1 Body image and appearance distress among military veterans and civilians with an injury-related

2 visible difference: A comparison study

3 Short Title: Body image and appearance distress among injured military veterans.

- 4 Mary Keeling^{1#a*}, Diana Harcourt¹, Paul White ², Sarah Evans¹, Victoria S. Williams, V^{1#b}, James
- 5 Kiff^{1#c}, & Heidi Williamson¹.
- ⁶ ¹ Centre for Appearance Research, Department of Social Sciences, Faculty of Health and Applied
- 7 Sciences, University of the West of England, Frenchay Campus, Bristol, BS16 1QY, England.
- 8 ² Department of Engineering, Design and Mathematics, Faculty of Environment and Technology,
- 9 University of the West of England.
- 10 ^a Current address: Defence and Security, RAND Europe, Eastbrook House, Shaftesbury Road,
- 11 Cambridge, CB2 8BF.
- 12 ^b Current address: North Bristol NHS Trust, Southmead Road, Bristol, BS10 5NB
- ^c Current address: Clinical Psychologist, Outlook Team, Southmead Hospital, North Bristol NHS Trust,
 England.
- 15
- 16 *Corresponding author
- 17 Email: <u>mkeeling@randeurope.org</u> (MK)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

18 Abstract

19	Injuries sustained during military conflict can significantly impact appearance. Yet, little is known
20	about the psychosocial experiences of veterans with conflict-related appearance-altering injuries
21	(AAI) and whether current civilian interventions are appropriate for veterans. To inform the
22	development of acceptable and effective support for veterans with appearance-related psychosocial
23	difficulties, this study aimed to identify factors associated with psychosocial adjustment to an
24	altered appearance among both veterans and civilians with AAI. A cross-sectional online survey
25	study was conducted. N = 121 veterans and N = 197 civilians who had sustained AAI took part.
26	Multivariable regression was used to examine factors related to adjustment in the two
27	groups. Overall, both groups reported similar experiences, with some key exceptions. Veterans
28	reported significantly greater depression and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, significantly lower
29	Body Image (BI) psychological flexibility, BI life engagement, and higher perceived appearance-
30	related stigma. BI psychological flexibility was identified as a key predictor of appearance-related
31	outcomes in both groups. Self-compassion predicted social anxiety and depression symptoms in
32	both groups, but only appearance outcomes among civilians. Based on these identified associated
33	factors, it is suggested that both groups, but particularly veterans, may benefit from an Acceptance
34	and Commitment Therapy-based intervention, including explicit self-compassion activities, and
35	practical social skills training.
36	Key words: Body image; Veterans; Combat-injuries; Acceptance; Commitment.
37	
38	
39	
40	

41

42 Introduction

43	Military conflict injuries can profoundly affect appearance. UK Ministry of Defence data shows that
44	between April 2005 and March 2020, 10,100 current and former military personnel received
45	compensation due to 'injury, wounds, and scarring' (1), and 362 received traumatic or surgical
46	amputations (1). Despite substantial research on altered appearance (visible
47	difference/disfigurement) from various causes, there has been limited focus on the unique
48	experiences and support requirements of military personnel and veterans with appearance-altering
49	injuries (AAI) from military conflict.
50	Outside the military context, looking different from the norm due to factors like burn injuries or limb
51	loss can lead to enduring psychosocial challenges including negative effects on body image, self-
52	esteem, and confidence (Clarke et al., 2014) and feelings of anger and hostility (3). Common
53	difficulties include coping with stigmatizing reactions from others such as staring, inappropriate
54	comments, avoidance, and unsolicited questions (4), concerns around the impact on intimate
55	relationships when disclosing their difference, and on employment (5). While some individuals
56	effectively navigate these challenges and report personal growth (Martin et al., 2017), others
57	struggle, experiencing social avoidance and isolation in response to others' reactions and the fear of
58	negative judgment (Clarke et al, 2014).
59	Whilst there is considerable similarity in the challenges reported by people with an unusual
60	appearance irrespective of its type or cause (6), understanding the factors influencing adjustment is
61	crucial. Research with burns patients highlights the importance of paying particular attention to
62	appearance-related issues when supporting people who have endured traumatic injuries (Shepherd,
63	2015). Among 1,265 non-military adults with diverse visible differences, Rumsey et al (2014) showed
64	the significance of psychosocial factors, rather than demographics or condition-related aspects.
65	Specifically, outlook on life (disposition) and feeling accepted and supported by others positively

66 influenced outcomes including social anxiety and avoidance. Valence (the value attributed to

appearance) and the importance (salience) of appearance in the self-concept may also contribute to
adjustment (Clarke et al., 2014). A review of research with burns patients found that avoidant coping
was associated with negative adjustment, and active or acceptance coping with positive adjustment
(9). Recently, psychological flexibility, characterized by the ability to stay present despite distressing
thoughts, feelings, and bodily sensations, and aligning decisions with personal values (10), has been
examined in relation to appearance-related distress amongst adults with burns (Shepherd et al.,
2019) and other visible differences (12).

14 It's crucial not to assume that the experience of having an altered appearance, the factors affecting outcomes, and support requirements, are identical for individuals with military-related injuries compared to those without military backgrounds. To date, there has been limited exploration of the impact of being part of an organisation where physical prowess is key, and of the influence of military culture that values mental toughness and deplores signs of weakness.

79 A recent review (13) found only four papers specifically addressing body image and psychosocial 80 issues among military personnel and veterans with AAI. Weaver et al. (2014) discovered positive 81 correlations between body image distress and depressive symptoms, with a trend towards body 82 image distress being associated with symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among 83 military veterans with AAI. Similarly, Akyol et al. (2013) found body image distress was linked to self-84 reported depressive symptoms among 60 Turkish military personnel with lower limb amputations. 85 Two case studies of US military male upper limb amputees (16) mentioned the impact of appearance 86 concerns on social relationships. While most research in this area has primarily involved men, Cater 87 (2012) noted body image issues among six US servicewomen who experienced traumatic limb loss 88 and described loss of confidence and concern about how they were viewed by the public, including 89 challenges around meeting new people and dealing with hurtful comments. Factors including a 90 positive attitude, social support, personal courage, resilience, humor, and military training and culture, positively influenced their recovery. Keeling et al.'s (2020) review concluded that injured 91 92 personnel and veterans with AAI can encounter psychosocial challenges akin to those experienced

by civilians with visible differences. However, additional factors, including military culture, may
influence their adjustment and resilience to appearance-related challenges, impacting their support
needs.

96 We previously interviewed 20 veterans and 3 serving personnel who had sustained AAI during 97 deployment or training. While some experiences aligned with civilian evidence, these interviews 98 revealed distinct military-related nuances in challenges, protective factors, coping strategies, and 99 barriers to and preferences for support (18). Notably, our interviews indicated that acceptance and 100 adjustment could hinge on perceptions of injury likelihood and a 'hierarchy of injuries' where 101 combat-related injuries were viewed as 'heroic.' Peer support, an optimistic disposition, compassion, 102 and drawing comparisons with others' injuries were also influential. Participants wanted support 103 with appearance-related challenges but expressed concerns that, in a military context, raising 104 appearance-related issues might be seen as vanity. This underscores the existence of unmet support 105 needs among UK military personnel and veterans with AAI, emphasizing the necessity to better 106 understand adjustment in this group.

107 To date, no large-scale studies have compared people with AAI from military and civilian 108 backgrounds, to determine the extent to which the psychosocial impact of their AAI and the factors 109 influencing their adjustment are similar or different. To address this gap in the literature and to 110 inform the provision of evidence-based psychosocial support to meet any specific needs of military 111 veterans with AAI, this exploratory study aimed to answer the question, to what extent are the 112 factors that predict psychosocial adjustment to an altered appearance among UK military veterans 113 injured in a military conflict context similar or different to those that predict adjustment among a 114 comparative sample of adults without a military background?

For this study, and based on the presented existing literature, adjustment was conceptualised as
satisfaction with appearance (body esteem), the impact of appearance-related concerns on
participation in social, recreational, and vocational activities (body image life engagement), the level

118	of concern about being negatively judged based on appearance (fear of negative appearance
119	evaluation), as well as social anxiety and depression. Factors believed to influence adjustment
120	included body image psychological flexibility, self-compassion, engagement in meaningful activities,
121	perceived social support, perceived appearance-related stigmatization, dispositional outlook
122	(optimism), the use of appearance-fixing behaviors (efforts to conceal, alter, or avoid one's
123	appearance), and symptoms of PTSD. Consequently, hypotheses of associations between
124	appearance and psychological outcomes and the proposed predictor factors, for civilians and
125	veterans, are:
126	Body Image psychological flexibility (a domain-specific version of psychological flexibility), self-
127	compassion, engagement in meaningful activities, optimism and social support will be positively
128	associated with body esteem and body image life engagement, and negatively associated with fear
129	of negative appearance evaluation, social anxiety, and depression. Appearance fixing, perceived
130	stigma and PTSD will be negatively associated with body esteem and body image life engagement,
131	and positively associated with fear of negative appearance evaluation, social anxiety, and
132	depression.
133	Method
134	Design
135	A cross-sectional survey was utilised including two participant groups, veterans (those who have left
136	military service), and civilians with AAI.
137	Sample and Participants
138	Following Tabachnick & Fidell (2013), based on the inclusion of eight validated predictor variables,
139	we aimed to recruit 120 veterans who had sustained an AAI. This target was inflated to 200 to allow

140 non-validated predictor variables to probe the model. For comparability we additionally aimed for a

141 target sample of 200 civilians with AAI who had never served in the military.

