Title Page

Full title: Spatial variation in housing construction material in low- and middle-income countries: a Bayesian spatial prediction model of a key infectious diseases risk factor and social determinant of health

Short title: A Bayesian model of housing construction material in LMICs

Author names and affiliations:

Josh M Colston¹, Bin Fang², Malena K Nong³, Pavel Chernyavskiy⁴, Navya Annapareddy⁵, Venkataraman Lakshmi², Margaret N Kosek¹

- 1. Division of Infectious Disease and International Health, School of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
- 2. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
- 3. College of Arts and Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
- 4. Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA
- 5. School of Data Science, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

Corresponding author: Margaret N. Kosek - Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, 22903, USA, <u>mkosek@virginia.edu</u>

Abstract:

Housing infrastructure and quality is a major determinant of infectious disease risk and other health outcomes in regions of the world where vector borne, waterborne and neglected tropical diseases are endemic. It is important to quantify the geographical distribution of improvements to the major dwelling components to identify and target resources towards populations at risk. The aim of this study was to model the sub-national spatial variation in housing materials using covariates with quasi-global coverage and use the resulting estimates to map the predicted coverage across the world's low- and middleincome countries (LMICs). Data relating to the materials used in dwelling construction were sourced from nationally representative household surveys conducted since 2005. Materials used for construction of flooring, walls, and roof were reclassified as improved or unimproved. Households lacking location information were georeferenced using a novel methodology, and a suite of environmental and demographic spatial covariates were extracted at those locations for use as model predictors. Integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA) models were fitted to obtain and map predicted probabilities for each dwelling component. The dataset compiled included information from households in 283,000 clusters from 350 surveys. Low coverage of improved housing was predicted across the Sahel and southern Sahara regions of Africa, much of inland Amazonia, and areas of the Tibetan plateau. Coverage of improved roofs and walls was high in the Central Asia, East Asia and Pacific and Latin America and the Caribbean regions, while improvements in all three components, but most notably floors, was low in Sub-Saharan Africa. Human development was by far the strongest determinant of dwelling component quality, though vegetation greenness and land use were also relevant markers These findings are made available to the reader as files that can be imported into a GIS for integration into relevant analysis to derive improved estimates of preventable health burdens attributed to housing.

3

1 Introduction:

2 The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) include ambitious 3 commitments to fight communicable diseases (target 3.3) and provide adequate, safe and 4 affordable housing (target 11.1) throughout its member states [1]. Although they fall under 5 separate goals, housing quality has long been recognized as a social determinant of health 6 and epidemiological evidence is now elucidating the mechanisms by which this 7 relationship operates [2]. Many endemic infectious diseases of global public health concern, 8 including several named in SDG3, are transmitted within and between households with the 9 majority of infections occurring while the susceptible individual is at home [3], and 10 consequently features of the built peridomestic environment and infrastructure play a role 11 in promoting or impeding the spread of pathogens and their insect vectors [4]. This is 12 particularly true of tropical and rural regions of Africa. Asia and Latin America where 13 numerous vector borne and neglected tropical diseases circulate and where dwellings are 14 often constructed using locally available, naturally occurring materials and traditional 15 techniques such as wattle and daub, dried or burnt bricks, adobe, woven reed or bamboo 16 and thatch [4]. These construction methods often require great skill and community 17 mobilization to implement and are adapted over generations to suit local climate, ecology 18 and topography, however numerous disease-causing insects and microbes are also well 19 adapted to take advantage of the ecological niches that such buildings provide [5,6]. 20 Infants and young children are particularly vulnerable to the health effects of

housing construction material due to the high proportion of time spent in the family
dwelling and behaviors common to early life such as crawling or playing on the floor [7–9].
Floors that are finished with wood, tiles or cement may protect against transmission of

4

some diarrhea-causing enteric pathogens compared to those made of packed earth or sand
either because they are easier to clean, or because they are less hospitable to pathogen
survival outside the host [9].

27 As childhood mortality continues to decline globally, becoming concentrated in 28 subnational hotspots it will be increasingly necessary to target interventions ever more 29 specifically both geographically and to particular causes [10]. Several household-level 30 determinants of health have been mapped at continental or global scale using survey data 31 and spatial interpolation methods including water source and sanitation facility type [11]. 32 crowded living space [12], educational attainment [13], and relative wealth [14]. Tusting 33 and colleagues have applied a similar approach to mapping houses built with finished 34 materials across Sub-Saharan Africa for 2000 and 2015, defining such households as those 35 having at least two out of three of the materials for the walls, roof and floor were finished. 36 though they did not separate out these three components [15]. Building on these efforts. 37 the aim of this study, a project of the Planetary Child Health & Enterics Observatory (Plan-38 EO, www.planeo.earth) [16] was to model the sub-national spatial variation in housing 39 materials using covariates with quasi-global coverage and use the resulting estimates to 40 map the predicted coverage across low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). The guiding 41 hypothesis was that coverage of improved housing materials varies spatially as a function 42 of environmental, and socio-demographic factors in a way that can be modelled using 43 publicly available global datasets and state-of-the-art geostatistical methods.

5

44 Materials and Methods:

45 **Objective and scope**:

The objective of this analysis was to estimate the percent coverage of each category
of materials used in dwelling component construction at all locations throughout the
world's LMICs (as defined by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
Development [17], excluding those in Europe).

50 *Outcome variables:*

51 The categories of housing materials used in this analysis were those proposed by 52 Florey and Taylor, who classify materials used for construction of flooring, walls, and roofs 53 into natural, rudimentary, and finished types, and then further into improved and 54 unimproved [18]. Data relating to these variables were compiled from nationally 55 representative, population-based household surveys with two-stage cluster-randomized 56 sample designs such as the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) [19], the Multiple 57 Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) [20] and others. These programs collect information on 58 coverage of health and development indicators and make the resulting microdata publicly 59 available through their websites. All Standard DHSs, Malaria and AIDS Indicator Surveys 60 (MIS and AIS) and MICS that collected information on housing material dating back to 2005 61 from all LMICs were included. For countries where no such surveys were available, either 62 similar surveys from the 2000-2004 period or country-specific surveys were sourced 63 where available. The unit of analysis was the household, and these were classified into 64 three, mutually exclusive categories (natural, rudimentary, and finished) based on the

