

Depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms among university students from Bogotá, Colombia and electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) use: Findings from a prevalence survey 2021

Short title: Mental health symptoms among university students and ENDS use.

María Camila García Durán^{1¶}, **María Catalina Botero Ruge**^{1¶}, **Gustavo Perdomo Patiño**^{2&}, **Adriana Pulido Álvarez**^{1&},
Manuela Lüchau,^{1&} **Elizabeth Borrero**^{1&}, **Sergio Moreno**^{1,3&}

1 Population Health, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia

2 Mental Health Department, Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá, Bogotá, Colombia

3 School of Medicine, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

* Corresponding author

Email: maria.botero@fsfb.org.co (MCB)

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work, and are both main authors

& These authors also contributed equally to this work

1 **Abstract**

2 The use of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) has increased in recent years, particularly among young people. The
3 association between mental health disorders and conventional cigarettes is well established. However, information on ENDS
4 use and mental health is still emerging. This study i) determines the frequency of depression, anxiety and stress symptoms
5 among university students in Bogotá, Colombia, ii) examines the association between sociodemographic variables and mental
6 health symptoms, and iii) analyses whether there is an association between tobacco and/or ENDS use and depression, anxiety
7 and/or stress. The study is cross-sectional, analytical, retrospective and based on a multistage random sample. 3850 students
8 from 21 universities completed an online survey and were included as participants. We assessed demographic variables,
9 tobacco use, ENDS use and symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress. 667 participants from our sample had a previous
10 diagnosis of a mental disorder, and the most common diagnoses were any type of anxiety disorder (7.27%) or depressive
11 disorder (6.70%). Ever use of conventional cigarettes was 63.71% and ever use of ENDS was 31%. More than 65% of the
12 participants had mild symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress. However, between 16.4% and 19.5% of participants
13 reported severe or very severe symptoms of these three diagnoses. Severe or very severe symptoms were more likely to be
14 reported by women, public university students and those from higher socio-economic backgrounds. Those who reported
15 having tried conventional cigarettes or ENDS had more severe or extremely severe symptoms than those who had never tried
16 them. Multivariate analysis using ordinal logistic regression showed that depression, anxiety and stress levels were more
17 severe in participants who had tried ENDS. In addition, having nuclear family members, family members or close friends with
18 a history of nicotine use was positively associated with higher levels of depression, anxiety and stress.

19
20
21
22

23 Introduction

24 Electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS), also known as electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) or vapers, are battery-powered
25 devices used to inhale, smoke or 'vape' an aerosol that usually contains flavourings and nicotine, as well as a range of other
26 chemicals such as propylene glycol, glycerol and vegetable glycerine, and a range of metals that can be highly toxic, such as
27 lead, chromium and nickel [1]. These devices began to be marketed in the United States around 2010, and their use has
28 increased rapidly in recent years [2].

29 According to the American Academy of Paediatrics (2019), the rate of people in the United States who have tried electronic
30 nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) at least once in their lifetime doubled between 2013 and 2016. In 2016, the overall
31 prevalence of ever-users of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) was about 16.4%, and the prevalence of current users
32 was 5.6%. Among non-tobacco smokers, the prevalence of ever-users of ENDS was 7.0% and the prevalence of current users
33 was 1.5%. The prevalence of use of these devices among the tobacco smoking population showed that 54.7% had ever used
34 ENDS, while 19.4% were current users of both tobacco and ENDS [3].

35 The increase in the use of ENDS may be related to the fact that these devices are perceived as less harmful than regular
36 cigarettes [4], as a mechanism for socialising with peers due to the social rituals built around them [5], or as a smoking
37 cessation tool. However, ENDS are controversial because of their long-term health effects [6], [7], [8] and their impact on
38 smoking cessation is unclear in the literature [9], [10], [11], [12]. In particular, among adolescents and young adults, there is
39 evidence of an association between e-cigarette use and increased risk of cigarette smoking initiation [13], [14], as well as
40 increased average and frequency of cigarette consumption [15].

41 The link between mental health and the use of conventional cigarettes is well established. Tobacco smoking is associated
42 with an increased risk of developing depression or anxiety [16], [17]. Adults with mental health problems have
43 disproportionately high rates of cigarette smoking [18], [19], [20] and have a lower life expectancy than the general
44 population as a result of this habit [21]. However, information on e-cigarette use and mental health is still emerging.

45

46 A nationally representative study of adults in the United States found that ENDS-only, cigarette-only or dual users were more
47 likely to report ever and in the past 12 months internalising and externalising problems than those who did not report using
48 tobacco products [22]. Similarly, other studies suggest that people with mental health problems are more likely to have tried
49 and/or used e-cigarettes than those without mental health problems or psychological distress [23], [24], [25], [26]. The
50 findings are similar among young adults. Studies suggest that students with a history of mental health problems are more
51 likely to use cigarettes [27], [28], [29], and that vaping is associated with conventional smoking, cannabis use and/or alcohol
52 use [30], [31], [32].

53 The relationship between depressive symptoms and ENDS use has been studied more extensively. Some cross-sectional
54 studies in young populations suggest that ENDS use is associated with increased odds of reporting depressive symptoms and
55 suicidal ideation [33], [34]. One longitudinal study found a bidirectional association between depressive symptoms and e-
56 cigarette use [35]. Greater depressive symptoms at age 14 years were associated with a faster rate of e-cigarette escalation
57 [36]. Findings in adults are similar. People who use e-cigarettes are more likely to report depression [37], and conversely,
58 depressive symptoms have shown positive associations with ENDS use and nicotine concentration in both cross-sectional and
59 longitudinal analyses [38], [39].

60 Similar associations have been found between anxiety and/or stress and ENDS use. Social anxiety has been indirectly
61 associated with e-cigarette initiation [40], and higher anxiety symptoms have been associated with current cigarette and e-
62 cigarette use among college students [41]. A study of a nationally representative sample of US adults reported that e-cigarette
63 use was associated with increased levels of psychological distress [25], [42] and also reported that perceived stress was higher
64 among ENDS users compared with non-users. Perceived stress has been associated with a higher risk of transition to current
65 e-cigarette use among ever-users and continued use among current users [42], [43].

66 Colombia has the second highest use of these devices in the Andean region (16.1%), ahead of Ecuador (27.4%) and followed
67 by Bolivia and Peru (12.8% and 12.5% respectively) [44]. ENDS are the third most used legal substance in this country, after
68 alcohol and conventional cigarettes [45]. The prevalence of ever use of ENDS is 15.4% among high school students [46] and
69 16.1 % among university students, with higher prevalence rates among males than females [44]. The population aged 18-24
70 years has the highest rates of ever and monthly use [45]. This age group corresponds to the age of most university students.

71 Prevalence rates of depression and anxiety among university students are higher than 30% in some regions of the country,
72 such as Bucaramanga, Medellin and the Atlantic coast, where studies have suggested there can be an unexplored and
73 increased risk in this population [47], [48], [49], [50].
74 Information on the association between ENDS consumption and mental health among university students is not available for
75 the Colombian population. The present study aimed to a) determine the frequency of symptoms related to depression,
76 anxiety and stress among university students in Bogotá, b) examine the association between socio-demographic variables
77 and these mental health conditions in our population, and c) determine if there is an association between tobacco and/or e-
78 cigarette use and depression, anxiety and/or stress. The results obtained may identify at-risk groups, inform student health
79 sectors, and contribute to public policies and services for university students.

