

1 **Is execute attention affected by environmental lighting**
2 **conditions?**

3

4 Nino Wessolowski^{1*} & Raschida Jasmin Rahim¹

5

6

7 ¹ MSH Medical School Hamburg, University of Applied Sciences and Medical
8 University, Hamburg, Germany, Europe

9

10

11 *Corresponding author

12 E-Mail: nino.wessolowski@medicalschooll-hamburg.de

13

14

15 **Abstract**

16 Bright daylight has various positive influences, such as the long-term
17 synchronization of circadian rhythms and an associated alertness that creates
18 optimal conditions for attentional performance. However, the short-term effects
19 of light on attention have not yet been sufficiently researched. Studies of these
20 short-term effects on selective attention also showed partly contradictory effects.
21 To investigate these short-term effects, 95 participants completed the Attention
22 Network Test, under conditions of bright daylight or warm-white light. The focus
23 of the present study was on executive attention, because this construct comes
24 closest to the ANT short form of selective attention used. A significant
25 enhancement of executive attention was observed under bright daylight
26 conditions. This specifically means a short term effect of bright daylight on
27 executive attention and thus selective attention in young adults.

28

29 **Introduction**

30 Natural sunlight affects humans, both physiologically and psychologically.
31 Sunlight synchronizes the “internal clock,” influencing, among others, our sleep-
32 wake cycle, mental energy, pleasure, motivation, and attention [1]. Therefore,
33 artificial daylight is used indoors, to optimize room lighting or as a specific
34 intervention in light therapy. Although field and laboratory studies have shown
35 positive effects of intense artificial daylight on attention in different populations
36 and under different conditions compared to neutral and warm white light and
37 dimmed illuminance levels [2 - 9], not all investigations have yielded significant
38 effects [9]

39 However, these empirical findings can only be explained theoretically to a limited
40 extent, because the mechanism of light interventions during the day are still the
41 subject of controversy [2,8]. Even those studies that point beneficial artificial light
42 effects [3,7] have yielded heterogeneous results on individual subscales of the
43 frequently administered d2 test of attention [10]: Increase in the speed-based total
44 value (KL) vs a reduction in the error rate (F). Among the various possible causes
45 underlying the diversity of extant findings, methodological differences in rather
46 global forms of assessment of the construct of attention, particularly deserve
47 closer consideration. The present study, therefore, investigates the effects of
48 daylight on executive attention by employing an established and highly robust
49 method resistant to confounders.

50 **1.1 Pathways of the effect of light on human behavior**

51 Regarding the general effects of light on human behavior, three different
52 explanations are currently discussed [3,8].

53 (1. Visual pathway) In the retina light hits the retinal cones responsible for the
54 perception of color and the retinal rods responsible for the perception of
55 brightness; these impressions are then transmitted through the ganglion cells and
56 through the optical nerve to reach the visual center in the occipital lobe, where a
57 visual impression emerges [11]. An increase in illuminance results in an
58 improvement in visual perception by means of increased brightness and color
59 contrasts [12], which can in turn counteract visual impairments to some degree
60 [13]. In addition, increased illuminance can also alleviate signs of fatigue as well
61 as eye pain and headaches [12,13]. In the present study, this explanation is the
62 visual pathway less possible. This is due to the absence of any change in the
63 illuminance of the monitor, only that of the environmental condition. However,
64 the improvement in vision by increasing the illuminance could be partly
65 responsible for the effects of the reference studies that conducted paper-based
66 attention tests.

67 (2. Neurobiological non-visual pathway) In addition to the retinal rods and cones,
68 a third type of receptor has been identified at the retina, the intrinsically
69 photosensitive retinal ganglion cells [14–16]. These receptors cover the retina like
70 a spider web and are directly linked to the suprachiasmatic nucleus via the
71 retinohypothalamic tract. This nucleus is the primary clock for all circadian body

72 functions and controls these functions through the hormones melatonin and
73 cortisol as well as the cryptochrome proteins CRY and PER [11]. Melatonin is
74 produced in the pineal gland from the precursor serotonin and is suppressed by
75 light. Cortisol is controlled indirectly through the release of adrenocorticotrophic
76 hormone, and its rhythm is roughly opposite that of melatonin release.
77 Furthermore, the exact temporal course of light incidence on the retina, from
78 changes in neuronal and hormonal activity to manifest changes in perception and
79 behavior, is not yet fully clarified. In addition to the duration and intensity of
80 exposure, individual and situational factors play a major factor [17,18].