142 Participants were 121 veterans who had sustained an appearance-altering (as they perceive it) 143 physical injury either during operational deployment as a result of enemy action (e.g., blast or 144 gunshot) or an accident (e.g., a motor vehicle accident); or during field training in preparation for operational deployment. This must have been during active service in the UK Armed Forces any time 145 146 since 1969 (to include those injured in 'The Troubles' in Northern Ireland, the Falklands conflict, and 147 First Gulf War, as well as more recent conflicts). The injury must have occurred at least one year 148 prior to participation, excluding those in acute medical recovery and rehabilitation. The civilian 149 sample consisted of 197 adults with no military background who sustained an AAI (as they perceive 150 it) such as a burn or limb loss, when aged 18 years or older, between 1969 and at least one year 151 prior to data collection.

152 Materials

153 Two surveys were created for data collection from veteran and civilian participants. The surveys were identical, except for veteran-specific questions covering military service details and 154 155 experiences identified in a prior qualitative study as potential predictors of body image and 156 appearance-related outcomes. The research team, drawing on expertise in visible differences, body 157 image, and military health, and the results of the previous qualitative study conducted by the 158 authors (18), developed the surveys with input from Public and Patient Involvement (PPI) advisors, 159 with relevant lived experiences. The surveys covered: Socio-demographics; Military career (veterans 160 only); Injury-specific questions; Being a veteran (veterans only); Health and fitness; Appearance 161 concerns; Social wellbeing; Mental health; Family and relationships; Support experiences, barriers to care and support preferences (veterans only). 162

163 Procedure

After obtaining ethics approval from the University of the West of England, University Research
 Ethics Committee (Ref: HAS.19.12.086) and Health Research Authority (IRAS ID: 257931), participant
 recruitment followed a six-pronged approach: 1. Veteran participants from a prior qualitative study

167 (18) were invited via email, having consented to future research contact. 2. Relevant veteran and 168 civilian support organizations shared study information via their usual communication modes and/or 169 shared study invites directly with eligible service users. 3. Relevant NHS services across England and 170 Wales, including outpatients' clinics for burns and prosthetics services shared study adverts via their 171 usual communication modes and/or shared study invites directly with eligible service users, and/or 172 distributed paper surveys to individuals attending the service in-person. 4. Study adverts shared on social media via Twitter, Instagram, and Facebook. 5. Study information posted on Reddit. 6. 173 174 Advertisements placed in relevant veteran magazines. 175 Potential participants, upon seeing the study advert or receiving an invitation letter, accessed a 176 secure online survey via Qualtrics. Emailed or paper versions of the survey were also made available 177 for those preferring these mediums. Participant recruitment took place between March and November 2020. In both versions, participants provided written informed consent on the first page 178 179 of the survey before progressing to the survey questions. Due to the recruitment approach, the 180 exposure count for study invitations is unknown, rendering a response rate unavailable. 181 Measures 182 Outcome measures

Six validated outcome measures (three appearance and three mental health) were included in bothsurvey versions.

185 Appearance Outcome measures

186 The Appearance Esteem subscale (10 items) of the Body Esteem Scale for Adults and Adolescents

187 (BESAA-AE) (Mendelson et al., 2001) assesses general feelings and satisfaction with appearance

- 188 (e.g., "I worry about the way I look") using a 5-point scale (never to always). Mean scores, indicating
- 189 greater body esteem with higher values, were computed. The scale has demonstrated reliability and
- validity in adults and adolescents (20), and internal consistency in this study was high ($\alpha = 0.932$),

191 consistent with prior research in adults with visible differences due to burn scarring ($\alpha = 0.95$;

192 Lawrence et al., 2006)

193 The Body Image Life Engagement Questionnaire (BILEQ; Diedrichs et al., 2016) measures the wider 194 impact of appearance-related concerns on various life domains, focusing on behavioral avoidance 195 due to negative feelings about one's appearance. Participants were asked, "In the past two weeks, 196 how much have worries or feeling bad about the way you looked stopped you from doing any of the 197 following things?" The 11-item scale covered social, recreational, and educational/vocational 198 activities (e.g., "doing physical activity/sport") on a 4-point scale (1 = hasn't stopped me at all to 4 = 199 stopped me all the time). All items were reverse-scored, and a mean was calculated. Higher scores 200 denoted greater life engagement. The scale demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.924$), 201 consistent with prior research with female adults (Diedrichs et al., 2016). 202 The Fear of Negative Appearance Evaluation Scale (FNAES; Lundgren et al., 2004) assesses 203 participants' concern about others evaluating them negatively based on their appearance. Six 204 statements (e.g., "I am concerned about what others think of my appearance") are rated on a 5-205 point scale (1 = Not at all to 5 = Extremely). Scores are summed with a higher score indicating 206 greater fear of negative appearance evaluation. The scale has demonstrated construct and 207 predictive validity in undergraduate college students (Lundgren et al., 2004) and good internal 208 consistency in adults born with cleft lip and palate (Ardouin et al., 2020). In this study, internal 209 consistency was high ($\alpha = 0.938$).

210 Mental Health Outcome Measures

Two sub-scales from The Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescents (SAS-A; La Greca & Lopez, 1998) measured social anxiety: social avoidance and distress in new situations (**SAS-new**) and social avoidance and distress in general (**SAS-general**). SAS-new includes six statements (e.g., "I get nervous when I meet new people"), while SAS-general comprises four (e.g., "I feel shy even with peers I know very well"). Both are rated on a 5-point scale (1 = not at all, 5 = all the time), with

216 higher scores indicating greater social anxiety. Satisfactory Cronbach's alphas have been reported 217 for the subscales (0.83 for SAS-new and 0.76 for SAS-general; La Greca & Lopez, 1998). Internal 218 consistency in the current study was $\alpha = 0.913$ for SAS-new and $\alpha = 0.872$ for SAS-general. 219 The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9; Kroenke et al., 2001) assesses depression symptoms 220 across the nine Diagnostic and Statistical Manual criteria. Individuals rate the extent to which they 221 have been bothered by each symptom over the past two weeks using a 4-point scale ('not at all' to 222 'nearly every day'). Scores range from 0 to 27, with 5–9 indicating 'mild depression' and a clinical cut 223 point score of 10 or above indicating moderate to severe depression. The PHQ-9 demonstrates 224 strong internal consistency (Cronbach's α = 0.89) and test-retest reliability (r = 0.84) (Kroenke et al., 225 2001). Internal consistency in the current study was $\alpha = 0.919$. 226 **Explanatory** variables 227 Explanatory variables included eight validated measures aligned with the study hypothesis and 12 228 non-validated items (created by the authors) identified as potentially associated with appearance 229 and body image experiences in a previous qualitative study (reference removed for blinded review). 230 The eight validated measures included: 231 The Body Image Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-5 (BIAAQ-5; (27)) measures body image 232 flexibility (fully experiencing perceptions, sensations, feelings, thoughts, and beliefs about the body 233 while intentionally pursuing effective action in other life domains), a component of positive body 234 image. It consists of five items, addressing both acceptance and action. All items are reverse-scored 235 and summed, with higher scores indicating greater body image flexibility. This version has 236 demonstrated good reliability and validity in a mixed-gender sample of US adults (27). Minor edits 237 were made, focusing on changed appearance instead of weight and body shape. Internal consistency 238 in the current study was α = 0.919. 239 The Appearance Fixing sub-scale of the Body Image Coping Strategies Inventory (BICSI-AF; Cash et

al., 2005) measures participants' tendencies to cover, camouflage, seek reassurance, and engage in

241 social comparison regarding the aspect(s) of their appearance that concerns them. The 10-item sub-242 scale includes statements like "I make a special effort to hide or cover up what's troublesome about 243 my looks," rated between 0 = definitely not like me and 3 = definitely like me. Higher mean scores 244 indicate increased use of appearance-fixing coping strategies. It has demonstrated good internal consistency, construct, and convergent validity among college students (Cash et al., 2005) and good 245 246 internal consistency in a sample of mixed-gender adults with a visible difference following head and neck cancer surgery (29). Internal consistency in the current study was α = 0.907. 247 248 The Perceived Stigmatisation Questionnaire (PSQ; Lawrence et al., 2006) measures stigmatisation 249 behaviours commonly experienced by people with a visible difference. It comprises three subscales: 250 1. Absence of friendly behaviour, 2. Confused/staring behaviour, and 3. Hostile behaviour. A high 251 score reflects high-perceived stigmatisation. The PSQ has demonstrated good internal consistency, 252 and convergent and discriminant validity among a sample of mixed gender adult burn survivors (Lawrence et al., 2006). Internal consistency in the current study was α = 0.899. 253 254 Self-compassion was measured using the 12-item Short Form of the Self Compassion Scale (SCS-SF; 255 (Raes, Pommier, Neff, & Gucht, 2011). A mean score is calculated with higher scores indicating 256 higher levels of self-compassion. The SCS-SF exhibits near perfect correlation with the full SCS and 257 demonstrates good internal consistency with both Dutch and American university students (30). For this study, minor edits were made to improve readability as PPI advisors fed back that some items 258 259 were difficult to understand. Internal consistency in the current study was $\alpha = 0.895$. 260 The Engagement in Meaningful Activities Survey (EMAS) is a validated 12-item measure of positive 261 subjective experiences associated with day-to-day activities such as meaningful occupations ($\alpha = .91$; 262 (Eakman, 2012)). Internal consistency in the current study was α = 0.924. 263 The Life Orientation Test – Revised (LOT-R) is a 10-item measure of dispositional optimism which has 264 been shown to possess adequate predictive and discriminant validity (32). Internal consistency in the

265 current study was $\alpha = 0.848$.

266 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (**MSPSS**) is a validated measure of subjectively 267 assessed social support across three areas of family, friends, and significant others (33). Internal 268 consistency in the current study was $\alpha = 0.941$.

