

1 Pilot study of a ketogenic diet in bipolar 2 disorder: a process evaluation

3 Authors

4 *Dr Benjamin P. Rigby^{1, 2}
5 Dr Nicole Needham³
6 Helen Grossi⁴
7 Ivana Kamenska⁵
8 Dr Iain H. Campbell³
9 Dr Ben Meadowcroft⁶
10 Dr Frances Creasy⁶
11 Cheryl Fisher⁴
12 Dr Pankaj Bahuguna⁷
13 Prof John Norrie⁵
14 Dr Gerard Thompson³
15 Melissa C. Gibbs³
16 Maja Mitchell-Grigorjeva⁸
17 Dr Ailsa McLellan⁹
18 Dr Tessa Moses¹⁰
19 Dr Karl Burgess¹¹
20 Dr Rachel Brown¹¹
21 Dr Michael J. Thrippleton³
22 Prof Harry Campbell⁵
23 Prof Daniel J. Smith³
24 Prof Sharon A. Simpson²

26 Corresponding author

27 * benjamin.rigby@newcastle.ac.uk

28 Population Health Sciences Unit, Newcastle University, Baddiley-Clark Building, Newcastle-upon-
29 Tyne, NE2 4BN.

30 Affiliations

31 ¹ Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK
32 ² MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, School of Health and Wellbeing, University of
33 Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
34 ³ Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
35 ⁴ Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Royal Hospital for Children and Young People, Edinburgh,
36 UK
37 ⁵ Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
38 ⁶ Psychiatry, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK
39 ⁷ Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
40 ⁸ Bipolar Scotland, Paisley, UK
41 ⁹ Department of Paediatric Neurology, Royal Hospital for Children and Young People, Edinburgh, UK
42 ¹⁰ EdinOmics Research Facility (RRID: SCR_021838), University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
43 ¹¹ Centre for Engineering Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

1 Abstract

2 Background

3 Bipolar disorder is a serious mental illness, which requires new strategies for prevention and
4 management. Recent evidence suggests that a ketogenic diet may be an effective intervention. This
5 research aimed to explore the feasibility and acceptability of a ketogenic diet intervention for bipolar
6 disorder, fidelity to its behavioural components and the experiences of the participants and research
7 clinicians involved.

8 Methods

9 A mixed-methods process evaluation was conducted. Semi-structured telephone interviews
10 were carried out with 15 participants 1-2 months after completing a 6-8 week modified ketogenic
11 diet intervention, and 4 research clinicians from the study team following the completion of data
12 collection. Data were thematically analysed. Fidelity checklists completed by research dietitians
13 were analysed using descriptive count and percentage statistics. Findings are reported post-hoc,
14 following the analysis and publication of the main pilot study findings.

15 Results

16 Qualitative data indicated that participants had various motives for taking part in the study,
17 including weight loss. It was important to support people's motives while facilitating clear and
18 realistic expectations. Despite the challenges of initiating and maintaining a ketogenic diet, including
19 for some its disruptive effects on daily living, many participants perceived physical and psychological
20 benefits (e.g. significant weight loss, mood stability and an enhanced ability to focus). A range of
21 behavioural (e.g. goal setting), social (e.g. family and dietitians) and technological (e.g. apps for
22 monitoring) support mechanisms were generally considered key facilitating factors. Meanwhile,
23 dietary preferences, concerns about the diet and its impact, the testing burden and capacity of the
24 delivery team were perceived as barriers for some. The importance of wider contextual influences
25 (e.g. the cost of living and sociocultural expectations) were highlighted. Overall, descriptive analyses
26 indicated moderate-to-good fidelity to the behaviour change components of the study.

27 **Conclusion**

28 We provide novel insight into the experiences of people living with bipolar disorder initiating
29 and following a ketogenic diet, as well as those of research clinicians who support the intervention.
30 Future trials may benefit from increased clinical research capacity, better-defined entry and exit
31 routes, additional interpersonal support, and greater understanding of how social and societal
32 factors impact participation.

