Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision's (VMMC) Strategy for HIV prevention in Sub-Saharan Africa, prevalence, risks, costs, benefits and best practice: A scoping review of progress and unfolding insights.

Authors:

Charles Maibvise[1*], Takaedza Munangatire[2], Nector Tomas[2], Daniel O. Ashipala[2], Priscilla S. Dlamini[3]

Author Affiliations:

[1] Department of Clinical, Pharmaceutical, Biological Sciences, School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, United Kingdom.

[2] Department of General Nursing, School of Nursing and Public Health, University of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia

[3] Department of General Nursing Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Eswatini, Mbabane, Eswatini

Corresponding authors:

E-Mail address: **Cmaibvise08@yahoo.com** (CM)

ABSTRACT

Campaigns to scale up Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC) for the prevention of HIV transmission has been going on for years in selected Southern African countries, following recommendations from the World Health Organisations. Despite significant strides made in the initiative and its proven benefits, controversies surrounding the strategy have never ceased, and its future remains uncertain especially as some countries near their initial targets. Over the years, as the campaigns unfolded, a lot of insights have been generated in favour of continuing the VMMC campaigns, while some insights portray the impression that the strategy is not worthy the risks and effort required, or enough has been done, as the targets have been achieved. This article proposes a scoping review that aims at synthesizing and consolidating that evidence into a baseline for a further systematic review aimed at developing sound recommendations for the future of the VMMC strategy for HIV prevention. The scoping review will target all scientific literature published on the Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Science Direct, PubMed as well as WHO Institutional Repository for Information Sharing (IRIS) since 2011. The review shall be guided by Arksey and O'Malley's (2005) framework for scoping reviews, and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist shall be followed. Discussion of the findings is envisioned to yield evidence that can be further analysed to give insights about risk/cost-benefits ratios of the strategy at this point in time as well best clinical practices for the VMMC procedure, to inform the future of the strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Over that past two decades, Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision has been among the leading interventions in HIV prevention globally, as a complement to the conventional strategies. This followed a recommendation by the World Health Organization that VMMC be added to the comprehensive package for HIV prevention (1). In particular, the Eastern and Southern African Countries have been the core of VMMC activities, in view of the then relatively low VMMC prevalence coupled to high HIV prevalence. In this regard, a total of 15 countries embarked on massive VMMC scaling-up. Initially, these were Botswana, Eswatini, Ethiopia, Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, South Africa, Uganda, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe (2). Later on, the Gambela province of Ethiopia was added (3), and of late, South Sudan (4).

The World Health Organization has been continuously issuing guidelines and practice manuals which countries have been adapting and adopting to their local context. Figure 1 highlights some of the main reference documents issued by the Organizations and the key message or update in each of them (1,5–11).

Fig 1 - Sequential Guiding documents for VMMC

From its inception, VMMC campaigns have been marked with multiple knowledge gaps and misconceptions, rendering it a significantly controversial strategy, thereby prompting multidimensional empirical enquiries. Some of the key focal grey areas included its impact on sexual performance, pleasure and satisfaction thereof (12,13); risk compensatory behavior (14–16), as well as prevalence and severity of adverse events (13,17). To date, successes, challenges and future projections in the VMMC campaigns are essentially a function of evolution of insights regarding the aforementioned grey areas. Noting also that the VMMC strategy is beneficial only in high HIV epidemic areas with low MC prevalence (18,19), it follows that hypothetically the cost-benefit ratio dwindles with time as set targets are met, unless otherwise influenced by unfolding insights.

The primary target of VMMC campaigns was to pull up the global prevalence of male circumcision from around 30% in 2007 (20) to about 80% by 2015, particularly among men aged 15 to 49 years in the targeted ESA countries, in the so called "catch-up" phase (5). This target was later revised to 90% by 2021 (7). Notably these targets were not met, for various reasons, and a decrease in momentum has been recorded, though hopes still remain (4). The circumstances surrounding these undesirable outcomes are not clear. Whatever the cause, it adds onto the uncertainties of the future of VMMC campaigns. By default, it could be attributed to perceptions a decrease in relative epidemiological benefits as the initiative nears its targets, that is, an increase in the prevalence of male circumcision and a decrease in the burden of HIV globally. However, it is worth noting that data suggests that there are other emerging benefits of VMMC, other than HIV prevention, that were not considered in the initial modelling of the cost/risk-benefit ratio of the strategy (2,19,21). Likewise, there are also some emerging complications and/or adverse effects that are being realized (22,23). A closer analysis and synthesis of these insights is therefore necessary to inform future dimensions in VMMC, and hence this proposed scoping review.

