1

1 Medical care needs and experiences of LGBTQ populations in Japan

2

- 3 Hiroyuki Otani^{1,2*}, Tatsuya Morita^{3,4}, Hongja Kim⁵, Kaori Aso⁶, Misuzu Yuasa⁷, Hideyuki Kashiwagi⁸, Kiyofumi Oya⁹, Akemi
- 4 Shirado Naito¹⁰
- ⁵ ¹Department of Palliative and Supportive Care, St. Mary's Hospital, Kurume city, Fukuoka, Japan
- 6 ²Department of Palliative Care Team and Palliative and Supportive Care, National Hospital Organization Kyushu Cancer
- 7 Center, Fukuoka, Japan
- 8 ³Department of Palliative and Supportive Care, Seirei Mikatahara General Hospital, Hamamatsu, Japan
- 9 ⁴Research Association for Community Health, , Hamamatsu, Japan
- ⁵Division of Environmental and Preventive Medicine, Department of Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tottori University,
- 11 Yonago City, Tottori, Japan
- ⁶Department of Nursing, Ehime Prefectural Niihama Hospital, Niihama City, Ehime, Japan
- ¹³ ⁷Seirei Hospice, Seirei Mikatahara General Hospital, Hamamatsu city, Shizuoka, Japan
- ¹⁴ ⁸Department of Transitional and Palliative Care, Iizuka Hospital, Iizuka City, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁹Peace Home Care Clinic Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan
- ¹⁰Department of Palliative Care, Miyazaki Medical Association Hospital, Miyazaki City, Miyazaki, Japan
- 17 *Corresponding author
- 18 Email: cas60020@gmail.com (HO)
- 19 Short running title: LGBTQ and medical care needs

20

21 Abstract

This study comprehensively examines the medical needs and experiences of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender/transsexual, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) population—also referred to as sexual minorities—in Japan. It aims to bridge the existing gap in understanding the experiences of LGBTQ populations in accessing healthcare, and inform future healthcare reforms. In November 2022, a cross-sectional, web-based, anonymous survey was conducted targeting LGBTQ populations across Japan who had previously visited a medical institution. Participants were recruited through a private, web-based, survey company. Inclusion cNRTFiaThieProteint/seings20@yeasersht/bat heaved, thav ingiter tex reforms/view.theaPhysiklas, and usepteriories. Figure discomfort related to gender identity, gender, or sexual orientation. Survey items were developed based on previous research and preliminary

2

interviews, to assess demographic characteristics, experiences with medical care, and preferences for end-of-life care. A total of 103 respondents with a diverse demographic profile from across Japan participated in the survey. Among sexual minorities whose gender identity differed from their birth assignment, significant challenges were reported, including distressful experiences related to assigned hospital rooms and difficulties accessing certain medical departments. LGBTQ individuals with non-heterosexual orientations also faced barriers to partner involvement in medical decision-making and care. This study underscores the need for healthcare reforms to address the challenges faced by LGBTQ individuals in Japan. Healthcare providers should create a more equitable and affirming healthcare system for all individuals, irrespective of sexual orientation or gender identity.

36

37 Introduction

The lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender/transsexual, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) community is also referred to as sexual minorities [1]. Previously published surveys estimate that approximately 3%–12% of adults in the U.S. population identify as LGBTQ [2].

41

Previous studies have highlighted the pervasive disparities faced by LGBTQ patients, including higher incidence of certain health 42 conditions, such as mental health disorders, most commonly anxiety and depression [3], compared with their heterosexual and 43 cisgender counterparts [2]. Despite these alarming trends, little attention has been paid to LGBTO individuals in the field of 44 medical healthcare [4, 5], leading to many cases of patients becoming seriously ill owing to hesitance in seeking medical attention, 45 fearing that medical personnel may not understand their needs [2]. Historically, LGBTQ individuals have encountered numerous 46 barriers to accessing quality healthcare stemming from societal stigma, discrimination, and a lack of understanding within the 47 medical community [2]. Patients have perceived certain healthcare professionals as openly homophobic or harboring unconscious 48 biases regarding sexuality and gender that are either incorrect or offensive [6]. Additionally, 1 out of every 4 LGBT individuals 49 encounters inappropriate curiosity from healthcare professionals owing to a lack of comprehension, while 1 in 8 experiences 50 differential treatment from healthcare providers because of their LGBT status. Furthermore, 1 in 7 individuals within the LGBT 51 community refrains from seeking treatment because of concerns regarding facing discrimination [7]. This background has led to 52 the publication of various guidelines and best practices for the consideration of LGBTO patients [2, 8-10]. However, despite these 53 efforts, LGBTQ populations continue to face considerable challenges in accessing culturally competent and inclusive care, 54 particularly in regions where societal attitudes toward them remain entrenched in prejudice and discrimination. 55

