# 1 Manuscript Type: Original Research

- 2 Title: Assessing knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours toward salt and sugar consumption in the
- 3 Central Division of Fiji
- 4
- 5 Gade Waqa<sup>1</sup>\*, Colin Bell<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Alvin Santos<sup>3, 4</sup>, Kris Rogers<sup>3, 5</sup>, Anasaini Moala Silatolu<sup>1</sup>,
- 6 Erica Reeve<sup>2</sup>, Aliyah Palu<sup>3</sup>, Alvina Deo<sup>6</sup>, Jacqui Webster<sup>3</sup>, Briar McKenzie<sup>3</sup>

# 7 Author affiliations:

- 8 1. Pacific Research Centre for the Prevention of Obesity and Non-communicable 9 Diseases (C-POND), Fiji Institute of Pacific Health Research, Fiji National 10 University, Suva, Fiji. 2. Institute for Health Transformation, Global Centre for Preventive Health and 11 12 Nutrition, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia 3. The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Australia 13 4. Department of Business Economics, Health and Social Care (DEASS); University of 14 15 Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland; Manno, Ticino, Switzerland 5. Faculty of Health, The University of Technology Sydney, Australia. 16 17 6. Ministry of Health, Suva, Fiji 18 19 \* Corresponding author Email: gade.waga@fnu.ac.fj 20 21 Abstract Background 22 23 Excessive salt and sugar intake influence the global burden of non-communicable diseases. This study aimed to describe the knowledge, attitudes and behaviours (KAB) of Fijian adults 24 relating to salt and sugar consumption to inform policy interventions in Fiji. 25 26 27 **Methods** A randomised stratified sample of 700 adults in the Central Division of Fiji were selected. 28
- 29 QOTESTIDISTEREPOINT reparts-new atestarci Khat Bas wetter a caditient experiment atheshowing the used of the atestation

Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factor survey, and questions on sugar were developed
following a similar structure. Locally trained research assistants collected data. For analyses,
population and sample weights were applied, and difference between predefined subgroups
(sex, age, ethnicity and area of residence) were compared using weighted chi-square tests.

34

#### 35 **Results**

534 adults participated (response rate, 76%). Over 80% of participants (82% (95% CI 78.5 to 36 37 84.9%)) identified that consuming too much salt or salty sauce can lead to hypertension. More than 90% recognized that consuming too much sugar can lead to diabetes (92.3% (89.7 to 38 94.3%)). Approximately 80% of participants thought it was somewhat or very important to 39 lower salt and sugar intake in their diet (79.8% (95%CI, 76.1 to 83.0) and 84.2% (80.8 to 40 87.1%), respectively). However, self-reported behaviours did not align, with almost 40% 41 adding salt or salty sauces as standard practice when cooking (37.3% (32.7 to 42.2%)) and 65% 42 43 (60.6 to 68.5%) reporting that they add sugar to drinks daily. Younger compared to older individuals (18 to 44 years vs 45 years and older) and men compared to women, had lower 44 45 levels of KAB.

46

# 47 Conclusion

48 Despite having knowledge of the health impacts of consuming excess salt and sugar and 49 positive attitudes towards reducing consumption, many people reported behaviours likely to 50 contribute to high salt and sugar intake. These findings highlight the need for interventions that 51 incentivise healthier choices, through behaviour change communications and the creation of 52 supportive food environments.

53

#### 54 Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) account for approximately 74% of all deaths globally,<sup>1</sup>
with cardiovascular disease accounting for most NCD deaths. The global burden of NCDs is
influenced by dietary factors, particularly excessive salt and sugar intake<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup>. High salt
consumption is linked to elevated blood pressure and increased risks of CVDs such as heart

disease and stroke<sup>4 5</sup>. Excessive sugar intake, especially from sugar-sweetened beverages
 (SSBs), is associated with various health issues, including obesity and diabetes<sup>6-10</sup>.

61

The shift from traditional diets based on locally sourced foods to diets dominated by imported 62 and processed foods has exacerbated the problem of diet-related diseases in the Pacific 63 Islands<sup>11-13</sup>. Evidence suggests an association between the dietary transition to a higher 64 population intake of salt and sugar with the rising prevalence of NCDs<sup>13</sup><sup>14</sup> In 2017, WHO 65 identified reducing sugar and salt among the best ways to prevent and control NCDs<sup>3</sup>. In 66 response, Pacific countries proposed maximum acceptable regional targets for salt in eight 67 selected food categories <sup>15</sup>. However, this initiative was voluntary, and coordinated efforts to 68 reduce salt intake at the population level have been limited<sup>15</sup> <sup>16</sup> leading to ineffective 69 interventions<sup>17</sup>. While the Pacific region, including Fiji, has implemented fiscal policy 70 interventions for SSBs<sup>18 19</sup> in line with WHO recommendations on "best buys<sup>3</sup> these policies 71 72 have not been fully aligned with WHO guidelines and require strengthening to achieve health benefits<sup>18</sup>. 73

74

We have previously identified that salt intake was almost double the maximum amount and sugar intake three times the ideal amount, as recommended by the WHO, highlighting the need for interventions to reduce intake in Fiji<sup>20</sup>. To better understand what interventions are needed, it is essential to assess people's knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours (KAB) toward salt and sugar consumption. Therefore, this study aimed to describe the knowledge, attitudes and behaviours, relating to salt and sugar consumption, of a representative sample of adults in the Central Division of Fiji.

82

#### 83 Materials and methods

This cross-sectional survey was part of a broader study to strengthen food policies in Fiji<sup>21</sup>. The objective of the larger project was to identify factors needed to achieve effective food policy implementation for healthier food environments in the Pacific. The survey aimed to assess salt and sugar intake, salt and sugar-related KABs, food security, and the impact of COVID-19. Findings on salt and sugar intake, food security, and the effects of COVID-19 have been published, with methods for these survey components explained in respective papers<sup>20 22</sup>. Ethics approval for this survey was obtained from the University of New South Wales

91 (HC200469) and the Fiji National University College of Human Health Research Ethical
92 Committee (CHHREC264.20).

93

# 94 Sample size and recruitment

95 Two enumeration areas within the Central Division of Fiji were randomly selected for the 96 survey: Waidamudamu Medical Zone (an urban area) and Deuba Medical Zone (a rural area). 97 A randomized stratified sampling approach was employed, considering age, sex, and ethnicity 98 to ensure representation from demographic groups. The sample size was calculated based on 99 the primary objective of the survey, which was to act as a baseline measure for monitoring changes in salt and sugar intake. Based on an estimated 16% non-response rate, 700 individuals 100 101 (350 for each area) were sampled<sup>20</sup>. This sample size was determined to achieve at least 80% 102 power to detect specific changes in salt intake (0.6g/day with a standard deviation of 3.6) and 103 sugar intake (0.9 absolute percent change with a standard deviation of 5.4) following the 104 intervention with a precision (95% confidence interval) of 7.8%.

