

1 **Prevalence and associated factors of premarital sexual behavior among undergraduate**
2 **youths of management colleges of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan city, Kailali, Nepal**

3

4 Short title:

5 Premarital sexual behavior among youths in Nepal

6

7 Princy Bhatta^{1,2*}, Min Bahadur Kunwar¹, Arun Kumar Joshi³, Dharma Dev Bhatta⁴, Ankit

8 Acharya^{1,2}

9

10 ¹Department of Public Health, Nobel College, Pokhara University, Kathmandu, Nepal;

11 ²Sustainable Public Health, Katunje-5, Bhaktapur;

12 ³Chitwan Medical College, Bharatpur, Nepal;

13 ⁴Aishwarya Multiple Campus, Dhangadhi, Nepal.

14

15 *Corresponding author:

16 princybhatta56@gmail.com, contact: +977 9842032310.

17 **Abstract**

18 **Background**

19 Although sexuality remains a taboo in Nepali society, the prevalence of premarital sexual activities
20 is increasing. Youth who begin early premarital sexual activity are more likely to be engaged in
21 unsafe sex. This study aims to determine the prevalence and associated factors of premarital sex
22 among college-going youths of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City, Kailali (DSMC).

23 **Methodology**

24 This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in management colleges with Bachelor of
25 Business Studies (BBS) programs in DSMC. The sample size for the study was 374. The
26 probability proportionate to size sampling technique was adopted for sample estimation for the
27 selected colleges. The data was entered, cleaned, and analyzed in SPSS26. Bivariate analysis was
28 done using Pearson's chi-square test was used to determine differences between groups. A simple
29 logistic regression model was conducted to assess the association between several characteristics
30 of the respondents and the outcome variable- premarital sex, followed by multiple logistic
31 regression to evaluate the combined impacts of various predictors on premarital sex.

32 **Results**

33 The prevalence of premarital sex among undergraduate college youths was 35.3%, of which 52.3%
34 were males. Among those undergraduate youths, more than half (51.5%) had experienced their
35 first intercourse before 18 years of age. At least 1 out of 10 respondents reported that their
36 intercourse led to pregnancy. In model I, in simple logistic regression, predictors such as age,
37 gender, relationship status, dating experience (p-value < 0.001), communication with parents (p-
38 value = 0.002), family type (p-value = 0.020), and family income (p-value = 0.002) were
39 significantly associated with premarital sex. Furthermore, not living with parents, parent's

40 education level, friends with sex partners, friends having dating experience, and awareness that
41 friends have sex were also among the factors significantly associated with premarital sex. In the
42 multiple logistic regression, in Model II, relationship status (aOR, 44.47; 95% CI: 7.88, 251.08),
43 dating experience (aOR, 24.00; 95% CI: 5.36, 107.58), discussion about sexual health with parents
44 (aOR, 8.16; 95% CI: 2.25, 29.62), and knowing friends who had premarital sex (aOR, 15.82; 95%
45 CI: 2.92, 85.61) were significantly associated with premarital sex after controlling for potential
46 confounding predictors.

47 **Conclusion**

48 Sex education interventions within and beyond educational institutions are crucial to increase the
49 level of awareness and protect the physical, mental, and social health of young unmarried partners
50 in Nepal.

51 **Keywords**

52 Premarital sex, Youths, Nepal.

53 **Introduction**

54 In 2018, the global youth population was 1.2 billion, with over 490 million young people in South
55 Asia (1). In Nepal, young people aged 15-24 years constitute 19.71% of the total population (2).
56 This young group of population demands high-quality sexual health care to address their specific
57 sexual health needs and rights. Yet, poor understanding and awareness of sexuality and well-being
58 complemented by limited access to sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services have been a
59 major challenge for youths in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) to ensure a healthy
60 transition to adulthood (3). Societies in LMICs across the world, where the majority of the youths
61 reside, often associate immorality with young people's sexuality and sexual practices before
62 marriage. Because of these, young people are more likely to engage in risky sexual behaviors and
63 may suffer physically, mentally, psycho-socially, and financially from its outcomes. Not only this,
64 taboos related to sexuality are one of the major factors for the communication gap between youths
65 and adults in those societies (3-5).

66 Premarital sex, also known as non-marital sex, youthful sex, adolescent sex, and young-adult sex,
67 refers to sexual activity practiced before a couple is married (6). Advancements in science and
68 technological innovations resulted in increased access to mobile phones with the internet, making
69 it easy for young people to have social and romantic interactions (7, 8). Nepal Adolescents and
70 Youth Survey 2010/11 reports that 12.98% of young people aged 15-24 years are involved in
71 premarital sex (23.4% boys and 3.66% girls) (9). According to the Nepal Demographic and Health
72 Survey 2022, 2% of never-married women and 25% of never-married men aged 15-24 had
73 premarital sexual experience. The proportion of premarital sex has increased from less than 1% in
74 2006 to 2% in 2022 among never-married women, and from 17% in 2006 to 25% in 2016 and

75 2022(10). The recent demographic survey also highlights that 9% of women and 2% of men had
76 their first sexual intercourse by age 15, i.e., before the legal age of marriage(10).

