Full title: Alterations in Aortic Elasticity Indices among Type 2 Diabetes Patients in a Low and Middle Income Country Using M-Mode Echocardiography: A Cross-Sectional Comparative Study

Short title: Alterations in Aortic Elasticity Indices among Type 2 Diabetes Patients

- 1 Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang¹, Thang Viet Luong², Quan Nguyen Khoi^{3,4}, Uyen Ngoc
- 2 Phuong Nguyen³, Nguyen Nguyen Khoi Pham², Hieu Thi Nguyen Tran², Hung
- 3 Khanh Tran², Mai Thi Thu Cao², Binh Anh Ho⁵, Thang Chi Doan⁵, Hung Minh
- 4 Nguyen, Tien Anh Hoang², Minh Van Huynh².
- ⁵ ¹The Faculty of Medicine, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Vietnam
- ⁶ ²University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hue University, Hue, Vietnam
- ⁷ ³College of Health Sciences, VinUniversity, Hanoi, Vietnam
- ⁸ ⁴Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD
- 9 ⁵Cardiovascular Center, Hue Central Hospital, Hue, Vietnam
- 10 ⁶Bach Mai Hospital, Ha Noi, Vietnam

- 11 *Corresponding author:
- 12 Email: hatien@huemed-univ.edu.vn or hatien@hueuni.edu.vn
- 13 ¶Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang and Thang Viet Luong are co-first authors and contributed
- 14 equally to this work.
- 15 Author contributions:
- 16 Conceptualization: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong, Quan Nguyen
- 17 Khoi, Nguyen Nguyen Khoi Pham.
- 18 Data curation: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong.
- 19 Formal analysis: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong.
- 20 Investigation: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong.
- 21 Methodology: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong.
- 22 Supervision: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong.
- 23 Writing original draft: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong, Quan Nguyen
- 24 Khoi, Uyen Ngoc Phuong Nguyen, Nguyen Nguyen Khoi Pham, Hieu Thi Nguyen
- 25 Tran, Hung Khanh Tran, Mai Thi Thu Cao, Binh Anh Ho, Thang Chi Doan, Hung
- 26 Nguyen Minh, Tien Anh Hoang, Minh Van Huynh.

Writing – review & editing: Hai Nguyen Ngoc Dang, Thang Viet Luong, Quan
Nguyen Khoi, Uyen Ngoc Phuong Nguyen, Nguyen Nguyen Khoi Pham, Hieu Thi
Nguyen Tran, Hung Khanh Tran, Mai Thi Thu Cao, Binh Anh Ho, Thang Doan
Chi, Hung Nguyen Minh, Tien Anh Hoang, Minh Van Huynh.

31 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

32 Abstract

Background: Diabetes is one of the leading causes of noncommunicable diseases worldwide. It is known to induce cardiovascular remodeling, which can result in a variety of complications, including a considerable increase in aortic stiffness. While studies in Western populations have explored these effects, data on Asians, particularly Vietnamese, are limited. This study aimed to assess aortic elasticity in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients compared to healthy individuals.

40 **Methods:** Aortic elasticity indices were measured using M-mode 41 echocardiography. A comparison between the healthy group and the T2DM group 42 revealed substantial differences in aortic elasticity indices.

43 **Results:** The aortic stiffness index (ASI) was significantly greater in the 44 T2DM group than in the control group, with median values of 6.10 (3.64-12.47) 45 and 3.79 (2.40-8.50), respectively (p = 0.003). Aortic strain (AS) was substantially

46	lower in the T2DM group than in the control group, with median values of 8.21
47	(4.24 - 13.07) and 10.66 (6.01 - 18.23), respectively (p = 0.039). Furthermore, the
48	median aortic compliance (AC) and aortic distensibility (AD) in individuals with
49	T2DM were 4.07 (2.28-7.44) and 3.08 (1.57-5.26), respectively, lower than those
50	in the control group, with median values of 6.40 (3.08-10.75) and 5.33 (2.80-9.79),
51	respectively. A longer diabetes duration was linked to a greater ASI (r = 0.43, p $<$
52	0.05), while the AS decreased (r = -0.37, p < 0.05).

53 **Conclusions:** Substantial variations in aorta elasticity indices were found 54 using M-mode echocardiography. More research is needed to investigate the 55 consequences of these discrepancies and their significance for clinical purposes.

56 Graphical Abstract

57 Introduction

mellitus Type 2 diabetes (T2DM) currently is emerging 58 an noncommunicable illness worldwide. According to the International Diabetes 59 Federation (IDF), the number of individuals with T2DM is expected to increase 60 globally, with 10.5% of people aged 20 to 75 years being diagnosed with diabetes 61 by 2021. By 2045, this figure will increase by 46%, with an estimated 783 million 62 people living with diabetes. Diabetes caused 2.3 million fatalities in the Western 63 Pacific region in 2021, the highest among all IDF regions. Among these, Vietnam 64 has almost 57 thousand diabetes-related fatalities in adults aged 20 to 79 years [1]. 65

