medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.21.24306783; this version posted May 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.	
Spatial Dissection of the Distinct Cellular Responses to Normal Aging and Alzheimer's Disease	
in Human Prefrontal Cortex at Single-Nucleus Resolution	
′un Gong ^{1§} , Mohammad Haeri ^{2§} , Xiao Zhang ¹ , Yisu Li ³ , Anqi Liu ¹ , Di Wu ¹ , Qilei Zhang ⁴ , S. Michal Jazwinski ⁵ , Kiang Zhou ⁶ , Xiaoying Wang ⁷ , Lindong Jiang ¹ , Yi-Ping Chen ³ , Xiaoxin Yan ⁴ , Russell H. Swerdlow ⁸ *, Hui Shen ¹ *, Hong-Wen Deng ¹ *	
 Tulane Center for Biomedical Informatics and Genomics, Deming Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, 70112, USA 	
 Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, MO, 66160, USA 	
3. Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, School of Science of Engineering, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, 70118, USA	
 School of Basic Medical Sciences, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, 410008, China Tulane Center for Aging, Deming Department of Medicine, Tulane University School of Medicne, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA 	
 Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109, USA Clinical Neuroscience Research Center, Departments of Neurosurgery and Neurology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA 	
8. Department of Neurology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, MO, 66160, USA	
These authors contributed equally to this work	
Corresponding author	
Russell H. Swerdlow, M.D.	
Professor, Neurology	
Professor, Cell Biology and Physiology	
Professor, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology	

29 Director, Alzheimer's Disease Research Center

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9 L0

L1 L2

L3 L4 L5

L6 L7 L8

L9 20

21

22

23

<u>2</u>4

25

26

27

28

- 30 Director, Neurodegenerative Disorders Program
- 31 Department of Neurology, University of Kansas Medical Center NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 1 3901 Rainbow Boulevard, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA
- 2 Email: <u>rswerdlow@kumc.edu</u>
- 3
- 4 Hui Shen, Ph.D.
- 5 Professor, Division of Biomedical Informatics and Genomics
- 6 Associate Director, Tulane Center of Biomedical Informatics and Genomics
- 7 Deming Department of Medicine
- 8 School of Medicine, Tulane University
- 9 1440 Canal Street, Suite 1621, New Orleans, LA 70112
- L0 Tel: (504)988-6987
- L1 Email: hshen3@tulane.edu
- L2
- L3 Hong-Wen Deng, Ph.D.
- 14 Professor, Chief, Section of Biomedical Informatics and Genomics
- L5 Director, Tulane Center of Biomedical Informatics and Genomics
- L6 Deming Department of Medicine
- L7 School of Medicine, Tulane University
- L8 1440 Canal St., Suite 1610, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA
- L9 Tel: (504)988-1310
- 20 Email: hdeng2@tulane.edu
- 21
- 22
- <u>23</u>
- 24
- 25
- 26

1 ABSTRACT

Aging significantly elevates the risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD), contributing to the accumulation of AD 2 3 pathologies, such as amyloid- β (A β), inflammation, and oxidative stress. The human prefrontal cortex (PFC) is highly vulnerable to the impacts of both aging and AD. Unveiling and understanding the molecular alterations 4 in PFC associated with normal aging (NA) and AD is essential for elucidating the mechanisms of AD 5 progression and developing novel therapeutics for this devastating disease. In this study, for the first time, we 6 employed a cutting-edge spatial transcriptome platform, STOmics® SpaTial Enhanced Resolution Omics-7 sequencing (Stereo-seg), to generate the first comprehensive, subcellular resolution spatial transcriptome atlas 8 of the human PFC from six AD cases at various neuropathological stages and six age, sex, and ethnicity 9 matched controls. Our analyses revealed distinct transcriptional alterations across six neocortex layers, L0 highlighted the AD-associated disruptions in laminar architecture, and identified changes in layer-to-layer ι1 interactions as AD progresses. Further, throughout the progression from NA to various stages of AD, we Γ5 L3 discovered specific genes that were significantly upregulated in neurons experiencing high stress and in nearby non-neuronal cells, compared to cells distant from the source of stress. Notably, the cell-cell L4 ۱5 interactions between the neurons under the high stress and adjacent glial cells that promote AB clearance and neuroprotection were diminished in AD in response to stressors compared to NA. Through cell-type specific ۱6 gene co-expression analysis, we identified three modules in excitatory and inhibitory neurons associated with ١7 neuronal protection, protein dephosphorylation, and negative regulation of Aß plaque formation. These ٤8 modules negatively correlated with AD progression, indicating a reduced capacity for toxic substance ٢9 20 clearance in AD subject samples. Moreover, we have discovered a novel transcription factor, ZNF460, that regulates all three modules, establishing it as a potential new therapeutic target for AD. Overall, utilizing the 21 22 latest spatial transcriptome platform, our study developed the first transcriptome-wide atlas with subcellular resolution for assessing the molecular alterations in the human PFC due to AD. This atlas sheds light on the <u>23</u> potential mechanisms underlying the progression from NA to AD. 24

25

Key words: Alzheimer's disease, Aging, Spatial transcriptome at single-nucleus resolution

- 27
- 28

<u>29</u>

- 30
- 31
- 32

1 INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder primarily associated with memory 2 deficits and cognitive decline that can eventually affect behavior, speech, visuospatial orientation and the 3 motor system (1-3). AD is the most common cause of dementia among the elderly, impacting an estimated 32 4 million individuals globally as of 2023 (4) and its prevalence is increasing in nations with aging populations. 5 thereby imposing significant burdens on their healthcare systems. AD brain pathology is characterized by the 6 accumulation of extracellular amyloid- β (A β) plaques (5) and intracellular hyperphosphorylated tau aggregates 7 8 as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in the gray matter (6). These pathological features can trigger cytotoxic 9 events, neuroinflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and contribute to the neuronal stress and degeneration, LO ultimately resulting in brain atrophy (5, 7). Interestingly, similar pathological hallmarks, can also occur in aged individuals who are not diagnosed with AD (8, 9). The extent of these features can vary greatly between Ι1 individuals, and they may dominate or be restricted in specific brain regions (8, 9). However, it remains unclear Γ5 L3 what causes these pathological features and whether they are precursors of neurodegeneration and AD, or simply the products of normal brain aging. Further, while previous studies have proposed that aging is the most L4 profound risk factor for AD (10, 11), the molecular mechanisms underlying the aging-related susceptibility to ۱5 AD is far from clear (12). Therefore, transcriptome studies of the human brain are crucial for uncovering the ۱6 molecular mechanisms that differentiate AD from normal aging (NA). ι7

Recent advances in single-cell (sc) or single-nucleus RNA sequencing (sc/snRNA-seg), along with spatial ٢8 transcriptomics, have revealed remarkable molecular diversity in the cellular landscapes of brains under NA ٢9 and AD. For instance, the first snRNA-seg study on human AD conducted by Mathys et al. (13) demonstrated 20 21 that in human PFC, the strongest changes associated with AD manifest at the early stage of AD pathological progression and are highly specific to certain cell types. In contrast, genes that are upregulated in the later 22 <u>23</u> stages of AD are generally consistent across different brain cell types. Moreover, Chen et al. (14) utilized the 24 10X Visium platform to study the human middle temporal gyrus in three AD cases and three control subjects. 25 By aligning 10X Visium spots (~55 μm diameter) with adjacent sections stained for Aβ plagues and NFTs, the study observed upregulation of specific AD-related genes and changes in gene co-expression patterns across 26 the bulk of cells near AD pathology, in contrast to those in more distant areas. These studies contributed to a 27 28 better understanding of how gene regulatory networks drive specific transcriptional changes across various <u>29</u> brain cell types, spatial context, and health/physiological conditions, shedding light on possible pathogenic cell subtypes underlying AD and novel cell-cell interactions among neuronal and non-neuronal cells. However, until 30 now, AD-focused sc/snRNA-seq and spatial transcriptomics studies (13-16) lacked the capability to capture 31 spatial information at single-cell resolution. This limitation is particularly significant because even in brains of 32 patients with moderate AD, neurons and non-neuronal cells with normal function may coexist with those 33 affected by AD pathology, and the affected cells may present AD related changes at the molecular level in a 34 cell-type/spatial specific manner. Consequently, case-control studies that rely on sc/snRNA-seq or spatial 35 transcriptomics that do not incorporate single-cell resolution may encounter biases when comparing AD-36

affected with NA brains. These biases can impede the accurate identification of transcriptomic markers in
 neurons and glial cells that are influenced by the pathological features of AD in contrast to those resulting from
 NA brains. Thus, comprehensive understanding of the transcriptional profiles and corresponding spatial
 information at single-cell resolution is important to unveil the molecular mechanism of AD.

In this study, we are the first to utilize the SpaTial Enhanced Resolution Omics-sequencing (Stereo-seq) (17), 5 a state-of-art spatial transcriptome platform offering large-field-of-view and subcellular resolution to develop a 6 7 comprehensive, transcriptome-wide, and high definition atlas of the human prefrontal cortex (PFC), a vulnerable brain region in AD (18), across both NA and AD-affected individuals. We mapped the transcriptomic 8 9 landscape and spatial organization of the neocortex layers and the white matter (WM) in the PFC, revealing LO detailed pathological alterations in its laminar architecture. Moreover, we identified some novel and unique cellular reactions to both physiological stress from NA and pathological stress from AD, at single-nucleus Ι1 L2 resolution.