6

- 65 housing material recorded by the survey interviewer for each of the three dwelling
- 66 components (floors, walls, and roof) as shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Classification of construction materials for the three components of the dwellingused as three-category outcome variables (adapted from Florey and Taylor 2016 [18])				
Cate	egory	Flooring	Walls	Roof
Unimproved	Natural	Earth, sand, dung etc.	Mud, sticks, cane, palm, tin, cardboard, paper, thatch, straw etc. No walls	Grass, thatch, palm leaves, sod, straw etc. No roof
	Rudimentary	Wood planks, palm, bamboo etc.	Bamboo, stone, or trunks with mud, uncovered adobe, plywood, cardboard, reused wood, unburnt bricks etc.	Palm, bamboo, wood planks, cardboard, tarpaulin, plastic etc.
Improved	Finished	Parquet or polished wood, vinyl or asphalt strips, cement, carpet etc.	Cement or cement blocks, stone with lime or cement, bricks, covered adobe, wood planks/shingles, burnt bricks etc.	Metal, wood, ceramic tiles, cement, shingles, slate etc.

67 **Georeferencing households:** For this spatial analysis it was necessary to assign 68 coordinates to each household representing its approximate location. Cluster-randomized 69 surveys have a hierarchical design such that households are nested within clusters, the 70 census enumeration areas that serve as the primary sampling unit, which are in turn 71 nested within survey strata (sub-national region and urban/rural status). The DHS 72 Program provides coordinates of the cluster centroids for most of the surveys they carry 73 out [21] (though these are randomly "displaced" – systematically shifted up to a certain 74 distance to preserve confidentiality [22]). However, these are not available for all clusters 75 and surveys and equivalent coordinates have been made available only for a handful of

7

76 MICS and no country-specific surveys. For this analysis, households were georeferenced to their displaced cluster centroid coordinates where available, otherwise their clusters were 77 78 randomly assigned to populated settlement locations taken from the Humanitarian 79 OpenStreetMap database [23] that fell within the same survey stratum (sub-national region 80 and urban/rural status) with probability proportional to the population density of the 81 settlement (extracted from the WorldPop [24] database at settlement coordinates). 82 OpenStreetMap settlements were reclassified such that cities and towns were categorized 83 as urban, and villages, hamlets, and isolated dwellings as rural. This novel cluster location 84 assignment process was automated in ArcGIS Pro ModelBuilder [25] and Stata 18 [26].

85 *Covariates:*

86 A suite of time-static environmental and demographic spatial covariates available in 87 raster format were compiled based on their hypothesized associations with the outcome 88 variables. Definitions and sources of each covariate are shown in Table 2. Variable values 89 were extracted at the georeferenced cluster locations in Python. In addition, time was 90 calculated in continuous months since January 1st, 2005, based on the date of survey 91 interview and log-transformed based on the assumption that changes in household 92 material over time would be non-linear but unidirectional. Countries were grouped into the 93 six regions used for administrative purposes by the World Bank [27], and this categorical 94 variable was also treated as a covariate so that, for countries with no available survey data, 95 estimates would be based partly on regional averages.

96 Analysis:

8

97	To reduce the database size and computational demands, and to neutralize the issue
98	of within-cluster correlation, one household with non-missing outcome value was
99	randomly sampled per cluster and retained for analysis (this selection was done separately
100	for each of the three outcomes). Due to the computational demands of performing
101	geospatial analysis at the global scale, we recoded all outcomes to be binary, by collapsing
102	two of the response categories together ("rudimentary" was grouped with "natural") to
103	give "improved" / "unimproved" response categories as shown in Table 1 , and in a
104	modification of the schema proposed by Florey and Taylor (those authors grouped
105	rudimentary and finished walls and roofs into the improved category, but not floors,
106	however we opted for a consistent categorization across components to facilitate
107	comparison between outcome variables [18]).

108 **Exploratory spatial data analysis:** We first assessed the presence of spatial 109 autocorrelation by generating semi-variograms of the Pearson residuals from a non-spatial 110 logistic regression that included all explanatory variables listed in **Table 2** (Supplementary 111 Figure S1). We fit spherical spatial correlation models to each semi-variogram and 112 estimated the nugget, range, and sill for each outcome. The semi-variograms and respective 113 models were estimated using the **gstat** R package [28]. Together with the nugget:sill ratio 114 and the estimated range, we determined that an explicitly spatial modeling approach was 115 required to account for the non-trivial spatial correlation in the Pearson residuals.

Model fitting: Given the massive spatial scale of the database, with hundreds of
thousands of points spanning most of the globe, incorporating spatial correlation into the
models presented computational challenges. We used the inlabru R package to implement

9

119 an integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA) modeling approach in which all 120 locations are projected onto a coarsened grid or "mesh" containing several thousand 121 vertices that carry the spatial information and can be reprojected onto the observed data 122 [29,30]. INLA models approximate Bayesian models by constructing the posterior 123 distribution and then applying Laplace approximations, thus bypassing the need for time-124 consuming Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and making global-scale computation 125 feasible. All coordinates were transformed via the Mollweide projection and scaled into 126 kilometers prior to analysis. The mesh used for modelling had 18,352 vertices, placed 127 within continental boundaries. Further details on the implementation of the INLA model 128 are provided in **Supplementary File 1**.

129 Model predictions: Predicted probabilities for each outcome were made for all 130 locations in the domain of interest (the LMICs) at 5 km² resolution and exported in 131 Georeferenced Tag Image File format (GeoTIFF). The spatial covariates from Table 2 along 132 with the time variable were used to generate predicted logistic distribution probability of 133 the finished class of each building material from the INLA model. A value for time 134 corresponding to the first of January 2023 was used for making predictions. Missing pixel 135 values were filled by performing imputation using k-Nearest Neighbors method by Python 136 Scikit-learn package [31].

Model evaluation: The predictive performance of the spatial models was assessed
by calculating common metrics of recall (sensitivity), precision (positive predictive value),
accuracy (the proportion correctly classified), F1-score (mean of precision and recall), and
area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC-AUC). For each performance

10

141 metric, two multiclass averaging metrics (macro and weighted average) were calculated,

142 including macro averaging and weighted macro averaging, given by:

$$Pr_{macro} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr_i \tag{1}$$

$$Pr_{weighted-macro} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr_i * Obs_i$$
⁽²⁾

Where Pr_i is the precision calculated from the multiple class predictions and Obs_i is 143 144 the number of observations of one class. *n* is the total number of observations of all classes. To assess the relative contribution of each covariate to the models, feature importance 145 146 values for the input raster covariates were calculated by running parallel non-spatial linear 147 regression models (since the **inlabru** package does not provide feature importance output) 148 that were otherwise identically specified and scaling the output coefficients to the 0-1149 range using the Scikit-learn Python package. These feature importance values can be 150 interpreted as conditional associations, quantifying the responded variation of the output 151 when only the given feature is allowed to vary while all other features are held constant.