80

81 **Materials and Methods**

82 Participants and data collection

83 This study is part of a project entitled: "Prevalence and psychosocial factors associated with ENDS consumption in university
84 populations of Bogota" [51]. The design of the study was cross-sectional, analytical and based on a multistage random sample.
85 The sample size (3985) was calculated using an estimated margin of error of 5%, an estimated prevalence of ENDS use of
86 16.6%, an interclass correlation coefficient of 4% and a significance level of 5%. The first sampling stage consisted of the
87 Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) registered in Bogotá, Colombia, selected proportionally to their size based on the number
88 of students. The second stage consisted of the random selection of programmes in each of the selected HEIs. Substitutions
89 were made in the event of no acceptance or no response from an HEI.
90 Students were invited to participate in an online survey hosted in the cloud research software REDCap[®][52], consisting of 64
91 questions related to socio-demographic data, tobacco use, ENDS use, tobacco and/or ENDS use during the pandemic, and
92 mental health (depression, anxiety and stress). Participants were eligible if they were 18 years or older and enrolled in one

93 of the selected programmes and universities in Bogotá. Informed consent, approved by the Ethics Committee of the Santa Fe
94 Foundation of Bogotá (approval code CCEI-10765-2019), was provided before the questions were administered, in
95 accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all relevant guidelines and ethical regulations. The survey took approximately
96 10-15 minutes to complete. No financial incentives were offered. Data were collected from March 2021 to November 2021.
97 3850 students completed the survey and were included in the study.

98

99 Measures

100 **Demographics:** We used the following demographic information as control variables in the model: gender (male/female),
101 age, socioeconomic level (low/middle/high), type of university (private/public), number of children, and whether they had
102 worked and studied in the last 6 months. It is important to clarify that in Colombia there are seven socioeconomic levels (from
103 0 to 6), which we recoded into low (0-2), middle (3-4) and high (5-6) according to what is used in the country.

104 **Other variables of interest** included in the analyses (and their possible responses) were a) people living with the participant
105 (both parents / mother or father / other family member / alone / friends / couple / acquaintances / other), b) if they exercised
106 (yes / no), c) if they had ever had a mental health diagnosis (any type of bipolar disorder / depressive disorder / post-traumatic
107 stress disorder / anxiety disorder / schizophrenia or any psychotic disorder / personality disorder / substance or alcohol abuse
108 / eating disorder / none / other disorder not mentioned in the list); (d) whether anyone close to them used nicotine (members
109 of the nuclear family (mother, father, siblings) / family members / couple / close friends / people they live with (housemates)
110 / other close person).

111 **Tobacco use:** Was assessed by the question "Have you ever tried smoking a cigarette in your life, even just once?" (yes/no).

112 **ENDS use:** Was measured by the question "Have you ever used an ENDS (such as electronic cigarettes or vapers)?" (yes/no).

113 **DASS-21:** The Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS-21) is a 21-item scale used to screen for depression, anxiety, and
114 stress. This scale has been validated in Colombia and shows good internal consistency (0.92-0.93)[53]. Each subscale is

115 assessed through 7 items. Participants are asked to score the questions from 0 (did not apply to me at all) to 3 (applied to me
116 very much or most of the time); thus, every subscale has a maximum score of 21. Higher scores represented greater levels of
117 the condition. The cut-off points used to determine the severity of depression were 5-6 (mild), 7-10 (moderate), 11-13
118 (severe), and 14 or more (extremely severe); to determine the severity of anxiety were 4 (mild), 5-7 (moderate), 8-9 (severe)
119 and 10 or more (extremely severe); and to determine the severity of stress were 8-9 (mild), 10-12 (moderate), 13-16 (severe)
120 and 17 or more (extremely severe).

121 Statistical analysis

122 Descriptive analysis was performed using measures of central tendency and dispersion for the quantitative variables and
123 absolute and relative frequencies for the qualitative variables, stratified by level of depression according to the DASS-21 scale.
124 The possible factors associated with the different levels of depression, anxiety and stress were assessed using an ordinal
125 logistic regression model. The candidate variables for the model were based on the literature review and their possible
126 contextual plausibility. Multivariate models were estimated, considering first-order interactions to assess potential
127 confounders, and a reduced model was constructed based on the Furnival-Wilson algorithm, reporting the logarithm of
128 likelihood, Akaike's information criterion, and Bayesian information criterion. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) were reported as
129 measures of association with their corresponding confidence intervals. The goodness of fit of the model and its underlying
130 assumptions were assessed using a linearity test, the parallelism or proportional odds test, collinearity using the VIF and
131 analysis of deviance residuals. The a priori significance level was set at 5%. The statistical analysis was performed in
132 Stata16MP.

133 **Results**

134 A total of 3850 participants were included in this study. The demographic characteristics of the population and some other
135 variables of interest are described in Table 1. Most of our sample (61%) were women, of the total sample 3527 (92%) were

136 between 18 and 26 years old and 93% were studying in a private university. More than half of the participants belonged to a
 137 middle socio-economic level (53%), followed by a low socio-economic level (37.16%). Of the total sample, over 70% of the
 138 participants worked and studied at the same time in the last 6 months, and almost the same percentage reported not having
 139 any children. Most participants reported living with their parents and/or in a couple and more than half of the students
 140 reported doing some kind of physical activity (54.1%). Finally, participants reported that their family members (31.9%), close
 141 friends (30.13%) and members of their nuclear family (28.16%) used nicotine.

142 **Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study population**

143

Variable		N (%)
		N= 3850
Sociodemographic		
Sex	Male	1513 (39%)
	Female	2337 (61%)
Age group	Between 18-26	3527 (91.61%)
	Between 27-60	322 (8.36%)
	Missing data	1 (0.03%)
Socioeconomic Level	Low (0-1-2)	1431 (37.16%)
	Medium (3-4)	2041 (53.01%)
	High (5-6)	334 (8.68%)
	Missing data	44 (1.14%)
Type of university	Public	276 (7.17%)
	Private	3574 (92.83%)
Worked and studied during the past	No	1132 (29.40%)

Variable		N (%)
		N= 3850
6 months	Yes	2710 (70.39%)
	Missing data	8 (0.21%)
Number of children	None	2684 (70.32%)
	1 child	606 (15.88%)
	2 children	395 (10.35%)
	3 or more children	132 (3.46%)
Other variables of interest		
People who live with the participant	Both parents	1203 (31.25%)
	Mother or father	952 (24.73%)
	Another family member	728 (18.91%)
	Alone	267 (6.94%)
	Friends	67 (1.74%)
	Couple	1028 (26.70%)
	Acquaintances	23 (0.60%)
	Other	267 (6.94%)
Exercise (physical activity)	No	1759 (45.69%)
	Yes	2083 (54.10%)
	Missing data	8 (0.21%)
Previous mental health diagnosis	Any type of depressive disorder	258 (6.70%)
	Any type of bipolar disorder	14 (0.36%)
	Postrumatic stress disorder	24 (0.62%)

Variable		N (%)
		N= 3850
	Any type of anxiety disorder	280 (7.27%)
	Schizophrenia or any type of psychotic disorder	6 (0.16%)
	Personality disorder	16 (0.42%)
	Abuse or dependence of psychoactive substances	14 (0.36%)
	Eating disorder	55 (1.43%)
Close people who consume nicotine	Members of the nuclear family	1084 (28.16%)
	Other family members	1228 (31.90%)
	Couple	307 (7.97%)
	Close friends	1160 (30.13%)
	Housemates	82 (2.13%)
	Other close person	489 (12.70%)
ENDS and tobacco use		
Have tried conventional cigarette	Yes	2453 (63.71%)
	No	1389 (36.08%)
	Missing data	8 (0.21%)
Have tried ENDS	Yes	1187 (30.83%)
	No	2630 (68.31%)
	Missing data	33 (0.86%)

146 667 participants had a previous diagnosis of any mental disorder, the most common being any type of anxiety disorder
147 (7.27%) and any type of depressive disorder (6.70%). Most participants have tried conventional cigarettes (63.71%). On the
148 contrary, only 31% of them have ever used ENDS.