Permission to enter villages and conduct the study was gained through the Ministry of iTaukei 105 Affairs of the Fiji government, with relevant approvals obtained from the Provincial Council 106 107 office and the Nasinu and Nausori Municipalities under the Ministry of Local Government. Traditional Fijian customs, such as the "i sevusevu" kava ceremony, were performed to seek 108 109 approval from local village chiefs, leaders of faith-based organizations and selected community members. Information sessions were conducted at neutral locations such as village meeting 110 111 halls, within each village, to inform residents about the survey and address any questions or concerns. Research assistants visited the homes of selected participants, invited them to 112 113 participate in the survey, and provided them with information about the study. If no one aged 18 or above was at home, then a repeat visit was made at a later stage. Participant information 114 115 sheets and consent forms were available in English, Hindi, and Fijian, and oral translations were provided as needed. Eligibility was based on age (aged 18 years or older), and the ability 116 117 to provide written informed consent. Surveys were predominantly conducted on weekdays (Monday – Friday), with arrangements made for weekend data collection as necessary to 118 119 accommodate participants' schedules. Repeat visits were made to households if initial attempts to contact residents were unsuccessful or only individuals under 18 were present. The surveys 120 took place from the first week of March to the last week of June 2022. 121

122

#### 123 Survey instrument, salt and sugar-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviours

The salt and sugar questions used were based on the WHO STEPS survey for salt, with a similar structure followed to develop a set of sugar-specific questions <sup>23</sup>. Prior to implementation, the research team piloted the tool to ensure its comprehensiveness and clarity within the research team. See **supplementary material** for the KAB questionnaire.

128 Dietary salt, according to the WHO STEPS survey questionnaire, was defined as ordinary table

salt, unrefined salts such as sea salt, iodized salt, and salty sauces such as soy sauce or ketchup.

Dietary sugar was defined as raw and white sugar (including sugar lumps), brown sugar, canesugar, caster, and icing sugar.

132 *Knowledge*: Participants were assessed on their knowledge of salt and sugar through three 133 questions each, including knowledge of the recommended daily intake amount, recognition of 134 teaspoon equivalents for the recommended intake, and understanding of the health implications 135 of excessive salt or sugar consumption, with response options indicating various health 136 conditions (e.g. hypertension, diabetes) or "don't know".

*Attitudes:* Attitudes towards salt and sugar intake were evaluated using single questions each,
asking participants to rate the importance of reducing intake in their diet on a 5-point Likert
scale ranging from "very important" to "not at all important" or "don't know."

140 **Behaviours:** Salt and sugar-related behaviours were assessed through six questions each. For salt-related behaviours, inquiries included discretionary salt use during cooking and meal 141 142 consumption; frequency of consuming processed foods high in salt (such as packaged salty snacks, canned salty food including pickles and preserves, salty food prepared at a fast-food 143 144 restaurant, cheese, and processed meat); efforts to reduce salt intake, and specific actions taken to achieve this reduction (e.g., limit consumption of packaged processed foods, limit 145 146 consumption of takeaways/fast food, look at the salt/sodium content on food labels, buy low 147 salt alternatives, use spices other than salt when cooking or other).

For sugar-related behaviours, questions addressed the frequency of consuming drinks with added sugar (hot or cold e.g. coffee, tea, milo/hot chocolate, water, juice); the amount of sugar added to drinks; consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (e.g. fizzy drinks, sodas, juice, raro/concentrate); efforts to reduce sugar intake, and specific actions taken to achieve this reduction (e.g., limit consumption of packaged processed foods, limit consumption of sugarsweetened beverages, limit the addition of sugar to hot or cold drinks, limit the use of instant drink mixes (e.g., coffee mixers), limit consumption of confectionary, limit consumption of

baked goods, like cakes and sweet biscuits, sweet pastries, and ice cream, buy low sugaralternatives, other).

157

158 Responses for all behaviour -related questions ranged from specific frequency options (e.g,

159 "always" to "never") to categorical choices regarding efforts to reduce intake and specific

160 behavioural actions undertaken.

## 161 Data collection

The data collection process involved conducting interviews with participants in their 162 163 homes or other convenient spaces, each lasting approximately an hour. Locally trained research assistants collected data using a mobile Android Package Kit (APK) containing 164 165 the 19 multiple-choice questions designed to assess salt and sugar-related KAB. In addition 166 to the KAB, demographics, health status, and physical measurements were collected. Participant demographic data included sex, age, ethnicity, highest education level, and 167 household living arrangement (living alone vs sharing/living with others). Physical 168 measurements, including height, weight, waist circumference, and three blood pressure 169 readings, were taken. Further information on collecting demographics and physical 170 measurements have been published previously <sup>20 22</sup>. 171

# 172 Data analysis

All data were analyzed using STATA BE V17.0 for Windows (Stata Corp LP, College 173 174 Station, TX, USA). Analyses were weighted to reflect the probability based on the random selection of individuals (sample weight) and to match the appropriate estimates of the 175 population in Deuba and Waidamudamu (population weight). The differences between 176 subgroups (by sex (female, male), age (18-44, 45 years and older), ethnicity (iTaukei or 177 Indigenous Fijians, Fijian of Indian descent or other), and area (Waidamudamu Medical 178 Zone, Medical Zone) were compared using weighted chi-square tests. For all analyses, the 179 svy command in Stata accounted for strata effects, and the Taylor linearization method was 180 181 employed for variance estimation. Results were reported as mean (for continuous variables) or proportion (for categorical variables) with standard error (SE) or 95% confidence interval 182 (CI) as appropriate. 183

184

#### 185 **Results**

## 186 **Demographics and health status**

A total of 534 people completed the survey (response rate 76%). Half of the population were female (50.4%), and the mean age of the study population was 42 years. Most participants had secondary education (69.4%) and lived with others (95.8%). Just under half of the population stated they were iTaukei (Indigenous) Fijians (46.3%).

- 191
- 192

193 Most respondents (approximately 90%) reported that their health was either good, very good, or excellent. However, a third reported being current smokers (28.7% (95% CI. 25.4 to 32.3)). 194 195 28% reported they had been diagnosed with high blood pressure (28.0% (24.5 to 31.7)) and 10% reported having a history of diabetes (9.7% (7.7 to 12.2)). Additionally, more than half of 196 the participants were classified as hypertensive based on blood pressure measures taken during 197 the survey 50.8% (46.8 to 54.8%). Mean body mass index was 28.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (28.2 to 29.3), with 198 a higher prevalence of obesity observed among women (50.2% (44.6 to 55.8)) compared to 199 men (32.5% ((27.2 to 38.3)). Characteristics of nutrition survey participants, anthropometric 200 measurements and self-reported health status have been previously published<sup>20</sup>. 201

- 202
- 203
- 204 Salt

# 205 Salt-related knowledge

206 Most participants were aware of the relationship between high salt intakes and the increased 207 risk of hypertension (82% (95% CI, 78.5 to 84.9)), however just over a quarter (27% (95%CI.23.8 to 31.4)) were aware of the relationship between high salt intake and the risk of 208 having a stroke. Only 16% of participants knew that the maximum recommended amount of 209 salt per day is 5g or less (16.2% (13.4 to 19.5%)), of which 25% (25.1% (21.7 to 28.8%)) knew 210 that this looked like one teaspoon of salt. Knowledge differed by age, area, and ethnicity. For 211 212 example, in regard to knowledge of the recommended daily intake of salt, significantly more Fijians of Indian descent and other ethnicities compared to iTaukei Fijians were aware of the 213 214 recommendations (21.3% (16.7% vs 25.9%) vs. 10.3% (6.4% vs 14.2%)). There was a similar difference in awareness between those in a rural setting (Deuba) compared to urban 215 216 (Waidamudamu) (21.4% (16.6 to 26.1%) vs 12.8% (8.8 to 16.7%)), table 1.

