1 Stenotrophomonas muris - First discovered as an urgent human

2 pathogen with strong virulence associated with bloodstream infections

- Jiaying Liu¹, Xu Dong¹, Yuyun Yu¹, Tiantian Wu¹, Yanghui Xiang¹, Xin Yuan¹, Dan
- 4 Cao¹, Kefan Bi¹, Hanyin Zhang², Lixia Zhu³, and Ying Zhang^{1,4*}
- 5
- ¹State Key Laboratory for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, The First
- 7 Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.
- 8 ²Department of Rheumatology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University
- 9 School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.
- ³Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School
- 11 of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.
- ⁴Jinan Microecological Biomedicine Shandong Laboratory, Jinan, Shandong, China

13

- 14 *Corresponding author:
- 15 Ying Zhang, MD, PhD
- 16 E-mail: <u>yzhang207@zju.edu.cn</u>
- 17

18 Abstract

- 19 For the first time, *Stenotrophomonas muris (S. muris)* has been identified to be associated
- 20 with human infections while studying the virulence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
- 21 (S. maltophilia) clinical isolates. Previously, S. muris was only isolated from the
- 22 intestines of mice but its pathogenic potential for humans has never been reported. In this
- 23 work, the phenotype of *S. muris* virulence, the potential genes that encode higher
- 24 virulence of *S. muris*, and host responses to *S. muris* infection were investigated for the
- 25 first time. It was found that S9 (S. muris no.9, isolated from patient's bloodstream
- 26 infection) was more virulent than both S8 (*S. muris* no.8, isolated from patient's sputum)
- 27 and S1 (*S. maltophilia*), where S8 and S9 were subsequently identified as *S. muris* by
- 28 whole genome sequencing analysis. Candidate genes which may encode higher virulence
- of S9 were identified, including *virB6*, *dcm*, *hlyD*, and 14 other genes involved in porphyrin metabolism, pyrimidine metabolism, DNA methylation, two component
- 31 system, and biofilm formation. Transcriptome analysis of host cells (THP-1 cells)
- 32 infected by S8 and S9 (S9 with strong virulence over S8 with weak virulence) showed
- 33 that 12 candidate genes involved in ion transport function and calcium signaling pathway
- 34 were down-regulated and require special attention. Antibiotic susceptibility testing
- 35 indicated that compared with S. maltophilia, the S. muris strains, though more susceptible
- 36 to minocycline, are highly resistant to last resort antibitoics colistin and polymyxin B and
- are also resistant to cephalosporin and fluoroquinolone. Because of the above differences
- 38 in virulence properties and antibiotic susceptibility, it is critical that *S. muris* be 39 distinguished from *S. maltophilia* in clinical setting for improved care. This work
- 39 distinguished from *S. maltophilia* in clinical setting for improved care. This work
- 40 provides the basis for future studies on pathogenic mechanisms of *S. muris* and for
- 41 developing improved treatment in the future.
- Keywords: *Stenotrophomonas muris*, virulence, virulence genes, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, human pathogens

44 Introduction

- 45 According to the World Health Organization (WHO), infectious diseases rank fourth among the
- top ten causes of death globally [1]. It is well known that pathogenic bacteria are one of the

47 main culprits of infectious diseases. It is estimated that thousands of bacterial species have been 48 discovered on Earth, but only a few hundred can cause human disease. The key difference 49 between the bacteria that can cause disease and those that cannot is that the former can produce 50 virulence factors that harm humans. Virulence factors help bacteria enter the host and form a 51 beneficial niche for the invading bacteria. They can also deactivate the host defence system so 52 the immune response becomes weakened. They also help bacteria to multiply in the host and to 53 spread to other hosts. Although bacterial virulence is either strong or weak, we should not only 54 care about the strong ones but also pay attention to the weak ones; since even if a bacterium has 55 weak virulence, it may be strongly harmful to humans under immunocompromised conditions 56 as in opportunistic pathogens. Opportunistic pathogens are normal bacteria in the host that can 57 usually maintain a good survival balance, but cause infections when the balance is broken. An 58 example of the opportunistic pathogen is Stenotrophomonas maltophilia (S. maltophilia), which 59 is of weak virulence but may cause high mortality rate. Therefore, when studying a newly 60 discovered pathogen, characterizing its virulence should be one of the primary research 61 objectives.

62

63 Unfortunately, bacterial virulence is usually complex because of its high context-dependence.

64 The antibiotic levels, pathogen types and other factors such as the pH and oxidative stress in the

65 host may have impacts on bacterial virulence. For example, in pulmonary infections, P.

