Executive function deficits in genetic frontotemporal dementia: results from the GENFI study

Lucy L Russell PhD¹, Arabella Bouzigues MSc¹, Rhian S Convery MSc¹, Phoebe Foster BSc¹, Eve Ferry-Bolder BA¹, David M. Cash PhD^{1,2}, John C. van Swieten MD PhD³, Lize C. Jiskoot PhD DClinPsy³, Harro Seelaar MD PhD³, Fermin Moreno MD PhD^{4,5}, Raquel Sanchez-Valle MD, PhD⁶, Robert Laforce MD PhD⁷, Caroline Graff MD PhD^{8,9}, Mario Masellis MD PhD¹⁰, Maria Carmela Tartaglia MD¹¹, James B. Rowe FRCP PhD¹², Barbara Borroni MD¹³, Elizabeth Finger MD¹⁴, Matthis Synofzik MD^{15,16}, Daniela Galimberti PhD^{17,18}, Rik Vandenberghe MD PhD^{19,20,21}, Alexandre de Mendonça MD PhD²², Chris Butler FRCP PhD²³, Alexander Gerhard MRCP MD^{24,25}, Simon Ducharme MD^{26,27}, Isabelle Le Ber MD PhD^{28,29,30}, Isabel Santana MD PhD^{31,32,33}, Florence Pasquier MD PhD^{34,35,36}, Johannes Levin MD^{37,38,39}, Sandro Sorbi PhD^{40,41}, Markus Otto⁴², Jonathan D. Rohrer FRCP PhD¹, on behalf of the Genetic FTD Initiative (GENFI)[#].

*List of consortium authors in appendix

Affiliations: ¹Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK, ²Centre for Medical Image Computing, University College London, London, UK, ³Department of Neurology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁴Cognitive Disorders Unit, Department of Neurology, Donostia University Hospital, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain, ⁵Neuroscience Area, Biodonostia Health Research Institute, San Sebastian, Gipuzkoa, Spain, 6Alzheimer's disease and Other Cognitive Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacións Biomèdiques August Pi I Sunver, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 7Clinique Interdisciplinaire de Mémoire, Département des Sciences Neurologiques, CHU de Québec, and Faculté de Médecine, Université Laval, QC, Canada, 8Center for Alzheimer Research, Division of Neurogeriatrics, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Bioclinicum, Karolinska Institutet, Solna, Sweden, 9Unit for Hereditary Dementias, Theme Aging, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna, Sweden, ¹⁰Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, 11 Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ¹²Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust and Medical Research Council Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK, ¹³Centre for Neurodegenerative Disorders, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy, ¹⁴Department of Clinical Neurological Sciences, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada, ¹⁵Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research and Center of Neurology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany, ¹⁶Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Tübingen, Germany, ¹⁷Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, ¹⁸Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy, ¹⁹Laboratory for Cognitive Neurology, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ²⁰Neurology Service, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ²¹Leuven Brain Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ²²Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, ²³Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Medical SCRIFICES DEVENTUATION FOR THE CONTRACT STREET AND S

Wolfson Molecular Imaging Centre, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, 25Departments of Geriatric Medicine and Nuclear Medicine, Center for Translational Neuro- and Behavioral Sciences, University Medicine Essen, Essen, Germany, ²⁶Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, ²⁷McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, Department of Neurology & Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, 28Sorbonne Université, Paris Brain Institute – Institut du Cerveau - ICM, Inserm U1127, CNRS UMR 7225, AP-HP - Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France, ²⁹Centre de référence des démences rares ou précoces, IM2A, Département de Neurologie, AP-HP - Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France, ³⁰Département de Neurologie, AP-HP - Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (DMU Neurosciences Paris 6), Paris, France, Paris, France, ³¹Neurology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, ³²Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, ³³Center for Innovative Biomedicine and Biotechnology (CIBB), University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, ³⁴Univ Lille, France, Lille, France, ³⁵Inserm 1172, Lille, France, ³⁶CHU Lille, CNR-MAJ, Labex Distalz, LiCEND, Lille, France, ³⁷Neurologische Klinik und Poliklinik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany, ³⁸German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Munich, Germany, ³⁹Munich Cluster of Systems Neurology, Munich, Germany, ⁴⁰Department of Neurofarba, University of Florence, Florence, Italy, ⁴¹IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi, Florence, Italy ⁴²Department of Neurology, University of Ulm, Germany.

Author Approval: All authors have seen and approved this maniscript

Title character count: **97***; Number of references:* **100***; Number of tables:* **2***; Number of figures:* **1***; Abstract word count:* **395***; Main text word count:* **6248***.*

Corresponding author: Dr Lucy Russell, Dementia Research Centre, Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, UCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, WC1N 3BG, l.russell@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Executive dysfunction is a core feature of frontotemporal dementia (FTD). Whilst there has been extensive research into such impairments in sporadic FTD, there has been little research in the familial forms.

Methods: 752 individuals were recruited in total: 214 *C9orf72*, 205 *GRN* and 86 *MAPT* mutation carriers, stratified into asymptomatic, prodromal and fully symptomatic, and 247 mutation negative controls. Attention and executive function were measured using the Weschler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R) Digit Span Backwards (DSB), the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Digit Symbol task, the Trail Making Test Parts A and B, the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Color Word Interference Test and verbal fluency tasks (letter and category). Linear regression models with bootstrapping were used to assess differences between groups. Correlation of task score with disease severity was also performed, as well an analysis of the neuroanatomical correlates of each task.

Results: Fully symptomatic *C9orf72*, *GRN* and *MAPT* mutation carriers were significantly impaired on all tasks compared with controls (all p<0.001), except on the WMS-R DSB in the *MAPT* mutation carriers (p=0.147). Whilst asymptomatic and prodromal *C9orf72* individuals also demonstrated deficits compared with controls, neither the *GRN* or *MAPT* asymptomatic or prodromal mutation carriers showed significant differences. All tasks significantly correlated with disease severity in each of the genetic groups (all p<0.001).

Conclusions: Individuals with *C9orf72* mutations show difficulties with executive function from very early on in the disease and this continues to deteriorate with disease severity. In contrast, similar difficulties occur only in the later stages of the disease in *GRN* and *MAPT* mutation carriers. This differential performance across the genetic groups will be important in neuropsychological task selection in upcoming clinical trials.

Keywords: Executive dysfunction, C9orf72, GRN, MAPT, GENFI

Introduction

Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a neurodegenerative disease that causes impairments in behaviour and cognition. Whilst a number of different changes in personality can occur such as apathy, loss of empathy and obsessive-compulsive behaviours (Warren et al., 2013), the core cognitive deficit is a change in executive function, a set of processes which includes inhibitory control, working memory and cognitive flexibility (Carlson et al., 2013).

Executive function has been extensively studied in sporadic FTD, where it has been demonstrated that such abilities are commonly compromised (Harciarek et al., 2013; Huey et al., 2009; Leslie et al., 2016; Moheb et al., 2017; Possin et al., 2013; Ramanan et al., 2017; Staffaroni et al., 2021; Tartaglia et al., 2012; van den Berg et al., 2017). However, there have been fewer studies examining changes in the familial forms of FTD due to mutations in chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (*C9orf72*), progranulin (*GRN*) and microtubule associated protein tau (*MAPT*), which account for about one third of all FTD (Cheran et al., 2019; Hallam et al., 2014; Jiskoot et al., 2018; Lulé et al., 2020; Poos et al., 2020; Staffaroni et al., 2020).

