Stroke Risk Reduction in Migraine Patients Using Propranolol: Evidence from Two Large-Scale Real-World Data Analyses

Eugene Jeong, MS¹, Mulubrhan F. Mogos, PhD^{2,*}, You Chen, PhD^{1,3,*},

¹Department of Biomedical Informatics, School of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, United States of America

²School of Nursing, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, United States of

America

³Department of Computer Science, School of Engineering, Vanderbilt University,

Nashville, Tennessee, United States of America

*Drs Mogos and Chen are joint last authors.

Short Title: Reducing Stroke Risk in Migraine with Propranolol

Corresponding Authors:

Mulubrhan Mogos, PhD

418 Godchaux Hall Nashville, Tennessee 37232

+1 (615) 343-0243

mulubrhan.mogos@vanderbilt.edu

You Chen, PhD 2525 West End Avenue, Suite 1475 Nashville, Tennessee 37203 +1 (615) 936-6867 you.chen@vanderbilt.edu

Word count: 5,899

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Background:

Propranolol, a non-selective beta-blocker, is commonly used for migraine prevention, but its impact on stroke risk among migraine patients remains controversial. Using two large electronic health records-based datasets, we examined stroke risk differences between migraine patients with- and without- documented use of propranolol

Methods:

This retrospective case-control study utilized EHR data from the Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) and the All of Us Research Program. Migraine patients were first identified based on the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (ICHD-3) criteria using diagnosis codes. Among these patients, cases were defined as those with a primary diagnosis of stroke following the first diagnosis of migraine, while controls had no stroke after their first migraine diagnosis. Logistic regression models, adjusted for potential factors associated with stroke risk, assessed the association between propranolol use and stroke risk, stratified by sex and migraine subtype. A Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) for stroke risk at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years from baseline.

Results:

In the VUMC database, 378 cases and 15,209 controls were identified, while the All of Us database included 267 cases and 6,579 controls. Propranolol significantly reduced stroke risk in female migraine patients (VUMC: OR=0.52, p=0.006; All of Us: OR=0.39, p=0.007), but not in males. The effect was more pronounced for ischemic

stroke and in females with migraines without aura (MO) (VUMC: OR=0.60, p=0.014; All of Us: OR=0.28, p=0.006). The Cox model showed lower stroke rates in propranolol-treated female migraine patients at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years (VUMC: HR=0.06-0.55, p=0.0018-0.085; All of Us: HR=0.23, p=0.045 at 10 years).

Conclusions:

Propranolol is associated with a significant reduction in stroke risk, particularly ischemic stroke, among female migraine without aura patients. These findings suggest that propranolol may benefit stroke prevention in high-risk populations.

Keywords: Propranolol, Migraine, Stroke, Adrenergic beta-antagonists, Electronic health records

Introduction

Migraine, a neurological disorder characterized by recurrent headaches, affects a substantial portion of the global population, significantly impacting quality of life and productivity¹. Growing evidence suggests that migraine can increase the risk of stroke, an acute and often catastrophic cerebrovascular event that causes significant physical disability and mortality worldwide²⁻⁴. Epidemiological studies have shown that migraine is associated with an increased risk of both ischemic⁵⁻¹⁰ and hemorrhagic strokes^{7,11-13}. For instance, the relative risk of ischemic stroke is doubled in individuals with migraine with aura compared to those without migraine^{5,7,8,10}, with the risk being greater for those experiencing active migraine attacks in the past 12 months and a higher frequency of attacks^{6,9,14}. Additionally, there is an increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke among migraine patients, especially in women under 45¹². Given the association of migraine with the risk of stroke, exploring preventive strategies for stroke in high-risk groups such as migraine patients is crucial. At present, there are no pharmacologic interventions specifically recommended for stroke prevention in migraine patients, underscoring the need for research to determine whether currently used migraine prophylactic medications can mitigate the risk of stroke.

Propranolol, a non–selective beta–blocker, is widely used in the prevention of migraines¹⁵. Its efficacy in migraine prevention is well–documented^{15,16}, but its potential role in the association of migraine with the risk of stroke remains controversial ¹⁷⁻²². Some studies suggest that propranolol may paradoxically increase the risk of stroke due to its effects on cerebral blood flow and blood pressure regulation²⁰⁻²², while others find no significant association or even a

protective effect¹⁷⁻¹⁹. The controversy is further complicated by the heterogeneity of study designs, patient populations, and outcome measures^{21,22}. This inconsistency presents a significant challenge in drawing definitive conclusions and highlights the need for more robust, controlled studies.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the potential role of propranolol in reducing the risk of stroke in migraine patients through a comprehensive analysis of clinical data from two large electronic health records (EHR).

Methods

Data Sources

This retrospective case-control study was conducted using two anonymized EHR databases: the Synthetic Derivative (SD) maintained by Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC)²³ and the All of Us Research Program managed by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)²⁴. The SD database comprises de-identified longitudinal research data of over 3 million individuals spanning more than 15 years. The All of Us Research Program has EHR data for over 230,000 diverse participants across the United States as of May 2024. Notably, the two EHR databases could not be merged due to potential patient record overlap. The study received approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at VUMC, under approval identifier #221125.

