

1 **Spatial data analysis and geodemographics for** 2 **the prevalence of diabetes among adult** 3 **population in Bangladesh**

4 **Chowdhury Mashrur Mahdee^{1*}¶, Anika Tabashsum¹, Most. Jannatul Ferdous Asha¹, Md. Ashrafur Islam**
5 **Khan¹, Md. Aminur Rahman^{1¶}**

6 ¹ Department of Population Science and Human Resource Development, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi,
7 Bangladesh

8

9 * Corresponding author

10 E-mail: mashrur.mahdee10@gmail.com

11

12 ¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

13 **Abstract**

14 Bangladesh, like most of the developing countries, is experiencing quite a substantial rise in the prevalence of
15 noncommunicable diseases, like diabetes. For both men and women, the prevalence of diabetes has been increasing
16 in recent decades. The objective of this study is to determine if there are statistically significant geospatial
17 inconsistencies in the prevalence of diabetes. Cross-sectional and spatial analysis was concluded using data on
18 12100 adults aged 18 years and above from the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017-18. The
19 prevalence of diabetes is analyzed and visualized. Spatial autocorrelation was identified using Moran's I. Hotspot
20 analysis was also done using Moran's I. Men had a higher prevalence of diabetes (10.52%) than women do (9.50%);
21 yet, in the sample group, more women than men have diabetes. Among diabetic men and women, 37.11% (95% CI,
22 32.04-42.48) and 39.71% (95% CI, 35.47-44.12) respectively, are aware of their condition. Among them 33.29%
23 men and 36.44% women are being treated for it. People living in Dhaka division (men: 15.62%, women: 13.31%)
24 are more affected by diabetes than people living in other divisions. Increasing age, living in the highest wealth
25 quintile, and obesity and being overweight are positively associated with the increasing prevalence of diabetes.
26 There also exists positive spatial autocorrelation (Moran's $I = 0.215$, $p=0.001$). According to the Local Indicators of
27 Spatial Association (LISA) cluster map, the hotspots are Dhaka and the central districts (10 districts), and the cold
28 spots are the central of northside districts (7 districts). The findings draw attention to the advantages of spatial
29 analysis in the healthcare system. And this research could help policymakers and healthcare organizations to plan
30 and implement policies with the objective of attenuating the prevalence as well as the risk of diabetes in Bangladesh.

31 **Introduction**

32 A significant result of the 21st-century demographic and epidemiological shifts has been the "health transition,"
33 defined by an unprecedented increase in the prevalence of chronic illnesses among middle-aged and older adults,
34 such as diabetes, cancer, hypertension, and so on[1,2]. Diabetes is a chronic illness in which there is a deviation
35 from normal glucose tolerance, typically because the body requires more insulin than the pancreas is able to produce
36 or manage. This may occur as a result of inadequate naturally occurring insulin production or because body cells
37 become resistant to the effects of insulin[3,4]. Previously known as "adult-onset diabetes," it was once thought to be
38 an illness that only affected older people. But it is currently the most prevalent metabolic disease in the world and a

39 major cause of death for young and middle-aged adults[5,6]. Despite the fact that there are many biological,
40 behavioral, and environmental risk factors, we still don't fully understand the reasons, both direct and indirect[7]. It
41 is believed that local surroundings can either facilitate or restrict individual choices for both nutritional intake and
42 physical activity patterns. Local environments are regarded to function as key factors of individualistic
43 behaviors[8,9]. Such surroundings affect people's vulnerability to unfavorable health consequences as well as their
44 capacity to effectively control it.

45 Globally, the estimated number of adults with diabetes in 2021 is 536.6 million, and by 2045, that number is
46 estimated to increase to 783.2 million[7]. By 2045, it is predicted that low and middle-income countries (LMIC),
47 where population growth is anticipated to be higher, would account for 94% of the growth in the number of
48 individuals with diabetes worldwide[7]. It is estimated that 22.3 million adults in Bangladesh will suffer from
49 diabetes by 2045, an increase from 13.1 million in 2021[7].

50 Geodemographics is the study of socioeconomic and behavioral information about individuals in relation to
51 location (i.e., geography) and neighborhood settlements[10]. Public health research uses geodemographic data and
52 related lifestyle segmentation methods somewhat rarely[11]. Geodemography's strength is in its ability to produce
53 fresh perspectives on spatial effects that may be researched and discussed in more depth[12]. So, it is an exploratory
54 method. The application of geodemographics as a tool for health informatics is still intriguing, despite the
55 reasonable criticisms of its flaws[13,14]. It is also an effective tool for evaluating health disparities at the local
56 level[14]. The social context and the environment in which risky behavior occurs influence many of the changeable
57 risk factors for diabetes, like sedentary behavior and food habits. Additionally, many of the risk variables that cannot
58 be changed, such as age, income, and education, have an impact on the regions where diabetes is most
59 prevalent[15,16].

60 The purpose of this study is to assess the prevalence of diabetes, along with awareness, treatment, and control of
61 diabetes; as well as the factors connected with diabetes among Bangladeshi people aged 18 and above using the
62 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017–18 data.

63 **Methods**

64 **Data source**

65 This cross-sectional study examined data from the BDHS for 2017–18[17]. Major health indicators for
66 Bangladesh, such as fasting blood glucose (FBG) biomarker measurements, were reported by BDHS 2017–18. The
67 survey was taken between October 2017 and March 2018. The stratified sample of households used for the survey
68 was created in two stages. A sampling frame was created using enumeration areas (EAs) taken from the 2011
69 Bangladesh Population and Housing Census. An average of about 120 houses make up the survey's EA, or primary
70 sampling unit (PSU). Initially, 675 EAs were selected, among which 425 and 250 were from rural and urban
71 regions, respectively. Data were collected from 672 PSUs, and 3 PSUs were excluded due to flooding. A systematic
72 sample of, on average, 30 households was selected from each EA in the second stage in order to produce precise
73 estimates of the demographic and health characteristics for each of the eight divisions, for both urban as well as rural
74 areas, and for the country as a whole. Out of the 20160 households chosen using this method, interviews were
75 completed in 19457 households[17]. Out of these, 4864 households were chosen for the biomarker collection. In the
76 selected 4864 households, a total of 14,704 respondents (8013 women, 6691 men), aged 18 years and older, were
77 available for blood glucose measurement. A blood glucose test was administered to 12,100 respondents who were
78 18 years of age and older (6919 women and 5181 men)[17] (Fig 1).

