Prevalence and associated factors of premarital sexual behavior among undergraduate
youths of management colleges of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan city, Kailali, Nepal
Short title:
Premarital sexual behavior among youths in Nepal
Princy Bhatta ^{1,2} *, Min Bahadur Kunwar ¹ , Arun Kumar Joshi ³ , Dharma Dev Bhatta ⁴ , Ankit
Acharya ^{1,2}
¹ Department of Public Health, Nobel College, Pokhara University, Kathmandu, Nepal;
² Sustainable Public Health, Katunje-5, Bhaktapur;
³ Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Nepal;
⁴ Aishwarya Multiple Campus, Dhangadhi, Nepal.
*Corresponding author:
princybhatta56@gmail.com, contact: +977 9842032310.

Abstract

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Background

19 Although sexuality remains a taboo in Nepali society, the prevalence of premarital sexual activities

is increasing. Youth who begin early premarital sexual activity are more likely to be engaged in

unsafe sex. This study aims to determine the prevalence and associated factors of premarital sex

among college-going youths of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City, Kailali (DSMC).

Methodology

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in management colleges with Bachelor of

Business Studies (BBS) programs in DSMC. The sample size for the study was 374. The

probability proportionate to size sampling technique was adopted for sample estimation for the

selected colleges. The data was entered, cleaned, and analyzed in SPSS26. Bivariate analysis was

done using Pearson's chi-square test was used to determine differences between groups. A simple

logistic regression model was conducted to assess the association between several characteristics

of the respondents and the outcome variable- premarital sex, followed by multiple logistic

regression to evaluate the combined impacts of various predictors on premarital sex.

Results

The prevalence of premarital sex among undergraduate college youths was 35.3%, of which 52.3%

were males. Among those undergraduate youths, more than half (51.5%) had experienced their

first intercourse before 18 years of age. At least 1 out of 10 respondents reported that their

intercourse led to pregnancy. In model I, in simple logistic regression, predictors such as age,

gender, relationship status, dating experience (p-value < 0.001), communication with parents (p-

value = 0.002), family type (p-value = 0.020), and family income (p-value = 0.002) were

significantly associated with premarital sex. Furthermore, not living with parents, parent's

40 education level, friends with sex partners, friends having dating experience, and awareness that friends have sex were also among the factors significantly associated with premarital sex. In the 41 multiple logistic regression, in Model II, relationship status (aOR, 44.47; 95% CI: 7.88, 251.08), 42 dating experience (aOR, 24.00; 95% CI: 5.36, 107.58), discussion about sexual health with parents 43 (aOR, 8.16; 95% CI: 2.25, 29.62), and knowing friends who had premarital sex (aOR, 15.82; 95% 44 45 CI: 2.92, 85.61) were significantly associated with premarital sex after controlling for potential confounding predictors. 46 Conclusion 47 48 Sex education interventions within and beyond educational institutions are crucial to increase the level of awareness and protect the physical, mental, and social health of young unmarried partners 49 in Nepal. 50 51 **Keywords**

Premarital sex, Youths, Nepal.

Introduction

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

In 2018, the global youth population was 1.2 billion, with over 490 million young people in South Asia (1). In Nepal, young people aged 15-24 years constitute 19.71% of the total population (2). This young group of population demands high-quality sexual health care to address their specific sexual health needs and rights. Yet, poor understanding and awareness of sexuality and well-being complemented by limited access to sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services have been a major challenge for youths in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) to ensure a healthy transition to adulthood (3). Societies in LMICs across the world, where the majority of the youths reside, often associate immorality with young people's sexuality and sexual practices before marriage. Because of these, young people are more likely to engage in risky sexual behaviors and may suffer physically, mentally, psycho-socially, and financially from its outcomes. Not only this, taboos related to sexuality are one of the major factors for the communication gap between youths and adults in those societies (3-5). Premarital sex, also known as non-marital sex, youthful sex, adolescent sex, and young-adult sex, refers to sexual activity practiced before a couple is married (6). Advancements in science and technological innovations resulted in increased access to mobile phones with the internet, making it easy for young people to have social and romantic interactions (7, 8). Nepal Adolescents and Youth Survey 2010/11 reports that 12.98% of young people aged 15-24 years are involved in premarital sex (23.4% boys and 3.66% girls) (9). According to the Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2022, 2% of never-married women and 25% of never-married men aged 15-24 had premarital sexual experience. The proportion of premarital sex has increased from less than 1% in 2006 to 2% in 2022 among never-married women, and from 17% in 2006 to 25% in 2016 and