81 (3. Psychological Pathway) Light may yield psychological effects by mechanisms
82 of classical conditioning [19] and mood [17,18]. For example, dark-warm light
83 like an open fire has been associated with cozy memories, yielding states of
84 relaxation, etc. Dark and cold light, like in the cellar, is paired with unpleasant
85 experiences and reminds one of fear or depression [19]. Bright daylight is linked
86 to activity and creates a mood that increases concentration [17,18]. Knez [17], for
87 example, showed a connection between light, mood and attention. The results of
88 this study showed that a colour temperature which induced the least negative
89 mood enhanced the performance in the long-term memory and problem-solving
90 tasks, that require selective attention.

91 The mechanisms of the effect of light on immediate experiential and behavioral
92 changes during the day are finally not clear. In addition to the duration and
93 intensity of light exposure, individual and situational factors also interact in light

94 application in everyday life: for example, age and gender [17,18] as well as
95 anatomical characteristics [1], have an influence on the effect of light as individual
96 factors. Situational factors of light applications include the location, occasion, and
97 setting, as well as the form of the relationship with the people involved [20].
98 Output arousal may also play an important role in the effect of light on humans,
99 especially in the stress response. A connection between light, attention and
100 arousal was found [21,22].

101
102 Also the exact connection between light as a zeitgeber for circadian functions and
103 immediate changes in short-term behavior during the day has not yet been
104 completely clarified. However, the fact that short-term light interventions during
105 the day also activate brain areas belonging to the circadian system [23] as well as
106 studies have shown that light interventions during the day can influence alpha
107 waves in the brain [24,25]. The research goal is to find further evidence at the
108 behavioral level for the effect of light during the day.

109

110 **1.2 Previous studies on the attentional effects of light**

111 While there are fewer studies of high quality in adults, initial controlled studies
112 on the use of artificial daylight in school settings showed that light supports
113 attention in the age group of children and adolescents. For instance, Barkmann,
114 Wessolowski, and Schulte-Markwort [3] examined the effect of artificial daylight
115 on attention-related task performance in the setting of a field study in primary and

116 secondary schools. Among other lighting conditions in variable light, a daylight
117 condition (1060 lx and 6500 K) was compared with a control condition (300lx
118 and 4000K). In a sample of 110 pupils, the concentration performance (CP;
119 number of detected target items minus omission errors searching through lines of
120 characters for prespecified target items) was measured using the d2 test of
121 attention [10] and the ELFE 1-6 [21] as well as the LGVT 6-12 [22] reading tests.
122 Under artificial daylight, there were significantly fewer errors (missed target
123 items and false marked characters) in the d2 test of attention and significantly
124 more words read in the reading tests. No effects were found in the lines processed
125 per time unit (edited target items) and CP of the d2 test of attention.

126 A supplementary laboratory study by Wessolowski [26] showed comparable
127 results in 95 adult students with significant effects of small size in overall error,
128 omission errors and, contrary to the analogous field study, in working speed.

129 The first of three experiments of Slegers, Moolenaar, Galetzka, Pruyn, Sarroukh
130 and van der Zande [7] was a quasi-experimental field study with $n = 98$ students
131 in grades 4 and 6 ($M=10.0$ years) from one elementary school. In total, the d2 test
132 of attention was administered at three different time points (pre-measurement,
133 first post-measurement, second post-measurement) under different light
134 conditions in an intervention and a control group. The interval between pre- and
135 post-measurements was one month, the interval between the two post-
136 measurements one week. In the pre-measurement, the “standard” light program
137 (300lx and 3000-4000K) was used for both groups. In the post-measurements, a

138 daylight program (1000lx and 6500K) was used in the intervention group, while
139 the “standard” light program was used in the control group. The intervention
140 group scored significant better (large effect sizes) on d2 overall error and CP
141 compared to the control group. In the second experiment of Slegers et al., n = 37
142 students at the age of 10 years from two schools were examined with otherwise
143 the same procedure, light conditions and measuring instruments as in the first
144 experiment. The results of this second experiment largely replicated those of the
145 first experiment. The third experiment realized a randomized design in a darkened
146 lecture room with a total of n = 55 students from six schools. No significant effects
147 were found in terms of overall error, speed or CP.

148 The aim of the study by Auras, Barkmann, Niemeyer, Schulte-Markwort and
149 Wessolowski [2] was to test specific light interventions on attention in the Child
150 and Adolescent Psychiatry. Using a quasi-experimental A-B-A-B study design, a
151 daylight programme (A) with high illuminance (5312 K, 793 lx) was tested
152 against a comparative light programme (B; 4081 K, 378 lx). CP, overall errors
153 and working speed of 30 patients (age M = 11.5 years) was assessed using the d2
154 test of attention of [10] and subjective self-assessment for attention and stress
155 level was recorded using questionnaires. In the d2 test of attention there were
156 significant improvements of medium size under the daylight programme
157 condition in CP and working speed but there were no effects in overall errors. The
158 subjective self-assessments, on the other hand, showed a decrease in
159 concentration and an increase in stress and fatigue under daylight conditions.

