

1 **Child Mortality in England after the Pandemic. Increasing Mortality and Inequalities.**

2  
3 David Odd MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Sylvia Stoianova MSc<sup>2</sup>, Tom Williams BSc<sup>2</sup>, Peter Fleming PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Karen Luyt PhD<sup>2\*</sup>

4  
5 1. School of Medicine, Division of Population Medicine, Cardiff University, UK

6 2. National Child Mortality Database, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, St Michael's Hospital, Southwell  
7 Street, Bristol, UK

8 3. Centre for Academic Child Health, Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, UK

9  
10 \* Corresponding author

11 Dr Karen Luyt

12 National Child Mortality Database, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, St Michael's Hospital, Southwell  
13 Street, Bristol, UK

14 Email: [Karen.Luyt@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:Karen.Luyt@bristol.ac.uk)

15 Telephone: +441173425439

16  
17 **Key Words:**

18 COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, coronavirus, pandemic, mortality, death, child, infant

19  
20 **Word Count:** 3166

22 **ABSTRACT**

23  
24 **Background:** During the COVID-19 pandemic children and young people (CYP) mortality in England reduced to the  
25 lowest on record, but it is unclear if the mechanisms which facilitated a reduction in mortality had a longer lasting  
26 impact, and what impact the pandemic, and its social restrictions, have had on deaths with longer latencies (e.g.  
27 malignancies). The aim of this analysis was to quantify the relative risk of childhood deaths, in England, before,  
28 during, and after the COVID pandemic and its social changes.

29 **Methods and Findings:** Mortality for each analysis year was calculated per 1,000,000 person years. Poisson  
30 regression was used to test for an overall trend across the time period, and tested if trends differed between April  
31 2019 to March 2021 (Period 1)) and April 2021 to March 2023 (Period 2). This was then repeated for each category  
32 of death and demographic group. The underlying population profile was obtained from 2021 ONS Census data.  
33 12,828 deaths were included in the analysis. 59.4% of deaths occurred under 1 year of age. Mortality rate (per  
34 1,000,000 CYP per year) dropped from 272.2 (264.8-283.8) in 2019-20, to 242.2 (233.4-251.2) in 2020-21, increasing  
35 to 296.1 (286.3-306.1) in 2022-23. Overall, death rate reduced in Period 1 (RR 0.96 (0.92-0.99)) and then increased in  
36 Period 2 (RR 1.12 (1.08-1.16)). Asian ( $p<0.001$ ), Black ( $p=0.012$ ), and Other ( $p=0.003$ ) ethnic groups, and those in  
37 more deprived areas ( $p<0.001$ ), had evidence of an initial reduction mortality, and then a subsequent increase.  
38 Death rates for children from White ( $p=0.601$ ) or Mixed ( $p=0.823$ ) ethnic backgrounds, or those in the least deprived  
39 areas, did not change over the study period.

40 **Conclusions:** Different temporal profiles were seen across cause of death categories, with reassuring trends in  
41 deaths from Suicide and Intrapartum deaths (after pandemic peaks). However, for all other causes of death, rates  
42 are either static, or increasing. Overall child mortality in England is now higher than before the pandemic. In  
43 addition, any reductions in health inequalities seen moving into, and during, the pandemic have now disappeared.

## 45 INTRODUCTION

46

47 Since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic there have likely been over 14 million excess deaths worldwide(1).

48 However, the number of deaths from COVID in children and young people (CYP) was low; around 1% of CYP deaths

49 during the pandemic were likely caused by the COVID-19 virus(2). In contrast, overall mortality for CYP reduced over,

50 and around, the period of lockdown(3, 4), falling to the likely lowest on record; with dramatic reductions in

51 infections and deaths from underlying conditions(5). By the end of March 2022, risk of death in England, for children,

52 had returned to levels comparable to pre-pandemic rates(5), leaving a cohort of around 350 more children alive at

53 that point due to previous reductions in mortality over the pandemic years. The reductions seen were similar across

54 age groups, regions of England, sex and socio-economic deprivation; suggesting that community actions can reduce

55 the social-economic patterning of childhood mortality in England(6). Since then, England, like most countries, has

56 rescinded its COVID policies and legislation, and has mostly returned to pre-pandemic functioning(7). It is unclear if

57 the public health mechanisms which helped reduce mortality across vulnerable groups has had a longer lasting

58 impact, and what impact the pandemic, and its social restrictions, have had on deaths with longer latencies (e.g.

59 malignancies), first developing during the highest period of disruption when later diagnosis, or treatment, may have

60 occurred. Equally, while overall child mortality fell, concerns remained in some categories (e.g. suicide(8)) and urban

61 communities, where lasting societal and behavioural changes may have occurred.

62 The aim of this analysis was to quantify the relative risk of childhood deaths, across the whole of England, before,

63 during, and after the COVID pandemic and its social changes, and identify any changes that may have occurred, and

64 the patterns of mortality, during this period.

## 66 METHODS

### 68 Data, Study Design and Population

69 The National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) commenced data collection on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019, and collects data from

70 all Child Death Overview Panels (CDOPs) across England.(9) In England, all deaths of children (0-17 years old) are

71 reviewed by CDOPs, and data collated by the NCMD, with death notifications required by statute within 48 hours.

Data was downloaded on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2023. The study population was derived from 2021 Office of National Statistics (ONS) census data(10), and includes measures of ethnicity, sex and age.

## **Outcome**

Deaths of all CYP, who died before their 18<sup>th</sup> birthday, and had been born at, or over, 22 weeks of gestation, occurring from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 until 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2023 were identified. In this work, similar to our previous work(4), in order to obtain a provisional category of death, all child deaths reported to NCMD were coded by 3 independent coders (all paediatricians) to identify the likely category of the cause of death. All coders recorded a provisional category of death (see below) or that there was insufficient information provided. For each death, if two or more coders agreed on a category this was taken as the most likely category and where no two coders agreed, the category highest in the following hierarchy was used (based on categorisation used by CDOPs(11), in order of priority: Trauma, Malignancy, Underlying Medical Condition, Intrapartum event, Preterm Birth, Infection, SUDIC (Sudden Unexpected Death in Infant and Childhood).