33 **Trial registration**

34 Study registration number: ISRCTN6163198 (02 March 2022)

35

36 **Keywords**

37 Bipolar type I or II disorders; process evaluation; neurophysiology; metabolic psychiatry.

Pre-print version

38 Background

39 Bipolar disorder (types I and II) is a serious mental illness characterised by episodes of
40 elevated mood and depression [1]. It has a lifetime risk of 1-2% [2] and is considered among the
41 most challenging psychiatric disorders to manage [1]. Typically presenting during early adulthood,
42 bipolar disorder is associated with significant economic and societal impact, comorbidities and high
43 mortality [3, 4]. Life expectancy among people with this disorder may be up to 20 years less than the
44 general population, with suicide and cardiovascular disease being leading contributors to excess
45 deaths [1, 3]. However, current strategies for prevention and management of bipolar disorder are
46 suboptimal and new interventions are required.

47 The ketogenic diet, premised on very low carbohydrate and very high fat intake [5],
48 represents an intervention with emerging therapeutic potential across a range of psychiatric
49 conditions, including bipolar disorder [6-9]. It is proposed that this diet may contribute to more
50 stable energy production in the brain through the metabolism of ketones as an alternative energy
51 source to glucose [10], thus overcoming issues of glucose metabolism and insulin resistance that are
52 common among people with bipolar disorder [11-14]. However, despite promising case reports,
53 there remain no randomised controlled trials (RCTs) examining the effect of a ketogenic diet in
54 bipolar disorder.

55 A recent four-month pilot trial investigated the effects of a modified ketogenic diet on
56 individuals with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder with existing metabolic abnormalities [9]. Among
57 participants adherent to the diet, metabolic improvements were reported (*e.g.* reduced BMI and
58 waist circumference measurements), as well as enhanced life satisfaction and sleep. In another
59 recent pilot study, Needham *et al.* investigated the recruitment, feasibility and acceptability of a
60 modified 6-8 week ketogenic diet in bipolar disorder among euthymic participants (*i.e.* those in a
61 period of emotional homeostasis) [4, 5]. The key findings were that intervention recruitment and
62 retention were feasible, and that most participants reached and maintained ketosis during the study

63 period. Combined with promising outcomes data from this study (publication forthcoming [15]),
64 these findings suggested that a full clinical trial is warranted [4, 15]. To complement this latter pilot
65 study and inform the development of a future RCT (*e.g.* through understanding factors that
66 influence study design or participant adherence to intervention), we conducted a mixed-methods
67 process evaluation of the pilot post-intervention.

68 Process evaluations differ to outcome-focused research by exploring how interventions work
69 in practice through increasing understanding of the implementation of interventions, the
70 mechanisms of impact and the context that shapes these two factors [16]. Both quantitative and
71 qualitative analyses can contribute to these aims [16, 17]. In the piloting phase, process evaluation
72 helps understand fidelity, feasibility and acceptability, and optimise the future design and evaluation
73 of full-scale trials [16]. Such considerations are particularly important in developing trials for
74 population groups with severe mental illness, such as bipolar disorder, who may have complex
75 barriers to research involvement [18]. For example, people with bipolar disorder are at risk of
76 therapeutic misconception or optimistic bias when presented with research information [19], and
77 often experience impaired concentration or memory [20]. This may affect the acceptability of and
78 adherence to interventions such as the ketogenic diet, which is known to be challenging albeit
79 achievable among adults [21-23]. Previous research has indicated that numerous components may
80 be important to support adherence to and outcomes from dietary interventions for people with
81 bipolar disorder, including goal setting, regular and tailored support from healthcare and allied
82 professionals, and psychological therapies [24]. However, no studies have examined these and
83 associated factors in relation to the ketogenic diet as a therapeutic intervention for bipolar disorder.

84 As part of a wider pilot trial [4, 15], this research aimed to further explore the feasibility and
85 acceptability of the ketogenic diet intervention and elements of the study design, examine fidelity to
86 the behavioural components of the intervention, and explore the experiences of participants and
87 research clinicians involved in the research.