149 Table 2 shows the levels of depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms in the study population by sex, type of university and
150 socioeconomic level, as well as by ever using conventional cigarettes or ENDS.

151 **Table 2. Levels of depression, anxiety and stress symptoms stratified by sociodemographic variables and tobacco/ENDS**
152 **use.**

		Depression				Anxiety				Stress			
		Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely severe	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely severe	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely severe
General		2656 (69.0%)	510 (13.2%)	278 (7.2%)	406 (10.5%)	2504 (65.0%)	595 (15.5%)	234 (6.1%)	517 (13.4%)	2782 (72.3%)	437 (11.4%)	425 (11.0%)	206 (5.4%)
Sex	Male	1102 (72.8%)	169 (11.2%)	112 (7.4%)	130 (8.6%)	1080 (71.4%)	201 (13.3%)	77 (5.1%)	155 (10.2%)	1170 (77.3%)	155 (10.2%)	127 (8.4%)	61 (4.0%)
	Female	1554 (66.5%)	341 (14.6%)	166 (7.1%)	276 (11.8%)	1424 (60.9%)	394 (16.9%)	157 (6.7%)	362 (15.5%)	1612 (69.0%)	282 (12.1%)	298 (12.8%)	145 (6.2%)
Type of university	Public	153 (55.4%)	37 (13.4%)	28 (10.1%)	58 (21.0%)	159 (57.6%)	45 (16.3%)	11 (4.0%)	61 (22.1%)	175 (63.4%)	32 (11.6%)	40 (14.5%)	29 (10.5%)
	Private	2503 (70.0%)	473 (13.2%)	250 (7.0%)	348 (9.7%)	2345 (65.6%)	550 (15.4%)	223 (6.2%)	456 (12.8%)	2607 (72.9%)	405 (11.3%)	385 (10.8%)	177 (5.0%)
Socioeconomic level	Low	1036 (72.4%)	175 (12.2%)	93 (6.5%)	127 (8.9%)	982 (68.6%)	183 (12.8%)	82 (5.7%)	184 (12.9%)	1087 (76.0%)	132 (9.2%)	150 (10.5%)	62 (4.3%)
	Middle	1398 (68.5%)	274 (13.4%)	139 (6.8%)	230 (11.3%)	1309 (64.1%)	339 (16.6%)	129 (6.3%)	264 (12.9%)	1460 (71.5%)	243 (11.9%)	217 (10.6%)	121 (5.9%)
	High	197 (59.0%)	53 (15.9%)	42 (12.6%)	42 (12.6%)	186 (55.7%)	64 (19.2%)	23 (6.9%)	61 (18.3%)	201 (60.2%)	60 (18.0%)	55 (16.5%)	18 (5.4%)
	Missing	25 (56.8%)	8 (18.2%)	4 (9.1%)	7 (15.9%)	27 (61.4%)	9 (20.5%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (18.2%)	34 (77.3%)	2 (4.5%)	3 (6.8%)	5 (11.4%)
Having tried conventional cigarette	Yes	1653 (67.4%)	326 (13.3%)	195 (7.9%)	279 (11.4%)	1567 (63.9%)	389 (15.9%)	145 (5.9%)	352 (14.3%)	1732 (70.6%)	292 (11.9%)	290 (11.8%)	139 (5.7%)
	No	995 (71.6%)	184 (13.2%)	83 (6.0%)	127 (9.1%)	929 (66.9%)	206 (14.8%)	89 (6.4%)	165 (11.9%)	1042 (75.0%)	145 (10.4%)	135 (9.7%)	67 (4.8%)
	Missing	8 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Having tried ENDS	Yes	690 (58.1%)	191 (16.1%)	122 (10.3%)	184 (15.5%)	670 (56.4%)	226 (19.0%)	75 (6.3%)	216 (18.2%)	752 (63.4%)	162 (13.6%)	178 (15.0%)	95 (8.0%)
	No	1939 (73.7%)	316 (12.0%)	153 (5.8%)	222 (8.4%)	1809 (68.8%)	364 (13.8%)	159 (6.0%)	298 (11.3%)	2003 (76.2%)	273 (10.4%)	243 (9.2%)	111 (4.2%)
	Missing	27 (81.8%)	3 (9.1%)	3 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (75.8%)	5 (15.2%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (9.1%)	27 (81.8%)	2 (6.1%)	4 (12.1%)	0 (0.0%)

154 Over 65% of participants were classified as having mild symptoms. For depression, 69% of the sample reported mild
155 symptoms, 13.2% reported moderate symptoms and 17.7% reported severe (7.2%) or extremely severe (10.5%) symptoms.
156 For anxiety, 65% of participants reported no or mild symptoms, 15.5% reported moderate symptoms and 19.5% reported
157 severe (6.1%) or extremely severe (13.4%) symptoms. This pattern was relatively similar for stress. Most of the sample had
158 no or mild symptoms (72.3%), 11.4% of them were in the moderate category and 16.4% were in the severe (11.0%) or
159 extremely severe (5.4%) category. Severe or extremely severe symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress were more likely
160 to be reported by women, participants attending public universities and those from higher socioeconomic levels. Those who
161 reported having tried conventional cigarettes or ENDS had more severe or extremely severe symptoms than those who had
162 never tried them.

163 Table 3 shows the multivariate analysis by ordinal logistic regression of socio-demographic and tobacco/ENDS use variables
164 associated with depression, anxiety and stress. Depression was more severe in participants who had tried ENDS (OR: 1.35;
165 95% CI: 1.15-1.59). Higher levels of depression were found in women (OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.19-1.62), students from public
166 universities (OR: 1.53; 95% CI: 1.19-1.98), participants who reported a previous diagnosis of any type of depressive disorder
167 (OR: 4.80; 95% CI: 3.63-6.34) or any type of anxiety disorder (OR: 2.92; 95% CI: 2.23-3.83). Having members of the nuclear
168 family (OR: 1.23; 95% CI: 1.05-1.44), family members (OR: 1.35; 95% CI: 1.15-1.57), or close friends (OR: 1.28; 95% CI: 1.09-
169 1.50) with a history of nicotine use was positively associated with higher levels of depression. Participants with a child (OR:
170 0.62; 95% CI: 0.49-0.79), who lived in a couple (OR: 0.66; 95% CI: 0.53-0.82), or who reported studying and working at the
171 same time in the last 6 months (OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.70-0.96) or doing physical activity (OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.71-0.95) were less
172 likely to have worse depression.