77 Sexual behavior including premarital sex is still a sensitive topic under discussion in Nepali society
78 (9). Therefore, youths are not adequately informed about the right choices to prevent themselves
79 and their partners from several negative health outcomes such as sexually transmitted infections
80 (STIs), human immune virus and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV and AIDS), teenage
81 pregnancy, unsafe abortions, mental distress, and maternal deaths among many others (3, 11-13).
82 Given this situation, there is a need to understand the factors that lead to premarital sexual behavior
83 among young people to develop and implement interventions that are contextually appropriate and
84 accepted. This study aims to assess factors affecting premarital sex among college-going youths
85 of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City, Kailali (DSMC).

86

87 **Materials and methods**

88 **Study design, respondents, and setting**

89 This cross-sectional study was conducted among 18-24 years Bachelor in Business Studies (BBS)
90 program students of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan City (DSMC), Kailali from July 18, 2022, to
91 July 26, 2022.

92

93 **Sampling frame, sample size, and sampling procedure**

94 A multistage proportionate simple random sampling technique was used in this study. At first, the
95 sampling frame was defined through a complete enumeration of all 4 colleges having BBS
96 programs within DMPC. The sample size was determined using the sample size calculation
97 method for proportions with finite population correction. The sample size from each college was

98 obtained using the probability proportionate to size technique. The lottery method was used to
99 select the academic year (1st 2nd or 3rd or 4th) for data collection. For those academic years with
100 multiple classrooms, a classroom was selected by using a lottery method.

101

102 *Sample size calculation:*

103 The sample size for this study was 374, which was determined using the following formula with
104 finite population correction:

$$105 \quad n = \frac{\frac{Z^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{\left(\frac{Z^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}\right)}{(N - 1)}}$$

106 Where,

107 n = desired sample size

108 z = standard normal variable at 95% Confidence Level i.e. (1.96)

109 p = proportion in the target population estimated to have particular characteristics (according to
110 the study on premarital sexual behavior in 2021, 38.1% college youths have had premarital sex)

111 d = degree of accuracy required, set at 0.05 level

$$112 \quad \frac{\frac{Z^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}}{1 + \frac{\left(\frac{Z^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}\right)}{(N - 1)}} = \frac{\frac{1.96^2 * 0.38 (1 - 0.38)}{0.05^2}}{1 + \frac{\left(\frac{1.96^2 * 0.38(1 - 0.38)}{0.05^2}\right)}{(5194 - 1)}} = 340 \text{ (approx)}$$

113 Assuming the non-response of 10%, the final sample size was 340 + 34 = 374.

114

115 **Data Collection Technique and Tools**

116 The principal investigator of the study did an extensive literature review to develop a structured
117 questionnaire. This was followed by incorporating experts' opinions to ensure the completeness

118 and quality of the tool. The questionnaire was then pretested among 10% of the total sample size
119 at another college of Dhangadhi, Kailali where data collection was not planned. The pretested
120 questionnaire was modified accordingly, distributed among all consenting youths (374), and
121 collected immediately after completing the survey.

122

123 **Data Management and Analysis Plan**

124 All questionnaire forms were gathered and checked for completeness and accuracy, and to
125 ensure that they were ready for entry purposes. Data entry was done in SPSS V26, followed
126 by data cleaning and data analysis. A backup of the original dataset was done and stored in a
127 backup storage device and online storage system for future retrieval and use. Descriptive
128 analysis was performed to describe the characteristics of the respondents. Bivariate analysis
129 was done using Pearson's chi-square test was used to determine differences between groups. A
130 simple logistic regression model was conducted to assess the association between several
131 characteristics of the respondents and the outcome variable- premarital sex. This was followed by
132 multiple logistic regression to assess the combined impacts of multiple predictors on
133 premarital sex. Model I for crude odds ratio and model II for adjusted odds ratios were
134 reported, along with 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CIs). P-value < 0.05 was considered to
135 be statistically significant.

136

137 **Ethical Consideration**

138 The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institution Review Committee (IRC)
139 at Nobel College, Pokhara University. Before data collection, letters from the Department of
140 Public Health at Nobel College were provided to all the colleges from where samples were

141 collected. After the completion of data collection, a letter of data collection completion was
142 obtained from each college. The objectives of the research, potential harm and benefit to the
143 respondents were explained to all respondents along with the approximate time required for
144 the interview, confidentiality, anonymity of data obtained, and the option to withdraw from
145 the interview at any time if they felt uncomfortable. Written consent was taken from each
146 respondent who agreed to participate in the study.