56

57 In Japan, societal attitudes toward LGBTQ individuals have been a subject of international scrutiny and criticism. While progress

58	has been made in recent years, particularly with the passage of anti-discrimination laws and recognition of same-sex partnerships
59	in certain municipalities, currently, same-sex marriage is not legally recognized in Japan. There remains a pervasive cultural
50	reluctance to openly address issues related to sexual orientation and gender identity [11].

61

LGBTQ populations have also experienced marginalization within the medical field. For instance, the lack of scholarly attention to the healthcare needs of LGBTQ older adults in Japan [12] highlights a critical gap in our understanding of the unique challenges faced by this demographic. Similarly, the dearth of LGBTQ-inclusive education within Japanese medical schools [13, 14] underscores the need for systemic reforms to ensure that future healthcare professionals are equipped to provide competent and affirming care to LGBTQ patients.

67

This situation has resulted in a lack of empirical data regarding the experiences and needs of LGBTQ patients in Japan [12]. This has created a significant gap in our understanding of the experiences of LGBTQ patients. This study aims to address this gap by comprehensively examining the medical and care needs and experiences of LGBTQ patients.

71

72 Methods

73 Study design and setting

In November 22, 2022, we conducted a cross-sectional, web-based, anonymous nationwide survey of LGBTQ populations in
 Japan with a history of visiting a medical institution.

76

77 **Participants and procedure**

Participants were recruited through a private, web-based, survey company (MACROMILL; Tokyo, Japan). The inclusion criteria 78 were as follows: (a) being 20 years of age or older; (b) having a history of visiting a medical institution; (c) To identify sexual 79 minority (LGBTQ) individuals, respondents were asked the question based on previous research [3, 15], "Have you ever 80 experienced distress or discomfort or dysphoria regarding your physical gender, gender identity, or sexual orientation?" Those 81 who answered "yes" were identified as "sexual minority (LGBTQ)." The survey company recruited potential participants across 82 Japan through convenient sampling and sent questionnaires to them online. Responses to the questionnaire were deemed as 83 consent to participate. Participation was voluntary and confidentiality was maintained throughout all investigations and analyses. 84 85 The participants received a small reward from the survey company for completing the questionnaire, and no follow-up was

required after the survey completion. We chose MACROMILL as the market research company based on previous research [16,
 17].

88

89 Measurements

Survey items were developed based on previous research [9, 10] and preliminary interviews to explore needs and experiences
with medical care, including issues in the hospital environment and key personnel related to the LGBTQ populations.

92

93 **Demographic and clinical characteristics**

Data on demographic and clinical characteristics were obtained from the self-reported questionnaires. The data included: 1) age;
2) gender ([i] gender assigned at birth, [ii] gender identity: What gender do you identify yourself as?, and [iii] sexual orientation:
Which genders are you sexually attracted to?); and 3) marital status.

97

98 Questions regarding medical care for LGBTQ populations

We surveyed individuals identifying as LGBTQ about their experiences with medical care using a 5-point scale ranging from 99 "not distressful" to "very distressful" along with the free-text section. Specifically, respondents shared instances such as, "I found 00 it challenging to visit the outpatient clinic owing to discomfort with being addressed by my name," "It took me a long time to see 01 a doctor because I was worried that I would have a bad experience regarding sexual matters," "It was difficult to visit departments 02 with a strong sexual impression, such as gynecology and urology," "The doctor or nurse approached me based on my external 03 gender (e.g., do you have a boyfriend/girlfriend)," "I encountered negative comments about my gender identity or sexual 04 orientation from doctors or nurses," "In the hospital, I was assigned to a room of a gender different from the one I identify as," 05 "I had to use a toilet that was labeled for a different gender from the one I identify as." "It was difficult to ask a nurse or caregiver 06 for assistance with toileting," "It was difficult to ask nurses or caregivers for assistance with changing clothes or personal-hygiene 07 tasks (showering, wiping, etc.)," and "I wanted to talk to other patients who were experiencing similar challenges but couldn't 08 09 bring myself to do so."