66 aeruginosa and COVID-19 may enhance the virulence of S. maltophilia and show cooperative

67 pathogenicity [2]. Biofilm formation can affect bacterial virulence. It has been reported that

68 synergistic multispecies biofilms were formed when several opportunistic pathogens were 69 growing in the same environment [3]. The complexity of the bacterial virulence brings

70 significant challenges for study. The good news is that bacteria virulence is usually encoded in

71 the genes, so the fast-developing genetic and genomics tools can provide great aid in study of

72 bacterial virulence. With the help of bioinformatics and mutant library screens, and comparative

73 genome sequencing of bacterial pathogens and their non-pathogenic counterparts can help

74 identify the virulence factors of a pathogenic bacterium [4].

75

In this work, we discovered that two clinical isolates named S8 and S9, which were initially

76 77 identified as S. maltophilia by standard lab microbial identification by mass spectrometry, had

78 stronger virulence than the S. maltophilia type strain ATCC13637 (named S1). S8 was isolated

79 from a patient sputum sample and S9 was from the bloodstream infection of another patient.

80 The two more virulent isolates were subsequently recognized as *Stenotrophomonas muris* (S.

81 *muris*) [5] by whole genome sequencing (WGS) analysis. Here we report the virulence

82 properties and whole genome sequence analysis of S. muris strains in comparison with S.

83 *maltophilia* as well as unique features of host response to S. *muris* infection.

84 **Results and Discussion**

85 Mass spectrometry and biochemical testing misidentified two S. muris clinical isolates 86 as S. maltophilia

87 In routine clinical microbiology identification of clinical isolates, matrix assisted laser

88 desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) (Vitek MS system,

89 bioMerieux, France), identified strains S8 and S9 as S. maltophilia. Then, identification by

90 biochemical tests using bioMerieux API 20E kit showed the same results (Table 1). According

91 to the database, the probability that S8 and S9 are S. maltophilia is 99.3%.

92 SYTOX Green staining and Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay

93 To determine the cytotoxicity of the isolated strains, the human monocytic leukaemia (THP-1)

94 cells were infected with S8, S9 and S1 for 8 hours (h) (Fig. 1a) and 18h (Fig. 1b), respectively

- 95 followed by staining with SYTOX Green. In both Figs. 1a and 1b, the control groups (CT) had
- 96 lowest staining level as expected. And the ranks of the staining levels for both Figs. 1a and 1b
- 97 are S9>S8>S1>CT, which implies the rank of the virulence is S9>S8>S1. The comparison
- 98 between Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b also implies that longer bacterial infections resulted in higher
- 99 mortality of the THP-1 cells, especially for cells infected with S9.
- 100 LDH release assay to reflect the degree of cell death upon infection of the THP-1 cells by
- bacterial strains can also be used to quantitate bacterial virulence. As shown in Fig. 2, the death
- 102 rates of the THP-1 cells infected by S1, S8 and S9 were $3.24\% \pm 0.88\%$, $14.08\% \pm 5.26\%$ and
- 103 22.93%±7.39%, respectively. The mortality caused by S9 was about 7 times of that caused by
- 104 S1, which means S9 is much more virulent than S1. And the virulence rank would be S9>S8>S1,
- 105 just the same as that obtained from the above SYTOX Green staining.

106 Survival curves of infected G. mellonella larvae

- 107 Survival curves of infected *G. mellonella* larvae can quantitate in vivo bacterial virulence. In
- 108 Fig. 3a, the gross view of the health status of the *G. mellonella* larvae infected by no infection,
- 109 PBS, or S1, S8, and S9 after 7 days is presented. As can be seen, they had no death for
- uninfected or injected with PBS, and 2, 4, 7 dead larvae in S1, S8, and S9 infected groups,
- respectively, resulting in rank of virulence S9>S8>S1. In Fig. 3b, the 7-day survival curves of
- 112 five groups (10 in each group) of *G. mellonella* larvae were plotted. The two control groups
- were *G. mellonella* larvae infected by no bacteria and by PBS. Fig. 3(b) also shows that *G*.
- *mellonella* larvae infected by S9 died more rapidly than that infected by S1, and the 7-day
- survival rates of the *G. mellonella* larvae infected by S1, S8 and S9 were 80%, 60% and 30%,
- 116 respectively, which implies the virulence rank is also S9>S8>S1.

