

## **Enhancing Blood Availability in Latin America: A Study on Public Perceptions and Barriers to Blood Donation in Guatemala during the COVID-19 Pandemic**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** Guatemala faces a significant challenge with one of the lowest voluntary blood donation rates in Latin America, a problem further exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. This study aimed to investigate the population factors influencing blood donation behavior in Guatemala during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Methods:** Conducted between August and September 2020, this cross-sectional electronic anonymous survey employed purposive sampling. Participants were questioned about their donation history, knowledge of donation processes, preferences, and barriers and motivators for blood donation. Quantitative and qualitative data were collected and analyzed. Comparative analyses were conducted based on gender, age, and education level. Regression analysis was used to identify predictors of blood donation behavior. Open-ended responses were studied via thematic content analysis.

**Results:** Among the 1141 respondents, 53.5% reported a history of blood donation. Most donations occurred via referred donations to family or friends (78.5%). Factors such as male gender, older age, and higher education were associated with previous blood donation. Familiarity with donation centers and willingness to donate strongly influenced donation behavior. Among never donors, 89% expressed willingness to donate. Constraints in accessing donation centers, limited operation hours, insufficient knowledge about the donation process, and concerns over hygiene and safety were identified as the most prevalent barriers to donation.

**Conclusions:** Respondents demonstrated a strong willingness to donate blood voluntarily. Understanding demographic and population factors is critical to inform tailored initiatives to facilitate access to voluntary blood donation in Guatemala.

### **Keywords:**

voluntary blood donation, blood transfusion, blood supply, COVID-19 pandemic, Latin

America, Guatemala

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## Author list:

- 1. Carolina Torres Perez-Iglesias, MD**  
ctorresp@bidmc.harvard.edu  
Program in Global Surgery and Social Change, Harvard Medical School  
641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA  
Contributions: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, writing – original draft preparation, writing - review and editing
- 2. Jose C. Monzon, MD, MSc**  
josecarlosmonzon@hotmail.com  
Universidad Rafael Landivar, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud  
Vista Hermosa III Campus Central zona 16, Guatemala City 01016, Guatemala  
Contributions: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, project administration, resources, validation, writing – original draft preparation, writing - review and editing
- 3. Isabella Faria, MD**  
isabellaxfaria@gmail.com  
Program in Global Surgery and Social Change, Harvard Medical School  
641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA  
Contributions: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing – original draft preparation, writing - review and editing
- 4. Shreenik Kundu, MD, MSc**  
shreenikkundu@gmail.com  
Program in Global Surgery and Social Change, Harvard Medical School  
641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA  
Contributions: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, writing – review and editing
- 5. Ahsan Zil-E-Ali, MD**  
azileali@pennstatehealth.psu.edu  
Division of Vascular Surgery, Penn State University  
500 University Drive, C4523, Mail Code H047, Hershey, PA 17033, USA  
Contributions: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, writing – original draft preparation
- 6. Rashi Jhunjunwala, MD, MA**  
rjhunju@bidmc.harvard.edu  
Program in Global Surgery and Social Change Harvard Medical School  
641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA  
Contributions: writing - review and editing
- 7. Nakul Raykar, MD, MPH**  
nraykar@bwh.harvard.edu  
Program in Global Surgery and Social Change Harvard Medical School  
641 Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA  
Contributions: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, , methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - review and editing
- 8. Sabrina Asturias, MD, MSc**  
sabrinaa@ufm.edu  
Universidad Francisco Marroquin, Facultad de Medicina  
6 Avenida 7-55, zona 10, Guatemala City, Guatemala  
Contributions: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, writing - review and editing