152 *Ethics statement:*

All human subject information used in this analysis was anonymized, publicly available secondary data, and therefore ethical approval was not required or sought. For data provided by the DHS Program, data access requests (including for the displaced cluster coordinates) were submitted and authorized through the Program's website. A completed checklist of Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting (GATHER [32]) is included in **Supplementary File S1**.

11

Table 2: Definitions and sources of variables included as covariate predictors in the model				
Variable	Definition	Units/ Categories ¹	Source	
Accessibility to cities	Travel time to nearest settlement of >50,000 inhabitants.	Minutes	MAP [33]	
Aridity index	Mean annual precipitation / Mean annual reference evapotranspiration, 1970-2000.	Ratio	CGIAR-CSI [34]	
Climate zone	First level Köppen-Geiger climate classification.	Tropical; arid; temperate; cold; polar	Beck et al. 2018 [35]	
Cropland areas	Proportion of land given over to cropland, 2000.	Proportion	CIESIN [36]	
Distance to major river	Distance to major perennial river (derived from rivers and lakes centerlines database).	Decimal degrees	Natural Earth [37]	
Elevation	Elevation above sea level.	Meters	NOAA [38]	
Economic development	Sub-national unit-level Gross Domestic Production (GDP) per capita, 2015	Constant 2011 int. USD	Kummu et al. 2018 [39]	
Enhanced Vegetation Index	Vegetation greenness corrected for atmospheric conditions and canopy background noise.	Ratio	USGS [40]	
Growing season length	Reference length of annual agricultural growing period (baseline period 1961-1990).	Days	FAO, IIASA [41]	
Human development	Sub-national unit-level Human Development Index (HDI), 2015	Scale from 0 to 1	Kummu et al. 2018 [39]	
Human Footprint Index	Human Influence Index (HII) normalized by biome and realm.	Percentage	CIESIN [42]	
Irrigated areas	Percentage of land equipped for irrigation around the year, 2000.	Percentage	FAO [43]	
Land cover and use	General class of vegetation, tree, and ice cover or purpose of land use, 2020 (resampled and reclassified from Global Land Cover and Land Use)	Built up; cropland; desert; semi-arid; short vegetation; snow or ice; tree cover; wetland	GLAD [44]	
Land Surface Temperature	Interannual averages of daily land surface temperature estimates for daytime, nighttime, and day/nighttime range, 2003-2020.	K	MOD21A1N v006 [45,46]	

12

Table 2: Definitions and sources of variables included as covariate predictors in the model				
Variable	Definition	Units/ Categories ¹	Source	
Nighttime light	The surface upward radiance from artificial light emissions extracted from at-sensor nighttime radiances at top-of- atmosphere.	nWatts•cm ⁻² •sr ⁻¹	NASA Black Marble [47]	
Pasture areas	Proportion of land given over to pasture, 2000.	Proportion	CIESIN [36]	
Population density	Human population density per 1km ² .	Inhabitants per km ²	WorldPop [24]	
Potential evapotranspiration	8-day sum of the water vapor flux under ideal conditions of complete ground cover by plants.	kg/m²/8-day	NASA EOSDIS [48]	
Region	Region of the globe as defined by the World Bank	East Asia & Pacific; Europe & Central Asia; Latin America & the Caribbean; Middle East & North Africa; South Asia	World Bank [27]	
Urbanicity	Urbanicity status at georeferenced location (reclassified from Global Human Settlement database).	Urban; peri-urban; rural; remote	GHS [49]	

13

159 **Results**:

160 350 nationally representative household surveys (together containing data from more than 161 6 million households in 283,000 clusters) met the inclusion criteria, reported information 162 on construction material types for one or more of the dwelling components and were 163 included in the model training dataset. Figure 1 shows the number of surveys contributed 164 by each LMIC, while **Supplementary File S2** gives the national level distribution of each of 165 the three housing construction variables in each survey (before within-cluster sub-166 sampling, and without sample weights applied). All eligible surveys included information 167 on floor material; however, wall and roof material information were only available from 168 328 and 324 surveys respectively. No relevant data from household surveys could be found 169 for several LMICs with large geographies and populations, most notably China, Iran, 170 Venezuela, Libya, and Malaysia, as well as the smaller countries of Eritrea, North Korea, 171 Lebanon, Equatorial Guinea, and numerous island nations such as Sri Lanka. 172 Figure 1: Number of nationally representative household surveys included in input 173 dataset by country for included LMICs (small countries represented by circles). Base map 174 compiled from shapefiles obtained from U.S. Department of State—Humanitarian 175 Information Unit [50] and Natural Earth free vector map data @ naturalearthdata.com that 176 are made available in the public domain with no restrictions.

Figure 2 shows the geographical distribution of the coverage of improved
materials predicted by the INLA models for each of the three binary dwelling component
variables across the domain of included LMICs. These predictions are also provided as
raster TIFF files available on the Dryad data repository. There are some similarities across

14

181 the variables, with low coverage predicted for all three across a wide belt of the Sahel and 182 southern Sahara regions of Africa, much of inland Amazonia, and areas of the Tibetan 183 plateau, as well as individual countries including the Democratic Republic of the Congo, 184 Mozambique, Madagascar, Pakistan, and Papua New Guinea. High coverage of all three 185 improved components coincided across much of the Middle East, Mediterranean North 186 Africa, the coast of the Bight of Benin, the Caribbean, sub-Amazonian Brazil, southern 187 Argentina, and South Africa. However, divergence in coverage of the three variables is 188 evident across many locations, Across Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Azerbaijan, Cambodia and 189 Laos, low coverage of improved floors, but high coverage of walls and roofs were predicted, 190 while in Afghanistan, the reverse was the case. Yemen has mostly high improved floor 191 coverage predicted, but low improved roof and mixed improved wall coverage, while on 192 the island of Borneo, that pattern is reversed. Importantly, sub-national patterns are clearly 193 visible, for example, with respect to improved floors, walls, and roofs in India, China, 194 Mexico, and Brazil.