147

148 **Results**

149 A total of 374 respondents participated in this study. The mean age and standard deviation of the
150 respondents were 20.37 years and 1.164 years respectively. Almost three-fifths (59.4%) of
151 respondents were male. The majority of the respondents (92.8%) followed Hinduism. More than
152 one-third of the respondents represented Chhetri ethnicity, and nearly one-third of the respondents
153 belonged to the Brahman community. The majority of the respondents (69.0%) were currently
154 pursuing the second year of their undergraduate studies. Majority of the respondents (69.8%) were
155 single. Out of 5, nearly 2 respondents (39.7%) received daily pocket money from their parents.
156 Most of the respondents (96.0%) didn't discuss sexual health with parents. More than half of the
157 respondents (60.3%) never had a dating experience in their life.

158 Among all respondents, more than half (62.6%) belonged to the nuclear family. More than half of
159 the respondent's family income was between 20,000-30,000. The majority of the respondents
160 (69.5%) lived with their parents. In regards to parents' educational status, nearly one-third of
161 mothers were not able to read and write (32.4%) while all fathers were able to. Nearly one-half of
162 respondents' fathers had a secondary or higher level of education (48.3%) as compared to only
163 14.2% of mothers. More than half (50.4%) of respondent's father's occupations were in agriculture

164 and the majority (73.0%) of respondent's mothers were engaged in household work. The majority
165 (96.3%) of respondents' parental marital status was married.
166 More than half (55.5 %) of the respondents do not consume any alcohol products. Among the
167 drinkers, the majority (77.1%) of the respondents' drink alcohol only during festivals. The majority
168 of the respondents (75.1%) do not smoke tobacco products. Among the smokers, more than half
169 (53.8%) of the respondents smoke only during festivals, followed by 22.6% of the respondents
170 smoking frequently and 16.15 smoking regularly. The majority (94.7%) of the respondents do not
171 consume drugs. More than half (56.7%) of the respondents watched porn movies. Among those
172 who watched porn movies, 1 out of 10 respondents (11.8%) watched it every day. Almost two-
173 thirds (63.6%) of the respondents perceived it was okay to have premarital sex. Table 1 shows the
174 individual, family, and behavioral characteristics of the respondents.

175

176 Table 1: Individual, family, and behavioral characteristics of the respondents (n=374)

	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
Individual Characteristics		
Age (Mean + SD): 20.37+1.164		
Under 20	85	22.7
20 and above	289	77.3
Gender		
Male	222	59.4
Female	152	40.6
Ethnicity		
Brahman	113	30.2

Chhetri	132	35.3
Janajati	82	21.9
Aadhibasi	32	8.6
Dalit	15	4.0
Religion		
Hindu	347	92.8
Christian	27	7.2
Academic year		
First Year	98	26.2
Second Year	258	69.0
Third Year	18	4.8
Relationship status		
Single	261	69.8
In a relationship	113	30.2
Pocket money (n=373)		
Yes	148	39.7
No	225	60.3
Discussion about sexual health with parents (n=373)		
Yes	15	4.0
No	358	96.0
Dating experience (n=373)		
Yes	148	39.7
No	225	60.3

Family characteristics		
Family type		
Nuclear	234	62.6
Joint	140	37.4
Monthly income		
<10,000	9	2.4
10,000-20,000	57	15.2
20,000-30,000	205	54.8
>30,000	103	27.5
Living arrangement		
With parents	259	69.3
Without parents	115	30.7
Father's education (n= 346)		
Can read and write	63	16.9
Primary level	103	27.6
Secondary level	91	24.4
Higher Secondary	53	14.2
Bachelor and above	36	9.7
Mother's education		
Unable to read and write	121	32.4
Can read and write	130	34.8
Primary level	70	18.7
Secondary level	25	6.7

Higher Secondary	16	4.3
Bachelor and above	12	3.2
Father's occupation (n= 371)		
Household works	11	3.0
Agriculture	187	50.4
Public service	52	14.0
Private service	13	3.5
Business	65	17.5
Foreign Employment	30	8.1
Labor	13	3.5
Mother's occupation (n= 373)		
Household works	273	73.0
Agriculture	65	17.4
Public service	10	2.7
Private service	11	2.9
Business	13	3.5
Labor	1	0.3
Marital status of parents		
Married	360	96.3
Separated	4	1.1
Divorced	5	1.3
Widowed	5	1.3
Behavioral Characteristics		