## INTRODUCTION

Ensuring sufficient and safe access to blood products is a critical component of universal healthcare. Low- and middle-income countries bear a significant proportion of the global burden of diseases, including communicable, maternal-neonatal, nutritional, and traumatic conditions, that often require blood transfusions.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, these nations commonly grapple with a range of challenges that contribute to the limited availability of blood products, including insufficient integration of blood systems into national health frameworks, disparities in healthcare infrastructure, resource constraints for the collection, processing, and storage of blood products, and persistently low rates of voluntary blood donation. Regrettably, over the last decade, voluntary blood donation rates in Latin America and the Caribbean have experienced a concerning decline,<sup>2</sup> a trend further exacerbated by the recent COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>3</sup>

Historically, countries in Latin America have depended on replacement-based donation systems, wherein patients must arrange for blood through acquaintances or paid donations rather than relying on voluntary, altruistic contributions.<sup>4,5</sup> This approach is particularly entrenched due to the region's diverse demographic and cultural landscape, introducing additional complexities and misconceptions that affect the culture of voluntary blood donation. The COVID-19 pandemic has further amplified concerns regarding the hygiene and safety of blood donation, requiring blood centers to implement enhanced screening protocols to ensure the safety and trust of donors and blood recipients. Given the unmet demand for blood products in the region, there is an urgent need to identify the specific challenges that serve as barriers to voluntary blood donation and to implement targeted strategies to address them.

This study aimed to delve into the factors contributing to the limited availability of blood products in Guatemala, a country with the second-lowest voluntary blood donation rate in Latin America.<sup>6</sup> Additionally, it emphasizes the profound ramifications of voluntary blood donation for the region's public health and healthcare equity and highlights the urgent urgency to fortify initiatives to this end.

## **METHODS**

### **Study design**

A cross-sectional survey of the population in Guatemala was conducted between August and September 2020 by Dona Guate, a non-profit organization committed to promoting a positive culture of blood donation in Guatemala.<sup>7</sup> The study aimed to identify public perceptions of voluntary blood donation, discern individual factors that motivate or impede donation and uncover any potential challenges added by the COVID-19 pandemic. The survey was anonymous and administered electronically. It comprised an 11-question instrument in Spanish that featured a combination of multiple-choice, drop-down, and open-ended questions. The translated version of the survey in English can be found in Appendix 1.

### **Study distribution**

The survey was disseminated through a snowball sampling method through Dona Guate's social media platform and mailing lists. Participation was entirely voluntary, and no form of compensation was provided. Incomplete responses, individuals under 18, and those residing outside of Guatemala at the time of the survey were excluded from the study.

## **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using Stata 16.1 statistical software.<sup>8</sup> The association between study groups for the categorical variables was assessed using Pearson's Chi-Square test, which compared the observed and expected responses. The results, absolute percentages, and the count of participants in each category are presented. A multivariate logistic regression model was constructed to identify factors linked with blood donation, including only variables with a p-value <0.05 from the univariate analysis. All the variables analyzed in both the univariate and multivariate regression models were reported with unadjusted and adjusted odd ratios, respectively, along with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . The qualitative data from the open-ended question underwent thematic content analysis and was reviewed by two independent coders (CT, IF). Frequencies of these identified themes are also presented. This research was approved by the Harvard Longwood Campus Institutional Review Board under protocol IRB 23-0135.

## **Ethics statement**

This study involved collecting data through an anonymous survey distributed to members of the population. The survey was carefully designed to ensure that no personally identifiable information was collected from participants. As such, the responses could not be traced back to any individual, thereby maintaining complete anonymity.

Given the nature of the data collection and the measures taken to ensure anonymity, the study was deemed to pose minimal risk to participants. The survey focused on gathering non-sensitive information, and there was no potential harm or distress to participants. In accordance with these considerations, it was determined that the study did not meet the criteria for requiring Institutional Review Board approval.

## RESULTS

### Characteristics of Respondents

During the study period, 1141 responses were gathered, primarily from an urban sample of 62.5% (n = 713) of females and 37.5% (n = 428) of males. From these, 94% (n = 1073) resided in Guatemala City at the time of the survey. Two-thirds of the sample were under 40, and over 80% (n = 955) reported a college education or higher. Further demographic details of the respondents are provided in Table 1.