117 Survival curves of mice infected with S. muris and S. maltophilia strains

- 118 Survival curves for mice infected with the *S. muris* and *S. maltophilia* strains were also
- 119 employed because mouse is a more relevant mammalian model. The survival curves of three
- 120 groups (10 in each group) of mice (infected by S1, S8 and S9) are shown in Fig. 4. As can be
- seen in Fig. 4, S9 exhibited a rather strong virulence because all mice in this group rapidly died
- within 2 days. The survival rates of the mice infected by S1, S8, S9 were 10%, 60% and 0%,
- 123 respectively, again indicating that S9 is the most virulent.

124 WGS and average nucleotide identity (ANI)

- WGS showed that the S9 genome is composed of 4,608,528 bp with the Guanine-Cytosine (GC) content 66.8%. The whole genome of S9 contains a chromosome with 4,507,625 bp (66.8% GC) and a plasmid with 91,348 bp (67.7% GC). By analyzing the WGS data via ANI method, it was shown that S8 and S9 were ~99% consistent with *S. muris*, but only ~92% consistent with *S. maltophilia*, which means both S8 and S9 were *S. muris*. Deeper analysis showed that there were 4280 genes in S8 and 4271 genes in S9 (4197 genes in chromosome and 74 genes in plasmid).
- 131

133 In silico analysis of vlirulence factors of S9 in the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) 134 and pathway enrichment analyses

- All S9 genes were compared with the VFDB and 33 S9 genes (with the identity >60%) were
- 136 found in VFDB. The 33 genes are listed in Table S1 in Supplementary Materials. In Table S1,
- 137 S8 genes and S1 genes annotated in VFDB are also listed as the unique genes of S9 are regarded

the candidate genes that may encode higher virulence of S9. S9 genes annotated in VFDB

repeated by S1 or S8 are excluded as the candidate genes in Table S1.

140 We also performed gene enrichment analysis with gene ontology (GO) database and Kyoto

141 Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. The target genes for enrichment were

142 S9-unique genes, which were genes in S9 but not in S8. Since S1 is also less virulent than S9,

these S9-unique genes versus S1 were excluded. There were 280 S9-unique genes, and 19 of

them were in S1. And in the remaining 261 S9-unique genes, 53 (listed in Table S2) were

annotated in GO database and 14 (Table 2) were annotated in KEGG database.

146

147 The 53 GO-annotated S9-unque genes were enriched according to their gene functions (Fig. 5).

148 The functions associated with more genes are regarded to be more likely to encode higher 149 virulence, but only if these gene functions are related to bacterial virulence. As shown in Fig. 5,

149 virulence, but only if these gene functions are related to bacterial virulence. As shown in Fig. 5, 150 the top 10 (ranked by gene numbers) gene functions are cellular metabolic process, primary

151 metabolic process, nitrogen compound metabolic process, organic substance metabolic process,

small molecule binding, hydrolase activity, ion binding, transferase activity, heterocyclic

153 compound binding and organic cyclic compound binding. Among these, hydrolase activity and

ion binding are most likely suspect because some hydrolases such as the esterase are virulencefactors in some bacteria [6-8] and the ion binding function may be associated with efflux pump,

156 involved in drug resistance in bacteria [9,10].

157

158 KEGG enrichment analysis of 14 KEGG-annotated S9-unque genes and their associated

pathways are listed in Table 2. Several pathways including biofilm formation [11,12], ABC

transporters [13], bacterial secretion system [14] and two-component system [15,16] need

special attention because they are associated either with drug resistance or with the bacterial

virulence. In addition, the genes *virB6*, *dcm* and *hlyD* also need special attention because *virB6*

163 is associated with sporty pili and type IV secretion system (virulence factors) of Agrobacterium

164 [17], *dcm* is a DNA cytosine methylase gene that may affect the virulence and drug resistance of

bacteria [18], and *hlyD* participates in secretion of haemolysin (a virulence factor) in

166 *Escherichia coli* [19,20]. The comparison between GO enrichment and KEGG enrichment

shows that the ion binding function and the ABC transporter pathway share the same gene

168 group_2016, and therefore the ten genes associated with the ion binding function should be the

169 candidate genes for future analysis.