## **Covariates**

In the initial notification to NCMD, the CDOPs report baseline characteristics of the child; from which the following data were derived: sex of individual (female, male, other (including not known)), age at death, ethnicity, and the child's home postcode. The postcode was then mapped to a geographic measure, the Middle Layer Super Output Area (MSOA), which is derived at granularity of around 7200 people(12). This code was used to identify the CYP's region of England, the rural/urban status, and a local measure of deprivation: the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD). The IMD is calculated using 7 main domains (income, employment, education, health, crime, access to housing and services, and living environment). The population split into 10 equal sized (by people) deciles 1 being most deprived and 10 least deprived(13). Ethnic Group was derived from NHS data, and is parent reported at source, and classified using ONS guidelines; Asian or Asian British (Bangladeshi, Chinese, Indian, Pakistani, Any other Asian background), Black or Black British (African, Caribbean, Any other Black background), Multi-racial (White and Asian, White and Black African, White and Black Caribbean, Any other Multi-racial background), White or White British (British, Irish, Any other White background, Gypsy or Irish traveller), and Other (Arab, Any other ethnic group).

## 100 **Statistical Analysis**

101 Deaths of children occurring from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 until 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023, born at, or after 22 weeks of completed  
102 gestation, were identified and divided into four 12-month periods; April to March (2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22 and  
103 2022-23). Initially, the demographics for childhood deaths, split by the year (starting April 1<sup>st</sup>) were compared from  
104 reported data. Demographic comparisons were made using the Chi<sup>2</sup> test. Rates of overall death, and for each  
105 category, were derived for each year (starting April 1<sup>st</sup>).

106 Next, the underlying population size and characteristics were derived from Office of National Statistics 2021 Census  
107 data. Number of deaths were compared across years using the Chi<sup>2</sup> statistics, and risk of death, for each analysis year  
108 (April-March) was calculated per 1,000,000 children, with counts collapsed to number of events per month. A  
109 Poisson regression model was used to test for an overall trend across the 4 years, along with the relative risk of  
110 death (per year), using counts of death collapsed to number per month. The number of likely additional deaths seen,  
111 between April 2020 and March 2023, compared to the number predicted from reference year of 2019-20 data, was  
112 derived using a generalised linear model (Poisson family). This was repeated for each provisional category of death.

113 Next, a linear spline model was fitted allowing different trends for April 2019 to March 2021 (Period 1) and April  
114 2021 to March 2023 (Period 2); periods before and after the COVID pandemic's peak). A p-value was derived to test  
115 for a change of trend at this mid-point. This was repeated for each cause of death and the child's characteristics  
116 (above) and interaction p-values (if relevant) were derived as evidence that the profile may differ by the stratified  
117 characteristic (e.g. sex).

118 Finally, to quantify changes in health inequalities across the 4 years, the relative risk of death for children living in  
119 the more deprived half of England (Deciles 1-5), was compared to those in the less deprived half (Deciles 6-10), for  
120 each of the four years of data, alongside tests for trend across the two a-priori time periods (as above). This analysis  
121 was then repeated, comparing mortality for children from non-white ethnicities, and each specific ethnic group, with  
122 those from white backgrounds.

123 This work was reviewed by the Chair of the Central Bristol NHS Research Ethics Committee who confirmed that NHS  
124 ethical approval, including obtaining individual consent, was not required. Data are presented as number (%), risk  
125 per 100,000 person year (95% CI), relative risk ratio (95% CI), or excess deaths (number) with 95% CI. All tests were  
126 two-sided. Reporting follows the STROBE reporting guideline for observational studies. Analysis was performed using  
127 Stata Version 17.

128

## 129 Patient and Public Involvement

130 Parent and public involvement guided the design and setting up of the NCMD at establishment and real-time child  
131 mortality surveillance system at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic.

132

## 133 RESULTS

134

135 Over the 48 months, a total of 13,743 deaths were reported to NCMD, of which 915 were excluded for birth before  
136 22 weeks gestation, leaving 12,828 deaths; 3229 deaths in 2019-20, 2852 in 2020-21, 3260 in 2021-22 and 3487 in  
137 2022/23 (S1 Appendix). Over half (59.4% (7622/12,828) of deaths occurred under 1 year of age, 57.0% (7275/12,774)  
138 were male, 16.9% (2046/12,142) were of White ethnicity and London had more deaths than any other region (17.1%  
139 (2192/12,828)).

140 Overall risk of death dropped from 274.2 (264.8-283.8) in 2019-20 to 242.2 (233.4-251.2) in 2020-21, then increased  
141 to 276.8 (267.4-286.5) in 2021-22, and then to 296.1 (286.3-306.1) in 2022-23 ( $p_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ ) (Table 1) (S2 Appendix).


142 Across the whole time period, there was evidence of an increase in deaths from Preterm birth ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.046$ ),  
143 Infection ( $p_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ ), Trauma ( $p_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ ), SUDIC ( $p_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ ) and Underlying Disease ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.006$ ). There was  
144 little evidence for an overall trend in the risk of deaths from Malignancy ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.831$ ), Intrapartum events  
145 ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.135$ ), Substance abuse ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.363$ ) or Suicide ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.849$ ) over the study period. Over the 3 years since  
146 the pandemic started (April 2020 to March 2023), there were similar numbers of overall deaths (as predicted by the  
147 observed risk seen in 2019-20: -88 (-473 to 297)), but more deaths from Trauma (161 (70 to 252)) and SUDIC (149 (6  
148 to 292)) and fewer from Underlying Disease (-312 (-534 to -90)).

