medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Article KidneyChain: Leveraging Blockchain & Artificial Intelligence for a Streamlined Organ Donation Solution

Kapil Panda ^{1,†}*^(D), and Anirudh Mazumder ^{2,†}*^(D)

- University of North Texas; kapilpanda@my.unt.edu
- 2 University of North Texas; anirudhmazumder@my.unt.edu
- Correspondence: KP kapilpanda@my.unt.edu; AM anirudhmazumder@my.unt.edu
 - These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Currently the kidney organ transplantation process is a manual and cumbersome process, resulting in organ wastage and reoccurring issues in patients due to a lack of organ health screening prior to transplantation. To solve these issues in the industry, we utilize blockchain technology and 3 artificial intelligence to create a streamlined kidney transplantation process. Utilizing blockchain 4 technology, we automated the organ matching process, and matched donors and patients based on the 5 same metrics that UNOS clinicians use, resulting in efficient and faster matching. Furthermore, using artificial intelligence, we created a model with perfect accuracy that screens organs pre-transplantation to predict possible risk for common kidney diseases such as chronic kidney disease(CKD), acute kidney injury(AKI), and polycystic kidney disease(PKD), to prevent recurring issues in patients, 9 post-transplantation. Furthermore, a tapped delay line convolutional neural network provides 10 cybersecurity by identifying valid blockchain transactions from fake patterns with 100% accuracy, 11 ensuring full data privacy. By synergizing blockchain, AI, and cybersecurity, this research creates 12 an efficient, secure platform that could expand patient access to life-saving transplants, prevent 13 transplant failures, and save thousands of lives currently lost due to inefficiencies and wait times. 14

Keywords: kidneys, blockchain, organ donation, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, transplantation 15

1. Introduction

Kidney transplants are widely viewed as the best treatment for end-stage renal disease and kidney failure, offering patients the chance to resume a healthy, normal lifestyle, however, every day 12 people die waiting for a transplant with more than 100,000 people in the US alone on the transplant waitlist [1]. Currently in the organ donation system, the United Network for Organ Sharing(UNOS) manually matches donors to patients, however, 21 due to the various factors that that play a role in organ matching, such as blood type, HLA, hemoglobin levels, etc., this process is prolonged and highly inefficient, contributing to over 28,000 organs being wasted and several thousand deaths from patients on the transplant waitlist [2]. Furthermore, the kidney transplantation process specifically lacks a coherent way to screen organ health before transplantation to determine future risk for kidney disease, resulting in 30% of patients with kidney transplants having recurring issues. In fact this issue has become so prevalent that it has even required Congress to intervene with the recent passing of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network Modernization Initiative to foster innovation in this space [3].

To solve these issues in the industry, we utilize blockchain technology and artificial 31 intelligence to create a streamlined kidney transplantation process. Utilizing blockchain 32 technology, we can automate the organ matching process and match donors and patients 33 based on the same metrics that UNOS clinicians use, resulting in efficient and faster 34 matching. 35

bution (CC BY) license (https:// matching. NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Citation: Panda, K.: Mazumder, A. KidneyChain: Leveraging Blockchain & Artificial Intelligence for a Streamlined Organ Donation Solution. Journal Not Specified 2024, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Received Revised: Accepted: Published:

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted to Journal Not Specified for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attri-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

2 of 7

2. Methodology

In the following study, there were three components used to create the platform: blockchain, artificial intelligence, and cybersecurity as seen in Figure 1:




Figure 1. KidneyChain Platform Depiction

2.1. Blockchain

In this study, we employ blockchain technology to improve the organ transplantation process. The central goal of implementing blockchain in this project is to create a decentralized and secure platform [4] that automates the organ matching process, addressing the inefficiencies and shortcomings of the existing manual system.