Drink any alcohol products		
Yes	170	45.5
No	204	55.5
Drinking alcohol (n=170)		
Regularly	2	1.2
Weekly	4	2.4
Monthly	4	2.4
Frequently	29	17.1
Only on festivals	131	77.1
Smoke any tobacco products		
Yes	93	24.9
No	281	75.1
Smoking (n=93)		
Regularly	15	16.1
Weekly	3	3.2
Monthly	4	4.3
Frequently	21	22.6
Only on festivals	50	53.8
Consumption of drugs		
Yes	20	5.3
No	354	94.7
Consumption of drugs (n=20)		
Regularly	1	5.0

Monthly	2	10.0
Frequently	9	45.0
Only on festivals	8	40.0
Started using drugs (n=20)		
Before the college	9	45.0
After the college	11	55.0
Watch porn movies		
Yes	212	56.7
No	162	43.3
Watching porn movies (212)		
Everyday	25	11.8
Once a week	66	31.1
Once a month	46	21.7
Once a year	34	16.0
Frequently	41	19.3
Is it okay to have sex before marriage (n=365)		
Yes, it is okay	232	63.6
No, it is not okay	133	36.4

177

178 Among the respondents, more than one-third (35.5%) of the respondents had experienced
 179 premarital sexual experience. More than half (51.5%) had experienced premarital sex between the
 180 age of 14 and 18 years. More than one-half (50.8%) of the respondents had their first sex after
 181 college. About two-thirds (63.4%) of the respondent's reason for first sexual intercourse was falling

182 in love. More than 1 in 10 (12.1%) respondents reported that their involvement in sexual
183 intercourse resulted in pregnancy. The majority (78.0%) of the respondents reported that they
184 didn't use condoms during their first sexual intercourse. Among non-users, more than half (52.5%)
185 of the respondents reported that the trust factor played an important role in not using condoms
186 during sexual intercourse. The majority (76.5%) of the respondents didn't have sex with
187 commercial sex workers while 23.5% had. Among those who had sex with commercial sex
188 workers, the majority (74.2%) of the respondents used condoms. More than one-half (50.8%) of
189 the respondents had only one sex partner, followed by more than one-third of the respondents
190 (37.1%) having more than 3 or more partners.

191 Among the respondents who had a premarital sexual history, the majority (95.5%) of the
192 respondents had premarital sex in the last 12 months (n=132). More than half (67.5%) of the
193 respondents had one partner in the past 12 months. The majority (80.2%) of the respondents had
194 sex with a boy/girlfriend in the past 12 months. More than three-fourths (77.0) of the respondents
195 didn't use condoms during sexual intercourse in the past 12 months. Nearly half (48.5%) of the
196 respondents didn't use condoms due to the reason of trusting their partner. The majority (84.8%)
197 of the respondents didn't have guilt of having premarital sex. Table 2 shows the premarital sexual
198 history and the current sexual experience of the respondents.

199

200 Table 2: Premarital sexual history and current sexual experience of the respondents

	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
The premarital sexual history of the respondents (n=374)		
Ever had premarital sex		

Yes	132	35.3
No	242	64.7
Age at first intercourse		
14-18	68	51.5
19-23	64	48.5
First sexual intercourse		
Before college	65	49.2
After college	67	50.8
Reason for premarital sex		
Fall in love	83	63.4
Desire for sex	47	35.9
Peer pressure	1	0.8
Ever become pregnant/partner pregnant (n=132)		
Yes	16	12.1
No	116	87.9
Use a condom during first sexual intercourse		
Yes	29	22.0
No	103	78.0
Reason for not using a condom (n=103)		
Ashamed to ask the partner	7	6.8
Ashamed to buy a condom	2	1.9
Trust partner	53	52.5
Partner objected	8	7.8

Used another contraceptive	3	2.9
Drunk	4	3.9
Decreased Satisfaction	26	25.2
Sex with commercial sex workers		
Yes	31	23.5
No	101	76.5
Use condoms with commercial sex workers (n=31)		
Yes	23	74.2
No	8	25.8
Number of sex partners by now		
Only one	67	50.8
Two	16	12.1
Three and above	49	37.1
Current premarital sexual experience of the respondents (n=132)		
Have premarital sex in the past 12 months		
Yes	126	95.5
No	6	4.5
Number of sex partners in the past 12 months (n=126)		
One	85	67.5
Two	21	16.7
Three	20	15.9
With whom did you have sex in the past 12 months (n=126)		
Boy/Girl Friend	101	80.2

Commercial Sex worker	11	8.7
Teacher	1	0.8
Non-regular partner	13	10.3
Use a condom during the last sexual intercourse (n=126)		
Yes	29	23.0
No	97	77.0
Reason for not using a condom (n=97)		
Ashamed to Ask my partner	5	5.2
Trust partner	47	48.5
Partner objected	5	1.3
used other contraceptives	1	0.3
Drunk	3	3.1
Decreased satisfaction	36	37.1
Have guilt of having premarital sex (n=132)		
Yes	20	15.2
No	112	84.8

201

202 The majority (75.7%) of the respondents reported that their friends had boys/girlfriends. The

203 majority (73.0%) of the respondents shared that they were aware of their friend's dating experience.