10

Additionally, individuals with partners of a non-heterosexual sexual orientation were asked to rate the following experiences on a 5-point scale ranging from "not distressful" to "very distressful": "I wanted to discuss my medical condition with my partner but could not," "I wanted my partner to be involved in deciding my treatment plan with me but could not," "I could not introduce

my partner as my partner (had to introduce my partner as a friend)," "I was required to have an explanatory consent form signed by a family member and was informed that my partner's consent was not acceptable (the hospital required consent from a blood relative)," "In deciding on the treatment plan, the opinions of blood relatives were assigned more weight than those of my partner," "During hospitalization, I was unable to obtain permission for my partner to visit," and "My partner could not accompany me during surgery."

19

20 Questions regarding participants' wishes for medical institutions during end of life

Participants were surveyed using a 5-point scale, ranging from "not important" to "essential," regarding their preferences for medical institutions during end of life. Specifically, respondents were asked to rate the importance of the following factors: "Being able to be hospitalized in a room corresponding to one's gender identity," "Opening up to medical personnel about one's gender identity and receiving appropriate support," "If you have a partner, you can have your medical condition explained to both you and the partner, with the partner receiving the information on your behalf as a family member," "If you have a partner, you are allowed to meet with them and stay together overnight," and "If you have a partner, they can be present at your deathbed."

28

29 Statistical analyses

Broadly, sexual minorities (LGBTQ) are defined as individuals who have experienced concerns or discomfort regarding their 30 physical, mental, or sexual orientation. The following question was used in a study conducted in March 2015 to identify sexual 31 minority individuals: "Have you ever experienced distress or discomfort or dysphoria regarding your physical gender, gender 32 identity, or sexual orientation?" [3, 15]. Additionally, a statistical analysis was performed defining those whose gender identity 33 differed from their assigned gender at birth and those whose sexual orientation diverged from heterosexuality [2, 3, 15]. 34 Descriptive statistical analysis was employed as the analysis methodology. This involved computing the frequency of responses 35 such as "a little distressful," "distressful," and "very distressful" on a 5-point scale ranging from "not distressful" to "very 36 distressful." Similarly, the frequency of responses indicating importance, ranging from "not important" to "essential," including 37 "important" and "very important," was also computed. This is an exploratory descriptive study; the required number of cases for 38 the expected frequency of 20% to have a confidence interval width of 15% was 109. Therefore, the target number of cases for 39 the study was set at 100. 40

41

42 **Results**

A total of 103 patients from all 8 regions of Japan responded to the survey. The respondents whose assigned gender at birth, gender identity (currently perceived gender), and sexual orientation (the gender to which they were attracted) were male amounted to 56 (54.4%), 51 (49.5%), and 45 (43.7%), respectively. The most frequent age group (years) was 40–49 (30.0%), with 31 respondents, followed by 50–59 (23.3%), with 24 respondents. Further, 62 (60.2%) of the respondents were married (Table 1).

- The most frequent response among the 103 people who identified as sexual minorities in a broad sense (LGBTQ) was, "It was
- difficult to visit departments with a strong sexual impression, such as gynecology and urology" (51.5%; Table 2).
- 49 Table 1. Participants' characteristics (n=103)

Gender n (%)	male	female	others
Gender assigned at birth	56 (54.4)	47 (45.6)	0 (0)
Gender identity (currently identified gender)	51 (49.5)	50 (48.5)	2 (1.9)
Sexual orientation (preferred gender)	45 (43.7)	51 (49.5)	7 (6.8)
Age		n (%)	
20–29 years		20 (19.4)	
30–39 years		19 (18.4)	
40–49 years		31 (30.0)	
50–59 years		24 (23.3)	
60 years and above		9 (8.7)	
Marital status		n (%)	
Unmarried		41 (39.8)	
Married		62 (60.2)	