# 

# **Table 1:** Knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours towards salt overall and by subgroups (weighted %, 95% CI)

	OVERALL	BY SEX		BY AGE GROUP		BY AREA		BY ETHNICITY	
		Female	Male	18 to 44 years	45 years and up	Deuba	Waidamudamu	ITaukei	FID and FOD*
Knowledge		I		1		1		1	
What do you think is the recommended amount of salt that you should eat each day?	16.2 (13.4 to 19.5)	14.8 (10.8 to 18.7)	17.7 (13.0 to 22.3)	14.3 (10.3 to 18.2)	19.6 (14.8 to 24.4)	12.8 (8.8 to 16.7)	21.4 (16.6 to 26.1)	10.3 (6.4 to 14.2)	21.3 (16.7 to 25.9)
Less than 5g <sup>3,4</sup>									
Based on your answer to the previous	25.1 (21.7 to 28.8)	26.5 (21.6 to 31.5)	23.6 (18.5 to 28.7)	26.0 (21.3 to 30.7)	23.5 (18.2 to 28.7)	18.3 (13.7 to 22.9)	35.2 (29.5 to 40.9)	21.6 (16.6 to 26.7)	28.0 (23.0 to 33.1)
teaspoon of salt <sup>3</sup>									
Do you think that consuming too much salt				:				·	
following health conditions?									
Hypertension <sup>2,4</sup>	82.0 (78.5 to 84.9)	83.6 (79.2 to 88.0)	80.3 (75.6 to 84.9)	79.5 (75.1 to 83.9)	86.3 (82.0 to 90.6)	82.0 (77.5 to 86.5)	81.9 (77.5 to 86.2)	74.9 (69.5 to 80.3)	88.0 (84.3 to 91.7)
Stroke	27.4 (23.8 to 31.4)	25.0 (20.0 to 30.1)	29.9 (24.2 to 35.5)	26.5 (21.5 to 31.5)	29.1 (23.4 to 34.8)	28.2 (22.9 to 33.5)	26.3 (21.3 to 31.4)	24.6 (19.1 to 30.1)	29.8 (24.6 to 35.0)
Osteoporosis	11.3 (8.9 to 14.2)	9.5 (6.0 to 13.0)	13.0 (9.0 to 17.1)	10.3 (6.9 to 13.7)	12.9 (8.7 to 17.1)	11.0 (7.4 to 14.6)	11.7 (7.9 to 15.5)	12.2 (8.1 to 16.3)	10.5 (7.0 to 13.9)
Diabetes <sup>4</sup>	9.1 (7.0 to 11.8)	8.9 (5.5 to 12.3)	9.3 (6.0 to 12.7)	9.3 (6.1 to 12.4)	8.8 (5.3 to 12.3)	9.7 (6.4 to 13.0)	8.3 (4.9 to 11.6)	14.0 (9.5 to 18.5)	4.9 (2.7 to 7.0)
Don't know <sup>4</sup>	15.1 (12.4 to 18.3)	13.3 (9.2 to 17.3)	17.0 (12.6 to 21.3)	16.3 (12.3 to 20.3)	13.0 (8.8 to 17.2)	14.9 (10.7 to 19.0)	15.5 (11.4 to 19.5)	21.5 (16.4 to 26.7)	9.6 (6.3 to 12.9)
Attitudes									
How important to you is lowering the salt in your diet? <b>Very/somewhat important</b> <sup>1,2</sup>	79.8 (76.1 to 83.0)	84.6 (80.2 to 88.9)	74.9 (69.5 to 80.2)	76.7 (71.9 to 81.5)	85.0 (80.7 to 89.4)	78.7 (73.8 to 83.5)	81.4 (76.8 to 85.9)	81.4 (76.4 to 86.3)	78.4 (73.6 to 83.2)
Behaviours									
In your household, do you normally do the cooking? <b>Yes</b> <sup>1,3,4</sup>	73.6 (70.0 to 76.8)	92.5 (89.3 to 95.6)	54.4 (48.3 to 60.5)	74.4 (69.9 to 78.9)	72.2 (67.2 to 77.2)	70.7 (65.8 to 75.6)	77.8 (73.6 to 82.1)	77.6 (72.9 to 82.4)	70.1 (65.3 to 74.9)
When you are cooking, how much salt or salty sauces such as soy sauce do you	37.3 (32.7 to 42.2)	35.8 (29.9 to 41.6)	40.1 (32.0 to 48.1)	40.6 (34.4 to 46.9)	31.4 (24.4 to 38.4)	40.9 (34.2 to 47.7)	32.5 (26.0 to 38.9)	46.4 (39.2 to 53.5)	28.7 (22.4 to 35.0)