The aim of this study was therefore to investigate executive function in a large cohort of presymptomatic and symptomatic individuals with familial FTD using participants from the GENetic Frontotemporal dementia Initiative (GENFI). In particular, we explore whether there are differences across the three main genetic causes and whether deficits occur in the presymptomatic stages of the disease. Such information will be important in guiding task selection for inclusion and outcome measures in upcoming therapeutic trials.

Methods

Cohort and protocol

The fifth GENFI data freeze included 831 individuals from 25 sites in Europe and Canada. 752 of these individuals had completed at least one of the executive function tasks in the GENFI neuropsychological battery: 214 carried the *C9orf72* expansion, 205 had a *GRN* mutation and 86 had a *MAPT* mutation; 247 individuals were mutation negative family members who acted

as controls. Ethical approval was gained at each of the individual sites and all participants provided fully informed consent.

All participants underwent the standard GENFI protocol including the GENFI neuropsychological battery (Rohrer et al., 2015; Poos et al, 2022) as well as the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) and the CDR® Dementia Staging Instrument with the National Alzheimer Coordinating Centre Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration component (CDR® plus NACC FTLD). The latter generates two types of scores: a sum of boxes (SB) score, and a global score which allows staging of the disease into 0, asymptomatic, 0.5, prodromal and 1 or more (1+), symptomatic (1 mild, 2 moderate and 3 severe). Symptomatic individuals were diagnosed according to current criteria (Rascovsky et al., 2011; Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Strong et al., 2017): 91 had bvFTD (*C9orf72* = 49, *GRN* = 24, *MAPT* = 18), 20 had primary progressive aphasia (*C9orf72* = 3, *GRN* = 16, *MAPT* = 1), and nine had FTD with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (*C9orf72* = 9), whilst the other symptomatic participants consisted of smaller diagnostic groups including those with atypical parkinsonism. Demographic information can be found in

Table.

Compared to controls, all three symptomatic groups were older (all p>0.001) and so too was the *GRN* prodromal group (p=0.004). The *MAPT* asymptomatic group were significantly younger than the controls (p=0.001). All three symptomatic groups were also significantly older than their asymptomatic (all p<0.001) and prodromal counterparts (all p<0.003). The *C9orf72* and *GRN* prodromal groups were also significantly older than their asymptomatic groups were also significantly older than their asymptomatic groups were also significantly older than their asymptomatic group was significantly older than the *MAPT* asymptomatic group (p=0.016) and the *GRN* symptomatic group was significantly older than the *MAPT* symptomatic group (p=0.040).

Differences in sex were found within the groups. *C9orf*72 and *MAPT* symptomatic groups consisted of significantly more males than the control group ($X^2(1) = 9.8$, p=0.002; $X^2(1) = 4.8$, p=0.028 respectively) and there were more men in the *C9orf*72 symptomatic group than the

other *C9orf*72 groups (asymptomatic: X^2 (1) = 8.8, p=0.003; prodromal: X^2 (1) = 6.4, p=0.012). This was also the case for the *MAPT* symptomatic carriers (asymptomatic: X^2 (1) = 4.7, p=0.030; prodromal: X^2 (1) = 5.1, p=0.023).

When investigating education across the groups, the *GRN* symptomatic individuals had significantly lower levels of education than the control group (p<0.001), the *GRN* asymptomatic group (p<0.01), and the *C9orf72* (p=0.035) and *MAPT* (p=0.030) symptomatic groups. The *C9orf72* symptomatic group had a lower level of education than the control group (p=0.010) and the *C9orf72* asymptomatic group (p=0.027).

Executive function tasks

The following executive function tasks were included within the GENFI neuropsychology battery: the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Digit Symbol substitution task (DSST) (Wechsler, 1981), the Weschler Memory Scale-Revised Digit Span Backwards (DSB) (Wechsler, 1997), the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS) Color-Word Interference Test (Color naming, Word naming and Ink color naming; Delis et al., 2001), and the Trail Making Test Parts A and B (TMT A and TMT B).

Magnetic resonance image acquisition

T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) volumetric brain scans were performed on 703 participants as per the GENFI protocol (Rohrer et al., 2015). 55 images were removed as they either failed the quality control check for motion and scanner artefacts, or an abnormal finding was found in the form of significant vascular disease or other structural brain lesions. Subsequently, 648 scans were included in the analysis: 217 controls, 184 *C9orf72* expansion carriers, 172 *GRN* mutation carriers, and 75 *MAPT* mutation carriers.

Statistical analysis

Healthy controls

To explore the normative performance on the tasks in the control group, percentile scores and cumulative frequencies were calculated for each, and a lower 5th percentile was generated to indicate an abnormal score. t tests were performed to assess any differences on each of the

tasks that were normally distributed, and Mann Whitney U tests for those that were not. Correlations between task performance and both age and education were calculated using Pearson's correlation for normally distributed data and Spearman's rank correlation for those that were not. Linear regressions were carried out to assess the impact of language (i.e. the language spoken by the participant) on each of the tasks within the control group. Pairwise post hoc comparisons were carried out to assess the difference between the groups if the overall model was significant. For data that was not normally distributed, bootstrapping with 2000 replications was used. All analyses were performed using Stata/IC (version 14.2).

Mutation carriers

Multiple linear regressions were carried out to assess performance on the tasks in each of the groups. Age, sex, education, and language were included in the models as covariates. Post hoc pairwise comparisons were calculated to assess the differences between the groups. For data that was not normal, bootstrapping with 2000 replications was used and the 95% bootstrapped confidence intervals reported.

A Pearson's correlational analysis was conducted on each of the tasks to measure the association with disease severity and tasks performance using the CDR[®] plus NACC FTLD SB score. For data that was not normally distributed, Spearman's rank correlation (rho) was used instead.

To assess the impact of phenotype in the symptomatic groups, participants were grouped into bvFTD, PPA and FTD-ALS. Other phenotypes were not included in the analysis due to their low numbers. A linear regression was performed and included age, sex, and education as covariates in the model. For data that was not normally distributed, the model was bootstrapped (2000 replications).

Structural brain imaging analysis

An automated atlas segmentation propagation and label fusion strategy – (Geodesic Information Flow: GIF) (Cardoso et al., 2015) was used on the T1-weighted volumetric MRI scans to generate brain volumes of regions of interest known to be involved in executive

function (Carlson et al., 2013; Cash et al., 2018): the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (VMPFC), the parietal lobe and the striatum. All the individual regional volumes were expressed as a percentage of total intracranial volume, as computed with SPM12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, Welcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) running under Matlab R2014b (Mathworks, USA: Malone et al., 2015). Using RStudio (version 1.2.1335, 2009-2019), partial correlations were performed to investigate the association between the brain regions and score on each of the executive function tasks, whilst taking into consideration disease severity as measured using the CDR[®] plus NACC FTLD SB score, as well as the age of the participant.