149 When investigating the difference between the period up-to the peak of the pandemic (March 2021) and that  
150 afterwards, there was good evidence that deaths reduced in the first period (RR 0.96 (0.92-0.99)) and then increased  
151 in the second (RR 1.12 (1.08-1.16)) ( $p_{\text{difference}} < 0.001$ ) (Figure 1) (Table 2).

152

153

**Figure 1. Risk of death per month (per 1,00,000 person years) and trends of risk across study period.**



154

155

**Relative Risks are per year with 95% confidence intervals. Risk per month smoothed over 5 month period.**

156

157

A similar profile was seen for deaths from underlying disease, from infection, and from substance abuse across the time period (Infection,  $p<0.001$ ; Underlying Disease,  $p<0.001$ ; Substance Abuse,  $p=0.021$ ) (Figure 2). In contrast, for deaths after Intrapartum events, an increasing risk in the first period (RR) was followed by a reduction in the second (RR) ( $p=0.004$ ); and a similar, if weaker, pattern was seen with deaths after suicide ( $p=0.073$ ).

161

Deaths from preterm birth, trauma and SUDIC, had increases in risk across the entire 4 year study period, with little evidence of a change in trajectory during the pandemic (Preterm birth,  $p=0.562$ ; Trauma,  $p=0.358$ ; SUDIC,  $p=0.281$ ).


163

There was no change in the risk of death from Malignancy overall ( $p=0.831$ ), or any change in trend during the

164

pandemic ( $p=0.947$ ).

165 **Figure 2. Risk of death per month (per 1,00,000 person years) and trends of risk across study period, split by**  
 166 **category of death**




167  
 168 **Relative Risks are per year with 95% confidence intervals. Risk per month smoothed over 5 month period.**

169  
 170 Repeating the analysis, split by child characteristics, suggested that patterns of changing mortality were not modified  
 171 by sex ( $p=0.098$ ), area of residence ( $p=0.176$ ) or region of England ( $p=0.256$ ). However, the profile did differ by age  
 172 ( $p<0.001$ ), with mortality clearly dropping and then rising for children between 1 and 4 years old ( $p<0.001$ ). A similar  
 173 pattern was seen in children under 1, and between 5 and 15 years, although evidence of an initial drop was weaker  
 174 in both groups (Infants RR 0.97 (0.93-1.01); 5-15 years RR 0.95 (0.93-1.18)). The risk appeared to increase across the  
 175 whole time period for children in the oldest age group (16 and 17 years old) (RR 1.08 (1.03-1.14)). The pattern of  
 176 mortality also appeared to differ by ethnicity with children from Asian or Asian British ( $p<0.001$ ), Black or Black  
 177 British ( $p=0.012$ ) and Other ( $p=0.003$ ) groups having a measurable reduction in mortality across the first period, and



178 then an increase in the second. For children from White and Mixed background, any possible trend did not appear to  
179 be affected by the pandemic, with a general risk increase across the 4 years for white CYP (RR 1.05 (1.03-1.07)) and  
180 only weak evidence of an increase for those from a Mixed background (RR 1.05 (0.99-1.12)). For measures of  
181 deprivation, there was evidence of a change in risk trajectory for the 3 most deprived quintiles across the pandemic,  
182 with a decrease in the first half, and rise in the second. Children in the 2 least deprived quintiles had similar trends  
183 throughout the 4 years, with a general increase for the least deprived group (Deciles 9/10, RR 1.06 (1.01-1.10), or  
184 little evidence of change (Deciles 7/8, RR 1.02 (0.98-1.07)).  
185 Finally, the relative risk of dying in the more deprived half of England remained similar across the first two years of  
186 this study ( $p_{\text{trend}}=0.526$ ) (Figure 3, S3 Appendix), but there was weak evidence that inequalities increased in the  
187 second half ( $p_{\text{trend}}=0.093$ ), with the highest RR seen in the final year (2022-23; RR 2.47 (2.30-2.66)). The relative risk  
188 of dying in children from Non-white backgrounds, compared to White children, reduced over the 1<sup>st</sup> period  
189 ( $p_{\text{trend}}=0.021$ ), but then increased ( $p_{\text{trend}}<0.001$ ), to, again, the highest RR seen across the 4 years (2022-23; RR 1.70  
190 (1.59-1.83)).

191 **Figure 3. Relative Risk of childhood death for children in the more deprived (compared to the less deprived) areas,**  
192 **and children of non-white ethnicities (compared to those from white background), by year**



193  
194

## 195 **DISCUSSION**

196 While the number of deaths in children and young people (CYP) in England overall dropped during the first year of  
197 the COVID-19 pandemic, any reduction of mortality has now been lost by increased risks of death in the subsequent  
198 two years.

199 Overall, mortality since the start of the pandemic is now higher than the year preceding the pandemic, and this  
200 profile is seen across a number of causes of death; including Infections. In contrast some causes of death (notably  
201 preterm birth, SUDIC, and trauma) have continued to increase over the 4 years of the study period. Two categories  
202 (Suicide and Intrapartum events) may have increased over the pandemic, but risk is now reducing back to similar  
203 baseline levels. The rate of reduction in mortality, and its subsequent return to pre-pandemic levels appears to have  
204 been most striking in the most vulnerable CYP. Finally, overall increases in mortality appear highest in the oldest  
205 children. Indeed, the oldest group here (16/17 years old) demonstrate a steady increase in mortality across the 4  
206 years studied.

### 207 **Limitations**

208 This work is based on the ongoing data collection from the NCMD, and previous work has shown good validation and  
209 coverage(14). While we had some missing data on some demographics (e.g. ethnicity), data completeness was  
210 generally good, and the primary analysis of risks was based on the statutory reporting of deaths. We have used ONS  
211 data to derive the population at risk, and this was estimated at a mid-point of the study. Changes may have biased  
212 our estimates (e.g. significant, differential migration, during the pandemic), and consequently absolute risk in  
213 particular should be interpreted with caution. However, the population came from a recent National Census and  
214 numbers of deaths are relatively small; making changes in the denominators unlikely to change the conclusions here.  
215 Fortunately, child death in England is rare, particularly for causes such as suicide and substance abuse deaths, which  
216 may further limit interpretation. Finally, the category of death in this work is provisional, based on information  
217 available at 48 hours, and further CDOP investigations may modify this in some cases.