173 **Table 3. Multivariate analysis of the sociodemographic and use of tobacco/ENDS variables associated with depression,**
174 **anxiety and stress.**

175

176

177

	DEPRESSION ^a						ANXIETY ^a						STRESS ^a					
	Multivariate Model ^b			Reduced model ^{c,d}			Multivariate Model ^e			Reduced model ^{f,g}			Multivariate Model ^h			Reduced model ^{i,j}		
	OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]		OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]		OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]		OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]		OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]		OR*	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Having tried ENDS	1,3	1,1	1,6	1,35	1,15	1,59	1,1	0,93	1,3	1,2	1	1,4	1,2	1	1,4	1,47	1,25	1,72
Having tried conventional cigarette	1,1	0,9	1,3				1,1	0,93	1,3				1,2	1	1,4			
Women	1,4	1,2	1,6	1,39	1,19	1,62	1,6	1,4	1,9	1,7	1,4	1,9	1,6	1,4	1,9	1,65	1,41	1,93
Public university	1,5	1,2	2	1,53	1,19	1,98	1,2	0,86	1,5	1,1	0,89	1,5	1,3	1	1,7	1,32	1,01	1,71
Socioeconomic levels																		
Low (0-2)	1						1						1			1		
Middle (3-4)	0,99	0,84	1,2				1	0,89	1,2				1,1	0,93	1,3	1,1	0,93	1,3
High (5-6)	0,97	0,74	1,3				1,1	0,81	1,4				1,3	0,97	1,7	1,3	0,97	1,7
Number of children																		
1 child	0,6	0,47	0,76	0,62	0,49	0,79	0,87	0,69	1,1	0,74	0,6	0,92	0,69	0,53	0,9	1		
2 children	0,39	0,28	0,56	0,41	0,29	0,57	0,69	0,5	0,95	0,53	0,4	0,71	0,7	0,49	1	0,88	0,62	1,26
3 or more children	0,37	0,21	0,65	0,37	0,21	0,65	0,78	0,47	1,3	0,5	0,31	0,79	0,95	0,56	1,6	0,99	0,59	1,66
No children	1			1			1						1			1,77	1,38	2,26
Living with																		
Both parents	0,93	0,73	1,2				1,1	0,86	1,4				0,93	0,73	1,2			
Mom or dad	0,89	0,7	1,1				1	1	1,3				0,98	0,76	1,2			
Other family member	1,1	0,87	1,3				1	0,85	1,2				1,1	0,88	1,3			
Alone	0,94	0,67	1,3				1	0,75	1,5				0,88	0,61	1,3			
Couple	0,63	0,48	0,83	0,66	0,53	0,82	0,98	0,76	1,3	0,88	0,72	1,1	0,84	0,63	1,1	0,8	0,64	0,99
Working and studying for the past 6 months	0,81	0,69	0,96	0,82	0,7	0,96	1	0,87	1,2	0,94	0,81	1,1	1	0,85	1,2	0,91	0,78	1,07
Doing physical activity	0,82	0,71	0,95	0,82	0,71	0,95	0,81	0,7	0,93	0,81	0,7	0,92	0,86	0,74	1	0,87	0,75	1,01
Previous diagnosis																		
Any type of depressive disorder	4,7	3,5	6,2	4,8	3,63	6,34	2,7	2,1	3,6	2,7	2,1	3,6	4,2	3,2	5,4	4,7	3,68	5,99
Any type of anxiety disorder	3	2,3	4	2,92	2,23	3,83	4,1	3,1	5,4	4,3	3,3	5,6						
Having someone with history of nicotine consumption																		
Members of the nuclear family	1,2	1	1,4	1,23	1,05	1,44	1,2	1	1,4	1,2	1	1,4	1,4	1,2	1,6	1,42	1,22	1,67
Family members	1,3	1,1	1,5	1,35	1,15	1,57	1,2	0,99	1,3	1,2	1	1,4	1,2	1,1	1,4	1,34	1,15	1,56
Couple	1	0,78	1,3				1,2	0,91	1,5				1,4	1,1	1,8			
Close friends	1,3	1,1	1,5	1,28	1,09	1,5	1,3	1,1	1,6	1,4	1,2	1,6	1,1	0,9	1,3			
Colleagues	1,2	0,77	2				1,4	0,87	2,1				1,6	1	2,5			

^a Bold numbers highlight the significant associated factors with adequate 95% confidence intervals.

178
179

180 ^b Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3552.357; Log-likelihood Model: -3216.263; AIC: 6486.527; BIC: 6654.659; n=3741
181 ^c Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3636.903; Log-likelihood Model: -3292.140; AIC: 6618.280; BIC: 6724.483; n=3817
182 ^d The reduced model was based on the Furnival-Wilson leaps-and-bounds algorithm; Linearity link test p=0.144, Odds Parallel Brant test p=0.773 for Having tried ENDS
183 ^f Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3776.781; Log-likelihood Model: -3506.099; AIC: 7066.198; BIC: 7234.330; n=3741
184 ^g Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3855.420; Log-likelihood Model: -3582.828; AIC: 7199.657; BIC: 7305.860; n=3817
185 ^h The reduced model was based on the Furnival-Wilson leaps-and-bounds algorithm; Linearity link test p=0.086, Odds Parallel Brant test p=0.147 for Having tried ENDS
186 ⁱ Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3300.127; Log-likelihood Model: -3078.779; AIC: 6211.557; BIC: 6379.689; n=3741
187 ^j Log-likelihood Intercept only: -3372.542; Log-likelihood Model: -3167.020; AIC: 6364.039; BIC: 6457.748; n=3817
188 ^k The reduced model was based on the Furnival-Wilson leaps-and-bounds algorithm; Linearity link test p=0.183, Odds Parallel Brant test p=0.791 for Having tried

189 Anxiety levels were higher in women compared to men (OR: 1.7; 95% CI: 1.4-1.9) and in people who had tried ENDS (OR: 1.2;
190 95% CI: 1-1.4). Participants with a history of depressive disorders (OR: 2.7; 95% CI: 2.1-3.6), anxiety disorders of any type (OR:
191 4.3; 95% CI: 3.3-5.6), or those who reported having close relatives (OR: 1.2; 95% CI: 1-1.4), family members (OR: 1.2; 95% CI:
192 1-1.4), and friends (OR: 1.4; 95% CI: 1.2-1.6) with a history of nicotine use were more likely to have higher levels of anxiety.
193 On the other hand, people who reported being parents of three or more children (OR: 0.5; 95% CI: 0.31-0.79) and those who
194 did some kind of physical activity (OR: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.7-0.92) had lower levels of anxiety.
195 A previous diagnosis of any type of depressive disorder increased the likelihood of having higher levels of stress by 4.7 times
196 (OR: 4.7; 95% CI: 3.6-5.9). Women (OR: 1.65; 95% CI: 1.4-1.9), people who had tried ENDS in their lifetime (OR: 1.47; 95% CI:
197 1.25-1.72) and students at public universities (OR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.0-1.7) had a higher risk of having worse stress levels. This
198 result is similar for people who had members of the nuclear family (OR: 1.4; 95% CI: 1.2-1.6), as well as for family members
199 (OR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.1-1.5) with a history of nicotine consumption, or for people without children (OR: 1.77; 95% CI: 1.3-2.2).
200 Finally, participants who lived with a couple had fewer stress symptoms (OR: 0.8; 95% CI: 0.6-0.9).

201

202 Discussion

203 This study with university students from Bogotá revealed high frequency of symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress in
204 the population, with higher levels of mental health symptoms in people with a previous diagnose of depression or anxiety.
205 This finding is similar to those reported in other small studies with participants from different regions of Colombia [48], [49],
206 [50], [54] but significantly different from the prevalence reported for these age groups in the latest National Mental Health
207 Survey of Colombia (NMHS, 2015) [55]. According to the NMHS, the prevalence of a depressive disorder in the last 30 days is
208 0.5% in adults and 1.1% for an anxiety disorder [55].