L3

L4 RESULTS

15 The divergence of laminar structure and transcriptional profiles between AD and NA samples

۱6 Human postmortem optimal cutting temperature (OCT) embedded samples from the prefrontal cortex (BA10 ١7 area) of six AD patients (Braak stages IV-VI, male, aged 69-91) and six male control samples (Braak stages II-III, aged 74-95) were selected for Stereo-seg experiments (see detailed case demographics, clinical and ٢8 overall neuropathological information in Table S1). For each sample, two cryosections (~10 µm distance ٤9 between each section) were captured and labeled as No.1 to No.2 from the top to the bottom sections, 20 respectively. After the quality control and area selection on the No.1 hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sections 21 (Figure S1), 10*10 mm area from the No.2 sections were chosen for Stereo-seg profiling. Due to the restricted 22 sampling area on the stereo-seq chip (10*10 mm), the neuropathological findings related to AD across the 23 24 entire brain may not accurately represent the progression of AD within the specific areas in our spatial 25 transcriptome study. Consequently, to further identify the divergence of transcriptional profiles among different stages of AD, the third sections from each sample were captured and labeled as No.3 (~50 µm distance 26 between the No.2 and No.3 sections). Based on immunohistochemical (IHC) stains of Aß plagues (19) on the 27 No.3 sections (Fig. 1A; Methods), we classified the AD samples into moderate and severe AD groups. Given 28 the minimal presence of the Aß plaques in the control samples and the advanced age of these subjects (aged 29 74-95, older than AD subjects on average), we considered the individuals in control group as NA. 30

Conventionally, the human cerebral cortex is organized into six cellular layers (or laminae) and each layer exhibits unique cellular composition, intra- and interlaminar connectivity, and unique patterns of gene expression (20). A previous spatial transcriptome study by Maynard *et al.* (21) has manually delineated the laminar architecture by aligning gene expression sections with the adjacent H&E sections. They have identified

the specific gene markers for each layer in healthy human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex through the 10X 1 Visium platform. However, data-driven unsupervised approaches are crucial for uncovering and understanding 2 the complex structures of the human brain, especially in regions lacking clear histological boundaries. These 3 methods enable the discovery of unknown spatial domains and assist in annotating areas that are difficult to 4 delineate manually, thus playing a key role in brain research. Consequently, in our dataset, to annotate the 5 6 specific layer based on the layer markers proposed by Maynard et al (21) and reveal novel gene markers in 7 each cortical layer, we first converted the raw spatial expression matrix into ~55µm x ~55µm pseudo-spots (110 bins x 110 bins/spot, named bin110 resolution), each representing approximately one spot in the 10X 8 Visium platform to facilitate comparable analyses. At the bin110 resolution, a total of 338,410 pseudo-spots 9 LO were obtained across the 12 samples, comprising 170,465 pseudo-spots from NA samples, 113,324 from Ι1 moderate AD, and 54,621 from severe AD samples. Each pseudo-spot captured an average of 3,150 counts Γ5 and 2.225 genes. We then utilized the Harmony algorithm (22) to remove the batch effect of the spatial L3 transcriptional profiles from the 12 samples and employed a newly developed unsupervised spatially constrained-clustering (scc) algorithm (17, 23) across all samples to identify multiple layers in human PFC. L4 Unlike conventional clustering methods for sn/scRNA-seg data (24, 25), scc groups pseudo-spots not only by ۱5 their gene expression patterns but also by their spatial proximity. This ensures that clusters are formed based ۱6 L7 on both transcriptional profile similarities and the close spatial arrangement of the pseudo-spots within each cluster (17). In our datasets, the data-driven clustering has successfully identified distinct layers and the WM in ٢8 all 12 samples based on their unique transcriptional profiles (Fig. 1B; Fig. S1A). After aligning the gene ٢9 expression sections with corresponding adjacent H&E sections, we excluded the pseudo-spot without brain 20 tissue or folded regions and plotted all the pseudo-spots on a two-dimensional panel by uniform manifold 21 approximation and projection (26) (UMAP: Fig. 1B-C: Fig. S1B). To identify the transcriptional markers of each 22 cortical layer and the WM, we carried out differential gene expression (DGE) analysis by comparing each 23 individual cluster against all the other clusters (Fig. 1D; Methods). We noticed that specific markers for each 24 layer, AQP4 in Layer I, HPCAL1 in Layer II and III, PVALB in layer IV, PCP4 in layer V, KRT17 in layer VI, and 25 MBP in WM (Fig. 1E), were consistent with the layer markers proposed by Maynard et al. (21), which validated 26 27 our identified laminar structures of PFC. In addition, we identified multiple novel marker genes for each specific 28 layers across the cortical cortex, including MT-RNR2 in layer I, TMEM59L in layer II and III, NEFM in layer IV, <u>29</u> TUBB2A in Layer V, DIRAS2 in layer VI, and PLP1 in WM (Fig. 1F: Table S2). Together, our results 30 highlighted the capability of Stereo-seg platform for delineating a high-resolution, fully data-driven spatial transcriptomic atlas of the human brain with transcriptome-wide coverage. 31

To assess the impact of AD progression on the laminar architecture, we compared the proportion of each layer between samples with different stages of AD. The proportion of each layer is indicative of its thickness relative to the total thickness of the gray matter. Although the layer proportions appeared similar between the moderate AD and NA groups, we noticed a significant reduction in the proportions of layers II-VI in the severe AD samples (**Fig. 2A**). This finding underscores the disruption of laminar architecture and the occurrence of

human PFC atrophy in later stages of AD (27). The increased proportion of layer I observed in severe AD 1 samples may be related to extensive neuronal degradation (28) and the high reactivation of astrocytes (Ast) in 2 layers II-VI, a response to the neuronal inflammation (29). Since reactivated Ast in layer II-VI often express 3 genes typically associated with Ast in layer I, such as GFAP and NEAT1 (29) (Fig. 2B), this similarity may 4 cause these areas being classified as layer I in our clustering analyses for layers. Furthermore, we conducted 5 the pairwise DGE analysis (Methods) among the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups to decipher the AD-6 7 related gene expression shifts in different AD stages (Fig. 2C). Our results revealed that compared to the NA 8 group, genes down-regulated in the moderate AD group were related to neurotransmission (e.g., SNAP25, GAP43. SV2A. CLSTN1) and neuroprotection (e.g., ENC1, APOE, OLFM1), and the upregulated genes were 9 associated with mitochondrial function (e.g., NDUFA4, LAMP2), inflammation (e.g., SPP1) and myelin sheath L0 structure (e.g., PLP1, CLDN11). In the severe AD group, besides the genes related to neuronal protection Ι1 Γ5 (e.g., NEAT1, MALAT1), Ast reactivation (e.g., GFAP), immune response (e.g., HLA-B, HLA-E) and blood-L3 brain barrier integrity (e.g., CLDN5), we also detected elevated expression of multiple mitochondrial genes compared to the NA group, highlighting mitochondrial dysfunction. Since the mitochondrial energy metabolism L4 is impaired by AB toxicity in AD, this up-regulation of mitochondrial genes may represent a compensatory ۱5 response (30) (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for the upregulated genes in ۱6 the moderate and severe AD groups compared with the NA group revealed several AD-related biological L7 processes (Fig. 2E). For instance, the Aβ clearance related GO terms, "Positive Regulation Of ٢8 Macroautophagy", "Negative Regulation of Amyloid-Beta Formation", and "Negative Regulation of Amyloid ٢9 Precursor Protein Catabolic Process", were enriched in the moderate AD group, and the biological processes 20 of "Positive Regulation of Cell Junction Assembly", "Presynaptic Membrane Organization", "Presynaptic 21 Membrane A", "Synapse Organization", and "Nervous System Development" were enriched in the severe AD 22 aroup, suggesting the synaptic dysfunction in the later AD stage. Next, we identified genes that were 23 significantly upregulated in specific cortical layers and the WM within both moderate and severe AD groups, 24 compared to the NA group (Table S3). This analysis revealed that unique genes were upregulated across 25 each cortical layer and the WM of the PFC in both moderate and severe AD groups, potentially underlining the 26 27 basis for different layer vulnerabilities at various stages of AD (31).

28

29 Layer-layer interaction networks reveal alteration of inter-layer communications in the later stage of AD

The interactions between cortical layers are essential for integrating sensory information, supporting cognitive functions, and facilitating communication within the brain. These interactions involve the vertical transmission of signals, where neurons spanning several layers can integrate inputs and produce outputs (32). In this study, we have constructed the layer-layer communication networks based on the database of interactions among ligands and receptors (33) (**Methods**), aiming to examine the variances in the vertical connections across each cortical layer and the WM between the NA samples and the moderate/severe AD

1 samples. We observed a reduction in the number of interactions across all layers with the progression of AD, decreasing from 3,571 in the NA group to 3,064 in the moderate AD group and 2,244 in the severe AD group 2 (Fig. S2A). This pattern indicated the collapse of neural connection networks due to the neurodegeneration in 3 AD, a consequence of A^β plaques and tau protein aggregation (7). Moreover, we observed a decline in the 4 incoming and outgoing signaling from layers II-VI and the WM, but intensified communications between layer I 5 6 and the other layers, with the progression of AD (Fig. S2B), possibly due to the neurodegeneration in layers II-7 VI and an increased proportion of layer I in the severe AD group. The layer-layer communication networks in 8 each AD stage showed distinct interaction patterns (Fig. S2C). After pair-wise comparisons of the number of interactions among different layers between the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups (Methods), we 9 LO observed that the interactions between the layers IV and V decreased with AD progression, along with the Ι1 reduction of outgoing interactions from layers IV-V to layers II, III, and VI (Fig. 2F). As the layers II-V are crucial in cortical information collection, processing and distributing outputs to subcortical structures (34, 35). Γ5 L3 the breakdown of the vertical networks leads to the cognitive impairments in AD (36). Compared to the NA group, our investigation further delved into the alterations of the communication strength in each ligand-L4 receptor (LR) gene pair (Methods) in moderate and severe AD groups, aiming to reveal the dysregulated inter-٢5 layer LR interactions that may be driving the deterioration of cognitive functions. Notable alterations of ۱6 L7 communication strength related to the Glutamate and neurexins (NRXN) pathways, associated with the neuronal signal transmission (37, 38), were observed between laver IV and V and between laver II and III and ٢8 V. For instance, the SLC1A2 and GLS2-GRIA2 LR pairs, outgoing from layer V to layers II-VI, showed a ٢9 considerable decline in the moderate AD group and were completely absent in the severe AD group, in 20 contrast to the NA group (Fig. 2G). The SLC1A2, encoding the excitatory amino acid transporter 2, is a 21 alutamate receptor gene and responsible for clearing the neurotransmitter from the synaptic cleft (39), GLS2. 22 encoding glutaminase 2, is essential for maintaining the balance between the production and recycling of <u>23</u> glutamate for neurotransmission (40). GRIA2 encodes subunits of the alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-24 isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors, which are integral to fast synaptic transmission in neurons (41). 25 Additionally, we also observed the loss of interactions between SLC1A2 and GLS2 ligand genes and other 26 27 AMPA receptor subunit encoding genes, including GRIA1, GRIA3, and GRIA4, from layer V to layers II-VI in 28 the severe AD group (Fig. 2G). Further, the interaction between SLC1A2 and GLS2 ligands and the subunits <u>29</u> of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), including GRM5 (encoded by GRM5) and GRM7 (encoded by 30 GRM7) also diminished in the severe AD group. These receptors play crucial roles in the central nervous system by modulating neurotransmitter release and synaptic plasticity, impacting various physiological and 31 pathological processes (42). In addition, from layer I to layers II-V, the expression of NRXN3-CLSTN1, the 32 ligand-receptor pairs associated with presynaptic differentiation (43), increased in the moderate and severe AD 33 groups, compared to the NA group (Fig. 2G). Since presynaptic disruption was previously observed in AD 34 samples (44), the enhancement of these interactions in AD groups may reflect compensatory mechanisms. 35 Together, our results uncovered significant alterations in inter-layer communications within AD samples, 36

particularly in neurotransmission processes. These findings could help elucidate the key mechanisms driving
 cognitive decline in AD.