Among the respondents, 53.5% (n = 610) reported a history of blood donation. Additionally, 78.2% (n = 892) reported knowing where to donate blood, and 87.9% (n = 1,003) expressed no preference regarding the recipient of their donated blood. Only 8.2% (n = 93) were not inclined to donate, and 44.8% (n = 511) were interested in becoming frequent donors (at least once annually).

Comparing donor status (previous donors vs. never donors), male respondents exhibited a higher rate of blood donation compared to females ( $p < 0.001$ ). Respondents under 29 years of age were less commonly previous donors compared to other age groups ( $p = 0.001$ ), whereas those over 60 were more likely to be previous donors ( $p < 0.001$ ). Furthermore, a higher education level correlated with a greater likelihood of being a prior blood donor ( $p = 0.001$ ). Never donors were less likely to know where to donate ( $p < 0.001$ ) and expressed more concern about who receives the donated blood ( $p = 0.032$ ).

Among previous donors (n = 610), the most common avenues for donation included referrals to family or friends (77.8%, n = 475), donations via Red Cross campaigns (10%, n = 61),

donations via Dona Guate campaigns (3.3% n = 20) and other (8.8%, n = 54). Nearly half of the participants reported never having donated before the survey (n = 531). Interestingly, within the group of never donors, 89.1% (n = 473) expressed a willingness to donate in the future. Among them, 39.5% (n = 210) expressed a commitment to do so regularly (at least once per year), 26.7% (n = 142) would consider it in emergencies for family and friends, and 22.8% (n = 121) would do so in emergencies regardless of the recipient.

A regression analysis was performed to identify the factors associated with blood donation in Guatemala (Table 2). The findings revealed that being female was associated with a 58% lower likelihood of blood donation (aOR:0.42 [0.32,0.55]; p < 0.001). Older age also emerged as having a significant association with donation, as the highest odds of previous donation were observed in those aged 60 or older (aOR: 3.59 [2.14, 6.04]; p < 0.001). Additionally, individuals with higher education levels (Master's or Doctorate) demonstrated a higher rate of previous blood donation (aOR: 1.52 [1.03, 2.24]; p = 0.035). Respondents who knew where to donate and those expressing willingness to donate were more likely to have donated blood (aOR: 2.67 (1.96 - 3.67); p < 0.001 and aOR: 3.74 (2.25-6.21); p < 0.001, respectively).

### **Barriers and facilitators to blood donation**

A total of 1120 respondents provided insights on factors that would facilitate or motivate them to donate blood. Among both never donors and previous donors, improved access to donation centers, specifically an increased number of facilities, which are easily accessible and have extended hours of operation, emerged as the most cited facilitator (Figure 1). The second most frequently mentioned facilitator was improved access to information about blood donation, including details about the procedure, duration, pre- and post-procedure requirements, recovery time, and side effects. Despite these challenges, respondents indicated an altruistic desire to

help others as the strongest motivator for donation. Additional challenges identified from survey responses included the need for a more efficient and expedited donation process, increased information on how donated blood is utilized, a lack of incentives for donation, the need for attentive and respectful staff at donation centers, as well as logistical assistance coordinating appointments and reminders for future donations.

Among those who had never donated, the most cited barriers to blood donation included medical contraindications (especially age restrictions and history of transfusion-transmissible infections), unwillingness to donate blood to strangers, and limited operating hours of donation centers. Additional reported barriers can be found in Figure 2. A smaller subgroup also expressed hesitancy regarding the proper utilization of donated blood, expressing apprehension about potential illegal sales or unequal distribution among the population.

## **DISCUSSION**

The availability of a safe and reliable supply of blood products is a cornerstone and a benchmark of the efficacy of a nation's healthcare system. These products serve a vital role in a wide range of medical scenarios, from critical interventions in severe trauma or childbirth to supporting patients with hematologic disorders and chronic illnesses. Globally, the availability of blood products relies heavily on voluntary contributions from altruistic donors. This challenge is paramount in a country like Guatemala, grappling with one of the region's lowest voluntary blood donation rates. The ramifications of this issue extend across various dimensions of the healthcare system, which often lacks the infrastructure and coordination to maximize the limited availability of products. In addition, it is essential to explore the underlying challenges of the population to integrate viable strategies for improvement.