### 218 **In Context**

219 Overall, the number of deaths of CYP appears to be increasing, and is now above the level seen pre-pandemic(3, 4,  
220 15), although four patterns across the 4 years appeared to exist. Two categories of death appeared to follow (and  
221 likely drive) this profile: deaths from infection and underlying disease. Both metrics are likely driven by a reduction in  
222 circulating pathogens, and public health initiatives to shield vulnerable CYP. These deaths are often in vulnerable

223 CYP, either due to underlying health problems, or in vulnerable social groups; and the transient reduction in deaths  
224 across the pandemic appeared to have benefited these individuals most, reducing health inequalities across England.  
225 However, any benefits, temporary resources or learning from the pandemic, which helped reduce deaths of these  
226 children, appear to have been lost. Changes in behavior (e.g. enhanced hand washing)(16) or wider, state-based  
227 enhanced social support, which benefited our most vulnerable families, appear to be not delivering their previous  
228 benefits. As well as medically vulnerable children, we know that underlying mortality is higher in those from most  
229 deprived areas(17), and for some minority ethnic groups(18, 19). The benefits to these groups appeared to be the  
230 largest seen in this work, but again, any benefit appears to have disappeared, with rising mortality in those groups  
231 where, we saw transient reductions in health inequities.

232 Two further profiles of mortality were seen in this work. For two conditions (suicide and intrapartum events) we saw  
233 an increase in the number during the pandemic, and then a reduction leaving it. For both of these categories, a lack  
234 of data prior to 2019-20 limits the interpretation, and the trajectory of these deaths, had the pandemic not occurred,  
235 is unclear. We know suicide in CYP has risen in many countries over the last 10 years(20), and the apparent peak  
236 during lockdown in this work may represent the existing trend, or an effect of the social restrictions(21). However,  
237 the subsequent reduction was unexpected and further close monitoring is essential. For intrapartum events, the  
238 increase in deaths was unexpected, on a background of national initiatives to reduce brain injury, and death, around  
239 birth (e.g. the Each Baby Counts program)(22). Clearly, the subsequent reduction back to pre-pandemic levels is  
240 welcome, and may represent a lag in these initiatives embedding in practice. Perhaps the most surprising findings  
241 here were the conditions where, despite COVID, lockdown and broad societal change, little impact of the pandemic  
242 was apparent. Apparently indifferent to the pandemic impacts, we saw a general rise across the 4 years for deaths  
243 caused by preterm birth, trauma and SUDIC. Death by trauma is now the 4<sup>th</sup> most common cause of childhood  
244 deaths in England, and while changes in practice around preterm birth(23); may influence some of the trend seen  
245 here, death after preterm birth continues to increase to the highest level since NCMD started collecting data, and  
246 remains a substantial contributor to the further widening of health inequalities in England(18, 24).

247 Finally, while malignancy, a common cause of childhood death, continues to show little, to no, overall influence from  
248 the pandemic, or generally over the time-period studied. Despite concerns related to later diagnosis or initiation of  
249 treatment, deaths from malignancy (the 3<sup>rd</sup> highest category in this work) remains similar to 2019-20 levels, although  
250 we do know that this group was the one of the most vulnerable(2, 25). As with preterm birth, since the underpinning

251 risk of death in this group is high(26) the effect of the pandemic may not have been considerable enough to be  
252 identified.

### 253 **Conclusion**

254 Any mortality gains, often to vulnerable children, seen during the wide-ranging social changes put in place to reduce  
255 the spread and impact of COVID-19, have now dissipated. Overall child mortality in 2022-23 in England is now higher  
256 than before the pandemic. Three causes of death, trauma, SUDIC and preterm birth, appear to have continued to  
257 increase in incidence over the 4 years, with only Suicide and Intrapartum event deaths showing evidence of a  
258 reducing trend (although both of which may have increased during the pandemic). For all other causes of death,  
259 rates are either static, or worsening. In addition, the reductions in health inequalities seen during the pandemic in  
260 the most deprived children in England, and in our highest risk minority ethnic groups, have now reversed, with  
261 higher measures of inequalities than before the pandemic, for most vulnerable groups.

262

## 263 **Acknowledgements**

264 We thank all Child Death Overview Panels (CDOPs) who submitted data for the purposes of this report and all child  
265 death review professionals for submitting data and providing additional information when requested.

266 Parent and public involvement is at the heart of the NCMD programme. We are indebted to Charlotte Bevan (Sands -  
267 Stillbirth and Neonatal Death Charity), Ann Chalmers (Child Bereavement UK) and Jenny Ward (Lullaby Trust), who  
268 represent bereaved families on the NCMD programme steering group.

269 We thank the NCMD team for technical and administrative support.

270 David Odd had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the  
271 accuracy of the data analysis.

272

## 273 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

274 The NCMD legal basis to collect confidential and personal level data under the Common Law Duty of Confidentiality  
275 has been established through the Children Act 2004 Sections M - N, Working Together to Safeguard Children 2018

276 ([https://consult.education.gov.uk/child-protection-safeguarding-and-family-law/working-together-to-safeguard-  
277 children-revisions-t/supporting\\_documents/Working%20Together%20to%20Safeguard%20Children.pdf](https://consult.education.gov.uk/child-protection-safeguarding-and-family-law/working-together-to-safeguard-children-revisions-t/supporting_documents/Working%20Together%20to%20Safeguard%20Children.pdf)) and

278 associated Child Death Review Statutory & Operational

279 Guidance [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/8593  
280 02/child-death-review-statutory-and-operational-guidance-england.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/859302/child-death-review-statutory-and-operational-guidance-england.pdf)).