Results

Healthy controls

Age

Increasing age correlated with worse performance on the DSST (r = -0.4, p<0.001), D-KEFS: Color (Rho = 0.3, p<0.001); D-KEFS: Word (Rho = 0.1, p=0.049); D-KEFS: Ink (Rho = 0.3, p<0.001), TMT A (Rho = 0.4, p<0.001) and TMT B (Rho = 0.3, p<0.001) but not the DSB. Performance across each decade can be found in Table S1.

Sex

There was a significant effect of sex on the DSST (T = 3.9, p<0.001, with females scoring higher) and TMT B (U = -2.1, p=0.035, with females performing quicker). No other differences were found between males and females on any of the other tasks. (Table S2).

Education

Across all of the tasks, there was a significant influence of education on task performance in the control group, with higher levels of education associated with better task performance (DSST: r = 0.4, p<0.001; DSB: r = 0.3, p<0.001; D-KEFS: Color: Rho = -0.2, p=0.004, D-KEFS: Word: Rho = -0.2, p=0.004; D-KEFS: Ink: Rho = -0.2, p<0.001; TMT A: Rho = -0.1, p=0.028; TMT B: Rho = -0.3, p< 0.001).

Language

Only the D-KEFS: Word and Ink tasks saw an overall influence of language on performance (D-KEFS: Word: $Chi^2(7) = 20.2$, p=0.005; D-KEFS: Ink: $Chi^2(7) = 15.4$, p=0.030, r² = 0.056) (Table S3).

Percentile scores

Normative percentile scores were calculated on each of the tasks using the control data (Table S). A score below 38 on the DSST, below 3 on the DSB task would be considered abnormal (<5th percentile). If it took more than 40 seconds, 31 seconds, and 71 seconds to complete the D-KEFS: Color, Word and Ink tasks respectively, and more than 48 seconds and 125 seconds for the TMT A and B tasks respectively, the participant's performance would also be considered abnormal (<5th percentile).

Mutation carriers

Group comparisons

The means and standard deviations for the scores on the executive function tasks in each of the mutation carrier groups can be found in

Table and Figure 1. The differences between the groups are shown in Tables S5 to 11 and Figure S.

When compared to controls, all three symptomatic mutation carrier groups were significantly impaired on all executive function tasks compared to controls, as well as when compared to their asymptomatic and prodromal genetic groups, except for on the DSB task where no differences were seen between the different *MAPT* groups.

The *C9orf*72 prodromal group were significantly impaired in comparison to controls on the D-KEFS: Color and Ink tasks and the TMT B with a trend towards a poorer performance on the DSST (p=0.066) and TMT A (p=0.099). No differences were seen between the prodromal *GRN* or *MAPT* groups and controls on any of the tasks.

The *C9orf72* asymptomatic group was significantly impaired compared to controls on all tasks except for the DSB and D-KEFS: Word tasks. No differences were seen between the asymptomatic *GRN* or *MAPT* groups and controls on any of the tasks.

When comparing between the genetic groups at each disease severity stage: for symptomatic mutation carriers, the *C9orf72* group performed significantly worse than the *MAPT* group on the DSB, the DSST, and the D-KEFS: Color and Ink tasks whilst the *GRN* symptomatic group performed worse than the *MAPT* symptomatic carriers on the DSB, DSST, and TMT A tasks; for prodromal mutation carriers, the *C9orf72* group performed significantly worse than the *MAPT* group on the D-KEFS: Ink task; for asymptomatic mutation carriers the *C9orf72* group performed significantly worse than the other two groups on the DSST, D-KEFS: Ink and TMT B tasks as well as worse than the *GRN* group on the D-KEFS: Color and TMT A tasks.

Correlation with disease severity

All tasks significantly correlated with disease severity as measured using the CDR[®] plus NACC FTLD - SB score in each of the genetic groups (Table 1).

Phenotypic analysis

When compared to controls, all phenotypic groups were significantly impaired on all tasks of executive function (all p<0.001 except for the FTD-ALS group on the TMT A where p=0.016). The PPA group scored significantly worse on the DSB task than the bvFTD group (adjusted mean difference (AMD) = -1.5, p=0.011) and FTD-ALS groups (AMD = -1.5, p=0.012), and significantly worse than the bvFTD group on the TMT B (bvFTD: AMD = 56.2, p=0.011).

Imaging analysis (Table S12)

For *C9orf72* expansion carriers, correlations between task performance and regional brain volumes were seen with the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DSST, DSB and D-KEFS: Ink) and parietal cortex (DSST and TMT B), and also with the striatum on the DSST. For *GRN* mutation carriers, fewer significant correlations were seen: right orbitofrontal cortex with TMTB, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex with D-KEFS: Color and Ink tasks. For *MAPT* mutation carriers, significant correlations were seen mainly with the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (D-KEFS:

Color and Ink and TMT B), and the striatum (DSST and D-KEFS: Color) as well as the left orbitfrontal cortex with D-KEFS: Ink).

Discussion

In this study, the executive function abilities of a large cohort of individuals with genetic FTD were comprehensively assessed. It demonstrates that executive dysfunction is present in both individuals who are symptomatic of familial FTD across all three genetic groups, as well as in those individuals with a *C9orf72* expansion who are asymptomatic and prodromal. Neither the *GRN* nor the *MAPT* asymptomatic or prodromal mutation carriers showed significant differences on the executive function tasks compared to the control group suggesting that executive function changes occur later in the disorder than in *C9orf72*-associated FTD.

The control group data indicates that of all the demographic covariates, age and education were most associated with executive function score. This was to be expected as many studies have found that older age and lower education leads to greater impairment on many of the executive function tasks (Choi et al., 2014; Gaertner et al., 2018; Mathuranath et al., 2003; Tombaugh, 2004; Van der Elst et al., 2006). Only two tasks showed an effect of sex on score which is supported by previous work, with females performing better on the DSST, whilst males achieved better scores on the TMT B task (Kertzman et al., 2006; Majeres, 1983; Scheuringer et al., 2017). Finally, language did also have an influence on task performance, affecting those tests that had an element of language to them: the D-KEFS: Word and Ink tasks. This may well be due to the slight variation in the length of words in the different languages used in the study, which may take longer to pronounce. Further to note, is the differences in sample sizes across the control groups which may also have influenced the findings in this study.

As expected, and in line with the previous literature, the symptomatic individuals displayed executive dysfunction irrespective of genetic group (Cheran et al., 2019; Hallam et al., 2014; Jiskoot et al., 2018; Lulé et al., 2020; Poos et al., 2020; Staffaroni, Bajorek, et al., 2020). This was the case when they were compared to both the control group and their asymptomatic and

prodromal counterparts. The only exception to this, was on the DSB task where the symptomatic *MAPT* mutation carriers were not significantly impaired.

The asymptomatic and prodromal *C9orf72* groups displayed early executive dysfunction in tasks assessing inhibition, set switching/cognitive flexibility, processing speed and general cognitive function, whilst working memory abilities were less affected early on. This is in line with a recent study that produced a cognitive composite for each of the genetic mutations that displayed widespread cognitive dysfunction in *C9orf72* mutation carriers, including deficits in executive function (Poos et al., 2022). Although two of the tasks in the prodromal group did not show a significant difference compared with controls despite this being seen in the asymptomatic group, it is likely that this was due to the smaller sample size in the prodromal group and thus, was not sufficiently powered to detect a deficit.