Scoping reviews have gained significant momentum as a rapidly emerging method for synthesizing evidence across diverse domains (24,25). Thus, this article intends to explore updates relating to the VMMC strategy for HIV prevention in order to establish a clear picture of the status quo. Insights generated from the field and the subsequent policy recommendations from time to time as well as progress made to date would be explored with a view to pooling evidence worth considering in determining the future of the VMMC strategy. Overall, this evidence is meant to form the basis for a systematic review study aimed at projecting and recommending sound prospects for the strategy.

Specific research objectives for this study are:

- Assess the prevalence of male circumcision in Sub-Sahara Africa?
- Explore and synthesize evidence on VMMC programmes in terms of risks, costs, benefits and best practices.

SIGNIFICANCE

The study will consolidate available data and give a comprehensive impression of VMMC activities to date, starting with progress made so far. Emerging and unforeseeable insights as well as answers to historical grey areas regarding selected risks, costs and benefits will be compiled as well. Thus, other potential benefits of VMMC complementing the HIV prevention role will be unveiled. Similarly unforeseeable complications, adverse effects, risks and/or costs will also be revealed. This will form the basis for a more comprehensive and updated cost-benefit analysis in order to determine the soundest course of action for the VMMC strategy going forward. Programmatically this will foster effective utilization of available resources, thus investing in VMMC only if the benefits are worth the effort. Recommendations based on the study will also ensure that, in the continuation of VMMC, best practices are adopted in the interest of safety and cost effectiveness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: SCOPING REVIEW

This protocol is for a scoping review of literature reporting on progress and updates on the VMMC strategy for HIV prevention, as well as insights generated from its implementation. Scoping review method is suitable since its goal is to synthesize different types of evidence on a particular area and identify gaps for future research (26). Overall, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist (27) will be used in this review. The methodology will be guided by the Arksey and O'Malley framework for scooping reviews (28), and the steps to be followed are identifying the research question, identifying relevant studies, selection of eligible studies, recording the data, chatting and summarising findings and voluntarily expert consultation (Figure 2).

Fig 2 - Visual representation of Arksey and O'Malley framework

Step 1: Identification of the research question

The "PCC" framework for developing a research question was used in this study (29,30). The population (P) of interest are men and children aged ten (10) years or older, being the primary targets of VMMC at some point. The concept (C) under study is the VMMC strategy for HIV prevention, with a particular interest in gained insights that are worth considering in determining the future of VMMC. The Context (C) for the study is Sub-Saharan Africa, where the strategy was rolled out. The overall research question for this review is "What insights have been gained regarding risks, costs and benefits of VMMC as a strategy for HIV prevention in Sub-Sahara Africa?" The aim is to ascertain progress made so far and to pool the evidence worth considering in determining the future of the VMMC strategy for HIV prevention. The specific research questions, therefore, are as follows:

- What is the prevalence of male circumcision in Sub-Sahara Africa?
- What evidence has been generated regarding VMMC in terms of risks, costs, benefit and best practice in the performance of the procedure?

Step 2: Identifying relevant studies

A comprehensive literature search will be conducted, utilizing selected electronic databases, namely: Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Science Direct, PubMed as well as WHO Institutional Repository for Information Sharing (IRIS). These sources were selected based on the relevance of their scope to the concept under investigation. Initially, key words that shall be used, singly and in combination, are: "Circumcision", "VMMC" and "Sub-Sahara Africa". Only studies published in English between 2011 and 2024 will be considered, based on the fact that the VMMC strategy was rolled out into full force around 2011 in most countries (31). To ensure the effectiveness of our search strategy, a pilot study will be conducted to assess the relevance of the keywords and databases in addressing our research inquiries. Any necessary adjustments will be made accordingly.