	OVERALL	BY SEX		BY AGE GROUP		BY AREA		BY ETHNICITY	
		Female	Male	18 to 44 years	45 years and up	Deuba	Waidamudamu	ITaukei	FID and FOD*
normally add? At least a teaspoon of salt/a tablespoon of salty sauces <sup>4</sup>									
How much salt or salty sauces such as soy sauce do you add to your meal before eating? At least a teaspoon of salt/a tablespoon of salty sauces <sup>4</sup>	20.3 (17.1 to 23.9)	21.6 (16.8 to 26.5)	18.9 (14.1 to 23.7)	22.6 (18.0 to 27.3)	16.2 (11.6 to 20.8)	21.0 (16.3 to 25.8)	19.2 (14.5 to 23.9)	27.6 (21.9 to 33.3)	14.0 (10.0 to 18.0)
How often do you add salt or salty sauce such as soy sauce to your food right before you eat it or as you are eating it? Always/often <sup>4</sup>	9.6 (7.4 to 12.4)	7.8 (4.7 to 10.9)	11.4 (7.6 to 15.3)	9.8 (6.5 to 13.1)	9.2 (5.6 to 12.8)	8.3 (5.0 to 11.5)	11.6 (7.8 to 15.3)	12.6 (8.4 to 16.9)	7.0 (4.1 to 9.8)
How often do you eat processed food high in salt? Always/often <sup>2,4</sup>	18.2 (15.1 to 21.8)	19.3 (14.6 to 23.9)	17.1 (12.3 to 21.9)	20.9 (16.2 to 25.5)	13.5 (9.3 to 17.7)	19.9 (15.2 to 24.6)	15.7 (11.3 to 20.0)	23.9 (18.4 to 29.4)	13.3 (9.3 to 17.3)
Do you try to reduce your salt intake? Yes <sup>1,2,3</sup>	58.6 (54.7 to 62.4)	70.4 (65.0 to 75.8)	46.6 (41.1 to 52.1)	52.8 (47.6 to 57.9)	68.7 (63.2 to 74.2)	51.7 (46.4 to 57.0)	68.9 (63.5 to 74.3)	60.8 (54.7 to 67.0)	56.6 (51.8 to 61.4)
Can you indicate how you try to reduce your salt intake?									
Limit consumption of packaged foods <sup>1,2,4</sup>	39.6 (35.8 to 43.6)	45.6 (39.9 to 51.4)	33.5 (28.3 to 38.8)	33.9 (28.9 to 38.9)	49.6 (43.4 to 55.8)	36.6 (31.4 to 41.8)	44.1 (38.2 to 50.0)	44.1 (38.0 to 50.3)	35.7 (30.7 to 40.7)
Limit consumption of take-aways/fast food <sup>1,2,3</sup>	33.4 (29.7 to 37.3)	38.9 (33.2 to 44.6)	27.8 (22.8 to 32.8)	29.6 (24.8 to 34.4)	40.0 (33.8 to 46.1)	29.2 (24.2 to 34.2)	39.7 (33.9 to 45.5)	34.0 (28.1 to 39.9)	32.8 (27.9 to 37.8)
Look at the salt/sodium content on food labels	16.2 (13.4 to 19.4)	18.3 (13.7 to 22.8)	14.1 (10.2 to 18.0)	14.3 (10.5 to 18.0)	19.6 (14.6 to 24.5)	16.4 (12.3 to 20.5)	16.0 (11.7 to 20.2)	18.9 (14.1 to 23.8)	13.8 (10.1 to 17.5)
Buy low salt alternatives <sup>1,3</sup>	11.5 (9.2 to 14.3)	14.0 (10.0 to 18.1)	8.9 (5.9 to 12.0)	10.0 (6.9 to 13.1)	14.2 (9.7 to 18.6)	8.9 (5.7 to 12.0)	15.5 (11.2 to 19.8)	11.5 (7.5 to 15.5)	11.5 (8.2 to 14.8)
Use spices other than salt when cooking <sup>1,2</sup>	12.1 (9.7 to 14.9)	15.0 (10.8 to 19.2)	9.1 (5.9 to 12.2)	10.0 (6.8 to 13.2)	15.6 (11.1 to 20.2)	10.7 (7.3 to 14.1)	14.1 (9.9 to 18.3)	14.3 (9.9 to 18.6)	10.1 (7.0 to 13.2)

\* Fijian of Indian descent or other category. <sup>1</sup> Significant difference by sex, <sup>2</sup> Significant difference by age, <sup>3</sup> Significant difference by area, <sup>4</sup> Significant difference by ethnicity.

#### 220

# 221 Salt-related attitudes

Seventy-nine percent of participants (79.8% (95%CI, 76.1 to 83.0)) said it was very or somewhat important to lower the salt in their diet differing by sex and age, with higher importance reported by females than males (84.6% (80.2 to 88.9) vs 74.9% (69.5 to 80.2%)) and for those in the older age range vs younger (85% (80.7 to 89.4) vs 76.7% (71.9 to 81.5%)),

**table 1**.

## 227 Salt-related behaviours

Almost three-quarters (73.6% (70.0 to 76.8%)) of respondents said they were the main cook at 228 229 home, with 93% of surveyed women reporting that they were the main cook (92.5% (89.3 to 95.6%)). Just over a third reported that they add at least a teaspoon of salt or a tablespoon of 230 salty sauces normally when they are cooking (37.3% (32.7 to 42.2%)), and almost 10% 231 232 reported adding salt or salty sauce either always or often right before eating (9.6% (7.4 to 12.4%)). More than half of the study population (58.6% (54.7 to 62.4%)) reported trying to 233 reduce their salt intake, with the most common behaviours to reduce salt intake being limiting 234 the consumption of packaged foods (reported by 39.6% (35.8 to 43.6%)), table 1. 235

There were key differences in salt-related behaviours by subgroups (table 1), for example, a 236 237 higher proportion of iTaukei Fijians reported adding a teaspoon of salt or a tablespoon of salty sauces when cooking (46.4 (39.2 to 53.5%) vs. 28.7% (22.4 to 35.0%)) and always or often 238 239 adding salt or soy sauce when they eat (12.6% (8.4 to 16.9%) vs. 7.0% (4.1 to 9.8%)), compared to Fijians with Indian descent and other. Further, significantly more women compared to men 240 reported trying to reduce their salt intake (70.4% (65.0 to 75.8%) vs 46.6% (41.1 to 52.1%)), 241 242 similarly a higher proportion of older people reported trying to reduce their salt intake (68.7%) 243 (63.2 to 74.2%) vs 52.8% (47.6 to 57.9%) in the younger age group), and more people from the urban area compared to rural (68.9% (63.5 to 74.3) vs. 51.7% (46.4 to 57.0%)). 244

245

#### 246 Sugar

# 247 Sugar-related knowledge

248 Most participants were aware of the relationship between high intakes of sugar and diabetes

249 (92.3% (95% CI 89.7 to 94.3%); however, the relationship between high sugar intake and the

250 risk of poor dental health (36% (26.9 to 34.6%)), and obesity (27.9% (24.2 to 31.8%)) were

- less well known. Only 22% (18.6 to 25.7%) of participants knew the recommended daily sugar
- intake of less than 10% of total energy intake (**Table 2**). The level of sugar-related knowledge
- 253 was similar across subgroups, except for awareness around the relationship between sugar
- intake and poor dental health, which differed by area (35.8% (30.2 to 41.3%) urban vs 27.2%
- 255 (22.0 to 32.4%) rural) and ethnicity (35.7% (30.3 to 41.1%) Fijian of Indian or other descent,
- 256 vs 24.7% (19.3 to 30.1%) for iTaukei Fijians).
- 257

## 

## **Table 2** Knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours towards sugar overall and by subgroups (weighted %, 95% CI)