Figure 2: Coverage of improved material for three dwelling components - a. floors, b.
walls, c. roofs - in LMICs predicted by integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA)
models fitted to household survey data. Base maps compiled from shapefiles obtained from
U.S. Department of State—Humanitarian Information Unit [50] and Natural Earth free vector
map data @ naturalearthdata.com that are made available in the public domain with no
restrictions.

Figure 3 shows ridge plots visualizing the distribution of predicted values for the coverage of improved status for each of the three dwelling components and stratified by

15

203	the six world regions. The distribution of improved roofs was highly concentrated at values
204	very close to 100% in the Central Asia region, findings which are borne out by the input
205	data, in which most surveys recorded a coverage of finished roofs greater than 97%
206	(Supplementary File S2). This was true to a far lesser extent for other regions - with the
207	exception of Sub-Saharan Africa, which had predicted values much more evenly dispersed
208	along the range of values – and for improved walls, though the South Asia region and had a
209	much more dispersed, bimodal distribution for the latter variable. For improved floors,
210	predicted values were highly concentrated at the low extreme of Sub-Saharan Africa.
211	Figure 3: Distribution of values predicted for coverage of improved dwelling
212	components by INLA models, stratified by component and world region.
213	Figure 4 visualizes the feature importance values for each covariate in each of the
214	three models. More than half (eleven) of the variables did not contribute to any of the
215	models. Feature importance was dominated by the same single variable (human
216	development index), accounting for more than 50% of the variation in all three models. For
217	the walls and to a lesser extent the floors models, the next most important feature was
218	provided by the enhanced vegetation index (EVI), whereas for the roofs model, cropland
219	and pasture areas contributed more to the model prediction, with EVI ranking fourth.
220	Figure 4: Feature importance for each covariate included in the final model for each
221	of the dwelling components (HDI – Human Development Index; EVI – Enhanced Vegetation
222	Index; LST – Land Surface Temperature; ET – Evapotranspiration; GDP – Gross Domestic
223	Product).

16

224	Table 3 gives statistics that evaluate the models' performance in classifying
225	household construction material types for the three dwelling components. Across the
226	whole database, floors were the dwelling component for which coverage of improved
227	construction material was lowest at 57.9%, the equivalent coverage for walls and roofs
228	being 67.1% and 80.3% respectively. While precision, recall and F1-score statistics were
229	generally high for the unimproved category in all models, they varied considerably for the
230	improved category, particularly for the roofs model, for which recall, and F1-score were
231	just 0.4 and 0.5 respectively. However, the roofs model was the one with the highest
232	weighted average for those three statistics (a precision of 0.84, recall of 0.85 and F1-score
233	of 0.83, compared with 0.78, 0.79, and 0.78 respectively for the walls and 0.77 for all three
234	statistics for the floors model). All three models demonstrated similarly strong
235	discriminatory power and performance in distinguishing between households with
236	improved and unimproved construction materials in the respective dwelling components,
237	with ROC-AUC statistics of 0.85 – 0.87.

238

17

	•	Observations (%)	Precision	Recall	F1-score	ROC-AUC
00rs	Total	258,472 (100.0)	-	-	-	0.85
	Unimproved	108,931 (42.1)	0.73	0.74	0.73	-
	Improved	149,541 (57.9)	0.81	0.80	0.80	-
F	Macro-average	-	0.77	0.77	0.77	-
	Weighted average	-	0.77	0.77	0.77	-
	Total	248,421 (100.0)	-	-	-	0.85
S	Unimproved	81,621 (32.9)	0.71	0.59	0.65	-
Wall	Improved	166,800 (67.1)	0.82	0.88	0.85	-
	Macro-average	-	0.77	0.74	0.75	-
	Weighted average	-	0.78	0.79	0.78	-
	Total	235,024 (100.0)	-	-	-	0.87
S	Unimproved	46,272 (19.7)	0.76	0.38	0.50	-
00	Improved	188,752 (80.3)	0.86	0.97	0.91	-
R	Macro-average	-	0.81	0.67	0.71	-
	Weighted average	-	0.84	0.85	0.83	-

Table 3: Evaluation statistics for models of construction materials for three dwelling components

18

Discussion:

239 Housing infrastructure and quality are major determinants of infectious disease risk and 240 other health outcomes, particularly in regions of the world where vector borne, 241 waterborne and neglected tropical diseases are endemic. Although, the nature of this 242 relationship is complex and multifaceted and varies depending on the specific pathogen 243 and vector species, it highlights the importance of targeting interventions to mitigate these 244 adverse health outcomes, particularly in LMICs where the overwhelming majority of 245 childhood mortality occurs. As attention turns to improving housing quality in low-246 resource settings as a strategy for controlling infectious diseases, it is important to quantify 247 the geographical distribution of improvements to the major dwelling components to 248 identify and target resources towards populations at risk. This study is the first attempt to 249 meet this objective.

250 The importance of housing materials is clearly not restricted to vectorborne 251 diseases. Finished floors have been associated with decreases of 0.89 in Log₁₀ E. coli 252 contamination in Peru [51], 78% in intestinal parasite prevalence in Mexican children [52]. 253 and 9% for diarrheal disease risk, 11% for both enteric bacteria and enteric protozoa risk 254 [8], and 17% for *Shigella* spp. infection probability in meta-analyses of children under 5 255 years across multiple LMIC surveillance sites [53]. Traditional roof material has also been 256 shown to be associated with childhood diarrhea [54], even after adjusting for floor material 257 [55]. Pooled analyses of household survey data from multiple countries have found 258 associations of living in improved housing on numerous child health outcomes, including 259 cognitive and social-emotional development [7], and nutritional status [56], in addition to 260 malaria infection [18,57]. Additionally, there is evidence of increased acute respiratory

19

illness (ARI) in children in Pakistan, with unimproved flooring increasing ARI risk by 18%,
and unimproved walling materials also increasing the risk of ARI in children under the age
of five [58]. These findings are supported by similar findings with different studies in India,
Nigeria, ad Lao PDR [59–61].