50

51 Table 2. Experience when visiting a medical institution

	Those whose gender	identity differed from their
Sexual minorities	assigned gender at birth and those whose sexual	
(LGBTQ)	orientation diverged from heterosexuality	
n = 103	People whose gender	People whose sexual
	identity differed from	orientation was other than

		their birth assignment	the opposite sex	
		n = 12	n = 32	
	Among those whose	experience was, "It was	a little distressful," "It was	
	distressful," and "It w	as very distressful."		
	% (95% CI) n/Numbe	r of participants		
I found it challenging to visit the				
outpatient clinic owing to	29.7 (18.5–40.9)	54.5 (25.1–84.0) 6/11		
discomfort with being addressed	19/64	54.5 (25.1–84.0) 6/11	26.9 (9.9–44.0) 7/26	
by my name				
It took me a long time to see a				
doctor because I was worried that	29.5 (18.1–41.0)		36.0 (17.2–54.8) 9/25	
I would have a bad experience	8/61	63.6 (35.2–92.1) 7/11		
regarding sexual matters				
It was difficult to visit				
departments with a strong sexual	51.5 (39.5–63.6)		65.4 (47.1–83.7) 17/26	
impression, such as gynecology	34/66	80.0 (55.2–104) 8/10		
and urology				
The doctor or nurse approached				
me based on my external gender	26.3 (14.9–37.7)	40.0 (0.6, 70.4) 4/10	30.8 (13.0–48.5) 8/26	
(e.g., do you have a	15/57	40.0 (9.6–70.4) 4/10		
boyfriend/girlfriend)				
I encountered negative comments				
about my gender identity or	29.6 (17.5–41.8)		30.4 (11.6–49.2) 7/23	
sexual orientation from doctors	16/54	66.7 (35.9–97.5) 6/9		
or nurses				
In the hospital, I was assigned to	28.0 (25.0, 51.0)			
a room of a gender different from	38.9 (25.9–51.9)	88.9 (68.4–109) 8/9	47.8 (27.4–68.2) 11/23	
the one I identify as	21/54			
I had to use a toilet that was	35.2 (22.4–47.9) 1	66.7 (35.9–97.5) 6/9	30.4 (11.6–49.2) 7 /23	

8

labeled for a different gender	9/54		
from the one I identify as			
It was difficult to ask a nurse or			
arragiver for aggistance with	40.7 (27.6–53.8) 2	667(250,075)6/0	26 1 (16 2 56 5) 9 /22
caregiver for assistance with	2/54	66.7 (35.9–97.5) 6/9	36.4 (16.3–56.5) 8 /22
toileting	-/0		
It was difficult to ask nurses or			
caregivers for assistance with			
	38.5 (25.2–51.7) 2		
changing clothes or personal		66.7 (35.9–97.5) 6/9	36.4 (16.3–56.5) 8 /22
hypigna taglya (ahayyaning	0/52		
hygiene tasks (showering,			
wiping, etc.)			
I wanted to talk to other patients			
who were experiencing similar	24.4 (11.9–37.0) 1		
who were experiencing similar	27.7(11.7-37.0)	44.4 (12.0–76.9) 4/9	13.8 (1.2–26.3) 4 /29
challenges but could not bring	1/45		
myself to do so			

- 52
- 53

54 Those whose gender identity differed from their assigned gender at birth and

55 those whose sexual orientation diverged from heterosexuality

Twelve people whose gender identity differed from their assigned gender at birth and 32 people whose sexual orientation was other than the opposite sex were analyzed as sexual minorities (LGBTQ)

58

59 Those whose gender identity differed from their assigned gender at birth

Among those whose gender identity differed from their assigned gender at birth, the most frequent responses were "In the hospital, I was assigned to a room of a gender different from the one I identify as" (88.9%), and "It was difficult to visit departments with a strong sexual impression, such as gynecology and urology" (80.0%), indicating that many respondents felt that it was distressful to see a doctor. In addition, in the free-text section, participants expressed their hardships, such as, "When my name was called out loud, I did not like the reactions of the people around me, and it thus bothered me" (Table 2).