160 Weitbrecht, Bärwolff, Lischke and Jünger [9] investigated the influence of the
161 correlated color temperature of light on concentration and creativity in $n = 50$
162 students and employees. The three light scenarios (3000 K, 4500 K, 6000 K) were
163 given with a constant illuminance of 1000 lx in a darkened room by an LED
164 surface area light. Among others, the d2-bq test (short form of d2 test of attention),
165 a creativity test [28], a word test (in which words of a certain category must be
166 recognized in a box with letters) [29] and a logic test [28] were used. The order
167 of the three light scenarios was randomized. In the d2-bq test, no significant
168 differences were found between the light conditions. Under the warm light
169 condition (3000 K), improvements in the creativity test were observed compared
170 to the conditions of 4500 K and 6000 K. Significant advantages in favor of the
171 daylight condition (6000 K) were found in the word test, which also requires
172 attention. In the logic test (also attributed to creativity), the highest performance
173 was achieved at 3000 K compared to 4500 K and 6000 K. Accordingly, the
174 authors conclude that performance improvements were significant in terms of
175 creativity in warm light (3000 K) and in terms of attention in light with higher
176 blue content (4500 K, 6000 K), even though their results failed to reach the level
177 of significance.

178 In summary, five of six studies using the d2 test of attention found significant
179 effects when comparing performance under conditions of bright daylight. But
180 these findings appear somehow inconsistencies. While Slegers et al. [7]
181 found effects both in CP and in the decrease of overall errors, Barkmann et al. [3]

182 only found effects in the decrease of overall errors, Wessolowski [26] found
183 effects in overall errors and working speed and Auras et al. [2] found an increase
184 in CP and no decrease of overall errors.

185 It should be noted, however, that the performance measures provided by the d2
186 test are unspecific with regard to the underlying attentional processes and were
187 observed in children and adolescents.

188

189 **1.4 Questions and hypotheses of the present study**

190 The results of controlled light studies in children and adolescents speak for an
191 effect of daylight on attention. However, participants subjectively report more
192 stress [2] and the objective effects are found on different scales of the d2 attention
193 test. Perhaps because the increase in performance due to daylight is at the expense
194 of the perception of stress? Furthermore, controlled light studies in the age group
195 of adults are lacking. Therefore, the present study is intended to clarify more
196 precisely the impact of light on young adults. In addition, this study aims to
197 understand the effect of light on the process of executive attention. Therefore, we
198 analyzed executive attention with the Attention Network Test (ANT) [30] in short
199 form more elaborately than was previously the case with the d2 test of attention.
200 The d2 test measures rather broadly and less process-specific. This could also
201 explain the heterogeneous d2 findings of the previous studies. We assume that
202 congruency (executive Attention) in the ANT comes closest to the construct of
203 selective attention in the d2 test of attention. The ANT combines two of the

204 classical paradigms of visual attention (i.e., the Posner and Eriksen paradigms).
205 In addition to Executive Function, the ANT incorporates the Orienting and
206 Alerting networks. In principle, light effects can also be expected for these factors
207 [31,32]; however, due to the short duration of the experiment [30], it is possible
208 that these effects can only be detected minimally or not at all. Fan et al. [30]
209 demonstrated that an enhancement in the performance of executive attention tasks
210 correlates with the activation of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in the human
211 brain. If a discernible light effect is observed in the current experiment concerning
212 executive function, this would provide additional evidence for the biologically
213 non-visual function in short-term light effects and further support for the short-
214 term activation of the circadian system, as both the SCN and ACC are constituents
215 of the limbic system [11]. Based on observations during previous studies [2], we
216 also explicitly surveyed subjective stress perception during light exposure using
217 questionnaires. This is important because stress affect performance. The Yerkes
218 and Dodson law shows an inverted u-shaped relationship. This implies that
219 performance initially rises in proportion to stress, and above a medium level of
220 stress, performance reaches its best value; if stress now continues to rise, this
221 increasingly leads to losses [27].

222

223 In sum, the present study aimed to answer the following three questions: 1. Are
224 higher performances in executive attention observed under bright daylight than
225 under dimmed warm light conditions? 2. What effects can be observed in relation

226 to orienting and alerting? 3. Are the two lighting conditions associated with

227 different levels of subjective stress.