Neither the *GRN* nor the *MAPT* asymptomatic or prodromal carriers displayed any early executive dysfunction when compared to the controls. For the *GRN* mutation carriers, this is consistent with previous work that has demonstrated a rapid decline in symptoms in GRN mutation carriers during the first year of diagnosis compared to C9orf72 and MAPT mutation carriers (Poos et al., 2020), with a rapid increase in atrophy rates after symptom onset (Staffaroni, Goh, et al., 2020), and the highest NfL levels of the three genetic groups in the symptomatic period, despite showing no difference to controls in the asymptomatic or prodromal period (Rojas et al., 2021). So, despite the clear deficit in executive function in the symptomatic phase of the disease, this work suggests that it is a symptom present later in the disease course and thus, may not be a useful marker of disease progression in primary or secondary prevention clinical trials. In contrast, it is likely that executive function is less affected in MAPT mutation carriers. Overall, the performance on the executive function tasks by the *MAPT* symptomatic mutation carriers was better than those of the other symptomatic genetic groups, with no significant difference being seen between the MAPT symptomatic group and the controls on the DSB task. The atrophy pattern in *MAPT* mutation carriers is much more localised with significant atrophy in the hippocampus, amygdala, and the temporal lobes (Bocchetta et al., 2021; Cash et al., 2018). These are regions usually associated

with language and memory abilities of which impairments are present early on in *MAPT* mutation carriers (Moore et al., 2020; Pickering-Brown et al., 2008; Poos et al., 2022).

It was expected that individuals with a bvFTD diagnosis would perform worse than all other phenotypes on these executive tasks because it is a key diagnostic feature (Rascovsky et al., 2011) and there has been much evidence to support this in the sporadic literature (M. Harciarek & S. Cosentino, 2013; Huey et al., 2009; Leslie et al., 2016; Moheb et al., 2017; Possin et al., 2013; Ramanan et al., 2017; Staffaroni et al., 2021; Tartaglia et al., 2012; van den Berg et al., 2017). However, we find here that this was not the case on all tasks of executive function. Performance on the TMT B task was worst in those with a PPA diagnosis compared to those individuals with bvFTD, and performance on the DSB task was also worse in those with PPA compared to those with bvFTD or an ALS diagnosis. It is possible that this is because the DSB and TMT B tasks require some aspect of language function to be able to complete the test and thus, are not solely executive function tasks. The DSB task requires participants to access their lexicon to generate and produce number words, which is a particular problem if the individual is non-fluent. Furthermore, the TMT B task requires a knowledge of the alphabet. The human visual word store area or "letterbox" is found within the temporal lobe (Dehaene, 2013), a key region involved in PPA (Ruksenaite et al., 2021). It is therefore likely that executive function is not necessarily more impaired in PPA than it is in bvFTD and ALS, but rather the tasks are not solely executive tasks and so they are performing poorly on them due to the underlying language requirements. Despite this, all three phenotypes (bvFTD, PPA and ALS) displayed executive dysfunction when compared to controls which was expected and in line with prior work (Bettcher & Sturm, 2014; Michał Harciarek & Stephanie Cosentino, 2013; Taylor et al., 2013).

When looking at the mutation carriers as a whole, a clear decline in executive function is seen on all tasks for each genetic group as the disease progresses (when disease severity is (measured using the CDR plus the NACC-FTLD - SB score). This is consistent with previous work that demonstrates that function declines with disease severity in FTD (Nelson et al., 2021; Peakman et al., 2022).

The region of interest analysis revealed that in the C9orf72 mutation carriers executive function score was associated with atrophy in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex as well as the parietal lobe mainly. This is consistent with previous literature showing key involvement of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in executive function abilities (Dubreuil-Vall et al., 2019; Miller & Cohen, 2001; Ptak & Schnider, 2004), a region that is part of a wider fronto-parietal executive function network (Allen et al., 2011; Hartung et al., 2021; Hausman et al., 2022; Talwar et al., 2020). The DSST was associated with atrophy in multiple regions, likely due to the fact it assesses multiple cognitive processes including processing speed, working memory and reasoning (Rypma et al., 2006). In contrast to the C9orf72 neural correlates, there were fewer correlates with the GRN mutation groups but consistent with involvement of the frontal lobe there were some associations with both the orbitofrontal and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices. Finally, when looking at the neural correlates of the MAPT mutation carriers, similar to the *GRN* mutation carriers, there is involvement of some frontal regions on a few of the tasks: orbitofrontal cortex on the D-KEFS: Ink task and the DLPFC on the TMT B and the D-KEFS: Color and Ink tasks. Striatal atrophy was also correlated with scores on the DSST and the D-KEFS: Color tasks, a region highly connected to the frontal lobe and well known to be associated with executive dysfunction when impaired (Faber et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2020).

Limitations to the study include the relatively small number of individuals investigated when breaking down the cohort into smaller groups. Further work is required to increase the sample size ensuring greater power and confidence in the results. A second limitation is the paucity of language-specific tasks available in the GENFI cognitive battery – future studies would examine the association of executive and language function across the different phenotypes, allowing us to break down the PPA group into the individual subgroups and understand further how language may be impacting performance, especially in the *GRN* mutation carriers.

To conclude, this study comprehensively assessed executive function abilities in a large cohort of individuals with genetic forms of FTD. It is clear that individuals with *C9orf*72 mutations have difficulties with executive function from a very early stage in the disease and this continues to deteriorate with disease severity. In contrast, executive dysfunction occurs in the

later stages of the disease in *GRN* and *MAPT* mutation carriers. Whilst it is assumed that executive dysfunction is a core feature of FTD, it appears that not all tasks measuring executive function do so equally across the genetic groups and so great care and consideration should be given when thinking about what tasks should be included as outcome measures in upcoming clinical trials based on the target genetic group and stage of the individuals being recruited.

Acknowledgements

We thank the research participants for their contribution to the study. The Dementia Research Centre is supported by Alzheimer's Research UK, Alzheimer's Society, Brain Research UK, and The Wolfson Foundation. This work was supported by the NIHR UCL/H Biomedical Research Centre, the Leonard Wolfson Experimental Neurology Centre (LWENC) Clinical Research Facility, and the UK Dementia Research Institute, which receives its funding from UK DRI Ltd, funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK. JDR is supported by the Miriam Marks Brain Research UK Senior Fellowship and has received funding from an MRC Clinician Scientist Fellowship (MR/M008525/1) and the NIHR Rare Disease Translational Research Collaboration (BRC149/NS/MH). This work was also supported by the MRC UK GENFI grant (MR/M023664/1), the Bluefield Project and the JPND GENFI-PROX grant (2019-02248). Several authors of this publication (JCvS, MS, RSV, AD, MO, RV, JDR) are members of the European Reference Network for Rare Neurological Diseases - Project ID No 739510. MB is supported by a Fellowship award from the Alzheimer's Society, UK (AS-JF-19a-004-517). MB is also supported by the UK Dementia Research Institute which receives its funding from DRI Ltd, funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK. RC/CG are supported by a Frontotemporal Dementia Research Studentships in memory of David Blechner funded through The National Brain Appeal (RCN 290173). JBR is supported by the Wellcome Trust (103838), the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00030/14; MR/T033371/1) and the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203312). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The GIF template database includes volumetric MRI scans from the University College London Genetic FTD Initiative (GENFI) study (www.genfi.org.uk) which is funded by the Medical Research Council UK GENFI grant (MR/M023664/1). The GIF template database includes volumetric MRI scans from the University College London Genetic FTD Initiative (GENFI) study (www.genfi.org.uk) which is funded by the Medical Research Council UK GENFI grant (MR/M023664/1).