9

66 Those whose sexual orientation diverged from heterosexuality

- Among those whose sexual orientation diverged from heterosexuality, "My partner could not accompany me during surgery" (58.3%), "I wanted to discuss my medical condition with my partner but could not" (57.1%), and other issues were raised (Table 3).
- 70

Table 3. Experiences of individuals with partners of a non-heterosexual sexual orientation at medical institutions (n = 23)

	Among those whose experience was, "It was a little
	distressful," "It was distressful," and "It was very
	distressful."
	% (95% CI) n/Number of participants
I wanted to discuss my medical condition with my	
partner but could not	57.1 (31.2–83.1) 8/14
I wanted my partner to be involved in deciding my	
treatment plan with me but could not	42.9 (16.9–68.8) 6/14
I could not introduce my partner as my partner (had	
to introduce my partner as a friend)	40.0 (15.2–64.8) 6/15
I was required to have an explanatory consent form	
signed by a family member and was informed that	
the partner's consent was not acceptable (the hospital	28.6 (4.9–52.2) 4/14
required consent from a blood relative or relative)	
In deciding on the treatment plan, the opinions of	
blood relatives or relatives were assigned more	30.8 (5.7–55.9) 4/13
weight than those of my partner	
During hospitalization, I was unable to obtain	
permission for my partner to visit.	23.1 (0.2–46.0) 3/13
My partner could not accompany me during surgery	58.3 (30.4–86.2) 7/12

72

73 Wishes in case of end-of-life stage

Of the respondents, 75.0% and 65.6% of those whose gender identity differed from their assigned gender at birth and those whose

- sexual orientation diverged from heterosexuality, respectively, indicated "important" in their response to the statement, "If you
- reach the end-of-life stage, your hope for medical institutions is that if you have a partner, they can be present at your deathbed."
- 77 (Table 4).
- 78
- 79 Table 4. Wishes for medical institutions in case of end-of-life stage

		Those whose gender identity differed from their		
		assigned gender at birth and those whose sexual		
		orientation diverged from heterosexuality		
	(LGBTQ)	People whose gender	People whose sexual	
	n = 103	identity differed from	orientation was other than	
		their birth assignment	the opposite sex	
		n = 12	n = 32	
	Answers "I	mportant," "Very importar	t," and "Essential."	
		% (95% CI) n / total for eac	ch group	
Being able to be hospitalized in a room corresponding to one's gender identity		50.0 (21.7–78.3) 6/12	56.3 (39.1–73.4) 18/32	
Coming out to medical personnel about one's gender identity and receiving appropriate support	62.1 (52.8–71.5) 64 /103	66.7 (40.0–93.3) 8/12	65.6 (49.2–82.1) 21/32	
If you have a partner, you can have your medical condition explained to both you and your partner, with your partner receiving the information on your behalf as a family member	56.3 (46.7–65.9) 58 /103	58.3 (30.4–86.2) 7/12	53.1 (35.8–70.4) 17/32	
If you have a partner, you are allowed to meet with them and stay together overnight	58.3 (48.7–67.8) 60/103	75.0 (50.5–99.5) 9/12	56.3 (39.1–73.4) 18/32	

11

If you have a partner, they can be	63.1 (53.8–72.4)			
		75.0 (50.5–99.5) 9/12	65.6 (49.2-82.1) 21/32	
present at your deathbed	65/103			

80

81 **Discussion**

This cross-sectional, web-based, anonymous study revealed the medical care needs and experiences of the LGBTQ populations in Japan. The findings of this study shed light on the significant challenges faced by LGBTQ individuals in Japan when accessing medical care, particularly regarding their gender identity, sexual orientation, and the inclusivity of healthcare environments. The results underscore the need for healthcare reforms that prioritize cultural competency and sensitivity to the needs of sexualminority populations.

87

The experiences reported by sexual minorities reveal systemic challenges within the healthcare system. For instance, the finding that a proportion of respondents felt distress when assigned to hospital rooms based on their assigned gender at birth highlights the need for gender-affirming practices within medical institutions. Similarly, difficulties in accessing certain departments, such as gynecology and urology, owing to their strong sexual impression, indicate the presence of institutional barriers that hinder LGBTQ individuals from seeking necessary medical care. These facts have also been reported in previous studies overseas as adults in the U.S. population who identify as LGBTQ [2], where best practices for the consideration of LGBTQ patients are being considered [2, 8-10].