281 The NCMD legal basis to collect personal data under the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) without consent  
282 is defined by GDPR Article 6 (e) Public task and 9 (h) Health or social care (with a basis in law).

283

## 284 **Funding**

285 The National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) Programme, including this work, is funded by NHS-England and  
286 commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) as part of the National Clinical Audit and  
287 Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP). The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection,  
288 management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and  
289 decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

290

291 **Authors Contributions**

292 DO: I declare that I participated in the study concept and design, contributed to acquisition, analysis and  
293 interpretation of data, drafting and review of the manuscript and that I have seen and approved the final version.

294 SS: I declare that I participated in the study design, contributed to data acquisition, linkage, analysis and  
295 interpretation of analysis, drafting and review of the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final  
296 version.

297 TW: I declare that I participated in the study design, contributed to data acquisition, linkage, analysis and  
298 interpretation of data analyses, reviewing the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the final version.

299 PF: I declare that I contributed to study design, interpretation of data analysis, reviewing the manuscript; and that I  
300 have seen and approved the final version.

301 KL: I declare that I obtained funding for this work, participated in the study concept and design, contributed to data  
302 acquisition and interpretation of data, drafting and reviewing the manuscript; and that I have seen and approved the  
303 final version.

304

305 **Transparency statement**

306 Prof Karen Luyt (the manuscript's guarantor) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent  
307 account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any  
308 discrepancies from the study as originally planned have been explained.

309

310 **Competing Interests**

311 DO: I have no conflicts of interest.

312 SS: I have no conflicts of interest.

313 TW: I have no conflicts of interest.

314 PF: I have no conflicts of interest.

315 KL: I have no conflicts of interest.

316

317 **Funding**

318 The National Child Mortality Database (NCMD) Programme is commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement  
319 Partnership (HQIP) as part of the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP). HQIP is led by  
320 a consortium of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, the Royal College of Nursing, and National Voices. Its aim is  
321 to promote quality improvement in patient outcomes. HQIP holds the contract to commission, manage and develop  
322 the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP), comprising around 40 projects covering  
323 care provided to people with a wide range of medical, surgical and mental health conditions. NCAPOP is funded by  
324 NHS England, the Welsh Government and, with some individual projects, other devolved administrations and crown  
325 dependencies [www.hqip.org.uk/national-programmes](http://www.hqip.org.uk/national-programmes). NHS England provided additional funding to the NCMD to  
326 enable rapid set up of the real-time surveillance system and staff time to support its function but had no input into  
327 the data analysis or interpretation.

328 KL is partly funded by National Institute for Health and Care Research Applied Research Collaboration West (NIHR  
329 ARC West)

330

### 331 **Availability of data**

332 Aggregate data may be available on request to the corresponding author, and subject to approval by HQIP.

333

## 334 REFERENCES

- 335 1. 14.9 million excess deaths associated with the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 and 2021. World Health  
336 Organisation. 2022.
- 337 2. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Thursby-Pelham A, Ladhani S, Oligbu G, et al. Deaths in Children and Young  
338 People in England following SARS-CoV-2 infection during the first two years of the pandemic: a national study using  
339 linked mandatory child death reporting data. Research Square. 2023.
- 340 3. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Fleming P, Luyt K. Child mortality in England during the first year of the  
341 COVID-19 pandemic. Arch Dis Child. 2022;107(3):e22.
- 342 4. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Sleaf V, Blair P, Fleming P, et al. Child mortality in England during the COVID-  
343 19 pandemic. Arch Dis Child. 2022;107(1):14-20.
- 344 5. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Fleming P, Luyt K. Child Mortality in England During the First 2 Years of the  
345 COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(1):e2249191.
- 346 6. Odd D, Stoianova S, Sleaf V, Williams T, Cook N, McGeehan L, et al. Child Mortality and Social Deprivation.  
347 National Child Mortality Database (UK). 2021.
- 348 7. Wise PH, Chamberlain LJ. Adversity and Opportunity—The Pandemic’s Paradoxical Effect on Child Health and  
349 Well-being. JAMA Pediatrics. 2022;176(7):e220063-e.
- 350 8. Odd D, Williams T, Appleby L, Gunnell D, Luyt K. Child suicide rates during the COVID-19 pandemic in  
351 England. J Affect Disord Rep. 2021;6:100273.
- 352 9. Child Death Review: Statutory and Operational Guidance (England). HM Government (UK). London; 2018.
- 353 10. Census 2021: Office for National Statistics (ONS); 2022 [Available from: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/census>].
- 354 11. Sidebotham P, Fox J, Horwath J, Powell C, Shahid P. Preventing Childhood Deaths. Department for children,  
355 schools and families (UK). 2008.
- 356 12. Area Classifications in Great Britain.
- 357 13. McLennan D, Noble S, Noble M, Plunkett E, Wright G, Gutacker N. The English Indices of Deprivation 2019:  
358 Technical Report. Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government. 2019.
- 359 14. Battersby C, Statnikov Y, Santhakumaran S, Gray D, Modi N, Costeloe K. The United Kingdom National  
360 Neonatal Research Database: A validation study. PloS one. 2018;13(8):e0201815.
- 361 15. Williams T, Sleaf V, Stoianova S, Rossouw G, Cook N, Odd D, et al. NCMD second annual report. National  
362 Child Mortality Database (UK). 2021.
- 363 16. Sansone V, Miraglia Del Giudice G, Della Polla G, Angelillo IF. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on  
364 behavioral changes in healthcare workers in Italy. Front Public Health. 2024;12:1335953.
- 365 17. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Odd D, Kurinczuk JJ, Wolfe I, et al. What is the relationship between  
366 deprivation, modifiable factors and childhood deaths: a cohort study using the English National Child Mortality  
367 Database. BMJ Open. 2022;12(12):e066214.
- 368 18. Odd DE, Stoianova S, Williams T, Odd D, Edi-Osagie N, McClymont C, et al. Race and Ethnicity, Deprivation,  
369 and Infant Mortality in England, 2019-2022. JAMA Netw Open. 2024;7(2):e235403.
- 370 19. Freemantle N, Wood J, Griffin C, Gill P, Calvert MJ, Shankar A, et al. What factors predict differences in infant  
371 and perinatal mortality in primary care trusts in England? A prognostic model. Bmj. 2009;339:b2892.
- 372 20. Padmanathan P, Bould H, Winstone L, Moran P, Gunnell D. Social media use, economic recession and income  
373 inequality in relation to trends in youth suicide in high-income countries: a time trends analysis. J Affect Disord.  
374 2020;275:58-65.
- 375 21. Gunnell D, Appleby L, Arensman E, Hawton K, John A, Kapur N, et al. Suicide risk and prevention during the  
376 COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(6):468-71.
- 377 22. Each Baby Counts: 2019 Progress Report. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists; 2020 2020.
- 378 23. Davis PJ, Fenton AC, Stutchfield CJ, Draper ES. Rising infant mortality rates in England and Wales. BMJ.  
379 2018;361.
- 380 24. Odd D, Williams T, Stoianova S, Rossouw G, Fleming P, Luyt K. Newborn Health and Child Mortality Across  
381 England. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(10):e2338055.
- 382 25. Wilde H, Tomlinson C, Mateen BA, Selby D, Kanthimathinathan HK, Ramnarayan P, et al. Hospital admissions  
383 linked to SARS-CoV-2 infection in children and adolescents: cohort study of 3.2 million first ascertained infections in  
384 England. Bmj. 2023;382:e073639.
- 385 26. Odd D, Williams T, Stoianova S, Sleaf V, Glover N, Rossouw G, et al. The Contribution of Newborn Health to  
386 Child Mortality across England.: National Child Mortality Database (UK); 2022 [Available from:  
387 <https://www.ncmd.info/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Perinatal-FINAL.pdf>].