Exposure: Migraine Patient Identification

Patients diagnosed with migraine were selected based on the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (ICHD–3)²⁵, which serves as the gold standard for migraine diagnosis, particularly in research contexts (**Figure 1**). Migraines were classified into migraine with aura (MA), migraine without aura (MO),

and unclassified migraine using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), and Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes. For patients with MA, identification was based on ICD9 codes 346.0, 346.01, 346.02, 346.03, 346.5x, and 346.6x, along with ICD10 codes G43.1x, with at least one encounter required. Patients with MO were identified using ICD9 codes 346.1, 346.11, 346.12, 346.13, and 346.7x, as well as ICD10 codes G43.0x, with at least four encounters required. Patients whose migraines did not fit neatly into MA or MO categories were considered as unclassified migraine patients and were identified using ICD9 codes 346.2x, 346.8x, and 346.9x, and ICD10 codes G43.8x and G43.9x, with at least two encounters required. For exclusion criteria, patients with coexistent brain disorders or conditions (ICD9: 340-344.99, 347-349.99; ICD10: G35.x, G36.x, G47., G80.x, G81.x, G82.x, G93.x) and brain tumors (ICD9: 191.x, 192.x, 239.6, 239.7; ICD10: C71, C72, D49.6, D49.7) were excluded. Since the ICHD-3 notes that children's migraines are often bilateral and shorter in duration compared to adults, patients under 18 at migraine diagnosis were excluded. The complete list of ICD codes for migraine conditions is provided in **Table S1**.

Outcomes: Stroke Patient Identification

Stroke cases were defined as having a stroke event requiring inpatient admission with a primary diagnosis of major stroke types, including ischemic stroke (acute ischemic stroke [AIS] and transient ischemic attack [TIA]) or hemorrhagic stroke (intracerebral hemorrhage [ICH] and subarachnoid hemorrhage [SAH]) (**Figure 1**). AIS was identified using ICD-9 codes 362.3, 433.x1, 434.x1, 436, and ICD-10 codes H34.1, I63.x, and I64.x. TIA was identified using ICD-9 code 435.x and ICD-10 code G45.x. ICH was identified with ICD-9 code 431.x and ICD-10 code I61.x. SAH was

identified with ICD-9 code 430.x and ICD-10 code I60.x. The complete list of ICD codes for stroke conditions is provided in **Table S2**.

Cases were defined as individuals with a history of stroke after migraine diagnosis; controls had no history of stroke after the diagnosis of migraine. Patients were excluded if they had stroke codes prior to migraine diagnosis or were missing age/sex information. We considered three types of strokes throughout the study: hemorrhagic, ischemic, and overall strokes. Notably, the overall stroke category may exceed the sum of hemorrhagic and ischemic strokes because some patients were diagnosed with both hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke during the same encounter. In such cases, we excluded these patients when investigating the risk of hemorrhagic stroke or ischemic stroke individually but included them when assessing the risk of overall strokes.

Covariates

All potential factors associated with stroke risk were identified and defined based on Leppert et al². These factors were categorized into demographic, comorbidity, hormonal, and treatment factors. Demographic factors included variables such as age, sex, and race. Comorbidity factors encompassed conditions such as hypertension (including gestational hypertension), diabetes (including gestational diabetes), hyperlipidemia, sleep apnea, peripheral artery disease, atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease, alcohol abuse, substance abuse, tobacco use, obesity, congestive heart failure, malignancy, HIV, hepatitis, thrombophilia (including history of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism), autoimmune disease, vasculitis, sickle cell disease, heart valve disease, and renal failure. Hormonal factors, including the use of oral contraceptives and pregnancy, were considered separately for

women. Treatment factors involved first-line migraine medications such as valproate, topiramate, metoprolol, timolol, and methysergide.

The Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Clinical Classification Software was used to identify comorbidity and hormonal factors using ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes²⁶. For factors not covered by HCUP, such as sleep apnea, atrial fibrillation, tobacco use, pregnancy, and vasculitis, validated ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes identified through literature review were used. Pharmacy claims were used to identify the use of migraine treatments and oral contraceptives in women based on the anatomical (ATC) classification. therapeutic chemical Additionally, pharmacy claims supplemented the diagnosis of diabetes, dyslipidemia, migraines, malignancy, and HIV. The comorbidity status was assessed by determining if migraine patients had any comorbid conditions before the diagnosis of migraines. Treatment history was evaluated by checking if migraine patients received treatments between the diagnosis of migraines and the diagnosis of strokes for cases and after the diagnosis of migraines for controls. To verify pregnancy status for cases, we first identified all women who had ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes indicating a pregnancy outcome, such as delivery, spontaneous abortion, or elective abortion. We then determined the start and end dates of the pregnancy to check if the stroke date occurred during the pregnancy or postpartum period. To ensure that no pregnancy-related strokes were missed due to the subscriber moving out of state before delivery, we also searched for any antenatal care codes within 9 months prior to the stroke date. For controls, we checked whether individuals were pregnant or in the postpartum period at or after the diagnosis of the migraine date. A detailed list of covariates including ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes are provided in Table S3.

Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the risk of each stroke type in overall migraine patients. Additionally, we examined the risk of each stroke type in specific migraine subtypes to assess whether propranolol's effect varies among them.

We compared baseline characteristics between cases and controls using Fisher's exact test, unpaired t-test, or Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

The association between propranolol and stroke risk was evaluated using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, adjusting for covariates and stratifying by sex. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 and an odds ratio (OR) < 1.

To assess the association between stroke incidence rates and propranolol use, cumulative event rates were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. A covariate-adjusted Cox proportional hazards regression model was fitted to estimate the hazard ratios (HR), 2-sided 95% confidence intervals (CI), and 2-sided Wald p-values (with p < 0.05 considered significant) at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years. All statistical analyses were conducted using R software.

Results

The VUMC SD database comprised 273,491,202 diagnosis records and 868,741,933 drug prescription records for 3,183,571 patients, spanning from January 1989 to May 2023. In contrast, the All of Us EHR database contained 86,012,976 diagnosis records and 79,531,217 drug prescription records for 239,715 patients, covering the period from January 1981 to July 2022. Among these, there were 15,587 eligible migraine patients in the VUMC database and 6,846 eligible migraine patients in the All of Us EHR database (**Figure 2**).