Many studies have shown that T2DM patients experience changes in both 66 cardiac morphology and function over time after being diagnosed with the condition 67 [2,3]. In addition to damaging the heart, vascular remodeling has recently been 68 69 shown to occur in patients with diabetes patients. Numerous studies on diabetes mellitus have revealed a strong link between vascular remodeling and disease, 70 which is affected by a variety of variables [4-6]. The most important factors are 71 72 insulin resistance and dyslipidemia [7]. Furthermore, because diabetes mellitus involves the risk of persistent hyperglycemia, glucose creates covalent connections 73 74 with proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids by nonenzymatic glycosylation, also known as glycation. This irreversible process results in advanced glycation end products 75 (AGEs). These chemicals accumulate in the vascular wall and, with time, impair 76

the flexibility of blood vessels [8]. As diabetes progresses, its complications may 77 worsen. The duration of the condition (since first diagnosis) can be seen as the 78 natural progression of vascular complications that are also associated with an 79 80 increased risk of death from coronary artery disease or stroke due to structural abnormalities in the cardiovascular system. There are several invasive and 81 82 noninvasive procedures for measuring vascular elasticity. These include pulse pressure, invasive blood pressure, and monitoring variations in blood vessel size. 83 Imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, 84 85 and ultrasound provide noninvasive but effective methods for measuring arterial elasticity. Among these approaches, ultrasound stands out for its low cost, 86 ubiquitous availability, and broad applicability, making it the recommended tool 87 for measuring arterial elasticity. 88

89 Aortic elasticity, a measure of arterial stiffness, has been demonstrated to be a strong independent predictor of atherosclerosis and other cardiovascular events. 90 This shows that aortic flexibility could be a useful technique for detecting vascular 91 issues in their early stages [9]. However, most previous studies have concentrated 92 on Western or Caucasian populations. There is a considerable knowledge gap about 93 how a ortic elasticity may change in people with T2DM in populations of low- and 94 95 middle-income countries in general and specifically in the population of Vietnam. This study was conducted to investigate the indications of aortic elasticity in T2DM 96

patients and compare the aorta elasticity indices of T2DM patients and healthy
control participants to determine patterns of change. By analyzing these parameters,
this study aimed to gain valuable insights into how T2DM affects cardiovascular
health, especially aortic elasticity, and contributes to an effort to detect and reduce
disease burdens globally early.

102 Methods

103 Study population

This is a quantitative, comparative cross-sectional study with a comparison 104 group. We integrated components from the STROBE declaration to enhance the 105 quality of reporting observational studies [10]. This study included 109 subjects 106 who underwent echocardiography at the Cardiac Ultrasound Unit of the Hue 107 University of Medicine and Pharmacy from 15/04/2022 to 01/06/2023. The study 108 received approval from The Institutional Ethics Committee of Hue University of 109 110 Medicine and Pharmacy (Approval number: H2022/025) and was conducted under the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki 2013. Prior to participation, 111 written informed consent was obtained from each patient or their legal 112 113 representative.

Among the 109 participants, 47 were healthy subjects who underwent regular health check-ups, had no history of diabetes or cardiac pathology, and were

currently free from cardiac or diabetic conditions. Another 62 individuals were
diagnosed with T2DM and had no known comorbidities according to the diagnostic
criteria stipulated by the American Diabetes Association in 2022 [11].

Patients who refused to participate in the study, as well as those with known 119 comorbidities such as hypertension, were excluded. Patients with any other 120 cardiovascular disease (severe aortic stenosis, moderate to severe aortic 121 122 regurgitation. severe mitral valve stenosis. congenital heart disease. 123 cardiomyopathy, myocardial ischemia, reduced ejection fraction, arrhythmia, or chronic atrial fibrillation) were also excluded. We also excluded patients who had 124 pacemakers inserted, pregnant or breastfeeding women, people who suffered from 125 acute life-threatening medical illnesses, and those who had hazy or inadequate 126 ultrasound images. 127

128 Data collection

The data were collected using an ultrasound machine, paper medical records, and data collection forms to extract information from the study subjects. This process involved the following steps:

132 Clinical examination and blood tests

133	After consenting to participate in this study, the patient's medical history was
134	obtained, with an emphasis on characteristics such as the time of T2DM diagnosis
135	and blood sugar management at each visit.

136	Body mass index (BMI) was computed using the following formula: BMI =
137	weight (kg)/[height (m) × height (m)]. Body surface area (BSA) was calculated
138	using the formula of Du Bois [12]: BSA = $0.007184 \times (\text{weight})^{0.425} \times (\text{height})^{0.725}$.
139	Blood pressure was measured following the guidelines of the American Heart
140	Association in 2019 [13,14].

Blood samples for biochemical analysis were collected from fasting venous blood after waking. Biochemical tests were performed on a Roche Cobas E601 automated clinical chemistry analyzer at the Central Testing Unit of Hue University of Medicine and Pharmacy Hospital.

145 Transthoracic echocardiography

Our study utilized a specialized Affiniti 70 echocardiography machine from Philips, the Netherlands, with the ability to perform time-motion mode (M-mode), two-dimensional mode, continuous-wave Doppler, color Doppler, and tissue Doppler imaging. The ultrasound machine simultaneously recorded the electrocardiography data along with the echocardiographic images. Transthoracic 151 echocardiography was performed following the American Society of152 Echocardiography guidelines for adults [15].

153 Arterial stiffness indices

M-mode echocardiography recorded the diameter of the ascending aorta 3 cm above the aorta valve in a parasternal long-axis image.

156 Fig 1. The aorta was visualized in M-mode. AoD: end-diastolic diameter of the

157 ascending aorta; AoS: end-systolic diameter of the ascending aorta.