88 Methods

89 The pilot study

90 A favourable ethical opinion was obtained for this study by the South East Scotland Research
91 Ethics Committee 02 (approval number: 22/SS/0007) and it was approved by NHS Lothian Research
92 and Development. The primary aim of the pilot study was to assess recruitment, acceptability and
93 feasibility of a 6-8 week trial of a modified ketogenic diet for individuals with bipolar disorder.
94 Secondary objectives were to assess the relationships of a biomechanical, metabolomic and brain
95 imaging biomarkers with clinical and functional outcomes. In brief, the intervention comprised a diet
96 with estimated energy requirements of approximately 60-75% from fat, 5-7% from carbohydrate and
97 additional calories from protein [5]. Participants received tailored support from an experienced
98 ketogenic dietitian, and had access to a psychiatrist on the research team if required. The support
99 package was informed by the COM-B framework [25] and behaviour change theories [26, 27].
100 Twenty-seven euthymic individuals were recruited into the study. Full details about the study design,
101 intervention components and participants are published elsewhere [4]. The clinical and functional
102 outcomes will also be presented in a forthcoming publication [15]. The remainder of this paper
103 reports on sampling, recruitment, procedures and findings for this additional process evaluation
104 component of the study.

105 Participants

106 Fifteen of the 27 individuals recruited into the pilot study were purposively sampled and
107 agreed to be interviewed. We aimed to achieve variation in participants' age, gender, socioeconomic
108 background and whether they completed the 6-8 week intervention. The Scottish Index of Multiple
109 Deprivation (SIMD) was used as proxy measure of socioeconomic background, recording
110 participants' residential status on a scale of 1st decile (most deprived) to 10th decile (least deprived).
111 Participant characteristics are reported in Table 1.

Table 1. Participant characteristics (pilot study: n = 15)

Characteristics	
Age	Mean = 48.5 years (range 37-54)
Sex	Female = 10 Male = 5
Place of residence (SIMD)	1 st and 2 nd deciles = 0 3 rd and 4 th deciles = 4 5 th and 6 th deciles = 1 7 th and 8 th deciles = 3 9 th and 10 th deciles = 7

112

113 Table 1 shows that two-thirds of the participants were female and two-thirds were resident
114 in areas in the four least deprived SIMD deciles. One of the 15 discontinued the diet before the 6-8
115 week intervention periods was completed. Four research clinicians (all female) involved in the
116 delivery of the intervention were also purposively recruited and agreed to be interviewed: two
117 research psychiatrists (one of whom also acted as study coordinator) and two research dietitians.
118 The descriptive quantitative analysis of the fidelity checklist comprised data from the 25 participants
119 for whom at least one diet review meeting was recorded.

120 Procedure

121 Qualitative component

122 One-to-one semi-structured telephone interviews were conducted with study participants 1-
123 2 months after completing the 6-8 week diet intervention, and research clinicians implementing the
124 intervention following the completion of the intervention component of the study. The use of a
125 semi-structured interview format allowed flexibility to ask additional questions based on the
126 participants' responses, and a set of prompt questions were used to stimulate discussion if needed
127 [28]. Prior to the interviews, all participants were asked to read an information sheet and provide
128 informed consent. All interviews were conducted by BPR, recorded on an encrypted electronic
129 device, transcribed intelligent verbatim and the transcripts de-identified. Interviews with the pilot
130 participants lasted between 37 and 98 minutes. They were structured around the following topics:
131 recruitment into the study; experiences of being a research participant; the diet; the support
132 package; perceived outcomes; and areas for suggested development. Additional file 1 provides the

133 interview guide. Interviews with research clinicians lasted between 22 and 45 minutes, and were
134 structured around: general experiences; implementing the study; engagement with participants; and
135 future directions (see Additional file 2 for the interview guide).

136 Quantitative component

137 Data were taken from the 104 diet review meetings in which fidelity checklists were
138 completed in conversation between participants and a research dietitian. The checklists (see
139 Additional file 3) were used by dietitians to ensure coverage of key behavioural strategies that
140 underpinned the intervention: i) providing information on the benefits of changing diet; ii) goal
141 setting and creating an action plan; iii) social support; iv) relapse prevention strategies; v) reviewing
142 the outcome of goals and plans; vi) discussing (potential) barriers and how to overcome them; vii)
143 self-monitoring/feedback; viii) discussing performance and providing feedback; ix) discussing
144 environmental restructuring; x) discussing how doing well could be rewarded. Hand-written
145 checklists were compiled and cleaned by HG, IK and NN, then converted into digital MS Excel records
146 by BPR.