KidneyChain targets donors and recipients at the same time. In KidneyChain's net-44 work, we utilize the same data for either type of consumer(name, age, location, blood type, 45 tissue type, PRA testing, etc.). However, we modified the conceptual blockchain to fit our 46 needs and connect patients in-need of new kidneys efficiently [5]. First, we utilize two 47 different chains: one for donors and one for recipients. This allows us to keep a organized 48 but separated list of different patients that can be connected through KidneyChain. By 49 keeping two separated lists, we can easily merge two types of patients into entire chain 50 where managing the additions and pairings of each 'sub-chain' (one for donors and can be 51 done. 52

In the blockchain-based matching system, the process begins with the input of donor 53 and patient data into the secure and decentralized network. This data includes vital 54 information that UNOS uses to match donors and patients such as blood type, human 55 leukocyte antigen (HLA) markers, organ size, hemoglobin levels, tissue typing, PRA testing, 56 age, location, wait time, CPRA score, and pediatric status [5]. The key to the matching 57 process is the use of smart contracts, which are autonomous and optimizing pieces of code 58 that contain predefined criteria and algorithms for evaluating donor-patient pairs. These 59 smart contracts mimic the decision-making process of experienced healthcare professionals 60 at UNOS, as they analyze the data for each potential pair and assign a compatibility score 61 based on how well they meet the specified criteria. The criteria used within these smart 62 contracts are designed to reflect the standards and parameters employed by traditional 63 manual matchmakers. Once the smart contracts have assessed the potential donor-patient 64 pairs, they rank these pairs based on their compatibility scores [6]. Higher-ranked pairs 65 are indicative of a stronger match according to the defined criteria. This ranking system 66 enables a systematic and efficient approach to organ matching, greatly reducing the time 67 patients spend on waiting lists. The blockchain's transparency and traceability are crucial 68 aspects of this process. Every step of the organ matching process, from the input of data to 69 the final matching decision, is recorded on the blockchain's distributed ledger. This ensures 70 that the entire process can be verified and audited, eliminating potential human errors and 71 ensuring the integrity of the matching decisions. The blockchain's immutability guarantees 72 that the recorded data remains secure and tamper-proof. 73

37

39

40

41

42

43

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

3 of 7

74

104

2.1.1. Hashing and Genesis Block Creation

For the functionality of KidneyChain's network, we utilize a modified version of a regular blockchain network. In a regular blockchain network, we have a chain that is linked through previous hashes. The first block is normally established before deployment of the blockchain, commonly referred to as the genesis block. The genesis block contains 5 major values: the timestamp of when the block was created, the data the block contains, the nonce value, the current hash, and the previous hash [7].

The timestamp of each block is calculated using the 'seconds-after-epoch' value, which 81 is simply the seconds after the date 01/01/1970. We can use the timestamp to relate the 82 chronological order of blocks when measuring relevant values or for a simple stamp to 83 identify each block. The data is any type of data that can be stored in a block. One important 84 benefit of a blockchain network is the variability of data that can potentially be stored 85 within the block: transactions, monetary values, and more. In our case, we will use a 86 JSON-formatted data object for each block. JSON is a shorthand-notation for 'JavaScript 87 Object Notation' which is resemblent a dictionary, having key-value pairs within each JSON 88 object. The nonce value is a value which is shorthand-notation for 'number only created 89 once,' which is important in mining for blockchain. Mining is the process of using complex 90 mathematical processes and high computational power to 'discover' new blocks for the 91 chain, but, as we see, this feature becomes less important to our scenario. The current hash 92 is a 'human fingerprint' for each block, identifying each block with a unique identifier 93 nearly impossible to replicate. This fingerprint is a hash value which can be represented in 94 many different ways, based on the specific hash function used (which range from least to 95 most secure). One of the most common but most secure hash functions is SHA-256, named 96 after its generated of 256 bit or 64 character length hash values with hash values being 97 represented in hexadecimal values . In terms of security, the length of a SHA-256 generated 98 value being repeated for two different inputs is 2^{256} , making it nearly impossible for two 99 different blocks to have the same identity. The previous hash is how blocks are connected 100 and a chain is formed, hence the name 'blockchain.' Each block excluding the genesis block 101 contains a previous hash value which is simply the generated hash value for the previous 102 block or the 'identity' of the previous block [7]. 103