228

229 **Materials and Methods**

230 **2.1 Sample**

231 The sample size was determined by an a-priori power analysis. Using G-Power
232 [33], this showed an optimum sample size of $n = 788$ with expected small effects
233 and $p < .05$. Since such a large sample has to be collected over several years in
234 the complex laboratory experiment, the present study monitors the effects after
235 data collection in the first semester.

236 A sample was recruited from Bachelor and Master students, their families and
237 friends. All $n = 91$ participants were included in all analyses because there were
238 no missing data. The large proportion of psychology students (75.8 %) and the
239 high proportion of women (72.6 %) should be accentuated (see Table 1). None of
240 the control variables showed any significant correlations with the ANT Outcome
241 (target variables), so that these variables were not included as covariates in the
242 analyses.

243

244 **Table 1. Sample information.**

	BL	WL	total	Significance between groups
n (%)	53 (58.2)	38 (41.2)	91 (100.0)	$X^2 = 2.473, df = 1, p = .116$
age in years M (SD)	22.4 (1.77)	23.3 (2.52)	22.7 (2.12)	$T = 1.933, df = 1, p = .056, d = .411, CI: -0.011 - 0.831$
sex f/m in %	76.8/23.2	66.7/33.3	72.6/27.4	$X^2 = 0.552, df = 1, p = .457$
Students of psychology in %	69.2	80.4	75.8	$X^2 = 4.526, df = 1, p = .033^*$

245
246 Notes. BL = bright daylight, WL = dim warm light, T = T-Test (two tailed), X2 =
247 Chi-Square Distribution.

248

249

250 **2.1 Design and Materials**

251 The study took place in the laboratory of the Medical School Hamburg (MSH) in
252 four identical sound insulated and ventilated test cabins (180 cm long and 220 cm
253 high, light grey inner walls) (see Figure 1). A 72 x 35 cm cabin window was
254 located behind the participants (left of the door). The cabin windows were facing
255 away from the windows of the laboratory room (north-east), so that barely any
256 natural daylight (see control variables) would enter the cabins (maximum value
257 determined over all measurements: 30 lx). The cabins are each equipped with two
258 chairs, one table, one computer, one screen, and two lamps.

259

260 **Please insert figure 1 here.**

261 **Figure 1: Cabin in the laboratory of the Medical School Hamburg (Picture**
262 **by Johanna Hänsel).**

263

264

265 **Please insert figure 2 here.**

266 **Figure 2: Cabin in the laboratory with experimental condition bright**
267 **daylight (Picture by Nilay Dermidal and Ricardo Frink).**

268

269 **Please insert figure 3 here.**

270 **Figure 3: Cabin in the laboratory with control condition dim warm light**
271 **(Picture by Nilay Dermidal and Ricardo Frink).**

272

273 The hardware was a Lenovo PC (Intel (R) Pentium (R) CPU G 3220 @ 3.00 Ghz,
274 RAM: 4.0 GB) with Windows 7 Professional (64 Bit) and a computer monitor
275 with a 22" LCD screen. The ANT (see below) was controlled and presented with
276 the experimental software E-Prime 2.0 (Psychology Software Tools, Inc.).

277 As illustrated in Figures 1-3, the lighting for the experimental condition bright
278 daylight was provided by two off-the shelf floor lamps (Ikea Not) which were
279 equipped with two compact fluorescent lamps (one Philips T65 Softone Cool
280 Daylight E27 20W 865 and one Philips Genie Cool Daylight E14 8W 865) and a
281 surface mounted light (Luxero T5LL-21 W) with a fluorescent tube (FSL 21W

282 865/0). The light for the control condition dim warm-white was operationalized
283 by the lefthand floor lamps (see Figure 3). This condition is equipped with another
284 compact fluorescent lamp (Philips Tornado E14 12W 827). The movable arms of
285 the floor lamps with the E14 sockets were fixed to the cabin interior in both
286 conditions with the light cone aligned to the ceiling. The operationalization of the
287 lighting conditions is discussed in 4.3 Limitations.

288 The cabins were equipped with a measuring device ALP-01 from Asensetek
289 Lighting Passport. The illuminance and color temperature were measured
290 horizontally on the work surface. Under the bright daylight (BL) experimental
291 condition, an average of 517.0 lx / 5417 K was measured. This generated a
292 condition with high illuminance and daylight color as well as a correspondingly
293 high blue component (see Figure 2). An average of 67.5 lx / 2628 K was measured
294 under the dim warm-white (WL), control condition resulting in low illuminance
295 and warm-white color temperature with a correspondingly high red component
296 (see Figure 3).