Notably, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the existing shortage of voluntary blood donations in Latin America and the Caribbean. In Guatemala, the decrease from 4.1% to 3.7% of voluntary donors during 2020 underscores the vulnerability of the blood supply chain in times of crisis and the increased urgency to fortify initiatives and strategies to bolster voluntary blood donation, not only as a response to the current pandemic but also in preparation for potential future health emergencies.<sup>9,10</sup>

Despite the willingness to donate blood expressed by over 90% of respondents in this study, various barriers contributing to the low voluntary donation rate were identified. The primary barrier, limited access to donation centers, presents a multifaceted challenge rooted in geographical disparities, transportation constraints, and restricted operating hours. With only 37 transfusional centers serving a population exceeding 17 million inhabitants, addressing this issue is critical for the Guatemalan population. Additionally, the lack of public funding and resources and the absence of a coordinated national blood bank system hinder the efficient collection and distribution of blood products between centers.<sup>11</sup> Rectifying the inefficient management and conservation practices of blood products is also integral to optimizing blood transfusion practices. Improvements in inventory control, expiration monitoring, and timely utilization protocols are critical to improving quality indicators such as the discard rate of blood components, which can be as high as 30% in Guatemala.<sup>6</sup> Overcoming these challenges necessitates a strategic approach involving investment in infrastructure and human resources but has critical potential to help improve the culture of voluntary blood donation in the country. For example, securing the timely utilization of donated products will maximize the impact of voluntary blood donations and address population concerns about the correct utilization of products, particularly in regions affected by violence and corruption. The increased availability of donation opportunities can also assist in counteracting the current inefficient donation

process characterized by extended wait times, inattentive staff, and difficulties in scheduling appointments.

The challenges identified in Guatemala are similar to those encountered in other low- and middle-income countries.<sup>12-16</sup> Particularly in Latin America, countries like Argentina, Costa Rica, Chile, and Nicaragua have implemented successful strategies to improve the availability of blood products, shifting from a replacement-based model to an altruistic donation model, primarily through the reorganization of the structure of the blood collection system. The implementation of the Regional Plan of Action for Transfusion Safety developed by the PAHO, which strategies include the planning and management of the national blood network system, the promotion of voluntary blood donation, the quality assurance, and the appropriate use of blood and blood components, helped increase the voluntary blood donation rate from 38% to 100% in Nicaragua.<sup>17</sup> Chile increased altruistic donation from 8% to 22% by reorganizing autonomously functioning blood collection centers into transfusion centers, modernizing the data collection systems, and implementing mobile blood collection campaigns.<sup>18,19</sup> Mobile blood collection units have proven successful in increasing voluntary donation rates in other LMICs and hold promise as a solution to this multifaceted barrier.<sup>20</sup> These initiatives will require the commitment and leadership of health authorities to integrate these improvements into the national health system.<sup>21</sup> The government's commitment to recognizing the importance of blood transfusion and supporting the health care system with the appropriate infrastructural, human, and financial resources is indispensable for achieving these goals.<sup>22</sup>

The request by survey respondents to increase education around voluntary blood donation presents an excellent opportunity to establish partnerships between the government and civil societies. Targeted educational campaigns are critical to promoting a positive culture of

voluntary blood donation in the country. Community engagement efforts become crucial in establishing trust and confidence among potential donors by dispelling misconceptions and fears surrounding blood donation and providing accurate information about the safety, risks, and impact of blood donation. The design of culturally sensitive and demographically tailored approaches can have a positive impact on the population.<sup>23,24</sup> Furthermore, creating a safe and welcoming atmosphere where donors feel at ease and confident that their requirements during the donation process are being addressed can encourage a willingness to return for future donations.<sup>25</sup>