References

- Allen, M. D., Owens, T. E., Fong, A. K., & Richards, D. R. (2011). A functional neuroimaging analysis of the Trail Making Test-B: implications for clinical application. *Behavioural Neurology*, 24(2), 159-171.
- Baggetta, P., & Alexander, P. A. (2016). Conceptualization and Operationalization of Executive Function. *Mind, Brain, and Education, 10*(1), 10-33.
- Baker, M., Mackenzie, I. R., Pickering-Brown, S. M., Gass, J., Rademakers, R., Lindholm, C., Snowden, J., Adamson, J., Sadovnick, A. D., & Rollinson, S. (2006). Mutations in progranulin cause tau-negative frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17. *Nature*, 442(7105), 916.
- Benussi, A., Alberici, A., Samra, K., Russell, L. L., Greaves, C. V., Bocchetta, M., Ducharme, S., Finger, E., Fumagalli, G., & Galimberti, D. (2021). Conceptual framework for the definition of preclinical and prodromal frontotemporal dementia. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*.
- Best, J. R., & Miller, P. H. (2010). A Developmental Perspective on Executive Function. *Child Dev*, 81(6), 1641-1660.
- Bettcher, B. M., & Sturm, V. E. (2014). Neuropsychological assessment of primary progressive aphasia (PPA). *Perspectives on Neurophysiology and Neurogenic Speech and Language Disorders*, 24(4), 128-136.
- Bocchetta, M., Todd, E. G., Peakman, G., Cash, D. M., Convery, R. S., Russell, L. L., Thomas, D. L., Iglesias, J. E., van Swieten, J. C., & Jiskoot, L. C. (2021). Differential early subcortical involvement in genetic FTD within the GENFI cohort. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *30*, 102646.
- Bouzigues, A., Russell, L. L., Peakman, G., Convery, R. S., Greaves, C. V., Bocchetta, M., Todd, E. G., Cash, D. M., Rohrer, J. D., & Initiative, G. F. d. (2021). The Boston Naming Test identifies presymptomatic anomia in MAPT mutation carriers. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 17, e052284.
- Carlson, S. M., Zelazo, P. D., & Faja, S. (2013). Executive function.
- Cash, D. M., Bocchetta, M., Thomas, D. L., Dick, K. M., van Swieten, J. C., Borroni, B., Galimberti, D., Masellis, M., Tartaglia, M. C., & Rowe, J. B. (2018). Patterns of gray matter atrophy in genetic frontotemporal dementia: results from the GENFI study. *Neurobiology of aging*, 62, 191-196.
- Cheran, G., Wu, L., Lee, S., Manoochehri, M., Cines, S., Fallon, E., Lynch, T., Heidebrink, J., Paulson, H., Goldman, J., Huey, E., & Cosentino, S. (2019). Cognitive Indicators of Preclinical Behavioral Variant Frontotemporal Dementia in MAPT Carriers. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 25(2), 184-194.
- Choi, H. J., Lee, D. Y., Seo, E. H., Jo, M. K., Sohn, B. K., Choe, Y. M., Byun, M. S., Kim, J. W., Kim, S. G., & Yoon, J. C. (2014). A normative study of the digit span in an educationally diverse elderly population. *Psychiatry investigation*, 11(1), 39.

- Chow, T. W., Miller, B. L., Hayashi, V. N., & Geschwind, D. H. (1999). Inheritance of frontotemporal dementia. *Archives of Neurology*, 56(7), 817-822.
- Cruts, M., Gijselinck, I., Van Der Zee, J., Engelborghs, S., Wils, H., Pirici, D., Rademakers, R., Vandenberghe, R., Dermaut, B., & Martin, J.-J. (2006). Null mutations in progranulin cause ubiquitin-positive frontotemporal dementia linked to chromosome 17q21. *Nature*, 442(7105), 920.
- Dehaene, S. (2013). Inside the letterbox: how literacy transforms the human brain. Cerebrum: the Dana forum on brain science,
- Delis, D. C., Kaplan, E., & Kramer, J. H. (2001). Delis-Kaplan executive function system.
- Dubreuil-Vall, L., Chau, P., Ruffini, G., Widge, A. S., & Camprodon, J. A. (2019). tDCS to the left DLPFC modulates cognitive and physiological correlates of executive function in a state-dependent manner. *Brain Stimulation*, 12(6), 1456-1463. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2019.06.006</u>
- Faber, J., Wilde, E. A., Hanten, G., Ewing-Cobbs, L., Aitken, M. E., Yallampalli, R., MacLeod, M. C., Mullins, S. H., Chu, Z. D., Li, X., Hunter, J. V., Noble-Haeusslein, L., & Levin, H. S. (2016). Ten-year outcome of early childhood traumatic brain injury: Diffusion tensor imaging of the ventral striatum in relation to executive functioning. *Brain Injury*, 30(13-14), 1635-1641.
- Fornito, A., Yücel, M., Wood, S., Stuart, G. W., Buchanan, J.-A., Proffitt, T., Anderson, V., Velakoulis, D., & Pantelis, C. (2004). Individual Differences in Anterior Cingulate/Paracingulate Morphology Are Related to Executive Functions in Healthy Males. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14(4), 424-431.
- Gaertner, B., Wagner, M., Luck, T., Buttery, A. K., Fuchs, J., & Busch, M. A. (2018). Normative data for the Digit Symbol Substitution Test in a population-based sample aged 65–79 years: Results from the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Adults (DEGS1). *Clin Neuropsychol*, 32(sup1), 114-132.
- Gilbert, S. J., & Burgess, P. W. (2008). Executive function. Current Biology, 18(3), R110-R114.
- Gorno-Tempini, M., Hillis, A., Weintraub, S., Kertesz, A., Mendez, M., Cappa, S. e., emsp14, al, Ogar, J., Rohrer, J., Black, S., & Boeve, B. F. (2011). Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*, *76*(11), 1006-1014.
- Greaves, C. V., & Rohrer, J. D. (2019). An update on genetic frontotemporal dementia [journal article]. *J Neurol*, 266(8), 2075-2086.
- Hallam, B. J., Jacova, C., Hsiung, G. Y., Wittenberg, D., Sengdy, P., Bouchard-Kerr, P., Slack, P., Rademakers, R., Baker, M., Chow, T. W., Levine, B., Feldman, H. H., & Mackenzie, I. R. (2014). Early neuropsychological characteristics of progranulin mutation carriers. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 20(7), 694-703.
- Harciarek, M., & Cosentino, S. (2013). Language, executive function and social cognition in the diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia syndromes. *Int Rev Psychiatry*, 25(2), 178-196.