	OVERALL	BY SEX		BY AGE GROUP		BY AREA		BY ETHNICITY	
		Female	Male	18 to 44 years	45 years and up	Deuba	Waidamudamu	ITaukei	FID and FOD*
Knowledge									
		1				1		1	
What do you think is the recommended	22.0 (18.6 to 25.7)	19.5 (14.9 to 24.1)	24.4 (19.1 to 29.8)	22.2 (17.5 to 26.9)	21.5 (16.3 to 26.7)	19.6 (14.8 to 24.3)	25.5 (20.3 to 30.7)	19.8 (14.7 to 24.9)	23.8 (18.9 to 28.7)
amount of sugar that you should eat each									
day? Less than 10% of total energy intake									
Do you think that consuming too much sugar				<u>.</u>		1		:	
or sugar sweetened beverage can lead to any									
of the following health conditions?									
Diabetes	92.3 (89.7 to 94.3)	92.0 (88.7 to 95.2)	92.6 (89.4 to 95.8)	92.1 (89.1 to 95.1)	92.6 (89.2 to 96.0)	91.9 (88.7 to 95.1)	92.9 (89.8 to 96.0)	90.6 (87.0 to 94.2)	93.7 (90.8 to 96.6)
Obesity	27.9 (24.2 to 31.8)	30.6 (25.2 to 36.1)	25.1 (19.8 to 30.3)	29.6 (24.6 to 34.7)	24.8 (19.3 to 30.3)	28.1 (22.8 to 33.4)	27.5 (22.2 to 32.7)	27.9 (22.1 to 33.6)	27.8 (22.8 to 32.9)
Heart disease	20.8 (17.6 to 24.5)	20.8 (16.1 to 25.6)	20.8 (15.9 to 25.8)	19.5 (15.1 to 24.0)	23.1 (17.7 to 28.5)	21.9 (17.1 to 26.6)	19.3 (14.6 to 24.1)	22.4 (17.2 to 27.7)	19.5 (15.0 to 24.0)
High cholesterol	15.9 (13.1 to 19.2)	16.3 (12.0 to 20.6)	15.4 (11.1 to 19.8)	14.8 (10.9 to 18.7)	17.8 (12.8 to 22.7)	14.4 (10.4 to 18.5)	18.1 (13.5 to 22.7)	14.7 (10.2 to 19.1)	16.9 (12.7 to 21.1)
Poor dental health <sup>3,4</sup>	30.6 (26.9 to 34.6)	29.4 (24.2 to 34.5)	31.9 (26.2 to 37.6)	28.6 (23.6 to 33.6)	34.1 (28.3 to 40.0)	27.2 (22.0 to 32.4)	35.8 (30.2 to 41.3)	24.7 (19.3 to 30.1)	35.7 (30.3 to 41.1)
Don't know	4.8 (3.2 to 7.0)	5.4 (2.6 to 8.2)	4.1 (1.7 to 6.6)	5.1 (2.7 to 7.5)	4.2 (1.4 to 7.0)	4.6 (2.1 to 7.1)	5.0 (2.4 to 7.7)	4.8 (2.1 to 7.6)	4.8 (2.3 to 7.3)
Attitudes				1		1		1	
How important to you is lowering the sugar	84.2 (80.8 to 87.1)	89.4 (85.8 to 93.0)	78.9 (73.8 to 84.0)	81.6 (77.2 to 85.9)	88.8 (84.9 to 92.8)	82.4 (77.9 to 86.9)	87.0 (83.0 to 90.9)	85.1 (80.6 to 89.6)	83.5 (79.2 to 87.8)
in your diet? Very/somewhat important <sup>1,2</sup>									
Behaviours		I		<u> </u>		1		<u>!</u>	
How often do you drink drinks that you add	64.6 (60.6 to 68.5)	60.1 (54.4 to 65.8)	69.3 (63.9 to 74.7)	66.1 (61.0 to 71.3)	62.1 (56.0 to 68.1)	66.0 (60.6 to 71.4)	62.6 (57.0 to 68.2)	71.0 (65.5 to 76.5)	59.2 (53.5 to 64.8)
sugar to? Daily/5-6 days per week <sup>1,4</sup>									
How often do you drink sugar sweetened	19.9 (16.8 to 23.5)	16.5 (12.2 to 20.7)	23.5 (18.3 to 28.7)	24.8 (19.9 to 29.6)	11.5 (7.9 to 15.0)	17.8 (13.2 to 22.3)	23.2 (18.4 to 28.0)	19.2 (14.2 to 24.2)	20.6 (16.1 to 25.1)
beverages? Daily/5-6 days per week <sup>1,2</sup>									

	OVERALL	BY SEX		BY AGE GROUP		BY AREA		BY ETHNICITY	
		Female	Male	18 to 44 years	45 years and up	Deuba	Waidamudamu	ITaukei	FID and FOD*
Do you try to reduce your sugar intake? Yes <sup>1,2,3</sup>	65.1 (61.0 to 69.0)	73.5 (68.2 to 78.8)	56.6 (50.6 to 62.5)	59.7 (54.3 to 65.1)	74.5 (69.0 to 80.0)	60.2 (54.6 to 65.8)	72.4 (67.2 to 77.7)	64.8 (58.8 to 70.8)	65.3 (60.1 to 70.6)
Can you please indicate how you try to reduce your sugar intake?									
Limit consumption of packaged processed foods <sup>2</sup>	31.9 (28.1 to 35.9)	33.9 (28.5 to 39.3)	29.8 (24.3 to 35.4)	27.6 (22.6 to 32.6)	39.5 (33.3 to 45.6)	31.2 (25.9 to 36.5)	32.9 (27.3 to 38.4)	31.6 (25.8 to 37.4)	32.1 (26.9 to 37.4)
Limit consumption of sugar sweetened beverages <sup>1,2</sup>	47.8 (43.7 to 51.9)	56.0 (50.1 to 61.8)	39.4 (33.7 to 45.1)	44.1 (38.7 to 49.4)	54.2 (47.9 to 60.5)	45.4 (39.8 to 51.0)	51.3 (45.3 to 57.2)	48.7 (42.5 to 54.8)	46.9 (41.5 to 52.4)
Limit the addition of sugar to hot or cold drinks <sup>1</sup>	34.2 (30.4 to 38.2)	39.0 (33.3 to 44.7)	29.2 (23.9 to 34.6)	31.5 (26.4 to 36.5)	38.9 (32.8 to 45.0)	31.3 (26.0 to 36.6)	38.4 (32.7 to 44.2)	35.5 (29.5 to 41.5)	33.0 (27.9 to 38.1)
Limit use of instant drink mixes <sup>3</sup>	13.0 (10.5 to 16.0)	13.2 (9.3 to 17.2)	12.8 (9.1 to 16.5)	11.7 (8.3 to 15.0)	15.4 (10.8 to 19.9)	9.5 (6.2 to 12.9)	18.2 (13.6 to 22.8)	12.3 (8.2 to 16.4)	13.6 (9.9 to 17.2)
Limit consumption of confectionery <sup>3</sup>	8.5 (6.5 to 11.1)	9.7 (6.1 to 13.2)	7.4 (4.6 to 10.1)	7.8 (5.0 to 10.6)	9.8 (6.0 to 13.6)	6.1 (3.5 to 8.8)	12.1 (8.2 to 16.1)	10.1 (6.4 to 13.9)	7.2 (4.5 to 9.8)
Limit consumption of baked goods	15.7 (12.9 to 18.9)	16.2 (11.9 to 20.6)	15.1 (10.9 to 19.2)	13.7 (9.9 to 17.4)	19.1 (14.1 to 24.2)	14.7 (10.7 to 18.6)	17.2 (12.7 to 21.7)	18.6 (13.8 to 23.4)	13.1 (9.4 to 16.9)
Buy low sugar alternatives <sup>2,3</sup>	4.3 (3.0 to 6.1)	4.8 (2.4 to 7.1)	3.9 (2.0 to 5.8)	2.5 (1.1 to 3.9)	7.5 (4.2 to 10.9)	1.3 (0.2 to 2.5)	8.8 (5.4 to 12.2)	5.1 (2.6 to 7.6)	3.7 (1.8 to 5.5)