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

2022(10). The recent demographic survey also highlights that 9% of women and 2% of men had their first sexual intercourse by age 15, i.e., before the legal age of marriage(10). Sexual behavior including premarital sex is still a sensitive topic under discussion in Nepali society (9). Therefore, youths are not adequately informed about the right choices to prevent themselves and their partners from several negative health outcomes such as sexually transmitted infections (STIs), human immune virus and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV and AIDS), teenage pregnancy, unsafe abortions, mental distress, and maternal deaths among many others (3, 11-13). Given this situation, there is a need to understand the factors that lead to premarital sexual behavior among young people to develop and implement interventions that are contextually appropriate and accepted. This study aims to assess factors affecting premarital sex among college-going youths of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City, Kailali (DSMC). Materials and methods Study design, respondents, and setting This cross-sectional study was conducted among 18-24 years Bachelor in Business Studies (BBS) program students of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City (DSMC), Kailali from July 18, 2022, to July 26, 2022. Sampling frame, sample size, and sampling procedure A multistage proportionate simple random sampling technique was used in this study. At first, the sampling frame was defined through a complete enumeration of all 4 colleges having BBS programs within DMPC. The sample size was determined using the sample size calculation method for proportions with finite population correction. The sample size from each college was

- obtained using the probability proportionate to size technique. The lottery method was used to select the academic year (1st 2nd or 3rd or 4th) for data collection. For those academic years with multiple classrooms, a classroom was selected by using a lottery method.
- Sample size calculation: 102
- 103 The sample size for this study was 374, which was determined using the following formula with
- finite population correction: 104

105
$$n = \frac{\frac{Z^2 P(1-P)}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{(Z^2 P(1-P))}{(N-1)}}$$

Where, 106

114

115

117

98

99

100

101

- 107 n = desired sample size
- z = standard normal variable at 95% Confidence Level i.e. (1.96)108
- 109 p = proportion in the target population estimated to have particular characteristics (according to
- 110 the study on premarital sexual behavior in 2021, 38.1% college youths havehad premarital sex)
- d = degree of accuracy required, set at 0.05 level 111

112
$$\frac{\frac{Z^2P(1-P)}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{(\frac{Z^2P(1-P)}{d^2})}{(N-1)}} = \frac{\frac{1.96^2 * 0.38 (1 - 0.38)}{0.05^2}}{1 + \frac{(\frac{1.96^2 * 0.38(1 - 0.38)}{0.05^2})}{(5194 - 1)}} = 340 (approx)$$

Assuming the non-response of 10%, the final sample size was 340 + 34 = 374. 113

Data Collection Technique and Tools

The principal investigator of the study did an extensive literature review to develop a structured 116 questionnaire. This was followed by incorporating experts' opinions to ensure the completeness and quality of the tool. The questionnaire was then pretested among 10% of the total sample size at another college of Dhangadhi, Kailali where data collection was not planned. The pretested questionnaire was modified accordingly, distributed among all consenting youths (374), and collected immediately after completing the survey.

Data Management and Analysis Plan

All questionnaire forms were gathered and checked for completeness and accuracy, and to ensure that they were ready for entry purposes. Data entry was done in SPSS V26, followed by data cleaning and data analysis. A backup of the original dataset was done and stored in a backup storage device and online storage system for future retrieval and use. Descriptive analysis was performed to describe the characteristics of the respondents. Bivariate analysis was done using Pearson's chi-square test was used to determine differences between groups. A simple logistic regression model was conducted to assess the association between several characteristics of the respondents and the outcome variable- premarital sex. This was followed by multiple logistic regression to assess the combined impacts of multiple predictors on premarital sex. Model I for crude odds ratio and model II for adjusted odds ratios were reported, along with 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CIs). P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Ethical Consideration

The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institution Review Committee (IRC) at Nobel College, Pokhara University. Before data collection, letters from the Department of Public Health at Nobel College were provided to all the colleges from where samples were

collected. After the completion of data collection, a letter of data collection completion was obtained from each college. The objectives of the research, potential harm and benefit to the respondents were explained to all respondents along with the approximate time required for the interview, confidentiality, anonymity of data obtained, and the option to withdraw from the interview at any time if they felt uncomfortable. Written consent was taken from each respondent who agreed to participate in the study.

Results

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

A total of 374 respondents participated in this study. The mean age and standard deviation of the respondents were 20.37 years and 1.164 years respectively. Almost three-fifths (59.4%) of respondents were male. The majority of the respondents (92.8%) followed Hinduism. More than one-third of the respondents represented Chhetri ethnicity, and nearly one-third of the respondents belonged to the Brahman community. The majority of the respondents (69.0%) were currently pursuing the second year of their undergraduate studies. Majority of the respondents (69.8%) were single. Out of 5, nearly 2 respondents (39.7%) received daily pocket money from their parents. Most of the respondents (96.0%) didn't discuss sexual health with parents. More than half of the respondents (60.3%) never had a dating experience in their life. Among all respondents, more than half (62.6%) belonged to the nuclear family. More than half of the respondent's family income was between 20,000-30,000. The majority of the respondents (69.5%) lived with their parents. In regards to parents' educational status, nearly one-third of mothers were not able to read and write (32.4%) while all fathers were able to. Nearly one-half of respondents' fathers had a secondary or higher level of education (48.3%) as compared to only 14.2% of mothers. More than half (50.4%) of respondent's father's occupations were in agriculture

and the majority (73.0%) of respondent's mothers were engaged in household work. The majority (96.3%) of respondents' parental marital status was married.