269 The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) items from the International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ; 270 Cloitre et al., 2018) consisted of six questions covering three symptom clusters: (1) re-experiencing 271 in the here and now, (2) avoidance, and (3) sense of current threat. Additionally, three indicators of 272 functional impairment associated with these symptoms were assessed. Respondents were asked to 273 provide a brief description of 'the experience that troubles them the most,' and questions were 274 answered in relation to that experience. A PTSD diagnosis requires endorsement of at least one 275 symptom from each of the three clusters and at least one indicator of functional impairment. In 276 addition to calculated PTSD case scores, summed PTSD scores were also calculated from individual 277 item scores. The ITQ has been validated for use in the general population (Cloitre et al., 2018) and 278 with treatment-seeking veterans (Murphy et al., 2020). Internal consistency in the current study was 279 high ($\alpha = 0.952$).

280 The 12 non-validated items included six veteran-specific items: (1) "Expecting to be injured" (six-281 point ordinal scale); (2) "Being seen as an injured veteran is a good thing" (five-point ordinal scale); 282 (3) "Having other veterans close by who are recovering from similar injuries and changes to their 283 appearance has been helpful" (five-point ordinal scale); (4) which operational deployment they were 284 injured on e.g. Afghanistan; (5) "I would not feel comfortable talking about my altered appearance 285 following my injury while in a military environment" (six-point ordinal scale); (6) whether injury was 286 on deployment or training (binary); and six items common to both the veteran and civilian sample: 287 (7) Number of years since injury; (8) "Being physically fit is important to me" (six-point ordinal scale); 288 (9) I tend to say to myself "it could have been worse" (Binary); (10) "I feel disgust when I think about 289 or look at my appearance / body" (five-point ordinal scale); (11) "I use humour to defuse awkward 290 conversations about my injury and/or altered appearance" (4-point ordinal scale); (12) "How

291 noticeable do you think your scars / limb loss are to other people when you are fully clothed?" (11-

292 point ordinal scale).

293 Method of analysis

Each of the six outcome measures was compared between the veteran sample and the civilian
sample using the separate variances independent samples t-test (Welch test) and effect size
quantified using Hedge's g (with 0.2 <= g < 0.5, 0.5 <= g < 0.8, and g >= 0.8 being indicative of a small,
medium or large effect respectively).

298 Multivariable least squares regression was used to relate the validated explanatory variables to 299 outcome variables in both samples separately, and in all cases underlying statistical assumptions for 300 valid inference were examined with the plan of taking remedial action should the need arise. 301 Explanatory variables formed a mutually correlated system in both the veteran and civilian sample 302 however the extent of multicollinearity, measured by the variance inflation factors (VIFs) were not a 303 cause for concern for model building and interpretation (maximum VIF in the veteran population 304 3.33; maximum VIF in the civilian population 3.32). The maximum absolute value for the extent of 305 skew in model residuals in any model did not cast doubt on appropriateness of model (maximum 306 skew = 0.72) however, one model in the civilian sample indicated a large degree of excess kurtosis 307 (4.02) consistent with the presence of potential outliers. Inspection of Normal quantile-quantile plots indicated the presence of two outliers in the model with the highest kurtosis, but otherwise all 308 309 other normal guantile-guantile plots did not cause doubt on model appropriateness. A sensitivity 310 analysis undertaken by temporarily deleting the two ill-fitting observations left statistical conclusions 311 unchanged, and as such models using all available data are reported.

Multivariable regression is based on complete cases. N = 113 out of a sample of 121 veterans gave complete case data (i.e., 7% missing data in the veteran sample for at least one regression analysis). N = 161 out of a sample of 198 civilians gave complete case data (i.e., 19% missing data for at least one regression analysis). Little's MCAR tests was consistent with data missing completely at random

316	(χ^2 = 77.920, df = 100, p = 0.950). Full specification multiple imputation chained equations (MICE)
317	was used with 100 imputations. The pooled regression results using MICE did not materially alter
318	statistical conclusions except in two marginal cases. We therefore report the available case
319	regression and additionally report the multiple imputed regression summaries in supplementary
320	material.
321	Each of the six regression models were further probed in an exploratory manner using the 12 non-
322	validated measures to determine if their inclusion would significantly improve the model and hence
323	give an insight to additional factors affecting adjustment.
324	All statistical analysis was conducted using IMB SPSS for Windows, Version 24.0.
325	Results
326	Table 1 summarises demographic and injury information of the participants. Veterans (median age =
327	42 years, age range 28 to 75 years) were typically older than civilians (median age = 35 years, age
328	range 18 to 80) with the veteran sample being predominantly male (93.4%) and married (63.6%)
329	compared with the civilian sample (60.1% male; 35.4% married). Both samples were predominantly
330	of white ethnicity (96.7% veteran sample: 87.4% civilian sample). A higher proportion of civilians
331	held graduate (37.8%) and postgraduate (28.7%) qualifications compared to veterans (21.9%
332	graduate, 15.8% postgraduate). Civilians predominantly had scarring as their visible difference
333	(85.9%), whereas veterans had a mix of scarring and limb loss (52.9%) or just scarring (46.3%). Sixty
334	percent of veterans had been injured during enemy action. A third of the civilians were injured in
335	road traffic or other transport-related accidents (32.3%), and just under a third in sport-related
336	incidents (28.3%). Veterans on average had more years since their injury (18.11 mean years)
337	compared to the civilians (8.02 mean years). Most veterans had served in the Army (82.6%) and
338	were in the regular force at the time of their injury (98.3%). Among veterans, 47.9% held non-
339	commissioned officer (NCO) rank, and 34.7% held ranks lower than NCO at the time of their injury.
340	Table 1 Demographics of sample

Gender	Veterans n (%)	Civilians n (%)
Male	113 (93.4)	119 (60.1)
Female	8 (6.6)	77(38.9)
Prefer to self-describe	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)
Prefer not to say	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)
Ethnicity		
Asian or Asian British	0 (0.0)	5 (2.5)
Black, African,	1 (0.8)	3 (1.5)
Black British or Caribbean		
Mixed or multiple ethnicities	0 (0.0)	9 (4.5)
White	117 (96.7)	173 (87.4)
Other ethnicity	0 (0.0)	4 (2.0)
Prefer not to say	3 (2.5)	4 (2.0)
Relationship Status		
Single	14 (11.6)	54 (27.3)
Relationship less than 6-months	3 (2.5)	4 (2.0)
Relationship more than 6-months	1 (0.8)	23 (11.6)
Living with partner	13 (10.7)	37 (18.7)
Married	77 (63.6)	70 (35.4)
Separated	8 (6.6)	3 (1.5)
Divorced	5 (4.1)	4 (2.0)
Widowed	0 (0.0)	3 (1.5)
Education		
GCSEs or less	42 (36.8)	30 (16.0)
A-levels or equivalent	29 (25.4)	33 (17.6)
Degree or equivalent	25 (21.9)	71 (37.8)
Postgraduate qualifications	18 (15.8)	54 (28.7)
Type of injury		
Both limb-loss and scarring	64 (52.9)	26 (13.3)
Limb-loss	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)
Misshapen body part	0 (0.0)	2 (1.0)
Scars	56 (46.3)	170 (85.9)
Cause of injury		
Accident on deployment	23 (19.0)	-
Enemy action on deployment	73 (60.3)	-
Training accident	25 (20.7)	-
Accident/Explosion	-	29 (14.6)
Assault/Violent crime	-	16 (8.1)
Burns	-	31 (15.7)
Road traffic accident/Transport	-	64 (32.3)
Sport-related	-	58 (29.3)
Years since injury (mean; SD)	18.11 (11.68)	8.02 (9.49)
Range	2-32	1 - 48
Military characteristics	-	-
Service Branch		-
Naval Services	16 (13.2)	-
Army	100 (82.6)	-
Royal Air Force	5 (4.1)	-
Rank		-
Other ranks	42 (34.7)	-

NCO	58 (47.9)	-
Officer	21 (17.4)	-
Engagement type		-
Regular	117 (98.3)	-
Reserve	2 (1.7)	-
Years served in the military (mean; SD)	13.31 (7.62)	-
Range	2 - 32	-
Years served when injured (mean; SD)	7.53 (6.08)	-
Range	0 - 28	-

341

342	Table 2 summarises means and standard deviations for each validated measure for the veteran and
343	civilian samples. The statistical comparison for mean differences is in Table 3. There are significantly
344	lower sample means for veterans on the Body Image Life Engagement Questionnaire (BILEQ; p <
345	.001, g = 0.64) and on the Body Image Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (BIAAQ; $p < .001$, g =
346	0.46). There are significantly higher sample means for veterans on the Patient Health Questionniare-
347	9 (PHQ- 9; p = .007, g = 0.35), the Body Image Coping Strategies Inventory – Appearance Fixing
348	(BICSI-AF; $p = .036$, $g = 0.25$), the Perceived Stigmatisation Questionnaire (PSQ; $p < .001$, $g = 0.51$)
349	and the International Trauma Questionnaire (ITQ ; p = .001, g = 0.42).