- Harciarek, M., & Cosentino, S. (2013). Language, executive function and social cognition in the diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia syndromes. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 25(2), 178-196.
- Hartung, S. L., Mandonnet, E., de Witt Hamer, P., Klein, M., Wager, M., Rech, F., Pallud, J., Pessanha Viegas, C., Ille, S., & Krieg, S. M. (2021). Impaired set-shifting from dorsal stream disconnection: insights from a european series of right parietal lower-grade glioma resection. *Cancers*, 13(13), 3337.
- Hausman, H. K., Hardcastle, C., Albizu, A., Kraft, J. N., Evangelista, N. D., Boutzoukas, E. M., Langer, K., O'Shea, A., Van Etten, E. J., & Bharadwaj, P. K. (2022). Cingulo-opercular and frontoparietal control network connectivity and executive functioning in older adults. *GeroScience*, 44(2), 847-866.
- Huey, E. D., Goveia, E. N., Paviol, S., Pardini, M., Krueger, F., Zamboni, G., Tierney, M. C., Wassermann, E. M., & Grafman, J. (2009). Executive dysfunction in frontotemporal dementia and corticobasal syndrome. *Neurology*, 72(5), 453-459.
- Hutton, M., Lendon, C. L., Rizzu, P., Baker, M., Froelich, S., Houlden, H., Pickering-Brown, S., Chakraverty, S., Isaacs, A., & Grover, A. (1998). Association of missense and 5'-splice-site mutations in tau with the inherited dementia FTDP-17. *Nature*, 393(6686), 702.
- Jiskoot, L. C., Panman, J. L., van Asseldonk, L., Franzen, S., Meeter, L. H., Kaat, L. D., van der Ende,
 E. L., Dopper, E. G., Timman, R., & van Minkelen, R. (2018). Longitudinal cognitive biomarkers
 predicting symptom onset in presymptomatic frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol*, 1-12.
- Kertzman, S., Ben-Nahum, Z., Gotzlav, I., Grinspan, H., Birger, M., & Kotler, M. (2006). Digit symbol substitution test performance: Sex differences in a Hebrew-readers' health population. *Percept Mot Skills*, 103(1), 121-130.
- Kramer, J. H., Jurik, J., Sha, S. J., Rankin, K. P., Rosen, H. J., Johnson, J. K., & Miller, B. L. (2003). Distinctive neuropsychological patterns in frontotemporal dementia, semantic dementia, and Alzheimer disease. *Cogn Behav Neurol*, 16(4), 211-218.
- Leslie, F. V., Foxe, D., Daveson, N., Flannagan, E., Hodges, J. R., & Piguet, O. (2016). FRONTIER Executive Screen: a brief executive battery to differentiate frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 87(8), 831-835.
- Lulé, D. E., Müller, H. P., Finsel, J., Weydt, P., Knehr, A., Winroth, I., Andersen, P., Weishaupt, J., Uttner, I., Kassubek, J., & Ludolph, A. C. (2020). Deficits in verbal fluency in presymptomatic C9orf72 mutation gene carriers-a developmental disorder. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 91(11), 1195-1200.
- Majeres, R. L. (1983). Sex differences in symbol-digit substitution and speeded matching. *Intelligence*, 7(4), 313-327.

- Manolio, T. A., & Collins, R. (2010). Enhancing the Feasibility of Large Cohort Studies. JAMA, 304(20), 2290-2291.
- Mathuranath, P., George, A., Cherian, P., Alexander, A. I., Sarma, S., & Sarma, P. (2003). Effects of age, education and gender on verbal fluency. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 25(8), 1057-1064.
- Matías-Guiu, J. A., Cabrera-Martín, M. N., Valles-Salgado, M., Rognoni, T., Galán, L., Moreno-Ramos, T., Carreras, J. L., & Matías-Guiu, J. (2019). Inhibition impairment in frontotemporal dementia, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and Alzheimer's disease: clinical assessment and metabolic correlates. *Brain Imaging Behav*, 13(3), 651-659.
- Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An Integrative Theory of Prefrontal Cortex Function. *Annual review of neuroscience*, 24(1), 167-202.
- Moheb, N., Mendez, M. F., Kremen, S. A., & Teng, E. (2017). Executive Dysfunction and Behavioral Symptoms Are Associated with Deficits in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living in Frontotemporal Dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 43(1-2), 89-99.
- Moore, K., Convery, R., Bocchetta, M., Neason, M., Cash, D. M., Greaves, C., Russell, L. L., Clarke, M. T., Peakman, G., & van Swieten, J. (2020). A modified Camel and Cactus Test detects presymptomatic semantic impairment in genetic frontotemporal dementia within the GENFI cohort. *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, 1-8.
- Moriguchi, Y. (2014). The early development of executive function and its relation to social interaction: a brief review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *5*, 388.
- Nelson, A., Russell, L. L., Peakman, G., Greaves, C. V., Convery, R. S., Rohrer, J. D., & Consortium, G. (2021). The Cambridge Behavioural Inventory (revised) detects early behavioural and functional impairment in genetic frontotemporal dementia within the GENFI cohort. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 17, e051302.
- Peakman, G., Russell, L. L., Convery, R. S., Nicholas, J. M., Van Swieten, J. C., Jiskoot, L. C., Moreno, F., Sanchez-Valle, R., Laforce, R., & Graff, C. (2022). Comparison of clinical rating scales in genetic frontotemporal dementia within the GENFI cohort. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 93(2), 158-168.
- Pickering-Brown, S. M., Rollinson, S., Du Plessis, D., Morrison, K. E., Varma, A., Richardson, A. M., Neary, D., Snowden, J. S., & Mann, D. M. (2008). Frequency and clinical characteristics of progranulin mutation carriers in the Manchester frontotemporal lobar degeneration cohort: comparison with patients with MAPT and no known mutations. *Brain*, 131(3), 721-731.
- Poos, J. M., Jiskoot, L. C., Leijdesdorff, S. M. J., Seelaar, H., Panman, J. L., van der Ende, E. L., Mol, M. O., Meeter, L. H. H., Pijnenburg, Y. A. L., Donker Kaat, L., de Jong, F. J., van Swieten, J. C.,

Papma, J. M., & van den Berg, E. (2020). Cognitive profiles discriminate between genetic variants of behavioral frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol*, 267(6), 1603-1612.