\* Fijian of Indian descent or other category. <sup>1</sup> Significant difference by sex, <sup>2</sup> Significant difference by age, <sup>3</sup> Significant difference by area, <sup>4</sup> Significant difference by ethnicity.
 261

#### 262

# 263 Sugar-related attitudes

The majority (84.2% (80.8 to 87.1%)) felt it was very or somewhat important for them to lower sugar in their diet. A higher proportion of women compared to men (89.4% (85.8 to 93%) vs 78.9% (73.8 to 84.0%) and older compared to younger people (88.8% (84.9 to 92.8%) vs 81.6 (77.2 to 85.9%)) reported that it was very or somewhat important to lower sugar intake, **table** 26 26 20

269

# 270 Sugar-related behaviors

Almost two-thirds of the study population (65% (61.0 to 69.0%)) reported trying to reduce their sugar intake, with just under half (47.8% (43.7 to 51.9%)) trying to limit their consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. However, 64.6% (60.6 to 68.5%) of participants reported adding sugar to drinks, and 20% (16.8 to 23.5%) were drinking sugar-sweetened beverages at least 5 days a week.

276

Sugar-related behaviors differed by population subgroups. Significantly more women than men 277 (73.5% (68.2 to 78.8%) vs. 56.6% (50.6 to 62.5%)) and older adults compared to younger 278 adults (74.5% (69.0 to 80.0%) vs. 59.7% (54.3 to 65.1%)) reported trying to reduce their sugar 279 intake. More women than men (56% (50.1 to 61.8%) vs. 39.4% (33.7 to 45.1%)) and older 280 compared to younger people (54.2% (47.9 to 60.5%) vs 44.1% (38.7 to 49.4%)) were limiting 281 282 their intake of sugar-sweetened beverages, reflected in lower numbers of women and older 283 people who reported drinking sugar-sweetened beverages daily. A higher proportion of older people were also limiting their consumption of processed foods (39.5% (33.3 to 45.6%) vs 284 285 27.6% (22.6 to 32.6%)).

286

# 287 Discussion

From this representative survey of adults in the central division of Fiji, of which a high proportion were living with overweight or obesity and hypertension, we generally found good knowledge of the relationship between excess salt or sugar consumption and key related risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes. Participants reported positive attitudes towards reducing salt and sugar in diets. However, knowledge of recommended salt and sugar intakes was low and reported behaviours did not match positive attitudes towards reduction,

particularly regarding processed food consumption, adding salt when cooking, and adding sugar to drinks. Younger participants and men generally had more negative attitudes and reported less healthy behaviours. These findings have implications for designing interventions in Fiji but also other Pacific Island Countries. Multi-strategy interventions, including awareness raising campaigns on how to reduce salt and sugar and supportive changes to the food environment are needed to help Fijians make food choices that align with the desire to eat healthier foods.

While knowledge of diseases linked to excess salt or sugar intake was high, knowledge of salt 302 303 and sugar recommendations were low, and individual behaviours were not conducive to 304 lowering salt and sugar intake. These findings highlight a need for behaviour change campaigns 305 that raise awareness of the sugar and salt intake recommendations in parallel with supporting people to change specific behaviours. Examples of successful behaviour change campaigns 306 307 have common features of building on existing knowledge, focusing on context-specific messages and, engaging with communities to understand how to portray certain behaviours, 308 309 with messages communicated through context specific media channels (to achieve the most reach to the target audiences)<sup>24</sup>. Evidence also suggests messaging that follows a behaviour 310 311 change framework can be more effective. For example, a systematic review of diet 312 interventions with messaging facilitated by the Social Cognition Model found improvements in consumption of at least one health promoting food group <sup>25</sup>. Examples from NCD reduction 313 interventions more broadly have found theory informed text messaging, providing health care 314 information directly to participants, have been effective among racially and socioeconomically 315 diverse groups <sup>26 27</sup>. From our findings, campaigns in Fiji should target younger people and 316 men in particular. 317

The WHO recommendation for salt intake (a maximum of 5g a day) can be easily depicted, 318 319 given this is roughly a maximum of 1 teaspoon of salt daily, albeit salt intake can still be hard to identify when it is in the form of salt already in processed foods or meals. Similarly 320 321 understanding, and benchmarking sugar intake can be difficult for individuals, with WHO recommending a maximum of 10% of total energy intake coming from "free" sugars, yet 322 ideally 5% of energy intake or less<sup>28</sup>. It is hard to know what this looks like in terms of food 323 consumed, and the reference to "free" sugars also requires a level of nutrition knowledge, as 324 325 the recommendation refers to sugar intake, excluding sugar from fruit, vegetables, and milk<sup>29</sup>.

<sup>301</sup> 

An alternative approach is to raise awareness of common sources of salt and sugar and promote a "less is best" message. The reported behaviours of adding salt to cooking and sugar to drinks were verified in our previously published findings, where we found that salt in mixed cooked dishes and sugar from hot drinks were key contributors to intake<sup>20</sup>. Therefore, there is a need for behaviour change campaigns to target these behaviours specifically.

331 Previous studied have demonstrated that the food environment in Fiji and other Pacific Island Countries largely promote unhealthy rather than healthy food choices with respect to salt and 332 sugar<sup>12 30 31</sup>. Impactful behaviour change depends on personal beliefs and a supportive social, 333 environmental, and political context.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, behaviour change campaigns are most 334 successful when also supported by political and social will to change the environment so that 335 336 the healthiest (lower in salt and lower in sugar) options are also the most accessible options. This study suggests the food environment in Fiji largely promotes unhealthy rather than 337 healthy food choices with respect to salt and sugar. This is in line with the quantitative 338 evidence of excess consumption of salt and sugar from the 24-hour diet survey<sup>20</sup> and supports 339 340 our earlier research which found that processed packaged foods available for sale in Fiji are high in salt and sugar<sup>15</sup><sup>16</sup>. As such targeting interventions at processed packaged foods is 341 342 warranted to support behaviour change. Multiple "best buy" interventions have been recommended by the World Health Organisation<sup>3</sup>. For example, through salt and sugar 343 344 targets where maximum levels of salt and sugar in processed packaged foods are set. requiring the food industry to reformulate. Fiji has experience setting voluntary salt targets, 345 however, change to salt levels in the food supply were limited<sup>15</sup>. As such, this experience 346 highlights the need for mandatory targets with stronger governance mechanisms. Fiji also has 347 a sugar-sweetened beverage tax; however, previous research has shown that this tax needs to 348 be higher achieve health impacts <sup>18 19 33</sup>. Applying a tax to processed packaged foods high in 349 sugar and salt would complement the beverage tax and revenue could be used to subsidise 350 healthier food options such as fruit and vegetables. Our findings suggest such interventions 351 would particularly benefit younger men<sup>20</sup>. Encouragingly, since the completion of the survey, 352 the Fijian government have announced increased taxes on sugary drinks, other processed 353 foods, alcohol, and tobacco in their budget,<sup>34</sup> and there are supportive actions in the 2024 354 355 National NCD strategic plan.