350 Table 2 Mean score and standard deviation of all measures for veteran and civilian samples

Measure	Vetera	n		Civiliar	1	
	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD
BESAA-AE	121	2.23	0.988	198	2.42	0.913
BILEQ	118	3.40	0.684	191	3.76	0.465
FNAES	118	13.28	6.674	189	14.03	6.607
SAS-new	113	15.40	6.749	175	16.89	6.418
SAS-general	113	9.24	4.175	175	9.54	3.956
PHQ-9	113	9.35	7.457	174	7.05	6.004
BIAAQ	120	26.50	7.863	194	29.64	6.668
BICSI-AF	118	0.75	0.646	188	0.92	0.705
PSQ	114	2.14	0.516	180	1.89	0.479
SCS-SF	113	2.89	0.822	176	3.03	0.863
EMAS	114	33.66	6.915	175	33.38	7.610
LOT-R	113	12.25	5.336	174	12.89	5.117

MSPSS	110	58.61	18.358	169	61.40	16.421
ITQ	110	10.13	7.951	161	7.12	6.676

351

352

Table 3 Mean difference between veteran and civilian scores on all variables

Measure	Mean Difference	95% Cl	р	Hedge's g	95% Cl
BESAA-AE	-0.189	-0.408, 0.029	.089	-0.20	-0.34, 0.03
BILEQ	-0.361	-0.501, -0.220	<.001	-0.64	-0.88, -0.41
FNAES	-0.752	-2.289, 0.784	.336	-0.11	-0.34, 0.12
SAS-new	-1.487	-3.062, 0.087	.064	-0.23	-0.46, 0.01
SAS-general	-0.304	-1.277, 0.669	.539	-0.07	-0.31, 0.16
PHQ-9	2.293	0.645, 3.942	.007	0.35	0.11, 0.59
BIAAQ	-3.139	-4.840, -1.439	<.001	-0.44	-0.67, -0.21
BICSI-AF	-0.166	-0.320, -0.011	.036	-0.25	-0.48, -0.02
PSQ	0.256	0.137, 0.374	<.001	0.51	0.27, 0.74
SCS-SF	-0.143	-0.342, 0.056	.157	-0.16	-0.40, 0.07
EMAS	0.281	-1.425, 1.987	.746	0.04	-0.20, 0.27
LOT-R	-0.643	-1.893, 0.607	.312	-0.12	-0.36, 0.11
MSPSS	-2.787	-7.042, 1.467	.198	-0.16	-0.40, 0.08
ITQ	3.003	1.184, 4.822	.001	0.42	0.17, 0.66

354	Table 4 presents Pearson correlation coefficients for each predictor-outcome combination in both
355	samples. For the veteran sample, all correlation coefficients exceed the critical values for significance
356	at the 5% level (critical value: .174, n = 120, two-sided), the 1% level (critical value: .228, two-sided),
357	and are significant at the 0.1% level (critical value: .281, two-sided) except for the correlation
358	between BICSI-AF and PHQ-9 (r = .230). In the civilian sample, all correlation coefficients exceed the
359	critical values for 5% significance (critical value: .159, n = 160, two-sided) and 1% significance (critical
360	value: .208, n = 160, two-sided), except for the correlation between Engagement in Meaningful
361	Activities Scale (EMAS) and Social Anxiety Scale-new situations (SAS-new; r =187) and EMAS and
362	Social Anxiety Scale-general situations (SAS-general; r =199). Otherwise, all correlation coefficients
363	exceed the critical value for the 0.1% level (critical value: .264, n = 160, two-sided), except for the

364 correlation between Multi-dimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) and Body Esteem

365 Scale for Adults and Adolescents – Appearance Subscale (BESAA-AE) (r = .255) and the correlation

366 between MSPSS and SAS-new (r = -.214).

	Veteran					
	BESAA- AE	BILEQ	FNAES	SAS-new	SAS- general	PHQ-9
BIAAQ	.732	.791	791	598	580	575
BICSI-AF	449	422	.662	.461	.329	.230
PSQ	425	456	.395	.354	.429	.332
SCS-SF	.599	.616	633	518	566	728
EMAS	.465	.396	268	311	358	437
LOT-R	.563	.625	566	526	509	672
MSPSS	.313	.418	289	238	346	449
ITQ	489	655	.603	.547	.516	.768
	Civilian					
	BESAA- AE	BILEQ	FNAES	SAS-new	SAS- general	PHQ-9
BIAAQ	BESAA- AE .698	BILEQ .741	FNAES	SAS-new	SAS- general 491	PHQ-9 601
BIAAQ BICSI-AF	BESAA- AE .698 570	BILEQ .741 276	FNAES 716 .779	SAS-new 458 .454	SAS- general 491 .423	PHQ-9 601 .366
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ	BESAA- AE .698 570 438	BILEQ .741 276 556	FNAES 716 .779 .501	SAS-new 458 .454 .396	SAS- general 491 .423 .433	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ SCS-SF	BESAA- AE .698 570 438 .621	BILEQ .741 276 556 .366	FNAES 716 .779 .501 655	SAS-new 458 .454 .396 645	SAS- general 491 .423 .433 594	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466 664
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS	BESAA- AE .698 570 438 .621 .468	BILEQ .741 276 556 .366 .449	FNAES 716 .779 .501 655 415	SAS-new 458 .454 .396 645 199	SAS- general 491 .423 .433 594 187	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466 664 500
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS LOT-R	BESAA- AE .698 570 438 .621 .468 .533	BILEQ .741 276 556 .366 .449 .408	FNAES 716 .779 .501 655 415 524	SAS-new 458 .454 .396 645 199 535	SAS- general 491 .423 .433 594 187 531	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466 664 500 547
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS LOT-R MSPSS	BESAA- AE .698 570 438 .621 .468 .533 .255	BILEQ .741 276 556 .366 .449 .408 .281	FNAES 716 .779 .501 655 415 524 298	SAS-new 458 .454 .396 645 199 535 214	SAS- general 491 .423 .433 594 187 531 343	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466 664 500 547 301
BIAAQ BICSI-AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS LOT-R MSPSS ITQ	BESAA- AE .698 570 438 .621 .468 .533 .255 508	BILEQ .741 276 556 .366 .449 .408 .281 532	FNAES 716 .779 .501 655 415 524 298 .574	SAS-new 458 .454 .396 645 199 535 214 .444	SAS- general 491 .423 .433 594 187 531 343 .495	PHQ-9 601 .366 .466 664 500 547 301 .628

367 Table 4 Pearson correlation coefficients for outcome variables with explanatory variables

For the veteran sample significance is achieved if: Absolute Correlations > .174 sig (alpha = .05), > .228 sig (alpha = .01), > .289 sig (alpha = .001) two-sided

For the civilian sample significance is achieved if: Absolute Correlations > .159 sig (alpha = .05), > .208 sig (alpha = .01), > .264 sig (alpha = .001) two-sided

369 Regression model of factors associated with Body Esteem Scale for Adults and Adolescents-

370 Appearance subscale (BESAA-AE)

The regression model for BESAA-AE for the veteran sample (R^2 = .621, p < .001) and the civilian 371 sample (R^2 = .628, p < .001) is given in Table 5. In both models there is a significant and positive 372 373 association between body image psychological flexibility (BIAAQ) and BESAA-AE and between 374 engagement in meaningful activities (EMAS) and BESAA-AE. However, appearance fixing as a coping 375 strategy (BICSI-AF) and self-compassion (SCS-SF) are associated with BESAA-AE in the civilian model only. Conclusions are unchanged after multiple imputation (see supplementary Table S1). The 376 377 direction of these significant effects aligns with the study hypotheses. The probe "I use humour to defuse awkward conversations about my injury and/or altered appearance" was negatively related 378 to BESAA-AE ($\dot{\beta}$ = -0.128, p = .048) in the veteran sample but was not significant in the civilian sample 379 $(\beta = -.004, p = .935)$. The probe "Being physically fit is important to me" made a significant 380 contribution to the model in the civilian sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .116, p = .029) but not in the veteran sample ($\dot{\beta}$ 381 382 = .004, p = .955). The probe "I feel disgust when I think about or look at my appearance/body" made a significant contribution to the model for BESAA-AE in both the veteran sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = -.393, p < .001) 383 and the civilian sample ($\beta = -.517$, p < .001). Within the veteran model, the probe "Being seen as an 384 injured veteran is a good thing" made a significant improvement to the modelling of BESAA-AE ($\dot{\beta}$ = 385 +0.173, p = .011) as did the probe for total number of years of military service ($\dot{\beta}$ = -0.142, p = .026). 386

387 Regression model of factors associated with Body Image Life Engagement (BILEQ)

388 There are significant effects in the BILEQ model for veterans (R^2 = .691, p < .001) and civilians (R^2 =

389 .608, p < .001) as shown in Table 5. In both models, BIAAQ is significantly associated with BILEQ (p <

.001). However, PTSD (ITQ) is negatively associated with BILEQ but only in the veteran sample,

391 whereas BICSI-AF, PSQ, SCS-SF and EMAS are each significantly associated with BILEQ but only in the

392 civilian sample. Conclusions are unchanged after multiple imputation. The direction of the

393 significant effects aligns with the pre-study hypotheses. The probe "I tend to say to myself it could

have been worse" (Binary: 0 = No, 1 = Yes) significantly improved the model in the veteran sample (β

395 = .128, p = .030) but not in the civilian sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .015, p = .777).

396 **Regression model of factors associated with fear of negative appearance evaluation (FNAES)**

397 Significant effects for modelling FNAES are observed in the veteran (R^2 = .732, p < .001) and civilian

sample (R^2 = .782, p < .001) as shown in Table 5. In these models, BIAAQ and BICSI-AF are both

399 significantly related to FNAES. SCS-SF is also significantly related to FNAES in the civilian sample

400 only. Conclusions are unchanged under multiple imputation. When probing the models, the probe

401 variable "I feel disgust when I think about or look at my appearance/body" was significantly

- 402 associated with FNAES in the veteran sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .260, p =.001) and the civilian sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .172, p
- 403 =.005).