147 Analysis

148 Analyses were initially performed by BPR both alongside and after the examination of study
149 outcomes; findings are reported post-hoc [16]. Qualitative data were analysed using an inductive
150 thematic approach based on Braun and Clarke's original six-step method [29]. SAS reviewed two
151 transcripts independently and interpretation of the data were discussed between BPR and SAS, as
152 well as in team meetings. Quantitative data were initially analysed by BPR using descriptive counts
153 and percentages to examine the proportion of meetings in which each behavioural strategy was
154 covered and patterns in their use. Two sets of findings were generated. First, an overall assessment
155 of fidelity that included all 25 participants with completed checklists. Second, an adjusted
156 assessment that only included data from participants that completed the diet at 6-8 weeks follow-up
157 (n=20). Data were not included in either analysis from any of the participants' first meetings for two
158 components, which by definition were not relevant to be discussed at that time point (reviewing

159 goals and plans; and discussing performance). The interpretation of data were discussed at research
160 team meetings.

161 Results and discussion

162 Qualitative findings

163 Five salient themes were identified in the qualitative data relating to recruitment, feasibility,
164 acceptability and implementation of the ketogenic diet intervention. A summary of themes and their
165 associated sub-themes is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of themes and sub-themes

Main theme	Subthemes
Encouraging entry and supporting exit	Addressing participant motives
	Setting clear expectations
	Providing exit routes
Challenging but potentially transformational	A big undertaking
	Perceived impact
Intervention facilitators	Behavioural support mechanisms
	Interpersonal support
	Teamwork
	Technology
Intervention barriers	The testing burden
	Capacity of the delivery team
	Dietary preferences
	Participants' concerns
The wider context	Social pressures
	Keto in a cost-of-living crisis

166

167 Theme 1 – Encouraging entry and supporting exit

168 Findings from the pilot study suggested that recruitment and retention of participants was
169 feasible [4]. This was reflected in the majority of experiences articulated through the interviews.
170 While recruitment to this pilot was conducted primarily through Bipolar Scotland, the underlying
171 mechanisms that encouraged entry into the study may be applicable in alternative contexts. For
172 example, providing study-related resources so that people are *'able to learn quite a lot about it*
173 *before'* participation, through the website, *'factsheets, and information about the study* (Participant
174 7).' Further, some participants valued hearing the experiences of a member of the research team
175 who has a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and follows a ketogenic diet.

176 He spoke, his presentation was excellent. And he lives with bipolar and he's been on the
177 ketogenic diet for about eight years and he could talk to the benefits that he felt. So it was
178 very powerful (Participant 15).

179
180 Given that self-efficacy among people with bipolar disorder may typically be low [30], such
181 testimonies have the potential to enhance people's belief in their abilities through encouragement
182 and vicarious experiences [26], thus supporting them to engage with a novel and challenging activity,
183 such as following a new diet. This approach may also support motivation through feelings of
184 relatedness [31], and help set clear expectations of the study.

185 *Addressing participant motives*

186 Participants entered the pilot study with various experiences of living with bipolar disorder.
187 Some had been diagnosed many years ago, others as little as six-to-nine months prior to
188 recruitment. Their ongoing treatment and management varied, as did their motives for participating.
189 While participants sought alleviation of their symptoms, four wider and potentially stronger motives
190 were identified. For some, the study represented hope. This was unsurprising given the challenge of
191 managing this severe mental illness [1, 4].

192 I hoped that the keto diet would do something I haven't been able to do on my own ... I'd
193 hoped that the keto diet would be a miraculous cure. I was looking for help or support to try
194 and help me get better (Participant 8).

195
196 Other participants cited a desire to reduce drug-use and challenge *'the current medical model for*
197 *someone who has a diagnosis of bipolar [which] is to take medications and lower your expectations*
198 *(Participant 5).'* Altruism was a third motive identified in the data.

199 I decided to participate because I felt like it was a very worthwhile thing to do ... for other
200 people and in the future. And for the knowledge that will be obtained from it, they're
201 actually treating people (Participant 1).