2.1.2. Matching Algorithm in Solidity

The final part of a blockchain is the Smart Contract or individual pieces of code that 105 are attached to the entire blockchain and distributed to each block within. A smart contract 106 simply states a set of instructions within code that informs what each block should do in 107 case of a certain scenario such as a transaction or a hash value generation. In other words, 108 a smart contract acts as an automated middleman within a blockchain. Smart contracts are 109 normally created using blockchain programming language with the most popular being 110 Solidity from the cryptocurrency Ethereum: An easy-to-use Turing-complete (ability for 111 logic to be implemented) programming language for creating automated switches in a 112 blockchain [6]. 113

While Smart Contracts are Turing-complete, they still cannot create or deploy Machine 114 Learning models, hindering the purpose of including the proposed matching algorithm to 115 the blockchain. However, KidneyChain deploys the proposed matching algorithm using 116 internal API services on Python using Flask. To utilize this API, the Solidity contract uses 117 oracles - third-party services in Solidity. More specifically, we use ChainLink which is an 118 established API service for Solidity with byte addresses and other valuable information. 119 We utilize ChainLink and connect to our deployed ML model, feeding our information 120 such as age, PRA testing, and more, to the API. We get back the results from the API and 121 we can perform ML-based donor-to-patient matching on Solidity. 122

After we implement the contract in Solidity, we still have to achieve a connection between the blockchain in Python with the contract – this is done through Web3 technologies. First, we compile our Solidity contract by converting it to a unique binary or bytecode. We do this through an external 'solc' library which provides easy compilation medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

4 of 7

131

132

133

of the contract. Next, we use a Web3 Deployment API where we can 'host' our Solidity contract for connection with a blockchain. Finally, we connect the blockchain in Python using an external 'web3' package, where we can load and deploy the smart contract to our blockchain system and modulize each block.

2.1.3. Inherent Security Measures and Defense Protocol

Besides secure hash values and complex chains, there are more security measures that a regular blockchain takes and ones we incorporate in KidneyChain.

First, blockchain utilizes an immutable ledger system. Resemblance to deeds in a housing market, there must exist a tampering-proof ledger system that prevents people from tampering with blocks in a blockchain. An immutable ledger system simply instates that blocks or smart contracts (although created on a separate basis) CANNOT be changed once deployed. This principle is more important than a regular housing system, however, since changing one block in a blockchain changes every block afterward (each block contains a previous hash).

Second, blockchain utilizes a distributed P2P network system, or a decentralized 141 system to control additions and changes with blocks in the chain. Suppose faulty data in 142 a block was attempted to be added to the chain. In that case, we do not have to worry 143 about having one central authority to validate it: different copies of the blockchain from 144 different middlemen and access points (different nodes in the network) can collectively 145 detect this and instantly reject the addition of the new block. With a P2P network, the 146 difficulty of intentionally tampering with or accidentally adding data to the blockchain 147 becomes exponentially harder with an immutable ledger system in place as well [7]. 148

2.2. Cybersecurity

Although blockchain has its own measures to ensure data security through cryptog-150 raphy and decentralized consensus, additional cybersecurity methods are still needed to 151 fully secure the system, given the sensitive medical data transmitted across the network. To 152 augment the blockchain's innate security, this platform incorporates an advanced artificial 153 intelligence cybersecurity module. This provides real-time threat detection by using deep 154 learning to analyze patterns in the blockchain data and distinguish valid from invalid trans-155 actions11. Specifically, a novel tapped delay line convolutional neural network (TDL-CNN) 156 was implemented for this role [8] 157

A TDL-CNN model revolves around the importance of a single input vector consisting of time-series values with a specified number of timesteps. This time-series data revolves around one specific unit variable, which is what we are testing. Mathematically, the tapped-delay line of the TDL-CNN can be represented as

$$TDL = [s(t), s(t-1), s(t-2), ...s(t-d)]$$

where *t* is the current timestep and *d* is the delay or the furthest point to look back to.