404 Table 5. Regression models for appearance outcome measures

	BESAA-AE					
	Veterar	1		Civilian		
	$R^2 = .62$	1, p < .001		$R^2 = .62$	8, p < .001	
Measure	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р
BIAAQ	.593	5.828	<.001	.485	5.869	<.001
BICSI-AF	666	-0.858	.393	175	-2.783	.006
PSQ	002	-0.029	.977	.061	0.929	.355
SCS-SF	.007	0.062	.951	.186	2.403	.017
EMAS	.254	3.423	.001	.153	2.497	.014
LOT-R	.054	0.511	.611	.101	1.392	.166
MSPSS	.006	0.086	.932	044	-0.803	.423
ΙΤQ	.003	0.036	.972	.054	0.758	.450
	BILEQ					
	Veterar	1		Civilian		
	$R^2 = .69$	<i>R</i> ² = .691, p < .001		R^2 = .608, p < .001		
	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р
BIAAQ	.552	5.986	<.001	.658	7.695	<.001
BICSI-AF	024	-0.344	.732	.151	2.333	.021

PSQ	021	-0.306	.760	190	-2.786	.006
SCS-SF	.005	0.056	.956	187	-2.358	.020
EMAS	.144	1.701	.092	.188	2.981	.003
LOT-R	.039	0.409	.683	.093	1.243	.216
MSPSS	.079	1.182	.240	.003	0.058	.954
ITQ	201	-2.299	.024	015	-0.015	.847
	FNAES					
	Veteran			Civilian		
	$R^2 = .732$. p < .001		$R^2 = .782$. p < .001	
		, p			., 15	
	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р
BIAAQ	Beta 450	t -5.257	р <.001	Beta 330	t -5.211	p <.001
BIAAQ BICSI - AF	Beta 450 .328	t -5.257 5.112	p <.001 <.001	Beta 330 .464	t -5.211 9.630	p <.001 <.001
BIAAQ BICSI - AF PSQ	Beta 450 .328 .022	t -5.257 5.112 0.347	p <.001 <.001 .730	Beta 330 .464 .019	t -5.211 9.630 0.382	p <.001 <.001 .703
BIAAQ BICSI - AF PSQ SCS-SF	Beta 450 .328 .022 131	t -5.257 5.112 0.347 -1.447	p <.001 <.001 .730 .151	Beta 330 .464 .019 179	t -5.211 9.630 0.382 -3.007	p <.001 <.001 .703 .003
BIAAQ BICSI - AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS	Beta 450 .328 .022 131 023	t -5.257 5.112 0.347 -1.447 -0.363	p <.001 <.001 .730 .151 .718	Beta 330 .464 .019 179 009	t -5.211 9.630 0.382 -3.007 -0.186	p <.001 <.001 .703 .003 .853
BIAAQ BICSI - AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS LOT-R	Beta 450 .328 .022 131 023 .038	t -5.257 5.112 0.347 -1.447 -0.363 0.429	p <.001 <.001 .730 .151 .718 .669	Beta 330 .464 .019 179 009 078	t -5.211 9.630 0.382 -3.007 -0.186 -1.402	p <.001 .703 .003 .853 .163
BIAAQ BICSI - AF PSQ SCS-SF EMAS LOT-R MSPSS	Beta 450 .328 .022 131 023 .038 .005	t -5.257 5.112 0.347 -1.447 -0.363 0.429 0.085	p <.001 <.001 .730 .151 .718 .669 .932	Beta 330 .464 .019 179 009 078 031	t -5.211 9.630 0.382 -3.007 -0.186 -1.402 -0.725	p <.001 .703 .003 .853 .163 .470

BESAA-AE: Body Esteem – Appearance Sub-scale; BILEQ: Body Image Life Disengagement; FNAES: Fear of Negative Appearance Evaluation. BIAAQ: Body Image Acceptance and Action (Body Image Psychological Flexibility; BICSI-AF: Body Image Coping Strategies – Appearance Fixing; PSQ: Perceived Stigma; SCS-SF: Self-Compassion; EMAS: Engagement in Meaningful Activities; LOT-R: Optimism; MSPPS: Multidimensional Perceived Social Support; ITQ: International Trauma Questionnaire (PTSD).

405

406 **Regression model of factors associated with symptoms of depression (PHQ-9)**

407 Table 6 gives the regression model for PHQ-9 with significant effects captured in both the veteran (

408 $R^2 = .706$, p < .001) and civilian samples ($R^2 = .627$, p < .001). Both SCS-SF and ITQ are significantly

related to PHQ-9 in both samples, and EMAS is significantly related to PHQ-9 in the civilian sample

410 only. The same statistical conclusions are obtained under multiple imputation (see Supplementary

Table S2). The direction of the significant effects aligns with the pre-study hypotheses. Probing the

412 PHQ-9 models with non-validated measures did not produce any other statistically significant

413 effects.

414 Regression model of factors associated with social anxiety and avoidance in new situations (SAS-

415 *new)*

For SAS-new there are significant associations in the veteran (R^2 = .524, p < .001) and civilian 416 samples (R^2 = .571, p < .001) (see Table 6), with BISCI-AF and SCS-SF statistically significant in both 417 418 models. However, under multiple imputation, the association between SCS-SF and SAS-new is not 419 deemed significant in the veteran sample (see supplementary Table S2). EMAS and optimism (LOT-420 R) are each significant predictors of SAS-new in the civilian sample but not in the veteran sample. 421 The direction of the significant effects aligns with the pre-study hypotheses. The variable "I feel 422 disgust when I think about or look at my appearance/body" was significantly associated with SASnew in the civilian sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .182, p =.043) but not in the veteran model ($\dot{\beta}$ = .025, p =.821). 423 424 Similarly, the variable "How I think I look inside my own head is the same as how I look to others" was significantly associated with this outcome in the civilian sample (β = .144, p = .029) but not in the 425 veteran sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .006, p =.942). When probing the veteran model, "Being seen as an injured 426 veteran is a good thing" significantly improved the SAS-new model ($\dot{\beta}$ = .158, p =.041), as did the 427 variable "Having other veterans/military personnel close by who are recovering from similar injuries 428 and changes to their appearance has been helpful" ($\dot{\beta}$ = .153, p =.046). 429 430 Regression model of factors associated with social anxiety and avoidance in general situations (SAS-general) 431

432 As shown in Table 6, there is some evidence of significant associations in the civilian sample (R^2 =

433 .510, p < .001) with BISCI-AF, SCS-SF, EMAS, LOT-R, and social support (MSPSS) all related to SAS-

434 general. However, the evidence of significant associations between measures and SAS-general in the

435 veteran sample (R^2 = .466, p < .001) is less compelling because the BIAAQ is deemed significant

- 436 when working with complete cases but not under multiple imputation noting p = 0.06 (see Table S2).
- 437 When probing the model, the variable "I feel disgust when I think about or look at my

438 appearance/body" was significantly associated with this outcome in the civilian sample ($\dot{\beta}$ = .187, p

439 =.037) but not in the veteran model ($\dot{\beta}$ = .041, p =.727).

	PHQ-9						
	Veterar	ו		Civilian			
	R^2 = .706, p < .001			<i>R</i> ² = .627, p < .001			
Measure	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р	
BIAAQ	046	-0.509	.612	116	-1.402	.163	
BICSI-AF	134	-1.985	.050	076	-1.212	.227	
PSQ	010	-0.147	.883	009	-0.132	.895	
SCS-SF	229	-2.398	.018	340	-4.383	<.001	
EMAS	095	-1.453	.149	185	-3.012	.003	
LOT-R	184	-1.977	.051	064	-0.890	.375	
MSPSS	088	-1.354	.179	057	-1.026	.306	
ITQ	.442	5.189	<.001	.334	4.646	<.001	
	SAS-Ne	w					
	Veterar	ı		Civilian			
	$R^2 = .52$	24, p < .001		$R^2 = .57$	1, p < .001		
	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р	
BIAAQ	201	-1.760	.081	.007	0.077	.939	
BICSI-AF	.251	2.931	.004	.217	3.077	.003	
PSQ	.071	0.823	.413	.074	0.980	.329	
SCS-SF	252	-2.082	.040	428	-4.821	<.001	
EMAS	061	-0.735	.464	.193	2.718	.007	
LOT-R	.010	0.083	.934	192	-2.313	.022	
MSPSS	.081	0.979	.330	026	-0.404	.687	
ITQ	.179	1.648	.103	086	1.048	.296	
	SAS-Ge	neral					
	Veterar	ı		Civilian			
	$R^2 = .46$	6, p < .001		$R^2 = .51$	0, p < .001		
	Beta	t	р	Beta	t	р	
BIAAQ	245	-2.023	.046	.007	0.071	.944	
BICSI-AF	.093	1.022	.309	.192	2.656	.009	

440 Table 6. Regression models for factors associated with mental health outcome measures

PSO	171	1 2 2 5	199	112	1 /00	120
F3Q	.121	1.525	.100	.112	1.490	.150
SCS-SF	251	-1.954	.054	293	-3.288	.001
EMAS	103	-1.168	.246	.213	3.019	.003
LOT-R	.055	0.439	.662	201	-2.418	.017
MSPSS	051	-0.583	.561	182	-2.866	.005
ITQ	.113	0.984	.327	.162	1.964	.051

PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire (Depression symptoms); SAS-New: Social Anxiety in New Situations; SAS-General: Social Anxiety in General. BIAAQ: Body Image Acceptance and Action (Body Image Psychological Flexibility; BICSI-AF: Body Image Coping Strategies – Appearance Fixing; PSQ: Perceived Stigma; SCS-SF: Self-Compassion; EMAS: Engagement in Meaningful Activities; LOT-R: Optimism; MSPPS: Multidimensional Perceived Social Support; ITQ: International Trauma Questionnaire (PTSD).

441

442 Discussion

443 This study aimed to fill a gap in understanding the psychosocial support needs of veterans with 444 conflict-related AAI. The goal was to identify factors predicting psychosocial adjustment among 445 veterans compared to civilians without a military background. The study focused on outcomes 446 indicative of adjustment, such as body esteem, body image (BI) life engagement, fear of negative 447 appearance evaluation, social anxiety and avoidance, and depression. Potential predictors were 448 informed by existing research on civilians with visible differences and insights from a preceding 449 qualitative investigation with injured veterans (18). 450 Correlations between predictors and outcomes were all significant and the direction of relationships

451 were as hypothesised, and similar between groups. Across both groups, BI psychological flexibility, 452 self-compassion, engagement in meaningful activities, optimism and social support were positively and significantly correlated with body esteem and life engagement, and negatively and significantly 453 454 correlated with fear of negative appearance evaluation, social anxiety, and depression. Across both 455 groups, appearance fixing, perceived stigma and PTSD were negatively and significantly correlated 456 with body esteem and life engagement, and positively and significantly correlated with fear of 457 negative appearance evaluation, social anxiety, and depression. These findings, combined with 458 evidence from regression analyses that our predictor variables explained a high degree of variance in

the outcomes, support the conceptualisation of adjustment proposed from the outset, and thus
improve understanding of the key factors that exacerbate and ameliorate appearance-related
distress among those with a visible difference.