The end-diastolic diameter of the ascending aorta (AoD) was measured at the 158 peak of the R wave on the ECG, and the end-systolic diameter of the ascending 159 aorta (AoS) was measured at the maximum anterior motion of the aorta (see Fig 1). 160 161 The diameter of the ascending aorta was measured in centimeters (cm). Arterial stiffness was assessed using five indices: aortic strain (AS) % = 100 x (AoS – 162 AoD)/AoD, aortic stiffness index (ASI) = $\ln (SBP/DBP)/[(AoS - AoD)/AoD]$, 163 164 aortic compliance (AC) mm/mmHg = $[10 \times (AoS - AoD)]/(SBP - DBP)$, aortic distensibility (AD) mmHg⁻¹ = [1000 x 2 x (AoS – AoD)]/[AoD x (SBP – DBP)], 165 166 and aortic Peterson's elastic modulus (AEM) mmHg = $[(SBP - DBP) \times AoD]/(AoS)$ - AoD). Note: AoS refers to the aortic end-systolic diameter; AoD denotes the 167 aortic end-diastolic diameter; SBP represents systolic blood pressure; DBP stands 168 for diastolic blood pressure [16–18]. 169

170 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS software version 26 (IBM, 171 Armonk, NY, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine the 172 normality of the data. Normally distributed continuous variables are reported as the 173 means \pm standard deviations. Variables that were not normally distributed are 174 represented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs = $25^{\text{th}} - 75^{\text{th}}$ percentiles). 175 176 Categorical variables are represented as frequencies and percentages. Missing data were omitted from the analyses. Individuals with and without T2DM were 177 compared based on their baseline characteristics. Continuous variables were 178 179 analyzed using independent t tests, and the results are reported as the means \pm standard deviations. The Mann–Whitney nonparametric test was applied for the 180 181 analysis of variables that were not normally distributed. Categorical variables are 182 presented as n (%) and were compared using the chi-squared test.

To compare arterial elastic parameters between the T2DM and non-T2DM groups, the Mann–Whitney test was used for nonnormally distributed continuous variables. Spearman's correlation coefficient (r) and its related P value elucidated the correlation between continuous variables. All probability values were twosided, and P values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. We followed the SAMPL guidelines in our statistical analysis to prevent avoidable errors or omissions in reporting statistical data [19].

190 **Results**

191 Clinical and echocardiographic features

This study included 109 subjects, including 62 T2DM patients and 47 healthy
individuals who met the diagnostic criteria and composed the comparison group.
Our research revealed the following results.

195	There were no significant differences in age, sex, height, weight, BMI, or
196	BSA between the groups ($p > 0.05$). The differences in the left atrial diameter
197	(LAd), left ventricular mass index (LVMI), and ejection fraction (EF) between the
198	T2DM group and control group were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). Detailed
199	information regarding these indices is presented in Table 1.

200 Table 1. Anthropometric and echocardiographic characteristics of 201 participants by group

Characteristics	T2DM group	Comparison group	P value
	(n = 62)	(n = 47)	
Age (years)	61.63 ± 10.46	60.40 ± 7.08	0.491

Female (%)	40 (64.5%)	26 (55.3%)	0.561
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.26 ± 3.06	22.49 ± 2.35	0.657
BSA (m ²)	1.53 ± 0.15	1.56 ± 0.13	0.300
HbA1C (%)	10.92 ± 3.02	5.03 ± 0.38	<0.001
Duration of diabetes (years)	6.00 [4.00 – 14.25]	NA	
SBP (mmHg)	127.63 ± 8.85	115.1 ± 12.19	<0.001
DBP (mmHg)	72.63 ± 7.02	72.32 ± 7.59	0.824
LAd (cm)	3.15 ± 0.42	3.19 ± 0.45	0.645
LVMI (g/m ²)	107.31 ± 30.39	103.58 ± 23.08	0.488
LVEF (%)	67.92 ± 7.53	70.23 ± 5.27	0.075

Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.97 [3.63 – 6.49]	4.98 [4.15 – 5.00]	0.503
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.85 [1.06 – 2.95]	1.20 [1.05 – 1.25]	0.001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	0.96 [0.81 – 1.22]	1.25 [1.22 – 1.30]	< 0.001
LDL-C (mmol/L)	3.13 [1.96 - 3.67]	3.45 [2.73 – 3.55]	0.264

The values are presented as the means ± standard deviations, medians [Q25 - Q75] or numbers (%). T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; BSA: body surface area; BMI: body mass index; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; LAd: left atrial diameter: LVMI: left ventricular mass index; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

208 Aortic elasticity indices in the T2DM and control groups

The T2DM group had significantly lower AC, AD, and AS than did the control group. The T2DM patients also had significantly greater ASI and AEM than did the controls (p < 0.05). Additionally, the control group had a greater AS of 10.66

- 212 (6.01 18.23) than did the T2DM group at 8.21 (4.24 13.07). Detailed information
- is provided in **Table 2** and **Fig 2**.