265 This study is subject to several limitations. Our characterization of housing was 266 constrained by the availability of data from household surveys, which generally only ask 267 about just three components, and don't include questions about other relevant features of 268 the built household environment, such as screens covering openings [62] elevation of 269 sleeping areas or improvements to windows and ventilation [63]. Although the variables 270 were originally in three-class ordinal categorical format, we had to combine categories and 271 model them as dichotomous, because there is currently no way to address adjacent 272 categories and parallel odds using the INLA modeling approach. Additionally, our spatial 273 models assume a stationary (i.e., global) covariance structure that does not vary across the 274 globe. This is likely an oversimplification of the latent spatial effects; however, estimating a 275 non-stationary spatial model at the global scale falls outside the scope of the current article 276 and presents a worthwhile future direction. Likewise, improving the precision of the mesh 277 used by INLA may improve predictions, but with ROC-AUC values already relatively high, 278 this is likely to yield only marginal gains.

Despite these limitations, the product developed fills an important gap in spatially
characterizing determinants of the principal causes of infectious disease burden in LMICs.
Many types of mosquitoes such as those that transmit malaria (*Anopheles* spp.), dengue
(*Aedes* spp.), filariasis and Japanese encephalitis (*Culex* spp.) enter the home through eaves

20

283 and other openings [64] and rest on walls and ceilings after ingesting a blood meal (the 284 basis behind indoor residual spraving [IRS] of these surfaces as a malaria control 285 intervention). Indeed, in Africa, 80% of malaria transmission occurs indoors [3] and houses 286 with roofs and walls constructed of natural material provide more points of entry [64,65] 287 and preferred resting places [66] for malaria-transmitting mosquitoes, insights which are 288 increasingly putting housing improvements on the research agendas as potential disease 289 control strategies [63,65]. In rural Gambia, studies have found reductions in 290 intradomiciliary mosquito vector abundance and survival through installing plywood 291 ceilings [67], closing eaves in thatched roofs [68,69], and replacing thatch with ventilated 292 metal roofing [70]. In rural Uganda, living in a house constructed of traditional materials 293 (thatched roof, mud walls, earth floor etc.) has been associated with increased clinical 294 malaria incidence [71] and parasitemia in children [72] and pregnant women [73], and 295 decreased effectiveness of IRS in reducing *Anopheles* biting rates [72]. Similar protective 296 effects of improved housing construction material on entomological and clinical malaria 297 outcomes have been documented separately in Burkina Faso [74], Ethiopia [75], Laos [76], 298 Malawi [77], South Africa [78], and Tanzania [79], while pooled effects from systematic 299 reviews have been reported on the order of a 32% reduction in mosquito-borne diseases. 300 47% for malaria infection and 85% for indoor vector densities [65,80]. Aside from 301 mosquito-borne illnesses, living in households with walls made of mud or thatch carries an 302 increased risk of leishmaniasis infection and indoor abundance of sandfly vectors [81], 303 while in the Americas, Chagas Disease vectors (triatomine bugs) are drawn to houses with 304 thatched palm roofs and mud walls [82]. In a Guatemalan community, for example, the 305 odds of triatomine presence were 3.85 times higher in houses with walls that lacked

21

306	plastering [83], while in rural Paraguay, an intervention to provide houses with smooth,
307	flat and crack-free walls, reduced triatomine infestation by 96.4%, a comparable effect to
308	that of fumigation [84].

Conclusions:

309 In conclusion, this study applies a relatively computationally efficient and spatially 310 explicit modeling approach to a very large dataset, representative of but standardized 311 across diverse geographies, and collected through rigorous and standardized 312 methodologies. The findings allow us to assess the predictive performance of the models as 313 well as the relative contribution of particular covariate variables, and the resulting 314 predictions are made available to the reader in a readily useable format (available from 315 www.datadryad/org). Human development is by far the strongest determinant of dwelling 316 component quality, though vegetation greenness and land use (cropland and pasture) are 317 also relevant markers. Prevalence of improved roofs and walls is high in the Central Asia, 318 East Asia and Pacific and Latin America and the Caribbean regions, while coverage of 319 improvements in all three components, but most notably floors, is low in Sub-Saharan 320 Africa.

22

321 **References**:

- United Nations. 17 Goals Learn About the SDGs. [cited 26 Oct 2018]. Available: http://17goals.org/
- Krieger J, Higgins DL. Housing and health: time again for public health action. Am J
 Public Health. 2002;92: 758–768. doi:10.2105/ajph.92.5.758
- Haines A, Bruce N, Cairncross S, Davies M, Greenland K, Hiscox A, et al. Promoting
 health and advancing development through improved housing in low-income settings.
 J Urban Health. 2013;90: 810–831. doi:10.1007/s11524-012-9773-8
- Knudsen J, von Seidlein L. Healthy homes in tropical zones: improving rural housing in
 Asia and Africa. London: Axel Menges; 2014.
- Costantini C, Sagnon N, della Torre A, Coluzzi M. Mosquito behavioural aspects of
 vector-human interactions in the Anopheles gambiae complex. Parassitologia.
 1999;41: 209–217.
- Trpis M, Hausermann W. Genetics of house-entering behaviour in East African
 populations of Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) and its relevance to speciation.
 Bulletin of Entomological Research. 1978;68: 521–532.
 doi:10.1017/S0007485300009494
- Gao Y, Zhang L, Kc A, Wang Y, Zou S, Chen C, et al. Housing environment and early
 childhood development in sub-Saharan Africa: A cross-sectional analysis. PLoS Med.
 2021;18: e1003578. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003578
- Colston JM, Faruque ASG, Hossain MJ, Saha D, Kanungo S, Mandomando I, et al.
 Associations between Household-Level Exposures and All-Cause Diarrhea and
 Pathogen-Specific Enteric Infections in Children Enrolled in Five Sentinel Surveillance
 Studies. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17:
 8078. doi:10.3390/ijerph17218078
- Schiaffino F, Rengifo Trigoso D, Colston JM, Paredes Olortegui M, Shapiama Lopez WV,
 Garcia Bardales PF, et al. Associations among Household Animal Ownership,
 Infrastructure, and Hygiene Characteristics with Source Attribution of Household
 Fecal Contamination in Peri-Urban Communities of Iquitos, Peru. Am J Trop Med Hyg.
 2021;104: 372–381. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.20-0810
- Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, Villavicencio F, Lopez G, Strong KL, et al. Global, regional,
 and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–19: an updated systematic analysis
 with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. The Lancet Child &
 Adolescent Health. 2022;6: 106–115. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00311-4
- 11. Deshpande A, Miller-Petrie MK, Lindstedt PA, Baumann MM, Johnson KB, Blacker BF,
 et al. Mapping geographical inequalities in access to drinking water and sanitation