3

4

Identification of the location of brain segments under high stress due to NA and AD in human PFC

5 As the brain ages, neurons become increasingly vulnerable to oxidative stress, inflammation, and protein 6 aggregation (45), contributing to the accumulation of A β amyloid into plagues (46) and NFTs formation (47). 7 Additionally, the presence of A β plaques and NFTs intensifies neuronal stress, leading to further 8 neurodegeneration (48). Furthermore, Ast and microglia (Mic) surrounding highly stressed neurons become reactivated and play a crucial role in the processes of Aβ clearance and neuroprotection (49). The variations in 9 how neurons and surrounding glial cells respond to stress in both NA and AD remain poorly understood. To L0 investigate this at single-nucleus resolution, we first pinpointed the potential locations of neurons experiencing Ι1 elevated stress levels in all samples. Considering the heightened vulnerability of excitatory neurons (Ex) to L2 aging (46) and AD (31), coupled with the known positive correlation between cellular apoptosis and elevated L3 mitochondrial gene ratio (number of mitochondrial genes/total detected genes) in cells (48), we presumed that L4 Ex with a high mitochondrial gene ratio may represent Ex under significant stress caused by aging or AD ۱5 ۱6 pathological hallmarks, and the positions of these highly stressed Ex mark the potential high stress focal point in human PFC. To precisely identify the location of these highly stressed neurons, we refined our analytic ι7 strategy by converting the raw expression matrix into ~25µm x ~25µm pseudo-spots (50 bins x 50 bins/spot, ٢8 named here bin50), which is the default resolution provided by Stereopy (23). Since the mitochondrial genes ٢9 20 are exclusively expressed within the mitochondria in the cell cytoplasm (50), pseudo-spots at bin50 resolution, 21 which capture gene expression patterns from both the cell nucleus and cytoplasm, can effectively detect 22 mitochondrial gene expression. This capability aids in accurately identifying pseudo-spots that either fully or 23 partially cover the neurons under high stress. After filtering out the pseudo-spots with low sequencing quality (pseudo-spots with lower than 400 genes detected), we obtained 924,780 pseudo-spots with an average of 24 25 834 (and median = 758) genes detected per pseudo-spot. To extract the pseudo-spots partially or fully covering the Ex. we first utilized Harmony (22) to integrate the pseudo-spots from all the samples and then 26 27 clustered them into multiple distinct subsets based on their transcriptional profiles. After the identification of the marker genes in each cluster, we annotated the clusters as Ast, endothelial cells (End), Ex, inhibitory neurons 28 (Inh), Mic, oligodendrocytes (Oli), and oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (Opc), based on the cell type specific <u>29</u> markers (e.g., AQP4 in Ast, CLDN5 in End, SNAP25 in Ex, GAD1 in Inh, CD74 in Mic, MBP in Oli, LHFPL3 in 30 Opc) proposed by a previous snRNA-seq study on human PFC (13) (Fig. 3A-B; Table S4). To check the 31 accuracy of our annotation results, we aligned the annotated bin50 pseudo-spots with corresponding adjacent 32 H&E sections. Ex were predominantly situated in the neocortex, while a high concentration of Oli was observed 33 in the WM (Fig. 1B, Fig. 3C, and Fig. S1A), which strongly support that although the pseudo-spots were not at 34 single-nucleus resolution, our results still accurately delineated the proximate positions of the Ex. In addition, 35

we noticed that in moderate and severe AD groups, and especially in the severe AD group, a large proportion
 of Ex and Oli were filtered out due to the low gene number detection (Fig. 3C and Fig. S3A), reflecting the Ex
 degradation (28) and changes in the WM caused by Wallerian-like degeneration (51) in AD.

Due to the lack of an established mitochondrial gene ratio threshold for distinguishing stressed Ex from 4 healthy Ex in spatial transcriptome data, we set a threshold at 15% based on the distribution of mitochondrial 5 gene ratio across the pseudo-spots covering the Ex from all the samples (Fig. 3D), that is, pseudo-spots 6 annotated as Ex that exhibit ≥15% mitochondrial gene ratio were classified as containing neurons under high 7 8 stress. In the NA group, we noticed that only 0.13% of the Ex pseudo-spots contain Ex under high stress, 9 indicating minimal high-stress focal points in NA. In contrast, the proportion of Ex under high stress was slightly LO increased in the moderate AD group and was dramatically higher in the severe AD group (Fig. 3E-F). highlighting the prevalence of Ex with high stress in the later stage of AD. We further checked the DEGs Ι1 L2 between the pseudo-spots covering highly stressed neurons and those containing the normal ones. Besides the mitochondrial genes (e.g., MT-RNR2, MT-ND4, and MT-CYB), multiple AD-related genes, including GFAP L3 (52), AQP4 (53), and DPP10 (54), were also upregulated in the pseudo-spots covering highly stressed Ex, L4 compared to those containing normal Ex (Fig. 3G). In the pseudo-spots covering highly stressed neurons, the ۱5 high expression of Ast markers, GFAP and AQP4, indicated that these areas also include the transcriptional ۱6 profiles of adjacent Ast. This observation reflects that when neurons are subjected to high stress, the nearby ι7 L8 astrocytes become reactivated and migrate towards these neurons to support their survival (55). Meanwhile, consistent with previous transcriptional studies in AD (56-59), ENC1, CALM2, CCK, RGS4 were ٢9 downregulated in the pseudo-spots covering highly stressed Ex (Fig. 3G). Together, these findings support our 20 hypothesis that pseudo-spots containing Ex with elevated mitochondrial gene ratio represent brain areas under 21 high stress affected by NA or AD pathology hallmarks. 22

23

Transcriptional divergence at single-nucleus resolution between brain cells impacted and not impacted by NA and AD pathology hallmarks

26 To further delineate the transcriptional landscape at single-nucleus resolution, we applied a deep learning model (23) for the nucleus segmentation to delineate the cell nuclei based on the nuclei staining image 27 (Methods). After filtering out the low-quality nuclei (i.e., nuclei with < 150 detected genes), we analyzed 28 398,741 nuclei, with an average of 524 (and median=398) genes detected per nucleus. We performed <u>29</u> clustering analysis to identify the nuclei subgroups (Fig. 4A) and conducted pairwise DGE analyses among the 30 subgroups to identify the specific marker genes for each nucleus cluster. Based on the marker genes in each 31 cluster, we successfully annotated nuclei as the Ast (AQP4, GLUL), End (CLDN5, IFITM2), Ex (NRGN, SYT1), 32 Inh (GAD1, GAD2), Mic (CD74, CD14), Oli (MBP, PLP1), and Opc (VCAN) (13) (Fig. 4B and Fig. S4A). The 33 high distribution of the Oli in the WM and Ex in gray matters substantiated the accuracy of our annotation (Fig. 34 35 4C and 1B).

1 Compared to the healthy neurons, those experiencing high stress due to NA and AD pathology hallmarks exhibit distinct transcriptional profiles as part of their stress responses (60, 61). Additionally, the mechanisms 2 of these stress responses can differ between neurons affected by NA and those influenced by AD pathology 3 (62). Furthermore, glial cells located near the areas under high stress are more actively involved in 4 neuroprotective actions to aid neuronal survival, in contrast to those situated farther away (55, 63). Thus, to 5 6 enhance our understanding of the cellular responses to the stress caused by NA and AD pathology, we 7 extracted the nuclei within approximately 1,250 µm from the location of high stress focal point (identified at bin50 resolution) across all samples, totaling 86,626 nuclei, and performed concentric circle analysis 8 (Methods). Briefly, the extracted nuclei were classified into three groups (levels I to III) according to their 9 L0 proximity to the high-stress focal point (Fig. 4D). Considering that neuron somas range from approximately Ι1 4~100 µm in size, to fully include a whole stressed neuron and the nearby glial and endothelial nuclei, we categorized nuclei located within 250 µm to the stressed neurons as level I. 250-750 µm as level II. and 750-Γ5 L3 1,500 µm as level III. Given the close proximity and highly similar transcriptional profiles between nuclei in levels I and II, we focused the nuclei type specific DGE analysis between nuclei in levels I and III across NA, L4 moderate and severe AD groups, respectively, in order to distinguish gene expression patterns between nuclei ۱5 that are close to and those that are more distant from stressed neurons at different stages of AD. Our findings ۱6 L7 indicat that as with progression of AD, there was notable nucleus type specific differentiation in gene expression patterns between nuclei of level I and level III (Fig. 4E; Table S5). For instance, in the NA group, ٢8 Ex at level I significantly increased the expression of neuroprotective genes, including VGF (64), LMO4 (65), ٢9 and NRN1 (66), when compared to level III. This highlights Ex's mechanisms in mitigating stress from NA, 20 thereby enhancing neuron survival. However, this pattern of upregulation for VGF, LMO4, and NRN1 in Ex at 21 level I, in contrast to level III, was not present in the moderate and severe AD groups. In the severe group, 22 compared to level III, Ex at level I notably enhanced the expression of genes for heat-shock proteins 23 (HSP90AA1 and HSPB1) as a reaction to neuroinflammation and neurotoxic substances (67); additionally, 24 there was a significant increase in the expression of genes associated with the clearance of Aß peptides, such 25 as SORT1 (68). The long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) NEAT1, which contributes to neuron damage by 26 27 downregulating microRNA-27a-3p (69), was also significantly upregulated in level I Ex in the severe AD group, 28 in contrast to Ex in level III. In the NA group's Ast, when compared to those in level III, there was a notable <u>29</u> increase in the expression of SLC1A3 in the level I Ast. This gene is known for its role in protecting neurons 30 against glutamate-induced excitotoxicity by clearing excess glutamate from the synaptic gap (70). Like Ex, Ast in the severe group at level I, in comparison to level III within the same group, also showed a marked increase 31 in the expression of heat-shock protein genes (e.g., CRYAB, HSPB1, HSPA1A, HSP90AA1, HSP90AB1). 32 Furthermore, unlike the nuclei type-specific upregulated genes observed in level I compared to level III in the 33 moderate group, there is a notable upregulation of heat-shock protein-coding genes in Ast, Ex, Inh, and Oli in 34 level I compared to level III in the severe group. This observation aligns with the findings proposed by Mathys 35 et al. (13), which suggest that AD-associated changes manifest early in the pathological progression and are 36

highly cell-type specific, while genes upregulated in later stages are common across cell types and
predominantly involved in the global stress response. Together, our results demonstrated the intricate
dynamics and differences of cellular responses to NA and AD, revealing distinct cell-specific gene expression
alterations linked to the spatial position relative to stressed neurons.