- Poos, J. M., Moore, K. M., Nicholas, J., Russell, L. L., Peakman, G., Convery, R. S., Jiskoot, L. C., van der Ende, E., van den Berg, E., Papma, J. M., Seelaar, H., Pijnenburg, Y. A. L., Moreno, F., Sanchez-Valle, R., Borroni, B., Laforce, R., Masellis, M., Tartaglia, C., Graff, C., . . . on behalf of the Genetic, F. T. D. I. (2022). Cognitive composites for genetic frontotemporal dementia: GENFI-Cog. *Alzheimer's Research & Therapy*, 14(1), 10.
- Possin, K. L., Feigenbaum, D., Rankin, K. P., Smith, G. E., Boxer, A. L., Wood, K., Hanna, S. M., Miller, B. L., & Kramer, J. H. (2013). Dissociable executive functions in behavioral variant frontotemporal and Alzheimer dementias. *Neurology*, *80*(24), 2180-2185.
- Ptak, R., & Schnider, A. (2004). Disorganised Memory after Right Dorsolateral Prefrontal Damage. *Neurocase*, 10(1), 52-59.
- Raczka, K. A., Becker, G., Seese, A., Frisch, S., Heiner, S., Marschhauser, A., Barthel, H., Scheid, R., Sabri, O., & Schroeter, M. L. (2010). Executive and behavioral deficits share common neural substrates in frontotemporal lobar degeneration a pilot FDG-PET study. *Psychiatry Res*, 182(3), 274-280.
- Ramanan, S., Bertoux, M., Flanagan, E., Irish, M., Piguet, O., Hodges, J. R., & Hornberger, M. (2017). Longitudinal Executive Function and Episodic Memory Profiles in Behavioral-Variant Frontotemporal Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 23(1), 34-43.
- Rao, S. P., Nandi, R., Dutt, A., Kapur, N., Harris, J. M., Thompson, J. C., & Snowden, J. S. (2021).
 Distinct performance profiles on the Brixton test in frontotemporal dementia. *J Neuropsychol*, 15(2), 162-185.
- Rascovsky, K., Hodges, J. R., Knopman, D., Mendez, M. F., Kramer, J. H., Neuhaus, J., van Swieten, J. C., Seelaar, H., Dopper, E. G., & Onyike, C. U. (2011). Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*, 134(9), 2456-2477.
- Renton, A. E., Majounie, E., Waite, A., Simón-Sánchez, J., Rollinson, S., Gibbs, J. R., Schymick, J. C., Laaksovirta, H., Van Swieten, J. C., & Myllykangas, L. (2011). A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. *Neuron*, 72(2), 257-268.
- Rohrer, J., Guerreiro, R., Vandrovcova, J., Uphill, J., Reiman, D., Beck, J., Isaacs, A., Authier, A., Ferrari, R., & Fox, N. (2009). The heritability and genetics of frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neurology*, 73(18), 1451-1456.
- Rohrer, J. D., Nicholas, J. M., Cash, D. M., van Swieten, J., Dopper, E., Jiskoot, L., van Minkelen, R., Rombouts, S. A., Cardoso, M. J., & Clegg, S. (2015). Presymptomatic cognitive and neuroanatomical

changes in genetic frontotemporal dementia in the Genetic Frontotemporal dementia Initiative (GENFI) study: a cross-sectional analysis. *The Lancet Neurology*, *14*(3), 253-262.

- Rojas, J. C., Wang, P., Staffaroni, A. M., Heller, C., Cobigo, Y., Wolf, A., Goh, S.-Y. M., Ljubenkov, P. A., Heuer, H. W., & Fong, J. C. (2021). Plasma neurofilament light for prediction of disease progression in familial frontotemporal lobar degeneration. *Neurology*, *96*(18), e2296-e2312.
- Ruksenaite, J., Volkmer, A., Jiang, J., Johnson, J., Marshall, C. R., Warren, J. D., & Hardy, C. J. (2021). Primary progressive aphasia: toward a pathophysiological synthesis. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep*, 21(3), 1-12.
- Rypma, B., Berger, J. S., Prabhakaran, V., Bly, B. M., Kimberg, D. Y., Biswal, B. B., & D'Esposito, M. (2006). Neural correlates of cognitive efficiency. *NeuroImage*, 33(3), 969-979.
- Scheuringer, A., Wittig, R., & Pletzer, B. (2017). Sex differences in verbal fluency: The role of strategies and instructions. *Cogn Process*, *18*(4), 407-417.
- Staffaroni, A. M., Asken, B. M., Casaletto, K. B., Fonseca, C., You, M., Rosen, H. J., Boxer, A. L., Elahi, F. M., Kornak, J., Mungas, D., & Kramer, J. H. (2021). Development and validation of the Uniform Data Set (v3.0) executive function composite score (UDS3-EF). *Alzheimers Dement*, 17(4), 574-583.
- Staffaroni, A. M., Bajorek, L., Casaletto, K. B., Cobigo, Y., Goh, S. M., Wolf, A., Heuer, H. W., Elahi, F. M., Ljubenkov, P. A., Dever, R., Kornak, J., Appleby, B., Bove, J., Bordelon, Y., Brannelly, P., Brushaber, D., Caso, C., Coppola, G., Dheel, C., . . . Rosen, H. J. (2020). Assessment of executive function declines in presymptomatic and mildly symptomatic familial frontotemporal dementia: NIH-EXAMINER as a potential clinical trial endpoint. *Alzheimers Dement*, *16*(1), 11-21.
- Staffaroni, A. M., Goh, S.-Y. M., Cobigo, Y., Ong, E., Lee, S. E., Casaletto, K. B., Wolf, A., Forsberg, L. K., Ghoshal, N., Graff-Radford, N. R., Grossman, M., Heuer, H. W., Hsiung, G.-Y. R., Kantarci, K., Knopman, D. S., Kremers, W. K., Mackenzie, I. R., Miller, B. L., Pedraza, O., . . . Consortium, A.-L. L. F. L. D. (2020). Rates of Brain Atrophy Across Disease Stages in Familial Frontotemporal Dementia Associated With MAPT, GRN, and C9orf72 Pathogenic Variants. *JAMA network open*, 3(10), e2022847-e2022847.
- Strong
- Talwar, N., Churchill, N. W., Hird, M. A., Tam, F., Graham, S. J., & Schweizer, T. A. (2020). Functional magnetic resonance imaging of the trail-making test in older adults. *PloS one*, 15(5), e0232469.
- Tartaglia, M. C., Zhang, Y., Racine, C., Laluz, V., Neuhaus, J., Chao, L., Kramer, J., Rosen, H., Miller, B., & Weiner, M. (2012). Executive dysfunction in frontotemporal dementia is related to abnormalities in frontal white matter tracts. *J Neurol*, 259(6), 1071-1080.