95

Moreover, the experiences reported by LGBTQ individuals with non-heterosexual sexual orientations underscore the importance of inclusive policies regarding partner involvement in medical decision-making and care. The inability of partners to accompany respondents during surgery and the challenges in discussing medical conditions with partners highlight the lack of recognition and support for LGBTQ relationships within healthcare settings. Similar experiences have been found in the context of COVID-19, and education to strive toward inclusive person-centered care in sensitive and respectful ways, including legal aspects, is necessary for medical professionals [10].

02

Furthermore, the preferences expressed by respondents regarding end-of-life care emphasize the significance of inclusive practices that honor individuals' chosen identities and relationships. The desire for partners to be present at each other's deathbeds reflects the importance of acknowledging and respecting LGBTQ relationships in the context of medical care, particularly during sensitive and vulnerable stages of life. These wishes are desired by LGBTQ individuals and also among family members of

07 cancer patients [18]. Medical professionals must be consistently considerate of all patients.

08

This study's findings contribute to the growing body of research on LGBTQ-healthcare disparities in Japan and underscore the urgent need for systemic reforms. Healthcare providers and policymakers must prioritize LGBTQ-inclusive education and training to ensure that all individuals receive equitable and affirming care, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Additionally, healthcare institutions should implement policies and practices that promote gender-affirming care, support LGBTQ relationships, and create inclusive environments that foster trust and comfort among LGBTQ patients.

14

15 Strengths and limitations

This study's strengths include the demographic profile of the respondents that reflects a diverse range of ages and marital statuses, indicating that LGBTQ individuals seeking medical care in Japan represent a broad spectrum of the population. However, this study has some limitations. First, as we applied convenient sampling via the Internet using a private, web-based company and analyzed the first 103 responders, we could not extract a response rate or the characteristics of non-responders. This sampling method may introduce selection bias. Second, we used questionnaires that had not been validated. Future research must address the individuality of each LGBTQ population, including large-scale studies.

22

23 Conclusions

This study highlights the pervasive challenges faced by LGBTQ individuals in accessing quality healthcare in Japan, and underscores the importance of addressing these disparities through comprehensive reforms. By prioritizing cultural competency, inclusivity, and sensitivity to the needs of sexual-minority populations, healthcare providers and policymakers can work toward creating a healthcare system that is truly equitable and affirming for all individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity.

29

30 Author contributions

Study concept and design: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki Kashiwagi,
Kiyofumi Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.

Collection and/or assembly of data: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki
 Kashiwagi, Kiyofumi Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.

13

- Statistical analysis: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki Kashiwagi, Kiyofumi
 Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.
 Data analysis and interpretation: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki Kashiwagi,
- 38 Kiyofumi Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.
- 39 Drafting of the manuscript: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki Kashiwagi,
- 40 Kiyofumi Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.
- 41 Final approval of the manuscript: Hiroyuki Otani, Tatsuya Morita, Hongja Kim, Kaori Aso, Misuzu Yuasa, Hideyuki Kashiwagi,
- 42 Kiyofumi Oya, Akemi Shirado Naito.
- 43

44 Funding

- This study was supported by the Japan Hospice and Palliative Care Research Foundation (Japan Hospice and Palliative Care
 Research Foundation 2022 Survey and Research Grant).
- 47

48 **Declarations**

49 Ethical considerations

- This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration and ethical guidelines for medical and health research involving human subjects presented by the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare in Japan. It was also approved by the local Institutional Review Board of St. Mary's Hospital (Approval number 22-0804).
- 53

54 **Consent to participate**

Responses were considered consent to participate. Responses to the questionnaire were voluntary, and confidentiality was maintained throughout all investigations and analyses.