297

298 **2.2 Dependent Variables**

299 In this study the ANT-Short was used [30]. Participants were instructed to react
300 as quickly as possible to the direction of a middle arrow on a computer screen and
301 click with the left or right thumb on the left or right mouse button.

302 The Conflict effect (**Executive attention**) is calculated by the difference in
303 reaction times between trials with congruent arrows and incongruent arrows [30].
304 The stimuli are illustrated in Figure 4.

305 The **Orienting** effect is calculated by the difference in reaction times
306 between trials with a center cue and spatial cue [30]. The stimuli are illustrated in
307 Figure 5.

308 The **Alerting** effect is calculated by the difference in reaction times
309 between trials with no cue and a center cue [30]. The stimuli are also illustrated
310 in Figure 5.

311

312 **Please insert figure 4 here.**

313

314 **Figure 4 (a-h): congruent bottom (a-b), incongruent bottom (c-d), congruent**
315 **top (e-f), incongruent top (g-h).**

316

317

318 **Please insert figure 5 here.**

319

320 **Figure 5 (a-d): no cue – only fixation cross (a), center cue (b), spatial cue**
321 **bottom (c), spatial cue top (d).**

322

323

324 Based on the findings in the previous studies, ratings of **acute stress** were
325 also obtained. The scale rest and restlessness of the multidimensional state
326 questionnaire (MBDF) [34] was used. Self-formulated short scales were used to

327 determine the following control variables: long-term stress, consumption of
328 caffeine intake, chronotype, night sleep, nationality, mother tongue,
329 glasses/contact lenses, left/right-handedness, and chronic diseases. In further
330 details sunshine hours duration of the day [35], room temperature (temperature),
331 date and time were recorded. Additionally, pulse and blood pressure were
332 measured with blood pressure monitors (Sanitas SBC 15).

333

334 **2.4 Procedure**

335 The data collection took place from 20.04.2021 to 16.05.2021. The light condition
336 was determined at random (coin toss). Accordingly, the luminaires were therefore
337 fitted with the appropriate lamps for lighting condition, switched on and the room
338 temperature measured. To ensure that the initial conditions were as comparable
339 as possible and to allow the light exposure to take effect. The adult participants
340 were informed in both written and verbal form about the study and gave their
341 written consent. The participants were first asked to complete questionnaires. This
342 should result in a total acclimatization and exposure of about 10 minutes before
343 the actual experiment. The experimenter stayed in the cabin during the instruction
344 of the ANT and the test trial. Afterwards pulse and blood pressure were measured.
345 Then the experimenter left the cabin and the participants completed the
346 experiment, consisting of three ANT blocks each containing 48 trials. Each of
347 these blocks was followed by a response on the scale of calm / restlessness. Pulse

348 and blood pressure values were measured for the second time and afterwards the
349 participant was send-off.

350

351 **2.5 Ethics**

352 The experiment was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki
353 and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Medical School Hamburg (**MSH-**
354 **2020105**).

355

356 **2.6 Statistics**

357 An analysis of variance (ANOVA between subjects) was used. A Huynh-
358 Feldt correction was used when the sphericity assumption was violated. The
359 dependent variables were successfully tested for normal distribution by testing
360 kurtosis and skewness.

361 Results

362 3.1 Analysis of the ANT

363 Table 2 shows a significant effect of small size in the main variable of interest,
364 executive function, in favor of the bright daylight group. This means that an
365 average participant in the bright light group has a 11.3% shorter time difference
366 between the congruence and no congruence conditions than an average participant
367 in the control group with dimmed warm white light. The small effect for orienting
368 (n.s.) and the absence of an effect for alerting are as expected.

369

370

371 Table 2

372 *Analysis of the ANT (reaction time).*

	n	BL M (S)	WL M (S)	F	df	p	η_p^2
Executive attention	91	89.7 (0.11)	101.2 (26.60)	3.990	1	.049*	0.043
Orienting	91	56.6 (28.79)	65.5 (27.60)	2.108	1	.150	0.023
Alerting	91	22.1 (21.04)	19.6 (22.79)	0.258	1	.613	0.003

373

374 Notes. BL = bright daylight, WL = dim warm light, F = F-Value of ANOVA (two tailed), η_p^2 = Partital

375 Eta Squared.

376

377 3.2 Stress

378

379 Table 3 shows that there was no significant light effect (time*group) on the
380 perceived stress of the participants during the test. In contrast, a significant effect
381 of small size was found for the time course.