**Table 1. Risk (per 1,000,000 children) by year of death, stratified by cause of death.**

Measure	N	Pre-pandemic Period	Pandemic Period			
		Risk (per 1,000,000 population)	Risk (per 1,000,000 population)			Excess deaths in 2020-2023
		2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	
All Deaths	12,828	274.2 (264.8-283.8)	242.2 (233.4-251.2)	276.8 (267.4-286.5)	296.1 (286.3-306.1)	-88 (-473 to 297)
Death by Cause						
Malignancy	1041	22.0 (19.4-24.8)	22.4 (19.8-25.3)	21.2 (18.7-24.0)	22.8 (20.1-25.7)	5 (-104 to 114)
Preterm Birth	2860	59.3 (55.0-63.9)	56.1 (51.9-60.6)	64.3 (59.8-69.0)	63.1 (58.6-67.8)	64 (-116 to 244)
Intrapartum event	686	14.2 (12.1-16.5)	15.9 (13.7-18.3)	15.7 (13.5-18.1)	12.5 (10.5-14.7)	18 (-70 to 106.2)
Infection	643	14.1 (12.0-16.4)	6.8 (5.4-8.5)	13.6 (11.6-15.9)	20.1 (17.6-22.9)	-21 (-108 to 66)
Trauma	821	14.0 (12.0-16.3)	16.6 (14.4-19.1)	18.5 (16.1-21.1)	20.5 (18.0-23.3)	161 (70 to 252)
Substance Abuse	55	1.7 (1.0-2.6)	0.8 (0.3-1.6)	0.8 (0.3-1.5)	1.4 (0.8-2.2)	-25 (-54 to 4)
Suicide	477	9.4 (7.8-11.4)	10.2 (8.4-12.2)	11.6 (9.7-13.7)	9.3 (7.7-11.3)	33 (-39 to 105)
SUDIC	1881	36.8 (33.4-40.4)	35.8 (33.4-40.4)	42.3 (38.7-46.2)	45.1 (41.3-49.1)	149 (6 to 292)
Underlying Disease	4056	92.7 (87.3-98.4)	69.2 (64.5-74.1)	84.7 (79.5-90.1)	97.8 (92.2-103.6)	-312 (-534 to -90)

Numbers are risks per 1,000,000 children per year

**Table 2. Trends for overall, and categories of deaths, across the whole time period, and split between the two time periods.**

Measure	N	Trend over whole period (2019-2023)		Trend over 1 <sup>st</sup> (2019-2021) or 2 <sup>nd</sup> (2021-2023) period		
		RR (95% CI)	p <sub>trend</sub>	Period 1 (April 2019-March 2021)	Period 2 (April 2021-March 2023)	Evidence of change in trajectory
All Deaths	12,828	1.04 (1.02-1.05)	<0.001	0.96 (0.92-0.99)	1.12 (1.08-1.16)	<0.001
Death by Cause						
Malignancy	1041	1.01 (0.95-1.06)	0.831	1.00 (0.89-1.13)	1.01 (0.90-1.13)	0.947
Preterm Birth	2860	1.03 (1.00-1.07)	0.046	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	1.05 (0.98-1.13)	0.562
Intrapartum event	686	0.95 (0.89-1.02)	0.135	1.15 (1.00-1.34)	0.78 (0.68-0.91)	0.004
Infection	643	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	<0.001	0.71 (0.60-0.84)	1.80 (1.57-2.07)	<0.001
Trauma	821	1.12 (1.06-1.20)	<0.001	1.19 (1.03-1.38)	1.07 (0.94-1.21)	0.358
Substance Abuse	55	0.90 (0.71-1.13)	0.363	0.52 (0.31-0.87)	1.58 (0.94-2.67)	0.021
Suicide	477	1.01 (0.93-1.09)	0.849	1.17 (0.97-1.40)	0.88 (0.74-1.04)	0.073
SUDIC	1881	1.09 (1.04-1.13)	<0.001	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	1.13 (1.04-1.23)	0.281
Underlying Disease	4056	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.006	0.85 (0.80-0.90)	1.25 (1.18-1.32)	<0.001