202
203 Eighteen of the 27 participants in the pilot study were overweight or obese [4], which is common
204 among people with bipolar disorder [32]. For many, weight loss was a primary motive.

205 The anti-psychotic drugs make me crave sugar and my weight has just yo-yoed up and down.
206 And I know that I should be eating low carb, it seems to work for me, it seems to really work
207 for the weight loss. However, any time I've tried it before it just sends my mood loopy. I take

208 more anti-psychotics, which makes me crave the sugar, and then it just goes round and
209 round ... as soon as I saw it, I was like, "I want to take part in this (Participant 13)."
210
211 In future trials, it will be important to ensure that communications and recruitment strategies
212 support a range of potential motives, which may extend beyond direct presentations of bipolar
213 disorder. While significant weight loss has been observed in this pilot study and others [9, 15], the
214 weight loss motive, as well as hope, should be addressed cautiously, given both the absence of high-
215 quality RCT evidence of the effectiveness of interventions used in the management of obesity in
216 people with bipolar disorder [32], and the risk of therapeutic misconception or optimistic bias in this
217 population subgroup [19]. This reinforces the need for clear expectations around involvement in
218 studies.

219 *Setting clear expectations*

220 Given how challenging it can be to follow a ketogenic diet [5], it is important that potential
221 participants are fully informed about the reality of participating in such a study. Several participants
222 felt that the expectations were clear and realistic, which seemed in some cases to be linked to past
223 experiences of medical intervention or research.

224 I'd been told before the appointments that'll last this length of time ... And I knew in
225 advance about the once a week phone calls. And taking the blood samples and texting the
226 results. Nothing took me by surprise ... blood tests, I've had plenty of them. I took part in
227 some research when I was first psychotic and even had the full brain scan at that point
228 (Participant 13).

229
230 Meanwhile, others felt that expectations around the diet and testing regimens could be made
231 clearer prior to engagement.

232 And I have to say, certain things, particularly in the first week, really threw me. I had no idea
233 that's what I was going to be involved in (Participant 15).

234 These experiences led the research clinicians to reflect and adjust their practice as the pilot
235 continued. However, they also noted that baseline expectations were very high and may need to be
236 managed carefully, as noted in previous research among people with bipolar disorder [33].
237 Furthermore, in pharmaceutical contexts, expectations of bipolar disorder treatment can

238 significantly influence adherence to behaviours [34], and such factors should not be discounted in
239 behavioural interventions.

240 I think we have discussed a bit as a team, and I think it would be really helpful to spend a
241 little bit more time with people before they started the study to make sure that they were
242 really clear exactly what the study would entail (Research Psychiatrist 1).

243
244 It was a different group with different needs, with different expectations, and the
245 expectations, I would say, of the participants was extremely high. Because there's so very
246 little in terms of what can actually be done for bipolar disorder ... the fact that looking to use
247 this diet, I would say that the expectations of the participants were very high. Maybe that's
248 something that we need to be very aware of (Research Dietitian 1).

249
250 *Providing exit routes*

251 During the pilot, 77% (20 of 26) participants remained on the diet until 6-8 week follow-up
252 [4]. One-third were reported to maintain their diet post cessation of the study period [15]. When
253 interviewed one-to-two months post-follow-up, seven of 15 participants either stated that they
254 remained on the diet, or expressed a desire to try the diet again. However, in most cases they
255 reported concerns about the loss of support that came with being part of a research study, which
256 may be compounded by the complexity of the ketogenic diet [5].

257 It's not a simple thing and I was doing quite a lot of calculations and all that kind of stuff.
258 And I suppose coming to the end of it and trying to find out how I can continue ... because it
259 really helped me, I felt so much better ... I'm trying to find a way to do it. I'm not sure any of
260 these ways [available online] are going to be as effective as the medical version, but I'll keep
261 experimenting. I think my understanding was that ... staying on the version that I was on
262 with the study isn't advisable without supervision (Participant 6).

263
264 One of the research clinicians also reflected on the need for robust clinical protocols to support
265 cessation or withdrawal from the dietary intervention.