204 More than one-third (33.5%) of the respondents knew about the sexual intercourse of their friends.

205 Among those who had sex, the majority (67.4%) of the respondents reported that their friends

206 suggested they initiate their sexual intercourse. More than one-fifth (22.1%) of the respondents

207 reported that their sexual behavior was influenced by girls' clothing styles. Table 3 shows the peer
 208 influence on the respondents.

209

210 Table 3: Peer influence of the respondents (n=374)

Peer Influence	Frequency(n)	Percent (%)
Friends have boy/girlfriend		
Yes	283	75.7
No	91	24.3
Friends have dating experience		
Yes	273	73.0
No	101	27.0
Know that your friends have experienced sexual intercourse (n=373)		
Yes	125	33.5
No	248	66.5
Friends suggested you initiate your sexual intercourse (n=132)		
Yes	89	67.4
No	43	32.6
Girls Wearing style influence your behavior (n=222)		
Yes	49	22.1
No	173	77.9

211

212 The association between premarital sex and individual, family, behavioral, and peer influence
213 characteristics was assessed using bivariate logistic regression, in model I. Age, gender, academic
214 year, relationship status, dating experience, family type, living arrangement, discussion about
215 sexual health with parents, drinking any alcohol products, smoking any tobacco products,
216 consumption of drugs, watching porn, friends with boyfriends/girlfriends, and friends who had
217 premarital sex were among the predictors that were significantly associated with premarital sex
218 (p -value ≤ 0.05). In the multiple logistic regression, in Model II, relationship status, dating
219 experience, discussion about sexual health with parents, and knowing friends who had premarital
220 sex were significantly associated with premarital sex after controlling for potential confounding
221 predictors, (p -value ≤ 0.05). In reference to those youths who were singly by relationship status,
222 youths who were in a romantic relationship had 44.47 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR,
223 44.47; 95% CI: 7.88, 251.08). In reference to those who had no dating experience, youths who had
224 dating experience had 24 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 24.00; 95% CI: 5.36, 107.58).
225 In reference to those who lived with parents, those who lived without parents had 8.16 higher odds
226 of having premarital sex (aOR, 8.16; 95% CI: 2.25, 29.62). Similarly, in reference to those who
227 had no idea if their friends had had sex, those who knew their friends had had sex with their
228 romantic partner had 15.82 higher odds of having premarital sex (aOR, 15.82; 95% CI: 2.92,
229 85.61). However, after the adjustments, associations were no longer statistically significant for
230 substance abuse (drinking alcohol, smoking tobacco, consuming drugs) and watching
231 pornographic movies. Similar was true for friends with boyfriend/girlfriend, and knowing friends
232 who date their partners.

233

234 Table 4: Premarital sexual behavior and associated factors among undergraduate youth of
 235 management colleges of Dhangadhi Sub-Metropolitan city, Kailali, Nepal (n=374)

Independent Variables	Dependent variable (Premarital sex)		^a Model I; cOR (95% CI)	^b Model II; aOR (95% CI)
	Yes n (%)	No n (%)		
AGE				
Under 20 (85)	15 (17.6)	70 (82.4)	Reference category	Reference category
20 and above (289)	117 (40.5)	172 (59.5)	3.17 (1.73, 5.81) *	1.19 (0.16, 8.60)
Gender				
Male (222)	116 (52.3)	106 (47.7)	9.30 (5.20, 16.63)*	3.214 (0.40, 25.59)
Female (152)	16 (10.5)	136 (89.5)	Reference category	Reference category
Ethnicity				
Brahman (113)	33 (29.2)	80 (70.8)	Reference category	
Chhetri (132)	45 (34.1)	87 (65.9)	1.25 (0.73, 2.16)	
Janajati (82)	32 (39.0)	50 (61.0)	1.55 (0.85, 2.83)	
Aadhibasi (32)	14 (43.8)	18 (56.3)	1.89 (0.84, 4.23)	
Dalit (15)	8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	2.77 (0.93, 8.26)	
Religion				
Hindu (347)	120(34.6)	227(65.4)	Reference category	
Christian (27)	12(44.4)	15(55.6)	1.51 (0.69, 3.34)	
Academic year				
First year (98)	23 (23.5)	75 (76.5)	Reference category	Reference category