170 Host response to S. muris infection by transcriptome analysis

171 THP-1 cells infected by S9 and S8 were subjected to RNA-seq analysis, and their differentially 172 expressed genes (S9-vs-S8, i.e., genes expressed in S9 but not in S8) are shown in Fig. 6. It was 173 found that 40 genes were obviously up-regulated and 13 down-regulated in S9-infected host 174 cells. The GO enrichment analysis of these differentially expressed genes is shown in Fig. 7. 175 The top 10 (ranked by -log₁₀p-value) down-regulated GO terms (gene functions) are 176 monoatomic ion transport, leukotriene signaling pathway, positive regulation of the heart 177 contraction, acetyl-CoA hydrolase activity, calcium: sodium antiporter activity, regulation of 178 cell communication by electrical coupling, adenosine metabolic process, succinyl-CoA 179 catabolic process, lysophosphatidic acid phosphatase activity and XMP 5'-nucleosidase activity 180 and their corresponding genes are SLC26A11, SLC8A1, CYSLTR1, NUDT7, ACP3. As discussed 181 above, the ion binding gene function should draw special attention because it may be associated 182 with higher virulence of S9. Here it is found that monoatomic ion transport in S9-infected THP-183 1 cells is most seriously affected, which echoes the WGS result that the ion binding gene 184 function in S9 could be responsible for higher virulence. The two associated genes of 185 monoatomic ion transport are SLC26A11 and SLC8A1, where SLC26A11 is related to the 186 transport of chloride ion [21,22] and SLC8A1 is related to the transport of calcium and sodium 187 ions [23]. The top 2 up-regulated GO terms are response to hypoxia and cellular response to 188 hypoxia. And *SLC26A11* could be the major chloride entry pathway under hypoxia [22]. Thus, it

189 can be inferred that the infection of S9 may hinder calcium and sodium and the oxygen intake of

190 THP-1 cells. The KEGG enrichment analysis also supports the above findings (see Fig. 8). In

the down-regulated genes, the calcium signaling pathway has the highest enrichment level, a

finding that is consistent with one of the associated gene being *SLC8A1*. In the up-regulated

genes, the HIF-1 signaling pathway is at the highest enrichment level. HIF-1, the hypoxia-

inducible factor 1, is a helix-loop-helix transcription factor which can activate genes involved in

hypoxic homeostasis response proteins [23], which again indicates that infection of S9 may

196 affect the oxygen intake of THP-1 cells.

197 S. muris strains are highly resistant to last resort antibiotics colistin

To determine potential treatment response of the *S. muris* strains, we also determined their antibiotic susceptibility to six commonly used antibiotics including ceftazidime, levofloxacin, colistin, polymyxin B, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, minocyclineand ceftazidime for treatment of *S. maltophilia* infections [24]. The results of the antibiotic susceptibility testing for

S1, S8 and S9 are listed in Table 3. Except for sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (the first-line
 drug for therapy of *S. maltophilia* infections) and minocycline, the MICs of all the remaining

drugs against *S. muris* were much higher than that against *S. maltophilia*, which implies that S.

205 *muris* strains exhibited higher drug resistances and that the treatment of *S. muris* may be more

206 challenging and should be distinguished in the clinic. However, it is of interest to note that both

207 S8 and S9 were quite sensitive to minocycline (MIC<0.06 μ g/ml), and minocycline may be a

208 specific and useful medication for the treatment of *S. muris* infections. Further studies are

209 needed to confirm these findings in the clinic.

210 Conclusion

211 In conclusion, S. muris clinical isolates were initially misidentified as S. maltophilia by routine

212 mass spectrometry but were subsequently correctly identified as S. muris by WGS. The S. muris

strain S9 isolated from the patient's blood has stronger virulence than the pulmonary isolate S8

and *S. maltophilia* type strain, which are assocaited with unique candidate genes that may

215 encode the higher virulence including *virB6*, *dcm*, *hlyD*, and 14 other genes of unknown

216 function. RNA-seq analysis suggests that the highly virulent *S. muris* strain S9 may

217 preferentially hinder the oxygen intake ion transport and calcium signalling of THP-1 cells.

218 Antibiotic susceptibility testing indicated that compared with S. maltophilia, the S. muris strains,

though more susceptible to minocycline, are highly resistant to last resort antibitoics colistin and

220 polymyxin B and are also resistant to cephalosporin and fluroquinolone antibitoics, which may

221 need to take into consideration when treating *S. muris* infections. Because of the above

differences in virulence properties and antibiotic susceptibility, it is critical that S. muris be

distinguished from *S. maltophilia* for clinical surveillance and for improved treatment outcomesin the future.

225 Materials and methods

226 Source of bacterial strains and clinical isolates

227 S1 was *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* type strain (ATCC13637) from ATCC. S8 was isolated

from the sputum of a male patient in his 60s, diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia

accompanied by pulmonary infection. The antibiotic treatment was not effective and the patient

had poor outcome. S9 was isolated from the blood of a male patient in his 20s, diagnosed with

231 septic shock, severe pneumonia, disseminated mucormycosis, and multiple organ failure. S8 and

232 S9 were initially identified as *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* by standard routine MALDI-TOF

233 mass spectrometry.