79 **Fig 1: Flowchart of study sample.**

80 **Outcome variables**

81 The outcome variables included awareness, treatment, and control of diabetes. At the time of the survey,
82 individuals were deemed to have diabetes if their fasting plasma glucose (FPG) equivalent level was 7 mmol/L or
83 higher, or if they disclosed that they were currently taking a prescribed medicine to treat their high blood sugar[17].
84 Prior to the test, respondents were instructed to fast for at least eight hours and only consume plain water. Using the
85 HemoCue Glucose 201 DM system, which has plasma conversion, a capillary blood sample from willing and
86 qualified respondents was tested[17]. The measures of fasting whole blood glucose recorded throughout the survey

87 were automatically translated to corresponding fasting plasma glucose values. Respondents are considered to be
88 aware of their condition if they have previously known their glucose level and/or have been told they have diabetes
89 by a physician or nurse. Those who were receiving medication at the time of the survey are regarded as being under
90 treatment for diabetes. Participants who had their FPG reading at or below 7.0 mmol/L at the time of the survey
91 were considered to be in control of their diabetes[17].

92 **Explanatory variables**

93 Following explanatory variables were incorporated into the study: age (18-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59,
94 60-64 and 65 and above), sex (men, women), place of residence (urban, rural), division of residence (Barisal,
95 Chittagong, Dhaka, Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur and Sylhet), level of education (no education,
96 primary, secondary, college or higher), wealth quintile (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, richest), nutritional status or
97 BMI (underweight, normal, overweight, obese), hypertension (yes, no), marital status (never married, married,
98 widowed, divorced), current employment status (yes, no)[17]. Principal component analysis was used to determine
99 the wealth quintile based on the participants' durable and nondurable household items. BMI was classified using the
100 WHO-recommended Asian cut-off[18]. Indicators of hypertension were a systolic blood pressure of ≥ 140 mmHg
101 and/or a diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg and/or currently using medication to treat hypertension [17].

102 **Statistical analysis**

103 For the entire study population as well as population subgroups, the crude diabetes prevalence was estimated.
104 Prevalence was estimated taking into consideration the weighted sample and complex survey design. Crude
105 prevalence estimates of diabetes awareness, treatment, and control were derived. The data were presented with a
106 95% confidence interval (CI), and statistical significance was established by using a p-value of < 0.05 . The statistical
107 software program Stata (version 13.1; Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas) was used for all analyses.

108 **Spatial analysis**

109 This research employs a number of techniques to deconstruct the spatial distribution of diabetes prevalence in
110 Bangladesh. To measure the spatial proximity between each potential pair of locations, a spatial weight matrix was

111 generated. Various methods, including the Queen's, Rook's, and Bishop's methods, can be used to calculate the
112 matrix depending on how the neighbors are defined[19]. This study uses Queen's approach to construct a 1st order
113 spatial weight matrix. Additionally, a spatial weight matrix was generated using the inverse distance weighting
114 method. When working with standard square grids, this approach is more advantageous. The prevalence and
115 clustering of diabetes in Bangladesh are examined using Moran's I, a global indicator of spatial autocorrelation[20].
116 The values of Moran's I range from 1 to -1. When similar values cluster together, the value "1" denotes perfect
117 positive spatial autocorrelation. The close spatial distribution of regions with identical attribute values would be
118 indicated by a positive spatial autocorrelation. And "-1" denotes perfect negative spatial autocorrelation. Negative
119 spatial autocorrelation would suggest differences in locations that are closely related to one another. The 999
120 iterations of the Monte Carlo simulation were chosen as the threshold for testing significance. It was determined
121 how similar or different the data points were from their neighbors using the local Moran's I, which also measures
122 spatial autocorrelation[21]. Neighborhood values that are near a specific spatial location are correlated, and this is
123 measured by Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA). It represents how randomly and spatially clustered the
124 data are. Furthermore, using five fundamental classifications, the local Moran's I is utilized to determine the spatial
125 distribution of diabetes clusters and outliers in Bangladesh:

- 126 1. High-High (hot spots): A district is classified as High-High if it has a high prevalence of diabetes and is
127 surrounded by other districts that also have high prevalence rates.
- 128 2. High-Low: A district is classified as High-Low if it has a high prevalence of diabetes and is surrounded by
129 other districts that have low prevalence rates.
- 130 3. Low-High: A district is classified as Low-High if it has a low prevalence of diabetes and is surrounded by
131 other districts that have high prevalence rates.
- 132 4. Low-Low (cold spots): A district is classified as Low-low if it has a low prevalence of diabetes and is
133 surrounded by other districts that also have low prevalence rates.
- 134 5. Not Significant: Districts with statistically insignificant spatial patterns.

135 For clusters found by the local Moran's I, the significance level can also be altered. For instance, analysts can be
136 more selective by choosing $p < 0.01$ or $p < 0.001$ as the significant level instead of $p < 0.05$. If regions (districts) be
137 discovered to be statistically significant within the boundaries of these more strict p-values, there's a possibility that
138 the outliers and/or clusters will be of higher quality[22]. R (version 4.2.1) was used to conduct all of the analyses.

139 Results

140 Table-1 provides information about the houses and localities of the study population's participants, as well as
 141 their sociodemographic and health characteristics. Out of the 12100 individuals that were analyzed, 1202 of them
 142 had diabetes. The participants' average (SE) fasting plasma glucose values were 56.74 (0.15) mmol/dL, their
 143 average (SE) age was 39.85 (0.15) years, and their average (SE) BMI was 22.39 (0.04) kg/m². 26.5% of participants
 144 lived in urban regions; 57.2% of participants were female; 26.6% had no formal education; and 39.6% were
 145 overweight or obese.