Lastly, community engagement and partnerships with governmental and civil organizations, such as NGOs, religious institutions, and societies, are necessary to implement tailored policies that support voluntary donation. Reviewing and updating the national guidelines for donor eligibility and blood safety standards to reflect evidenced-based strategies that support voluntary blood donation is critical to improving the voluntary blood donation rate.<sup>26</sup> The WHO/PAHO currently recommends a limit age for blood donation that varies between 65 and 81 years.<sup>27</sup> However, in Guatemala, only those below 55 years of age are allowed to donate blood. The reduction in the interval of time allowed between donations has also proven effective in increasing the blood product supply.<sup>28</sup> In the post-pandemic era, modifying eligibility criteria for donation emerges as an essential strategy to broaden inclusivity for blood donors. Other nations have implemented this measure through partnerships with civil societies by removing questions related to potential high-risk behaviors during donor screening to remove the stigma associated with these and potentially tap into a broader pool of willing donors.<sup>29</sup>

There are important limitations to our study. This electronic survey was distributed through purposive sampling from an NGO (Dona Guate) network, which may have attracted individuals with a higher intention or willingness to donate blood. Additionally, the distribution method of the survey limits our ability to calculate a response rate and impedes the generalizability of the results. Nonetheless, our results are still relevant to the region and can serve as a foundational framework for future research to comprehensively increase voluntary blood donation rates.

### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, the challenges surrounding voluntary blood donation in Guatemala unveil the imperative for region-specific strategies. These strategies encompass a spectrum of initiatives, from fortifying supply chains to enhancing the accessibility and availability of blood transfusions. We can significantly improve voluntary blood donation rates through concerted efforts, including investment in infrastructure, targeted educational campaigns, and community engagement. Promoting voluntary blood donation addresses the immediate need for blood products and improves healthcare outcomes, thereby advancing public health in the region.

### **Acknowledgments:**

The authors would like to acknowledge Dona Guate (<https://www.donaguate.com>) and their commitment to promoting a positive culture of blood donation in Guatemala.

### **Competing Interests:**

The authors have nothing to disclose.

### **Funding Statement:**

No funding was received for this manuscript.

## References:

1. Coates MM, Ezzati M, Robles Aguilar G, Kwan GF, Vigo D, Mocumbi AO, et al. Burden of disease among the world's poorest billion people: An expert-informed secondary analysis of Global Burden of Disease estimates. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(8):e0253073.
2. Pan American Health Organization. Transfusion Blood Supply Latin America and Caribbean (2020) [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Jun 22]. Available from: <https://www.paho.org/en/topics/blood/transfusion-blood-supply-latin-america-and-caribbean-2020#:~:text=In%20Latin%20America%20and%20the,of%202%25%20compared%20to%202017.>
3. Barnes LS, Al-Riyami AZ, Ipe TS, Bloch EM, Sibinga CS, Eichbaum QG, et al. COVID-19 and the impact on blood availability and transfusion practices in low- and middle-income countries. *Transfusion*. 2022 Feb;62(2):336–45.
4. Novelo-Garza B, Duque-Rodríguez J, Mejía-Domínguez AM, Rivas-González MR, Torres-Torres O. Blood safety in Mexico and a perspective on Latin America. *Transfusion and Apheresis Science*. 2019;58(6):102661.
5. Schmunis GA, Cruz JR. Safety of the blood supply in Latin America. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2005 Jan;18(1):12–29.
6. Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Supply of Blood for Transfusion in Latin America and Caribbean Countries [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Jun 22]. Available from: <https://www.paho.org/en/documents/supply-blood-transfusion-latin-america-and-caribbean-countries-2016-2017>
7. Dona Guate [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jun 22]. Dona Guate. Available from: <https://www.donaguate.com>
8. StataCorp. 2019. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.
9. Pan American Health Organization. PAHO calls for solidarity after 20% drop in blood donations in Latin America and the Caribbean during first year of the pandemic - PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Jul 11]. Available from: <https://www.paho.org/en/news/14-6-2022-paho-calls-solidarity-after-20-drop-blood-donations-latin-america-and-caribbean>
10. World Health Organization. Blood safety and availability [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 22]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/blood-safety-and-availability>
9. No Ficción. Así funcionan los bancos de sangre en Guatemala [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2023 Jul 11]. Available from: <https://www.no-ficcion.com/projects/asi-funcionan-los-bancos-de-sangre-en-guatemala>
11. Lownik E, Riley E, Konstenius T, Riley W, McCullough J. Knowledge, attitudes and practices surveys of blood donation in developing countries. *Vox Sang*. 2012 Jul;103(1):64–74.
12. Joshi D, Meakin R. Views and attitudes towards blood donation: a qualitative investigation of Indian non-donors living in England. *BMJ Open*. 2017 Oct 22;7(10):e018279.