Second year (258)	96 (37.2)	162 (62.8)	1.93 (1.14, 3.29)*	1.61 (0.33, 7.85)
Third year (18)	13 (72.2)	5 (27.8)	8.48 (2.73, 26.31)*	3.17 (0.07, 137.73)
Relationship status				
Single (261)	30 (11.5)	231 (88.5)	Reference category	Reference category
In a relationship (113)	102 (90.3)	11 (9.7)	71.40 (34.44, 148.03)*	44.47 (7.88, 251.08)*
Pocket money status				
Yes (148)	60 (40.5)	88 (59.5)	1.45 (0.94, 2.23)	
No (225)	72 (32.0)	153 (68.0)	Reference category	
Dating Experience				
Yes (148)	124 (83.8)	24 (16.2)	140.15 (61.11, 321.40)*	24.00 (5.36, 107.58)*
No (225)	8 (3.6)	217 (96.4)	Reference category	Reference category
Family Type				
Nuclear (234)	93 (39.7)	141 (60.3)	1.71 (1.09, 2.69)*	2,29 (0.61, 8.70)
Joint (140)	39 (27.9)	101 (72.1)	Reference category	Reference category
Living arrangement				
With parents (259)	48 (18.5)	211 (81.5)	Reference category	Reference category
Without parents (115)	84 (73.0)	31 (27.0)	11.91 (7.10, 19.99)*	8.16 (2.25, 29.62)*
Discussion about sexual health with parents (n=373)				
Yes (15)	11 (73.3)	4 (26.7)	5.39 (1.68, 17.27)*	3.31 (0.25, 44.50)

No (358)	121 (33.8)	237 (66.2)	Reference category	Reference category
Marital status of parents				
Married (360)	124 (34.4)	236 (65.6)	Reference category	
Separated/ Divorced/ Widowed (14)	8 (57.1)	6 (42.9)	2.54 (0.86, 7.48)	
Father's education (n=373)				
Educated (90)	33 (36.7)	57 (63.3)	1.08 (0.66, 1.76)	
Uneducated (283)	99 (35.0)	184 (66.7)	Reference category	
Mother's Education				
Educated (251)	91 (36.3)	160 (63.7)	1.14 (0.72, 1.79)	
Uneducated (123)	41 (33.3)	82 (66.7)	Reference category	
Family Income				
<10,000 (9)	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	Reference category	
10,000-20,000 (57)	19 (33.3)	38 (66.7)	1.75 (0.33, 9.25)	
20,000-30,000 (205)	59 (28.8)	146 (71.2)	1.41 (0.29, 7.01)	
More than 30,000 (103)	52 (50.5)	51 (49.5)	3.57 (0.71, 18.00)	
Drink any alcohol products				

Yes (170)	111 (65.3)	59 (34.7)	16.40 (9.50, 28.45)*	1.67 (0.37, 7.47)
No (204)	21 (10.3)	183 (89.7)	Reference category	1
Smoke any tobacco products				
Yes (93)	70 (75.3)	23 (24.7)	10.75 (6.21, 18.61)*	3.01 (0.63, 14.28)
No (281)	62 (22.1)	219 (77.9)	Reference category	Reference category
Consumption of Drugs				
Yes (20)	15 (75.0)	5 (25.0)	6.08 (2.16, 17.13)*	7.44 (0.48, 115.11)
No (354)	117 (33.1)	237 (66.9)	Reference category	Reference category
Watch porn movies				
Yes (212)	128 (60.4)	84 (39.6)	60.19 (21.49, 168.56)*	2.57 (0.32, 20.77)
No (162)	4 (2.5)	158 (97.5)	Reference category	Reference category
Friends have boy/girlfriend				
Yes (283)	124 (43.8)	159 (56.2)	8.09 (3.77, 17.35)*	1.32 (0.05, 37.48)
No (91)	8 (8.8)	83 (91.2)	Reference category	Reference category
Friends have dating experience				
Yes (273)	123 (45.1)	150 (54.9)	8.382 (4.06, 17.31)*	0.26 (0.02, 4.54)
No (101)	9 (8.9)	92 (91.9)	Reference category	Reference category
Know friends who had premarital sex (n=373)				
Yes (125)	91 (72.8)	34 (27.2)	13.51 (8.06, 22.67)*	15.82 (2.92, 85.61)*
No (248)	41 (16.5)	207 (83.5)	Reference category	Reference category