357 358		facilities in low-income and middle-income countries, 2000–17. The Lancet Global Health. 2020;8: e1162–e1185. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30278-3
359 360 361 362	12.	Chipeta MG, Kumaran EPA, Browne AJ, Hamadani BHK, Haines-Woodhouse G, Sartorius B, et al. Mapping local variation in household overcrowding across Africa from 2000 to 2018: a modelling study. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2022;6: e670– e681. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00149-8
363 364 365	13.	Graetz N, Woyczynski L, Wilson KF, Hall JB, Abate KH, Abd-Allah F, et al. Mapping disparities in education across low- and middle-income countries. Nature. 2019. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1872-1
366 367	14.	Data For Good at Meta. Relative Wealth Index. 2022 [cited 2 Sep 2022]. Available: https://dataforgood.facebook.com/dfg/tools/relative-wealth-index#methodology
368 369 370	15.	Tusting LS, Bisanzio D, Alabaster G, Cameron E, Cibulskis R, Davies M, et al. Mapping changes in housing in sub-Saharan Africa from 2000 to 2015. Nature. 2019 [cited 17 Oct 2019]. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1050-5
371 372 373 374 375 376	16.	Colston JM, Chernyavskiy P, Gardner LM, Fang B, Houpt E, Swarup S, et al. The Planetary Child Health and Enterics Observatory (Plan-EO): a Protocol for an Interdisciplinary Research Initiative and Web-Based Dashboard for Climate-Informed Mapping of Enteric Infectious Diseases and their Risk Factors and Interventions in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. 18 Apr 2023 [cited 24 Apr 2023]. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-2640564/v2
377 378 379 380	17.	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. DAC List of ODA Recipients. In: OECD [Internet]. 2020 [cited 10 Dec 2021]. Available: https://www.oecd.org/dac/financing-sustainable-development/development- finance-standards/daclist.htm
381 382 383 384	18.	Florey L, Taylor C. Using household survey data to explore the effects of improved housing conditions on malaria infection in children in Sub-Saharan Africa. Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF International; 2016 Aug. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-AS61-Analytical-Studies.cfm
385 386	19.	ICF International. Demographic and Health Surveys (various, 2000-2021). Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF International; 2021.
387 388	20.	UNICEF. Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (various, 2000-2021). New York, NY: UNICEF; 2021.
389 390 391 392	21.	Perez-Haydrich C, Warren JL, Burgert CR, Emch ME. Guidelines on the use of DHS GPS data. 2013 [cited 22 Jul 2022]. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-SAR8-Spatial-Analysis-Reports.cfm

393 394 395 396	22.	Burgert C, Colston J, Roy T, Zachary B. Geographic displacement procedure and georeferenced data release policy for the Demographic and Health Surveys. Calverton, MD, USA: MEASURE DHS; 2013 Sep. Available: http://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-SAR7-Spatial-Analysis-Reports.cfm
397 398 399	23.	OpenStreetMap© contributors. Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Populated Places Datasets (OpenStreetMap Export). 2022 [cited 22 Jul 2022]. Available: https://data.humdata.org/organization/hot
400 401	24.	Tatem AJ. WorldPop, open data for spatial demography. Scientific Data. 2017;4: 170004. doi:10.1038/sdata.2017.4
402 403	25.	ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.8. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute; 2019. Available: https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/desktop/
404 405	26.	StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 18. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2023.
406 407 408	27.	The World Bank. The world by region. In: SDG Atlas 2017 [Internet]. 2017 [cited 10 Dec 2021]. Available: https://datatopics.worldbank.org/sdgatlas/archive/2017/the-world-by-region.html
409 410	28.	Pebesma EJ. Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package. Computers & Geosciences. 2004;30: 683–691. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2004.03.012
411 412 413	29.	Bachl FE, Lindgren F, Borchers DL, Illian JB. inlabru: an R package for Bayesian spatial modelling from ecological survey data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. 2019;10: 760–766. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13168
414 415 416	30.	Lindgren F, Bachl FE, Borchers DL, Simpson D, Scott-Howard L, Andy S, et al. inlabru: Bayesian Latent Gaussian Modelling using INLA and Extensions. 2023. Available: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/inlabru/index.html
417 418 419	31.	Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, et al. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. Journal of Machine Learning Research. 2011;12: 2825– 2830.
420 421 422	32.	Stevens GA, Alkema L, Black RE, Boerma JT, Collins GS, Ezzati M, et al. Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting: the GATHER statement. The Lancet. 2016;388: e19–e23. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30388-9
423 424 425	33.	Weiss DJ, Nelson A, Gibson HS, Temperley W, Peedell S, Lieber A, et al. A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. Nature. 2018;553: 333–336. doi:10.1038/nature25181

34. Trabucco A, Zomer R J. Global Aridity Index and Potential Evapo-Transpiration (ET0).

CGIAR Consortium for Spatial Information (CGIAR-SCI); 2018. Report No.: 2. Available:

https://cgiarcsi.comunity

429 430 431	35.	Beck HE, Zimmermann NE, McVicar TR, Vergopolan N, Berg A, Wood EF. Present and future Köppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution. Sci Data. 2018;5: 180214. doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.214
432 433 434	36.	Ramankutty N, Evan AT, Monfreda C, Foley JA. Farming the planet: 1. Geographic distribution of global agricultural lands in the year 2000. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. 2008;22: n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2007GB002952
435 436 437	37.	Natural Earth. Rivers and Lakes Centerlines 4.1.0. 2021. Available: https://www.naturalearthdata.com/downloads/10m-physical-vectors/10m-rivers- lake-centerlines/
438 439 440	38.	Hastings DA, Dunbar PK. Global Land One-kilometer Base Elevation (GLOBE) Digital Elevation Model, Documentation, Volume 1.0. Boulder, Colorado: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Geophysical Data Center; 1999.
441 442 443	39.	Kummu M, Taka M, Guillaume JHA. Gridded global datasets for Gross Domestic Product and Human Development Index over 1990–2015. Sci Data. 2018;5: 180004. doi:10.1038/sdata.2018.4
444 445 446	40.	U.S. Geological Survey. Landsat Enhanced Vegetation Index. In: Landsat Missions [Internet]. 2021 [cited 14 Dec 2021]. Available: https://www.usgs.gov/landsat- missions/landsat-enhanced-vegetation-index
447 448 449	41.	The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), International Institute of Applied Systems Analysis. Global Agro-ecological Zones (GAEZ v3.0). Rome, Italy and Laxenburg, Austria: FAO & IIASA; 2012.
450 451 452 453 454 455	42.	Wildlife Conservation Society, Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN. Last of the Wild Project, Version 2, 2005 (LWP-2): Global Human Footprint Dataset (Geographic). Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC); 2005. Available: https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/wildareas-v2-human-footprint- geographic
456 457 458	43.	Siebert S, Döll P, Hoogeveen J, Faures J-M, Frenken K, Feick S. Development and validation of the global map of irrigation areas. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 2005;9: 535–547. doi:10.5194/hess-9-535-2005
459 460 461 462	44.	Potapov P, Hansen MC, Pickens A, Hernandez-Serna A, Tyukavina A, Turubanova S, et al. The Global 2000-2020 Land Cover and Land Use Change Dataset Derived From the Landsat Archive: First Results. Front Remote Sens. 2022;3. doi:10.3389/frsen.2022.856903

463 464 465	45.	Hulley, Glynn, Hook, Simon. MOD21A1D MODIS/Terra Land Surface Temperature/3- Band Emissivity Daily L3 Global 1km SIN Grid Day V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC; 2017. doi:10.5067/MODIS/MOD21A1D.006
466 467 468	46.	Hulley, Glynn, Hook, Simon. MOD21A1N MODIS/Terra Land Surface Temperature/3- Band Emissivity Daily L3 Global 1km SIN Grid Night V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC; 2017. doi:10.5067/MODIS/MOD21A1N.006
469 470 471	47.	Román MO, Wang Z, Sun Q, Kalb V, Miller SD, Molthan A, et al. NASA's Black Marble nighttime lights product suite. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2018;210: 113–143. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2018.03.017
472 473 474	48.	Running, Steve, Mu, Qiaozhen, Zhao, Maosheng. MOD16A2 MODIS/Terra Net Evapotranspiration 8-Day L4 Global 500m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC; 2017. doi:10.5067/MODIS/MOD16A2.006
475 476 477 478 479 480	49.	Pesaresi M, Ehrlich D, Stefano F, Florcyk A, Freire SMC, Halkia S, et al. Operating procedure for the production of the Global Human Settlement Layer from Landsat data of the epochs 1975, 1990, 2000, and 2014 EU Science Hub. Publications Office of the European Union; 2016. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/publication/operating-procedure-production-global-human-settlement-layer-landsat-data-epochs-1975-1990-2000-and
481 482 483	50.	U.S. Department of State - Humanitarian Information Unit. Global LSIB Polygons Detailed - Humanitarian Data Exchange. Humanitarian Data Exchange (HDX); Available: https://data.humdata.org/dataset/global-lsib-polygons-detailed
484 485 486 487	51.	Exum NG, Olórtegui MP, Yori PP, Davis MF, Heaney CD, Kosek M, et al. Floors and Toilets: Association of Floors and Sanitation Practices with Fecal Contamination in Peruvian Amazon Peri-Urban Households. Environmental Science & Technology. 2016;50: 7373–7381. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b01283
488 489 490	52.	Cattaneo M, Galiani S, Gertler P, Martinez S, Titiunik R. Housing, Health and Happiness. CEDLAS, Working Papers. 2008 [cited 25 Apr 2019]. Available: https://ideas.repec.org/p/dls/wpaper/0074.html
491 492 493 494	53.	Badr HS, Colston JM, Nguyen N-LH, Chen YT, Ali SA, Rayamajhi A, et al. Spatiotemporal variation in risk of Shigella infection in childhood: a global risk mapping and prediction model using individual participant data. medRxiv; 2022. p. 2022.08.04.22277641. doi:10.1101/2022.08.04.22277641
495 496 497 498	54.	Getachew A, Tadie A, G.Hiwot M, Guadu T, Haile D, G.Cherkos T, et al. Environmental factors of diarrhea prevalence among under five children in rural area of North Gondar zone, Ethiopia. Italian Journal of Pediatrics. 2018;44: 95. doi:10.1186/s13052-018-0540-7

- 499 55. Paul P. Socio-demographic and environmental factors associated with diarrhoeal
 500 disease among children under five in India. BMC Public Health. 2020;20: 1886.
 501 doi:10.1186/s12889-020-09981-y
- 502 56. Tusting LS, Gething PW, Gibson HS, Greenwood B, Knudsen J, Lindsay SW, et al.
 503 Housing and child health in sub-Saharan Africa: A cross-sectional analysis. PLoS Med.
 504 2020;17: e1003055. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003055
- 505 57. Tusting LS, Bottomley C, Gibson H, Kleinschmidt I, Tatem AJ, Lindsay SW, et al.
 506 Housing Improvements and Malaria Risk in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Multi-Country
 507 Analysis of Survey Data. von Seidlein L, editor. PLOS Medicine. 2017;14: e1002234.
 508 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002234
- 509 58. Aftab A, Noor A, Aslam M. Housing quality and its impact on Acute Respiratory
 510 Infection (ARI) symptoms among children in Punjab, Pakistan. PLOS Glob Public
 511 Health. 2022;2: e0000949. doi:10.1371/journal.pgph.0000949
- 59. Mengersen K, Morawska L, Wang H, Murphy N, Tayphasavanh F, Darasavong K, et al.
 The effect of housing characteristics and occupant activities on the respiratory health
 of women and children in Lao PDR. Sci Total Environ. 2011;409: 1378–1384.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.01.016
- 516 60. Islam F, Sarma R, Debroy A, Kar S, Pal R. Profiling Acute Respiratory Tract Infections in
 517 Children from Assam, India. J Glob Infect Dis. 2013;5: 8–14. doi:10.4103/0974518 777X.107167
- 61. Akinyemi JO, Morakinyo OM. Household environment and symptoms of childhood
 acute respiratory tract infections in Nigeria, 2003-2013: a decade of progress and
 stagnation. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18: 296. doi:10.1186/s12879-018-3207-5
- 522 62. Furnival-Adams J, Olanga EA, Napier M, Garner P. House modifications for preventing
 523 malaria. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;10: CD013398.
 524 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD013398.pub2
- 63. Mshamu S, Mmbando A, Meta J, Bradley J, Bøjstrup TC, Day NPJ, et al. Assessing the
 impact of a novel house design on the incidence of malaria in children in rural Africa:
 study protocol for a household-cluster randomized controlled superiority trial. Trials.
 2022;23: 519. doi:10.1186/s13063-022-06461-z
- 529 64. Lwetoijera DW, Kiware SS, Mageni ZD, Dongus S, Harris C, Devine GJ, et al. A need for
 530 better housing to further reduce indoor malaria transmission in areas with high bed
 531 net coverage. Parasites Vectors. 2013;6: 57. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-57
- 532 65. Kua KP, Lee SWH. Randomized trials of housing interventions to prevent malaria and
 533 Aedes-transmitted diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One.
 534 2021;16: e0244284. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244284