146 **Table 1: Distribution of the study sample according to presence of diabetes.**

Variables	Total (n=12100)	Diabetes		p-value
		Yes (n=1202)	No (n=10898)	
Mean (SE) of fasting plasma glucose, mmol/dL	56.74 (0.15)			
Age (in years)				
Mean (SE)	39.85 (0.15)			<0.001
18 to 34	5415	284	5130	
35 to 39	1384	141	1243	
40 to 44	1044	130	914	
45 to 49	1008	133	875	
50 to 54	677	116	561	
55 to 59	685	104	581	
60 to 64	679	112	568	
65 or more	1208	182	1026	
Sex				
Female	6919	657	6261	0.0715
Male	5181	545	4636	
Nutritional status (BMI)				
Mean (SE)	22.39 (0.04)			<0.001
Underweight	2068	128	1940	
Normal	5098	402	4696	
Overweight	1917	204	1713	
Obese	2879	448	2431	
Hypertension				
No	8755	648	8107	<0.001
Yes	3346	555	2791	
Currently working status				
No	4738	561	4177	<0.001
Yes	7362	641	6721	
Education level				
No formal education	3218	314	2903	0.8991
Primary	3614	363	3250	
Secondary	3569	364	3205	
College or above	1701	161	1539	
Wealth quintile				

Poorest	2338	134	2204	<0.001
Poorer	2391	142	2248	
Middle	2496	198	2297	
Richer	2410	276	2134	
Richest	2466	452	2014	
Place of residence				
Urban	3211	426	2785	<0.001
Rural	8889	777	8113	
Division of residence				
Dhaka	2792	400	2392	<0.001
Barisal	668	64	603	
Chattogram	2084	233	1850	
Khulna	1512	125	1387	
Mymensingh	989	78	911	
Rajshahi	1751	141	1610	
Rangpur	1516	85	1431	
Sylhet	789	77	712	

147 Table 2 and 3 give a summary of the prevalence of diabetes and its awareness, treatment, and control by sex of
148 respondents. Diabetes is more prevalent in men (10.52, 95% CI: 9.59-11.54) compared to women (9.50, 95% CI:
149 8.66-10.42). Older individuals exhibited a higher crude prevalence rate than younger individuals among both women
150 and men. The lowest prevalence of diabetes is found in adults 18 to 34 years old, regardless of sex. For both men
151 (12.95, 95% CI: 11.29-14.80) and women (13.52, 95% CI: 11.69-15.58), living in an urban area is associated with a
152 greater prevalence of diabetes than living in a rural one. The prevalence of diabetes was the maximum among those
153 with obesity (men: 18.00, 95% CI: 15.42-20.89; women: 14.48, 95% CI: 12.78-16.35); hypertension (men: 16.97,
154 95% CI: 14.87-19.30; women: 16.31, 95% CI: 14.43-18.38); and in the highest quintile of wealth (men: 21.08, 95%
155 CI: 18.57-23.84; women: 16.28, 95% CI: 14.15-18.65). Diabetes awareness increased for both sexes with each
156 wealth quintile. High awareness and treatment rates are also present in hypertensive men and women. Overweight
157 and obese individuals of both sexes had a greater prevalence of diabetes awareness and treatment. Diabetes
158 awareness (61.22, 95% CI: 45.78-47.70) and treatment (54.62, 95% CI: 39.21-69.19) were highest among women
159 aged 50-54 years. Men aged 55-59 years had the highest prevalence of diabetes awareness (63.17, 95% CI: 43.72-
160 79.12) and treatment (62.12, 95% CI: 42.82-78.20). Women 65 years and older and men aged 45-49 years have the
161 highest diabetes control rates: 44.38 (95% CI: 27.96-62.13) and 43.49 (95% CI: 20.13-70.16) respectively.
162 Furthermore, diabetes treatment and control is higher for both sexes in rural regions than in urban ones.

163 **Table-2: Crude prevalence of diabetes and its awareness, treatment and control status of both sex for**
164 **different age groups.**

Characteristics	Prevalence of diabetes (95% Confidence Interval)							
	Women				Men			
	Diabetes (%)	Aware (%)	Treated (%)	Controlled (%)	Diabetes (%)	Aware (%)	Treated (%)	Controlled (%)
Total	9.50(8.66-10.42)	39.71(35.47-44.12)	36.44(32.37-40.71)	29.70(24.11-35.97)	10.52(9.59-11.54)	37.11(32.04-42.48)	33.29(28.54-38.40)	32.40(25.69-40.08)
Age								
18-34	5.26 (4.43-6.24)	19.28 (13.99-25.99)	16.35 (11.47-22.78)	25.06 (12.33-44.30)	5.23 (4.21-6.48)	6.23 (2.87-13.00)	5.90 (2.62-12.74)	9.84 (1.25-48.53)
35-39	11.50 (9.00-14.58)	33.23 (22.96-45.38)	32.11 (21.97-44.28)	21.59 (9.37-42.33)	8.74 (6.66-11.39)	27.54 (16.81-41.69)	25.45 (15.08-39.62)	20.22 (6.92-46.37)
40-44	11.89 (9.28-15.10)	44.11 (32.06-56.89)	38.30 (27.05-50.96)	26.73 (13.64-45.73)	13.13 (9.86-17.26)	21.20 (11.65-35.43)	19.49 (10.30-33.78)	29.77 (8.44-66.10)
45-49	14.74 (11.74-18.36)	52.78 (40.01-65.20)	50.75 (38.49-62.91)	34.55 (21.18-50.91)	11.22 (8.29-15.02)	45.96 (30.50-62.24)	36.73 (22.83-53.26)	43.49 (20.13-70.16)
50-54	16.67 (12.60-21.72)	61.22 (45.78-74.70)	54.62 (39.21-69.19)	21.15 (9.41-40.93)	17.66 (13.54-22.70)	33.80 (21.91-48.16)	31.40 (19.96-45.66)	37.96 (18.16-62.78)
55-59	16.44 (12.85-20.80)	50.03 (37.42-62.64)	44.36 (31.88-57.61)	27.99 (14.25-47.62)	13.62 (9.52-19.11)	63.17 (43.72-79.12)	62.12 (42.84-78.20)	24.75 (10.93-46.86)
60-64	15.03 (11.30-19.72)	52.14 (36.54-67.32)	49.11 (33.80-64.59)	31.21 (14.99-53.86)	17.71 (13.71-22.59)	58.99 (44.63-71.96)	54.15 (40.02-67.64)	33.34 (18.32-52.74)
65 and above	13.55 (10.67-17.06)	46.32 (33.91-59.20)	44.88 (32.62-57.78)	44.38 (27.96-62.13)	16.31 (13.45-19.64)	55.27 (44.06-65.96)	47.15 (36.37-58.20)	36.70 (23.66-52.03)

165 **Table-3: Crude prevalence of diabetes and its awareness, treatment and control status of both sex by**
 166 **different factors.**