209 There are several factors that could explain this difference. First, the present study assesses symptoms of depression and
210 anxiety, whereas the NMHS assesses the prevalence of diagnosed disorders. In addition, the prevalence of mood disorders
211 among students has increased over the years [56], [57]. The COVID-19 pandemic has also had a strong impact on mental

212 health, not only in students but also in the general population. Fear of the disease, isolation and other health-related
213 situations experienced in the year 2020 favoured the increase in the prevalence of mental disorders worldwide [58], [59].
214 The Colombian NMHS describes that affective and anxiety disorders are more common in women [55], which is consistent
215 with the findings of the present study. Both the NMHS and studies aimed at identifying the association between mental health
216 and socio-demographic factors [60] have found a relationship with socioeconomic level of individuals. Results suggest that
217 people with greater socioeconomic vulnerability in their households are more likely to have mental health symptoms. In the
218 case of this study, no relationship was found between socioeconomic level and mental health symptoms.
219 However, we did find a relationship between the type of university the participants attended and the presence of stress and
220 depressive symptoms. We found that students from public institutions were more likely to report more depressive and stress
221 symptoms than those from private universities. Evidence from schools in the United Kingdom has shown that there is a
222 difference in the mental health of students when comparing public and private schools, attributing the differences to
223 contextual factors and to the amount of resources available for the school to invest in the student's wellbeing and educational
224 processes [61]. Although these data come from research in schools, it is possible that these hypotheses could explain the
225 difference found in the present study, given that in Colombia public universities tend to have fewer resources and are highly
226 competitive environments, being much affordable than private institutions. However, it would be important to study this
227 phenomenon further in university contexts to confirm this hypothesis.
228 Working and studying at the same time was found to have an association with emotional symptoms. It could be expected
229 that an increase in the burden of combining academic and work responsibilities would increase distress, however, the findings
230 of this study showed the opposite. Having studied and worked simultaneously in the last six months showed a decrease in
231 the likelihood of reporting symptoms of depression, and no significant relationship was found between this variable and
232 symptoms of stress or anxiety. A possible explanation for this finding is that working and studying is not seen as an additional
233 burden, but as a source of economic stability, which in turn can reduce negative emotional symptoms.
234 Similarly, having children could be seen as a possible additional stressor, nevertheless our model suggests that it reduces the
235 likelihood of reporting symptoms of anxiety and depression. This is consistent with the findings of Lee et al. (2022), who
236 showed that during the pandemic, adults living with children at home had lower rates of isolation-related distress in terms

237 of depression and anxiety [62]. These authors suggest that this may be due to a greater sense of purpose in parenting, as well
238 as the development of social relationships with and through children (such as participation in parenting groups), both of
239 which may contribute to better mental health [62]. The findings of these authors may be a possible explanation to the positive
240 effect of living with a couple on depression and stress as well.

241 The effect of having smokers in the immediate environment also showed a relationship with the mental health of the
242 participants. In 2021, Lee and Kim published an article discussing how adolescent exposure to second hand smoke could
243 increase the risk of mental health problems such as stress, depression, and suicidal ideation [63]. The results of our study
244 showed that nicotine use by family members, other relatives or close friends increased the likelihood of having more
245 symptoms of depression and anxiety, and that use by relatives increased the likelihood of having symptoms of stress. This
246 may be due to both social and biological factors. On the one hand, nicotine consumption is seen as a factor affecting the
247 ability to lead a healthy lifestyle, which has a significant impact on people's physical and mental health, and nicotine
248 environments can be stressful for people [64]. Secondly, nicotine exposure has been found to affect the dopaminergic
249 pathways in the brain, which may ultimately affect people's emotional and behavioural regulation [65]. Opposite to this,
250 doing physical activity – a key element of a healthy lifestyle - was found to be protective for symptoms of depression and
251 anxiety. This result has been repetitively found in multiple studies, including some systematic reviews that have shown there
252 is a relationship between increased physical activity and the reduction of emotional symptoms associated with depression
253 and anxiety [66]. Specifically, exercise has been found to have a positive impact on mental health due to different factors,
254 such as a reduction in muscle tension as well as an increase in the production of certain neurochemicals associated with
255 mood. In addition, focusing attention on physical activity has been shown to help people withdraw their attention from
256 negative thought cycles that are associated with the presence of symptoms of depression and anxiety [67].

257 Finally, our results showed that having tried ENDS increases the likelihood of experiencing symptoms of depression, anxiety
258 and stress. Interestingly, we did not find an association between having tried conventional cigarettes and any mental health
259 symptom. Our findings contribute to other studies that are starting to show a bidirectional association between nicotine use
260 and mental health symptoms [35], [68]. These findings may be partially explained by the perceived desirable effects of
261 tobacco use, as many people see it as a strategy to reduce stress and emotional discomfort. However, these effects are due

262 to the immediate physiological response produced by nicotine and other chemicals in the brain that stimulate the brain's
263 reward system. This brief sensation makes smoking desirable for people with various mental health conditions, as it increases
264 the feeling of control over discomfort. However, the effect is short-lived and, in the long term, smoking can make symptoms
265 worse [68], [69]. This can be seen, for example, in people with anxiety or stress. Initially, nicotine produces a feeling of
266 relaxation and calm that helps to relieve anxiety symptoms, but as this effect wears off, withdrawal symptoms can worsen
267 the symptoms of anxiety and discomfort [70]. Not only a mental health diagnosis, but also a negative perception of the impact
268 of the pandemic on mental health has also been associated with a higher likelihood of ENDS use among Colombian university
269 students [51].

270 In conclusion, our study aimed to investigate the association between the use of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS)
271 and mental health among university students in Colombia, a topic that has been understudied in the local context. Through
272 this study, we aimed to shed light on the frequency of depression, anxiety and stress symptoms among university students,
273 analyse the interplay between socio-demographic variables and mental health conditions, and examine the potential link
274 between ENDS use and mental well-being. The results of our research provide valuable insights into understanding the
275 complex relationship between ENDS use and mental health outcomes.

276 This study has implications for public health policy and interventions targeting university students. Future research should
277 delve deeper into the underlying mechanisms driving the observed associations, allowing for the design of more targeted and
278 effective interventions. Overall, our findings highlight the importance of addressing mental health issues and nicotine use
279 together to create healthier and more resilient university environments. Furthermore, further research should focus on the
280 need for comprehensive tobacco control policies and targeted interventions to mitigate the potential adverse effects on
281 mental health. The identification of socioeconomic vulnerability as a factor contributing to ENDS use and associated
282 symptoms highlights the importance of tailored strategies to support vulnerable populations and promote mental well-being.
283 Among the strengths of this study, we highlight that the study sample included students from different universities, based on
284 a probabilistic sampling, with the aim of obtaining inferential results and representing the results of the study. In addition,
285 this is the first study of its kind in Colombia that analyses the relationship between ENDS consumption and mental health
286 symptoms. Furthermore, as ENDS consumption is a relatively recent phenomenon, the available information on the

287 characteristics of ENDS consumption is limited. This type of study is of great value in generating evidence that provides an
288 overview of the use of these devices and assists decision-makers in designing public health policies that ensure the well-being
289 of the general population.

290 Despite its strengths, our study has some limitations. First, due to the cross-sectional design of the study, it is not possible to
291 establish a causal relationship between the demographic and consumption variables and the presence of symptoms of
292 depression, anxiety and stress. In this case, we can only show associations between the variables. For this reason, it would
293 be important to carry out studies with other designs in order to extend the available information on the relationship between
294 socio-demographic factors, ENDS consumption and mental health symptoms. Secondly, although a probability sample was
295 constructed with the intention of making the conclusions generalisable, it is important to mention that there was a greater
296 participation of students from private universities during the process, so there is an overrepresentation of this population. In
297 addition, the data collected were self-reported, which may affect the reliability of the information, especially when reporting
298 mental health symptoms associated with social stigma, potentially leading to social desirability bias.

299
300

301 **Acknowledgements**

302 We would like to acknowledge and thank Sandra Liliana Osses R. and Diana Carolina Maldonado V., who were part of our
303 research team and contributed significantly to the findings of this project.