Table 2. Computed aortic elasticity parameters of participants by group

Arterial elastic	T2DM group	Comparison group	P values
parameters	(n = 62)	(n = 47)	
AoS (mm)	31.20 ± 4.95	27.08 ± 4.67	< 0.001
AoD (mm)	28.40 ± 4.32	24.04 ± 4.97	< 0.001
AC (%mm/mmHg)	4.07 (2.28 - 7.44)	6.40 (3.08 - 10.75)	0.008
AD (‰mmHg ⁻¹)	3.08 (1.57 - 5.26)	5.33 (2.80 - 9.79)	< 0.001
AS (%)	8.21 (4.24 - 13.07)	10.66 (6.01 - 18.23)	0.039
ASI	6.10 (3.64 - 12.47)	3.79 (2.40 - 8.50)	0.003
AEM (Kpa)	86.68 (50.80 - 169.73)	50.00 (27.24 - 95.33)	< 0.001

215 The values are presented as the means \pm standard deviations or medians [Q25 -Q75]. T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; AoS: aortic end-systolic diameter; AoD: 216 aortic end-diastolic diameter; AC: aortic compliance; AD: aortic distensibility; AS: 217 218 aortic strain; ASI: aortic stiffness index; AEM: Aortic Peterson's elastic modulus Fig 2. Comparison of aortic elasticity indices. (A) Comparison of aortic 219 distensibility between the diabetes group and control group. (B) Comparison of 220 aortic compliance between the diabetes group and the control group. (C) 221 Comparison of strains between the diabetes group and control group. (D) 222 Comparison of the aortic stiffness indices between the diabetes group and the 223 control group. (E) Comparison of Peterson's elastic modulus between the diabetes 224 group and control group. T2DM: diabetes mellitus. 225

226 Correlations between indices of aortic elasticity and relevant 227 variables

An analysis was performed to explore the relationships between anthropometric and echocardiographic features and computed aortic indices. **Fig 3** visually illustrates the results in a heatmap. The results revealed that in the T2DM group, the duration of diabetes was associated with arterial elasticity indicators. A longer duration of diabetes was positively associated with greater ASI and AEM (Spearman's correlation coefficients of 0.43 and 0.43, respectively). In contrast,

AC, AD, and AS tended to decrease as diabetes duration increased (Spea	ırman's
------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------

correlation coefficients of -0.39, -0.43, and -0.37, respectively).

236 Fig 3. Correlations between anthropometric and echocardiographic features

and computed aortic indices. BSA: body surface area; BMI: body mass index;

238 HbA1c: glycated hemoglobin; LAd: left atrial diameter; RWT: relative wall

239 thickness; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LVMI: left ventricular mass

240 index; AC: aortic compliance; AD: aortic distensibility; AS: aortic strain; ASI:

241 aortic stiffness index; AEM: Aortic Peterson's elastic modulus.

242 **Discussion**

There is growing interest in studying the association between aortic 243 flexibility and cardiovascular disease. In contrast, the stage of myocardial 244 performance in diabetes and prediabetes patients remains overlooked [20]. Among 245 the wide range of choices for echocardiography, M-mode echocardiography is 246 still effective at capturing the motions and functions of the heart and surrounding 247 structures. Despite the better temporal resolution of M-mode echocardiography, it 248 is still being disregarded in international guidelines [21]. 249 Substantial efforts have been made to investigate the relationships between insulin 250 resistance (and T2DM) and aortic elastic characteristics. This study was performed 251 in Vietnam with a group of T2DM patients from low- and middle-income countries 252

to assess the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of M-mode echocardiography indaily practice.

255 Comparison of aortic elasticity indices between patients with T2DM

and healthy controls

It is generally known that vascular elasticity often begins to decline in middle 257 258 age and worsens over time [17]. Throughout the aging process, the elasticity of the 259 endothelium layer gradually decreases, which has a significant impact on the structure of the arteries due to reduced elastic fiber activity, particularly in major 260 arteries such as the aorta [22]. The deterioration of arterial elasticity is caused by a 261 variety of mechanisms, including the impairment of vasodilation mechanisms via 262 nitric oxide (NO) and the absence of ETB receptors, which increase the plasma 263 264 endothelin-1 concentration because these receptors contribute to endothelin-1 265 destruction [23,24].

In this study, we measured the elasticity of the aorta using five indices: AC, AD, ASI, AS, and AEM. The T2DM group had considerably lower AC, AD, and AS indices than did the comparison group but had higher ASI and AEM. This study successfully reproduced the findings previously reported by Malgorzata Dec-Gilowska et al [17]. Furthermore, our findings are consistent with those of Liu et al., who conducted a study on individuals with diabetes patients and concluded that

these patients are more likely to experience arterial stiffness than healthy individuals are [25]. According to Zapolski et al., patients with diabetes have greater ASI readings than healthy people, independent of whether their disease is accompanied by hypertension [26]. Another study by Aslan also confirmed that patients with prediabetes have a greater ASI than healthy people according to echocardiography [20].

An increased ASI is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease and 278 279 mortality [27]. Our findings are consistent with those of Dec-Gilowska et al., who reported that diabetes patients tend to have a significantly decreased AC. In parallel, 280 our investigation revealed a rise in ASI akin to the observations made by Dec-281 Gilowska et al [28]. We found that both the ASI and the AEM nearly doubled in 282 283 patients with T2DM compared to those in the control group. It is well known that the ASI is strongly correlated with the mean arterial pressure, and increased ASI 284 285 may account for the development of hypertension. However, this study is unable to shed light on the question of whether either ASI or hypertension precedes the other 286 in this progression among T2DM patients. 287