382

383 Table 3

384 *Analysis of perceived stress.*

	n	BL M (S)	WL M (S)	F	df	p	n_p^2
t0	91	1.4 (0.83)	1.2 (0.78)			time	
t1	91	1.6 (0.81)	1.4 (0.75)	3.644	3	.018	.039
t2	91	1.5 (0.74)	1.3 (0.81)			time*group	
t3	91	1.4 (0.73)	1.3 (0.72)	0.391	3	.728	.004

385

386 Notes. BL = bright daylight, WL = dim warm light, F = ANOVA (repeated measures), Huynh-Feldt

387 correction, n_p^2 = partial Eta Squared.

388

389 4. Discussion

390 4.1 Main Results

391 The present study investigates whether higher performances observed in
392 attentional functions under bright daylight than under dim warm light and whether
393 stress perception is different between the light conditions. The anticipated impact
394 on executive function in favor of the bright daylight condition, compared to the
395 dimmed warm white light condition, can be confirmed. As anticipated, the

396 orienting effect is smaller in this experiment, and no alerting effect is observed.

397 No light effect was observed in relation to stress.

398

399

400 **4.2 The relationship of the present results with previous studies and** 401 **the pathways of the effect of light on human behavior.**

402 The observations of Auras et al. [2] that daylight interventions subjectively lead
403 to higher stress levels could not be confirmed in this study. No effect in the stress
404 load was found. Presumably, the effect of light on the perception of stress depends
405 on the state of arousal. Highly stressed people like the children in the psychiatric
406 hospital in the study by Auras et al. [2] may first need a calming dimmed warm
407 white light. However, the setting and the experimental population showed
408 considerable differences between the present study and the reference study, so
409 that further research on this question is necessary.

410

411 **4.3 Limitations**

412 In contrast to the preliminary studies, this study administered a computer-based
413 test, whereas the reference studies are based on paper pencil tests [3,7,23]. In
414 addition, the monitors emitted white light as screen background regardless of the
415 lighting condition administered. So the contrast between the light conditions was
416 not as pronounced as in the reference studies. In addition, the daylight condition
417 in the reference studies by Barkmann et al. [3] and Slegers et al. [7] were

418 approximately twice as intense with approximately 1000 Lux. In the study of
419 Auras et al. [6], the daylight condition was also significantly brighter (793 lux) as
420 was the case with Wessolowski [23], with almost 1300 lx. This could have
421 resulted in possible effects of the light that could not be represented reliably.
422 Another limitation is that the lighting conditions were not generated from only
423 one luminaire as in Barkmann et al. [3] and Slegers et al. [7] but from different
424 luminaires. Thus, other lighting parameters varied except for illuminance and the
425 most similar color temperature. However, the present study was carried out
426 entirely without funding from the lighting industry and was financed entirely from
427 the authors' private funds. This is certainly not ideal for an experiment, but the
428 change of the lighting situation by different favorable light sources corresponds
429 to frequent practice in real life.

430

431 The data collection took place in spring and early summer. Although the test
432 cabins were largely isolated from natural daylight, the participants were certainly
433 influenced by natural daylight experienced previously outside the lab. It is
434 conceivable that natural daylight outside the experiment was much more effective
435 than artificial light in the experiment and caused strong undesired stimulation,
436 especially in the control condition. For example, Kent et al. demonstrated a
437 significant correlation between natural daylight exposure of the participants, as
438 measured by NASA satellites, and their performance on cognitive tests [36].
439 Although an influence of sunshine duration (local weather data) on the test results

440 could not be determined (not shown here), it seems conceivable that our results
441 are conflated by previous exposure to bright daylight.

442

443

444 **4.4 Summary**

445 In terms of executive attention in the ANT test utilized, the results favored the
446 daylight condition. Since the test was computerized for both the daylight and
447 warm white light groups with constant monitor settings, the effect cannot be
448 solely attributed to the visual impact of light. Light seems to directly affect
449 executive attention and presumably selective attention, with activation observed
450 in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) [37], a component of the circadian system.
451 Van der Valle also demonstrates that short-term light interventions result in the
452 activation of brain regions associated with the circadian system [23]. This
453 suggests that the short-term impact of light on attentional performance may share
454 a similar biological, non-visual pathway with the circadian effect of light.

455 A non-significant effect of small magnitude was observed for orienting, and no
456 effect was found for alerting, potentially attributable to the brief duration of the
457 experiment. In principle, the experiment should be expanded on a larger scale and
458 with more pronounced light contrasts. The lighting scenarios do not appear to
459 influence subjects' stress perception, at least not in this experiment; however, this
460 could be attributed to sample size, experimental setting, and participants' arousal
461 levels.