Step 3: Selection of eligible studies

Two researchers will conduct a rigorous assessment of citation titles and abstracts, as well as thoroughly examine potentially pertinent articles, utilizing the inclusion and exclusion criteria stipulated in table 1. The criteria were framed according to the PCC framework. The authors will exclusively focus on articles that present original research on Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision (VMMC) in Sub-Sahara Africa. In the event that there is a disagreement between the reviewers after reviewing the abstract or full article, a third reviewer will be consulted to provide an expert opinion.

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion Criteria

	Inclusion Criteria	**Exclusion Criteria**
Population	Rereview targets literature reporting on men and children aged 10 years and older since these were the targets for VMMC programme.	Studies focusing on other age groups will be excluded.
Concept	Literature on VMMC will be included, particularly that which gives insights worth considering in determining the future of VMMC. These include "Complications", "Risks", "Benefits", "Costs", "Recommendations" and "Coverage", or Prevalence", that is, "Progress towards set targets."	Literature that gives no insights that can form the basis of arguments for or against VMMC, or progress towards set targets, will be excluded
Context	Only literature on circumcisions performed in Sub-Sahara Africa under the VMMC programme will be included. The "voluntary" and "medical" aspects are key	Literature on traditional or religious male circumcision and Early Infant Male Circumcision will be excluded. These contexts have a different profile of risks, benefits, ethics, and other variables that are significant in VMMC

Type of sources	All literature published in the targeted databases. All types of original research and review articles will be included. Quantitative, qualitative and/or mixed-method study design will be included; Only papers written in English and published between the period of 2011 – 2024	Literature published in platforms other than the targeted databases will be excluded. Media reports and personal views will be excluded

The Joanna Briggs Institute's (JBI) PRISMA flow diagram for scoping reviews will be followed, as illustrated in figure 3 (30). Once retrieved the articles will be entered into Mendeley Reference Manager and duplicates will be eliminated. Then the screening of the articles using title and abstracts will be done to determine eligibility of the studies for this review. In cases where full text is not readily available librarians and authors will be reached for assistance. All the authors will be involved in the full text screening and any disagreements will be reached through a consensus process and not majority vote and this process will be documented.

[Fig 3 - PRISMA flow diagram for scoping reviews [Adapted from JBI, (2015) (30)**]]**

Step 4: Charting the data

Data will be extracted from full-text articles using the data extraction template presented in table 2. Variable in the template were adapted from the JBI (2015) reviewer's manual for scoping reviews and complemented by the work of Widyaningsih et al (32). The template may be revised per rising need as informed by the data collection process. Three authors will independently extract the data onto the electronic version of the form. Though the inclusion of quality appraisal in scoping reviews remains debatable (33), a formal critical appraisal of primary studies will be done since findings of the review may potentially influence practice (30). The Mixed Method Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for scoping review shall be used for that purpose (34).

Table 2: Data extraction template (Adapted from JBI, 2015)

Data	**Data description**
Details of the article	Author (s), year of publication, location of the study
Title	Full title of the article
Type of source	Peer reviewed journal, grey literature, international guidelines, report
Study design/method	Quantitative; Qualitative; Mixed method
Aim/purpose	Overall aim or objective of the study
Population and sample size	The population targeted in the study and the sample size if applicable
Type of intervention	Details of intervention and/or comparator if applicable.
Concept of VMMC covered or reported	Relevant data extracted from the source, such as VMMC prevalence; risks; costs; complication; benefits; recommendations for best practice. These concepts shall constitute major categories or themes of the findings.
Results/findings	Each concept reported in the results/findings shall be analysed and its overall impression and implication for VMMC shall be presented and classified under the respective category as a sub-theme.
Additional information	Any additional information worthy considering, e.g. limitations of the study, validity and trustworthiness of findings/

Step 5: Collating, summarising and reporting the results

The PRISMA flow diagram (Fig 1) shall be used to summarise the review process, and a summary table of all included studies shall be formulated, capturing the variables outlined in the data extraction template (Table 2). The charted data will be synthesized and thematically analysed, and a narrative report of the findings compiled. The PRISMA-ScR checklist will be used to guide the writing of the overall report for the review (27).

Step 6: Conducting consultation

While it is acknowledged that this step may be optional in a scoping review (28,30), there is growing evidence affirming the benefits of this step (33). As such, consultations with experts and key stakeholders in the VMMC campaigns shall be conducted. Findings of the review shall determine the most appropriate informants in this step, but tentatively, custodians of the VMMC campaigns at national and regional levels shall be targeted. The purpose of the consultations will be to validate the findings as well as soliciting additional insights that may have been missed from the primary data sources consulted (33).