356

## 357 Strengths and limitations

We used the WHO STEPs survey for salt and followed a similar structure of questions for 358 sugar; this will allow for similar questions to be followed in the future, including in WHO 359 STEPs surveys and in national nutrition surveys. Local trained researchers used culturally 360 sensitive procedures for data collection, including obtaining the endorsement of the 361 government and the community leaders and informed consent from participants, with research 362 assistants who could speak and conduct the survey in languages preferred by participants. We 363 gathered representative data from a rural and an urban community in Fiji. Finally, results have 364 been disseminated to members of the Provincial Council, including the Turaganikoro (village 365 366 headman), raising awareness of the findings even at the preliminary stage, further increasing the translation and impact of this work. Researchers are currently working with the Ministry 367 of Health to develop and disseminate an animation targeting sugar consumption and the 368 behaviours of adding sugar to hot drinks, with plans to develop further communication 369 materials based on the present findings. 370

It is also important to consider these findings in light of the study limitations. This was a crosssectional survey, and as such only captures KAB at a single time point. Two enumeration areas in the Central Division of Fiji were selected, which means findings may not be generalisable to other areas, particularly people living on other islands in Fiji. As with any self-reported information, it is possible that social desirability bias influenced the results<sup>35</sup>, as people may have been more likely to report positive attitudes and behaviours. We also limited our focus to adults (aged 18 years or older) and as such do not have findings for younger populations.

378

## 379 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study revealed that adults living with multiple NCD risk factors in Fiji have high levels of knowledge regarding the health implications of salt and sugar consumption and are aware of the need to reduce their intake. However, awareness of recommended intake levels was low, and certain behaviours, such as adding salt during cooking and adding sugar to drinks, were barriers to salt and sugar reduction.

To address these challenges, it is imperative for the Fijian government to help bridge the gap between knowledge and practice regarding dietary salt and sugar behaviour. Support is needed to empower individuals to make healthier choices both through individual behaviour change

- 388 and a supportive food environment. Given the ongoing diet related burden of disease in Fiji,
- actions to improve salt and sugar knowledge and behaviours are urgently needed.

## 390 Acknowledgments

- 391 The authors wish to thank all participants for contributing to the research. The authors would
- 392 specifically like to thank Fiji's Ministry of iTaukei Affairs, the village chiefs, and the
- 393 Ministry Local Governments for their endorsement of working with the communities in
- 394 Waidamudamu and Deuba, and all the research assistants who worked tirelessly, braving bad
- 395 weather and ensuring respect for participants, national health, and the research process.

#### **396** Author Contributions

- 397 Conceptualization: Jacqui Webster, Colin Bell, Joseph Alvin Santos, Mark Woodward, Kris
- 398 Rogers, Briar McKenzie, Gade Waqa
- 399 Data curation: Gade Waqa, Anasaini Moala Silatolu, Joseph Alvin Santos, Kris Rogers,
- 400 Briar McKenzie, Colin Bell, Jacqui Webster
- 401 Formal analysis: Joseph Alvin Santos, Briar McKenzie, Anasaini Moala Silatolu and Gade
  402 Waqa
- 403 Investigation: Joseph Alvin Santos, Briar McKenzie, Anasaini Moala Silatolu, Gade Waqa
- 404 **Methodology:** Colin Bell, Jacqui Webster, Joseph Alvin Santos, Briar McKenzie, Gade
- 405 Waqa
- 406 **Project administration:** Briar McKenzie, Anasaini Moala Silatolu, Gade Waqa
- 407 Writing original draft: Gade Waqa, Briar McKenzie, Colin Bell, Jacqui Webster
- 408 Writing review & editing: Gade Waqa, Briar McKenzie, Colin Bell, Jacqui Webster,
- 409 Aliyah Palu, Alvina Deo, Joseph Alvin Santos, Kris Rogers, Erica Reeve
- 410 **Funding:** This study was obtained through the National Health and Medical Research
- 411 Council as part of the Global Alliance for Chronic Diseases program on Scaling up Policy to
- 412 reduce hypertension and diabetes (APP1169322). BLM is supported by a National Heart
- 413 Foundation of Australia Postdoctoral Fellowship (APP106651). JW is supported by an
- 414 NHMRC Investigator grant L2 (#2018015)
- 415 **Competing interests:** None declared.
- 416
- 417 **References**