13. Hossain MS, Siam MHB, Hasan MN, Jahan R, Siddiquee MH. Knowledge, attitude, and practice towards blood donation among residential students and teachers of religious institutions in Bangladesh - A cross-sectional study. *Heliyon*. 2022 Oct;8(10):e10792.
14. Samreen S, Sales I, Bawazeer G, Wajid S, Mahmoud MA, Aljohani MA. Assessment of Beliefs, Behaviors, and Opinions About Blood Donation in Telangana, India-A Cross Sectional Community-Based Study. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:785568.
15. Beyene GA. Voluntary Blood Donation Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices in Central Ethiopia. *Int J Gen Med*. 2020;13:67–76.
16. Berrios R, Gonzalez A, Cruz JR. Achieving self-sufficiency of red blood cells based on universal voluntary blood donation in Latin America. The case of Nicaragua. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2013 Dec;49(3):387–96.
17. Contreras M, Martínez C, Larrondo M, Cárdenas C. The steps taken for establishing a blood service in Chile. *ISBT Science Series*. 2012;7(1):10–1.
18. Herrera C, Martínez C, Armanet L, Cárcamo A, Boye P, Lyng C. Blood donation in Chile: Replacement and volunteer donors. *Biologicals*. 2010 Jan;38(1):36–8.
19. Halawani AJ. The impact of blood campaigns using mobile blood collection drives on blood supply management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2022 Jun;61(3):103354.
20. The Lancet. Securing better, safer blood supplies in Latin America. *Lancet*. 2014 Oct 11;384(9951):1322.
21. World Health Organization. Towards 100% Voluntary Blood Donation: A Global Framework for Action [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010 [cited 2023 Oct 6]. (WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review Committee). Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK305667/>
22. Telenta J, Jones SC, Francis KL, Polonsky MJ, Beard J, Renzaho AMN. Australian lessons for developing and testing a culturally inclusive health promotion campaign. *Health Promot Int*. 2020 Apr 1;35(2):217–31.
23. Ugwu NI, Uneke CJ, Ugwu CN, Oti WJO, Agbo UN, Akamike IC. Effect of Blood Donor Educational intervention on the knowledge and Attitude towards Voluntary Blood Donation among Medical Students at a Nigerian University. *Niger Med J*. 2020;61(3):163–8.
24. Weidmann C, Derstroff M, Klüter H, Oesterer M, Müller-Steinhardt M. Motivation, blood donor satisfaction and intention to return during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Vox Sang*. 2022 Apr;117(4):488–94.
25. Dona Guate. Dona Guate. 2020 [cited 2023 Oct 6]. Requisitos Para Donadores Sangre Completa. Available from: <https://www.donaguate.com/requisitos>
26. PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization. Eligibility for Blood Donation: Recommendations for Education and Selection of Prospective Blood Donors [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2023 Oct 6]. Available from: <https://www.paho.org/en/documents/eligibility-blood-donation-recommendations-education-and-selection-prospective-blood-0>

27. Di Angelantonio E, Thompson SG, Kaptoge S, Moore C, Walker M, Armitage J, et al. Efficiency and safety of varying the frequency of whole blood donation (INTERVAL): a randomised trial of 45 000 donors. *Lancet*. 2017 Nov 25;390(10110):2360–71.
28. The Lancet Haematology. Removing unfair barriers to blood donation. *Lancet Haematol*. 2021 Dec;8(12):e863.