304

305 **References**

306 [1] World Health Organization, "Electronic nicotine and non nicotine delivery systems. A brief," Copenhagen,
307 2020. [Online]. Available: <http://www.euro.who.int/pubrequest>

- 308 [2] S. E. Adkison *et al.*, “Electronic nicotine delivery systems: International Tobacco Control Four-Country
309 Survey,” *Am J Prev Med*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 207–215, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.10.018.
- 310 [3] R. Greenhill, M. Res, L. Dawkins, C. Notley, M. D. Finn, and J. J. D. Turner, “Adolescent Awareness and Use
311 of Electronic Cigarettes: A Review of Emerging Trends and Findings,” *Journal of Adolescent Health*, vol.
312 59, pp. 612–619, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.08.005.
- 313 [4] I. Pericot-Valverde, D. E. Gaalema, J. S. Priest, and S. T. Higgins, “E-cigarette Awareness, Perceived
314 Harmfulness, and Ever Use among U.S. Adults,” *Prev Med (Baltim)*, vol. 104, p. 92, Nov. 2017, doi:
315 10.1016/J.YPMED.2017.07.014.
- 316 [5] T. E. Sussan *et al.*, “Electronic cigarette use behaviors and motivations among smokers and non-
317 smokers,” *BMC Public Health*, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4671-3.
- 318 [6] C. Pisinger and M. Døssing, “A systematic review of health effects of electronic cigarettes,” *Prev Med*
319 (*Baltim*), vol. 69, pp. 248–260, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1016/J.YPMED.2014.10.009.
- 320 [7] P. Callahan-Lyon, “Electronic cigarettes: human health effects,” *Tob Control*, vol. 23, no. suppl 2, pp. ii36–
321 ii40, May 2014, doi: 10.1136/TOBACCOCONTROL-2013-051470.
- 322 [8] S. J. Huang, Y. M. Xu, and A. T. Y. Lau, “Electronic cigarette: A recent update of its toxic effects on
323 humans,” *J Cell Physiol*, vol. 233, no. 6, pp. 4466–4478, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1002/JCP.26352.
- 324 [9] H. McRobbie, C. Bullen, J. Hartmann-Boyce, and P. Hajek, “Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation and
325 reduction,” *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, vol. 2014, no. 12, Dec. 2014, doi:
326 10.1002/14651858.CD010216.PUB2/MEDIA/CDSR/CD010216/REL0002/CD010216/IMAGE_N/NCD01021
327 6-CMP-003-02.PNG.
- 328 [10] C. Bullen *et al.*, “Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial of electronic cigarettes versus nicotine
329 patch for smoking cessation,” *BMC Public Health*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Mar. 2013, doi: 10.1186/1471-
330 2458-13-210/FIGURES/1.
- 331 [11] S.-H. Zhu, Y.-L. Zhuang, S. Wong, S. E. Cummins, and G. J. Tedeschi, “E-cigarette use and associated
332 changes in population smoking cessation: evidence from US current population surveys.” *BMJ: British*
333 *Medical Journal*. Accessed: May 23, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2017-
334 32745-001](https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2017-32745-001)
- 335 [12] R. El Dib *et al.*, “Electronic nicotine delivery systems and/or electronic non-nicotine delivery systems for
336 tobacco smoking cessation or reduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *BMJ Open*, vol. 7, no. 2,
337 Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1136/BMJOPEN-2016-012680.
- 338 [13] S. Soneji *et al.*, “Association Between Initial Use of e-Cigarettes and Subsequent Cigarette Smoking
339 Among Adolescents and Young Adults,” *JAMA Pediatr*, vol. 171, no. 8, p. 788, Aug. 2017, doi:
340 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.1488.

- 341 [14] L. M. Dutra and S. A. Glantz, "Electronic cigarettes and conventional cigarette use among U.S.
342 adolescents: a cross-sectional study," *JAMA Pediatr*, vol. 168, no. 7, pp. 610–617, 2014, doi:
343 10.1001/JAMAPEDIATRICS.2013.5488.
- 344 [15] N. Doran *et al.*, "Does e-cigarette use predict cigarette escalation? A longitudinal study of young adult
345 non-daily smokers," *Prev Med (Baltim)*, vol. 100, p. 279, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.YPMED.2017.03.023.
- 346 [16] T. Flensburg-Madsen Trine, M. Bay von Scholten, E. M. Flachs, E. L. Mortensen, E. Prescott, and J. S.
347 Tolstrup, "Tobacco smoking as a risk factor for depression. A 26-year population-based follow-up study,"
348 *J Psychiatr Res*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 143–149, 2011, doi: 10.1016/J.JPSYCHIRES.2010.06.006.
- 349 [17] M. Fluharty, A. E. Taylor, M. Grabski, and M. R. Munafò, "The Association of Cigarette Smoking With
350 Depression and Anxiety: A Systematic Review," *Nicotine Tob Res*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 3–13, Jan. 2017, doi:
351 10.1093/NTR/NTW140.
- 352 [18] J. Gfroerer, S. R. Dube, B. A. King, B. E. Garrett, S. Babb, and T. McAfee, "Vital Signs: Current Cigarette
353 Smoking Among Adults Aged ≥18 Years with Mental Illness — United States, 2009–2011," *Morbidity and*
354 *Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 62, no. 5, p. 81, Feb. 2013, Accessed: May 24, 2023. [Online]. Available:
355 /pmc/articles/PMC4604817/
- 356 [19] B. T. Hagman, C. D. Delnevo, M. Hrywna, and J. M. Williams, "Tobacco use among those with serious
357 psychological distress: results from the national survey of drug use and health, 2002," *Addictive*
358 *behaviors*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 582–592, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2007.11.007.
- 359 [20] J. Drope *et al.*, "Who's still smoking? Disparities in adult cigarette smoking prevalence in the United
360 States," *CA Cancer J Clin*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 106–115, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.3322/CAAC.21444.
- 361 [21] J. Tam, K. E. Warner, and R. Meza, "Smoking and the reduced life expectancy of individuals with serious
362 mental illness," *Am J Prev Med*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 958–966, Dec. 2016, doi:
363 10.1016/J.AMEPRE.2016.06.007.
- 364 [22] B. Kaplan, J. Thrul, and J. E. Cohen, "Association of cigarette and electronic nicotine delivery systems use
365 with internalizing and externalizing problems among US adults: Findings from wave 3 (2015-2016) of the
366 PATH study," *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 6, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0253061.
- 367 [23] S. E. Cummins, S. H. Zhu, G. J. Tedeschi, A. C. Gamst, and M. G. Myers, "Use of e-cigarettes by individuals
368 with mental health conditions," *Tob Control*, vol. 23 Suppl 3, no. Suppl 3, pp. iii48–iii53, Jul. 2014, doi:
369 10.1136/TOBACCOCONTROL-2013-051511.
- 370 [24] C. A. Spears, D. M. Jones, S. R. Weaver, B. Yang, T. F. Pechacek, and M. P. Eriksen, "Electronic nicotine
371 delivery system (ENDS) use in relation to mental health conditions, past-month serious psychological
372 distress and cigarette smoking status, 2017," *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 315–325,
373 Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1111/ADD.14464.
- 374 [25] S. H. Park, L. Lee, J. A. Shearston, and M. Weitzman, "Patterns of electronic cigarette use and level of
375 psychological distress," *PLoS One*, vol. 12, no. 3, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0173625.