Characteristics	Prevalence of diabetes (95% Confidence Interval)							
	Women				Men			
	Diabetes (%)	Aware (%)	Treated (%)	Controlled (%)	Diabetes (%)	Aware (%)	Treated (%)	Controlled (%)
Residence								
Urban	13.52 (11.69-15.58)	39.90 (33.94-46.19)	36.29 (30.69-42.29)	26.80 (19.03-36.31)	12.95 (11.29-14.80)	33.14 (26.13-40.98)	30.86 (24.34-38.25)	28.59 (17.64-42.81)
Rural	9.50 (8.66-10.42)	39.60 (33.99-45.50)	36.53 (31.10-42.32)	31.37 (24.00-39.98)	9.61 (8.49-10.84)	39.14 (32.44-46.27)	33.29 (28.54-38.40)	34.25 (25.94-43.65)
Division								
Barisal	9.49 (7.14-12.52)	34.42 (23.91-46.73)	32.39 (22.49-44.17)	44.08 (27.32-62.31)	9.85 (7.23-13.28)	43.96 (28.27-60.95)	40.47 (25.62-57.31)	40.65 (19.49-65.95)
Chittagong	10.63 (8.60-13.06)	49.03 (38.62-59.52)	43.34 (33.52-53.70)	28.03 (16.85-42.81)	12.08 (9.50-15.23)	46.84 (34.47-59.62)	43.91 (32.30-56.23)	32.57 (18.39-50.86)
Dhaka	13.31 (10.82-16.27)	27.56 (20.28-36.26)	25.34 (18.34-33.90)	21.11 (10.35-38.28)	15.62 (13.18-18.42)	26.95 (17.87-38.48)	22.79 (14.45-34.03)	30.59 (16.29-49.95)
Khulna	7.82 (6.27-9.72)	51.54 (41.45-61.51)	49.03 (39.70-58.42)	33.84 (20.41-50.50)	8.85 (6.92-11.26)	33.26 (22.90-45.52)	31.13 (21.06-43.37)	23.92 (10.05-46.93)
Mymensingh	8.54 (6.65-	34.34 (22.29-	32.40 (20.70-	36.93 (16.83-62.87)	6.95 (5.12-9.37)	35.28 (20.87-	32.02 (18.44-	65.08 (37.37-85.34)

	10.90)	48.81)	46.82)			52.97)	49.54)	
Rajshahi	7.52 (5.88-9.57)	48.88 (36.49-61.40)	42.50 (30.78-55.13)	30.12 (16.15-49.10)	8.74 (6.49-11.68)	46.19 (31.02-62.10)	37.90 (24.79-53.06)	22.27 (9.87-42.85)
Rangpur	5.01 (3.67-6.79)	49.51 (34.70-64.41)	46.86 (32.60-61.65)	18.25 (6.71-40.91)	6.30 (4.62-8.54)	53.25 (36.36-69.44)	52.19 (35.32-68.58)	39.15 (22.18-59.22)
Sylhet	10.22 (7.71-13.42)	36.65 (24.95-50.17)	36.65 (24.95-50.17)	50.36 (35.86-64.80)	9.08 (6.58-12.39)	30.07 (20.14-42.30)	27.18 (17.92-38.94)	25.62 (9.26-53.77)
Education								
No education	10.28 (8.85-11.91)	44.41 (36.52-52.60)	41.38 (33.68-49.54)	35.09 (24.92-46.83)	8.92 (7.14-11.09)	28.59 (19.26-40.19)	26.84 (17.80-38.33)	43.18 (24.85-63.58)
Primary	10.22 (8.63-12.07)	34.34 (27.48-41.93)	31.12 (24.73-38.33)	28.32 (18.84-40.21)	9.84 (8.30-11.63)	27.62 (20.43-36.20)	22.62 (16.12-30.77)	42.01 (25.44-60.60)
Secondary	8.96 (7.58-10.56)	42.10 (34.85-49.72)	38.40 (31.32-46.01)	19.51 (11.55-31.04)	12.03 (10.17-14.17)	43.69 (34.21-53.65)	39.35 (30.32-49.18)	21.45 (12.84-33.60)
College or higher	7.12 (5.51-9.16)	34.13 (23.24-47.01)	31.26 (20.70-44.19)	51.61 (29.81-72.81)	11.49 (9.46-13.90)	49.25 (39.27-59.29)	45.87 (36.08-55.99)	34.45 (22.37-48.94)
Wealth quintile								
Poorest	6.34 (4.98-8.05)	34.87 (24.54-46.85)	34.41 (24.11-46.43)	37.73 (22.81-55.41)	4.90 (3.51-6.80)	21.27 (10.47-38.43)	21.27 (10.47-38.43)	83.31 (43.92-96.95)
Poorer	5.68 (4.46-7.21)	29.49 (19.54-41.85)	27.52 (18.00-39.65)	35.87 (18.54-57.90)	6.33 (4.81-8.29)	16.69 (8.76-29.48)	13.56 (6.55-25.97)	26.04 (6.89-62.63)
Middle	7.74 (6.30-9.49)	35.74 (25.36-47.66)	30.32 (20.79-41.91)	31.38 (16.96-50.58)	8.21 (6.55-10.26)	37.62 (27.08-49.48)	32.68 (22.77-44.43)	47.21 (28.64-66.59)
Richer	11.29 (9.54-13.32)	35.21 (27.05-44.66)	32.85 (24.80-42.06)	28.54 (17.57-42.81)	11.62 (9.63-13.96)	38.93 (29.08-49.78)	35.16 (26.18-45.35)	23.81 (12.45-40.73)
Richest	16.28 (14.15-18.65)	49.84 (43.01-56.67)	45.48 (39.04-52.07)	26.16 (18.49-35.63)	21.08 (18.57-23.84)	45.27 (37.14-53.66)	40.85 (32.93-49.27)	26.84 (17.89-38.19)
Nutritional status (BMI)								
Underweight	6.15 (4.59-8.18)	16.03 (8.52-28.13)	16.03 (8.52-28.13)	41.10 (16.54-71.07)	6.27 (4.72-8.29)	22.17 (12.39-36.47)	22.17 (12.39-36.47)	69.71 (36.02-90.39)
Normal	7.18 (6.15-8.37)	43.76 (36.33-51.47)	40.97 (33.64-48.73)	34.84 (24.38-47.00)	8.65 (7.43-10.06)	30.15 (23.52-37.73)	26.02 (19.95-33.16)	30.69 (19.28-45.08)
Overweight	8.97 (7.29-11.01)	41.30 (31.16-52.22)	37.60 (27.73-48.62)	27.48 (17.35-33.35)	13.09 (10.74-15.85)	43.01 (32.99-53.64)	38.76 (29.14-49.35)	29.40 (16.21-47.27)
Obese	14.48 (12.78-16.35)	42.12 (35.86-48.65)	37.81 (31.78-44.25)	24.48 (17.35-35.54)	18.00 (15.42-20.89)	47.29 (38.41-56.34)	43.56 (34.78-52.76)	28.38 (17.95-41.78)
Currently working status								
No	11.56 (10.35-12.88)	39.02 (33.91-44.38)	36.36 (31.43-41.60)	28.06 (21.98-35.07)	13.36 (10.87-16.31)	42.74 (32.64-53.48)	37.97 (28.74-48.15)	35.16 (21.80-51.35)
Yes	6.73 (5.85-7.74)	41.32 (34.22-48.80)	36.63 (29.80-44.03)	33.45 (22.93-35.07)	10.03 (9.05-11.10)	35.80 (30.36-41.65)	32.20 (27.00-37.89)	31.74 (24.14-40.45)
Hypertension								
No	6.78 (5.98-7.68)	26.32 (21.45-31.84)	22.94 (18.29-28.35)	29.53 (19.87-41.47)	8.20 (7.20-9.34)	26.29 (20.71-32.75)	23.80 (18.52-30.03)	38.73 (27.53-51.25)
Yes	16.31 (14.43-18.38)	53.65 (47.80-59.40)	50.49 (44.64-56.33)	29.77 (23.42-37.02)	16.97 (14.87-19.30)	51.66 (43.77-59.46)	46.05 (38.30-53.89)	28.13 (19.59-38.62)