- Taylor, L. J., Brown, R. G., Tsermentseli, S., Al-Chalabi, A., Shaw, C. E., Ellis, C. M., Leigh, P. N., & Goldstein, L. H. (2013). Is language impairment more common than executive dysfunction in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis? *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & amp; Psychiatry*, 84(5), 494-498.
- Tian, F., Diao, W., Yang, X., Wang, X., Roberts, N., Feng, C., & Jia, Z. (2020). Failure of activation of striatum during the performance of executive function tasks in adult patients with bipolar disorder. *Psychological medicine*, 50(4), 653-665.
- Tombaugh, T. N. (2004). Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and education. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, *19*(2), 203-214.
- van den Berg, E., Jiskoot, L. C., Grosveld, M. J. H., van Swieten, J. C., & Papma, J. M. (2017).
 Qualitative Assessment of Verbal Fluency Performance in Frontotemporal Dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 44(1-2), 35-44.
- Van der Elst, W., Van Boxtel, M. P., Van Breukelen, G. J., & Jolles, J. (2006). The Stroop color-word test: influence of age, sex, and education; and normative data for a large sample across the adult age range. *Assessment*, *13*(1), 62-79.
- Warren, J. D., Rohrer, J. D., & Rossor, M. N. (2013). Frontotemporal dementia. BMJ, 347, f4827.
- Wechsler, D. (1981). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale Revised. Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler, D. (1997). Wechsler adult intelligence scale, 3rd edn San Antonio. *TX: The Psychological Corporation.*[Google Scholar].
- Woollacott, I. O., & Rohrer, J. D. (2016). The clinical spectrum of sporadic and familial forms of frontotemporal dementia. *Journal of neurochemistry*, 138, 6-31.
- Young, A. L., Bocchetta, M., Russell, L. L., Convery, R. S., Peakman, G., Todd, E., Cash, D. M., Greaves, C. V., van Swieten, J., & Jiskoot, L. (2021). Characterizing the clinical features and atrophy patterns of MAPT-related frontotemporal dementia with disease progression modeling. *Neurology*, 97(9), e941-e952.

Tables

Table 1: Demographic information and task performance for the participants split by genetic group and CDR[®] plus NACC FTLD global score. Means and standard deviations (in parentheses) are given. Results in bold show significant differences between the mutation carrier groups and controls.

Genetic Group	Со	ntrols	C9orf72					GRN					МАРТ							
CDR [®] with NACC FTLD Global	0		0		0.5		1+		0		0.5		1+		0		0.5		1+	
N	247		110		36		68		129		31		45		48		14		24	
% Male	43		42		39		65		35		48		51		40		29		67	
Age at visit	45.3	(12.9)	44.2	(11.7)	49.3	(11.4)	62.2	(8.8)	45.9	(12.2)	51.8	(13.2)	63.5	(7.9)	39.3	(10.5)	45.7	(12.6)	57.3	(10.2)
Education	14.4	(3.3)	14.3	(3.0)	14.1	(2.5)	13.2	(3.7)	14.7	(3.4)	14.0	(4.0)	11.8	(3.3)	14.4	(3.4)	13.5	(2.4)	13.7	(3.9)
MMSE	29.3	(1.1)	29.2	(1.2)	28.6	(2.0)	23.7	(6.1)	29.5	(0.9)	28.5	(2.4)	21.0	(6.8)	29.5	(0.8)	28.2	(2.3)	23.7	(6.7)
CDR with NACC FTLD - SB	0.0	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	1.2	(0.8)	10.7	(5.5)	0.0	(0.0)	1.0	(0.8)	9.0	(5.5)	0.0	(0.0)	1.1	(0.8)	9.3	(5.5)
DSST (s)	58.5	(13.9)	53.9	(12.6)	52.5	(15.8)	25.8	(13.2)	58.4	(11.9)	50.8	(18.1)	25.6	(14.7)	61.7	(12.3)	56.7	(14.8)	36.0	(15.4)
DSB (n)	6.7	(2.2)	6.7	(2.2)	6.9	(2.6)	3.6	(2.2)	6.6	(2.1)	6.1	(2.5)	3.2	(2.5)	7.0	(2.1)	6.0	(2.0)	5.9	(2.8)
D-KEFS: Color (s)	28.6	(5.9)	31.5	(8.3)	33.3	(10.3)	60.9	(23.8)	29.1	(6.3)	31.7	(8.1)	55.3	(26.9)	28.0	(7.7)	31.5	(8.6)	48.8	(19.1)
D-KEFS: Word (s)	22.7	(5.6)	24.3	(7.9)	25.2	(7.2)	38.8	(19.4)	22.0	(5.2)	22.3	(6.5)	35.2	(17.0)	22.5	(6.9)	22.3	(5.2)	31.8	(10.7)
D-KEFS: Ink (s)	49.5	(12.1)	59.4	(25.1)	61.6	(17.7)	129.0	(60.8)	50.7	(16.2)	67.8	(48.2)	123.1	(79.3)	48.3	(20.2)	50.4	(13.8)	89.1	(38.0)
TMT A (s)	27.0	(12.3)	30.2	(12.6)	33.0	(18.2)	67.2	(37.8)	28.0	(9.7)	33.1	(24.1)	80.2	(44.3)	24.0	(9.4)	25.8	(8.5)	52.9	(27.8)
TMT B (s)	62.5	(31.4)	75.4	(48.1)	91.9	(68.2)	196.2	(88.8)	61.9	(24.0)	89.4	(75.5)	224.2	(91.6)	55.9	(22.5)	69.2	(36.3)	167.2	(96.5)

Abbreviations: CDR with NACC FTLD - SB: CDR[®] Dementia Staging Instrument with the National Alzheimer Coordinating Centre Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration component Sum of Boxes score; DSST: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Digit Symbol substitution task; DSB: Weschler Memory Scale-Revised Digit Span Backwards; D-KEFS: Delis Kaplan Executive Function System (Color-Word Inference Test – Color = Color naming, Word = Word naming, Ink = Ink color naming); TMT: Trail Making Test (A = Part A; B = Part B).

Table 1: Correlations of each of the tasks with disease severity as measured using the CDR plus NACC FTLD - SB (for the three mutation carrier groups).

Task	Correlation coefficient	C9	orf72	G	RN	MAPT			
DSST	r	-0.69	<0.001	-0.68	<0.001	-0.62	<0.001		
DSB	r	-0.60	<0.001	-0.50	<0.001	-0.30	<0.001		
D-KEFS: Color	Rho	0.60	<0.001	0.50	<0.001	0.50	<0.001		
D-KEFS: Word	Rho	0.50	<0.001	0.30	<0.001	0.40	0.001		
D-KEFS: Ink	Rho	0.60	<0.001	0.50	<0.001	0.50	0.005		
TMT A	Rho	0.60	<0.001	0.50	<0.001	0.60	<0.001		
TMT B	Rho	0.60	<0.001	0.50	<0.001	0.60	<0.001		

Abbreviations: CDR with NACC FTLD - SB: CDR[®] Dementia Staging Instrument with the National Alzheimer Coordinating Centre Frontotemporal Lobar Degeneration component Sum of Boxes score; DSST: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Digit Symbol substitution task; DSB: Weschler Memory Scale-Revised Digit Span Backwards; D-KEFS: Delis Kaplan Executive Function System (Color-Word Inference Test – Color = Color naming, Word = Word naming, Ink = Ink color naming); TMT: Trail Making Test (A = Part A; B = Part B). The DSST and DSB were normally distributed and so Pearson's correlations were carried out. For the remainder, Spearman's rank correlations were performed.

Figures

Figure 1: Performance of the mutation carrier groups on each of the executive function tasks expressed as a z-score to allow comparison across tasks. Abbreviations: DSST: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Digit Symbol substitution task; DSB: Weschler Memory Scale-Revised Digit Span Backwards; D-KEFS: Delis Kaplan Executive Function System (Color-Word Inference Test – Color = Color naming, Word = Word naming, Ink = Ink color naming); TMT: Trail Making Test (A = Part A; B = Part B).