266 I wasn't maybe exactly sure how to explain to people how the withdrawal process worked or
267 what next ... and as you said, providing any aftercare reports. Some people just completely
268 disengaged, so it was really difficult, we couldn't even get in contact with them after they'd
269 made that decision. And I suppose that's another thing, should there be a process in place as
270 to what to do if somebody completely disengages (Research Psychiatrist 1)?

271
272 Anecdotal evidence suggests that trial participants experience various emotions when their
273 involvement in a study ends, with isolation and uncertainty among other feelings being common
274 [35]. Recognising this is particularly important for studies involving people with bipolar disorder,

275 who may experience greater social isolation and loneliness compared to the general population [36,
276 37]. Findings from this study highlight the need to support participants' motives both pre- and post-
277 intervention, which includes setting clear expectations. This will enable participants to appreciate
278 the potential challenges and rewards associated with interventions such as the ketogenic diet.

279 Theme 2 – Challenging but potentially transformational

280 In general, participants felt that being on the ketogenic diet was challenging. However, many
281 of those interviewed considered the undertaking to be acceptable given the perceived benefits. For
282 some, this was a transformational lived experience.

283 *A big undertaking*

284 Transitioning to and maintaining a ketogenic diet can be challenging for adults [21-23]. In
285 this study, some participants recognised the value of being '*recommended that I staged the*
286 *introduction of ketogenic meals* (Participant 2),' and there is some evidence to support this from
287 other clinical settings, which suggests better toleration [38]. For many, maintaining a daily blood
288 ketone testing regimen, shopping and preparing food were perceived to be '*very time consuming*
289 (Participant 10)' and take considerable effort and preparation, particularly in the early stages of the
290 intervention period, thus reflecting previous research.

291 It was quite tricky at first, but I quickly realised what was making it tricky was cooking for the
292 other four [in the home]. And at first, I was like, I'll try and incorporate meals that we can all
293 eat. And then I was like no, that's not going to work ... So it was kind of like having to think
294 about two lots of eating, what I was eating and what they were eating. But that got easier
295 (Participant 19).

296
297 For several participants, this balancing of the diet with family commitments, including meal times,
298 was just one of several ways in which the intervention was considered disruptive to everyday
299 activities. Often, these perceptions related to the social aspect of eating.

300 Like how am I going to socialise with food so much a part of that in our culture? So I hadn't
301 somehow realised that that could be a barrier. And then carrying around the things that I
302 needed with me if I was going somewhere. I decided not to go anywhere for the first couple
303 of weeks to keep it easier. I think it might have been helpful to just know that, maybe you
304 put this in place at a point where you can just take your time whilst you get into ketosis
305 (Participant 1).

306
307 For a minority of participants, this sense of challenge may have been exacerbated due to cognitive
308 difficulties.

309 I think probably the main barrier was lithium because measuring things and then going back
310 to the charts ... When I started having to calculate things, and I don't necessarily think it
311 would be difficult for an ordinary person, to be honest, but for me it was like, oh my God,
312 this is like a major mental meltdown situation (Participant 14).

313
314 Impaired concentration and memory are common among people with bipolar disorder [20].
315 However, similar symptoms may manifest with the onset of 'keto flu', which is a cluster of transient
316 symptoms that can be reported as occurring within the early weeks of a ketogenic diet [39]. While it
317 is not possible to discern the relative impact of these two possibilities in our study, it highlights a
318 potential barrier.

319 These findings indicate that people with bipolar disorder may find the early stages of being
320 on a ketogenic diet to be a considerable adjustment before familiarisation, particularly where family
321 circumstances are considered. They suggest that additional support may be required in the initial
322 few weeks, which are likely to be the most difficult [40], enabling participants to engage for long
323 enough to experience perceived benefits.

324 *Perceived impact*

325 The main outcome findings of this study are reported elsewhere [15]. However, all
326 participants discussed their perceptions of the study's impact, which often corroborated
327 quantitative observations of weight loss and reduced mood lability, as well as results in other studies
328 [9]. Additional benefits such as self-control and discipline were reported (Additional file 4 provides a
329 full list of benefits reported during interviews).