462

463

464 **References**

465 1. Rea MS. Light - Much More Than Vision. 2002 [cited 1 Jan 2002].

466 Available:

467 <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/db8f/5ba0be450279bce83054781b513a70fc35ff>
468 .pdf

469 2. Auras MI, Barkmann C, Niemeyer M, Schulte-Markwort M, Wessolowski
470 N. [Efficacy of variable light in child and adolescent psychiatry]. *Z Für Kinder-*
471 *Jugendpsychiatrie Psychother.* 2016;44: 148–157. doi:10.1024/1422-
472 4917/a000409

473 3. Barkmann C, Wessolowski N, Schulte-Markwort M. Applicability and
474 efficacy of variable light in schools. *Physiol Behav.* 2012;105: 621–627.
475 doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.09.020

476 4. Boyce PR, Beckstead JW, Eklund NH, Strobel RW, Rea MS. Lighting the
477 graveyard shift: The influence of a daylight-simulating skylight on the task
478 performance and mood of night-shift workerst. *Light Res Technol.* 1997;29:
479 105–134. doi:10.1177/14771535970290030501

480 5. Figueiro M, Rea MS, Boyce P, White R, Kolberg K. The effects of bright
481 light on day and night shift nurses' performance and well-being in the NICU.
482 *Neonatal Intensive Care.* 2001;14: 29–32.

483 6. Fleischer SE. Die psychologische Wirkung veränderlicher
484 Kunstlichtsituationen auf den Menschen. Doctoral Thesis, ETH Zurich. 2001.
485 doi:10.3929/ethz-a-004122647

486 7. Slegers P, Moolenaar N, Galetzka M, Pruyn A, Sarroukh B, van der

- 487 Zande B. Lighting affects students' concentration positively: Findings from
488 three Dutch studies. *Light Res Technol.* 2013;45: 159–175.
489 doi:10.1177/1477153512446099
- 490 8. Wessolowski N, Koenig H, Schulte-Markwort M, Barkmann C. The
491 effect of variable light on the fidgetiness and social behavior of pupils in school.
492 *J Environ Psychol.* 2014;39: 101–108. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.05.001
- 493 9. Weitbrecht WU, Bärwolff H, Lischke A, Jünger S. [Effect of Light Color
494 Temperature on Human Concentration and Creativity]. *Fortschr Neurol
495 Psychiatr.* 2015;83: 344–348. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1553051
- 496 10. Brickenkamp R. *Aufmerksamkeits-Belastungs-Test d2.* 2002 [cited 26
497 Nov 2019]. Available: [https://www.testzentrale.de/shop/test-d2-
498 aufmerksamkeits-belastungs-test.html](https://www.testzentrale.de/shop/test-d2-aufmerksamkeits-belastungs-test.html)
- 499 11. Pinel JPJ. *Biopsychology.* Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon; 1990.
- 500 12. Goldstein EB. *Sensation and perception, 4th ed.* Belmont, CA: Thomson
501 Brooks/Cole Publishing Co; 1996.
- 502 13. van Bommel W, van den Beld G. Lighting for work: a review of visual
503 and biological effects. *Light Res Technol.* 2004;36: 255–266.
504 doi:10.1191/1365782804li122oa
- 505 14. Berson DM, Dunn FA, Takao M. Phototransduction by retinal ganglion
506 cells that set the circadian clock. *Science.* 2002;295: 1070–1073.
- 507 15. Brainard GC, Hanifin JP, Greeson JM, Byrne B, Glickman G, Gerner E,
508 et al. Action spectrum for melatonin regulation in humans: evidence for a novel
509 circadian photoreceptor. *J Neurosci.* 2001;21: 6405–6412.
- 510 16. Hattar S, Liao HW, Takao M, Berson DM, Yau KW. Melanopsin-
511 containing retinal ganglion cells: architecture, projections, and intrinsic