In the VUMC EHR database, there were 378 cases (82% female; 67.7% ischemic stroke) and 15,209 controls (**Figure 2**). Among men, the most common comorbidities in cases were hyperlipidemia (44%), malignancy (19%), and hypertension (18%), while in women, they were hyperlipidemia (28%), hypertension (18%), and malignancy (16%) (**Table 1**). Women with stroke were as likely to be pregnant (cases: 9.4%, controls: 9.4%) but less likely to use oral contraception compared to controls (cases: 20%, controls: 28%). The All of Us Research EHR database contained 267 cases (80.9% women; 95.9% ischemic strokes) and 6,579 controls (**Figure 2**). In both men and women, the most common comorbidities among cases were hyperlipidemia (35% in men, 36% in women), hypertension (20% in men, 30% in women), and diabetes (16% in men, 19% in women) (**Table 1**). Women who had a stroke were less likely to be pregnant (cases: 0.5%, controls: 2.9%) and less likely to use oral contraception compared to contraception compared to controls (cases: 11%, controls: 18%).

Potential factors with no cases were inestimable and excluded from both univariate and multivariate models. In the VUMC EHR database, alcohol use disorder, vasculitis, sickle cell disease, and methysergide were excluded from the male group, while methysergide was excluded from the female group. propranolol was not significantly associated with the risk of overall stroke in men according to the covariate-adjusted multivariate model (OR=0.93, p=0.88), but it was significantly associated with a reduced risk of overall stroke in women (OR=0.52, p=0.006) (**Table 2 and Table S4**). In the All of Us Research EHR database, complicated hypertension, alcohol use disorder, vasculitis, sickle cell disease, renal failure, timolol, and methysergide were excluded from the male group, while methysergide was excluded from the female group. Propranolol was not significantly associated with the risk of overall stroke in men according to the covariate-adjusted multivariate model (OR=0.52, p=0.39), whereas it was significantly associated with a reduced risk of overall stroke in women (OR=0.39, p=0.007) (**Table 2 and Table S4**).

In the stratified analysis by stroke type, a significant reduction in the odds of ischemic stroke associated with propranolol was observed only in females across both EHR databases based on the covariate-adjusted multivariate model (**Table S5–S8**). In the stratified analysis by migraine type, a significant reduction in the odds of overall and ischemic stroke associated with propranolol was observed only in females with MO based on the covariate-adjusted multivariate model in both EHR databases (**Table S9–S14**).

Given the observed reduction in the odds of stroke associated with propranolol only in females across both EHR databases, we further assessed the HR in female groups only. Potential factors with no cases were excluded from the covariateadjusted Cox proportional hazards regression model. According to the VUMC database, the cumulative incidence of stroke was numerically lower in propranololtreated female migraine patients at each time point: 0.25% vs 1.31% at 1 year, 0.37% vs 1.55% at 2 years, 0.43% vs 1.87% at 5 years, and 0.92% vs 2.15% at 10 years. The covariate-adjusted HRs were 0.06 (95% CI:0.016-0.23; p=0.0018), 0.22 (95% CI:0.083-0.57; p=0.018), 0.55 (95% CI:0.23-1.3; p=0.085), and 0.44 (95% CI:0.24-0.83; p=0.0064) at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years, respectively (**Figure 3**), indicating a lower risk of stroke compared to those not taking propranolol.

In the All of Us database, the cumulative incidence of stroke was also numerically lower in propranolol-treated female migraine patients at each time point: 0% vs 1.08% at 1 year, 0% vs 1.47% at 2 years, 0% vs 2.32% at 5 years, and 0.92% vs

3.05% at 10 years. Since there were no stroke events in the propranolol-treated group at 1, 2, and 5 years, the covariate-adjusted HRs could not be calculated for those time points, but the covariate-adjusted HR at 10 years was 0.23 (95% CI:0.077-0.72; p=0.045) (**Figure 3**).

Discussion

This study provides significant insights into the potential role of propranolol in reducing the risk of stroke among migraine patients, with a particular focus on sexspecific effects. The consistency of the findings across two large and diverse EHR databases adds credibility to the results. The VUMC database is more regionspecific with a potentially homogeneous population, while the All of Us database includes a broader, more heterogeneous population representative of various regions of the United States. Despite differences in patient demographics and clinical characteristics between the VUMC and All of Us cohorts, the association between propranolol and reduced stroke risk in females remained significant, particularly for ischemic stroke. The significant reduction in stroke risk could be attributed to propranolol's effects on blood pressure and heart rate variability (HRV). Propranolol is effective in managing high blood pressure, a major risk factor for ischemic stroke. By lowering blood pressure, propranolol reduces the strain on blood vessels, thereby preventing vascular events such as strokes^{27,28}. The drug also influences HRV by enhancing parasympathetic activity and stabilizing heart rate, which can lead to improved cardiovascular health and a reduced risk of stroke²⁹.

The study highlights a noteworthy sex difference in the association between propranolol and the risk of ischemic stroke. In both databases, propranolol use was associated with a significant reduction in the risk of overall and ischemic strokes in

female migraine patients, but not in males. The enhanced protective effect against stroke in female patients could be attributed to sex-specific variations in drug metabolism and hormonal influences. Previous research indicates that women exhibit higher peak plasma levels and area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) for propranolol compared to men, due to enhanced absorption, reduced volume of distribution, and slower clearance through Cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6)³⁰⁻³². These factors lead to a more significant reduction in heart rate and systolic blood pressure during exercise for women³⁰⁻³². These pharmacokinetic differences can enhance the drug's efficacy in reducing cardiovascular risks for females. Hormonal influences, particularly estrogen, may also amplify propranolol's protective effects. Estrogen has been found to modulate vascular tone and improve endothelial function, which, in combination with propranolol's beta-blocking properties, could synergistically lower stroke risk³³. Moreover, previous studies have shown that the fluctuations in CGRP-mediated trigeminovascular responses over the menstrual cycle indicated a potential connection between sex hormones and the trigeminovascular system², highlighting the significance of taking into account gender-specific aspects in migraine research and treatment³⁰.