167 Fig 2 and 3 depict the awareness of diabetes and the status of its treatment for both men and women, respectively.
168 Separate pie charts are employed to illustrate the awareness and treatment status of diabetes for each of the eight
169 divisions. Four categories are displayed in the pie charts: "Aware, not treated," "Aware, treated, and controlled,"
170 "Aware, treated, and not controlled," and "Unaware". Each division's sample population is shown by a pie chart
171 whose size corresponds to its overall size. Fig 2 and 3 illustrates that, among all the divisions, Dhaka and Chittagong
172 have the biggest sample sizes for both men and women.

173 **Fig 2: Pie chart of diabetes awareness, treatment status by division for men in Bangladesh.**

174 **Fig 3: Pie chart of diabetes awareness, treatment status by division for women in Bangladesh.**

175 Diabetes hotspot maps were produced using the Local Moran's I along with univariate local indicator of spatial
176 association (LISA) clustering methods to investigate the spatial dependence and clustering of diabetes in
177 Bangladesh. The calculated Moran's I value (0.215, $p = 0.001$) suggests that there is positive spatial autocorrelation,
178 which suggests that values that are similar, whether high or low, are clustered. The univariate LISA cluster map (Fig
179 4) and LISA significance map (Fig 5) showed that Dhaka, Gazipur, Comilla, Chandpur, Narayanganj, and other
180 locations were home to all of the hotspots. Panchagarh, Rangpur, Dinajpur, Feni, Lalmonirhat, and some other
181 districts were where the cold spots were identified. The statistical significance level at which each region can be
182 considered to have meaningfully contributed to the findings of the global spatial autocorrelation is shown by the
183 LISA significance map.

184 **Fig 4: LISA cluster map of diabetes hotspots and cold spots by division.**

185 **Fig 5: LISA significance map of diabetes hotspots and cold spots by division.**

186 Discussion

187 The study specifically aims to investigate the spatial distribution of diabetes prevalence across 64 districts in
188 Bangladesh using data from a nationally representative sample survey (BDHS 17-18). In this study, the relationship
189 between age, sex, nutritional status, residency, level of education, employment status, socio-economic status, and
190 hypertension is also examined in relation to diabetes prevalence. According to the findings, those who are older,
191 more educated, in better socioeconomic standing, have higher BMIs, and residing in urban areas have a much

192 greater chance of developing diabetes than those who do not meet those criteria. The research's conclusions are in
193 line with the results of numerous other studies carried out in comparable circumstances[23,24].

194 Our study shows that 9.50% of women and 10.52% of men have diabetes. In other Asian nations, comparable
195 figures have been noted: China (women: 8.8%, men: 10.6%)[25], Nepal (women: 10.0%, men: 15.3%)[26], Korea
196 (women: 7.5%, men: 8.1%)[27], Pakistan (women: 15.8%, men: 14.8%)[28]. Additionally, the findings demonstrate
197 that in terms of men and women, residents of urban regions had significantly higher diabetes prevalence rates than
198 those in rural areas[29]. Like Bangladesh, other countries on the Indian subcontinent, such as India, Bhutan, Nepal,
199 and Sri Lanka, have a higher prevalence of diabetes in urban areas[30].

200 Diabetes, and BMI, and older age are also related. Men and women who are older in age have a higher
201 prevalence of diabetes than those who are younger. This has also been noticed on other studies done in
202 Bangladesh[31,32], China[25,33], India[34]. This reflects the characteristics of diabetes, a chronic illness that
203 typically manifests in later life[7]. Furthermore, overweight and obese individuals are more susceptible to diabetes
204 than others. The results of additional research that has been undertaken also support these findings[35,36]. Higher
205 socioeconomic class, as indicated by the wealth quintile and education level, was associated with a higher
206 prevalence of diabetes. Many other research conducted in developing nations identified that socioeconomic status
207 raised the likelihood of obesity and diabetes[37–39]. Moreover, people tend to live more sedentary lifestyles and
208 consume more calorie-dense diets, which may increase their chance of developing diabetes along with other chronic
209 illnesses[7,40]. Our findings show that the prevalence of diabetes is higher in unemployed people than the employed
210 individuals. In our study, individuals with hypertension had a higher possibility of developing diabetes than those
211 without. This has been observed in other studies as well[25,39,41,42].