5

6 Cell-cell communication networks reveal the reduction of neuron-protective LR interactions in cells 7 near stress-affected neurons in AD

8 The collaborative mechanisms between neurons and glial cells play a vital role in inhibiting the accumulation of A β and the aggregation of tau proteins (71). While prior snRNA-seg studies (72, 73) have uncovered distinct 9 intercellular interactions in AD cases and NA controls, the spatial information, particularly the proximity of glial L0 cells and End to the neurons under high stress in AD and NA, has been largely ignored. As a result, to assess Ι1 whether neurons under stress and their surrounding glial cells and End employ similar or distinct mechanisms Γ5 in response to the stress caused by NA and AD pathology, we conducted cell-cell communication analysis (33) L3 across nuclei within levels I and III for all three groups (NA, moderate, and severe AD groups), (Fig. 5A-B). We ι4 found that the level I nuclei showed higher number and intensity of LR pairs than the level III nuclei in the NA ۱5 ۱6 group (Fig. 5A), suggesting that intercellular interactions among the neurons, glial cells, and End were enhanced in cells proximal to stressed neurons during NA, potentially as a protective mechanism against the ι7 degradation of stressed neurons (Fig. 5B). However, as AD progressed, the communication networks among ٢8 level I cells became compromised, indicating that the intercellular interactions close to stressed neurons are ٢9 disrupted in moderate and severe AD groups (73) (Fig. 5A-B). We further identified some specific LR pairs 20 exclusively activated in the level I nuclei of the NA group, including some that are known to play crucial roles 21 22 for neuroprotection and Aβ clearance (**Fig. 5C**). For instance, the PSAP (encoded by *PSAP*) released by Ex. 23 End, Mic, Oli, and Opc acts on the GPR37L1 (encoded by GPR37L1) on Ast to activate the motility of Ast and release of diffusible neuroprotective factors to shield the neurons affected by neurotoxic damage(74). The 24 25 Amyloid Precursor Protein (encoded by APP) released by Ex and Inh, interacts with the CD74 (encoded by CD74) on Mic to inhibit Aß production (75). These interactions were impaired or diminished in the nuclei 26 27 proximal to the stressed neurons (level I) in moderate and severe AD groups (Fig. 5C-E). Together, our results suggest that, compared to NA, highly stressed neurons and surrounding glial cells in AD samples lose their 28 ability for AB clearance and neuroprotection. This loss can contribute to the formation of AB plaques and <u>29</u> exacerbate neuronal degradation, potentially explaining the high levels of AB deposition and brain atrophy 30 observed in AD. 31

32

33 Co-expression networks at single-cell resolution uncover key gene markers and potential regulatory 34 transcription factors in AD progression

1 Although our results uncovered nucleus-type specific changes associated with brain areas under high stress caused by NA and AD pathology, the complex relationships and patterns among co-expression gene modules. 2 as well as the key regulators involved in the neuron protection and the clearance of AD pathological hallmarks. 3 remain unclear. Using hdWGCNA (76), we constructed the nucleus type specific weighted gene co-expression 4 networks on the 2,000 most variable genes for each nucleus type from levels I-III across all samples. We 5 6 successfully identified two co-expression gene modules in Ex and Inh, respectively (Fig. 6A, Fig. S4B-C), but 7 not in the other nucleus types due to the low sequencing depth and limited nuclei numbers. We noticed that in these modules, several hub genes have been reported to be related to AD. For instance, in the Ex1 module, 8 the hub gene UCHL1, encoding the ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 protein, is a major neuronal enzyme 9 LO involved in the elimination of misfolded proteins (77). The decreasing expression of this gene is responsible for AB42 accumulation (77). Additionally, within the Ex1 module, we identified two hub genes, ENC1 and RTN1, Ι1 which are key genes implicated in the transition from asymptomatic to symptomatic AD (78). In the Inh1 Γ5 L3 module, the hub gene VAMP2 is known to be related to neurodegenerative disease where a reduction of L4 VAMP2 expression was associated with cognitive decline (79), and another hub gene, PRNP, which encodes prion protein, is involved in neuroprotection to excitotoxicity (80) and has inhibitory effects on BACE1 to ۱5 decrease A production (81). We also noticed that one hub gene in the lnh2 module, HSPA8 (encoding heat-۱6 shock protein family A member 8), can directly disassemble RHIM-amyloids to inhibit necroptosis signaling in L7 cells and in mice (82). To enhance our understanding of the biological functions of all four modules (Ex1, Ex2, ٢8 Inh1, Inh2), we conducted GO enrichment analysis on the top 50 hub genes based on connectivity within each ٤9 module. Our results indicate that all four modules are primarily associated with neuroprotective processes and 20 inhibition of the formation of AD pathological hallmarks. For instance, the Ex1 module was significantly 21 enriched in processes such as "Negative Regulation of Amyloid-Beta Formation", "Negative Regulation of 22 <u>23</u> Protein Phosphorylation", and "Negative Regulation of Peptidyl-Threonine Phosphorylation" (Fig. 6B), 24 suggesting its critical role in mitigating the formation of AD pathological hallmarks. Besides the "Negative Regulation of Amyloid-Beta Formation" GO term, the Ex2 module also supported processes like "Negative 25 Regulation of Intrinsic Apoptotic Signaling Pathway" and "Negative Regulation of Neuron Death" (Fig. S4D), 26 which may help in preventing the stressed neurons from apoptosis. The Inh1 module was mainly enriched for 27 processes such as "Positive Regulation of Synaptic Transmission", "Negative Regulation of Cytokine 28 Production", "Response to Amyloid-Beta", and "Regulation of DNA Repair" (Fig. 6C), while the Inh2 module 29 was also enriched for terms related to $A\beta$ clearance (Fig. 6D). These results underscore the involvement of 30 these modules in neuroprotective responses against aging and AD-induced stress, Additionally, we performed 31 32 module-trait correlation analyses to assess the correlations of each module with AD progression (NA, moderate AD, and severe AD groups) and with spatial proximity to stressed brain areas (levels I-III groups). 33 While the Ex2 module showed low correlation with AD progression (r=0.0261, adjusted P-value<0.01) (Table 34 S6) or spatial proximity to the stressed neurons (r=-0.0423, adjusted P-value=0.0675) (Table S6), the Ex1 35 module exhibited negative correlations both with AD progression levels (r=-0.2241, adjusted P-value<0.01) and 36

spatial proximity to stressed neurons (r=-0.0921, adjusted P-value<0.01) (Table S6). Moreover, the lnh1 and 1 Inh2 modules both showed significant negative correlations with AD progression (Inh1: r=-0.2193, adjusted P-2 value<0.01; Inh2: r=-0.2577, adjusted P-value<0.01) (Table S6). This implied a diminished capacity in Ex to 3 inhibit the formation of AB plaques and tau hyperphosphorylation when subjected to heightened stress in AD 4 samples. Intriguingly, the Inh1 module also demonstrated a positive correlation with spatial proximity to high 5 stressed neurons (r=0.1196, adjusted P-value<0.01; Table S6), suggesting lnh close to the stressed brain 6 7 areas may have an enhanced neuroprotective capability to support the Ex survival and maintain the Ex/Inh balance in brain (83). 8

9 Given that the three modules (Ex1, Inh1, and Inh2) were negatively correlated with AD progression, the 10 transcription factors (TFs) regulating these modules could serve as potential therapeutic targets to prevent the formation of AD pathological hallmarks and neuronal degradation in AD (84). To this end, we utilized the Ι1 L2 MotifScan package (85) to find the TFs significantly enriched within each module (Methods). We identified that KLF2 was significantly enriched in module Ex1 and Inh2 (Fig. 6E). Fang et al. (86) have proposed that the L3 upregulation of Kruppel-like factor 2 (encoded by *KLF*2) attenuates oxidative stress triggered by Aβ, improves L4 mitochondria function, and reduce the rate of apoptosis. In addition to the neuroprotective TF, we noted that Γ2 two TFs, KLF4 and KLF5 were significantly enriched in all the three modules (Fig. 6E). These two TFs are ۱6 recognized for accelerating the progression of AD. Specifically, KLF4 was identified as a key mediator in ι7 L8 promoting Aβ-induced neuroinflammation by exacerbating the release of pro-inflammatory factors (87), and KLF5 can accelerate APP amyloidogenic metabolism and promote AB synthesis through binding to the ٤9 BACE1 promoter (88). Interestingly, one TF, ZNF460 showed the highest level of enrichment across Ex1, Inh1, 20 and Inh2 gene modules (Fig. 6E), suggesting its potential role as a pivotal regulator in neuronal stress 21 responses to NA or AD, as well as in neuron degradation processes. 22

23

24 **DISCUSSION**

In this study, the Stereo-seq platform (17), a high-resolution spatial transcriptome technology, was employed 25 for the first time to develop the most comprehensive, data-driven, transcriptome-wide molecular atlas of the 26 adult human PFC ever achieved. Additionally, with the unparalleled resolution and the largest sample size in 27 spatial transcriptomics for human PFC to date, including six AD cases and six NA controls of the same sex and 28 approximately matched by age with slightly older controls, our study uncovered significant molecular <u>29</u> alterations in AD samples relative to NA controls at both cortical layer and single-nucleus levels. By analyzing 30 high-definition landscapes of the human PFC in both AD cases and NA controls, we have identified the 31 transcriptional and structural alterations across six cortical layers and the WM in PFC associated with AD. 32 Further, we have unveiled unique stress response mechanisms among neurons and adjacent non-neuronal 33 cells, both during NA and throughout various stages of AD. 34

Here, we highlight some of the novel findings. First, at bin110 resolution, our results demonstrated the 1 change in laminar architecture with the progression of AD. While the proportion of the cortical lavers remained 2 the same in the moderate AD group compared with the NA group, layers II-VI were diminished in the late stage 3 of AD. This reflects the atrophy of the PFC attributed to neuronal degradation (89) and the reactivation of the 4 Ast in response to toxic substance in the brain displahing AD pathology hallmarks (90). Further, disruptions in 5 6 the neocortex's laminar architecture led to impaired interactions between layers in AD samples compared to 7 NA controls. These interactions included the Glutamate and NRXN signal pathways which play critical roles in 8 neurotransmission (37, 38), indicating the AD pathology hallmarks break down the connection of neurons in different lavers and lead to damage in cognitive function. 9

LO Since the cellular responses to the stress caused by oxidative damage, inflammation, and protein aggregation are the key factors leading to A β plague deposition (91) and NFT formation (47). We uniquely Ι1 identified distinct stress response mechanisms at the single-nucleus level in neurons and adjacent glial cells, L2 comparing the responses between NA and AD. In the NA group, our analysis revealed amplified CD74-APP L3 LR pairs between stressed Ex and adjacent Mic which may enhance A^β clearance (75). The motility of the L4 nearby Ast was also activated through PSAP-GPR37L1 pairs, prompting their migration towards stressed ۱5 neurons to offer protection (74). However, these neuron protective LR pairs were found to be compromised in ۱6 moderate and severe AD groups when neurons are under stress. In summary, our results suggest that the L7 L8 impaired capability of AB clearance and neuroprotection are key factors in the deposition of AB plaques in AD brains, aligning with the findings proposed by Wildsmith et al. (92). Moreover, further accumulation of AB may ٢9 20 lead to neuronal degradation and ultimately contribute to the progression of AD.