The analysis indicates that the protective effect of propranolol is more significant in female patients with MO. This subtype-specific effect suggests that the pathophysiological mechanisms linking migraines and stroke risk may vary by migraine subtype, potentially influencing the efficacy of prophylactic treatments like propranolol. Primarily associated with dysregulation of the central nervous system, MO involves less cortical spreading depression compared to MA³⁴. This difference in pathophysiology might make MO more responsive to propranolol which stabilizes

neural activity and reduces systemic cardiovascular risks. Moreover, propranolol's effectiveness in reducing stroke risk in MO patients could also be attributed to its ability to modulate sympathetic nervous system activity, which is more prominently dysregulated in MO. The lack of aura in MO patients means there is a lower baseline risk of stroke due to fewer episodes of cortical spreading depression (CSD), which is a known precipitant of ischemic stroke in MA patients³⁴. This makes the cardiovascular protective effects of propranolol more apparent in the MO group. Further research is necessary to elucidate these mechanisms and to understand why propranolol appears more beneficial for certain migraine subtypes.

Several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the factors were identified retrospectively based on an administrative dataset, so we cannot account for factors present in controls or cases whose diagnoses were not coded. Additionally, the retrospective design limits the ability to infer causality. Second, relying on ICD codes for migraine and stroke diagnoses may introduce misclassification bias. Finally, although the study included a large number of patients, the number of stroke events, particularly hemorrhagic strokes, was relatively low, potentially limiting the power to detect significant associations in this subgroup.

Future research should focus on prospective studies to confirm these findings and to explore the underlying mechanisms of propranolol's protective effects against stroke. Personalized medicine approaches that tailor stroke prevention strategies based on individual risk profiles and migraine characteristics could further optimize patient outcomes.

Sources of Funding

This research was supported, in part, by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number UL1TR002243, and academic program support funds from the Department of Medicine at Vanderbilt University.

Disclosures

All authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

References

- 1. Lipton RB, Bigal ME. The epidemiology of migraine. *Am J Med*. 2005;118 Suppl 1:3S-10S. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.01.014
- Leppert MH, Poisson SN, Scarbro S, Suresh K, Lisabeth LD, Putaala J, Schwamm LH, Daugherty SL, Bradley CJ, Burke JF, et al. Association of Traditional and Nontraditional Risk Factors in the Development of Strokes Among Young Adults by Sex and Age Group: A Retrospective Case-Control Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2024;17:e010307. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.123.010307
- 3. Mawet J, Kurth T, Ayata C. Migraine and stroke: in search of shared mechanisms. *Cephalalgia*. 2015;35:165-181. doi: 10.1177/0333102414550106
- Hu X, Zhou Y, Zhao H, Peng C. Migraine and the risk of stroke: an updated metaanalysis of prospective cohort studies. *Neurol Sci.* 2017;38:33-40. doi: 10.1007/s10072-016-2746-z
- Schurks M, Rist PM, Bigal ME, Buring JE, Lipton RB, Kurth T. Migraine and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b3914. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b3914
- Kurth T, Gaziano JM, Cook NR, Logroscino G, Diener HC, Buring JE. Migraine and risk of cardiovascular disease in women. *JAMA*. 2006;296:283-291. doi: 10.1001/jama.296.3.283
- Mahmoud AN, Mentias A, Elgendy AY, Qazi A, Barakat AF, Saad M, Mohsen A, Abuzaid A, Mansoor H, Mojadidi MK, et al. Migraine and the risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events: a meta-analysis of 16 cohort studies including 1 152 407 subjects. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8:e020498. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020498

- Spector JT, Kahn SR, Jones MR, Jayakumar M, Dalal D, Nazarian S. Migraine headache and ischemic stroke risk: an updated meta-analysis. *Am J Med*. 2010;123:612-624. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2009.12.021
- MacClellan LR, Giles W, Cole J, Wozniak M, Stern B, Mitchell BD, Kittner SJ. Probable migraine with visual aura and risk of ischemic stroke: the stroke prevention in young women study. *Stroke*. 2007;38:2438-2445. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.488395
- Etminan M, Takkouche B, Isorna FC, Samii A. Risk of ischaemic stroke in people with migraine: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *BMJ*. 2005;330:63. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38302.504063.8F
- 11. Kuo CY, Yen MF, Chen LS, Fann CY, Chiu YH, Chen HH, Pan SL. Increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke in patients with migraine: a population-based cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e55253. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055253
- 12. Sacco S, Ornello R, Ripa P, Pistoia F, Carolei A. Migraine and hemorrhagic stroke: a meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2013;44:3032-3038. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002465
- Kurth T, Kase CS, Schurks M, Tzourio C, Buring JE. Migraine and risk of haemorrhagic stroke in women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c3659. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c3659
- Gordon JM, Kurth T, Schurks M. Migraine frequency and risk of cardiovascular disease in women. *Neurology*. 2010;74:615-616; author reply 616. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c77889
- 15. Linde K, Rossnagel K. Propranolol for migraine prophylaxis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004:CD003225. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003225.pub2
- Diamond S, Medina JL. Double blind study of propranolol for migraine prophylaxis. *Headache*. 1976;16:24-27. doi: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.1976.hed1601024.x
- De Lima LG, Soares BG, Saconato H, Atallah AN, da Silva EM. Beta-blockers for preventing stroke recurrence. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013:CD007890. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007890.pub2
- Dziedzic T, Slowik A, Pera J, Szczudlik A. Beta-blockers reduce the risk of early death in ischemic stroke. *J Neurol Sci.* 2007;252:53-56. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2006.10.007
- 19. Wijkman MO. Beta-blockers, hypertension, and stroke outcomes. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2018;20:573-574. doi: 10.1111/jch.13234
- 20. Webb AJ, Fischer U, Rothwell PM. Effects of beta-blocker selectivity on blood

pressure variability and stroke: a systematic review. *Neurology*. 2011;77:731-737. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31822b007a

