Ethiopia: Evidence
461 from 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. *SAGE Open Medicine.* 2021;9. doi:
462 10.1177/20503121211019235
- 463 [dataset] 50 Legesse M, Demena M, Mesfin F, *et al.* Prelacteal feeding practices and
464 associated factors among mothers of children aged less than 24 months in Raya Kobo
465 district, North Eastern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *International Breastfeeding*
466 *Journal.* 2014;9:189.

467

468 **Supplementary materials**

469 STROBE Checklist

470

471 **Footnotes**

472 **Contributors:** MM conceptualized and designed the study. MM and EN conducted statistical
473 analyses. MM drafted the original manuscript. MM, EN and GG contributed to the writing
474 and editing of the manuscript. MM and GG reviewed and edited the manuscript. All the
475 authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript. MM is the guarantor and
476 accepts full responsibility for the work.

477

478 **Funding:** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding
479 agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

480

481 **Competing interests:** None declared.

482

483 **Patient consent for publication:** Not required.

484

485 **Ethics approval:** The 2016–2018 PNGDHS protocol was reviewed and approved by the
486 Institutional Review Board (IRB) of ICF and IRB of host country. Informed consent was
487 obtained from all participants before the interviews were conducted. For this study, a formal
488 request to use the raw data was obtained from the DHS program. Permission was granted by
489 the DHS program to access the data, which was anonymized for analysis.

490

491 **Provenance and peer review:** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

492

493 **Data availability statement:** The 2016–2018 PNGDHS data are publicly available in a
494 public, open-access repository. Data can be obtained upon a registration-access request from
495 the DHS Program website at <https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm>.