21 To further support our findings that a decrease in A β clearance contributes to the progression from NA to AD, we have conducted additional gene co-expression analysis. The nucleus-type specific gene co-expression 22 23 networks revealed that in the Ex and Inh, the three gene modules, Ex1, Inh1, and Inh2, related to the AB 24 plaque and NFT clearance progressively decreased during AD progression. Our findings indicate that while 25 stressed neurons contribute to the accumulation of A β into plagues (46) and the formation of NFTs (47), in the context of the NA group, both stressed neurons and adjacent brain cells participate in the clearance of AB 26 plaques and the dephosphorylation of proteins, which prevents the accumulation of AB plaques and tau 27 28 aggregation, respectively. Conversely, in AD brains, this protective mechanism is compromised. Stressed neurons and surrounding cells lose their capacity to clear AB and maintain protein dephosphorylation, leading <u>29</u> to the formation of AD pathology hallmarks and acceleration of AD progression. These observations align with 30 previous research indicating that impaired Aβ clearance is a hallmark of AD samples (93, 94) and a decrease 31 protein 32 in tau phosphatase activity in AD brains contributes to an imbalance in the phosphorylation/dephosphorylation system, culminating in NFT formation (95). Interestingly, one transcript 33 factor, ZNF460, is a regulator with the highest enrichment in all three modules (Ex1, Inh1, and Inh2), and thus 34 may be an important therapeutic target to promote neuron survival and AD pathological hallmarks clearance. 35 Although the biological mechanisms linking ZNF460 to AD has yet to be established, a study by Liu et al. (96) 36

14

demonstrated that ZNF460 interacts with the apolipoprotein C1 (APOC1) promoter and enhances APOC1 transcription. This process is believed to contribute to the progression of gastric cancer (96). Notably, APOC1, like apolipoprotein E (APOE), is involved in lipid metabolism (97), and its H2 allele has been identified as a genetic risk factor for AD (98). Future studies are needed to explore whether a similar interaction between ZNF460 and APOC1 occurs in the brain, and to assess its potential as a therapeutic target for AD. Overall, our study offers insights into the potential mechanisms underlying the progression of AD from NA to its late stages, and identified one key TF, ZNF460, that may regulate these mechanisms.

Despite the distinct advances and novel findings that this study contributes to the field, our study may also 8 9 have some limitations that future studies with more advanced technologies and clinical samples may address. 10 First, although Stereo-seg technology offers us the highest resolution among the current spatial transcriptome platforms, the average number of genes captured per cell (~500 median genes per cell) is significantly lower Ι1 than that achieved by traditional snRNA-seq methods. While we successfully identified neurons, glial cells, and Γ5 End at single-nucleus resolution, the reduced sequencing depth may potentially introduce bias in detecting L3 significant alterations in certain transcriptomic molecules related to AD. Enhancements in mRNA capture L4 efficiency could improve the sensitivity and accuracy of spatially resolved cellular taxonomy within the brain. ۱5 Additionally, our study exclusively involved male samples, which might lead to bias when considering the ۱6 impact of AD on females. Despite these challenges, our findings offer crucial and valuable insights into the ι7 L8 transcriptional landscape of AD on the human PFC at various resolutions, and they provide a detailed and systematic understanding of alterations in neocortical laminar architecture and specific stress responses at ٤9 20 various stages of AD, compared to the NA.

21

22 MATERIALS AND METHODS

23 Study Subjects

A total of 12 postmortem samples from the prefrontal cortex (Brodmann area 10) were collected at the University of Kansas (KU) AD Research Center (ADRC). These included samples from six AD cases, three samples of primary age-related tauopathy (PART), and three samples exhibiting low AD burden. All samples were from Non-Hispanic White males age 70-95 years. Given that cognitive decline in individuals with PART and low AD burden may not be significant or even detectable (8), we classified these six samples as controls. Informed consent was approved by the KU Institutional Review Board (IRB) and obtained for all human participants. For details on human samples used in this study, please see Supplementary Table 1.

Tissue Preparation, Cryosection, Stereo-seq Library Preparation, and Sequencing

32 Stereo-seq is a state-of-art spatial transcriptome platform that captures mRNA from tissue sections using 33 stereo chips. This technology achieves nanoscale resolution with a spot diameter of 220nm, enabling the most

detailed delineation of the transcriptome landscape currently available. Further, distinct from other spatial transcriptome platforms, the Stereo-seq chip has the capability to convert a few hundred spots into a pseudospot by combining the transcriptional information from the selected DNA nanoball (DNB) patterned array (17), providing us the opportunity to depict transcriptional profiles at multiple resolutions.

To construct a comprehensive spatial transcriptome atlas of human PFC, the BA10 area from 12 5 postmortem human brains was harvested and immediately flash frozen, embedded with Tissue-Tek OCT 6 7 medium (Cat # 4583, SAKURA FINETECK USA Inc.) in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80°C until ready for the Stereo-seg pipeline. Cryosections were cut at a thickness of 10 µm and mounted on Stereo-seg 8 9 permeabilization chips (Cat # 210CP118, STOmics America Ltd) or transcriptomics chips (Cat # 210CT114, STOmics America Ltd). Tissue fixation and the following spatial transcriptomics procedures were performed LO according to the vendor's manual and previous publications (17, 99). In brief, the tissue section on the Stereo-Ι1 seq chip (10 mm x 10 mm) was incubated at 37°C for 5 mins, and subsequently fixed in pre-cooled methanol Γ5 (Cat # 34860, Sigma) at -20°C for 30 mins. Once the fixation was completed, the chip was placed under a L3 ventilation hood to allow residual methanol to air dry. The tissue section on the chip was then stained with L4 nucleic acid reagent (Cat # Q10212, 0.5% v/v, Invitrogen) for 5 mins and subsequently washed with 0.1X SSC ۱5 buffer (Cat # AM9770, 0.05 U/mL RNase inhibitor, Ambion). The nuclei images were captured using a Zeiss ۱6 Axio Scan Z1 microscope (at EGFP wavelength). Subsequently, the tissue section was incubated in the ι7 L8 permeabilization buffer (Cat # 111KP118, STOmics America Ltd) for 12 mins at 37°C. Stereo-seq transcriptomics chip-captured RNAs from the permeated tissue were then reverse transcribed for 3 hours at ٤9 42°C. Next, the tissue was removed and the cDNAs were released from the chip using the transcriptomics 20 reagent kit (Cat # 111KT114, STOmics America Ltd). After the cDNA obtained was size-selected, amplified, 21 and purified, the concentration was quantified by Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (Cat # Q32854, Invitrogen). Next, 22 20 ng of cDNA from each sample were used for library construction using the library preparation kit (Cat # 23 111KL114, STOmics America Ltd) and subsequently for DNB generation. Finally, the DNBs were sequenced 24 on the DNBSEQTM T7 sequencing platform (Complete Genomics, San Jose, USA) with 50 bp read1 and 25 100bp read2 (Cat # 100008555, Complete Genomics). 26

27 Tissue Preparation, Cryosection, and Immunohistochemistry

Cryosections were cut at a thickness of 10 μ m and mounted onto slides. The sections were fixed and permeabilized with pre-cooled acetone at -20°C for 10 mins, and then rinsed three times with 1X PBS. Sections were placed under a vented hood for air drying prior to AT8 and A β 42 staining. Phospho-Tau (Ser202, Thr205) Monoclonal Antibody (AT8) (Cat # MN1020, Invitrogen), beta Amyloid (1-42) Polyclonal Antibody (Cat # 44-344, Invitrogen), and Tyramide SuperBoostTM Kit (Cat # B40915, Cat # B40962, and Cat # B40953, Invitrogen) were used for immunohistochemical staining according to the vendor's instructions (Invitrogen).

35 Stereo-seq data processing

1 The fastq files from Stereo-seq were processed following the standard pipeline (https://github.com/STOmics/SAW). Initially, the first reads containing coordinate identity (CID) sequences 2 underwent alignment to the designed coordinates of the Stereo-seg chip, based on the results from the first 3 round of sequencing. This step allowed for a maximum of one base mismatch during the alignment process to 4 account for potential sequencing errors. After alignment, reads exhibiting molecular identifiers (MIDs) 5 6 possessing more than two bases with a quality score below 10 were excluded to ensure data integrity. The 7 associated CID and MID for each gualified read were then incorporated into the read header. Retained reads were aligned to the reference genome using STAR (100) and only reads achieving a mapping quality score 8 above 10 were considered for gene annotation, ensuring the accuracy of gene expression profiling. The unique 9 LO molecular identifiers (UMIs) sharing identical CIDs were combined into a single UMI. This step allowed for a single mismatch, facilitating the correction of sequencing and PCR errors. Finally, the CID-containing Ι1 expression profile matrix was constructed. Γ5

L3

14 Bioinformatics analysis of Stereo-seq dataset

15 Stereo-seq data integration and dimension reduction

At the bin110, bin50, and single-nuclei resolution, all the pseudo-spots/nuclei across 12 samples were merged and the 2,000 genes with the highest dispersion (variance/mean) were selected for principal component analysis (PCA). To remove potential batch effects, we have applied the Harmony algorithm (22) to transform the top 50 PCs of the pseudo-spot/nuclei and project all pseudo-spot/nuclei into a shared embedding panel. UMAP (26) was used to project the transformed PCs into a two-dimensional panel.

21 Clustering analysis

22 To identify the laminar architecture of human PFC at the bin110 resolution, the spatial constrained clustering algorithm was employed using the 'tl.spatial neighbors' and the 'tl.leiden' functions in Stereopy package <u>23</u> 24 (v1.0.0) (23) with the default parameter. At the bin50 and single-nucleus resolution, 'sc.tl.leiden' function in Scanpy (101) was used with the default parameter for pseudo-spot and nuclei clustering. All the clusters of 25 pseudo-spots/nuclei were annotated by their specific gene markers. To further confirm our annotation results 26 27 for each cluster, we plotted the annotated pseudo-spots/nuclei on the two-dimensional panel based on their coordinate information for each sample, and mapped the annotated pseudo-spots/nuclei on the corresponding 28 area of the H&E sections. The orientation of each sample was confirmed by identifying the border between 29 grey matter and adjacent white matter (WM) using the H&E stained sections coupled with the gene distribution 30 31 profiles within the section (Fig. S1A).

32 **DGE analysis**

The "sc.tl.rank_genes_groups" function from the Scanpy package (v1.9.3) was utilized for DGE analysis. T test was used, and the genes with adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05, fold-change ≥ 0.25, and mean expression across
 all pseudo-spots/nuclei ≥ 0.25 were considered as the DEGs.

4 Layer-layer and cell-cell communication analysis

Layer-layer and cell-cell interactions based on the expression of known LR pairs in different layer/cell types were inferred using CellChat (v2.1.0) (33). In brief, we followed the official workflow and applied the data processing functions 'identifyOverExpressedGenes', 'identifyOverExpressedInteractions'. The layer and cellular communication networks were inferred by the function 'computeCommuProb'. Function 'netVisual_heatmap' was used for the pairwise comparison of interactions, and the function 'netVisual_bubble' was used to compare the communication probabilities mediated by L-R from certain layer/cell group to other groups. All the analyses were performed with the default parameter setting.