418 419	1. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, Results, 2020 [Available from:
420	https://vizhub.healthdata.org/ghd-results/
420	2 Afshin A Sur PL Fay KA at al Health affects of diatary risks in 105 countries 1000 2017: a
421	2. Arisini A, Sui 13, 1 ay KA, et al. Health effects of dictary fisks in 175 countries, 1770–2017. a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. The Lancet
422	$2010 \cdot 202(10184) \cdot 1058 \ 72 \ doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140.6726(10)20041.8$
423	2019,595(10164).1936-72. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1010/50140-0750(19)50041-6</u>
424	5. WHO. Best buys and other recommended interventions for the prevention and control of
425	NMU NVL 17.0 and rdf 2017
420	INMIH-INVI-1/.9-eng.pdi, 2017.
427	4. world Health Organisation. Fact Sheet. <u>https://www.wno.int/news-room/lact-</u>
428	sneets/detail/nypertension 2021
429	5. He FJ, LI J, MacGregor GA. Effect of longer term modest salt reduction on blood pressure:
430	Coentrane systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> : British Medical
431	Journal 2013;346:11325. doi: 10.1136/bmj.11325
432	6. Hayden C, Bowler JO, Chambers S, et al. Obesity and dental carles in children: a systematic review
433	and meta-analysis. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology 2013;41(4):289-308.
434	7. Te Morenga L, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-
435	analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. <i>BMJ (Clinical research ed)</i>
436	2013;346
437	8. Barrington G, Khan S, Kent K, et al. Obesity, dietary sugar and dental caries in Australian adults.
438	International dental journal 2019;69(5):383-91. doi: 10.1111/idj.12480 [published Online
439	First: 2019/06/04]
440	9. Ong KL, Stafford LK, McLaughlin SA, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes
441	from 1990 to 2021, with projections of prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the
442	Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. The Lancet $2023;402(10397):203-34$ . doi:
443	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6/36(23)01301-6
444	10. Johnson RJ, Segal MS, Sautin Y, et al. Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of
445	hypertension, obesity and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, kidney disease, and
446	cardiovascular disease. The American journal of clinical nutrition 2007;86(4):899-906.
447	11. WHO. Pacific islanders pay heavy price for abandoning traditional diet. Bulletin of the World
448	<i>Health Organization</i> 2010;88(7):484-5. doi: 10.2471/blt.10.010710 [published Online First:
449	2010/07/10]
450	12. Sievert K, Lawrence M, Naika A, et al. Processed Foods and Nutrition Transition in the Pacific:
451	Regional Trends, Patterns and Food System Drivers. <i>Nutrients</i> 2019;11(6) doi:
452	10.3390/nu11061328 [published Online First: 2019/06/16]
453	13. Santos JA, McKenzie B, Trieu K, et al. Contribution of fat, sugar and salt to diets in the Pacific
454	Islands: a systematic review. Public Health Nutr 2019;22(10):1858-71. doi:
455	10.1017/s1368980018003609 [published Online First: 2019/01/08]
456	14. Itoh N, Tsuya A, Togashi H, et al. Increased salt intake is associated with diabetes and
457	characteristic dietary habits: a community-based cross-sectional study in Japan. Journal of
458	clinical biochemistry and nutrition 2022;71(2):143-50. doi: 10.3164/jcbn.21-153 [published
459	Online First: 2022/10/11]
460	15. Shahid M, Waqa G, Pillay A, et al. Packaged food supply in Fiji: nutrient levels, compliance with
461	sodium targets and adherence to labelling regulations. <i>Public Health Nutr</i> 2021;24(13):4358-
462	68. doi: 10.1017/s136898002100224x [published Online First: 2021/05/20]
463	16. Palu A, Santos JA, Silatolu AM, et al. Energy, nutrient and overall healthiness of processed
464	packaged foods in Fiji, a comparison between 2018 and 2020. BMC Public Health
465	2024;24(1):1383. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-18787-1
466	17. Bell C, Latu C, Na ati E, et al. Barriers and facilitators to the introduction of import duties
467	designed to prevent noncommunicable disease in Tonga: a case study. <i>Globalization and</i>
468	<i>health</i> 2021;1/(1):136. doi: 10.1186/s12992-021-00788-z
469	18. Mounsey S, Vaka A, Cama T, et al. Strengthening Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Taxation for Non-
470	Communicable Disease Prevention: A Comparative Political Economy Analysis Case Study
4/1	of Fiji and Tonga. Nutrients 2022;14:1212. doi: 10.3390/nu14061212

- 472 19. Teng A, Snowdon W, Win Tin ST, et al. Progress in the Pacific on sugar-sweetened beverage
  473 taxes: a systematic review of policy changes from 2000 to 2019. *Australian and New Zealand*474 *journal of public health* 2021;45(4):376-84. doi: 10.1111/1753-6405.13123 [published Online
  475 First: 2021/06/08]
- 476 20. Silatolu AM, Santos JA, Palu A, et al. Salt and sugar intakes of adults in the central division of
  477 Fiji: findings from a nutrition survey in 2022 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2024.101074</u>.
  478 *THE LANCET, Regional Health Western Pacific* 2024;46 doi:
  479 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2024.101074
- 480 21. Webster J, Waqa G, Thow A-M, et al. Scaling-up food policies in the Pacific Islands: protocol for
   481 policy engagement and mixed methods evaluation of intervention implementation. *Nutrition* 482 *Journal* 2022;21(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s12937-022-00761-5
- 483 22. Webster J, Moala A, McKenzie B, et al. Food insecurity, COVID-19 and diets in Fiji a cross484 sectional survey of over 500 adults. *Globalization and health* 2023;19(1):99. doi:
  485 10.1186/s12992-023-01004-w [published Online First: 2023/12/12]
- 486
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
   487
- 488 24. Trieu K, McMahon E, Santos JA, et al. Review of behaviour change interventions to reduce
   489 population salt intake. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 490 2017;14(1):17. doi: 10.1186/s12966-017-0467-1
- 491 25. Timlin D, McCormack JM, Kerr M, et al. Are dietary interventions with a behaviour change
   492 theoretical framework effective in changing dietary patterns? A systematic review. *BMC* 493 *Public Health* 2020;20(1):1857. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09985-8
- 494 26. Nelson LA, Spieker A, Greevy R, et al. User Engagement Among Diverse Adults in a 12-Month
  495 Text Message-Delivered Diabetes Support Intervention: Results from a Randomized
  496 Controlled Trial. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 2020;8(7):e17534. doi: 10.2196/17534
  497 [published Online First: 2020/07/25]
- 498 27. Mbuagbaw L, Mursleen S, Lytvyn L, et al. Mobile phone text messaging interventions for HIV
  499 and other chronic diseases: an overview of systematic reviews and framework for evidence
  500 transfer. *BMC health services research* 2015;15:33. doi: 10.1186/s12913-014-0654-6
  501 [published Online First: 2015/01/23]
- 502 28. WHO. WHO calls on countries to reduce sugars intake among adults and children 2015 [cited 26
   503 September 2023. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/news/item/04-03-2015-who-calls-on-</u>
   504 <u>countries-to-reduce-sugars-intake-among-adults-and-children</u>.
- S05 29. World Health Organisation. Guideline: Sugars intake for adults and children. Geneva: World
   S06 Health Organization 2015 [cited June 2024. Available from: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/149782/9789241549028 eng.pdf?sequence=1.
- 30. Win Tin ST, Kubuabola I, Ravuvu A, et al. Baseline status of policy and legislation actions to
  address non communicable diseases crisis in the Pacific. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20(1):660.
  doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08795-2
- 31. Medina Hidalgo D, Witten I, Nunn PD, et al. Sustaining healthy diets in times of change: linking
   climate hazards, food systems and nutrition security in rural communities of the Fiji Islands.
   *Regional Environmental Change* 2020;20:1-13.
- Swinburn B, Sacks G, Hall KD, et al. The global obesity pandemic: shaped by global drivers and
   local environments. *Lancet* 2011;378(9793):804-14.
- 33. Reeve E, Ravuvu A, Johnson E, et al. Scaling up food pricing policies in the Pacific: a guide to
   action. *BMJ global health* 2023;8(Suppl 8):e012041. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2023-012041
- 34. NCD Alliance. Fiji hikes health taxes and adopts guidelines to tackle NCDs, which consume 40%
  of healthcare cost 2023 [Accessed 29 August 2023]. Available from: https://ncdalliance.org/taxonomy/term/37 accessed 29 August 2023.
- 35. Latkin CA, Edwards C, Davey-Rothwell MA, et al. The relationship between social desirability
  bias and self-reports of health, substance use, and social network factors among urban
  substance users in Baltimore, Maryland. *Addictive behaviors* 2017;73:133-36. doi:
  10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.05.005 [published Online First: 2017/05/17]
- 525