More than half (55.5 %) of the respondents do not consume any alcohol products. Among the drinkers, the majority (77.1%) of the respondents' drink alcohol only during festivals. The majority of the respondents (75.1%) do not smoke tobacco products. Among the smokers, more than half (53.8%) of the respondents smoke only during festivals, followed by 22.6% of the respondents smoking frequently and 16.15 smoking regularly. The majority (94.7%) of the respondents do not consume drugs. More than half (56.7%) of the respondents watched porn movies. Among those who watched porn movies, 1 out of 10 respondents (11.8%) watched it every day. Almost two-thirds (63.6%) of the respondents perceived it was okay to have premarital sex. Table 1 shows the individual, family, and behavioral characteristics of the respondents.

Table 1: Individual, family, and behavioral characteristics of the respondents (n=374)

	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Individual Characteristics	I	
Age (Mean + SD): 20.37+1.164		
Under 20	85	22.7
20 and above	289	77.3
Gender		
Male	222	59.4
Female	152	40.6
Ethnicity		
Brahman	113	30.2

Chhetri	132	35.3
Janajati	82	21.9
Aadhibasi	32	8.6
Dalit	15	4.0
Religion		
Hindu	347	92.8
Christian	27	7.2
Academic year		
First Year	98	26.2
Second Year	258	69.0
Third Year	18	4.8
Relationship status		
Single	261	69.8
In a relationship	113	30.2
Pocket money (n=373)		
Yes	148	39.7
No	225	60.3
Discussion about sexual health with parents (n=373)		
Yes	15	4.0
No	358	96.0
Dating experience (n=373)		
Yes	148	39.7
No	225	60.3

Family characteristics		
Family type		
Nuclear	234	62.6
Joint	140	37.4
Monthly income		
<10,000	9	2.4
10,000-20,000	57	15.2
20,000-30,000	205	54.8
>30,000	103	27.5
Living arrangement		
With parents	259	69.3
Without parents	115	30.7
Father's education (n= 346)		
Can read and write	63	16.9
Primary level	103	27.6
Secondary level	91	24.4
Higher Secondary	53	14.2
Bachelor and above	36	9.7
Mother's education		
Unable to read and write	121	32.4
Can read and write	130	34.8
Primary level	70	18.7
Secondary level	25	6.7

Higher Secondary	16	4.3
Bachelor and above	12	3.2
Father's occupation (n= 371)		
Household works	11	3.0
Agriculture	187	50.4
Public service	52	14.0
Private service	13	3.5
Business	65	17.5
Foreign Employment	30	8.1
Labor	13	3.5
Mother's occupation (n= 373)		
Household works	273	73.0
Agriculture	65	17.4
Public service	10	2.7
Private service	11	2.9
Business	13	3.5
Labor	1	0.3
Marital status of parents		
Married	360	96.3
Separated	4	1.1
Divorced	5	1.3
Widowed	5	1.3
Behavioral Characteristics	I	1

No 204 55.5 Drinking alcohol (n=170)	Drink any alcohol products		
Drinking alcohol (n=170) 2 1.2 Regularly 2 1.2 Weekly 4 2.4 Monthly 4 2.4 Frequently 29 17.1 Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products 75.1 75.1 Smoking (n=93) 24.9 75.1 Smoking (n=93) 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Yes	170	45.5
Regularly 2 1.2 Weekly 4 2.4 Monthly 4 2.4 Frequently 29 17.1 Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products 75.1 Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 50 50	No	204	55.5
Weekly 4 2.4 Monthly 4 2.4 Frequently 29 17.1 Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 354 94.7	Drinking alcohol (n=170)		
Monthly 4 2.4 Frequently 29 17.1 Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 354 94.7	Regularly	2	1.2
Frequently 29 17.1 Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Weekly	4	2.4
Only on festivals 131 77.1 Smoke any tobacco products 93 24.9 Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 50 50	Monthly	4	2.4
Smoke any tobacco products Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 50 50	Frequently	29	17.1
Yes 93 24.9 No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 354 94.7	Only on festivals	131	77.1
No 281 75.1 Smoking (n=93) 15 16.1 Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 354 94.7	Smoke any tobacco products		
Smoking (n=93) 15 16.1 Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Yes	93	24.9
Regularly 15 16.1 Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20)	No	281	75.1
Weekly 3 3.2 Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Smoking (n=93)		
Monthly 4 4.3 Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Regularly	15	16.1
Frequently 21 22.6 Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 354 94.7	Weekly	3	3.2
Only on festivals 50 53.8 Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20) 94.7	Monthly	4	4.3
Consumption of drugs 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20)	Frequently	21	22.6
Yes 20 5.3 No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20)	Only on festivals	50	53.8
No 354 94.7 Consumption of drugs (n=20)	Consumption of drugs		
Consumption of drugs (n=20)	Yes	20	5.3
	No	354	94.7
Regularly 1 5.0	Consumption of drugs (n=20)		
	Regularly	1	5.0