462 Overall, civilians and veterans reported similar experiences of living with an AAI, with some key 463 exceptions. The strength of correlations between self-compassion and BI life engagement, and PTSD 464 and depression, were significantly stronger among veterans. Veterans also experienced significantly 465 lower BI psychological flexibility, were more likely to avoid social, recreational, and vocational 466 activities due to appearance concerns (low BI life engagement), experienced significantly greater 467 depression and PTSD, and perceived more appearance-related stigmatising behaviours by others. 468 Research suggests a higher prevalence of mental health difficulties, including PTSD, among UK 469 veterans compared to the public (35). The reasons for these differences in mental health outcomes 470 remain unclear, although pre-service vulnerabilities (35), trauma specific to military conflict (36), 471 experiences of transition out of the military (37), and poor help-seeking behaviour (38), are 472 acknowledged risk factors. While non-appearance related factors likely contribute to the increased 473 incidence of PTSD and depression among veterans, this study's findings reveal worrying differences 474 in appearance-related constructs, suggesting they may be more vulnerable to the impact of an AAI. 475 Despite similarities in body esteem and fear of negative evaluation, increased tendency for lower BI 476 flexibility, heightened perception of stigmatising behaviour by others, and reduced life engagement, 477 are outcomes that signify poor coping relative to similar others in the general population and may 478 account for the stronger relationship between PTSD and depression among veterans. Additionally, 479 indication that self-compassion may help veterans overcome appearance concerns related to social 480 activities (BI life engagement) provides preliminary evidence that self-compassion may be a 481 beneficial target via intervention.

482 Multiple regression analyses determined that **BI psychological flexibility** played the most significant
 483 role in predicting adjustment among both groups. It was strongly associated with all appearance-

484 related outcomes, predicting higher body esteem and BI life engagement and lower fear of negative 485 appearance evaluation. Lower BI psychological flexibility was also a significant, although not robust, 486 predictor of heightened social anxiety and avoidance in general situations among veterans. 487 A recent meta-analysis supports the positive role of BI psychological flexibility in adaptive processes related to body related and mental health indices (39). BI psychological flexibility was consistently 488 489 negatively correlated with constructs indicative of body image concerns, depression, anxiety, and 490 general psychological distress, and positively associated with positive body-related constructs, 491 including body appreciation and body acceptance. Shepherd et al (2019) reported that psychological 492 inflexibility (the negative form of psychological flexibility) was positively associated with appearance 493 anxiety among individuals with a visible burn injury. In a study involving individuals with various 494 appearance-altering conditions, Zucchelli, White, and Williamson (2020) found that cognitive fusion 495 and experiential avoidance (negatively valenced components of psychological flexibility) partially 496 mediated the relationship between body esteem and appearance fixing (a coping strategy), 497 measured using the BILEQ. Experiential avoidance also partially mediated the relationship between 498 body esteem and behavioral avoidance. Consequently, activities promoting cognitive *defusion* and 499 experiential acceptance may benefit individuals with visible differences. 500 Prior research and our findings suggest that BI psychological flexibility may lessen appearance-501 related distress in civilians and veterans with AAI. Individuals who embrace body image threats with 502 kindness and acceptance, rather than resorting to unhelpful strategies like social avoidance, are 503 more likely to thrive. ACT, a transdiagnostic third-wave cognitive-behavioral therapy and behavior 504 change model (40), employs techniques to foster psychological flexibility, aiming to help individuals 505 lead a more fulfilling and meaningful life. These include developing mindfulness to de-identify from 506 thoughts (cognitive defusion) and open-up to painful emotions (experiential acceptance) and helping 507 individuals to clarify their values to inform their actions via goal setting (committed action). 508 Psychologists across Europe have noted the utility of ACT for patients with visible differences

509 (41,42), and there is some empirical research of its effectiveness and suitability in new interventions
510 (Zucchelli et al., 2022).

511 In both groups, engagement in meaningful activities predicted higher body esteem, which is 512 congruent with findings related to BI psychological flexibility; engaging in meaningful activities 513 consistent with one's values and needs arguably equates to the process of committed action (acting 514 towards goals guided by values) that fosters psychological flexibility (45). However, engagement in 515 meaningful activities only predicted greater BI life engagement and higher social anxiety among 516 civilians. These significant relationships may exemplify psychological flexibility where individuals 517 motivated by pursuing values, engage in socially exposing activities despite increased social anxiety. 518 Absence of this finding in veterans may be related to indications of lower BI psychological flexibility, 519 perceived appearance-related stigma, and increased avoidance of social activities due to appearance 520 concerns. Keeling et al. (2022) qualitative research with 23 military participants who sustained AAI 521 also details the negative impact of intrusive appearance-related social stigma (e.g., being stared at, 522 being insulted), particularly a depleted sense of social anonymity. 523 ACT-based interventions could benefit both groups, especially veterans. Veterans may also benefit 524 from social skills training aimed at increasing confidence in managing challenging public situations. 525 Civilians with visible differences have found this approach helpful (MacBeth & Gumley, 2012; 526 Norman & Moss, 2014).

Self-compassion also distinguished veterans from civilians. Lower self-compassion predicted
depression and social anxiety in both groups, consistent with a meta-analysis (47). Only among
civilians did lower self-compassion predict increased fear of appearance evaluation, while higher
self-compassion predicted elevated body esteem. Prior research indicates that veterans may actively
resist self-compassion (Forkus et al., 2020). Distinct from low self-compassion, 'Fear of compassion'
(49) is associated with feeling undeserving, viewing compassion as a weakness that might expose
flaws or infer lowered personal standards, or simply not appreciating its value. Fear of compassion

might explain differences between civilians and veterans, with the latter more likely to endorse
military values such as courage, stoicism, and collectivism (50).

536 Both psychological flexibility and self-compassion reflect a common core of mindful (i.e., open, non-537 judgmental) awareness concerning emotional distress (51), and processes targeted via ACT are 538 inherently self-compassionate. Steen et al. (2021) highlighted the benefits of focusing on self-539 compassion for veterans, especially those with combat experience, trauma, or PTSD. Our findings 540 suggest that veterans with AAI could benefit from targeted self-compassion interventions. 541 Civilians showed a relatively greater involvement in appearance fixing, concealing worrisome 542 aspects, or seeking reassurance about appearance. In both groups, engaging in these behaviors 543 predicted fear of negative appearance evaluation and social anxiety in new situations (e.g., meeting 544 people for the first time). However, only among civilians did increased engagement in appearance 545 fixing predict lower body esteem, higher life engagement related to body image, and heightened 546 social anxiety in general situations (e.g., among peers). These findings highlight the nuanced 547 relationship between appearance fixing and psychosocial wellbeing. Cash (2005) identified 548 appearance-fixing tendencies, like body-concealment using clothing, wigs, prosthetics, or extensive 549 makeup, as an avoidant coping strategy linked to body dissatisfaction and sustained social anxiety. 550 However, concealment and seeking reassurance may also provide enough social confidence to 551 encourage participation in appearance-oriented activities that would otherwise be avoided (53). Our 552 evidence suggests veterans are generally more inclined than civilians to avoid social activities due to 553 appearance concerns (BI life engagement). Specifically, while veterans engage in appearance fixing 554 when anxious about new social situations, they do not appear to experience the same benefits as civilians with low body esteem and high social anxiety, who use appearance fixing to facilitate 555 556 engagement in general social, recreational, and vocational activities (BI life engagement). This could 557 be attributed to veterans' reluctance to engage in behaviors perceived as vain or threatening masculinity, as indicated by Keeling et al. (2022). This needs consideration when designing veteran-558

specific interventions, but further exploration is necessary to confirm and understand thesedifferences.

561 Previous research indicates the benefits of dispositional optimism and engaging social support in 562 buffering stress effects for civilians with visible differences, especially using social support as a 563 coping strategy during exposure to feared social situations (e.g., Clarke et al., 2014). Among civilians, 564 our findings align, with lower optimism associated with increased social anxiety in new situations 565 and lower social support associated with heightened social anxiety in general situations. However, 566 this was not observed among the veterans. This was unexpected, given that optimism has been 567 recognized as a buffer protecting veterans exposed to combat stress from mental health symptoms 568 like depression and PTSD (54) and, more specific to appearance, findings from Keeling et al. (2022) 569 where injured veterans discussed the value of positive reframing (i.e., their injuries could have been 570 fatal or worse), adaptive coping and accepting what they cannot change. In the same qualitative 571 study, veterans emphasized the benefits of social support, particularly the camaraderie during 572 rehabilitation. Our findings suggest nuanced differences in beliefs among veterans regarding their 573 military experiences and their perspectives on appropriate support. Notably, 'believing their injuries 574 could have been worse' appeared to promote greater BI life engagement, possibly reflecting the use of downward social comparison as a coping strategy, which was seemingly more pertinent to those 575 576 who had frequently witnessed life-changing injuries among, or the death of, comrades. Similarly, the 577 finding that veterans' belief that 'it is important to recover alongside injured veterans' increased 578 social anxiety in new situations suggests that some veterans value or need support from those with 579 shared experiences to facilitate social engagement. Alternatively, some predictors might not 580 consistently be significant in the regressions due to high overall R-squared values for the field. This 581 could make it challenging for another predictor to contribute when key predictors already account 582 for much of the variance. This might also explain why lower perceived stigma was only associated 583 with higher BI life engagement among civilians, as veterans overall experienced greater perceived 584 stigmatization and were more likely to avoid appearance-related social activities.