234 SYTOX Green staining

THP-1 cells were seeded into a 12-well tissue culture plate at the density of 5×10^5 cells per well.

The 12 wells were divided into four groups with 3 wells in each group and Group 1 was the

control group with THP-1 cells infected by no bacteria. In Groups 2-4, the cells were infected

by S1, S8 and S9 with the multiplicity of infection (MOI=1), respectively. All cells were

239 incubated at 37°C for 18 (or 8) hours and then washed by Hanks' buffered salt solution (HBSS).

- 240 Then the washed cells were stained with SYTOX Green (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 30
- 241 minutes. Several images were taken by fluorescence microscope with $10 \times$ magnification for
- each well and the images with the best quality were chosen.

243 LDH release assay

THP-1 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate and each group had 3 replicates. The control
groups (bacterial culture or cells only) were not infected by any bacteria and the three
experimental groups were infected by S1, S8 and S9 (MOI=1:1), respectively. After 24-hour
incubation at 37°C, the reagent used to lyse cells was added to one of the control groups, and
then incubation was continued. After 24 hours, incubation of all groups was stopped. Then
THP-1 cells were centrifuged for 5 minutes and the supernatants were transferred to a new 96-

well plate. Then, the LDH solution was added into each well and incubated at 37°C for 30

251 minutes in the dark. Absorbance at 490nm was measured for each well in a Biotek plate reader.

252 The formula for the mortality calculation was described in the caption of Fig. 2. The LDH assay

253 was performed according to the instruction of the LDH kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, 254 Chine)

254 China).

255 Survival curves of Galleria mellonella

Five groups (20 in each group) of *G. mellonella* larvae with similar health conditions were used. Two groups were the control groups without any bacterial infections, and the other three groups

Two groups were the control groups without any bacterial infections, and the other three groups

were infected by S1, S8 and S9, respectively. Dead or alive states were recorded daily until the scheduled time. The experiments were stopped after 72 hours. Bacteria were prepared as

follows. A single colony was inoculated into Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and incubated at 37°C

200 for 20 hours with shaking. The overnight culture was centrifuged and the pelleted bacteria were

resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at a concentration of 1×10^8 CFU/mL. G.

263 mellonella larvae were infected by injection of 10 μ L of the bacterial suspension (with the final

264 inoculum size 1×10^7 CFU per *G. mellonella* larva). One control group was injected with nothing 265 and the other control group was injected with 10 μ L of PBS.

266 Survival curves of infected mice

267 Female Balb/c mice aged 6~8 weeks were used in this experiment. Bacterial inocula were

268 prepared with similar procedures as that used in the G. mellonella larvae test. Three groups (10

269 in each group) of mice were infected via nasal instillation by 50 μ L of suspensions (final

inoculum size of 1×10^8 CFU per mouse) of S1, S8 and S9, respectively. All mice were fed in

the same environment with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Dead or alive states of infected mice were recorded daily for 7 days.

272 mile were recorded daily for 7 days.

273 Whole genome sequencing (WGS)

AxyPrep bacterial genomic DNA miniprep kit (Axygen Scientific, Union City, CA, USA) was
used to extract DNA of the target bacteria. The Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (paired-end run;
2×150 bp) together with an Oxford Nanopore MinION platform was employed to perform the
whole-genome sequencing. The Assembly and SRA databases of NCBI were utilized to obtain

- the publicly available genome assemblies and short-read data for S1, S8 and S9. Kingfisher
- 279 v7.6.1 (https://github.com/onevcat/Kingfisher) and ncbi-genome-download v0.3.1
- 280 (https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download) were used during the data retrieval. Fastp
- v0.23.2 was used to filter qualities and trim adaptors of sequencing reads. Careful-mode shovill
- v1.1.0 (https://github.com/ tseemann/shovill) was used to assemble trimmed reads and contigs
- which possess less than 200 bp. With the help of Unicycler hybrid assembly pipeline, long-read
- 284 data from Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencing and short-read data from Illumina sequencing
- were synergized to generate the complete genomes of S1, S8 and S9. ANI was utilized to
- identify species of S8 and S9.

287 RNA-seq analysis of infected host cells

288 Six groups of THP-1 cells were prepared. Three groups (S8-1, S8-2 and S8-3) were infected by

289 S8 and three groups (S9-1, S9-2 and S9-3) were infected by S9 (the MOIs were all 1:1 and the

290 infection time was 24 hours). The total RNAs of these six groups of THP-1 cells (after

infections) were extracted using total RNA Trizol kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai,

292 China). Before sequencing, rRNAs were removed from the total RNAs, then the remaining

293 RNAs were converted into cDNAs by reverse transcription. The sequencing method of cDNA

was the same as DNA in WGS.

