

21 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) requires high-quality survey methods to determine the 22 incidence of infections in catchment areas. In this study, the wastewater survey methods necessary 23 for comprehending the incidence of infection by WBE are clarified. This clarification is based on 24 the correlation with the number of confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases, 25 considering factors such as handling non-detect data, calculation method for representative values, 26 analytical sensitivity, analytical reproducibility, sampling frequency, and survey duration. Data 27 collected from 15 samples per week for two and a half years using a highly accurate analysis 28 method were regarded as gold standard data, and the correlation between severe acute respiratory 29 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA concentrations in wastewater and confirmed COVID-30 19 cases was analyzed by Monte Carlo simulation under the hypothetical situation where the quality 31 of the wastewater survey method was reduced. Regarding data handling, it was appropriate to 32 replace non-detect data with estimates based on distribution, and to use geometric means to 33 calculate representative values. For the analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in samples, using a highly 34 sensitive and reproducible method (non-detect rates of \lt 40%; \leq 0.4 standard deviation) and 35 surveying at least three samples, preferably five samples, per week were considered desirable. 36 Furthermore, conducting the survey over a period of time that included at least 50 weeks was 37 necessary. A WBE that meets these survey criteria is sufficient for the determination of the COVID-38 19 infection incidence in the catchment area. Furthermore, WBE can offer additional insights into 39 infection rates in the catchment area, such as the estimated 48% decrease in confirmed COVID-19 40 cases visiting a clinic following a COVID-19 legal reclassification in Japan.

41

42 **Keywords**

43 Analytical sensitivity; Data handling; SARS-CoV-2; Sampling frequency; Wastewater surveillance;

44 Wastewater-based epidemiological monitoring

45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), also known as wastewater surveillance, is an economical, 48 representative, and early means of determining the incidence of infection in a target area without 49 requiring personal information (Hart and Halden, 2020; Kitajima et al., 2020; Murakami et al., 50 2020; Shah et al., 2022). The WBE has been applied since the 1990s as a method to comprehend the 51 infection incidence of polio (Grabow et al., 1999). Since the beginning of the coronavirus disease 52 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, numerous studies have been conducted on correlations between 53 concentrations of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA in 54 untreated wastewater and the infection incidence of catchments (Ahmed et al., 2020; La Rosa et al., 55 2020; Randazzo et al., 2020). The WBE has been applied to other respiratory infections, such as 56 influenza (Schoen et al., 2023; Toribio-Avedillo et al., 2023). Monitoring the infection incidence 57 using WBE provides information that can contribute to public health, such as identifying the origin 58 of infection, promoting infection control measures, including testing and vaccination campaigns in 59 targeted areas, and preparing resource allocation in healthcare institutions (Betancourt et al., 2021; 60 Karthikeyan et al., 2022; Kitajima et al., 2022; Klapsa et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023a).

81 irrespective of differences in the type of sewer system (i.e., combined or separated sewer system),

82 sampling method, and areas (i.e., urban or suburban areas).

83 The World Health Organization declared the end of the Public Health Emergency of International 84 Concern on May 5, 2023. The number of infected individuals has shifted towards partial monitoring 85 worldwide. Japan reclassified COVID-19 legal status into the fifth category of communicable 86 diseases under the Communicable Diseases Law on May 8, 2023, and also shifted from 87 comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance to sentinel surveillance, in which only the designated 88 health facilities report the number of COVID-19 cases seen there. As its clinical ability to ascertain 89 the incidence of infection has declined, the utility of WBE has increased. Therefore, it is important 90 to identify effective survey methods for the WBE that can adequately account for the number of 91 infected individuals in the target areas. Among the aforementioned clinical factors, environmental 92 factors, and wastewater survey methods, wastewater survey methods can be handled by the 93 implementers of the WBE in a target area. However, no studies have comprehensively examined the 94 appropriate wastewater survey methods in terms of sampling frequency, survey duration, analytical 95 sensitivity, and reproducibility.

96 This study aimed to clarify the survey methods necessary for understanding the incidence of WBE 97 infection based on the correlation with confirmed COVID-19 cases. First, the handling of non-98 detect data and the calculation of representative values were investigated. Second, the sampling 99 frequency, survey duration, analytical sensitivity, and analytical reproducibility necessary to 100 determine the infection incidence through WBE were analyzed. For the sampling frequency, the

121 165,000 to 246,000, and the five catchment areas together covered 52% of Sapporo's population. 122 If fewer than 15 samples were collected per week, data from the corresponding week were excluded 123 from the analysis.