585 Of the variables measured using questions created by the authors, heightened feelings of self-586 **disgust** predicted lower body esteem and higher fear of negative evaluation for both groups. 587 Previous research indicates that physical self-disgust, a visceral revulsion toward oneself, akin to 588 self-stigma and shame, can arise when individuals perceive their physical appearance violates 589 societal norms. It is associated with higher body image dissatisfaction in conditions like limb 590 amputation (55) and has been implicated as a mediating factor between BID and suicidal ideation 591 among a large non-clinical sample (56). While only drawing evidence from a single item rather than a 592 validated scale, our findings suggest both veterans and civilians with AAI may benefit from 593 interventions targeting self-disgust. Powell, Simpson, and Overton (2015) found that self-affirmation 594 techniques emphasising non-appearance-related traits to bolster self-worth, with a focus on 595 kindness, reduced appearance-directed disgust. Evidence also indicates the utility of ACT, with a 596 focus on self-compassion, for addressing self-stigma and shame (58). 597 Other factors influencing body esteem among veterans included perceiving it is 'a good thing to be 598 viewed as a veteran by the public', predicting higher body esteem, while using humour to diffuse 599 awkward social situations predicted lower body esteem. The former may indicate veterans taking 600 pride in their service and seeking recognition, potentially guarding against misperceptions or 601 emasculation judgments related to their injuries. This aligns with values reinforced through military 602 acculturation (McCaslin et al., 2021). The use of 'dark humour' as an adaptive strategy to cope with 603 stress, common in the military (59), may promote morale and protect against PTSD (60). 604 Interventions for civilians often recommend the use of humour to manage challenging social 605 interactions; to appear confident and put others at ease who appear nervous or unsure how to 606 respond to their visible difference (61). Our findings advise interventionists to be cautious in 607 assuming that veterans who rely on humour are confident; humour may also reflect vulnerability 608 (low body esteem) and the need for additional coping strategies.

609 Unsurprisingly, PTSD symptomology was associated with depression across groups, a common

610 finding (62), but it specifically impacted adjustment by reducing BI life engagement and increasing

fear of negative appearance evaluation among veterans. The higher incidence of PTSD among
veterans and its comparatively greater role in appearance-related constructs suggest that
interventions for appearance-related distress among veterans should incorporate a trauma-focused
approach which recognizes the widespread impact and signs of trauma, aiming to prevent re-

615 traumatization.

616 Strengths and limitations

617 This study, one of the first to compare the experiences of military veterans and civilians with

618 appearance-altering injuries, highlights key differences between the groups which raise important

619 implications for the design and delivery of psychological interventions. All regression models had

620 extremely high overall R-squared values and therefore excellent goodness of fit.

621 Limitations include the cross-sectional design, meaning causation cannot be inferred. The self-

622 selecting recruitment approach has implications for generalisability, potentially leading to

623 underreported concerns or exclusion of those with significant issues. French et al (2014) reported

that facial and limb injuries were significant predictors of posttraumatic stress, yet hypothesized that

625 military service members may underreport symptoms, suggesting that the impact of bodily injuries

626 could be greater than the limited evidence indicates. Generalisability is further limited by the small

627 proportion of females and those of ethnicities other than white. Despite the models explaining a

high level of variance, unmeasured factors might have influenced the outcomes. Finally, it is noted

that this research focuses on UK military veterans. Due to differences in cultural appearance norms,

630 health care systems and other military related nuances, generalizability to veterans of other

631 country's militaries should be conducted cautiously.

632 Conclusion

633 This novel research confirms the multivariate nature of psychosocial adjustment to an AAI among

634 civilians and veterans, adding to and further supporting existing evidence. It highlights key

635 similarities and differences between these groups, indicating that veterans may be more vulnerable

636 to the psychosocial impact of an altered appearance. Key factors associated with adjustment include 637 BI psychological flexibility, engagement in meaningful activities, and self-compassion. This provides 638 evidence that ACT-based interventions that include explicit self-compassion activities, as well as social skills training for managing difficult social situations, may benefit both groups, but particularly 639 640 veterans. In addition, this study provides evidence of veteran-specific differences such as the 641 potential benefit of a perceived positive regard for injured veterans by the public, the use of humour 642 to manage difficult situations, beliefs about injury, appearance, and the nature of appropriate 643 support, and heightened PTSD symptoms. These military specific factors should be considered in the 644 development and provision of interventions, including ensuring a trauma-informed approach is 645 taken.

646 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the participants and the study Public Involvement advisors for their time
and sharing their experiences, the study steering committee for their time and contributions, a all
the support services, veteran organisations, NHS services, and individuals, who supported
participant recruitment. The authors wish to acknowledge The Scar Free Foundation for funding the
*UNITS Study which was being conducted as part of the Centre for Conflict Wound Research using
Libor funds from the Chancellor.

653 References

1. Ministry of Defence. UK Service Personnel amputations: Financial year 2019/2020. 2020

655 [cited 2021 Mar 8]. Afghanistan and Iraq amputation statistics: 1 April 2015 - 31 March 2020.

- 656 Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/uk-service-personnel-
- 657 amputations-financial-year-20192020/afghanistan-and-iraq-amputation-statistics-1-april-
- 658 2015-to-31-march-2020#contents
- Clarke A, Thompson AR, Jenkinson E, Rumsey N, Newell R. CBT for Appearance Anxiety:
 Psychosocial Interventions for Anxiety due to Visible Difference. John Wiley & Sons; 2014.
- Blakeney PE, Rosenberg L, Rosenberg R, Faber AW. Psychosocial care of persons with severe
 burns. Burns. 2008;34:433–40.

663 664	4.	Martin L, Byrnes M, McGarry S, Rea S, Wood F. Social challenges of visible scarring after severe burn: a qualitative analysis. Burns. 2017;43(1):76–83.
665 666	5.	Bogart KR, Bryson BA, Harcourt D. Disclosing the obvious: Psychosocial implications of (not) explaining facial differences. Body Image. 2023 Sep;46:91–102.
667 668	6.	Rumsey Nichola, Harcourt Diana. Body image and disfigurement: issues and interventions. Body Image. 2004;1(1):83–97.
669 670	7.	Shepherd LA. A pilot study exploring the relationship between trauma symptoms and appearance concerns following burns. Burns. 2015;41(2):345–51.
671 672 673 674	8.	Rumsey N, Bryon-Daniel J, Clarke A, Clarke S, Harcourt D, Jenkinson E, et al. Psychological factors and processes associated with adjustment to disfiguring conditions. In: Clarke A, Thompson A, Jenkinson E, Rumsey N, Newell R, editors. CBT for Appearance Anxiety: Pyshosocial interventions for anxiety due to visible difference. 2014. p. 194–239.
675 676 677	9.	Attoe C, Pounds-Cornish E. Psychosocial adjustment following burns: An integrative literature review. Burns [Internet]. 2015;41(7):1375–84. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305417915000595
678 679 680 681	10.	Hayes SC, Levin ME, Plumb-Vilardaga J, Villatte JL, Pistorello J. Acceptance and commitment therapy and contextual behavioral science: examining the progress of a distinctive model of behavioral and cognitive therapy. Behav Ther [Internet]. 2011/06/01. 2013 Jun;44(2):180–98. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23611068
682 683 684 685	11.	Shepherd L, Reynolds DP, Turner A, O'Boyle CP, Thompson AR. The role of psychological flexibility in appearance anxiety in people who have experienced a visible burn injury. Burns [Internet]. 2019;45(4):942–9. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305417918307666
686 687 688 689 690 691	12.	Zucchelli F, White P, Williamson H. Experiential avoidance and cognitive fusion mediate the relationship between body evaluation and unhelpful body image coping strategies in individuals with visible differences. Body Image [Internet]. 2020 Mar;32:121–7. Available from: http://ezproxy.uwe.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db =cmedm&AN=31869761&site=ehost-live
692 693	13.	Keeling M, Williamson H, Williams V, Kiff J, Harcourt D. Body Image Concerns and Psychological Wellbeing among Injured Combat Veterans with Scars and Limb Loss: A Review

694 of the Literature. Mil Behav Health [Internet]. 2020;0(0):1–10. Available from:

695 https://doi.org/10.1080/21635781.2020.1792013

- Weaver TL, Walter KH, Chard KM, Bosch J. Residual injury, appearance-related concerns,
 symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder, and depression within a treatment-seeking
- 698 veteran sample. Mil Med. 2014;179(10):1067–71.
- Akyol Y, Tander B, Goktepe AS, Safaz I, Kuru O, Tan AK. Quality of Life in Patients with Lower
 Limb Amputation: Does It Affect Post-amputation Pain, Functional Status, Emotional Status
 and Perception of Body Image? J Musculoskelet Pain [Internet]. 2013;21(4):334–40. Available
 from: https://doi.org/10.3109/10582452.2013.851761
- Messinger SD. Incorporating the prosthetic: traumatic, limb-loss, rehabilitation and refigured
 military bodies. Disabil Rehabil. 2009/11/06. 2009;31(25):2130–4.
- 705 17. Cater JK. Traumatic amputation: Psychosocial adjustment of six Army women to loss of one
 706 or more limbs. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2012;49(10):1443–55.
- 18. Keeling M, Williamson H, Williams V, Kiff J, Evans S, Harcourt D. Body image and psychosocial
 wellbeing among UK military personnel and veterans who sustained appearance-altering
 conflict injuries. Military Psychology. 2022;
- 710 19. Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. Using Multivariate Statistics. 6th ed. Pearson; 2013.
- Mendelson BK, Mendelson MJ, White DR. Body-Esteem Scale for Adolescents and Adults. J
 Pers Assess [Internet]. 2001;76(1):90–106. Available from:
- 713 https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327752JPA7601_6
- 21. Lawrence J, Fauerbach J, Heinberg L, Doctor M, Thombs B. The reliability and validity of the
 Perceived Stigmatization Questionnaire (PSQ) and the Social Comfort Questionnaire (SCQ)
 among an adult burn survivor sample. Psychol Assess. 2006;18:106–11.
- Diedrichs P, Atkinson M, Garbett K, Williamson H, Halliwell E, Rumsey N, et al. Randomized
 Controlled Trial of an Online Mother-Daughter Body Image and Well-Being Intervention.
 Health Psychology. 2016;35.
- 23. Lundgren J, Anderson D, Thompson J. Fear of negative appearance evaluation: Development
 and evaluation of a new construct for risk factor work in the field of eating disorders. Eat
 Behav. 2004;5:75–84.