- 376 [26] T. Pham, J. V. A. Williams, A. Bhattarai, A. K. Dores, L. J. Isherwood, and S. B. Patten, "Electronic cigarette
377 use and mental health: A Canadian population-based study," *J Affect Disord*, vol. 260, pp. 646–652, Jan.
378 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.JAD.2019.09.026.
- 379 [27] B. Wamamili *et al.*, "Cigarette smoking and e-cigarette use among university students in Queensland,
380 Australia and New Zealand: results of two cross-sectional surveys," *BMJ Open*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. e041705,
381 Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1136/BMJOPEN-2020-041705.
- 382 [28] J. L. King, B. A. Reboussin, J. Spangler, J. Cornacchione Ross, and E. L. Sutfin, "Tobacco product use and
383 mental health status among young adults," *Addictive behaviors*, vol. 77, pp. 67–72, Feb. 2018, doi:
384 10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2017.09.012.
- 385 [29] K. E. Riehm *et al.*, "Mental health problems and initiation of e-cigarette and combustible cigarette use,"
386 *Pediatrics*, vol. 144, no. 1, p. e20182935, 2019, doi: 10.1542/PEDS.2018-2935.
- 387 [30] M. P. Tavoracci, A. Vasiliu, L. Romo, G. Kotbagi, L. Kern, and J. Ladner, "Patterns of electronic cigarette use
388 in current and ever users among college students in France: a cross-sectional study," *BMJ Open*, vol. 6,
389 no. 5, 2016, doi: 10.1136/BMJOPEN-2016-011344.
- 390 [31] M. L. Saddleson *et al.*, "Risky behaviors, e-cigarette use and susceptibility of use among college students,"
391 *Drug Alcohol Depend*, vol. 149, pp. 25–30, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.DRUGALCDEP.2015.01.001.
- 392 [32] J. E. Grant, K. Lust, D. J. Fridberg, A. C. King, and S. R. Chamberlain, "E-cigarette use (vaping) is associated
393 with illicit drug use, mental health problems, and impulsivity in university students.," *Ann Clin Psychiatry*,
394 vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 27–35, 2019, Accessed: Sep. 18, 2022. [Online]. Available:
395 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30699215>
- 396 [33] N. Chadi, G. Li, N. Cerda, and E. R. Weitzman, "Depressive Symptoms and Suicidality in Adolescents Using
397 e-Cigarettes and Marijuana: A Secondary Data Analysis From the Youth Risk Behavior Survey," *J Addict*
398 *Med*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 362–365, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000506.
- 399 [34] Y. Lee and K. S. Lee, "Association of Depression and Suicidality with Electronic and Conventional Cigarette
400 Use in South Korean Adolescents," *Subst Use Misuse*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 934–943, May 2019, doi:
401 10.1080/10826084.2018.1552301.
- 402 [35] W. V. Lechner, T. Janssen, C. W. Kahler, J. Audrain-McGovern, and A. M. Leventhal, "Bi-directional
403 associations of electronic and combustible cigarette use onset patterns with depressive symptoms in
404 adolescents," *Prev Med (Baltim)*, vol. 96, pp. 73–78, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.YPMED.2016.12.034.
- 405 [36] A. F. Moustafa, S. Testa, D. Rodriguez, S. Pianin, and J. Audrain-McGovern, "Adolescent depression
406 symptoms and e-cigarette progression," *Drug Alcohol Depend*, vol. 228, Nov. 2021, doi:
407 10.1016/J.DRUGALCDEP.2021.109072.
- 408 [37] O. H. Obisesan *et al.*, "Association Between e-Cigarette Use and Depression in the Behavioral Risk Factor
409 Surveillance System, 2016-2017," *JAMA Netw Open*, vol. 2, no. 12, Dec. 2019, doi:
410 10.1001/JAMANETWORKOPEN.2019.16800.

- 411 [38] M. L. Pergadia, J. W. Newcomer, and D. G. Gilbert, "Depression and Nicotine Withdrawal Associations
412 with Combustible and Electronic Cigarette Use," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 24, pp. 1–5,
413 Dec. 2020, doi: 10.3390/IJERPH17249334.
- 414 [39] E. Wiernik *et al.*, "Electronic cigarette use is associated with depressive symptoms among smokers and
415 former smokers: Cross-sectional and longitudinal findings from the Constances cohort," *Addictive
416 Behaviors*, vol. 90, pp. 85–91, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2018.10.021.
- 417 [40] J. D. Buckner *et al.*, "E-cigarettes use prior to smoking combustible cigarettes among dual users: The roles
418 of social anxiety and E-cigarette outcome expectancies," *Addictive behaviors*, vol. 117, Jun. 2021, doi:
419 10.1016/J.ADDBEH.2021.106854.
- 420 [41] K. Masaki, R. M. Taketa, M. K. Nakama, C. T. Kawamoto, and P. Pokhrel, "Relationships Between
421 Depressive Symptoms, Anxiety, Impulsivity and Cigarette and E-cigarette Use Among Young Adults,"
422 *Hawaii J Health Soc Welf*, vol. 81, no. 3, p. 51, Mar. 2022, Accessed: Jun. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available:
423 /pmc/articles/PMC8899082/
- 424 [42] J. Y. Kim *et al.*, "Nicotine Dependence and Stress Susceptibility in E-Cigarette Smokers: The Korea
425 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2013-2017," *Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul)*, vol. 84, no. 2,
426 pp. 159–166, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.4046/TRD.2020.0166.
- 427 [43] D. S. Mantey, S. I. Clendennen, A. Sumbe, A. V. Wilkinson, and M. B. Harrell, "Perceived stress and E-
428 cigarette use during emerging adulthood: A longitudinal examination of initiation, progression, and
429 continuation," *Prev Med (Baltim)*, vol. 160, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1016/J.YPMED.2022.107080.
- 430 [44] Oficina de las Naciones Unidas Contra la Droga y el Delito (UNODC), "III Estudio epidemiológico andino
431 sobre consumo de drogas en la población universitaria, Informe Regional, 2016. (III Andean
432 epidemiological study on drug use in the university population, Regional Inform, 2016)," Lima, 2017.
433 Accessed: May 29, 2022. [Online]. Available:
434 [https://www.unodc.org/documents/peruandecuador/Informes/Otros/Informe_Universitario_Regional.p
435 df](https://www.unodc.org/documents/peruandecuador/Informes/Otros/Informe_Universitario_Regional.pdf)
- 436 [45] DANE, "Encuesta Nacional de Consumo de Sustancias Psicoactivas (ENCPSA) 2019 (Colombian National
437 Survey of psychoactive substances)," Bogotá, Colombia, 2019. Accessed: Mar. 31, 2023. [Online].
438 Available: [https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/estadisticas-por-tema/salud/encuesta-nacional-de-
439 consumo-de-sustancias-psicoactivas-encspa](https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/estadisticas-por-tema/salud/encuesta-nacional-de-consumo-de-sustancias-psicoactivas-encspa)
- 440 [46] Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social and Universidad del Valle, "Encuesta Nacional de Tabaquismo en
441 Jóvenes de Colombia 2018 (ENTJ) (National Youth Tobacco Survey)," Cali, 2020. Accessed: Mar. 31, 2023.
442 [Online]. Available: [https://www.minsalud.gov.co/salud/publica/epidemiologia/Paginas/Estudios-y-
443 encuestas.aspx](https://www.minsalud.gov.co/salud/publica/epidemiologia/Paginas/Estudios-y-encuestas.aspx)
- 444 [47] V. Castellanos Páez, S. M. Mateus Gómez, I. R. Cáceres Durán, and P. A. Díaz Vargas, "Caracterización de
445 la depresión en estudiantes universitarios," *Revista Salud, Historia Y Sanidad*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 37–50,
446 Jan. 2016, Accessed: Aug. 21, 2023. [Online]. Available:
447 <https://agenf.org/ojs/index.php/shs/article/view/200>