Numbers are Relative Risk (95% CI)

**Table 3. Relative risk of death, per year, over the whole 4 years, and split into the two periods; results stratified by CYP characteristics.**

Measure	N	Trend over whole period (2019-2023)		Trend over 1 <sup>st</sup> (2019-2021) or 2 <sup>nd</sup> (2021-2023) period			p <sub>interaction</sub>
		RR (95% CI)	p <sub>trend</sub>	Period 1 (April 2019-March 2021)	Period 2 (April 2021-March 2023)	Evidence of change in trajectory	
Age of Death	12,828						<0.001
<1 years	7622	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.244	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	1.05 (1.01-1.10)	0.041	
1-4 years	1475	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	0.004	0.85 (0.76-0.94)	1.31 (1.19-1.43)	<0.001	
5-15 Years	2643	1.09 (1.06-1.13)	<0.001	0.95 (0.88-1.03)	1.23 (1.15-1.32)	<0.001	
16-17 Years	1088	1.08 (1.03-1.14)	0.004	1.05 (0.93-1.18)	1.11 (0.99-1.24)	0.592	
Sex	12,774						0.098
Female	5499	1.02 (1.00-1.05)	0.082	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	1.13 (1.09-1.18)	<0.001	
Male	7275	1.06 (1.04-1.08)	<0.001	0.94 (0.89-0.99)	1.10 (1.05-1.16)	<0.001	
Area of residence	12,782						0.176
Rural	1450	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	0.083	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	1.15 (1.05-1.27)	0.010	
Urban (London)	2187	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.657	0.93 (0.86-1.01)	1.08 (1.00-1.17)	0.041	
Urban (Not London)	9145	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	<0.001	0.97 (0.93-1.02)	1.12 (1.08-1.16)	<0.001	
Ethnicity	12,142						<0.001
Asian or British Asian	2242	1.10 (1.06-1.15)	<0.001	0.93 (0.86-1.01)	1.28 (1.18-1.38)	<0.001	
Black or British Black	1039	1.12 (1.06-1.18)	<0.001	0.97 (0.85-1.10)	1.27 (1.14-1.42)	0.012	
Mixed	742	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.097	1.04 (0.90-1.20)	1.07 (0.94-1.22)	0.823	
Other <sup>a</sup>	361	1.13 (1.03-1.24)	0.008	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	1.45 (1.20-1.75)	0.003	
White	7758	1.05 (1.03-1.07)	<0.001	1.04 (0.99-1.08)	1.06 (1.01-1.10)	0.601	
Region of CDOP	12,828						0.256
East Midlands	1054	1.03 (0.98-1.09)	0.201	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	1.07 (0.96-1.20)	0.465	
East of England	1233	1.02 (0.98-1.08)	0.337	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	1.12 (1.01-1.25)	0.050	
London	2192	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.690	0.93 (0.85-1.01)	1.09 (1.01-1.18)	0.032	
North East	633	1.11 (1.03-1.18)	0.004	1.04 (0.88-1.22)	1.17 (1.01-1.35)	0.389	
North West	1903	1.07 (1.03-1.11)	0.001	0.96 (0.88-1.06)	1.17 (1.08-1.27)	0.015	
South East	1706	1.03 (0.99-1.07)	0.191	0.95 (0.86-1.04)	1.11 (1.01-1.21)	0.069	
South West	947	1.01 (0.96-1.07)	0.644	0.97 (0.85-1.10)	1.05 (0.94-1.19)	0.459	
West Midlands	1721	1.06 (1.02-1.11)	0.005	0.97 (0.88-1.07)	1.15 (1.05-1.25)	0.002	
Yorkshire and the Humber	1439	1.07 (1.02-1.12)	0.003	0.97 (0.88-1.08)	1.16 (1.06-1.26)	0.052	
Deprivation Decile	12,753						<0.001
1/2 (Most deprived)	4377	1.06 (1.04-1.09)	<0.001	0.95 (0.89-1.01)	1.18 (1.12-1.25)	<0.001	
3/4	2904	1.04 (1.01-1.08)	0.014	0.97 (0.90-1.04)	1.11 (1.04-1.19)	0.002	
5/6	2236	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.905	0.92 (0.85-1.00)	1.08 (1.00-1.17)	0.033	
7/8	1760	1.02 (0.98-1.07)	0.274	0.97 (0.88-1.07)	1.07 (0.98-1.17)	0.233	
9/10 (Least deprived)	1476	1.06 (1.01-1.10)	0.014	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	1.07 (0.97-1.17)	0.832	

(a) Other ethnic group (Arab, Any other ethnic group)

Numbers are Relative Risk (95% CI)

## S1 Appendix. Characteristics of the populations of child deaths reported to NCMD in England between April 2019 and March 2023.