Despite major breakthroughs in T2DM treatment, the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality continues to increase [29]. Recent research has revealed a complex link between cardiovascular mortality risk and T2DM, which is thought to be caused in part by increased arterial stiffness [30]. The literature has shown that

people with T2DM have a much greater incidence of increased arterial stiffness 292 than individuals without diabetes [31]. This can be due to insulin resistance, 293 hyperglycemia, and persistent hyperinsulinemia. These drugs activate the renin-294 295 angiotensin-aldosterone system and enhance the expression of type 1 angiotensin receptors in vascular tissue, inducing arterial wall hypertrophy and fibrosis [32–34]. 296 297 Insulin resistance inhibits PI3-kinase-dependent enzyme signaling, which is involved in insulin metabolism, cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, motility, 298 survival, and intracellular transport, resulting in hyperinsulinemia. Furthermore, 299 300 decreased glucose tolerance increases glycation and changes the mechanical 301 characteristics of the artery wall's interstitial tissue via a variety of complex mechanisms [35,36]. Increased arterial stiffness causes irreversible pathogenic 302 loads, particularly in patients with diabetes. A constant increase in arterial stiffness 303 results in increased transfer of pulse pressure into the microcirculation, which is 304 directly associated with a greater risk of disorders such as hypertension, chronic 305 renal disease, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and stroke [37–40]. Our study 306 revealed substantial differences in AC, AD, AS, ASI, and AEM between 307 individuals with T2DM and individuals without diabetes, which suggests further 308 screenings and interventions for these patients are needed for better outcomes. 309

310 Correlations between aortic elasticity indices and diabetic conditions

311 Our study did not identify any correlation between the HbA1c level and 312 aortic elasticity indices (**Fig 3**).

The association between HbA1c, an indicator of long-term blood glucose regulation, and aortic stiffness seems to be constrained in certain studies [41–43]. This constraint can be explained by the fact that HbA1c measurements reflect only a snapshot of glucose levels attached to hemoglobin over the preceding three months rather than the comprehensive control of blood sugar over an extended period. Consequently, it may not accurately correlate with the development of arterial stiffness, which occurs gradually over time [44].

320 Emerging evidence suggests that blood glucose variability could exert a more significant impact on aortic stiffness. Individuals with diabetes, particularly those 321 with poorly managed blood glucose levels and significant variability, face an 322 elevated risk of aortic stiffness and related cardiovascular complications [45,46]. 323 Therefore, emphasizing the control of blood glucose fluctuations through 324 comprehensive diabetes management strategies is pivotal for mitigating the risk of 325 aortic stiffness and enhancing cardiovascular health outcomes among individuals 326 with diabetes. 327

Nevertheless, we did find significant correlations between the duration of diabetes and arterial elasticity indices as shown in the heatmap (**Fig 3**). A longer

duration of diabetes is linked to greater stiffness, whereas elasticity decreasesaccordingly.

In diabetes, the duration of T2DM is intricately linked to the progression of 332 disease complications [47]. In a study led by Agnoletti et al., a significant 333 correlation emerged between the duration of diabetes and the worsening of arterial 334 stiffness. Their meticulous findings revealed that the duration of diabetes correlates 335 with microvascular complications, regardless of renal function, and with 336 337 macrovascular complications due to the presence of increased aortic stiffness [48]. By recognizing the pivotal role of diabetes duration in the progression of arterial 338 stiffness, early diagnosis, and proactive treatment become paramount in optimizing 339 patient care and outcomes. 340

341 Limitations of the study

The single-center design and limited sample size constrain our study. The 342 small sample size is particularly restrictive, potentially introducing bias and 343 diminishing statistical power. Therefore, there is a need for multicenter research 344 with a larger sample size to obtain more vital clinical evidence. Additionally, our 345 study is only a cross-sectional investigation that can demonstrate associations but 346 not causal relationships. Hence, longitudinal studies are required to address this 347 issue. Furthermore, arterial stiffness parameters were measured in the central aorta, 348 whereas blood pressure was measured in peripheral arteries. However, due to 349

ethical considerations, our study solely relied on peripheral blood pressure measurements instead of invasive arterial pressure measurements. Additionally, given the circumstances at our research site, where alterations in medication regimens are common, our study did not assess the impact of different drug treatments on arterial stiffness. Thus, more significant and longer-term studies are needed to evaluate this aspect.

356 **Conclusions**

A notable difference in aortic elasticity indices was detected between the T2DM patient group and the control group via M-mode echocardiography.

359 **References**

360	1.	Magliano D, Boyko EJ. IDF diabetes atlas. 10th edition. Brussels: International
361		Diabetes Federation; 2021.

362	2.	Dang HNN, Thang VL, Binh AH. Evaluation of the relationship between left
363		atrial stiffness, left ventricular stiffness, and left atrioventricular coupling index
364		in type 2 diabetes patients: a speckle tracking echocardiography study. Front
365		Cardiovasc Med. 2024;11: 1372181. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2024.1372181
366	3.	Dang HNN, Luong TV, Tran TT. Evaluating left atrial function changes by