Monthly	2	10.0
Frequently	9	45.0
Only on festivals	8	40.0
Started using drugs (n=20)		
Before the college	9	45.0
After the college	11	55.0
Watch porn movies		
Yes	212	56.7
No	162	43.3
Watching porn movies (212)		
Everyday	25	11.8
Once a week	66	31.1
Once a month	46	21.7
Once a year	34	16.0
Frequently	41	19.3
Is it okay to have sex before marriage (n=365)		
Yes, it is okay	232	63.6
No, it is not okay	133	36.4

Among the respondents, more than one-third (35.5%) of the respondents had experienced premarital sexual experience. More than half (51.5%) had experienced premarital sex between the age of 14 and 18 years. More than one-half (50.8%) of the respondents had their first sex after college. About two-thirds (63.4%) of the respondent's reason for first sexual intercourse was falling

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

in love. More than 1 in 10 (12.1%) respondents reported that their involvement in sexual intercourse resulted in pregnancy. The majority (78.0%) of the respondents reported that they didn't use condoms during their first sexual intercourse. Among non-users, more than half (52.5%) of the respondents reported that the trust factor played an important role in not using condoms during sexual intercourse. The majority (76.5%) of the respondents didn't have sex with commercial sex workers while 23.5% had. Among those who had sex with commercial sex workers, the majority (74.2%) of the respondents used condoms. More than one-half (50.8%) of the respondents had only one sex partner, followed by more than one-third of the respondents (37.1%) having more than 3 or more partners. Among the respondents who had a premarital sexual history, the majority (95.5%) of the respondents had premarital sex in the last 12 months (n=132). More than half (67.5%) of the respondents had one partner in the past 12 months. The majority (80.2%) of the respondents had sex with a boy/girlfriend in the past 12 months. More than three-fourths (77.0) of the respondents didn't use condoms during sexual intercourse in the past 12 months. Nearly half (48.5%) of the respondents didn't use condoms due to the reason of trusting their partner. The majority (84.8%) of the respondents didn't have guilt of having premarital sex. Table 2 shows the premarital sexual history and the current sexual experience of the respondents.

Table 2: Premarital sexual history and current sexual experience of the respondents

	Frequency	Percent
	(n)	(%)
The premarital sexual history of the respondents (n=374)		
Ever had premarital sex		

Age at first intercourse 68 51.5 19-23 64 48.5 First sexual intercourse Before college 65 49.2 After college 67 50.8 Reason for premarital sex Fall in love 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=104) 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Yes	132	35.3
14-18	No	242	64.7
19-23	Age at first intercourse		
First sexual intercourse 65 49.2 After college 67 50.8 Reason for premarital sex 83 63.4 Fall in love 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 103 78.0 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	14-18	68	51.5
Before college 65 49.2 After college 67 50.8 Reason for premarital sex 83 63.4 Fall in love 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	19-23	64	48.5
After college 67 50.8 Reason for premarital sex 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 103 78.0 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	First sexual intercourse		
Reason for premarital sex Fall in love 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) Yes 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse Yes 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Before college	65	49.2
Fall in love 83 63.4 Desire for sex 47 35.9 Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) Yes 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse Yes 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	After college	67	50.8
Desire for sex	Reason for premarital sex		
Peer pressure 1 0.8 Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) 16 12.1 Yes 16 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Fall in love	83	63.4
Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132) Yes 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Desire for sex	47	35.9
Yes 16 12.1 No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 Yes 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Peer pressure	1	0.8
No 116 87.9 Use a condom during first sexual intercourse 29 22.0 Yes 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132)		
Use a condom during first sexual intercourse2922.0Yes2922.0No10378.0Reason for not using a condom (n=103)76.8Ashamed to ask the partner76.8Ashamed to buy a condom21.9Trust partner5352.5	Yes	16	12.1
Yes 29 22.0 No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) 7 6.8 Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	No	116	87.9
No 103 78.0 Reason for not using a condom (n=103) Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Use a condom during first sexual intercourse		
Reason for not using a condom (n=103)76.8Ashamed to ask the partner76.8Ashamed to buy a condom21.9Trust partner5352.5	Yes	29	22.0
Ashamed to ask the partner 7 6.8 Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	No	103	78.0
Ashamed to buy a condom 2 1.9 Trust partner 53 52.5	Reason for not using a condom (n=103)		
Trust partner 53 52.5	Ashamed to ask the partner	7	6.8
	Ashamed to buy a condom	2	1.9
Partner objected 8 7.8	Trust partner	53	52.5
	Partner objected	8	7.8