## Appendix 1. Translated survey instrument in English

1. Gender	<input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female	
2. How old are you?	<input type="checkbox"/> <18 <input type="checkbox"/> 19-29 <input type="checkbox"/> 30-39	<input type="checkbox"/> 40-49 <input type="checkbox"/> 50-59 <input type="checkbox"/> 60+
3. Where do you live?	<input type="checkbox"/> Guatemala City <input type="checkbox"/> Sacatepéquez <input type="checkbox"/> Huehuetenango <input type="checkbox"/> San Marcos <input type="checkbox"/> Quetzaltenango <input type="checkbox"/> Chimaltenango <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Rosa <input type="checkbox"/> Alta Verapaz <input type="checkbox"/> Escuintla	<input type="checkbox"/> Quiché <input type="checkbox"/> Sololá <input type="checkbox"/> Izabal <input type="checkbox"/> Chiquimula <input type="checkbox"/> Baja Verapaz <input type="checkbox"/> Jutiapa <input type="checkbox"/> Petén <input type="checkbox"/> I do not live in Guatemala
4. What is the highest level of education that you have completed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Elementary School <input type="checkbox"/> High School <input type="checkbox"/> Bachelors	<input type="checkbox"/> Master <input type="checkbox"/> Doctorate
5. Have you donated blood in the past?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
6. If you have donated blood in the past, where did you donate? <i>(Select all that apply)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> I donated to a family member/friend/referred donation <input type="checkbox"/> I donated at a donation campaign from the Red Cross <input type="checkbox"/> I donated at a Dona Guate campaign <input type="checkbox"/> I have never donated before <input type="checkbox"/> Others <i>(please specify in the comments)</i>	
7. If you have never donated blood, is there a specific reason? <i>(Select all that apply)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> I have donated before <input type="checkbox"/> I am scared of needles <input type="checkbox"/> I do not know where to donate <input type="checkbox"/> I cannot donate for medical reasons <input type="checkbox"/> I do not trust in the hygiene of the donation facilities <input type="checkbox"/> I do not trust how donated blood is used <input type="checkbox"/> I am afraid of the secondary effects of donating blood <input type="checkbox"/> I would only donate if it was for someone I know <input type="checkbox"/> I am afraid to become infected with diseases through needles <input type="checkbox"/> I cannot donate due to religious beliefs <input type="checkbox"/> My work schedule complicates going to donate blood <input type="checkbox"/> Donation centers are not close to me <input type="checkbox"/> I never thought of it before <input type="checkbox"/> I have a rare type of blood and prefer to donate for emergencies only <input type="checkbox"/> Other <i>(please specify in the comments)</i>	
8. Do you know where you can donate blood in Guatemala?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9. Are you willing to donate blood?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but only for emergencies of family, friends, and people I know <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, but only for emergencies – it does not matter who receives the blood <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, I would like to be a frequent donor (1 time per year) <input type="checkbox"/> I am not interested in donating blood	
10. If you were to donate blood, do you care who receives your blood?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
11. What would facilitate or motivate you to donate blood?	[Open text]	