Measure	N	Child deaths reported - Number (%)				p
		2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	
All Deaths	12,828	3229	2852	3260	3487	-
Age of Death	12,828					<0.001
<1 years	7622	1952 (60.5%)	1772 (62.1%)	1943 (59.6%)	1955 (56.1%)	
1-4 years	1475	397 (12.3%)	270 (9.%)	367 (11.3%)	441 (12.7%)	
5-15 Years	2643	629 (19.5%)	563 (19.7%)	662 (20.3%)	789 (22.6%)	
15-17 Years	1088	251 (7.8%)	247 (8.7%)	288 (8.8%)	302 (8.7%)	
Sex	12,774					0.196
Female	5499	1417 (44.1%)	1236 (43.7%)	1397 (42.9%)	1449 (41.7%)	
Male	7275	1795 (55.9%)	1594 (56.3%)	1857 (57.1%)	2029 (58.3%)	
Area of residence	12,782					0.036
Rural	1450	391 (12.2%)	284 (10.0%)	382 (11.8%)	393 (11.3%)	
Urban (London)	2187	570 (7.8%)	516 (18.2%)	521 (16.0%)	580 (16.7%)	
Urban (Not London)	9145	2251 (70.1%)	2043 (71.9%)	2349 (72.2%)	2502 (72.0%)	
Ethnicity	12,142					<0.001
Asian or British Asian	2242	549 (18.7%)	442 (16.9%)	572 (17.8%)	679 (20.2%)	
Black or British Black	1039	236 (8.0%)	229 (8.8%)	249 (7.7%)	325 (9.7%)	
Mixed	742	190 (6.5%)	147 (5.6%)	210 (6.5%)	195 (5.8%)	
Other <sup>a</sup>	361	90 (3.1%)	63 (2.4%)	86 (2.7%)	133 (3.6%)	
White	7758	1876 (63.8%)	1737 (66.4%)	2099 (65.3%)	2046 (60.8%)	
Region of CDOP	12,828					0.803
East Midlands	1054	266 (8.2%)	232 (8.1%)	275 (8.4%)	281 (8.1%)	
East of England	1233	329 (10.2%)	261 (9.2%)	318 (9.8%)	325 (9.3%)	
London	2192	573 (17.8%)	516 (18.1%)	521 (16.0%)	582 (16.7%)	
North East	633	147 (4.6%)	127 (4.5%)	175 (5.4%)	184 (5.3%)	
North West	1903	460 (14.3%)	422 (14.8%)	490 (15.0%)	531 (15.2%)	
South East	1706	442 (13.7%)	367 (12.9%)	443 (13.6%)	454 (13.0%)	
South West	947	241 (7.5%)	226 (7.9%)	235 (7.2%)	245 (7.0%)	
West Midlands	1721	416 (12.9%)	383 (13.4%)	442 (14.6%)	480 (13.8%)	
Yorkshire and the Humber	1439	355 (11.0%)	318 (11.2%)	361 (11.1%)	405 (11.6%)	
Deprivation Decile	12,753					
1/2 (Most deprived)	4377	1077 (33.6%)	963 (33.9%)	1088 (33.5%)	1249 (35.1%)	
3/4	2904	730 (22.8%)	646 (22.8%)	729 (22.5%)	799 (23.1%)	
5/6	2236	593 (18.5%)	510 (18.0%)	558 (17.2%)	575 (16.6%)	
7/8	1760	457 (14.3%)	383 (13.5%)	466 (14.4%)	454 (13.1%)	
9/10 (Least deprived)	1476	350 (10.9%)	335 (11.8%)	403 (12.4%)	388 (11.2%)	

(a) Other ethnic group (Arab, Any other ethnic group)

## S2 Appendix. Causes of child deaths reported to NCMD in England between April 2019 and March 2023.

Measure	N	Child deaths reported – Number (Percent)				p
		2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	
All Deaths	12,828	3229	2852	3260	3487	-
Death by Cause	12,520					<0.001
Malignancy	1041	259 (22.5%)	264 (9.6%)	250 (7.8%)	268 (7.8%)	
Preterm Birth	743	699 (22.5%)	661 (24.0%)	757 (23.6%)	743 (21.6%)	
Intrapartum Event	686	167 (5.4%)	187 (6.8%)	185 (5.8%)	147 (4.3%)	
Infection	643	166 (5.3%)	80 (2.9%)	160 (5.0%)	237 (6.9%)	
Trauma	821	165 (5.3%)	196 (7.1%)	218 (6.8%)	242 (7.0%)	
Substance Abuse	55	20 (0.6%)	10 (0.4%)	9 (0.3%)	16 (0.5%)	
Suicide	477	111 (3.6%)	120 (4.4%)	136 (4.2%)	110 (3.2%)	
SUDIC	1881	433 (13.9%)	419 (15.2%)	498 (15.5%)	531 (15.4%)	
Underlying Disease	4056	1092 (35.1%)	815 (29.6%)	997 (31.1%)	1152 (33.4%)	

**S3 Appendix. Relative risk of death, for each year of the study, compared by measures of deprivation and ethnicity.**

Measure	N	Year				Period 1 (April 2019-March 2021)	Period 2 (April 2021- March 2023)
		2019-2020	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	Ptrend	Ptrend
Deprivation							
Least Deprived Areas	4307	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)		-
Most deprived areas	8449	2.29 (2.13-2.46)	2.29 (2.12-2.47)	2.20 (2.05-2.37)	2.47 (2.30-2.66)	0.526	0.093
Ethnicity							
White	7758	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)	1 (Ref)		
Any non-White Ethnicity	4384	1.50 (1.39-1.62)	1.34 (1.23-1.45)	1.40 (1.31-1.51)	1.70 (1.59-1.83)	0.021	<0.001
Asian or British Asian	2242	1.72 (1.57-1.90)	1.50 (1.35-1.66)	1.61 (1.46-1.76)	1.95 (1.79-2.13)	0.029	<0.001
Black or British Black	1039	1.60 (1.40-1.83)	1.68 (1.46-1.92)	1.51 (1.32-1.72)	2.02 (1.79-2.27)	0.297	0.002
Mixed	742	1.08 (0.93-1.25)	0.90 (0.76-1.07)	1.07 (0.93-1.23)	1.02 (0.88-1.18)	0.998	0.908
Other <sup>a</sup>	361	1.31 (1.06-1.62)	0.99 (0.77-1.27)	1.12 (0.90-1.39)	1.63 (1.36-1.95)	0.055	0.001

(a) Other ethnic group (Arab, Any other ethnic group)

Numbers are Relative Risk (95% CI)