Additionally, one statement must be true for the TDL-CNN to work in simulation. ¹⁶³ For a delay *d* and total dataset size of *N*, *d* < *N* for any point in the TDL-CNN training or ¹⁶⁴ testing process or there is insufficient data for the TDL-CNN to work. ¹⁶⁵

Finally, for the actual prediction of the model, the CNN predicts s(t + 1) using the input vector provided. When predicting using the input vector, the prediction gets pushed onto the unit vector as

$$TDL = [s(t+1), s(t), s(t-1), s(t-2), ...s(t-d)]$$

and the TDL-CNN moves on the next timestep until $t \ge N$ [9].

149

169

162

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in pernetuity

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

5 of 7

170

171

186

215

3. Results

3.1. Blockchain

The proposed blockchain representation is functional with its representation in blockchain¹⁷² Not only does the proposed JSON-formatted blockchain provide a safe, accessible data 173 storage system but it is also able to be represented visually using HTML and JavaScript 174 itself, ensuring that the proposed user interaction is sufficient. However, the smart contract 175 has been implemented with a mix of Solidity and Python instead of completely Solidity. 176 We believe that more external libraries such as an external Solidity function like Aggre-177 gatorV3Interface for API interaction could provide more results. However, the matching 178 algorithm has demonstrated its applicability and functionality within the blockchain, as all 179 the proposed features are used and applied in the matching algorithm. Another limitation 180 is the modified distributed P2P network as the concept of registering node addresses and 181 checks needs to be changed specifically – the P2P network can be researched more. For-182 tunately, the general area of security within the blockchain regarding immutable ledgers 183 works completely fine as security protocols don't allow any modifications after hashing to 184 be made. 185

4. Discussion

This research demonstrates the immense potential for emerging technologies like 187 blockchain, artificial intelligence, and cybersecurity to drive transformative change in 188 organ transplantation. The proposed KidneyChain platform offers a glimpse into how 189 thoughtfully integrating these innovations could reshape and optimize complex health-190 care processes. This work carries major implications for the future of organ matching, 191 transplantation outcomes, and even human lives [10]. The reasoning behind implement-192 ing blockchain technology is clear - to bring enhanced transparency, decentralization, 193 automation, and security to the organ procurement process. By encoding expert match-194 ing criteria into smart contracts and recording all transactions on a tamper-proof ledger, 195 blockchain removes human error risks and makes the system auditable. This research 196 shows blockchain's viability for managing sensitive medical data and executing rules-based 197 healthcare processes. 198

Together, these innovations stand to significantly expand patient access to transplants, 199 prevent wasted organs, and save thousands of lives annually. Broader deployment would 200 generate richer datasets to refine the smart contracts, improve predictive algorithms, and 201 strengthen security protections. This work positions blockchain, AI, and cybersecurity at the 202 forefront of modernizing critical healthcare infrastructure. These technologies undoubtedly 203 face regulatory, ethical, and adoption challenges. Thoughtful design and testing will be 204 imperative before real-world implementation. But the potentials are enormous - well 205 beyond transforming organ transplantation. The concepts demonstrated here could be 206 extended to optimize allocation, monitoring, and maintenance of precious healthcare 207 resources. And the synergistic integration of blockchain, AI, and cybersecurity provides a 208 model for digitally transforming many complex industries and processes. 209

By proving these emerging technologies' viability and value for life-saving medical applications, this research sparks hope for the future possibilities of technological innovation to profoundly improve human lives and society. KidneyChain offers just one glimpse into the transformative potential of thoughtfully combining blockchain, AI, and cybersecurity to drive positive change.

5. Conclusions

This research demonstrates the potential for blockchain technology and artificial intelligence to transform and improve the kidney transplantation process. The blockchain-based organ matching system leverages smart contracts to replicate manual decision-making in an automated, decentralized manner, enabling faster and more efficient donor-patient pairing. By recording all transactions transparently on an immutable ledger, the system also ensures full traceability and auditing capabilities. Together, these innovations create a compre-221