124 The limit of detection (LOD) for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by the Efficient and Practical virus 125 Identification System with ENhanced Sensitivity for Solids (EPISENS-S), the viral RNA detection 126 method used in this study, was 93.1 copies/L, corresponding to approximately one-hundredth that of 127 the common polyethylene glycol precipitation method (Ando et al., 2022). The reproducibility of 128 the SARS-CoV-2 analysis ranged from 0.03 to 0.4 standard deviation at log_{10} values (Ando et al., 129 2022). A total of 15 weekly samples were analyzed over 122 weeks, with a total of 1,830 samples 130 and 201 samples below the LOD (i.e., non-detect samples).

131 Two types of clinical data were used for the confirmed COVID-19 cases: comprehensive, notifiable 132 disease surveillance and sentinel surveillance. For the former, published data up to May 7, 2023, 133 were used (City of Sapporo, 2024; Data-smart City Sapporo, 2023), and a moving average of the 134 number of newly confirmed COVID-19 cases for a week was calculated using the three days before 135 and after a representative date of wastewater sampling (arithmetic mean of sampling dates). The 136 comprehensive, notifiable disease surveillance data contained 1,515 wastewater samples collected 137 over 101 weeks. Regarding the sentinel surveillance data, the confirmed COVID-19 cases per 138 sentinel health facility contained two types of data sources: estimation from the comprehensive 139 notifiable disease surveillance data from October 3, 2022, to May 7, 2023 (the confirmed COVID-140 19 cases in the comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance during the epidemiological week

159 estimates). The replacement values for (1)–(3) are often used, as previously reported (Croghan and

160 Egeghy, 2003). The replacement value for (4) was calculated using the maximum likelihood method,

175

176 *2.3. Correction of sentinel surveillance data (Preliminary analysis 2)*

177 In the analysis using the sentinel surveillance dataset, the relationship between virus concentration 178 and confirmed COVID-19 cases changed before and after the legal reclassification of COVID-19 in 179 Japan, which might have resulted in behavioral changes in individuals visiting health facilities. 180 Therefore, a multiple regression analysis was conducted with confirmed COVID-19 cases (log_{10}) as

220 Main analysis 4: Analytical reproducibility and sampling frequency

238 *3.1. Treatment of non-detect data and determination of a method for calculating representative* 239 *values*

240 Figure 2 presents the results of the Preliminary analysis 1. With regard to the treatment of non-

260 respectively, confirming a strong linear relationship between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in

263 *3.3. Effects of sampling frequency, survey duration, analytical sensitivity, and analytical*

261 wastewater and confirmed COVID-19 cases, even in the sentinel surveillance data.

264 *reproducibility on the correlation with the confirmed COVID-19 cases*

262

265 The results of Main analysis 1 showed that the correlation coefficients decreased as the sampling 266 frequencies decreased for both comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance and sentinel 267 surveillance data (Figure 4). Large differences in correlation coefficients were found between one 268 and two samples per week, and three samples per week showed high correlation coefficients similar 269 to those of more frequent sampling under the measurement condition of LOD = 93.1 copies/L (2.5th 270 percentile values: 0.80 for comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance and 0.76 for sentinel 271 surveillance). The correlation coefficients decreased as the LOD value increased (i.e., as the non-272 detect rate increased): in the comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance data, there was no large 273 difference in the correlation coefficients for the measurement conditions with LOD between 93.1 274 and 931 copies/L (non-detect rate: 13–31%), but the correlation coefficients decreased as the LOD 275 increased from 1,862 copies/L (non-detect rate: 42%). Fifteen samples per week, with an LOD of 276 1,862 copies/L, did not show a higher correlation than two samples per week, with an LOD of 93.1 277 copies/L. In the sentinel surveillance data, no large differences in the correlation coefficients were 278 found between 93.1 and 9,310 copies/L for the LOD (non-detect rate: 0.4–34%), but the correlation

279 coefficients decreased when the LOD was greater than 18,620 copies/L (non-detect rate: 54%). For

280 both comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance and sentinel surveillance data, the 2.5th

281 percentile values of the correlation coefficients exceeded 0.7 for the three samples per week when

282 the non-detect rate was less than 40%.

283 Regarding Main analysis 2, no large differences in the correlation coefficients were found between 284 multiple catchment areas on the same day of the week and identical catchment areas on multiple 285 days of the week (Figure 5), although slightly less variation in the correlation coefficients existed 286 for two or three samples per week in the same catchment area than for sampling once per week in 287 two or three catchment areas. The 2.5th percentile values of the correlation coefficients exceeded 288 0.7 for both sampling once at three catchment areas per week and sampling three times at one 289 catchment area per week.