12 Nucleus segmentation with nucleic acid staining

We followed the analysis pipeline from Stereopy (23) to capture the spatial transcriptional profiles at singlenucleus resolution. Briefly, the nucleic acid staining image of the same section for the Stereo-seq library preparation was used to project the nuclei images on the transcriptional atlas. Deep cell model (23) was used to segment the nuclei on the transcriptional atlas, and the UMI from all DNB within the corresponding segmented nuclei were aggregated per-gene and then summed to generate a nucleus by gene matrix for downstream analysis.

L9 **Concentric circles analysis**

To understand how gene expression varies with proximity to the high stress area in PFC, we mapped the high stress focal point (identified at bin50 resolution) on a two-dimensional panel based on their coordinator for each sample, respectively, and drew three concentric circles around each high stress focal point to differentiate nuclei distances. Nuclei within a radius of 500 units of the pixel (approximately 250 μ m) are categorized as level I, those between 500 and 1,500 units (approximately 250-750 μ m) as level II, and those in 1,500 to 2,500 units (approximately 750-1,250 μ m) as level III. Nuclei intersecting circles from multiple high stress points were assigned to the closest level (**Fig. 4D**).

Gene co-expression network analysis

We performed nuclei-type specific weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) based on the nuclei from level I to III using the hdWGCNA package (76). Function 'ConstructNetwork' was used for coexpression network construction, and function 'ModuleEigengenes' and 'ModuleConnectivity' were used to calculate the module eigengenes and module connectivity, respectively. Function 'GetModuleTraitCorrelation' was used to calculate the correlation between the co-expression modules and AD levels (NA, moderate, and severe) as well as the co-expression modules and the distance to stressed neurons (from level III to I). To

identify the potential transcription factors regulating the modules, the function 'OverlapModulesMotifs' was
 used to find the enriched transcription factors based on the hub genes in the module.

3

4 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

5 The authors are grateful to STOmics Americas Ltd for technical assistance with the data generation. This 6 work was supported by STOmics Grant Program and partially benefited from support of grants from National 7 Institutes of Health [U19AG055373, R01AG061917, R01AG068232, P30GM145498, P20GM109036, 8 P30AG072973].

9

LO AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.G. conducted the major data analysis and wrote the main manuscript text; M.H. and R.H.S. collected the human brain samples and associated clinical information; X.Z., Y.L., and Y.P.C. performed the biological experiments; M.H., R.H.S., H.S., H.D.W, S.M.J., X.Z., X.W., A.L., L.J., D.W., Q.Z., and X.Y. provided valuable suggestions throughout the study implementation; H.S. and H.W.D. were responsible for conceiving, designing, initiating, directing, supervising, language proofreading, and securing fundings for this study. All authors participated in the discussions of the project and reviewed and/or revised the manuscript.

١7

L8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

19 All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

20

21 DATA AVAILABILITY

The Stereo-seq data from six AD cases and six controls will be available in the GEO database after the publication of this study.

- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- <u>29</u>

- 1
- 2
- 3
- л
- -
- 5
- 6
- -
- 7
- 8
- 9
- LO

11 REFERENCE:

Knopman DS, Amieva H, Petersen RC, Chetelat G, Holtzman DM, Hyman BT, et al. Alzheimer disease. Nat Rev Dis
 Primers. 2021;7(1):33.

Zhang W, Xiao D, Mao Q, Xia H. Role of neuroinflammation in neurodegeneration development. Signal Transduct
 Target Ther. 2023;8(1):267.

16 3. Goel P, Chakrabarti S, Goel K, Bhutani K, Chopra T, Bali S. Neuronal cell death mechanisms in Alzheimer's 17 disease: An insight. Front Mol Neurosci. 2022;15:937133.

4. Gustavsson A, Norton N, Fast T, Frolich L, Georges J, Holzapfel D, et al. Global estimates on the number of
 persons across the Alzheimer's disease continuum. Alzheimers Dement. 2023;19(2):658-70.

S. Hampel H, Hardy J, Blennow K, Chen C, Perry G, Kim SH, et al. The Amyloid-beta Pathway in Alzheimer's Disease.
 Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26(10):5481-503.

Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K, Quinlan M, Tung YC, Zaidi MS, Wisniewski HM. Microtubule-associated protein tau. A
 component of Alzheimer paired helical filaments. J Biol Chem. 1986;261(13):6084-9.

247.Busche MA, Hyman BT. Synergy between amyloid-beta and tau in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Neurosci.252020;23(10):1183-93.

Crary JF, Trojanowski JQ, Schneider JA, Abisambra JF, Abner EL, Alafuzoff I, et al. Primary age-related tauopathy
 (PART): a common pathology associated with human aging. Acta Neuropathol. 2014;128(6):755-66.

Rodrigue KM, Kennedy KM, Devous MD, Sr., Rieck JR, Hebrank AC, Diaz-Arrastia R, et al. beta-Amyloid burden in
 healthy aging: regional distribution and cognitive consequences. Neurology. 2012;78(6):387-95.

Sziraki A, Lu Z, Lee J, Banyai G, Anderson S, Abdulraouf A, et al. A global view of aging and Alzheimer's
 pathogenesis-associated cell population dynamics and molecular signatures in human and mouse brains. Nat Genet.
 2023;55(12):2104-16.

Xia X, Jiang Q, McDermott J, Han JJ. Aging and Alzheimer's disease: Comparison and associations from molecular
 to system level. Aging Cell. 2018;17(5):e12802.

Liu RM. Aging, Cellular Senescence, and Alzheimer's Disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(4).

Mathys H, Davila-Velderrain J, Peng Z, Gao F, Mohammadi S, Young JZ, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of
 Alzheimer's disease. Nature. 2019;570(7761):332-7.

14. Chen S, Chang Y, Li L, Acosta D, Li Y, Guo Q, et al. Spatially resolved transcriptomics reveals genes associated with the vulnerability of middle temporal gyrus in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2022;10(1):188.

15. Grubman A, Chew G, Ouyang JF, Sun G, Choo XY, McLean C, et al. A single-cell atlas of entorhinal cortex from 1 2 individuals with Alzheimer's disease reveals cell-type-specific gene expression regulation. Nat Neurosci. 3 2019;22(12):2087-97. 4 Chen WT, Lu A, Craessaerts K, Pavie B, Sala Frigerio C, Corthout N, et al. Spatial Transcriptomics and In Situ 16. 5 Sequencing to Study Alzheimer's Disease. Cell. 2020;182(4):976-91 e19. Chen A, Liao S, Cheng M, Ma K, Wu L, Lai Y, et al. Spatiotemporal transcriptomic atlas of mouse organogenesis 6 17. 7 using DNA nanoball-patterned arrays. Cell. 2022;185(10):1777-92 e21. 8 18. Salat DH, Kaye JA, Janowsky JS. Selective preservation and degeneration within the prefrontal cortex in aging 9 and Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol. 2001:58(9):1403-8. L0 19. Gouras GK, Tsai J, Naslund J, Vincent B, Edgar M, Checler F, et al. Intraneuronal Abeta42 accumulation in human ι1 brain. Am J Pathol. 2000;156(1):15-20. L2 20. Guy J, Staiger JF. The Functioning of a Cortex without Layers. Front Neuroanat. 2017;11:54. L3 Maynard KR, Collado-Torres L, Weber LM, Uytingco C, Barry BK, Williams SR, et al. Transcriptome-scale spatial 21. L4 gene expression in the human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Nat Neurosci. 2021;24(3):425-36. L5 Korsunsky I, Millard N, Fan J, Slowikowski K, Zhang F, Wei K, et al. Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of 22. single-cell data with Harmony. Nat Methods. 2019;16(12):1289-96. ۱6 ١7 23. Fang S, Xu M, Cao L, Liu X, Bezulj M, Tan L, et al. Stereopy: modeling comparative and spatiotemporal cellular ٢8 heterogeneity via multi-sample spatial transcriptomics. bioRxiv. 2023:2023.12.04.569485. ٢9 24. Yang L, Liu J, Lu Q, Riggs AD, Wu X. SAIC: an iterative clustering approach for analysis of single cell RNA-seq data. 20 BMC Genomics. 2017;18(Suppl 6):689. Lin P, Troup M, Ho JW. CIDR: Ultrafast and accurate clustering through imputation for single-cell RNA-seq data. 21 25. 22 Genome Biol. 2017;18(1):59. 23 Becht E, McInnes L, Healy J, Dutertre CA, Kwok IWH, Ng LG, et al. Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-26. cell data using UMAP. Nat Biotechnol. 2018. 24 25 Scahill RI, Schott JM, Stevens JM, Rossor MN, Fox NC. Mapping the evolution of regional atrophy in Alzheimer's 27. 26 disease: unbiased analysis of fluid-registered serial MRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(7):4703-7. 27 Vogt BA, Van Hoesen GW, Vogt LJ. Laminar distribution of neuron degeneration in posterior cingulate cortex in 28. 28 Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol. 1990;80(6):581-9. <u>29</u> Lawrence JM, Schardien K, Wigdahl B, Nonnemacher MR. Roles of neuropathology-associated reactive 29. 30 astrocytes: a systematic review. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2023;11(1):42. Reddy PH, McWeeney S, Park BS, Manczak M, Gutala RV, Partovi D, et al. Gene expression profiles of transcripts 31 30. in amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice: up-regulation of mitochondrial metabolism and apoptotic genes is an early 32 33 cellular change in Alzheimer's disease. Hum Mol Genet. 2004;13(12):1225-40. 34 Mrdien D. Fox EJ. Bukhari SA. Montine KS. Bendall SC. Montine TJ. The basis of cellular and regional vulnerability 31. 35 in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol. 2019;138(5):729-49. 36 Larkum ME, Petro LS, Sachdev RNS, Muckli L. A Perspective on Cortical Layering and Layer-Spanning Neuronal 32. 37 Elements. Front Neuroanat. 2018;12:56. 38 33. Jin S, Guerrero-Juarez CF, Zhang L, Chang I, Ramos R, Kuan CH, et al. Inference and analysis of cell-cell 39 communication using CellChat. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1088. 10 34. Scala F, Kobak D, Shan S, Bernaerts Y, Laturnus S, Cadwell CR, et al. Layer 4 of mouse neocortex differs in cell 11 types and circuit organization between sensory areas. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4174. Kim EJ, Juavinett AL, Kyubwa EM, Jacobs MW, Callaway EM. Three Types of Cortical Layer 5 Neurons That Differ 12 35. 13 in Brain-wide Connectivity and Function. Neuron. 2015;88(6):1253-67. 14 36. Yu M, Sporns O, Saykin AJ. The human connectome in Alzheimer disease - relationship to biomarkers and 15 genetics. Nat Rev Neurol. 2021;17(9):545-63. 16 37. Martinez-Lozada Z, Ortega A. Glutamatergic Transmission: A Matter of Three. Neural Plast. 2015;2015:787396. 17 38. Sudhof TC. Neuroligins and neurexins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. Nature. 2008;455(7215):903-18 11. 19 39. Fiorentino A, Sharp SI, McQuillin A. Association of rare variation in the glutamate receptor gene SLC1A2 with 50 susceptibility to bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015;23(9):1200-6. 51 40. Sonnewald U, Schousboe A. Introduction to the Glutamate-Glutamine Cycle. Adv Neurobiol. 2016;13:1-7.