28

535 66. Msugupakulya BJ, Kaindoa EW, Ngowo HS, Kihonda JM, Kahamba NF, Msaky DS, et al. 536 Preferred resting surfaces of dominant malaria vectors inside different house types in 537 rural south-eastern Tanzania. Malar J. 2020;19: 22. doi:10.1186/s12936-020-3108-0 67. Lindsay SW, Jawara M, Paine K, Pinder M, Walraven GEL, Emerson PM. Changes in 538 539 house design reduce exposure to malaria mosquitoes. Tropical Medicine & 540 International Health. 2003;8: 512–517. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3156.2003.01059.x 541 68. Jatta E, Jawara M, Bradley J, Jeffries D, Kandeh B, Knudsen JB, et al. How house design 542 affects malaria mosquito density, temperature, and relative humidity: an experimental study in rural Gambia. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2018;2: e498-e508. 543 544 doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30234-1 69. Kirby MJ, West P, Green C, Jasseh M, Lindsay SW. Risk factors for house-entry by 545 culicine mosquitoes in a rural town and satellite villages in The Gambia. Parasites & 546 547 Vectors. 2008;1: 41. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-1-41 548 70. Lindsay SW, Jawara M, Mwesigwa J, Achan J, Bayoh N, Bradley J, et al. Reduced 549 mosquito survival in metal-roof houses may contribute to a decline in malaria 550 transmission in sub-Saharan Africa. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 7770. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-551 43816-0 552 71. Snyman K, Mwangwa F, Bigira V, Kapisi J, Clark TD, Osterbauer B, et al. Poor Housing 553 Construction Associated with Increased Malaria Incidence in a Cohort of Young 554 Ugandan Children. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2015;92: 555 1207-1213. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.14-0828 556 72. Rek JC, Alegana V, Arinaitwe E, Cameron E, Kamya MR, Katureebe A, et al. Rapid 557 improvements to rural Ugandan housing and their association with malaria from 558 intense to reduced transmission: a cohort study. The Lancet Planetary Health. 2018;2: 559 e83-e94. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30010-X 560 73. Okiring J, Olwoch P, Kakuru A, Okou J, Ochokoru H, Ochieng TA, et al. Household and 561 maternal risk factors for malaria in pregnancy in a highly endemic area of Uganda: a 562 prospective cohort study. Malaria Journal. 2019;18: 144. doi:10.1186/s12936-019-563 2779-x 564 74. Yé Y, Hoshen M, Louis V, Séraphin S, Traoré I, Sauerborn R. Housing conditions and Plasmodium falciparum infection: protective effect of iron-sheet roofed houses. Malar 565 566 J. 2006;5: 8. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-5-8 567 75. Ghebreyesus TA, Haile M, Witten KH, Getachew A, Yohannes M, Lindsay SW, et al. 568 Household risk factors for malaria among children in the Ethiopian highlands. 569 Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2000;94: 17–21. 570 doi:10.1016/S0035-9203(00)90424-3

- 571 76. Hiscox A, Khammanithong P, Kaul S, Sananikhom P, Luthi R, Hill N, et al. Risk factors
 572 for mosquito house entry in the Lao PDR. PLoS One. 2013;8: e62769.
 573 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062769
- 574 77. Wolff CG, Schroeder DG, Young MW. Effect of improved housing on illness in children under 5 years old in northern Malawi: cross sectional study. BMJ. 2001;322: 1209– 1212. doi:10.1136/bmj.322.7296.1209
- 577 78. Coleman M, Coleman M, Mabaso MLH, Mabuza AM, Kok G, Coetzee M, et al. Household and microeconomic factors associated with malaria in Mpumalanga, South Africa.
 579 Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2010;104: 143– 147. doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2009.07.010
- 581 79. Liu JX, Bousema T, Zelman B, Gesase S, Hashim R, Maxwell C, et al. Is Housing Quality
 582 Associated with Malaria Incidence among Young Children and Mosquito Vector
 583 Numbers? Evidence from Korogwe, Tanzania. PLOS ONE. 2014;9: e87358.
 584 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087358
- Tusting LS, Ippolito MM, Willey BA, Kleinschmidt I, Dorsey G, Gosling RD, et al. The
 evidence for improving housing to reduce malaria: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Malar J. 2015;14: 209. doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0724-1
- 588 81. Calderon-Anyosa R, Galvez-Petzoldt C, Garcia PJ, Carcamo CP. Housing Characteristics
 589 and Leishmaniasis: A Systematic Review. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018;99: 1547–1554.
 590 doi:10.4269/ajtmh.18-0037
- 82. Peña-García VH, Gómez-Palacio AM, Triana-Chávez O, Mejía-Jaramillo AM. EcoEpidemiology of Chagas Disease in an Endemic Area of Colombia: Risk Factor
 Estimation, Trypanosoma cruzi Characterization and Identification of Blood-Meal
 Sources in Bugs. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2014;91: 1116–1124. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.140112
- 83. Bustamante DM, Monroy C, Pineda S, Rodas A, Castro X, Ayala V, et al. Risk factors for
 intradomiciliary infestation by the Chagas disease vector Triatoma dimidiatain
 Jutiapa, Guatemala. Cad Saúde Pública. 2009;25: S83–S92. doi:10.1590/S0102311X2009001300008
- 84. Rojas de Arias A, Ferro EA, Ferreira ME, Simancas LC. Chagas disease vector control
 through different intervention modalities in endemic localities of Paraguay. Bull
 World Health Organ. 1999;77: 331–339.







It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