Used another contraceptive	3	2.9
Drunk	4	3.9
Decreased Satisfaction	26	25.2
Sex with commercial sex workers		
Yes	31	23.5
No	101	76.5
Use condoms with commercial sex workers (n=31)		
Yes	23	74.2
No	8	25.8
Number of sex partners by now		
Only one	67	50.8
Two	16	12.1
Three and above	49	37.1
Current premarital sexual experience of the respondents (n	=132)	
Have premarital sex in the past 12 months		
Yes	126	95.5
No	6	4.5
Number of sex partners in the past 12 months (n=126)		
One	85	67.5
Two	21	16.7
Three	20	15.9
With whom did you have sex in the past 12 months (n=126)		
Boy/Girl Friend	101	80.2
	l	

Commercial Sex worker	11	8.7
Teacher	1	0.8
Non-regular partner	13	10.3
Use a condom during the last sexual intercourse (n=126)		
Yes	29	23.0
No	97	77.0
Reason for not using a condom (n=97)		
Ashamed to Ask my partner	5	5.2
Trust partner	47	48.5
Partner objected	5	1.3
used other contraceptives	1	0.3
Drunk	3	3.1
Decreased satisfaction	36	37.1
Have guilt of having premarital sex (n=132)		
Yes	20	15.2
No	112	84.8

The majority (75.7%) of the respondents reported that their friends had boys/girlfriends. The majority (73.0%) of the respondents shared that they were aware of their friend's dating experience. More than one-third (33.5%) of the respondents knew about the sexual intercourse of their friends. Among those who had sex, the majority (67.4%) of the respondents reported that their friends suggested they initiate their sexual intercourse. More than one-fifth (22.1%) of the respondents

reported that their sexual behavior was influenced by girls' clothing styles. Table 3 shows the peer influence on the respondents.

Table 3: Peer influence of the respondents (n=374)

Peer Influence	Frequency(n)	Percent (%)
Friends have boy/girlfriend		
Yes	283	75.7
No	91	24.3
Friends have dating experience		
Yes	273	73.0
No	101	27.0
Know that your friends have experienced sexual		
intercourse (n=373)		
Yes	125	33.5
No	248	66.5
Friends suggested you initiate your sexual intercourse		
(n=132)		
Yes	89	67.4
No	43	32.6
Girls Wearing style influence your behavior (n=222)		
Yes	49	22.1
No	173	77.9

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

The association between premarital sex and individual, family, behavioral, and peer influence characteristics was assessed using bivariate logistic regression, in model I. Age, gender, academic year, relationship status, dating experience, family type, living arrangement, discussion about sexual health with parents, drinking any alcohol products, smiling any tobacco products, consumption of drugs, watching porn, friends with boyfriends/girlfriends, and friends who had premarital sex were among the predictors that were significantly associated with premarital sex (p-value ≤ 0.05). In the multiple logistic regression, in Model II, relationship status, dating experience, discussion about sexual health with parents, and knowing friends who had premarital sex were significantly associated with premarital sex after controlling for potential confounding predictors, (p-value ≤ 0.05). In reference to those youths who were singly by relationship status, youths who were in a romantic relationship had 44.47 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 44.47; 95% CI: 7.88, 251.08). In reference to those who had no dating experience, youths who had dating experience had 24 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 24.00; 95% CI: 5.36, 107.58). In reference to those who lived with parents, those who lived without parents had 8.16 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 8.16; 95% CI: 2.25, 29.62). Similarly, in reference to those who had no idea if their friends had had sex, those who knew their friends had had sex with their romantic partner had 15.82 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 15.82; 95% CI: 2.92, 85.61). However, after the adjustments, associations were no longer statistically significant for substance abuse (drinking alcohol, smoking tobacco, consuming drugs) and watching pornographic movies. Similar was true for friends with boyfriend/girlfriend, and knowing friends who date their partners.

Table 4: Premarital sexual behavior and associated factors among undergraduate youth of management colleges of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan city, Kailali, Nepal (n=374)

Independent	Dependent variable (Premarital sex)		^a Model I; cOR (95%	^b Model II; aOR (95% CI)
Variables			CI)	
	Yes n (%)	No n (%)		
AGE				
Under 20 (85)	15 (17.6)	70 (82.4)	Reference category	Reference category
20 and above	117 (40.5)	172 (59.5)	3.17 (1.73, 5.81) *	1.19 (0.16, 8. 60)
(289)				
Gender			I	
Male (222)	116 (52.3)	106 (47.7)	9.30 (5.20, 16.63)*	3.214 (0.40, 25.59)
Female (152)	16 (10.5)	136 (89.5)	Reference category	Reference category
Ethnicity				
Brahman (113)	33 (29.2)	80 (70.8)	Reference category	
Chhetri (132)	45 (34.1)	87 (65.9)	1.25 (0.73, 2.16)	
Janajati (82)	32 (39.0)	50 (61.0)	1.55 (0.85, 2.83)	
Aadhibasi (32)	14 (43.8)	18 (56.3)	1.89 (0.84, 4.23)	
Dalit (15)	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	2.77 (0.93, 8.26)	
Religion				
Hindu (347)	120(34.6)	227(65.4)	Reference category	
Christian (27)	12(44.4)	15(55.6)	1.51 (0.69, 3.34)	
Academic year				
First year (98)	23 (23.5)	75 (76.5)	Reference category	Reference category