212 39.71% of women and 37.11 % of men are aware of their condition, according to the findings. Among them,
213 36.44% females and 33.29% males are taking medication for diabetes. And of those taking medication, only 29.70%
214 of women and 32.40% of men have their diabetes under control. 37.11% of men and 39.71% of women in
215 Bangladesh are aware that they have diabetes, which is lower than awareness in Nepal (men: 68%, women:
216 62%)[26], China (men: 38%, women: 41%)[43]. These findings suggest that diabetes management in Bangladesh is
217 worse than in other countries of South and East Asia[44]. Moreover, our study indicates that women have a greater

218 likelihood to be aware of, take medication for, and control their diabetes than men. This is also found in other
219 studies done in Bangladesh[45,46], Nepal[26] and China[47].

220 This study revealed that awareness and treatment increases with age, which is consistent with findings from
221 earlier research carried out in LMICs in Asia, including Bangladesh, Nepal, and China[26,45,47]. Our findings also
222 point to relatively low rates of diabetes awareness, treatment, and control among young individuals, both for men
223 and women.

224 According to our study, people in the two quintiles of highest wealth are more likely to be aware of being
225 diabetic and receive medical treatment for it than people in other quintiles. Findings from additional studies
226 conducted in Bangladesh give support to this[46].

227 Additionally, a more detailed geographic description of diabetes hotspots and diabetes cold spots in Bangladesh
228 were defined. Hotspots and cold spots were mapped according to the prevalence of diabetes within the sample
229 population using Moran's I[20]. Moran's I is a popular geographical statistic for identifying global spatial
230 trends[48]. It evaluates how closely related one object is to those around it. An approach to checking for
231 autocorrelation in data is the Moran's I test. Spatial autocorrelation denotes the presence of systematic spatial
232 variation in a mapped variable[49]. Geographically close values of a variable on a map have a tendency to be similar
233 when there is positive spatial autocorrelation: high values tend to exist in proximity to other high values; medium
234 values are generally located closer to medium values; and low values are generally found nearby other low
235 values[50]. As a result, a clustering of similar values in terms of diabetes prevalence can be seen across districts in
236 Bangladesh.

237 LISA provides a measure of how much the distribution of values surrounding a specific location differs from spatial
238 randomization [51]. The LISA significance map displays the areas with significant local Moran statistics, and the
239 LISA cluster map classifies these locations according to the type of association[19]. The presence of spatial clusters
240 (high values surrounded by similar high values and low values surrounded by similar low values) and spatial outliers
241 (high values surrounded by low values along with low values surrounded by high values) are illustrated on the LISA
242 cluster map[19]. Cold spots denote regions with low level of diabetes prevalence that are also surrounded by regions
243 with low level of diabetes prevalence, while hot spots denote regions with high level of diabetes prevalence that are
244 surrounded by other regions with high level of diabetes prevalence. The statistical level at which any region can be

245 considered to be significantly contributing to the findings of the global spatial autocorrelation is illustrated on the
246 LISA significance map[21]. We can identify which areas are contributing most significantly to the overall result and
247 in which direction by utilizing the LISA cluster map and the LISA significance map.

248 This analysis has several limitations that should be stated. In addition to the factors that our analysis identified to
249 be linked to diabetes, there are a number of other factors. Diabetes is linked to dietary patterns, smoking behaviors,
250 alcohol use, physical activity levels, insulin resistance, and more[52–56]. Information about these factors is absent
251 from the dataset. Because this study is cross-sectional, it can only give a partial picture of spatial accessibility over a
252 specific period of time and may also be vulnerable to problems with residential self-selection. Despite the fact that
253 there are many different indicators of global and local spatial association, only the global and local Moran's I
254 statistic was utilized in our investigation[57]. This is another limitation of our study.

255 The study's advantages include the use of a sizable, nationally representative dataset, which suggests the
256 conclusions are reliable. The application of precise techniques for determining clinical parameters like blood
257 pressure, weight, height, and fasting blood glucose is another advantage of this study. Diabetes was categorized
258 according to WHO standards. With the use of geographical analysis, we were able to research and examine the
259 regional differences in diabetes in Bangladesh.

260 **Conclusion**

261 This study raises concerns about the need to better comprehend the major and predictable effects that geography
262 has on population health. According to this study, initiatives in Bangladesh to increase public awareness of and
263 control of diabetes need to be reinforced and optimized, with additional funding. This might necessitate alterations
264 to the health care system, including a focus on non-communicable disease prevention and a reassessment of medical
265 care payments to cut down on out-of-pocket costs. Our data further suggests that efforts to prevent diabetes should
266 center on lowering obesity and controlling hypertension. There is a requirement for improved preventative strategies
267 in addition to better management of obesity and hypertension. Diabetes will continue to escalate in Bangladesh if
268 appropriate prevention measures are not taken.

269 Furthermore, the prevalence of diabetes with regard to geographic clusters can be examined using spatial
270 analysis. Additionally, hot spot analysis will enable public health professionals to implement suitable strategies and

271 initiatives in light of specific geographical regions. As regions encompasses more than just a place's physical
272 features; it also refers to the cultures, institutions, customs, and way of life that its inhabitants are regularly exposed
273 to[58]. The LISA cluster and significance maps can also be useful in detecting diabetes risk factors in various
274 geographic locations, which might further boost the preventive and control measures of diabetes with reference to
275 various associated factors.

276 **Acknowledgement**

277 The authors thank MEASURE DHS for allowing permission for using the BDHS 17-18 dataset.

278 **Reference**

- 279 1. Caldwell J, Caldwell P. What have we learnt about the cultural, social and behavioural determinants of
280 health? From Selected Readings to the first Health Transition Workshop. *Health Transit Rev.* 1991;1(1):3–
281 19.
- 282 2. Omran AR. eweb:279147. 2005 [cited 2022 Dec 5]. The Epidemiologic Transition: A Theory of the
283 Epidemiology of Population Change. Available from:
284 <https://repository.library.georgetown.edu/handle/10822/985755>
- 285 3. Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes.
286 *Nature.* 2006 Dec;444(7121):840–6.
- 287 4. Scobie IN, Samaras K. *Fast Facts: Diabetes Mellitus.* Karger Medical and Scientific Publishers; 2014. 138
288 p.
- 289 5. Chatterjee S, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Type 2 diabetes. *The Lancet.* 2017 Jun 3;389(10085):2239–51.
- 290 6. WHO. Diabetes [Internet]. [cited 2022 Dec 5]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes)
291 [sheets/detail/diabetes](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diabetes)
- 292 7. IDF. *IDF Diabetes Atlas 2021 | IDF Diabetes Atlas [Internet].* 2021 [cited 2022 Nov 28]. Available from:
293 <https://diabetesatlas.org/atlas/tenth-edition/>