367 speckle tracking echocardiography in type 2 diabetes patients in Central

- 368 Vietnam: a cross-sectional comparative study. Egypt Heart J. 2024;76: 38.
- 369 doi:10.1186/s43044-024-00470-w
- 4. McCallinhart PE, Sunyecz IL, Trask AJ. Coronary Microvascular Remodeling
- in Type 2 Diabetes: Synonymous With Early Aging? Front Physiol. 2018;9:
- 372 1463. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.01463
- 5. Li Y, Liu Y, Liu S, Gao M, Wang W, Chen K, et al. Diabetic vascular diseases:
- 374 molecular mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. Sig Transduct Target Ther.
- 375 2023;8: 152. doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01400-z
- Ahmadizar F, Wang K, Roos M, Bos M, Mattace- Raso F, Kavousi M.
 Association between arterial stiffness/remodeling and new-onset type 2
 diabetes mellitus in general population. Diabetes Research and Clinical
 Practice. 2023;196: 110237. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2023.110237
- 7. Bornfeldt KE, Tabas I. Insulin Resistance, Hyperglycemia, 380 and Atherosclerosis. Cell Metabolism. 2011;14: 575-585. 381 doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011.07.015 382
- Prasad C, Davis KE, Imrhan V, Juma S, Vijayagopal P. Advanced Glycation
 End Products and Risks for Chronic Diseases: Intervening Through Lifestyle
 Modification. American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine. 2019;13: 384–404.
 doi:10.1177/1559827617708991

387	9.	Cavalcante JL, Lima JAC, Redheuil A, Al-Mallah MH. Aortic Stiffness.
388		Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011;57: 1511-1522.
389		doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.12.017
390	10.	von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke
391		JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
392		Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational
393		studies. Lancet. 2007;370: 1453-1457. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X
394	11.	American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2022
395		Abridged for Primary Care Providers. Clinical Diabetes. 2022;40: 10-38.
396		doi:10.2337/cd22-as01
397	12.	Du Bois D, Du Bois EF. A formula to estimate the approximate surface area if
398		height and weight be known. 1916. Nutrition. 1989;5: 303-311; discussion
399		312-313.
400	13.	Casey DE, Thomas RJ, Bhalla V, Commodore-Mensah Y, Heidenreich PA,
401		Kolte D, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC Clinical Performance and Quality Measures
402		for Adults With High Blood Pressure. Journal of the American College of
403		Cardiology. 2019;74: 2661-2706. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2019.10.001
404	14.	Muntner P, Shimbo D, Carey RM, Charleston JB, Gaillard T, Misra S, et al.
405		Measurement of Blood Pressure in Humans: A Scientific Statement From the

406		American	Heart	Association.	Hypertension	. 2019;73.								
407		doi:10.1161/I	HYP.0000000	000000087										
408	15.	Mitchell C, R	Mitchell C, Rahko PS, Blauwet LA, Canaday B, Finstuen JA, Foster MC, et al.											
409		Guidelines fo	Guidelines for Performing a Comprehensive Transthoracic Echocardiographic											
410		Examination	Examination in Adults: Recommendations from the American Society of											
411		Echocardiography. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography.												
412		2019;32: 1-64. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2018.06.004												
413	16.	Nemes A, Ge	eleijnse ML,	Forster T, Solim	an OII, Ten Cate	FJ, Csanády M.								
414		Echocardiogr	Echocardiographic Evaluation and Clinical Implications of Aortic Stiffness											
415		and Coronary	Flow Reserv	ve and their Rela	ation. Clin Cardiol	. 2008;31: 304–								
416		309. doi:10.1	002/clc.20252	2										
417	17.	Song X, Fan	L, Yan Z, Ru	ii Y. Echocardio	graphic evaluation	of the elasticity								
418		of the ascend	ling aorta in	patients with es	sential hypertensic	on. J of Clinical								
419		Ultrasound. 2	Ultrasound. 2021;49: 351-357. doi:10.1002/jcu.22925											
420	18.	Stefanadis C	C, Stratos C	, Boudoulas H	I, Kourouklis C,	Toutouzas P.								
421		Distensibility	of the ascend	ling aorta: compa	arison of invasive a	nd non-invasive								
422		techniques in	healthy men	and in men with	coronary artery di	sease. European								
423		Heart	Journa	al.	1990;11:	990–996.								
424		doi:10.1093/c	oxfordjournal	s.eurheartj.a0596	539									

425	19.	Lang TA, Altman DG. Basic statistical reporting for articles published in											
426		Biomedical Journals: The "Statistical Analyses and Methods in the Published											
427		Literature" or the SAMPL Guidelines. International Journal of Nursing											
428		Studies. 2015;52: 5–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2014.09.006											
429	20.	Aslan AN, Ayhan H, Çiçek ÖF, Akçay M, Durmaz T, Keles T, et al.											
430		Relationship between Aortic Stiffness and the Left Ventricular Function in											
431		Patients with Prediabetes. Intern Med. 2014;53: 1477–1484.											
432		doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.53.1720											
433	21.	Feigenbaum H. Role of M-mode Technique in Today's Echocardiography.											
434		Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography. 2010;23: 240-257.											
435		doi:10.1016/j.echo.2010.01.015											
436	22.	Petrovic DJ. The Influence of Aging-Related Decrease in Endothelial Function											
437		on the Stiffness of Peripheral Conducting Arteries. Journal for Vascular											
438		Ultrasound. 2023;47: 184–192. doi:10.1177/15443167231193164											
439	23.	Wilkinson IB, Webb DJ, Cockcroft JR. Nitric Oxide and the Regulation of											
440		Arterial Elasticity: Right Idea, Wrong Vascular Bed? Hypertension. 2002;39.											
441		doi:10.1161/01.HYP.0000014617.84543.CA											
442	24.	Kostov K. The Causal Relationship between Endothelin-1 and Hypertension:											
443		Focusing on Endothelial Dysfunction, Arterial Stiffness, Vascular											