- Mendizabal JE, Greiner F, Hamilton WJ, Rothrock JF. Migrainous stroke causing thalamic infarction and amnesia during treatment with propranolol. *Headache*. 1997;37:594-596. doi: 10.1046/j.1526-4610.1997.3709594.x
- 22. Bardwell A, Trott JA. Stroke in migraine as a consequence of propranolol. *Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain*. 1987;27:381-383.
- 23. Danciu I, Cowan JD, Basford M, Wang X, Saip A, Osgood S, Shirey-Rice J, Kirby J, Harris PA. Secondary use of clinical data: the Vanderbilt approach. *J Biomed Inform.* 2014;52:28-35. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2014.02.003
- 24. Investigators AoURP. The "All of Us" research program. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2019;381:668-676.
- 25. The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). *Cephalalgia*. 2013;33:629-808. doi: 10.1177/0333102413485658
- 26. Steiner C, Elixhauser A, Schnaier J. The healthcare cost and utilization project: an overview. *Eff Clin Pract*. 2002;5:143-151.
- 27. Battaglini D, Robba C, Lopes da Silva A, Dos Santos Samary C, Leme Silva P, Dal Pizzol F, Pelosi P, Rocco PRM. Brain-heart interaction after acute ischemic stroke. *Crit Care*. 2020;24:163. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02885-8
- Vitt JR, Trillanes M, Hemphill JC, 3rd. Management of Blood Pressure During and After Recanalization Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Front Neurol.* 2019;10:138. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00138
- 29. Scarsoglio S, Ridolfi L. Different Impact of Heart Rate Variability in the Deep Cerebral and Central Hemodynamics at Rest: An in silico Investigation. *Front Neurosci.* 2021;15:600574. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.600574
- Kalibala J, Pechere-Bertschi A, Desmeules J. Gender Differences in Cardiovascular Pharmacotherapy-the Example of Hypertension: A Mini Review. *Front Pharmacol.* 2020;11:564. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00564
- Luzier AB, Killian A, Wilton JH, Wilson MF, Forrest A, Kazierad DJ. Gender-related effects on metoprolol pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1999;66:594-601. doi: 10.1053/cp.1999.v66.103400001
- Walle T, Walle UK, Cowart TD, Conradi EC. Pathway-selective sex differences in the metabolic clearance of propranolol in human subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1989;46:257-263. doi: 10.1038/clpt.1989.136

- Tamargo J, Rosano G, Walther T, Duarte J, Niessner A, Kaski JC, Ceconi C, Drexel H, Kjeldsen K, Savarese G, et al. Gender differences in the effects of cardiovascular drugs. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*. 2017;3:163-182. doi: 10.1093/ehjcvp/pvw042
- 34. Hansen JM, Charles A. Differences in treatment response between migraine with aura and migraine without aura: lessons from clinical practice and RCTs. *J Headache Pain*. 2019;20:96. doi: 10.1186/s10194-019-1046-4

Tables

Table 1. Summary of descriptive statistics summarizing patient demographic and clinical characteristics by sex for cases and

controls in the VUMC and All of Us EHR databases.

	VUMC					All of Us						
		Male			Female		Male				Female	
Variables	Cases N = 68 [*]	Controls $N = 2,306^{*}$	p–value	Cases N = 310 [*]	Controls N = 12,903 [*]	p–value	Cases N = 51 [*]	Controls $N = 797^{*}$	p-value	Cases N = 216 [*]	Controls $N = 5,782^{*}$	p–value
Demographic factors												
Age	49.8 (14.9)	42.7 (14.7)	<0.001	48.7 (15.2)	40.0 (13.9)	<0.001	51.7 (13.0)	46.1 (15.1)	0.005	50.4 (14.3)	42.3 (14.1)	<0.001
Race												
White	59 (87%)	1,907 (83%)		262 (85%)	10,563 (82%)	0.212	36 (71%)	528 (66%)	0.970	141 (65%)	3,579 (62%)	0.724
American Indian or Alaska Native	1 (1.5%)	3 (0.1%)		1 (0.3%)	17 (0.1%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Asian	0 (0%)	36 (1.6%)	0.297	2 (0.6%)	132 (1.0%)		1 (2.0%)	18 (2.3%)		1 (0.5%)	93 (1.6%)	
Black or African American	4 (5.9%)	152 (6.6%)		30 (9.7%)	1,217 (9.4%)		6 (12%)	96 (12%)		24 (11%)	730 (13%)	
Middle Eastern or North African	0 (0%)	4 (0.2%)		1 (0.3%)	16 (0.1%)		0 (0%)	7 (0.9%)		1 (0.5%)	28 (0.5%)	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0 (0%)	1 (<0.1%)		0 (0%)	4 (<0.1%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)		0 (0%)	6 (0.1%)	
Unknown	4 (5.9%)	203 (8.8%)		14 (4.5%)	954 (7.4%)		8 (16%)	148 (19%)		49 (23%)	1,346 (23%)	
Comorbidity factors								,			,	
Hypertension	12 (18%)	244 (11%)	0.073	57 (18%)	1,131 (8.8%)	<0.001	10 (20%)	205 (26%)	0.407	65 (30%)	1,171 (20%)	<0.001
Complicated hypertension	3 (4.4%)	50 (2.2%)	0.192	14 (4.5%)	203 (1.6%)	<0.001	0 (0%)	28 (3.5%)	0.405	8 (3.7%)	150 (2.6%)	0.280
Diabetes	11 (16%)	200 (8.7%)	0.047	38 (12%)	1,170 (9.1%)	0.058	8 (16%)	113 (14%)	0.684	42 (19%)	751 (13%)	0.010
Complicated diabetes	4 (5.9%)	58 (2.5%)	0.099	16 (5.2%)	219 (1.7%)	<0.001	2 (3.9%)	29 (3.6%)	0.709	16 (7.4%)	174 (3.0%)	0.001
Hyperlipidemia	30 (44%)	459 (20%)	<0.001	88 (28%)	1,765 (14%)	<0.001	18 (35%)	270 (34%)	0.879	77 (36%)	1,309 (23%)	<0.001
Sleep apnea	1 (1.5%)	75 (3.3%)	0.724	13 (4.2%)	443 (3.4%)	0.431	2 (3.9%)	40 (5.0%)	>0.999	12 (5.6%)	272 (4.7%)	0.514
Peripheral artery disease	2 (2.9%)	45 (2.0%)	0.392	6 (1.9%)	118 (0.9%)	0.072	2 (3.9%)	11 (1.4%)	0.181	6 (2.8%)	121 (2.1%)	0.465
Atrial fibrillation	2 (2.9%)	34 (1.5%)	0.276	2 (0.6%)	75 (0.6%)	0.703	2 (3.9%)	23 (2.9%)	0.658	8 (3.7%)	58 (1.0%)	0.002
Coronary artery disease	11 (16%)	82 (3.6%)	<0.001	11 (3.5%)	218 (1.7%)	0.024	5 (9.8%)	64 (8.0%)	0.598	16 (7.4%)	200 (3.5%)	0.008