330 I think even within the first two weeks, I felt like I got my brain back. It was an exceptionally
331 stressful time, and it was nothing to do with the study. It just was in my life, like a lot of
332 stress or highly stressful things to deal with. But I managed okay. I kept things to myself. I
333 kept thinking to myself other times in my life this would've sent me under by now. These
334 things would've sent me under, into some spiral of either high anxiety or depression
335 (Participant 1).

336

337 As soon as I had used the keto for two or three days, I just felt more relaxed, more peaceful
338 than I think I've ever felt in my life. And in that whole period since then, I don't think I've
339 experienced any fear or anxieties, hardly any agitations. This has always been a big problem,
340 I seem to get very angry, so clearly that's disappeared (Participant 14).

341
342 I've lost a stone and a half, probably more actually, nearer two stone. So that was a big thing
343 for me (Participant 11).

344
345 These findings are particularly noteworthy given the difficulty of managing bipolar disorder [1], and
346 point toward the therapeutic potential of the ketogenic diet to address both a key characterisation
347 of this severe mental illness (i.e. changes in mood) and commonly associated cardiometabolic risk
348 factors in this population. The potentially transformational extent of these perceived and observed
349 benefits for some participants may help explain why they undertook and continued a significant
350 lifestyle intervention, even after the study had finished. These perceived outcomes contributed
351 strongly to its sense of acceptability, despite the challenges.

352 However, many participants perceived a range of side-effects from the intervention that, as
353 reported elsewhere, were generally mild and usually resolved with dietary adjustments [4]. For
354 some, the lack of noticeable improvement in their mental or physical health status could be
355 disappointing.

356 It's likely out of frustration and that was just because I wasn't seeing any positive changes as
357 in weight loss, like proper changes ... My mood would really dip when I did my blood tests,
358 my finger prick and the ketones were high or the glucose ... I was hypoglycaemic for a couple
359 of times. But I got really down when I'd done everything and the ketones were high [but saw
360 no results] (Participant 15).

361
362 Examples of negative experiences are also included in Additional file 4. To manage expectations, and
363 overcome set-backs or side-effects, it is possible that the intensive tailored support received by
364 participants in this pilot [4] may have triggered key mechanisms that facilitated adherence.

365 Theme 3 – Intervention facilitators

366 *Behavioural support mechanisms*

367 Previous research has tended to overlook the importance of different behaviour change
368 techniques in dietary interventions [41]. Prompted by the intervention fidelity checklist (see
369 Additional file 3 and quantitative findings for more detail), research clinicians supported participants

370 to implement a range of behavioural support mechanisms tailored to participants' needs, which they
371 reported as being beneficial for adherence to the diet and testing regimen. These included action
372 planning [42], behavioural prompts [43] and self-monitoring [44].

373 I've got a reminder in my work calendar, and then I've got a reminder in my personal
374 calendar, and then if I've got a hectic day, I'm also setting a phone reminder so that an alert
375 goes off (Participant 5).

376
377 I think it was just working out a good system, because we eventually got, like, a small diary,
378 and then just wrote the recipes in it (Participant 7).

379
380 Another key behavioural strategy was setting and evaluating goals [45].

381 Every week we looked at what their goals were going to be for the next week, if we made
382 changes, what kind of adverse events were they having (Research Dietitian 1)?

383
384 While importantly goal setting primarily supported adherence to the diet [46], the intervention may
385 have also prompted some participants to reflect or act on bigger lifestyle goals. It is suggested that
386 interventions for people with bipolar disorder need to extend beyond a narrow focus on adherence
387 through traditional behaviour change techniques, to consider self-worth [47] and problem-solving
388 that may underpin longer-term behavioural change [24]. For example, *'my diet generally was*
389 *absolutely shocking, so I figured it would encourage me at least to have some clear goal, even if it*
390 *was from a physical health point of view* (Participant 11).*'* These wider goals may have been
391 facilitated through behavioural and interpersonal support.

392 *Interpersonal support*

393 It was apparent that social support provided to participants by family, research clinicians
394 involved in the study, and existing care teams was crucial, leaving some participants doubting the
395 potential for the intervention to work outside the support of a research project.

396 And [my spouse] just helped me out, like she said, "if you're having a brain meltdown just
397 grab me, I'll fix it." So they're a bit of an angel so I was very lucky. For a while we ate
398 separate meals and then we both decided we were quite lonely so we started to combine
399 them, which was much nicer (Participant 14).