- 448 [48] L. P. Montoya Vélez, J. A. Gutiérrez, B. E. Toro Isaza, M. A. Briñón Zapata, E. Rosas Restrepo, and L. E.
449 Salazar Quintero, "Depresión en estudiantes universitarios y su asociación con el estrés académico," *CES*
450 *med*, pp. 7–17, 2010, Accessed: Jun. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available:
451 <http://revistas.ces.edu.co/index.php/medicina/article/view/1011/735>
- 452 [49] S. Vinaccia Alpi and A. Ortega Bechara, "Variables asociadas a la ansiedad-depresión en estudiantes
453 universitarios," *Universitas Psychologica*, vol. 19, pp. 1–13, 2020, doi:
454 10.11144/JAVERIANA.UPSY19.VAAD.
- 455 [50] J. A. Cardona-Arias, D. Pérez-Restrepo, S. Rivera-Ocampo, J. Gómez-Martínez, and Á. Reyes, "Prevalencia
456 de ansiedad en estudiantes universitarios," *Diversitas: Perspectivas en Psicología 2015*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp.
457 79–89, 2015, Accessed: Jun. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available:
458 <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=67943296005>
- 459 [51] D. C. Maldonado Viasús *et al.*, "ENDS consumption in students of higher education: Phenomenon on the
460 rise during the COVID-19 pandemic," *Tob Induc Dis*, vol. 20, no. November, pp. 1–11, Nov. 2022, doi:
461 10.18332/TID/154970.
- 462 [52] P. A. Harris *et al.*, "The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform
463 partners," *J Biomed Inform*, vol. 95, p. 103208, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208.
- 464 [53] F. J. Ruiz, M. B. García Martín, J. C. Suárez Falcón, and P. Odriozola González, "The hierarchical factor
465 structure of the Spanish version of Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale -21.," *International Journal of*
466 *Psychology & Psychological Therapy*, vol. 17, pp. 97–105, 2017.
- 467 [54] V. Castellanos Páez, S. M. Mateus Gómez, I. R. Cáceres Durán, and P. A. Díaz Vargas, "CARACTERIZACIÓN
468 DE LA DEPRESIÓN EN ESTUDIANTES UNIVERSITARIOS," *Revista Salud, Historia y Sanidad*, vol. 11, no. 1,
469 pp. 37–50, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.
- 470 [55] Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social, Universidad Javeriana, and Colciencias, *Encuesta Nacional de*
471 *Salud Mental 2015*. Bogotá: Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social, 2016. Accessed: Dec. 04, 2022.
472 [Online]. Available: [https://www.minjusticia.gov.co/programas-](https://www.minjusticia.gov.co/programas-co/ODC/Publicaciones/Publicaciones/CO031102015-salud_mental_tomol.pdf)
473 [co/ODC/Publicaciones/Publicaciones/CO031102015-salud_mental_tomol.pdf](https://www.minjusticia.gov.co/programas-co/ODC/Publicaciones/Publicaciones/CO031102015-salud_mental_tomol.pdf)
- 474 [56] C. Álamo, Z. Antúnez, T. Baader, J. Kendall, M. Barrientos, and D. de la Barra, "The sustained increase of
475 mental health symptoms in Chilean university students over three years," *Rev Latinoam Psicol*, vol. 52,
476 no. 1, pp. 71–80, 2020, doi: 10.14349/RLP.2020.V52.8.
- 477 [57] A. K. Ibrahim, S. J. Kelly, C. E. Adams, and C. Glazebrook, "A systematic review of studies of depression
478 prevalence in university students," *J Psychiatr Res*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 391–400, 2013, doi:
479 10.1016/J.JPSYCHIRES.2012.11.015.
- 480 [58] S. Nochaiwong *et al.*, "Global prevalence of mental health issues among the general population during
481 the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Sci Rep*, vol. 11, no. 1,
482 Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1038/S41598-021-89700-8.

- 483 [59] M. Escobar Lux, M. Mayorga Merino, A. Viviescas, and J. M. Escobar, "The other pandemic: Mental illness
484 in young people from low and middle-income countries," *Curr Opin Psychol*, vol. 52, p. 101642, Aug.
485 2023, doi: 10.1016/J.COPSYC.2023.101642.
- 486 [60] Y. Jin *et al.*, "Prevalence and Socio-Demographic Correlates of Poor Mental Health Among Older Adults in
487 Agricultural Areas of China," *Front Psychiatry*, vol. 11, p. 1076, Nov. 2020, doi:
488 10.3389/FPSYT.2020.549148/BIBTEX.
- 489 [61] M. Henderson, J. Anders, F. Green, and G. Henseke, "Does attending an English private school benefit
490 mental health and life satisfaction? From adolescence to adulthood,"
491 <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2022.2040951>, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 539–553, 2022, doi:
492 10.1080/0305764X.2022.2040951.
- 493 [62] S. J. Lee, K. P. Ward, A. Grogan-Kaylor, and V. Singh, "Anxiety and Depression During COVID-19: Are
494 Adults in Households with Children Faring Worse?," *J Gen Intern Med*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 1328–1330, Apr.
495 2022, doi: 10.1007/S11606-021-07256-9/FIGURES/2.
- 496 [63] E. Lee and K. Y. Kim, "The Association between Secondhand Smoke and Stress, Depression, and Suicidal
497 Ideation in Adolescents," *Healthcare*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.3390/HEALTHCARE9010039.
- 498 [64] R. Wang *et al.*, "Association between passive smoking and mental distress in adult never-smokers: a
499 cross-sectional study," *BMJ Open*, vol. 6, no. 7, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1136/BMJOPEN-2016-011671.
- 500 [65] H. Wang, F. Li, Y. Zhang, F. Jiang, and J. Zhang, "The association between exposure to secondhand smoke
501 and psychological symptoms among Chinese children," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–7, Jul.
502 2019, doi: 10.1186/S12889-019-7006-8/TABLES/4.
- 503 [66] A. Ströhle, "Physical activity, exercise, depression and anxiety disorders," *J Neural Transm*, vol. 116, no. 6,
504 pp. 777–784, Jun. 2009, doi: 10.1007/s00702-008-0092-x.
- 505 [67] C. Sher and C. Wu, "Physical activity and mental health: Comparing between-person and within-person
506 associations in longitudinal analysis," *Ment Health Phys Act*, vol. 25, p. 100546, Oct. 2023, doi:
507 10.1016/j.mhpa.2023.100546.
- 508 [68] World Health Organization, "The vicious cycle of tobacco use and mental illness – a double burden on
509 health." Accessed: Jul. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/08-11-
510 2021-the-vicious-cycle-of-tobacco-use-and-mental-illness-a-double-burden-on-health](https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/08-11-2021-the-vicious-cycle-of-tobacco-use-and-mental-illness-a-double-burden-on-health)
- 511 [69] National Institute on Drug Abuse, "Is nicotine addictive? | National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)."
512 Accessed: Jul. 04, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://nida.nih.gov/publications/research-reports/tobacco-
513 nicotine-e-cigarettes/nicotine-addictive](https://nida.nih.gov/publications/research-reports/tobacco-nicotine-e-cigarettes/nicotine-addictive)
- 514 [70] Australian and New Zealand Mental Health Association, "Emotional Effects of Smoking." Accessed: Jul.
515 04, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://anzmh.asn.au/blog/addiction/emotional-effects-of-smoking>

516

517 **Targeted journal:** PLOS Global public health

518 **Submission guidelines:** <https://journals.plos.org/globalpublichealth/s/submission-guidelines>

519