290 In Main analysis 3, the 12-week surveys had lower correlation coefficients and wider uncertainty 291 intervals (Figure 6). Compared to the 50-week or longer surveys, the 25-week surveys had similar 292 arithmetic mean correlation coefficients but lower 2.5th percentile values. The 2.5th percentile 293 values in the 50-week or longer surveys with three or more samples per week exceeded 0.7.

294 In Main analysis 4, as the standard deviation increased, the correlation coefficients decreased 295 (Figure 7). In particular, the uncertainty interval widened with an increase in standard deviation 296 when the number of samples per week was small. In the comprehensive notifiable disease 297 surveillance data, the 2.5th percentile values of the correlation coefficients exceeded 0.7 when the 298 standard deviation was 0.4, with three or more samples per week. In the sentinel surveillance data, 299 the arithmetic mean value of the correlation coefficient was below 0.7 for three samples per week. 300 The 2.5th percentile value of the correlation coefficient was 0.66 and 0.71 for the five- and seven-

301 weekly surveys, respectively.

302

303 **4. Discussion**

304 Using high analytical accuracy and intensive surveys as the gold standard for WBE, this study 305 identified the treatment of non-detect data and the appropriate method for calculating representative 306 values, and analyzed the impact of sampling frequency, survey duration, analytical sensitivity and 307 analytical reproducibility on the correlation between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in 308 wastewater and confirmed COVID-19 cases.

309 First, a preliminary analysis identified the appropriate treatment for non-detect data and the 310 appropriate method for calculating representative values. The validity of treating non-detect data 311 using distribution estimates has been discussed in a previous report (Croghan and Egeghy, 2003). 312 In this study, correlation coefficients were calculated by log-transforming virus concentrations in 313 wastewater and confirmed COVID-19 cases. Therefore, it is reasonable to use geometric means or 314 medians rather than arithmetic means to calculate representative values. The PMMoV correction did 315 not improve the correlation with confirmed COVID-19 cases, which is consistent with the findings 316 of our previous study (Ando et al., 2023). The present study performed the main analyses with a 317 time lag of 0 days, which did not negate the early detectability of WBE. In this study, the data were 318 merged into one-week data to calculate representative values, which indicated that it was not 319 necessary to consider the time lag. The early detection of COVID-19 by the WBE in the same 320 catchment area in this study has been demonstrated in detail in our previous report (Ando et al.,

334 Regarding the analytical sensitivity, a decrease in the correlation coefficients was observed with 335 increasing LOD values (Main analysis 1). In particular, both comprehensive notifiable disease 336 surveillance and sentinel surveillance data showed large decreases in correlation coefficients when 337 the non-detect rate exceeded 40%. Therefore, it is desirable to conduct the analysis with a 338 sensitivity that the non-detect rate does not exceed 40%. The non-detect rate depends not only on 339 the LOD but also on the incidence of infection. This result indicates that it is desirable to use a 340 dataset with a non-detect rate of < 40% (which is more achievable with high-sensitivity methods) to

381 catchment areas, and the accumulation and integration of results will increase the generality of the 382 findings.

383

384 **5. Conclusions**

400 Surveys need to be conducted for a period of time that includes at least 50 weeks or longer.

401

402 **Acknowledgements**

- 403 We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing. This work was
- 404 supported by "The Nippon Foundation Osaka University Project for Infectious Disease
- 405 Prevention," the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under grant
- 406 number 24fk0108713h0001, and the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) through the JST-
- 407 Mirai Program, under grant number JPMJMI22D1. The funders had no role in study design, data
- 408 collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

409

410 **Author contributions**

- 411 MM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Funding
- 412 acquisition, Writing original draft.
- 413 HA: Resources, Writing review & editing.
- 414 RY: Resources, Writing review & editing.
- 415 MK: Conceptualization, Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing review & editing.

416

417 **Declaration of interests**

- 418 MM: A relationship with NJS CO LTD that includes: consulting or advisory.
- 419 HA: No competing interests to declare.
- 420 RY: No competing interests to declare.