444	Remodeling,	and	Blood	Pressure	Regulation.	Life.	2021;11:	986.
445	doi:10.3390/li	fe110	90986					

- 446 25. Liu J-J, Sum CF, Tavintharan S, Yeoh LY, Ng XW, Moh AMC, et al. Obesity
- 447 is a determinant of arterial stiffness independent of traditional risk factors in
- 448 Asians with young-onset type 2 diabetes. Atherosclerosis. 2014;236: 286–291.
- 449 doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.07.017

450	26. Song	Х-Т,	Rui Y-F, Fa	an L, Yan Z	-N. Echocardio	ographic Ass	ociation of
451	Epicar	dial A	dipose Tissu	ue with Asce	nding Aorta El	asticity in Pa	atients with
452	Туре	2	Diabetes	Mellitus.	Angiology.	2023;74:	325–332.
453	doi:10	.1177/	/0003319722	1098298			

454 27. Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Asmar R, Gautier I, Laloux B, Guize L, et al. Aortic
455 Stiffness Is an Independent Predictor of All-Cause and Cardiovascular
456 Mortality in Hypertensive Patients. Hypertension. 2001;37: 1236–1241.
457 doi:10.1161/01.HYP.37.5.1236

28. Dec-Gilowska M, Trojnar M, Makaruk B, Mosiewicz-Madejska B, Dzida G,
Mosiewicz J. Local elasticity of the aorta in patients with type 2 diabetes
mellitus. J Int Med Res. 2020;48: 030006052095264.
doi:10.1177/0300060520952643

462	29.	Tancredi M, Rosengren A, Svensson A-M, Kosiborod M, Pivodic A,
463		Gudbjörnsdottir S, et al. Excess Mortality among Persons with Type 2
464		Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373: 1720–1732. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504347
465	30.	Stehouwer CDA, Henry RMA, Ferreira I. Arterial stiffness in diabetes and the
466		metabolic syndrome: a pathway to cardiovascular disease. Diabetologia.
467		2008;51: 527-539. doi:10.1007/s00125-007-0918-3
468	31.	De Oliveira Alvim R, Santos PCJL, Musso MM, De Sá Cunha R, Krieger JE,
469		Mill JG, et al. Impact of diabetes mellitus on arterial stiffness in a
470		representative sample of an urban Brazilian population. Diabetol Metab Syndr.
471		2013;5: 45. doi:10.1186/1758-5996-5-45
472	32.	Salomaa V, Riley W, Kark JD, Nardo C, Folsom AR. Non-Insulin-Dependent
473		Diabetes Mellitus and Fasting Glucose and Insulin Concentrations Are
474		Associated With Arterial Stiffness Indexes: The ARIC Study. Circulation.
475		1995;91: 1432–1443. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.91.5.1432
476	33.	Scuteri A, Najjar SS, Muller DC, Andres R, Hougaku H, Metter EJ, et al.
477		Metabolic syndrome amplifies the age-associated increases in vascular
478		thickness and stiffness. Journal of the American College of Cardiology.
479		2004;43: 1388–1395. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2003.10.061

480	34.	Sutton-Tyrrell K, Newman A, Simonsick EM, Havlik R, Pahor M, Lakatta E,											
481		et al. Aortic Stiffness Is Associated With Visceral Adiposity in Older Adults											
482		Enrolled in the Study of Health, Aging, and Body Composition. Hypertension.											
483		2001;38: 429-433. doi:10.1161/01.HYP.38.3.429											
484	35.	Jesmin S, Sakuma I, Hattori Y, Kitabatake A. Role of Angiotensin II in Altered											
485		Expression of Molecules Responsible for Coronary Matrix Remodeling in											
486		Insulin-Resistant Diabetic Rats. ATVB. 2003;23: 2021–2026.											
487		doi:10.1161/01.ATV.0000094235.78783.D1											
488	36.	Rizzoni D, Porteri E, Guelfi D, Muiesan ML, Valentini U, Cimino A, et al.											
489		Structural Alterations in Subcutaneous Small Arteries of Normotensive and											
490		Hypertensive Patients With Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus.											
491		Circulation. 2001;103: 1238-1244. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.103.9.1238											
492	37.	Kaess BM, Rong J, Larson MG, Hamburg NM, Vita JA, Levy D, et al. Aortic											
493		Stiffness, Blood Pressure Progression, and Incident Hypertension. JAMA.											
494		2012;308: 875. doi:10.1001/2012.jama.10503											
495	38.	Sedaghat S, Mattace-Raso FUS, Hoorn EJ, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Ikram											
496		MA, et al. Arterial Stiffness and Decline in Kidney Function. Clinical Journal											
497		of the American Society of Nephrology. 2015;10: 2190-2197.											
498		doi:10.2215/CJN.03000315											

499	39.	Van Bortel LM, Laurent S, Boutouyrie P, Chowienczyk P, Cruickshank JK,
500		De Backer T, et al. Expert consensus document on the measurement of aortic
501		stiffness in daily practice using carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity. Journal of
502		Hypertension. 2012;30: 445-448. doi:10.1097/HJH.0b013e32834fa8b0
503	40.	Zhong Q, Hu M-J, Cui Y-J, Liang L, Zhou M-M, Yang Y-W, et al. Carotid-
504		Femoral Pulse Wave Velocity in the Prediction of Cardiovascular Events and
505		Mortality: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Angiology.
506		2018;69: 617-629. doi:10.1177/0003319717742544
507	41.	Chen Y, Huang Y, Li X, Xu M, Bi Y, Zhang Y, et al. Association of arterial
508		stiffness with HbA1c in 1,000 type 2 diabetic patients with or without
509		hypertension. Endocr. 2009;36: 262-267. doi:10.1007/s12020-009-9221-z
510	42.	Martagón AJ, Fermín-Martínez CA, Antonio-Villa NE, Mehta R, Almeda-
511		Valdés P, Vargas-Vázquez A, et al. Arterial Stiffness and HbA1c: Association
512		Mediated by Insulin Resistance in Hispanic Adults. IJERPH. 2022;19: 11017.
513		doi:10.3390/ijerph191711017
514	43.	Gilstrap LG, Chernew ME, Nguyen CA, Alam S, Bai B, McWilliams JM, et
515		al. Association Between Clinical Practice Group Adherence to Quality
516		Measures and Adverse Outcomes Among Adult Patients With Diabetes. JAMA
517		Netw Open. 2019;2: e199139. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.9139