- 512 photosensitivity. *Science*. 2002;295: 1065–1070.
- 513 17. Knez I. Effects of indoor lighting on mood and cognition. *J Environ*
514 *Psychol*. 1995;15: 39–51. doi:10.1016/0272-4944(95)90013-6
- 515 18. Knez I, Enmarker I. Effects of office lighting on mood and cognitive
516 performance and a gender effect in work-related judgment. *Environ Behav*.
517 1998;30: 553–567. doi:10.1177/001391659803000408
- 518 19. Baron RA, Rea MS, Daniels SG. Effects of indoor lighting (illuminance
519 and spectral distribution) on the performance of cognitive tasks and
520 interpersonal behaviors: The potential mediating role of positive affect. *Motiv*
521 *Emot*. 1992;16: 1–33. doi:10.1007/BF00996485
- 522 20. Biner PM, Butler DL, Fischer AR, Westergren AJ. An arousal
523 optimization model of lighting level preferences: An interaction of social
524 situation and task demands. *Environ Behav*. 1989;21: 3–16.
525 doi:10.1177/0013916589211001
- 526 21. Cajochen C. Alerting effects of light. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2007;2007: 453–
527 464.
- 528 22. Smolders KCHJ, de Kort YAW. Bright light and mental fatigue: Effects
529 on alertness, vitality, performance and physiological arousal. *J Environ Psychol*.
530 2014;39: 77–91. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.12.010
- 531 23. Vandewalle G, Maquet P, Dijk D-J. Light as a modulator of cognitive
532 brain function. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2009;13: 429–438.
533 doi:10.1016/j.tics.2009.07.004
- 534 24. Sahin L, Wood BM, Plitnick B, Figueiro MG. Daytime light exposure:
535 Effects on biomarkers, measures of alertness, and performance. *Behav Brain*
536 *Res*. 2014;274: 176–185. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2014.08.017

- 537 25. Okamoto Y, Rea MS, Figueiro MG. Temporal dynamics of EEG activity
538 during short- and long-wavelength light exposures in the early morning. BMC
539 Res Notes. 2014;7: 113. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-113
- 540 26. Wessolowski N. Wirksamkeit von Dynamischem Licht im
541 Schulunterricht. Dissertation, Universitätsklinikum Hamburg-Eppendorf. 2014.
542 Available: ediss.sub.uni-hamburg.de/volltexte/2014/6759/pdf/Dissertation.pdf
- 543 27. Kaluza G. Stressbewältigung: Das Manual zur psychologischen
544 Gesundheitsförderung. 5., vollst. überarb. Aufl. 2023 Edition. Berlin
545 Heidelberg: Springer; 2023.
- 546 28. Hesse J, Schrader HC. Testtraining Konzentrationsvermögen. Frankfurt:
547 Eichborn.exakt; 1999.
- 548 29. Moosbrugger H, Kelava A. Testtheorie und Fragebogenkonstruktion.
549 Berlin Heidelberg: Springer; 2012.
- 550 30. Fan J, McCandliss BD, Fossella J, Flombaum JI, Posner MI. The
551 activation of attentional networks. *NeuroImage*. 2005;26: 471–479.
552 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.004
- 553 31. Mu Y-M, Huang X-D, Zhu S, Hu Z-F, So K-F, Ren C-R, et al. Alerting
554 effects of light in healthy individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
555 *Neural Regen Res*. 2022;17: 1929. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.335141
- 556 32. Tonetti L, Natale V. Effects of a single short exposure to blue light on
557 cognitive performance. *Chronobiol Int*. 2019;36: 725–732.
558 doi:10.1080/07420528.2019.1593191
- 559 33. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses
560 using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res*
561 *Methods*. 2009;41: 1149–1160. doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149

- 562 34. Steyer R, Notz P, Schwenkmezger P, Eid M. MDBF - Der
563 Mehrdimensionale Befindlichkeitsfragebogen. Göttingen: Hogrefe Verlag;
564 1997. Available: <https://www.testzentrale.de/shop/der-mehrdimensionale->
565 [befindlichkeitsfragebogen.html](https://www.testzentrale.de/shop/der-mehrdimensionale-befindlichkeitsfragebogen.html)
- 566 35. Deutscher Wetterdienst. Wetter und Klima - Deutscher Wetterdienst -
567 Thema des Tages - Sonnenbrand hinter Glas? 2022 [cited 1 Feb 2024].
568 Available: https://www.dwd.de/DE/wetter/thema_des_tages/2022/4/24.html
- 569 36. Kent ST, McClure LA, Crosson WL, Arnett DK, Wadley VG,
570 Sathiakumar N. Effect of sunlight exposure on cognitive function among
571 depressed and non-depressed participants: a REGARDS cross-sectional study.
572 *Environ Health*. 2009;8: 34. doi:10.1186/1476-069X-8-34
- 573 37. Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI. Testing the
574 Efficiency and Independence of Attentional Networks. *J Cogn Neurosci*.
575 2002;14: 340–347. doi:10.1162/089892902317361886
- 576
- 577




Figure 01




Figure 02




Figure 03




a




b




c




d




e



f



g




h


Figure 04




a



b



c



d

Figure 05