295 Antibiotic susceptibility testing

296 The standard microdilution method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory

297 concentration (MIC). S. maltophilia ATCC13637 (S1), and S. muris S8, S9 were cultured in LB

broth with shaking overnight at 37°C. The bacterial suspension was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland

and then diluted 1:100 in CAMHB medium. Then 100 μ L diluted culture was transferred to 96-

300 well microtiter plates and mixed with serial two-fold dilutions of ceftazidime, levofloxacin,

301 colistinsulfate, polymyxin B, minocycline and sulfamethoxazole/ trimethoprim in

302 concentrations ranging from 128 μ g/mL to 0.06 μ g/mL. The negative control contained only

303 CAMHB, and the positive control contained diluted culture in CAMHB. Assay plates were

incubated without shaking at $37 \square °C$ for $20 \square h$. The MIC was the lowest concentration of each

305 drug where no visible growth was seen in the wells. All experiments were run in triplicate.

306 Acknowledgement

- 307 We thank Novogene (Beijing, China, <u>https://cn.novogene.com/</u>) and OE Biotech
- 308 (https://www.oebiotech.com/) for the sequencing service.

309 Disclosure statement

310 We have no conflict of interest to declare.

311 Funding

- 312 This work was supported by National Infectious Disease Medical Center (Y.Z.) (B2022011-1),
- 313 Jinan Microecological Biomedicine Shandong Laboratory project (JNL-2022050B), and
- 314 Leading Innovative and Entrepreneur Team Introduction Program of Zhejiang (No.
- 315 2021R01012).

316 Author contributions

- 317 Y. Z and J.Y. L designed and conceived this work. J. Y. L and Y. H. X performed experiments.
- J. Y. L, X. D, Y. Y. Y, T. T. W, Y. H. X, X. Y analysed data. J. Y. L and Y. Z wrote and
- 319 finalized the manuscript. All authors read, revised and approved the manuscript.
- 320

321 References

322	[1] Diard M, Hardt WD. Evolution of bacterial virulence. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2017;
323	41(5):679-97. <u>https://10.1093/femsre/fux023</u>
324	[2] Pompilio A, Crocetta V, De Nicola S, et al. Cooperative pathogenicity in cystic fibrosis:
325	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia modulates Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence in mixed
326	biofilm. Front Microbiol 2015; 6(951.https://10.3389/fmicb.2015.00951
327	[3] Wicaksono WA, Erschen S, Krause R, et al. Enhanced survival of multi-species biofilms
328	under stress is promoted by low-abundant but antimicrobial-resistant keystone species. J
329	Hazard Mater 2022: 422(126836.https://10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126836
330	[4] Zhao J. Grant SF. Advances in whole genome sequencing technology. Curr Pharm
331	Biotechnol 2011; 12(2):293-305.https://10.2174/138920111794295729
332	[5] Afrizal A. Jennings SAV. Hitch TCA. et al. Enhanced cultured diversity of the mouse gut
333	microbiota enables custom-made synthetic communities. Cell Host Microbe 2022:
334	30(11):1630-45 e25.https://10.1016/i.chom.2022.09.011
335	[6] Songer JG. Bacterial phospholipases and their role in virulence. Trends Microbiol 1997:
336	5(4):156-61 https://10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01005-6
337	[7] Thomas R. Hamat RA. Neela V. Extracellular enzyme profiling of Stenotrophomonas
338	maltophilia clinical isolates. Virulence 2014: 5(2):326-30 https://10.4161/viru.27724
339	[8] Travassos I H. Pinheiro MN. Coelho FS, et al. Phenotypic properties, drug susceptibility and
340	genetic relatedness of Stenotronhomonas maltonhilia clinical strains from seven
3/1	hospitals in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, J Appl Microbiol 2004; 96(5):1143.
342	50 https://10.1111/j 1365.2672.2004.02248 x
343	[9] Hu RM Liao ST Huang CC et al. An inducible fusaric acid tripartite efflux nump
344	contributes to the fusaric acid resistance in Stenotronhomonas maltonhilia. PLoS One
3/15	$2012 \cdot 7(12) \cdot 651053$ https://10.1371/journal.pone.0051053
346	[10] Huang XW, Hu RM, Chu FY, et al. Characterization of a major facilitator superfamily
347	(MFS) tripartite efflux numn EmrCABsm from Stenotronhomonas maltonhilia I
348	Antimicroh Chemother 2013: 68(11):2498-505 https://10.1093/iac/dkt250
349	[11] Jefferson KK What drives bacteria to produce a biofilm? FFMS Microbiol Lett 2004:
350	236(2):163-73.https://10.1016/i.femsle.2004.06.005
351	[12] Olsen I, Biofilm-specific antibiotic tolerance and resistance. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect
352	Dis 2015: 34(5):877-86.https://10.1007/s10096-015-2323-z
353	[13] Zajac OM, Tyski S, Laudy AE. The Contribution of Efflux Systems to Levofloxacin
354	Resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Clinical Strains Isolated in Warsaw.
355	Poland, Biology (Basel) 2022: 11(7)https://10.3390/biology11071044
356	[14] Nas MY White RC DuMont AL et al Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Encodes a
357	VirB/VirD4 Type IV Secretion System That Modulates Apontosis in Human Cells and
358	Promotes Competition against Heterologous Bacteria Including Pseudomonas
359	aeruginosa Infect Immun 2019: 87(9)https://10.1128/IAI.00457-19
360	[15] Gooderham WI Hancock RE Regulation of virulence and antibiotic resistance by two-
361	component regulatory systems in Pseudomonas aeruginosa, FEMS Microbiol Rev 2009:
362	33(2):279-94 https://10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00135 x
363	[16] Lei L. Long L. Yang X. et al. The VicRK Two-Component System Regulates
364	Streptococcus mutans Virulence, Curr Issues Mol Biol 2019: 32(167-
365	200 https://10.21775/cimb.032.167
366	[17] Judd PK Mahli D Das A Molecular characterization of the Agrophycterium tumefaciens
367	DNA transfer protein VirB6 Microbiology (Reading) 2005: 151(Pt 11):3483-
368	92.https://10.1099/mic.0.28337-0
369	[18] Gao O. Lu S. Wang Y. et al. Bacterial DNA methyltransferase: A key to the epigenetic
370	world with lessons learned from proteobacteria. Front Microbiol 2023:
371	14(1129437. <u>https://10.3389/fmicb.2023.1129437</u>