236 *p-value < 0.05 at 95% Confidence Level

237 ^aModel I, unadjusted odds ratio; ^bModel II, unadjusted odds ratio

238

239 **Discussion**

240 This study found that the prevalence of premarital sex among undergraduate college youths in
241 DMPC was 35.3%. Studies conducted in other parts of Nepal have reported a lower prevalence of
242 premarital sex: Kathmandu 13.5% (14), Pokhara 24.6% (15), Jhapa 25% (16) and Web-based
243 survey 21.2% (17). Among those who experienced premarital sex, one in two youths experienced
244 it after they were enrolled in college and before the age of 18 years. This study also found that
245 almost 4 of 5 respondents did not use condoms during their first sexual intercourse, and 1 of 10
246 sexual encounters led to pregnancy. Although adolescents report trust factor to be a cause for not
247 using contraceptives during sex, the underlying reasons are logistic barriers such as lack of access
248 to free condoms, financial dependence, and shyness to buy condoms from a health facility or
249 pharmacy (18). This study found that almost 1 out of 4 youths had sex with commercial sex
250 workers, and among those youths almost 3 out of 4 used condoms. This is possibly due to increased
251 awareness of HIV and AIDS transmission among youths and to avoid the risk of transmission of
252 STIs including HIV and AIDS (19).

253 This study found that nearly half of the youths had multiple sex partners with more than one-third
254 of youths having more than 2 sex partners. Similar findings have been presented by Paudel and
255 colleagues in their web-based study in Nepal (17) and by Upreti and Acharya (14) in Kathmandu.
256 In this study, almost two-thirds of the respondents perceived that it was okay to have premarital
257 sex, and among those who ever had premarital sex, the majority didn't have any guilt about having
258 premarital sex. This presents the changing dynamics of Nepali society on sex and sexuality as

259 youths nowadays do not hesitate to make boyfriends/girlfriends, stay in romantic relationships,
260 and enjoy sex life before marriage without regrets. Although Nepali society has accepted these
261 kinds of behavior with increasing awareness of sexual freedom and rights, such practices may lead
262 to an increased risk of unwanted pregnancy, maternal death, transmission of STIs, mental distress,
263 violence, and suicide. Therefore, increased investment, awareness, and partnership for expanding
264 sex education programs and interventions is crucial to make sure that youths make informed and
265 meaningful decisions in their lives.

266 Peer influence is one of the major determinants for initiation of sexual activities among adolescents
267 and youths. In this study, more than two-thirds of the respondents shared that they were aware of
268 their friends' dating experiences, and more than one-third knew about their friends' sexual
269 relationships. Not only this, among those who already had premarital sexual intercourse, more than
270 two-thirds reported that it was their friend/s who suggested they initiate sex. This depicts that,
271 friends, who are an important source of sex education in Nepali society, can influence the intention
272 to initiation of sexual activities since discussing sex and sexuality with parents and other social
273 networks is still not common (only 4% of youths discussed sexual health with parents) (20, 21).

274 Predictors such as age, gender, relationship status, dating experience, communication with parents,
275 family type, not living with parents, parent's education level, friends with boyfriend/girlfriend,
276 friends having dating experience, and awareness that friends have sex were also among the factors
277 significantly associated with premarital sexual behavior. Several studies report that indulgence in
278 substance abuse increases the likelihood of engaging in premarital sex (21, 22). Although watching
279 pornographic content is illegal in Nepal following the government's porn ban to reduce the cases
280 of sexual violence including rape since September 2018 (23), more than one-half of youths still
281 watch porn videos on the internet.

282 Being a retrospective study, recall bias might have occurred while collecting responses from the
283 respondents. Socially desirable responding (SDR) cannot be controlled for sensitive research
284 where private data is asked. Some important variables such as “having a personal laptop or mobile
285 phone”, “media exposure”, and “access to SRHR services” were missed in the questionnaire, but
286 could potentially contribute to premarital sexual behavior.

287

288 **Conclusion**

289 This study revealed a high prevalence of premarital sex among college-going youths in DMPC,
290 one of the vibrant cities of Sudurpaschim province in Nepal. This finding implies that there is a
291 higher risk of STIs, HIV and AIDS, unwanted pregnancy, teenage pregnancy, abortion, violence,
292 and mental health distress among the youths in Nepal. Discussing healthy relationships, and safer
293 sex, and promoting sex education awareness programs within and beyond educational institutions
294 is crucial to increase the level of awareness of young unmarried partners towards sexuality and
295 well-being. Effective implementation of curriculum-based comprehensive sexuality education,
296 and promoting peer-to-peer education as a method in sexual and reproductive health and rights
297 promotion and risk communication among adolescents and youths must be encouraged by
298 educational institutions or school-based clubs in Nepal. Incorporating creative and multi-channel
299 approaches such as social and behavior change communication (SBCC) can influence positive
300 behavior among youths and promote healthy indulgence in sexual activities. Investing in
301 adolescents and youths, and improving access to sexual and reproductive health and rights is
302 critical not only to prepare a healthy and capable youth force for the future but also to achieve the
303 targets of sustainable development goals (SDGs).