Second year	96 (37.2)	162 (62.8)	1.93 (1.14, 3.29)*	1.61 (0.33, 7.85)		
(258)						
Third year (18)	13 (72.2)	5 (27.8)	8.48 (2.73, 26.31)*	3.17 (0.07, 137.73)		
Relationship star	Relationship status					
Single (261)	30 (11.5)	231 (88.5)	Reference category	Reference category		
In a relationship	102 (90.3)	11 (9.7)	71.40 (34.44, 148.03)*	44.47 (7.88, 251.08)*		
(113)						
Pocket money st	atus					
Yes (148)	60 (40.5)	88 (59.5)	1.45 (0.94, 2.23)			
No (225)	72 (32.0)	153 (68.0)	Reference category			
Dating Experience						
Yes (148)	124 (83.8)	24 (16.2)	140.15 (61.11, 321.40)*	24.00 (5.36, 107.58)*		
No (225)	8 (3.6)	217 (96.4)	Reference category	Reference category		
Family Type	<u> </u>					
Nuclear (234)	93 (39.7)	141 (60.3)	1.71 (1.09, 2.69)*	2,29 (0.61, 8.70)		
Joint (140)	39 (27.9)	101 (72.1)	Reference category	Reference category		
Living arrangement						
With parents	48 (18.5)	211 (81.5)	Reference category	Reference category		
(259)						
Without parents	84 (73.0)	31 (27.0)	11.91 (7.10, 19.99)*	8.16 (2.25, 29.62)*		
(115)						
Discussion about sexual health with parents (n=373)						
Yes (15)	11 (73.3)	4 (26.7)	5.39 (1.68, 17.27)*	3.31 (0.25, 44.50)		
	l					

No (358)	121 (33.8)	237 (66.2)	Reference category	Reference category	
Marital status of parents					
Married (360)	124 (34.4)	236 (65.6)	Reference category		
Separated/	8 (57.1)	6 (42.9)	2.54 (0.86, 7.48)		
Divorced/					
Widowed (14)					
Father's educati	on (n=373)				
Educated (90)	33 (36.7)	57 (63.3)	1.08 (0.66, 1.76)		
Uneducated	99 (35.0)	184 (66.7)	Reference category		
(283)					
Mother's Educat	tion				
Educated (251)	91 (36.3)	160 (63.7)	1.14 (0.72, 1.79)		
Uneducated	41 (33.3)	82 (66.7)	Reference category		
(123)					
Family Income		l			
<10,000 (9)	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	Reference category		
10,000-20,000	19 (33.3)	38 (66.7)	1.75 (0.33, 9.25)		
(57)					
20,000-30,000	59 (28.8)	146 (71.2)	1.41 (0.29, 7.01)		
(205)					
More than	52 (50.5)	51 (49.5)	3.57 (0.71, 18.00)		
30,000 (103)					
Drink any alcohol products					

Yes (170)	111	59 (34.7)	16.40 (9.50, 28.45)*	1.67 (0.37, 7.47)
	(65.3)			
No (204)	21 (10.3)	183 (89.7)	Reference category	1
Smoke any toba	cco products	<u> </u>		
Yes (93)	70 (75.3)	23 (24.7)	10.75 (6.21, 18.61)*	3.01 (0.63, 14.28)
No (281)	62 (22.1)	219 (77.9)	Reference category	Reference category
Consumption of	Drugs	<u> </u>		
Yes (20)	15 (75.0)	5 (25.0)	6.08 (2.16, 17.13)*	7.44 (0.48, 115.11)
No (354)	117	237 (66.9)	Reference category	Reference category
	(33.1)			
Watch porn mo	vies			
Yes (212)	128	84 (39.6)	60.19 (21.49, 168.56)*	2.57 (0.32, 20.77)
	(60.4)			
No (162)	4 (2.5)	158 (97.5)	Reference category	Reference category
Friends have bo	y/girlfriend			
Yes (283)	124 (43.8)	159 (56.2)	8.09 (3.77, 17.35)*	1.32 (0.05, 37.48)
No (91)	8 (8.8)	83 (91.2)	Reference category	Reference category
Friends have da	ting experien	ice		
Yes (273)	123 (45.1)	150 (54.9)	8.382 (4.06,17.31)*	0.26 (0.02, 4.54)
No (101)	9 (8.9)	92 (91.9)	Reference category	Reference category
Know friends w	ho had prem	arital sex (n=	=373)	
Yes (125)	91 (72.8)	34 (27.2)	13.51 (8.06, 22.67)*	15.82 (2.92, 85.61)*
No (248)	41 (16.5)	207 (83.5)	Reference category	Reference category
	1			