518	44.	Sun R, Duan Y, Zhang Y, Feng L, Ding B, Yan R, et al. Time in Range
519		Estimation in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes is Improved by Incorporating
520		Fasting and Postprandial Glucose Levels. Diabetes Ther. 2023;14: 1373–1386.
521		doi:10.1007/s13300-023-01432-2
522	45.	Fang Q, Shi J, Zhang J, Peng Y, Liu C, Wei X, et al. Visit-to-visit HbA1c
523		variability is associated with aortic stiffness progression in participants with
524		type 2 diabetes. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2023;22: 167. doi:10.1186/s12933-023-
525		01884-7
526	46.	Ceriello A, Lucisano G, Prattichizzo F, La Grotta R, Franzén S, Svensson A-
527		M, et al. HbA1c variability predicts cardiovascular complications in type 2
528		diabetes regardless of being at glycemic target. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022;21:
529		13. doi:10.1186/s12933-022-01445-4
530	47.	De Jong M, Woodward M, Peters SAE. Duration of diabetes and the risk of
531		major cardiovascular events in women and men: A prospective cohort study of
532		UK Biobank participants. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2022;188:
533		109899. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2022.109899
534	48.	Agnoletti D, Mansour AS, Zhang Y, Protogerou AD, Ouerdane S, Blacher J,
535		et al. Clinical interaction between diabetes duration and aortic stiffness in type

536	2	diabetes	mellitus.	J	Hum	Hypertens.	2017;31:	189–194.
-----	---	----------	-----------	---	-----	------------	----------	----------

537 doi:10.1038/jhh.2016.58



Fig1

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org	/10.1101/20	24.06	4308579; t	his version	posted Jun	e 7, 2024.	The copyrig	ght holder fo	or this prep	rint								
(which was not certified by peer	It is mad	Duration of the sur	Vinder, who under a Co	C-BY 4.0 In		license .	o display tr			ILVMI	AC	AD	AS	ASI	AEM			1.0
Age	1.00	0.41	-0.17	-0.08	-0.11	-0.01	0.19	0.09	0.31	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.11	-0.10	-0.10			1.0
Duration of diabetes	0.41	1.00	-0.11	-0.09	-0.04	0.20	0.13	0.01	0.17	-0.01	-0.39	-0.43	-0.37	0.43	0.43			
BSA	-0.17	-0.11	1.00	0.49	-0.11	0.53	-0.25	-0.09	-0.12	0.06	0.12	0.04	0.09	-0.05	-0.04			
BMI	-0.08	-0.09	0.49	1.00	-0.18	0.16	-0.01	-0.15	-0.08	0.34	0.04	0.03	0.04	-0.01	-0.03			0.5
HbA1C	-0.11	-0.04	-0.11	-0.18	1.00	-0.10	-0.35	-0.06	-0.07	-0.21	0.16	0.15	0.17	-0.16	-0.15			
LAd	-0.01	0.20	0.53	0.16	-0.10	1.00	-0.16	-0.01	0.07	0.11	-0.13	-0.18	-0.07	0.12	0.18			
RWT	0.19	0.13	-0.25	-0.01	-0.35	-0.16	1.00	0.06	0.18	0.17	0.04	0.04	0.04	-0.02	-0.04			
LVEF	0.09	0.01	-0.09	-0.15	-0.06	-0.01	0.06	1.00	-0.09	-0.07	0.04	0.07	0.04	-0.07	-0.07			0
LAVI	0.31	0.17	-0.12	-0.08	-0.07	0.07	0.18	-0.09	1.00	0.25	0.03	0.04	0.09	-0.05	-0.04			
LVMI	0.05	-0.01	0.06	0.34	-0.21	0.11	0.17	-0.07	0.25	1.00	0.10	0.09	0.18	-0.14	-0.09			
AC	0.09	-0.39	0.12	0.04	0.16	-0.13	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.10	1.00	0.97	0.94	-0.96	-0.97			
AD	0.10	-0.43	0.04	0.03	0.15	-0.18	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.97	1.00	0.96	-0.99	-1.00			-0.5
AS	0.11	-0.37	0.09	0.04	0.17	-0.07	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.18	0.94	0.96	1.00	-0.97	-0.96			
ASI	-0.10	0.43	-0.05	-0.01	-0.16	0.12	-0.02	-0.07	-0.05	-0.14	-0.96	-0.99	-0.97	1.00	0.99			
AEM	-0.10	0.43	-0.04	-0.03	-0.15	0.18	-0.04	-0.07	-0.04	-0.09	-0.97	-1.00	-0.96	0.99	1.00			_1.0

Fig3

.0