41. Salpietro V, Dixon CL, Guo H, Bello OD, Vandrovcova J, Efthymiou S, et al. AMPA receptor GluA2 subunit defects 1 2 are a cause of neurodevelopmental disorders. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3094. 3 42. Swanson CJ, Bures M, Johnson MP, Linden AM, Monn JA, Schoepp DD. Metabotropic glutamate receptors as 4 novel targets for anxiety and stress disorders. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2005;4(2):131-44. 5 43. Kim H, Kim D, Kim J, Lee HY, Park D, Kang H, et al. Calsyntenin-3 interacts with both alpha- and beta-neurexins in 6 regulation of excitatory synaptic innervation in specific Schaffer collateral pathways. J Biol Chem. the 2020;295(27):9244-62. 7 8 44. Sheng M, Sabatini BL, Sudhof TC. Synapses and Alzheimer's disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4(5). 9 45. Castelli V, Benedetti E, Antonosante A, Catanesi M, Pitari G, Ippoliti R, et al. Neuronal Cells Rearrangement L0 During Aging and Neurodegenerative Disease: Metabolism, Oxidative Stress and Organelles Dynamic. Front Mol ι1 Neurosci. 2019;12:132. Γ5 46. Dehkordi SK, Walker J, Sah E, Bennett E, Atrian F, Frost B, et al. Profiling senescent cells in human brains reveals L3 neurons with CDKN2D/p19 and tau neuropathology. Nat Aging. 2021;1(12):1107-16. L4 47. Alavi Naini SM, Soussi-Yanicostas N. Tau Hyperphosphorylation and Oxidative Stress, a Critical Vicious Circle in L5 Neurodegenerative Tauopathies? Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2015;2015:151979. Marquez-Jurado S, Diaz-Colunga J, das Neves RP, Martinez-Lorente A, Almazan F, Guantes R, Iborra FJ. ۱6 48. ١7 Mitochondrial levels determine variability in cell death by modulating apoptotic gene expression. Nat Commun. ٢8 2018;9(1):389. ٢9 49. Ries M, Sastre M. Mechanisms of Abeta Clearance and Degradation by Glial Cells. Front Aging Neurosci. 20 2016;8:160. Rackham O, Filipovska A. Organization and expression of the mammalian mitochondrial genome. Nat Rev Genet. 21 50. 22 2022;23(10):606-23. 23 Nasrabady SE, Rizvi B, Goldman JE, Brickman AM. White matter changes in Alzheimer's disease: a focus on 51. myelin and oligodendrocytes. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2018;6(1):22. 24 25 52. Hol EM, Roelofs RF, Moraal E, Sonnemans MA, Sluijs JA, Proper EA, et al. Neuronal expression of GFAP in 26 patients with Alzheimer pathology and identification of novel GFAP splice forms. Mol Psychiatry. 2003;8(9):786-96. 27 Silva I, Silva J, Ferreira R, Trigo D. Glymphatic system, AQP4, and their implications in Alzheimer's disease. Neurol 53. 28 Res Pract. 2021;3(1):5. <u>29</u> Chen T, Gai WP, Abbott CA. Dipeptidyl peptidase 10 (DPP10(789)): a voltage gated potassium channel associated 54. protein is abnormally expressed in Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases. Biomed Res Int. 30 31 2014;2014:209398. Jauregui-Huerta F, Ruvalcaba-Delgadillo Y, Gonzalez-Castaneda R, Garcia-Estrada J, Gonzalez-Perez O, Luguin S. 32 55. 33 Responses of glial cells to stress and glucocorticoids. Curr Immunol Rev. 2010;6(3):195-204. 34 Gns HS, Rajalekshmi SG, Burri RR. Revelation of Pivotal Genes Pertinent to Alzheimer's Pathogenesis: A 56. 35 Methodical Evaluation of 32 GEO Datasets. J Mol Neurosci. 2022;72(2):303-22. Liu L, Wu Q, Zhong W, Chen Y, Zhang W, Ren H, et al. Microarray Analysis of Differential Gene Expression in 36 57. 37 Alzheimer's Disease Identifies Potential Biomarkers with Diagnostic Value. Med Sci Monit. 2020;26:e919249. 38 58. Plagman A, Hoscheidt S, McLimans KE, Klinedinst B, Pappas C, Anantharam V, et al. Cholecystokinin and 39 Alzheimer's disease: a biomarker of metabolic function, neural integrity, and cognitive performance. Neurobiol Aging. 10 2019;76:201-7. 11 59. Emilsson L, Saetre P, Jazin E. Low mRNA levels of RGS4 splice variants in Alzheimer's disease: association between a rare haplotype and decreased mRNA expression. Synapse. 2006;59(3):173-6. 12 13 60. Ham S, Lee SV. Advances in transcriptome analysis of human brain aging. Exp Mol Med. 2020;52(11):1787-97. 14 61. latrou A, Clark EM, Wang Y. Nuclear dynamics and stress responses in Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurodegener. 15 2021;16(1):65. 16 Jin M, Cai SQ. Mechanisms Underlying Brain Aging Under Normal and Pathological Conditions. Neurosci Bull. 62. 17 2023;39(2):303-14. 18 63. Lago-Baldaia I, Fernandes VM, Ackerman SD. More Than Mortar: Glia as Architects of Nervous System 19 Development and Disease. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:611269. 50 64. Soliman N, Okuse K, Rice ASC. VGF: a biomarker and potential target for the treatment of neuropathic pain? Pain 51 Rep. 2019;4(5):e786.

1 65. Schock SC, Xu J, Duquette PM, Qin Z, Lewandowski AJ, Rai PS, et al. Rescue of neurons from ischemic injury by 2 peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma requires a novel essential cofactor LMO4. J Neurosci. 3 2008;28(47):12433-44. 4 Hurst C, Pugh DA, Abreha MH, Duong DM, Dammer EB, Bennett DA, et al. Integrated Proteomics to Understand 66. 5 the Role of Neuritin (NRN1) as a Mediator of Cognitive Resilience to Alzheimer's Disease. Mol Cell Proteomics. 6 2023;22(5):100542. 7 67. Campanella C, Pace A, Caruso Bavisotto C, Marzullo P, Marino Gammazza A, Buscemi S, Palumbo Piccionello A. 8 Heat Shock Proteins in Alzheimer's Disease: Role and Targeting. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(9). 9 68. Carlo AS, Gustafsen C, Mastrobuoni G, Nielsen MS, Burgert T, Hartl D, et al. The pro-neurotrophin receptor LO sortilin is a major neuronal apolipoprotein E receptor for catabolism of amyloid-beta peptide in the brain. J Neurosci. ι1 2013:33(1):358-70. Γ5 69. Dong LX, Zhang YY, Bao HL, Liu Y, Zhang GW, An FM. LncRNA NEAT1 promotes Alzheimer's disease by down L3 regulating micro-27a-3p. Am J Transl Res. 2021;13(8):8885-96. L4 70. Kanai Y, Clemencon B, Simonin A, Leuenberger M, Lochner M, Weisstanner M, Hediger MA. The SLC1 high-L5 affinity glutamate and neutral amino acid transporter family. Mol Aspects Med. 2013;34(2-3):108-20. Chen Y, Yu Y. Tau and neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: interplay mechanisms and clinical translation. J ۱6 71. ١7 Neuroinflammation. 2023;20(1):165. ٢8 72. Zhang C, Tan G, Zhang Y, Zhong X, Zhao Z, Peng Y, et al. Comprehensive analyses of brain cell communications ٤9 based on multiple scRNA-seq and snRNA-seq datasets for revealing novel mechanism in neurodegenerative diseases. 20 CNS Neurosci Ther. 2023;29(10):2775-86. Liu A, Fernandes BS, Citu C, Zhao Z. Unraveling the intercellular communication disruption and key pathways in 21 73. 22 Alzheimer's disease: an integrative study of single-nucleus transcriptomes and genetic association. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2024;16(1):3. 23 24 Liu B, Mosienko V, Vaccari Cardoso B, Prokudina D, Huentelman M, Teschemacher AG, Kasparov S. Glio- and 74. 25 neuro-protection by prosaposin is mediated by orphan G-protein coupled receptors GPR37L1 and GPR37. Glia. 26 2018;66(11):2414-26. Matsuda S, Matsuda Y, D'Adamio L. CD74 interacts with APP and suppresses the production of Abeta. Mol 27 75. 28 Neurodegener. 2009;4:41. <u>29</u> Morabito S, Reese F, Rahimzadeh N, Miyoshi E, Swarup V. hdWGCNA identifies co-expression networks in high-76. 30 dimensional transcriptomics data. Cell Rep Methods. 2023;3(6):100498. Guglielmotto M, Monteleone D, Vasciaveo V, Repetto IE, Manassero G, Tabaton M, Tamagno E. The Decrease of 31 77. 32 Uch-L1 Activity Is a Common Mechanism Responsible for Abeta 42 Accumulation in Alzheimer's and Vascular Disease. 33 Front Aging Neurosci. 2017;9:320. 34 78. Liao W, Luo H, Ruan Y, Mai Y, Liu C, Chen J, et al. Identification of candidate genes associated with clinical onset 35 of Alzheimer's disease. Front Neurosci. 2022;16:1060111. 36 79. Sze CI, Bi H, Kleinschmidt-DeMasters BK, Filley CM, Martin LJ. Selective regional loss of exocytotic presynaptic 37 vesicle proteins in Alzheimer's disease brains. J Neurol Sci. 2000;175(2):81-90. 38 80. Carulla P, Llorens F, Matamoros-Angles A, Aguilar-Calvo P, Espinosa JC, Gavin R, et al. Involvement of PrP(C) in 39 kainate-induced excitotoxicity in several mouse strains. Sci Rep. 2015;5:11971. 10 81. Kellett KA, Hooper NM. Prion protein and Alzheimer disease. Prion. 2009;3(4):190-4. 11 82. Wu E, He W, Wu C, Chen Z, Zhou S, Wu X, et al. HSPA8 acts as an amyloidase to suppress necroptosis by inhibiting and reversing functional amyloid formation. Cell Res. 2023;33(11):851-66. 12 13 83. Gao R, Penzes P. Common mechanisms of excitatory and inhibitory imbalance in schizophrenia and autism 14 spectrum disorders. Curr Mol Med. 2015;15(2):146-67. 15 84. Kane MJ, Citron BA. Transcription factors as therapeutic targets in CNS disorders. Recent Pat CNS Drug Discov. 16 2009;4(3):190-9. 17 Sun H, Wang J, Gong Z, Yao J, Wang Y, Xu J, et al. Quantitative integration of epigenomic variation and 85. transcription factor binding using MAmotif toolkit identifies an important role of IRF2 as transcription activator at gene 18 19 promoters. Cell Discov. 2018;4:38. 50 86. Fang X, Zhong X, Yu G, Shao S, Yang Q. Vascular protective effects of KLF2 on Abeta-induced toxicity: 51 Implications for Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res. 2017;1663:174-83.