*p-value < 0.05 at 95% Confidence Level

^aModel I, unadjusted odds ratio; ^bModel II, unadjusted odds ratio

Discussion

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

This study found that the prevalence of premarital sex among undergraduate college youths in DMPC was 35.3%. Studies conducted in other parts of Nepal have reported a lower prevalence of premarital sex: Kathmandu 13.5% (14), Pokhara 24.6% (15), Jhapa 25% (16) and Web-based survey 21.2% (17). Among those who experienced premarital sex, one in two youths experienced it after they were enrolled in college and before the age of 18 years. This study also found that almost 4 of 5 respondents did not use condoms during their first sexual intercourse, and 1 of 10 sexual encounters led to pregnancy. Although adolescents report trust factor to be a cause for not using contraceptives during sex, the underlying reasons are logistic barriers such as lack of access to free condoms, financial dependence, and shyness to buy condoms from a health facility or pharmacy (18). This study found that almost 1 out of 4 youths had sex with commercial sex workers, and among those youths almost 3 out of 4 used condoms. This is possibly due to increased awareness of HIV and AIDS transmission among youths and to avoid the risk of transmission of STIs including HIV and AIDS (19). This study found that nearly half of the youths had multiple sex partners with more than one-third of youths having more than 2 sex partners. Similar findings have been presented by Paudel and colleagues in their web-based study in Nepal (17) and by Upreti and Acharya (14) in Kathmandu. In this study, almost two-thirds of the respondents perceived that it was okay to have premarital sex, and among those who ever had premarital sex, the majority didn't have any guilt about having premarital sex. This presents the changing dynamics of Nepali society on sex and sexuality as

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

youths nowadays do not hesitate to make boyfriends/girlfriends, stay in romantic relationships, and enjoy sex life before marriage without regrets. Although Nepali society has accepted these kinds of behavior with increasing awareness of sexual freedom and rights, such practices may lead to an increased risk of unwanted pregnancy, maternal death, transmission of STIs, mental distress, violence, and suicide. Therefore, increased investment, awareness, and partnership for expanding sex education programs and interventions is crucial to make sure that youths make informed and meaningful decisions in their lives. Peer influence is one of the major determinants for initiation of sexual activities among adolescents and youths. In this study, more than two-thirds of the respondents shared that they were aware of their friends' dating experiences, and more than one-third knew about their friends' sexual relationships. Not only this, among those who already had premarital sexual intercourse, more than two-thirds reported that it was their friend/s who suggested they initiate sex. This depicts that, friends, who are an important source of sex education in Nepali society, can influence the intention to initiation of sexual activities since discussing sex and sexuality with parents and other social networks is still not common (only 4% of youths discussed sexual health with parents) (20, 21). Predictors such as age, gender, relationship status, dating experience, communication with parents, family type, not living with parents, parent's education level, friends with boyfriend/girlfriend, friends having dating experience, and awareness that friends have sex were also among the factors significantly associated with premarital sexual behavior. Several studies report that indulgence in substance abuse increases the likelihood of engaging in premarital sex (21, 22). Although watching pornographic content is illegal in Nepal following the government's porn ban to reduce the cases of sexual violence including rape since September 2018 (23), more than one-half of youths still watch porn videos on the internet.

Being a retrospective study, recall bias might have occurred while collecting responses from the respondents. Socially desirable responding (SDR) cannot be controlled for sensitive research where private data is asked. Some important variables such as "having a personal laptop or mobile phone", "media exposure", and "access to SRHR services" were missed in the questionnaire, but could potentially contribute to premarital sexual behavior.

Conclusion

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

This study revealed a high prevalence of premarital sex among college-going youths in DMPC, one of the vibrant cities of Sudurpaschim province in Nepal. This finding implies that there is a higher risk of STIs, HIV and AIDS, unwanted pregnancy, teenage pregnancy, abortion, violence, and mental health distress among the youths in Nepal. Discussing healthy relationships, and safer sex, and promoting sex education awareness programs within and beyond educational institutions is crucial to increase the level of awareness of young unmarried partners towards sexuality and well-being. Effective implementation of curriculum-based comprehensive sexuality education, and promoting peer-to-peer education as a method in sexual and reproductive health and rights promotion and risk communication among adolescents and youths must be encouraged by educational institutions or school-based clubs in Nepal. Incorporating creative and multi-channel approaches such as social and behavior change communication (SBCC) can influence positive behavior among youths and promote healthy indulgence in sexual activities. Investing in adolescents and youths, and improving access to sexual and reproductive health and rights is critical not only to prepare a healthy and capable youth force for the future but also to achieve the targets of sustainable development goals (SDGs).