- 294 8. Morland K, Diez Roux AV, Wing S. Supermarkets, Other Food Stores, and Obesity: The Atherosclerosis
295 Risk in Communities Study. *Am J Prev Med.* 2006 Apr 1;30(4):333–9.
- 296 9. Swinburn BA, Sacks G, Hall KD, McPherson K, Finegood DT, Moodie ML, et al. The global obesity
297 pandemic: shaped by global drivers and local environments. *The Lancet.* 2011 Aug 27;378(9793):804–14.
- 298 10. Mesev V. *Remotely-Sensed Cities.* CRC Press; 2003. 416 p.
- 299 11. Grubestic TH, Miller JA, Murray AT. Geospatial and geodemographic insights for diabetes in the United
300 States. *Appl Geogr.* 2014 Dec 1;55:117–26.
- 301 12. Harris R, Sleight P, Webber R. *Geodemographics, GIS and Neighbourhood Targeting.* John Wiley and
302 Sons; 2005. 368 p.
- 303 13. Twigg L, Moon G, Jones K. Predicting small-area health-related behaviour: a comparison of smoking and
304 drinking indicators. *Soc Sci Med.* 2000 Apr 1;50(7):1109–20.
- 305 14. Abbas J, Ojo A, Orange S. Geodemographics – a tool for health intelligence? *Public Health.* 2009 Jan
306 1;123(1):e35–9.
- 307 15. Barquera S, Tovar-Guzmán V, Campos-Nonato I, González-Villalpando C, Rivera-Dommarco J.
308 Geography of diabetes mellitus mortality in Mexico: an epidemiologic transition analysis. *Arch Med Res.*
309 2003 Sep 1;34(5):407–14.
- 310 16. Green C, Hoppa RD, Young TK, Blanchard JF. Geographic analysis of diabetes prevalence in an urban
311 area. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003 Aug 1;57(3):551–60.
- 312 17. Niport NI of PR and T, Welfare M of H and F, ICF. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18.
313 2020 Oct 1 [cited 2022 Nov 6]; Available from: [https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR344-](https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR344-DHS-Final-Reports.cfm)
314 [DHS-Final-Reports.cfm](https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR344-DHS-Final-Reports.cfm)
- 315 18. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for
316 policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet Lond Engl.* 2004 Jan 10;363(9403):157–63.


- 317 19. Anselin L, Syabri I, Kho Y. GeoDa: An Introduction to Spatial Data Analysis. In: Fischer MM, Getis A,
318 editors. Handbook of Applied Spatial Analysis: Software Tools, Methods and Applications [Internet].
319 Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2010 [cited 2022 Nov 28]. p. 73–89. Available from:
320 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03647-7_5
- 321 20. Moran PAP. Notes on Continuous Stochastic Phenomena. *Biometrika*. 1950;37(1/2):17–23.
- 322 21. Anselin L. Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA. *Geogr Anal*. 1995;27(2):93–115.
- 323 22. Anselin L. Exploring Spatial Data with GeoDa™: A Workbook. 2004.
- 324 23. Saquib N, Saquib J, Ahmed T, Khanam MA, Cullen MR. Cardiovascular diseases and Type 2 Diabetes in
325 Bangladesh: A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies between 1995 and 2010. *BMC Public*
326 *Health*. 2012 Jun 13;12(1):434.
- 327 24. Akter S, Rahman MM, Abe SK, Sultana P. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes and their risk factors
328 among Bangladeshi adults: a nationwide survey. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2014 Mar 1;92(3):204-213A.
- 329 25. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, Jia W, Ji L, Xiao J, et al. Prevalence of Diabetes among Men and Women in China.
330 *N Engl J Med*. 2010 Mar 25;362(12):1090–101.
- 331 26. Gyawali B, Hansen MRH, Povlsen MB, Neupane D, Andersen PK, McLachlan CS, et al. Awareness,
332 prevalence, treatment, and control of type 2 diabetes in a semi-urban area of Nepal: Findings from a cross-
333 sectional study conducted as a part of COBIN-D trial. *PLOS ONE*. 2018 Nov 2;13(11):e0206491.
- 334 27. Kim SM, Lee JS, Lee J, Na JK, Han JH, Yoon DK, et al. Prevalence of Diabetes and Impaired Fasting
335 Glucose in Korea: Korean National Health and Nutrition Survey 2001. *Diabetes Care*. 2006 Feb
336 1;29(2):226–31.
- 337 28. Akhtar S, Nasir JA, Abbas T, Sarwar A. Diabetes in Pakistan: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pak*
338 *J Med Sci*. 2019;35(4):1173–8.
- 339 29. Kibria GMA, Swasey K, Gupta RD, Choudhury A, Nayeem J, Sharmeen A, et al. Differences in prevalence
340 and determinants of hypertension according to rural–urban place of residence among adults in Bangladesh.
341 *J Biosoc Sci*. 2019 Jul;51(4):578–90.



- 342 30. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global Prevalence of Diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000
343 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care*. 2004 May 1;27(5):1047–53.
- 344 31. Sayeed MA, Ali L, Hussain MZ, Rumi MA, Banu A, Azad Khan AK. Effect of Socioeconomic Risk
345 Factors on the Difference in Prevalence of Diabetes Between Rural and Urban Populations in Bangladesh.
346 *Diabetes Care*. 1997 Apr 1;20(4):551–5.
- 347 32. Abdul Baker Chowdhury M, Uddin MJ, Khan H, Haque MR. Type 2 diabetes and its correlates among
348 adults in Bangladesh: a population based stud. *Dep Biostat Fac Publ [Internet]*. 2015 Oct 19; Available
349 from: https://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/biostatistics_fac/2
- 350 33. Pan XR, Yang W, Li GW. Prevalence of Diabetes and Its Risk Factors in China, 1994 | *Diabetes Care* |
351 American Diabetes Association [Internet]. [cited 2022 Nov 30]. Available from:
352 <https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/20/11/1664/21163/Prevalence-of-Diabetes-and-Its-Risk-Factors-in>
- 353 34. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, Das AK, et al. High prevalence of diabetes
354 and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. *Diabetologia*. 2001 Sep
355 1;44(9):1094–101.
- 356 35. Abarca-Gómez L, Abdeen ZA, Hamid ZA, Abu-Rmeileh NM, Acosta-Cazares B, Acuin C, et al.
357 Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled
358 analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128·9 million children, adolescents, and adults.
359 *The Lancet*. 2017 Dec 16;390(10113):2627–42.
- 360 36. Chowdhury MAB, Adnan MM, Hassan MZ. Trends, prevalence and risk factors of overweight and obesity
361 among women of reproductive age in Bangladesh: a pooled analysis of five national cross-sectional
362 surveys. *BMJ Open*. 2018 Jul 1;8(7):e018468.
- 363 37. Jebb SA, Moore MS. Contribution of a sedentary lifestyle and inactivity to the etiology of overweight and
364 obesity: current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1999 Nov 1;31(11 Suppl):S534-41.
- 365 38. Misra A, Khurana L. Obesity and the Metabolic Syndrome in Developing Countries. *J Clin Endocrinol*
366 *Metab*. 2008 Nov 1;93(11_supplement_1):s9–30.