- 461 Tokyo 2020 Olympic and Paralympic Village from July to September 2021. JAMA Netw.
- 462 Open. 5, e2226822.
- 463 Klapsa, D., Wilton, T., Zealand, A., Bujaki, E., Saxentoff, E., Troman, C., et al., 2022. Sustained
- 464 detection of type 2 poliovirus in London sewage between February and July, 2022, by
- 465 enhanced environmental surveillance. Lancet. 400, 1531-1538.
- 466 Kuroita, T., Yoshimura, A., Iwamoto, R., Ando, H., Okabe, S., Kitajima, M., 2024. Quantitative
- 467 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and evaluation of sampling frequency during
- 468 the downward period of a COVID-19 wave in Japan. Sci. Total Environ. 906, 166526.
- 469 La Rosa, G., Iaconelli, M., Mancini, P., Bonanno Ferraro, G., Veneri, C., Bonadonna, L., et al., 2020.
- 470 First detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewaters in Italy. Sci. Total Environ. 736, 471 139652.
- 472 Lee, L., 2022. Package 'NADA'. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/NADA/NADA.pdf 473 (accsessed May 9, 2024).
- 474 Li, X., Liu, H., Gao, L., Sherchan, S.P., Zhou, T., Khan, S.J., et al., 2023a. Wastewater-based
- 475 epidemiology predicts COVID-19-induced weekly new hospital admissions in over 150 476 USA counties. Nat. Commun. 14, 4548.
- 477 Li, X., Zhang, S., Sherchan, S., Orive, G., Lertxundi, U., Haramoto, E., et al., 2023b. Correlation
- 478 between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater and COVID-19 cases in 479 community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Hazard. Mater. 441, 129848.
- 480 Li, X., Zhang, S., Shi, J., Luby, S.P., Jiang, G., 2021. Uncertainties in estimating SARS-CoV-2

- 482 Medema, G., Been, F., Heijnen, L., Petterson, S., 2020. Implementation of environmental
- 483 surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 virus to support public health decisions: Opportunities and
- 484 challenges. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Health. 17, 49-71.
- 485 Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, 2023. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/001065724.pdf
- 486 (accsessed May 9, 2024). (in Japanese)
- 487 Murakami, M., Hata, A., Honda, R., Watanabe, T., 2020. Letter to the editor: Wastewater-based
- 488 epidemiology can overcome representativeness and stigma issues related to COVID-19.
- 489 Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 5311.
- 490 Our World in Data, 2024. SARS-CoV-2 variants in analyzed sequences. 491 https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/covid-variants-area?country=~JPN (accsessed May 13, 492 2024).
- 493 R Development Core Team, 2021. R 4.2.0. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 494 R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- 495 Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simón, P., Allende, A., Sánchez, G., 2020.
- 496 SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence 497 area. Water Res. 181, 115942.
- 498 Schoen, M.E., Bidwell, A.L., Wolfe, M.K., Boehm, A.B., 2023. United States influenza 2022-2023
- 499 season characteristics as inferred from wastewater solids, influenza hospitalization, and
- 500 syndromic data. Environ. Sci. Technol. 57, 20542-20550.

508 Figure 1. Temporal changes of SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in wastewater and confirmed COVID-

509 19 cases. (a) Comprehensive, notifiable disease surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance data. The

510 SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater was calculated based on the geometric mean values

511 for one week (15 samples). The non-detect data were replaced using the distribution estimates.

514 Figure 2. Comparison of Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) based on treatment of non-detect data 515 and different methods of calculating representative values. (a) Without PMMoV correction, limit of 516 detection $(LOD) = 93.1$ copies/L; (b) with PMMoV correction, $LOD = 93.1$ copies/L; and (c) 517 without PMMoV correction, LOD = 9,310 copies/L. Time lag represents the "published date of the 518 number of infected individuals" minus the "representative date of wastewater sampling." LOD/2, 519 LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$, and LOD estimated represent the replacement of LOD values by LOD/2, LOD/ $\sqrt{2}$, and 520 distribution estimates, respectively.

521

524 Figure 3. Scatterplots of SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration *vs.* confirmed COVID-19 cases. (a)

525 Comprehensive, notifiable disease surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance data. *r*: Pearson

526 correlation coefficients.

527

530 Figure 4. Pearson correlation coefficients (*r*) between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations and the

531 confirmed COVID-19 cases according to the number of samples per week and analytical sensitivity.

532 (a) Comprehensive, notifiable disease surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance data. LOD: limit

- 533 of detection. The number in parenthesis represents the non-detect rate. An error bar represents a
- 534 95% uncertainty interval.

535

537

538 Figure 5. Comparison of surveys at multiple catchment areas on the same day of the week and at the 539 same catchment area on multiple days of the week. (a) Comprehensive, notifiable disease 540 surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance data. The *r* represents the Pearson correlation coefficient 541 between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations and the confirmed COVID-19 cases. An error bar 542 represents a 95% uncertainty interval. 543

546 Figure 6. Pearson correlation coefficients (*r*) between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations and the

547 confirmed COVID-19 cases according to the number of samples per week and survey duration. (a)

548 Comprehensive notifiable disease surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance data. An error bar

550

553 Figure 7. Pearson correlation coefficients (*r*) between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations and the

554 confirmed COVID-19 cases according to the number of samples per week and analytical

555 reproducibility. (a) Comprehensive, notifiable disease surveillance data; (b) sentinel surveillance

556 data. SD: standard deviation. An error bar represents a 95% uncertainty interval.