0 (0%)	9 (0.4%)	>0.999	2 (0.6%)	29 (0.2%)	0.164	0 (0%)	25 (3.1%)	0.393	2 (0.9%)	37 (0.6%)	0.651
7 (10%)	117 (5.1%)	0.086	15 (4.8%)	423 (3.3%)	0.145	6 (12%)	119 (15%)	0.685	21 (9.7%)	413 (7.1%)	0.179
3 (4.4%)	113 (4.9%)	>0.999	11 (3.5%)	451 (3.5%)	0.876	8 (16%)	135 (17%)	>0.999	17 (7.9%)	629 (11%)	0.180
1 (1.5%)	74 (3.2%)	0.723	22 (7.1%)	974 (7.5%)	0.913	4 (7.8%)	72 (9.0%)	>0.999	18 (8.3%)	920 (16%)	0.002
1 (1.5%)	23 (1.0%)	0.504	3 (1.0%)	93 (0.7%)	0.494	1 (2.0%)	13 (1.6%)	0.583	4 (1.9%)	67 (1.2%)	0.325
13 (19%)	237 (10%)	0.027	50 (16%)	1,516 (12%)	0.026	3 (5.9%)	82 (10%)	0.468	25 (12%)	684 (12%)	>0.999
1 (1.5%)	26 (1.1%)	0.546	0 (0%)	24 (0.2%)	>0.999	1 (2.0%)	10 (1.3%)	0.497	1 (0.5%)	27 (0.5%)	>0.999
1 (1.5%)	22 (1.0%)	0.489	1 (0.3%)	45 (0.3%)	>0.999	1 (2.0%)	26 (3.3%)	>0.999	6 (2.8%)	83 (1.4%)	0.138
4 (5.9%)	79 (3.4%)	0.298	21 (6.8%)	447 (3.5%)	0.004	3 (5.9%)	46 (5.8%)	>0.999	18 (8.3%)	324 (5.6%)	0.099
1 (1.5%)	30 (1.3%)	0.596	21 (6.8%)	358 (2.8%)	<0.001	2 (3.9%)	17 (2.1%)	0.318	10 (4.6%)	299 (5.2%)	0.875
0 (0%)	5 (0.2%)	>0.999	1 (0.3%)	34 (0.3%)	0.565	0 (0%)	4 (0.5%)	>0.999	2 (0.9%)	33 (0.6%)	0.361
0 (0%)	9 (0.4%)	>0.999	1 (0.3%)	48 (0.4%)	>0.999	0 (0%)	2 (0.3%)	>0.999	2 (0.9%)	44 (0.8%)	0.682
7 (10%)	67 (2.9%)	0.005	17 (5.5%)	356 (2.8%)	0.008	3 (5.9%)	47 (5.9%)	>0.999	28 (13%)	368 (6.4%)	<0.001
4 (5.9%)	62 (2.7%)	0.118	7 (2.3%)	167 (1.3%)	0.131	0 (0%)	48 (6.0%)	0.108	8 (3.7%)	137 (2.4%)	0.251
			29 (9.4%)	1,209 (9.4%)	>0.999				1 (0.5%)	167 (2.9%)	0.032
			62 (20%)	3,658 (28%)	0.001				23 (11%)	1,012 (18%)	0.008
										,	
7 (10%)	225 (9.8%)	0.836	18 (5.8%)	1,096 (8.5%)	0.098	1 (2.0%)	48 (6.0%)	0.354	8 (3.7%)	192 (3.3%)	0.699
8 (12%)	420 (18%)	0.202	60 (19%)	3,314 (26%)	0.012	7 (14%)	107 (13%)	>0.999	35 (16%)	1,196 (21%)	0.122
9 (13%)	279 (12%)	0.708	30 (9.7%)	1,255 (9.7%)	>0.999	5 (9.8%)	134 (17%)	0.242	36 (17%)	726 (13%)	0.077
1 (1.5%)	22 (1.0%)	0.489	1 (0.3%)	82 (0.6%)	>0.999	0 (0%)	17 (2.1%)	0.617	4 (1.9%)	78 (1.3%)	0.541
0 (0%)	1 (<0.1%)	>0.999	0 (0%)	2 (<0.1%)	>0.999	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	>0.999	0 (0%)	1 (<0.1%)	>0.999
6 (8.8%)	261 (11%)	0.696	19 (6.1%)	1,604 (12%)	<0.001	2 (3.9%)	78 (9.8%)	0.218	9 (4.2%)	618 (11%)	<0.001
	0 (0%) 7 (10%) 3 (4.4%) 1 (1.5%) 1 (1.5%) 1 (1.5%) 1 (1.5%) 1 (1.5%) 4 (5.9%) 1 (1.5%) 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 7 (10%) 4 (5.9%) 7 (10%) 4 (5.9%) 7 (10%) 8 (12%) 9 (13%) 1 (1.5%) 0 (0%) 6 (8.8%)	0 (0%) 9 (0.4%) 7 (10%) 117 (5.1%) 3 (4.4%) 113 (4.9%) 1 (1.5%) 74 (3.2%) 1 (1.5%) 23 (1.0%) 13 (19%) 237 (10%) 13 (19%) 237 (10%) 1 (1.5%) 26 (1.1%) 1 (1.5%) 26 (1.1%) 1 (1.5%) 26 (1.1%) 1 (1.5%) 20 (1.3%) 0 (0%) 9 (0.4%) 7 (10%) 67 (2.9%) 4 (5.9%) 62 (2.7%) 7 67 (2.9%) 4 (5.9%) 62 (2.7%) 7 225 (9.8%) 8 (12%) 420 (18%) 9 (13%) 279 (12%) 1 (1.5%) 22 (1.0%) 0 (0%) 1 (<0.1%)	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 0 & (0\%) & 9 & (0.4\%) & > 0.999 \\ \hline 7 & (10\%) & 117 & (5.1\%) & 0.086 \\ \hline 3 & (4.4\%) & 113 & (4.9\%) & > 0.999 \\ \hline 1 & (1.5\%) & 74 & (3.2\%) & 0.723 \\ \hline 1 & (1.5\%) & 23 & (1.0\%) & 0.504 \\ \hline 13 & (19\%) & 237 & (10\%) & 0.027 \\ \hline 1 & (1.5\%) & 26 & (1.1\%) & 0.546 \\ \hline 1 & (1.5\%) & 22 & (1.0\%) & 0.489 \\ \hline 4 & (5.9\%) & 79 & (3.4\%) & 0.298 \\ \hline 1 & (1.5\%) & 30 & (1.3\%) & 0.596 \\ \hline 0 & (0\%) & 5 & (0.2\%) & > 0.999 \\ \hline 0 & (0\%) & 9 & (0.4\%) & > 0.999 \\ \hline 0 & (0\%) & 9 & (0.4\%) & > 0.999 \\ \hline 0 & (0\%) & 67 & (2.9\%) & 0.005 \\ \hline 4 & (5.9\%) & 62 & (2.7\%) & 0.118 \\ \hline \\ $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Mean (SD); n (%) [†]Unpaired t-test or Welch rank–sum test; Fisher's exact test

Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression analysis in a case-control study of migraine patients by sex within the VUMC and All of Us

EHR databases

		VU	МС		All of Us					
Variables	Mal	е	Fema	ale		Male	Female			
Valiables	AOR (95% CI)	p-value								
Demographic factors										
Age	1.01 (1.0-1.03)	0.15	1.03 (1.03-1.04)	<0.001	1.03 (1.01-1.06)	0.007	1.03 (1.02-1.04)	<0.001		
Race										
White	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent		
American Indian or Alaska Native	23.5 (0.82-347)	0.024	2.22 (0.12-11.3)	0.44	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim [*]		
Asian	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.70 (0.11-2.24)	0.62	0.81 (0.04-4.76)	0.85	0.28 (0.02-1.29)	0.21		
Black or African American	1.08 (0.31-2.80)	0.89	1.21 (0.79-1.77)	0.36	1.00 (0.34-2.52)	>0.99	1.01 (0.61-1.59)	0.97		
Middle Eastern or North African	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	2.38 (0.13-13.0)	0.42	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	1.21 (0.07-5.98)	0.86		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Nim [*]	Nim [*]								
Unknown	0.81 (0.24-2.04)	0.69	0.66 (0.36-1.09)	0.13	0.93 (0.38-2.03)	0.85	1.08 (0.76-1.53)	0.65		
Comorbidity factors										
Hypertension	0.91 (0.36-2.07)	0.84	1.57 (1.07-2.27)	0.018	0.55 (0.22-1.24)	0.17	1.19 (0.82-1.69)	0.35		
Complicated Hypertension	0.47 (0.07-2.51)	0.41	1.54 (0.75-3.02)	0.22	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.98 (0.39-2.19)	0.96		
Diabetes	0.85 (0.31-2.03)	0.74	0.73 (0.45-1.13)	0.18	1.23 (0.40-3.27)	0.70	1.15 (0.71-1.78)	0.56		