- 367 39. Ahasan HN, Islam MZ, Alam MB, Miah MT, Nur Z, Mohammed FR, et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of
368 Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Among Secretariat Employees of Bangladesh. *J Med*. 2011;12(2):125–30.
- 369 40. Ahmed SM, Hadi A, Razzaque A, Ashraf A, Juvekar S, Ng N, et al. Clustering of chronic non-
370 communicable disease risk factors among selected Asian populations: levels and determinants. *Glob Health*
371 *Action*. 2009 Nov 1;2(s4):1986.
- 372 41. Rahim MA, Hussain A, Azad Khan AK, Sayeed MA, Keramat Ali SM, Vaaler S. Rising prevalence of type
373 2 diabetes in rural Bangladesh: A population based study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2007 Aug 1;77(2):300–
374 5.
- 375 42. Bhowmik B, Afsana F, Diep LM, Munir SB, Wright E, Mahmood S, et al. Increasing Prevalence of Type 2
376 Diabetes in a Rural Bangladeshi Population: A Population Based Study for 10 Years. *Diabetes Metab J*.
377 2013 Feb 18;37(1):46–53.
- 378 43. Li M zhi, Su L, Liang B yun, Tan J jing, Chen Q, Long J xiong, et al. Trends in Prevalence, Awareness,
379 Treatment, and Control of Diabetes Mellitus in Mainland China from 1979 to 2012. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2013
380 Oct 28;2013:e753150.
- 381 44. Islam A, Biswas T. Health System in Bangladesh: Challenges and Opportunities. *Am J Health*. 2014;
- 382 45. Rahman MS, Akter S, Abe SK, Islam MR, Mondal MNI, Rahman JAMS, et al. Awareness, Treatment, and
383 Control of Diabetes in Bangladesh: A Nationwide Population-Based Study. *PLOS ONE*. 2015 Feb
384 18;10(2):e0118365.
- 385 46. Khan N, Oldroyd JC, Hossain MB, Islam RM. Awareness, Treatment, and Control of Diabetes in
386 Bangladesh: Evidence from the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017/18. Seixas A, editor. *Int*
387 *J Clin Pract*. 2022 Apr 22;2022:1–9.
- 388 47. Wang Q, Zhang X, Fang L, Guan Q, Guan L, Li Q. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of
389 diabetes mellitus among middle-aged and elderly people in a rural Chinese population: A cross-sectional
390 study. *PLOS ONE*. 2018 Jun 1;13(6):e0198343.


- 391 48. Jackson MC, Huang L, Xie Q, Tiwari RC. A modified version of Moran's I. *Int J Health Geogr*. 2010 Jun
392 29;9(1):33.
- 393 49. Haining RP. Spatial Autocorrelation. In: Smelser NJ, Baltes PB, editors. *International Encyclopedia of the*
394 *Social & Behavioral Sciences* [Internet]. Oxford: Pergamon; 2001 [cited 2022 Dec 4]. p. 14763–8.
395 Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B0080430767025110>
- 396 50. Li L, Jiang Z, Duan N, Dong W, Hu K, Sun W. Chapter 8 - An Approach to Optimize Police Patrol
397 Activities Based on the Spatial Pattern of Crime Hotspots11This chapter is adapted from Li, L., Jiang, Z.,
398 Duan, N., Dong, W., & Sun, W., Police patrol service optimization based on the spatial pattern of hotspots,
399 in 2011 IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics, and Informatics. In: Xiong G,
400 Liu Z, Liu XW, Zhu F, Shen D, editors. *Service Science, Management, and Engineering*: [Internet].
401 Boston: Academic Press; 2012 [cited 2022 Dec 4]. p. 141–63. Available from:
402 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123970374000089>
- 403 51. Anselin L, Cohen J, Cook D, Gorr W, Tita G. *Spatial Analyses of Crime*. *Crim JUSTICE*. 2000;51.
- 404 52. Wiki J, Kingham S, Campbell M. A geospatial analysis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and the food
405 environment in urban New Zealand. *Soc Sci Med*. 2021 Nov 1;288:113231.
- 406 53. Will JC, Galuska DA, Ford ES, Mokdad A, Calle EE. Cigarette smoking and diabetes mellitus: evidence of
407 a positive association from a large prospective cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2001 Jun 1;30(3):540–6.
- 408 54. Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. Effect of Alcohol Consumption on Diabetes Mellitus. *Ann*
409 *Intern Med*. 2004 Feb 3;140(3):211–9.
- 410 55. Plotnikoff RC, Lippke S, Courneya K, Birkett N, Sigal R. Physical activity and diabetes: An application of
411 the theory of planned behaviour to explain physical activity for Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in an adult
412 population sample. *Psychol Health*. 2010 Jan 1;25(1):7–23.
- 413 56. Seidell JC. Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes — a worldwide epidemic. *Br J Nutr*. 2000
414 Jun;83(S1):S5–8.

- 415 57. Bivand RS, Wong DWS. Comparing implementations of global and local indicators of spatial association.
416 TEST. 2018 Sep 1;27(3):716–48.
- 417 58. Cresswell T. Place: An Introduction. John Wiley & Sons; 2014. 242 p.








Population Size




Awareness, treatment and control status







Population Size



Awareness, treatment and control status






0 100 200 300 400 km

Diabetes hotspot

- High-High
- Low-High
- Low-Low
- Not Significant





0 100 200 300 400 km

Significance