Ethical consideration

There is no ethical approval require since data will be collection from reviewed literatures as opposed to individuals

Registration of protocol

This protocol is registered with the Open Science Framework, registration ID https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/SFZC9.

DISCUSSION

This scoping review focus on mapping literature on voluntary male circumcision is Sub-Saharan Africa with the goal of synthesizing the evidence around risks, benefits and best practices. It is hoped that the review will consolidate cumulatively available evidence on progress, risks, costs and/or benefits of VMMC thereby setting a stage for further analysis aimed at informing the direction of future policy, research and practice regarding. The future of the VMMC strategy lies mainly on goal attainment, that is, VMMC coverage relative to the set targets, perceived risk-benefit ratio at individual level as well as presumed cost-benefit ratio programmatically at national and regional levels. Overall, these factors determine the worth and future prospects of the strategy. To date, there are still numerous dilemmas, mixed feelings, knowledge gaps as well as underutilized emerging insights and discoveries surrounding these aspects of the VMMC strategy (13,21). It is envisioned that this review will bring to fore the much-needed insights and

consciousness regarding those aspects, and hence form the basis for further studies to develop policy recommendations relating to the future practice of VMMC for HIV prevention in the region.

LIMITATIONS

In this review, only published literature available online in selected databases and in reputable organizations and Government Departments will be considered. Thus, potentially valid evidence outside these sources is excluded from the synthesis. However, choice of the sources followed careful and purposive consideration to maximize inclusivity. As with most scoping reviews, the quality of the evidence accessed will not be assessed hence the results will have to be interpreted with this understanding. In any case, the chosen sources are known for credibility.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

S1 – PRISMA ScR Checklist

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire
Development of protocol: C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire, N. Tomas, D.O. Ashipala, P.S. Dlamini
Project administration: C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire
Data extraction: N.Tomas, D.O. Ashipala, P.S. Dlamini
Data analysis: C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire, N.Tomas, D.O. Ashipala, P.S. Dlamini
Report writing – Initial draft: C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire,
Finalising report. C. Maibvise, T. Munangatire, N.Tomas, D.O. Ashipala, P.S. Dlamini

REFERNCES

1. WHO, UNAIDS. New Data on Male Circumcision and HIV Prevention : Policy and

Programme Implications [Internet]. WHO Press. 2007 [cited 2023 Apr 18]. p. 1–10. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/mc_recommendations_en_0.pdf

2. Njeuhmeli E, Forsythe S, Reed J, Opuni M, Bollinger L, Heard N, et al. Voluntary medical male circumcision: Modeling the impact and cost of expanding male circumcision for HIV prevention in Eastern and Southern Africa. PLoS Med. 2011;8(11).

3. WHO. Male Circumcision for HIV Prevention — Implementing the 2017–2021 Framework for Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision 27 February–1 March 2017, Meeting Report. [Internet]. Brazavile; 2017 [cited 2023 Apr 23]. p. 56. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/325174/9789290234050-eng.pdf?sequence=1

4. WHO, UNAIDS. Progress Brief: Uneven progress on the voluntary medical male circumcision - Programme across 15 eastern and southern African countries in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. [Internet]. Vol. 2022. WHO; 2022. Available from: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/hq-hiv-hepatitis-and-stis-library/who-unaids-male-circumcision-progress-brief-2022.pdf?sfvrsn=2852eedf_1&ua=1

5. WHO, UNAIDS. Joint strategic action framework to accelerate the scale-up of voluntary medical male circumcision for HIV prevention in Eastern and Southern Africa, 2012–2016. No Title [Internet]. UNAIDS; 2011. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/documents/unaidspublication/2011/JC2251_Action_Framework_circumcision_en.pdf

6. WHO. Framework For Clinical evaluation of Devices for male Circumcision [Internet]. Who. 2012 [cited 2023 Apr 22]. p. 42. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75954/1/9789241504355_eng.pdf

7. WHO. Policy Brief: A Framework for Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision:- Effective HIV Prevention and a Gateway to Improved Adolescent Boys' & Men's Health in Eastern and Southern Africa by 2021 [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2016 [cited 2023 Apr 23]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/246234/WHO-HIV-2016.17-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