Complicated Diabetes	1.68 (0.37-6.83)	0.48	2.57 (1.27-5.09)	0.007	1.14 (0.14-6.29)	0.89	2.36 (1.17-4.66)	0.014
Hyperlipidemia	2.42 (1.28-4.50)	0.006	1.40 (1.03-1.90)	0.031	0.76 (0.36-1.57)	0.47	1.10 (0.78-1.55)	0.58
Sleep Apnea	0.35 (0.02-1.75)	0.32	0.92 (0.48-1.60)	0.78	0.87 (0.13-3.40)	0.86	1.00 (0.51-1.80)	0.99
Peripheral Artery Disease	0.47 (0.07-1.97)	0.37	0.93 (0.34-2.10)	0.87	2.88 (0.35-17.1)	0.27	0.63 (0.23-1.44)	0.32
Atrial Fibrillation	0.52 (0.06-2.69)	0.49	0.45 (0.07-1.57)	0.29	2.35 (0.33-10.5)	0.31	2.65 (1.03-6.08)	0.030
Coronary Artery Disease	5.77 (1.59-20.1)	0.006	0.78 (0.31-1.80)	0.58	2.18 (0.38-11.5)	0.36	0.86 (0.38-1.89)	0.71
Alcohol Use Disorder	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	2.87 (0.42-11.3)	0.19	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	1.41 (0.22-5.16)	0.65
Substance Use Disorder	0.51 (0.11-2.12)	0.37	1.07 (0.46-2.43)	0.86	0.55 (0.11-2.21)	0.43	1.46 (0.70-2.99)	0.30
Tobacco Use	0.61 (0.12-2.14)	0.49	0.74 (0.33-1.50)	0.44	1.47 (0.55-3.51)	0.41	0.62 (0.33-1.09)	0.12
Obesity	0.25 (0.01-1.34)	0.20	0.71 (0.43-1.12)	0.16	1.08 (0.30-3.00)	0.89	0.38 (0.22-0.63)	<0.001
Congestive Heart Failure	0.26 (0.01-1.99)	0.28	0.44 (0.10-1.34)	0.20	3.75 (0.16-37.0)	0.30	0.71 (0.19-2.06)	0.56
Malignancy	1.36 (0.63-2.70)	0.41	0.93 (0.66-1.30)	0.69	0.53 (0.12-1.65)	0.33	0.64 (0.39-0.99)	0.052
HIV	1.22 (0.06-7.32)	0.86	0.00 (0.00-28.3)	0.97	2.22 (0.11-15.3)	0.48	1.40 (0.08-6.97)	0.74
Hepatitis	1.46 (0.07-9.52)	0.74	0.62 (0.03-3.19)	0.65	0.87 (0.04-5.24)	0.90	1.63 (0.60-3.73)	0.29
Thrombophilia	0.80 (0.19-2.61)	0.74	1.56 (0.93-2.50)	0.075	0.94 (0.18-3.41)	0.93	1.40 (0.81-2.31)	0.20
Autoimmune Diseases	0.96 (0.05-5.54)	0.97	2.01 (1.20-3.20)	0.005	3.22 (0.44-14.6)	0.17	0.67 (0.32-1.26)	0.25

Vasculitis	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.71 (0.04-3.63)	0.75	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	1.49 (0.23-5.50)	0.61
Sickle Cell Disease	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.81 (0.04-4.02)	0.83	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	1.94 (0.30-6.81)	0.38
Heart Valve Disorder	2.72 (0.94-6.88)	0.047	1.38 (0.77-2.33)	0.25	0.59 (0.11-2.21)	0.48	1.80 (1.13-2.77)	0.010
Renal Failure	1.24 (0.27-4.47)	0.77	0.64 (0.25-1.44)	0.32	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.83 (0.34-1.82)	0.67
Hormonal factors								
Pregnancy			1.50 (0.96-2.27)	0.061			0.28 (0.02-1.30)	0.21
Oral Contraceptives			0.67 (0.49-0.91)	0.011			0.87 (0.53-1.36)	0.55
Migraine treatment factors								
Valproate	1.27 (0.50-2.77)	0.58	0.83 (0.49-1.32)	0.46	0.35 (0.02-1.80)	0.32	1.56 (0.68-3.10)	0.25
Topiramate	0.65 (0.28-1.34)	0.28	0.81 (0.60-1.07)	0.15	1.37 (0.53-3.10)	0.48	0.92 (0.62-1.33)	0.68
Metoprolol	0.73 (0.31-1.53)	0.44	0.72 (0.47-1.05)	0.10	0.51 (0.17-1.27)	0.19	1.05 (0.70-1.53)	0.80
Timolol	1.03 (0.05-5.94)	0.98	0.25 (0.01-1.19)	0.18	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	0.89 (0.27-2.24)	0.83
Methysergide	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim [*]	Nim [*]
Propranolol	0.93 (0.35-2.08)	0.88	0.52 (0.31-0.80)	0.006	0.52 (0.08-1.85)	0.39	0.39 (0.18-0.73)	0.007

Nim, not in the model; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence intervals; AOR, adjusted odds ratio * Due to low numbers of factors within the sex category (case=0), some factor estimates were inestimable and thus not included in the model

Figures with Figure Legends



Figure 1. Flowchart illustrating the process of defining cases and controls among

migraine patients.



Figure 2. Eligibility and inclusion flowchart from the VUMC and All of Us Research

EHR databases.



Figure 3. Cumulative Incidence of Stroke Events in Female Migraine Patients. (A) Kaplan-Meier curves with adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years from the VUMC database. (B) Kaplan-Meier curves with adjusted HRs and 95% CIs at 1, 2, 5, and 10 years from the All of Us database.



* ICD-9: 346.0, 346.01, 346.02, 346.03, 346.5x, 346.6x; ICD-10: G43.1x

† ICD-9: 346.1, 346.11, 346.12, 346.13, 346.7x, 346.8x, 346.9x; ICD-10: G43.0x, G43.8x, G43.9x

‡ ICD-9: 346.2x, 346.8x, 346.9x; ICD-10: G43.8x, G43.9x



ich was not certified by pe It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.