57

58 **Consent for publication**

- 59 All authors agree to the manuscript submission.
- 60

61 **Conflict of interest**

62 The authors declare no competing interests.

63

64 Data availability

65 The datasets supporting the study results are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

66

67 **References**

- Rosser BRS, Weideman BCD, Rider GN, Jatoi A, Ecklund AM, Wheldon CW, et al. Sexual and gender minority
 invisibility in cancer studies: A call for effective recruitment methods to address cancer disparities. J Clin
 Oncol. 2023;41(33):5093–5098. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.23.00655
- Quinn GP, Sanchez JA, Sutton SK, Vadaparampil ST, Nguyen GT, Green BL, et al. Cancer and lesbian, gay, bisexual,
 transgender/transsexual, and queer/questioning (LGBTQ) populations. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015;65(5):384–400.
 https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21288
- Dhejne C, Vlerken RV, Heylen G, Arcelus J. Mental health and gender dysphoria: A review of the literature. Int Rev
 Psychiatry. 2016;28(1):44–57. https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2015.1115753
- Schabath MB, Blackburn CA, Sutter ME, Kanetsky PA, Vadaparampil ST, Simmons VN, et al. National survey of
 oncologists at National Cancer Institute-designated comprehensive cancer centers: Attitudes, knowledge, and practice
 behaviors about LGBTQ patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(7):547–558. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.00551
- 5. Wheldon CW, Schabath MB, Hudson J, Bowman Curci M, Kanetsky PA, et al. Culturally competent care for sexual and
 gender minority patients at national cancer institute-designated comprehensive cancer centers. LGBT
 Health. 2018;5(3):203–211. https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2017.0217
- Malta M. LGBTQ+ health: Tackling potential health-care professionals' bias. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2023;9:1.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-022-00413-2
- Webster R, Drury-Smith H. How can we meet the support needs of LGBT cancer patients in oncology? A systematic
 review. Radiography. 2021;27(2):633–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2020.07.009
- Fasullo K, McIntosh E, Buchholz SW, Ruppar T, Ailey S. LGBTQ older adults in long-term care settings: An integrative
 review to inform best practices. Clin Gerontol. 2022;45(5):1087–1102. https://doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2021.1947428
- 9. Maingi S, Bagabag AE, O'Mahony S. Current best practices for sexual and gender minorities in hospice and palliative

15

89 care settings. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2018;55(5):1420–1427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.12.479

- Rosa WE, Shook A, Acquaviva KD. LGBTQ+ inclusive palliative care in the context of COVID-19: Pragmatic
 recommendations for clinicians. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2020;60(2):e44–e47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.04.155
- 11. The Lancet. Coercive sterilisation of transgender people in Japan. Lancet. 2019;393(10178):1262.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30739-1
- Bratt AS, Hjelm A-CP, Wurm M, Huntley R, Hirakawa Y, Muraya T. A systematic review of qualitative research literature
 and a thematic synthesis of older LGBTQ people's experiences of quality of life, minority joy, resilience, minority stress,
 discrimination, and stigmatization in Japan and Sweden. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20:6281.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20136281
- Yamazaki Y, Aoki A, Otaki J. Prevalence and curriculum of sexual and gender minority education in Japanese medical
 school and future direction. Med Educ Online. 2020;25(1):1710895. https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2019.1710895
- Yoshida E, Matsushima M, Okazaki F. Cross-sectional survey of education on LGBT content in medical schools in Japan.
 BMJ Open. 2022;12(5):e057573. https://10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057573
- Cooper K, Russell A, Mandy W, Butler C. The phenomenology of gender dysphoria in adults: A systematic review and
 meta-synthesis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2020;80:101875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101875
- Mori M, Fujimori M, Ishiki H, Nishi T, Hamano J, Otani H, et al. Adding a wider range and "hope for the best, and prepare
 for the worst" statement: Preferences of patients with cancer for prognostic communication. Oncologist. 2019;24(9):e943–
 e952. https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0643
- Morita T, Kiuchi D, Ikenaga M, Abo H, Maeda S, Aoyama M, et al. Difference in opinions about continuous deep sedation
 among cancer patients, bereaved families, and physicians. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2019;57(3):e5–e9.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2018.11.025
- Otani H, Yoshida S, Morita T, Aoyama M, Kizawa Y, Shima Y, et al. Meaningful communication before death, but not
 present at the time of death itself, is associated with better outcomes on measures of depression and complicated grief
 among bereaved family members of cancer patients. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2017;54(3):273–279.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.07.010