8. WHO. Policy Brief: Preventing HIV Through Safe Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision for Adolescent Boys and Men in Generalized Epidemics: Recommendations and Key Considerations [Internet]. Vol. 1, WHO. Geneva: WHO; 2020 [cited 2023 Apr 22]. p. 14. Available from: https://hivpreventioncoalition.unaids.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/WHO-Policy-Brief-eng.pdf

9. Peck ME, Lucas T, Ong KS, Grund JM, Davis S, Yansaneh A, et al. Defining the Global Research and Programmatic Agenda and Priority Actions for Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision for HIV Prevention. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep [Internet]. 2022;19(6):537–47. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11904-022-00640-y

10. WHO. Male circumcision under local anaesthesia. Man Male Circumcision under Local Anaesth [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2023 Apr 24];1. Available from: http://guidelines.health.go.ke:8000/media/Manual_for_Local_Anaesthesia.pdf

11. WHO. Manual For Male Circumcision Under Local Anaesthesia And Hiv Prevention Services For Adolescent Boys And Men. WHO Clin Man [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2023 Apr 24];10(3). Available from: https://www.aidsdatahub.org/sites/default/files/resource/who-manual-male-circumcision.pdf

12. Brito MO, Khosla S, Pananookooln S, Fleming PJ, Lerebours L, Donastorg Y, et al. Sexual Pleasure and Function, Coital Trauma, and Sex Behaviors After Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision Among Men in the Dominican Republic. J Sex Med. 2017;14(4).

13. Morris BJ, Moreton S, Krieger JN. Critical evaluation of arguments opposing male circumcision: A systematic review. J Evid Based Med. 2019;12(4).

14. Hewett Senior Associate PC, Todd P, Grau N, Dzekedzeke K, Soler-Hampesjek E, Shiliya N, et al. Assessing risk compensation post-male circumcision in Zambia's national program. 20th International AIDS Conference, July 20-25, 2014, Melbourne, Australia. 2014.

15. Chansakul A, Low A, Carpino T. Sexual behavior associated with circumcision status among males aged 15 to 49 in Zambia and Eswatini: Evidence of risk compensation? J Int

AIDS Soc. 2021;24(SUPPL 1).

16. Lemos MP, Lama JR, Karuna ST, Fong Y, Montano SM, Ganoza C, et al. The inner foreskin of healthy males at risk of HIV infection harbors epithelial CD4+ CCR5+ cells and has features of an inflamed epidermal barrier. PLoS One. 2014;9(9).

17. Mutanekelwa I, Siziya S, Daka V, Kabelenga E, Mfune RL, Chileshe M, et al. Prevalence and correlates of voluntary medical male circumcision adverse events among adult males in the Copperbelt Province of Zambia: A cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2021;16(9 September).

18. Gazimbi M, Magadi M, Kruger C. The Association between Male Circumcision and HIV Infection in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Int Arch Public Heal Community Med. 2019;3(22).

19. PLoS Medicine. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in high HIV prevalence settings: what can mathematical modelling contribute to informed decision making? Vol. 6, PLoS medicine. 2009.

20. WHO. Global prevalence of male circumcision. Male circumcision Glob trends Determ prevalence, Saf Accept [Internet]. 2007; Available from: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/jc1360_male_circumcision_en_0.pdf

21. Bershteyn A, Mudimu E, Platais I, Mwalili S, Zulu JE, Mwanza WN, et al. Understanding the Evolving Role of Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision as a Public Health Strategy in Eastern and Southern Africa: Opportunities and Challenges. Vol. 19, Current HIV/AIDS Reports. 2022.

22. Manentsa M, Mukudu H, Koloane N, Ringane A, Matta E, Martinson NA, et al. Complications of high volume circumcision: Glans amputation in adolescents; A case report. BMC Urol. 2019;19(1).

23. Hellar A, Plotkin M, Lija G, Mwanamsangu A, Mkungume S, Christensen A, et al. Adverse events in a large-scale VMMC programme in Tanzania: findings from a case series analysis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019;22(7).

24. Young S, Chimwaza G, Eldermire ERB, Ghezzi-Kopel K, Muziringa M. Trends in evidence synthesis publishing across disciplines in Africa: A bibliometric study. Sci African. 2023;19.

25. Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Khalil H, Larsen P, Marnie C, et al. Best practice guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid Synth. 2022;20(4).

26. Mak S, Thomas A. Steps for Conducting a Scoping Review. Vol. 14, Journal of graduate medical education. 2022.

27. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Vol. 169, Annals of Internal Medicine. 2018.

28. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. Int J Soc Res Methodol Theory Pract. 2005;8(1).

29. Pollock D, Davies EL, Peters MDJ, Tricco AC, Alexander L, McInerney P, et al. Undertaking a scoping review: A practical guide for nursing and midwifery students, clinicians, researchers, and academics. J Adv Nurs. 2021;77(4).

30. The Joanna Briggs Institute. The Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2015: Methodology for JBI scoping reviews. Joanne Briggs Inst. 2015;

31. WHO, UNAIDS. Voluntary medical male circumcision [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/voluntary-medical-male-circumcision-15-eastern-southern-african-countries

32. Widyaningsih V, Febrinasari RP, Sari V, Augustania C, Verlita B, Wahyuni C, et al. Potential and challenges for an integrated management of tuberculosis, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension: A scoping review protocol. Vol. 17, PLoS ONE. 2022.

33. Westphaln KK, Regoeczi W, Masotya M, Vazquez-Westphaln B, Lounsbury K, McDavid L, et al. From Arksey and O'Malley and Beyond: Customizations to enhance a team-based, mixed approach to scoping review methodology. MethodsX. 2021 Jan 1;8:101375.

34. Hong QN, Pluye P, Fabregues S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, et al. MIXED METHODS APPRAISAL TOOL (MMAT) VERSION 2018 User guide. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2018;1–10. Available from: http://mixedmethodsappraisaltoolpublic.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/127916259/MMAT_2018_criteria-manual_2018-08-01_ENG.pdf


2007
•New data on MC and HIV prevention: policy and programme implications. WHO/UNAIDS technical consultation on VMMC and HIV prevention: research implications for policy and programming.
•->> Initial evidence-based recomendation for mass VMMC as an HIV preventive measure.
2009
•Manual of Male Circumcision under Local Anaesthesia
•->> Initial guidelines to the clinical provision of VMMC services
2011
•Joint strategic action framework to accelerate the scale-up of VMMC for HIV prevention in ESA, 2012–2016
•->> Stratergies for the "catch-up" phase, specifying the main pillars, initial target population of 15-49 years, and coverage of 80% by 2015.
2012
•Framework for Clinical Evaluation of Devices for Male Circumcision.
•->> Standadisation of the evaluation process for surgical tools and techniques for VMMC
2016
•A Framework For VMMC: Effective Hiv Prevention and a Gateway to Improved Adolescent Boys' & Men's Health in ESA by 2021.
•->> Target population extended to 10 years; targeted coverage revise to 90% by 2021.
2018
•Manual for male circumcision under local anaesthesia and HIV prevention services for adolescent boys and men
•->> Revised guidelines to the clinical provision of VMMC services as informed by lessons learned.
2020
•Preventing HIV through safe VMMC for adolescent boys and men in generalized HIV epidemics: recommendations and key considerations.
•->> Target population reverted back to 15 years and above;

Figure 1


Step 1
Identifying the research question
Step 2
Identifying relevant studies
Step 3
Selection of eligible studies
Step 4
Recording the data
Step 5
Chatting and summarising findings
- Prior literature review
-Refine the research question
- Identify authors with expertise
-Set scope of the review
-Timing and resources
-Search strategies
-Define the inclusion and exclusion criteria
-Grouping of the reviewers
-PRISMA flow chart
- Develop a data charting framework
-Data extraction
-Analyze the data
-Share findings on VMMC prevalence, barriers, facilitators, policy & best practice
Step 6
Voluntarily expert consultation

Figure 2


Identification
Screening
Eligibility
Included
Records identified from database searching (n =)
Additional Records identified through other sources (Websites, Organisations, Citation searching (n =)
Duplicates removed (n =)
Duplicates removed (n =)
Records after duplicates removal (n =)
Records screened (n =)
Records excluded (n =)
Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n =)
Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n =)
Studies included (n =)

Figure 3