304 **Acknowledgements**

305 We extend our profound gratitude to all faculties of Nobel College, Pokhara University and the
306 research division of Sustainable Public Health Pvt. Ltd. for providing valuable guidance and
307 insights in this study.

308

309 **References**

- 310 1. Nations U. World population prospects 2019. Vol (ST/ESA/SE A/424) Department of
311 Economic and Social Affairs: Population Division. 2019.
- 312 2. National Population and Housing Census 2021 (National Report).
313 Ramshahpath,Thapathali, Kathmandu, Nepal; 2023.
- 314 3. Alam P, Lin L, Thakkar N, Thaker A, Marston C. Socio-sexual norms and young people's
315 sexual health in urban Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Pakistan: A qualitative scoping review.
316 PLOS Glob Public Health. 2024;4(2):e0002179.
- 317 4. Van Reeuwijk M, Nahar P. The importance of a positive approach to sexuality in sexual
318 health programmes for unmarried adolescents in Bangladesh. Reproductive health matters.
319 2013;21(41):69-77.
- 320 5. Nahar Q, Tuñón C, Houvras I, Gazi R, Reza M, Huq NL. Reproductive health needs of
321 adolescents in Bangladesh: A study report: International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases
322 Research Bangladesh: Dhaka; 1999.
- 323 6. Cavendish M. Sex and society. London: Marshall Cavendish Corporation. 2010:577.
- 324 7. McCormack M. The role of smartphones and technology in sexual and romantic lives.
325 2015.

- 326 8. Regmi PR, Simkhada P, van Teijlingen ER. There are too many naked pictures found in
327 papers and on the net: Factors encouraging premarital sex among young people of Nepal. Health
328 Science Journal. 2010;4(3):169-81.
- 329 9. Kathmandu N. Nepal Adolescents and Youth Survey. 2012.
- 330 10. Ministry of Health and Population [Nepal], New ERA, and ICF. 2023. Nepal
331 Demographic and Health Survey 2022. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health and Population
332 [Nepal].
- 333 11. Adhikari N, Adhikari S. Attitude towards Premarital Sex among Higher Secondary
334 Students in Pokhara Sub-Metropolitan City. J Community Med Health Educ 7: 564. doi:
335 10.4172/2161-0711.1000564 Page 2 of 6 J Community Med Health Educ, an open access journal
336 ISSN: 2161-0711 Volume 7• Issue 5• 1000564. were in early adolescent stage ie age group of.
337 2017:14-6.
- 338 12. Shrestha RB. Premarital sexual behaviour and its impact on health among adolescents.
339 Journal of Health Promotion. 2019;7(1):43-52.
- 340 13. Bhandari SD. Knowledge on Premarital Sex and its Consequences Among Adolescents at
341 a Higher Secondary School. Medical Journal of Shree Birendra Hospital. 2014;13(1):37-9.
- 342 14. Upreti YR, Acharya D. Premarital Sexual Behaviours among Secondary School
343 Adolescents: A Cross-sectional Study in Kathmandu. Journal of Health Promotion. 2020;8:39-
344 52.
- 345 15. Adhikari N, Adhikari S, Sulemane NI. Premarital sexual behaviour among higher
346 secondary students in Pokhara Sub-Metropolitan City Nepal. Sexual health. 2018;15(5):403-7.
- 347 16. Bhatta DN, Koirala A, Jha N. Adolescent students' attitude towards premarital sex and
348 unwanted pregnancy. Health Renaissance. 2013;11(2):145-9.

- 349 17. Paudel A, Neupane A, Khadka S, Adhikari L, Paudel S, Kaphle M. Factors affecting
350 Premarital Sex among Nepalese Undergraduates. *Journal of Public Health and Development*.
351 2023;21(2):152-67.
- 352 18. Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services
353 for young people in Nepal. *Journal of health, population, and nutrition*. 2010;28(6):619.
- 354 19. Mahat G, Scoloveno MA. HIV/AIDS knowledge, attitudes and beliefs among Nepalese
355 adolescents. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2006;53(5):583-90.
- 356 20. Regmi P, van Teijlingen E, Silwal R, Dhital R. Role of social media for sexual
357 communication and sexual behaviors: A focus group study among young people in Nepal.
358 *Journal of Health Promotion*. 2022;10(1):153-66.
- 359 21. Dahal M, Subedi RK, Khanal S, Adhikari A, Sigdel M, Baral K, et al. Prevalence and
360 Possible Risk Factor of Premarital Sexual Behaviour among Nepalese Adolescents. 2020.
- 361 22. Pahari S, Adhikari C. Premarital sexual behaviours of college youth of Tanahun district,
362 Nepal. *Journal of Health and Allied Sciences*. 2021;11(1):30-7.
- 363 23. Karmacharya A. Ban your fears. *My Republica*. 2019.
- 364