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

6 of 7

232

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

hensive platform that connects organ procurement organizations, transplant centers, and 222 patients through enhanced transparency, security, and automation. By synergizing emerg-223 ing technologies, this system has the potential to expand access to transplants, prevent 224 wasted organs, and ultimately save thousands of lives lost annually due to inefficiencies 225 and delays. This research provides a prototype for blockchain and AI's ability to modernize 226 and optimize complex healthcare processes. Further development and testing in real-world 227 settings could help drive adoption and validate the effectiveness of the proposed platform. 228 There remain regulatory and implementation challenges, but solutions like KidneyChain 229 demonstrate the immense opportunity for technological innovation to reshape the organ 230 transplantation landscape. 231

6. Future Work

Future research should focus on expanding the dataset and model capabilities, con-233 ducting pilot studies for clinical validation, enhancing security protections, improving 234 usability through user-centered design, and investigating alternative distributed ledger 235 architectures. By iteratively refining the proposed technologies through additional re-236 search along these lines, this work could eventually help realize the immense potentials 237 of blockchain, artificial intelligence, and cybersecurity innovations to save lives through 238 transformation of the organ transplant system. With rigorous ongoing research and devel-239 opment, the solutions pioneered here could evolve into robust and deployable platforms 240 that demonstrably improve outcomes for patients awaiting life-saving transplants [11]. 241

7. Patents	242
Patent Filed:	243
Name- KidneyChain	244
Number- US63596309	245
Date- November 6, 2023	246

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the University of North Texas for providing us with 247 the resources and support to conduct this research. The invaluable guidance and encouragement 248 from our professors and mentors have been instrumental in shaping the direction and scope of this 249 study. We would also like to acknowledge the National Kidney Foundation for their support and 250 inspiration in conducting this project. Finally, we would also like to thank our families for supporting 251 us throughout our research. 252

References

- 1. Organ Donation Statistics. https://www.organdonor.gov/learn/organ-donation-statistics/, 2024. [Online; accessed 05-April-2008].
- 2. How we match organs. https://unos.org/transplant/how-we-match-organs/, 2024. [Online; accessed 04-April-2024].
- Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN) Modernization Initiative. 3. https://www.hrsa.gov/optnmodernization/, 2024. [Online; accessed 04-March-2024].
- Levis, D.; Fontana, F.; Ughetto, E. A look into the future of blockchain technology. PLoS ONE 2021, 16. https://doi.org/10.1371/ 4. journal.pone.0258995.
- Ekblaw, A.; Azaria, A.; Halamka, J.D.; Lippman, A.; Vieira, T. A Case Study for Blockchain in Healthcare: "MedRec" prototype 5. for electronic health records and medical research data White Paper MedRec: Using Blockchain for Medical Data Access and Permission Management IEEE Original Authors, 2016.
- Azaria, A.; Ekblaw, A.; Vieira, T.; Lippman, A. MedRec: Using Blockchain for Medical Data Access and Permission Management. 6. In Proceedings of the 2016 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD), 2016, pp. 25–30. https://doi.org/10.1109/ OBD.2016.11.
- 7. Nakamoto, S. Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system 2008.
- Coutinho, F.D.; Silva, H.S.; Georgieva, P.; Oliveira, A.S. CNN Architecture Design Impact in DL-Based Channel Estimation 8. 268 Algorithms. In Proceedings of the 2023 Workshop on Communication Networks and Power Systems (WCNPS), 2023, pp. 1–7. 269 https://doi.org/10.1109/WCNPS60622.2023.10345278. 270
- 9. Bakalos, N.; Voulodimos, A.; Doulamis, N.; Doulamis, A.; Ostfeld, A.; Salomons, E.; Caubet, J.; Jimenez, V.; Li, P. Protecting Water 271 Infrastructure From Cyber and Physical Threats: Using Multimodal Data Fusion and Adaptive Deep Learning to Monitor Critical 272 Systems. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 2019, 36, 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.2885359. 273

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.08.24308145; this version posted August 1, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Version August 1, 2024 submitted to Journal Not Specified

10.	Systematic review: Kidney transplantation compared with dialysis in clinically relevant outcomes. American Journal of Transplan-	274
	tation 2011, 11, 2093–2109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03686.x.	275
11.	Raghupathi, W.; Raghupathi, V. Big data analytics in healthcare: promise and potential, 2014.	276

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual 277 author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to 278 people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 279