372 373	[19] Moeinizadeh H, Shaheli M. Frequency of hlyA, hlyB, hlyC and hlyD genes in uropathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from UTI patients in Shiraz. GMS Hyg Infect
374	Control 2021; 16(Doc25. <u>https://10.3205/dgkh000396</u>
375	[20] Schulein R, Gentschev I, Schlor S, et al. Identification and characterization of two
376	functional domains of the hemolysin translocator protein HlyD. Mol Gen Genet 1994;
377	245(2):203-11. <u>https://10.1007/BF00283268</u>
378	[21] Rahmati N, Vinueza Veloz MF, Xu J, et al. SLC26A11 (KBAT) in Purkinje Cells Is
379	Critical for Inhibitory Transmission and Contributes to Locomotor Coordination.
380	eNeuro 2016; 3(3) <u>https://10.1523/ENEURO.0028-16.2016</u>
381	[22] Wei S, Chen B, Low SW, et al. SLC26A11 Inhibition Reduces Oncotic Neuronal Death
382	and Attenuates Stroke Reperfusion Injury. Mol Neurobiol 2023; 60(10):5931-
383	43. <u>https://10.1007/s12035-023-03453-1</u>
384	[23] Liu K, Liu Z, Qi H, et al. Genetic Variation in SLC8A1 Gene Involved in Blood Pressure
385	Responses to Acute Salt Loading. Am J Hypertens 2018; 31(4):415-
386	21. <u>https://10.1093/ajh/hpx179</u>
387	[24] Liu J, Xiang Y, Zhang Y. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: An Urgent Threat with
388	Increasing Antibiotic Resistance. Current Microbiology 2023;
389	81(1):6. <u>https://10.100//s00284-023-03524-5</u>
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	
397	
398	
399	
400	
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	
409	
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	
416	
410 /17	
417 /18	
410 /10	
+17 120	
420 421	
421	
422	

.5	able 1. Div	liennear t	esung res	uns of the	: 20 Teager	its for su a	inis so anu	
	Reagent	OPNG	ADH	LDC	ODC	CIT	H2S	URE
	Reading	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
	Reagent	TDA	IND	VP	GEL	GLU	MAN	INO
	Reading	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
	Reagent	SOR	RHA	SAC	MEL	AMY	ARA	
	Reading	-	-	-	-	-	-	

423 Table 1. Biochemical testing results of the 20 reagents for strains S8 and S9*.

424 * "+" means positive reaction and "-" means negative reaction.