**Table 1.** Comparison of Baseline Characteristics and Responses based on Previous Donation Status

Variables	N=1,141	Has previously donated N = 610	Has never donated N = 531	P-value
<b>Gender</b>				
Male	428 (37.5)	271 (44.4)	157 (29.6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Female	713 (62.5)	339 (55.6)	374 (70.4)	
<b>Age</b>				
<=29	438 (38.3)	214 (35.1)	224 (42.2)	<b>0.001</b>
30-39	318 (27.9)	159 (26.1)	159 (29.9)	0.172
40-49	151 (13.2)	86 (14.1)	65 (12.2)	0.394
50-59	133 (11.7)	79 (12.9)	54 (10.2)	0.163
60+	101 (8.9)	72 (11.8)	29 (5.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Educational level</b>				
Elementary School/ High School	186 (16.3)	91 (14.9)	95 (17.9)	<b>0.001</b>
Bachelor	550 (48.2)	273 (44.8)	277 (52.1)	
Master/ Doctorate	405 (35.5)	246 (40.3)	159 (30)	
<b>Place of residence</b>				
Guatemala City	1,073 (94)	573 (93.9)	500 (94.2)	0.907
Other	68 (6)	37 (6.1)	31 (5.8)	
<b>Do you know where you can donate blood in Guatemala?</b>				
No	249 (21.8)	87 (14.2)	162 (30.5)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Yes	892 (78.2)	523 (85.8)	369 (69.5)	
<b>If you were to donate blood, do you care who receives your blood?</b>				
No	1,003 (87.9)	548 (89.8)	455 (85.7)	<b>0.032</b>
Yes	138 (12.1)	62 (10.2)	76 (14.3)	
<b>Are you willing to donate blood?</b>				
I am not interested in donating blood	93 (8.2)	35 (5.7)	58 (10.9)	<b>0.001</b>
Yes, but only for emergencies – it does not matter who receives the blood	256 (22.4)	135 (22.1)	121 (22.8)	
Yes, but only for emergencies of family, friends, and people I know	281 (24.6)	139 (22.8)	142 (26.7)	
Yes, I would like to be a frequent donor (1 time per year)	511 (44.8)	301 (49.4)	210 (39.6)	

**Table 2.** Univariate and Multivariate Regression Analyses to identify the factors associated with Blood Donation

	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
<b>Gender</b> Male Female	Reference 0.53 (0.41 - 0.67)	< <b>0.001</b>	0.42 (0.32 - 0.55)	< <b>0.001</b>
<b>Age</b> 19-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60+	Reference 1.05 (0.78-1.40) 1.38 (0.95 - 2.01) 1.53 (1.03 - 2.27) 2.59 (1.62 - 4.15)	 0.757 0.087 <b>0.034</b> < <b>0.001</b>	 1.07 (0.77-1.49) 1.57 (1.05-2.35) 1.76 (1.14-2.71) 3.59 (2.14-6.04)	 <b>0.668</b> <b>0.029</b> <b>0.010</b> < <b>0.001</b>
<b>Educational level</b> Elementary School /High School Bachelor Master / Doctorate	Reference 1.03 (0.74 - 1.43) 1.62 (1.14 - 2.29)	 0.867 <b>0.007</b>	 0.98 (0.69-1.41) 1.52 (1.03-2.24)	 0.934 <b>0.035</b>
<b>Place of residence</b> Guatemala City Other	Reference 1.04 (0.64 - 1.70)	0.871		
<b>Do you know where you can donate blood in Guatemala?</b> No Yes	Reference 2.64 (1.97 - 3.54)	< <b>0.001</b>	2.67 (1.96 - 3.67)	< <b>0.001</b>
<b>If you were to donate blood, do you care who receives your blood?</b> No Yes	Reference 0.67 (0.47 - 0.97)	<b>0.033</b>	0.94 (0.62 - 1.42)	0.756
<b>Are you willing to donate blood?</b> I am not interested in donating blood Yes, but only for emergencies – it does not matter who receives the blood Yes, but only for emergencies of family, friends, and people I know Yes, I would like to be a frequent donor (1 time per year)	Reference 1.84 (1.13-3.01) 1.62 (1.00-2.62) 2.37 (1.50-3.74)	 < <b>0.001</b> <b>0.048</b> < <b>0.001</b>	 2.31 (1.37-3.91) 2.13 (1.27-3.61) 3.74 (2.25-6.21)	 <b>0.002</b> <b>0.004</b> < <b>0.001</b>

**Figure 1.** Most common facilitators and motivators for blood donation (n = 1120)



**Figure 2.** Most common barriers to donation among never donors (n = 531)