1	87. Li L, Zi X, Hou D, Tu Q. Kruppel-like factor 4 regulates amyloid-beta (Abeta)-induced neuroinflammation in
2	Alzheimer's disease. Neurosci Lett. 2017;643:131-7.
3	88. Wang Y, Cui Y, Liu J, Song Q, Cao M, Hou Y, et al. Kruppel-like factor 5 accelerates the pathogenesis of
4	Alzheimer's disease via BACE1-mediated APP processing. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2022;14(1):103.
5	89. Pini L, Pievani M, Bocchetta M, Altomare D, Bosco P, Cavedo E, et al. Brain atrophy in Alzheimer's Disease and
6	aging. Ageing Res Rev. 2016;30:25-48.
7	90. Smit T, Deshayes NAC, Borchelt DR, Kamphuis W, Middeldorp J, Hol EM. Reactive astrocytes as treatment
8	targets in Alzheimer's disease-Systematic review of studies using the APPswePS1dE9 mouse model. Glia.
9	2021;69(8):1852-81.
LO	91. Lutz MW, Chiba-Falek O. Bioinformatics pipeline to guide late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) post-GWAS
Ι1	studies: Prioritizing transcription regulatory variants within LOAD-associated regions. Alzheimers Dement (N Y).
12	2022;8(1):e12244.
L3	92. Wildsmith KR, Holley M, Savage JC, Skerrett R, Landreth GE. Evidence for impaired amyloid beta clearance in
L4	Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2013;5(4):33.
L5	93. Shibata M, Yamada S, Kumar SR, Calero M, Bading J, Frangione B, et al. Clearance of Alzheimer's amyloid-ss(1-
16	40) peptide from brain by LDL receptor-related protein-1 at the blood-brain barrier. J Clin Invest. 2000;106(12):1489-99.
١7	94. Li Y, Rusinek H, Butler T, Glodzik L, Pirraglia E, Babich J, et al. Decreased CSF clearance and increased brain
L8	amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. Fluids Barriers CNS. 2022;19(1):21.
19	95. Alonso AD, Grundke-lqbal I, Barra HS, lqbal K. Abnormal phosphorylation of tau and the mechanism of
20	Alzheimer neurofibrillary degeneration: sequestration of microtubule-associated proteins 1 and 2 and the disassembly
21	of microtubules by the abnormal tau. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(1):298-303.
22	96. An L, Liu Y. ZNF460 mediates epithelial-mesenchymal transition to promote gastric cancer progression by
<u>2</u> 3	transactivating APOC1 expression. Exp Cell Res. 2023;422(2):113452.
<u>2</u> 4	97. Rouland A, Masson D, Lagrost L, Verges B, Gautier T, Bouillet B. Role of apolipoprotein C1 in lipoprotein
<u>25</u>	metabolism, atherosclerosis and diabetes: a systematic review. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022;21(1):272.
<u>2</u> 6	98. Poduslo SE, Neal M, Herring K, Shelly J. The apolipoprotein CI A allele as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease.
27	Neurochem Res. 1998;23(3):361-7.
<u>28</u>	99. Chen A, Sun Y, Lei Y, Li C, Liao S, Meng J, et al. Single-cell spatial transcriptome reveals cell-type organization in
<u>29</u>	the macaque cortex. Cell. 2023;186(17):3726-43 e24.
30	100. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.
31	Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21.
32	101. Wolf FA, Angerer P, Theis FJ. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. Genome Biol.

34

33

2018;19(1):15.





7 WM MOOK

1-7WM

L6 Mod

\$

15 Mode

7 23 (10)

210

5 34

15757

5 5

575 A

575000000









A





Fig. 1: Spatially resolved transcriptomic profiles of the multiple layers in human prefrontal cortex

(A) The analysis pipeline of the study.

(B) The H&E staining, immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for Aβ plaques (Aβ42; red) and nuclei (DAPI; blue), and layer clustering for NA (C5), moderate (A3), and severe (A1) AD groups.
(C) UMAP visualization of seven clusters across 12 samples, annotated by cortical layer I to VI and the WM.

(D) Heatmap of the marker genes in each cortical layer and the WM. The x-axis represents six cortical layers and the WM. Colors represent the mean expression of the gene in each cortical layer and the WM.

(E and F) Violin and scatter plots illustrating the expression levels of previously identified (E) and novel (F) layer marker genes in C5. In the violin plot, the x-axis represents the layer specific markers, and the y-axis represents the cortical layers and the WM. The color represents the median gene expression in the cluster. For the scatter plots, six plots represent the distribution of the gene expression levels of the layer markers, respectively. The color represents the gene expression levels.

Fig. 2: Differences in gene expression patterns between control, moderate AD, and severe AD groups at bin110 resolution

(A) Proportion of the cortical layers in each group. The color represents cortical layers I-VI.
(B) The expression level of the *GFAP* and *NEAT1* in NA, moderate, and severe AD groups.
(C) The up- and down- regulated genes of the pairwise DGE analysis among all three groups
(D) The most significant up-regulated genes in each group after the pairwise DGE analysis among all three groups. Size of the spot indicates the proportion of the cells expressing the genes, and the color represents mean expression level of the genes in each group.
(E) GO enrichment analysis based on the up-regulated genes in moderate and severe AD groups compared with the NA group. The length of the bar indicates the gene numbers enriched in the GO term and the color represents the adjusted P-values for enrichment analysis.
(F) Pair-wise comparison of the number of outgoing and incoming LR pairs among different cortical layers and the WM across the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups. The color of the squares in the heatmap represents the number of increased (red) or decreased (blue) signaling in the first group compared to the second one. The top color bar represents the total number of changes (increases or decreases) in incoming signaling, and the right color bar corresponds to changes in outgoing signaling, both comparing the first group to the second.

(G) The communication strength comparison of the specific LR pairs of Glutamate (from layer V to other layers) and NRXN (from layer I to other layers) signaling pathways across control, moderate and severe AD groups. The x-axis represents the direction of the LR pairs, and the y-axis indicates the specific LR pairs. Dot size represents the adjusted P-value, and the color reflects the communication strength of the LR pairs.

Fig. 3: Identity of the location of the dysfunctional neurons in the three groups at bin50 resolution

(A) UMAP visualization of pseudo-spot clusters.

(B) Expression levels of the gene markers in each cluster. The color of the violin plot reflects the median expression of the gene in each cluster. Based on the gene markers, we annotated the clusters as astrocytes (Ast), endothelial cells (End), excitatory neurons (Ex), inhibitory neurons (Inh), microglia (Mic), oligodendrocytes (Oli), and oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (Opc).

(C) Distribution of different cell clusters on the captured area of Stereo-seq platform (C5 in NA, A3 in moderate, and A2 in severe AD group), and the proportions of cell clusters in all samples from the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups, respectively.

(D) The violin plot of the mitochondrial gene ratio of Ex in each sample. The x-axis represents 12 samples and the y-axis indicates the mitochondrial gene ratio. The dashed line represents the threshold of the mitochondrial gene ratio (15%) to classify the Ex into highly stressed or normal ones.

(E) Distribution of the highly stressed Ex in NA, moderate, and severe AD groups. The yellow dots represent the pseudo-spots covering the highly stressed Ex, and the blue dots are pseudo-spots containing the normal Ex and other types of the cells (Ast, End, Inh, Mic, Oli, and Opc).

(F) Proportion of the highly stressed Ex pseudo-spots among all Ex pseudo-spots in NA, moderate, and severe AD groups.

(G) The significant up- and down-regulated genes of the highly stressed Ex pseudo-spots, compared to normal Ex pseudo-spots.

Fig. 4: Concentric analysis at the single-nucleus resolution

(A) UMAP visualization of clusters of nuclei.

(B) Heatmap of the gene marker expression in each cluster of nuclei. The color represents the expression levels of the gene in each cluster of nuclei.

(C) The nuclei type distribution on C5 (NA) sample.

(D) Distribution of nuclei in A3 (moderate) across levels I, II, and III in the concentric circle analysis. The red dots represent the selected nuclei at each level, and gray dots indicate other nuclei.

(E) The nucleus-type specific DGE analysis between the nuclei in level I and III across control, moderate, and severe AD groups. The color represents the mean expression of the genes in each level.

Fig. 5: Differences in the cell-cell communication between the nuclei in level I and III

(A) The total number (top) and the strength (bottom) of the LR pairs in level I and III groups across control, moderate, and severe AD groups, respectively.

(B) Networks of cell-cell interactions show the number of LR pairs (edges) between nuclei clusters (nodes) within level III and I across NA, moderate, and severe AD groups, respectively. The colors of the dots and edges represent the specific types of nuclei and the outgoing signaling emanating from them. The number on the edge indicates the number of the outgoing signals. The size of the spot are proportional to the number of nucleus within each level of specific AD group.

(C) The communication strength of the specific LR pairs of the nuclei in level I and III in the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups, respectively. Nuclei type in each row indicates the nuclei expressing the ligands and the column indicates the one expressing the receptors. The x-axis represents the level I and III across NA, moderate, and severe AD groups in each nucleus type. The color of the dots reflects the communication strength, and the size of the spot represents the adjusted P-value.

(D-E) The chord diagram of the PSAP-GPR37L1 and APP-CD74 LR pairs of the nuclei in level I and III in the NA, moderate, and severe AD groups, respectively. Each segment of the circle in different color represents different types of nuclei. The chords connecting the segments represent interactions between two types of nuclei, and the thickness of each chord reflecting the strength of the interactions.

Fig. 6: Gene co-expression networks in excitatory and inhibitory neurons

(A) The gene co-expression modules in Ex (Ex1) and Inh (Inh1 and Inh2). The nodes represent the hub genes, while the edge connecting two nodes indicates the co-expression of those genes.(B-D) GO Enrichment analysis on the top 50 hub genes in the Ex1, Inh1, and Inh2 modules. The length of the bar indicates the gene numbers enriched in the GO term and the color represents the adjusted P-values for enrichment analysis.

(E) Enrichment analysis to identify the Motif overlaps with Ex and Inh modules. The color indicates the adjusted P-value.