Acknowledgements

304

308

309

- We extend our profound gratitude to all faculties of Nobel College, Pokhara University and the
- 306 research division of Sustainable Public Health Pvt. Ltd. for providing valuable guidance and
- insights in this study.

References

- 1. Nations U. World population prospects 2019. Vol (ST/ESA/SE A/424) Department of
- 311 Economic and Social Affairs: Population Division. 2019.
- 312 2. National Population and Housing Census 2021 (National Report).
- Ramshahpath, Thapathali, Kathmandu, Nepal; 2023.
- 314 3. Alam P, Lin L, Thakkar N, Thaker A, Marston C. Socio-sexual norms and young people's
- sexual health in urban Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Pakistan: A qualitative scoping review.
- 316 PLOS Glob Public Health. 2024;4(2):e0002179.
- 317 4. Van Reeuwijk M, Nahar P. The importance of a positive approach to sexuality in sexual
- 318 health programmes for unmarried adolescents in Bangladesh. Reproductive health matters.
- 319 2013;21(41):69-77.
- 320 5. Nahar Q, Tuñón C, Houvras I, Gazi R, Reza M, Huq NL. Reproductive health needs of
- adolescents in Bangladesh: A study report: International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases
- 322 Research Bangladesh: Dhaka; 1999.
- 6. Cavendish M. Sex and society. London: Marshall Cavendish Corporation. 2010:577.
- 324 7. McCormack M. The role of smartphones and technology in sexual and romantic lives.
- 325 2015.

- 8. Regmi PR, Simkhada P, van Teijlingen ER. There are too many naked pictures found in
- papers and on the net: Factors encouraging premarital sex among young people of Nepal. Health
- 328 Science Journal. 2010;4(3):169-81.
- 329 9. Kathmandu N. Nepal Adolescents and Youth Survey. 2012.
- 330 10. Ministry of Health and Population [Nepal], New ERA, and ICF. 2023. Nepal
- Demographic and Health Survey 2022. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health and Population
- 332 [Nepal].
- 333 11. Adhikari N, Adhikari S. Attitude towards Premarital Sex among Higher Secondary
- 334 Students in Pokhara Sub-Metropolitan City. J Community Med Health Educ 7: 564. doi:
- 335 10.4172/2161-0711.1000564 Page 2 of 6 J Community Med Health Educ, an open access journal
- ISSN: 2161-0711 Volume 7• Issue 5• 1000564. were in early adolescent stage ie age group of.
- 337 2017:14-6.
- 338 12. Shrestha RB. Premarital sexual behaviour and its impact on health among adolescents.
- 339 Journal of Health Promotion. 2019;7(1):43-52.
- 340 13. Bhandari SD. Knowledge on Premarital Sex and its Consequences Among Adolescents at
- a Higher Secondary School. Medical Journal of Shree Birendra Hospital. 2014;13(1):37-9.
- 342 14. Upreti YR, Acharya D. Premarital Sexual Behaviours among Secondary School
- Adolescents: A Cross-sectional Study in Kathmandu. Journal of Health Promotion. 2020;8:39-
- 344 52.
- 345 15. Adhikari N, Adhikari S, Sulemane NI. Premarital sexual behaviour among higher
- secondary students in Pokhara Sub-Metropolitan City Nepal. Sexual health. 2018;15(5):403-7.
- 347 16. Bhatta DN, Koirala A, Jha N. Adolescent students' attitude towards premarital sex and
- unwanted pregnancy. Health Renaissance. 2013;11(2):145-9.

- 17. Paudel A, Neupane A, Khadka S, Adhikari L, Paudel S, Kaphle M. Factors affecting
- Premarital Sex among Nepalese Undergraduates. Journal of Public Health and Development.
- 351 2023;21(2):152-67.

- Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services
- for young people in Nepal. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2010;28(6):619.
- 354 19. Mahat G, Scoloveno MA. HIV/AIDS knowledge, attitudes and beliefs among Nepalese
- adolescents. Journal of advanced nursing. 2006;53(5):583-90.
- 356 20. Regmi P, van Teijlingen E, Silwal R, Dhital R. Role of social media for sexual
- communication and sexual behaviors: A focus group study among young people in Nepal.
- 358 Journal of Health Promotion. 2022;10(1):153-66.
- 21. Dahal M, Subedi RK, Khanal S, Adhikari A, Sigdel M, Baral K, et al. Prevalence and
- Possible Risk Factor of Premarital Sexual Behaviour among Nepalese Adolescents. 2020.
- 22. Pahari S, Adhikari C. Premarital sexual behaviours of college youth of Tanahun district,
- Nepal. Journal of Health and Allied Sciences. 2021;11(1):30-7.
- 363 23. Karmacharya A. Ban your fears. My Republica. 2019.