723 724 725	24.	Ardouin K, Hare J, Stock NM. Emotional Well-Being in Adults Born With Cleft Lip and/or Palate: A Whole of Life Survey in the United Kingdom. The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal [Internet]. 2020;57(7):877–85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/1055665619896681
726 727	25.	La Greca A, Lopez N. Social Anxiety Among Adolescents: Linkages with Peer Relations and Friendships. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1998;26:83–94.
728 729	26.	Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams J. The PHQ-9 Validity of a Brief Depression Severity Measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16.
730 731	27.	Sandoz E, Wilson K, Merwin R, Kellum K. Assessment of body image flexibility: The Body Image-Acceptance and Action Questionnaire. J Contextual Behav Sci. 2013;2:39–48.
732 733 734	28.	Cash TF, Santos MT, Williams EF. Coping with body-image threats and challenges: validation of the Body Image Coping Strategies Inventory. J Psychosom Res [Internet]. 2005;58(2):190– 9. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022399904005495
735 736 737 738	29.	Teo I, Fronczyk KM, Guindani M, Vannucci M, Ulfers SS, Hanasono MM, et al. Salient body image concerns of patients with cancer undergoing head and neck reconstruction. Head Neck [Internet]. 2016;38(7):1035–42. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hed.24415
739 740	30.	Raes F, Pommier E, Neff K, Gucht D. Construction and Factorial Validation of a Short Form of the Self-Compassion Scale. Clin Psychol Psychother. 2011;18:250–5.
741 742	31.	Eakman AM. Measurement characteristics of the engagement in meaningful activities survey in an age-diverse sample. Am J Occup Ther. 2012;66(2):e20–9.
743 744 745	32.	Schou-Bredal I, Heir T, Skogstad L, Bonsaksen T, Lerdal A, Grimholt T, et al. Population-based norms of the Life Orientation Test–Revised (LOT-R). International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology. 2017;17(3):216–24.
746 747	33.	Zimet GD, Powell SS, Farley GK, Werkman S, Berkoff KA. Psychometric Characteristics of the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. J Pers Assess. 1990 Dec 1;55(3–4):610–7.
748 749 750	34.	Cloitre M, Shevlin M, Brewin CR, Bisson JI, Roberts NP, Maercker A, et al. The International Trauma Questionnaire: development of a self-report measure of ICD-11 PTSD and complex PTSD. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2018;138(6):536–46.
751 752 753	35.	Murphy D, Ashwick R, Palmer E, Busuttil W. Describing the profile of a population of UK veterans seeking support for mental health difficulties. Journal of Mental Health. 2019 Nov 2;28(6):654–61.

754	36.	Haagen JFG, Smid GE, Knipscheer JW, Kleber RJ. The efficacy of recommended treatments for
755		veterans with PTSD: A metaregression analysis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2015 Aug;40:184–94.
756	37.	Ainspan ND, Penk W, Kearney LK. Psychosocial approaches to improving the military-to-
757		civilian transition process. Psychol Serv. 2018 May;15(2):129–34.
758	38.	Williamson V, Greenberg N, Stevelink SAM. Perceived stigma and barriers to care in UK
759		Armed Forces personnel and veterans with and without probable mental disorders. BMC
760		Psychol. 2019 Dec 27;7(1):75.
761	39.	Linardon J, Anderson C, Messer M, Rodgers RF, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M. Body image flexibility
762		and its correlates: A meta-analysis. Body Image. 2021 Jun;37:188–203.
763	40.	Hayes SC, Pistorello J, Levin ME. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy as a Unified Model of
764		Behavior Change. Couns Psychol. 2012 Oct 26;40(7):976–1002.
765	41.	Heath J, Williamson H, Williams L, Harcourt D. Parent-perceived isolation and barriers to
766		psychosocial support: a qualitative study to investigate how peer support might help parents
767		of burn-injured children. Scars Burn Heal. 2018;4.
768	42.	Harcourt D, Hamlet C, Feragen KB, Garcia-Lopez LJ, Masnari O, Mendes J, et al. The provision
769		of specialist psychosocial support for people with visible differences: A European survey.
770		Body Image. 2018 Jun;25:35–9.
771	43.	Zucchelli F, Donnelly O, Rush E, White P, Gwyther H, Williamson H. An Acceptance and
772		Commitment Therapy Prototype Mobile Program for Individuals With a Visible Difference:
773		Mixed Methods Feasibility Study. JMIR Form Res. 2022 Jan 21;6(1):e33449.
774	44.	Shepherd L, Turner A, Reynolds DP, Thompson AR. Acceptance and commitment therapy for
775		appearance anxiety: three case studies. Scars Burn Heal. 2020;6:2059513120967584.
776	45.	Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, Lillis J. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy:
777		Model, processes and outcomes. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2006 Jan;44(1):1–25.
778	46.	Norman A, Moss TP. Psychosocial interventions for adults with visible differences: A
779		systematic review. PeerJ Prepr [Internet]. 2014 Nov 19;3:e870. Available from:
780		http://ezproxy.uwe.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db
781		=cmedm&AN=25861556&site=ehost-live
782	47.	MacBeth A, Gumley A. Exploring compassion: A meta-analysis of the association between
783		self-compassion and psychopathology. Clin Psychol Rev. 2012 Aug;32(6):545–52.

784 785	48.	Forkus SR, Breines JG, Weiss NH. PTSD and alcohol misuse: Examining the mediating role of fear of self-compassion among military veterans. Psychol Trauma. 2020 May;12(4):364–72.
786 787 788	49.	Gilbert P, McEwan K, Matos M, Rivis A. Fears of compassion: Development of three self- report measures. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice. 2011 Sep;84(3):239–55.
789 790 791	50.	McCaslin SE, Becket-Davenport C, Dinh J V., Lasher B, Kim M, Choucroun G, et al. Military acculturation and readjustment to the civilian context. Psychol Trauma. 2021 Sep;13(6):611–20.
792 793 794	51.	Neff KD, Dahm KA. Self-Compassion: What It Is, What It Does, and How It Relates to Mindfulness. In: Handbook of Mindfulness and Self-Regulation. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2015. p. 121–37.
795 796	52.	Steen MP, Di Lemma L, Finnegan A, Wepa D, McGhee S. Self-Compassion and Veteran's Health: A Scoping Review. Journal of Veterans Studies. 2021 Apr 8;7(1):86.
797 798 799 800	53.	Zucchelli F, Donnelly O, Williamson H, Hooper N. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for People Experiencing Appearance-Related Distress Associated With a Visible Difference: A Rationale and Review of Relevant Research. J Cogn Psychother [Internet]. 2018;32(3):171–83. Available from: https://app.dimensions.ai/details/publication/pub.1106935549
801 802 803	54.	Thomas JL, Britt TW, Odle-Dusseau H, Bliese PD. Dispositional optimism buffers combat veterans from the negative effects of warzone stress on mental health symptoms and work impairment. J Clin Psychol. 2011 Sep;67(9):866–80.
804 805	55.	Burden N, Simpson J, Murray C, Overton PG, Powell PA. Prosthesis use is associated with reduced physical self-disgust in limb amputees. Body Image. 2018 Dec;27:109–17.
806 807 808	56.	Akram U, Allen S, Stevenson JC, Lazarus L, Ypsilanti A, Ackroyd M, et al. Self-disgust as a potential mechanism underlying the association between body image disturbance and suicidal thoughts and behaviours. J Affect Disord. 2022 Jan;297:634–40.
809 810	57.	Powell PA, Simpson J, Overton PG. Self-affirming trait kindness regulates disgust toward one's physical appearance. Body Image. 2015 Jan;12:98–107.
811 812	58.	Luoma JB, Platt MG. Shame, self-criticism, self-stigma, and compassion in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy. Curr Opin Psychol. 2015 Apr;2:97–101.

Q12	50	Khrahan TVe, Military Dark Humor as a Form of Adaptive Processes and the Individual's
012	39.	Kinaban Tre. Military Dark Humor as a Form of Adaptive Processes and the individual's
814		Response to Fear of Death (Psycholinguistic Aspect). Alfred Nobel University Journal of
815		Philology. 2021;1(21).
816	60.	Ward RN, Carlson KJ, Erickson AJ, Yalch MM, Brown LM. Associations of humor, morale, and
817		unit cohesion on posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. Military Psychology. 2021 Dec
818		17;1–10.
819	61.	Bessell A, Brough V, Clarke A, Harcourt D, Moss TP, Rumsey health N. Evaluation of the
820		effectiveness of Face IT, a computer-based psychosocial intervention for disfigurement-
821		related distress. Psychol Health Med. 2012;17(5):565–77.
822	62.	Stander VA, Thomsen CJ, Highfill-McRoy RM. Etiology of depression comorbidity in combat-
823		related PTSD: A review of the literature. Clin Psychol Rev. 2014 Mar;34(2):87–98.
824	63.	French LM, Lange RT, Marshall K, Prokhorenko O, Brickell TA, Bailie JM, et al. Influence of the
825		Severity and Location of Bodily Injuries on Post-Concussive and Combat Stress Symptom
826		Reporting after Military-Related Concurrent Mild Traumatic Brain Injuries and Polytrauma. J
827		Neurotrauma. 2014 Oct;31(19):1607–16.
020		