+27 I able 2. 57-unique genes in the MEGG uatabase and then pathwa	d their pathways	database and	KEGG	genes in the	able 2. S9-unique	427
--	------------------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-------------------	-----

Pathway	ID	Genes
DNA replication	ko03030	group_374
Porphyrin metabolism	ko00860	group_3203
Biofilm formation- Pseudomonas	ko02025	group_3063
aeruginosa		
Pyrimidine metabolism	ko00240	group_832
Longevity regulating pathway-worm	ko04212	group_962
ABC transporters	ko02010	group_2016
Bacterial secretion system	ko03070	virB6
Beta-lactam resistance	ko01501	group_2745
Cell cycle- Caulobacter	ko04112	group_374; group_962
Exopolysaccharide biosynthesis	ko00543	group_3063;
		group_3011
Cysteine and methionine metabolism	ko00270	group_849; <i>dcm</i>
Plant-pathogen interaction	ko04626	hlyD; group_2016
Two-component system	ko02020	group_1035;
		group_1019

Table 3. Results of antibiotic susceptibility testing for strains S1, S8 and S9.

	S1 MICs	S8 MICs	S9 MICs
Antibiotics	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)
Ceftazidime	0.125	2	4
Levofloxacin	< 0.06	0.5	2
Colistin	2	64	128
Polymyxin B	2	32	64
Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim	8	8	8
Minocycline	0.125	< 0.06	< 0.06



Figure 1. SYTOX Green staining of THP-1 infected cells. THP-1 cells were infected
by S1, S8, or S9 bacteria for (a) 8h and (b) 18h, and observed under a 10× microscope.
"FITC" were shot in fluorescence mode and "merge" were merged pictures of "FITC"
and those shot in normal mode. From the "merge" pictures, the proportion of dead cells
could be read. S1, S8 and S9 in the figure were THP-1 cells infected by S1, S8 and S9,
respectively. CT was control THP-1 cells without infection.





466Figure 2. LDH release of infected THP-1 cells. LDH release of THP-1 cells after 24h467infection with S1, S8, or S9 at MOI=1:1. The death rates of the infected cells were468calculated according to M_{cell} (%) = ($A_t - A_c$) / ($A_e - A_c$) × 100%, where M_{cell} was the469death rate, A_t was the absorbance (at 490nm) of the cells infected by the bacteria, A_c 470was the absorbance of the culture suspension, A_e was the absorbance of the all-lytic471cells (maximum absorbance). S1, S8 and S9 were group names of THP-1 cells infected

- 472 by S1, S8 and S9, respectively.



482 Figure 3. Survival curves of *G. mellonella* larvae infected with different bacterial

strains or control. In the control group, the larvae were infected by nothing. In the PBS
group, the larvae were injected with PBS buffer. In S1, S8 and S9 groups, the larvae
(10 per group) were infected by S1, S8 and S9, respectively. The ordinate is percentage
of survival.



Figure 4. Survival of infected mice. In S1, S8 and S9 groups, the mice (10 per group) 503 were infected by S1, S8 and S9 (1×10^8 CFU per mouse), respectively and observed for

- 504 survival or death over 7 days. The ordinate is percentage of survival.



513 Figure 5. GO functional enrichment of S9-unique genes. In the figure, the items are

514 group by three level 1 GO items: Molecular Function (MF, blue), Cell Component (CC,

515 green) and Biological Process (BP, red).



Figure 6. Volcano map of differentially expressed genes (S9-vs-S8). The abscissa is the logarithm (2-based) of the difference multiple (Fold Change, FC), and the ordinate is the negative logarithm of the q-value. Gray points are differentially expressed genes below threshold. Dark blue (points within the interval $log_2FC<-1$ and $-log_{10}q$ -value>1) and dark red (points within the interval $log_2FC>1$ and $-log_{10}q$ -value>1) are significantly down-regulated and up-regulated genes, respectively.

516



Figure 7. GO enrichment of S9-vs-S8 differentially expressed genes. (a) is for down-

527 regulated genes, and (b) is for up-regulated. Larger log₁₀p-value indicates higher

528 enrichment level.





549 550 Figure 8. KEGG enrichment of S9-vs-S8 differentially expressed genes. (a) is for

- 551 down- regulated genes, and (b) is for up-regulated genes. Smaller p-values and larger
- 552 enrichment scores indicate higher enrichment level.
- 553