400
401 I liked working with Research Coordinator and Research Dietitians, that was fantastic, great
402 support from both of them ... I don't think people could really do this sensibly without that

403 kind of support, it's hard. And reflecting back on it. There are a few habits that I've gone
404 back to (Participant 15).

405
406 This reflects research that argues providing consistent interpersonal support from family and
407 delivery teams is pivotal for ketogenic diet interventions [48-50]. Some participants reported that
408 close monitoring by the research clinicians gave them confidence in the study's procedures.

409 I thought the support was really good. The dietitian was phoning you all the time and if you
410 had questions, you could contact people, so you didn't feel alone in a particular way
411 (Participant 3).

412
413 Participants also commented on the contact time between themselves and the research
414 clinicians (typically at least one diet review meeting per week). Most felt that this was adequate,
415 while for some *'it was probably better than I thought it would be* (Participant 4).' Most participants
416 appreciated the responsiveness of the study team, their reassurance, and the way in which the diet,
417 through the provision of recipes and resources, was tailored to their individual needs and
418 preferences by one of the dietitians. This reflects previously observed benefits of tailored dietary
419 information [51], despite concerns about a lack of practical examples of effective tailoring to dietary
420 preferences in real-world weight loss contexts [52].

421 I don't have issues about the amount of fat, but I do have some concerns about the kinds of
422 fat. So the dietitian and I have worked on looking at this particular kind of fat and maybe I
423 could switch it out for something (Participant 5).

424
425 While social support, broadly conceived, was evidently a strong facilitatory factor for participants
426 and should be considered as a component of a future trial, it also played an important role among
427 research clinicians delivering the intervention.

428 *Teamwork*

429 This pilot study had numerous components and involved a large team of people drawn from
430 different academic and healthcare backgrounds. For example, psychiatry, radiology, neurology,
431 dietetics and behavioural sciences. It was apparent that the cohesiveness of the research
432 intervention team contributed to the feasibility of implementation. All research clinicians
433 interviewed commented on the strength of the teamwork they experienced.

434 There were situations where I needed to contact The Study Coordinator, she responded
435 quickly and we managed to resolve any issues or any further information ... I did have
436 someone else to direct any of the queries to and they were picked up and actioned by The
437 Study Coordinator (Research Dietitian 2).

438
439 Underpinning this teamwork was a sense of effective communication and the use of modelling.

440 It was useful having the dietitian there as well because I talked it through with The Study
441 Coordinator in the practice session, to sort of make sure that I was comfortable. There was a
442 bit of delay between that session and seeing my first participant. The dietitian stepped in to
443 do it again, so I got another viewing of actually how to [support] (Research Psychiatrist 2).

444
445 This supports research that indicates implementation of complex, multispecialty clinical studies may
446 require foundations of effective teamwork and communication strategies [53]. Shared study
447 leadership and regular meetings between clinical and research staff, as used in this pilot, are
448 considered important features of teamwork that can bridge and embrace different specialities [54].

449 *Technology*

450 Different forms of technology were used during the study. These included text messaging,
451 video calling and an app. Originally, all participants were supposed to have access to two apps
452 through devices such as their mobile phones, to support logging and transfer of data from daily
453 capillary readings and mood scales [4]. However, due to licensing issues, only participants recruited
454 later into the study were able to access the Ketomojo app (for capillary readings). The use of the app
455 was welcomed by both participants and research clinicians.

456 I think the app is essential for moving forward ... That's because all I did was pull up the
457 device, draw the blood and then put it to one side and then carry on. If I had been able to
458 log in the emotional stuff at that point it would have been good (Participant 5).

459
460 Rather than them text, they moved their data to Ketomojo. That was easy as well. Also,
461 because if they forgot to send their data one day or they forgot to text it, we would text
462 them to remind them to send it. Whereas if it was on the Ketomojo app, it was already there
463 (Research Dietitian 1).

464
465 These experiences demonstrate how the use of technological developments can support with
466 behaviour change techniques, such as prompts [43], but also contribute to the potential
467 acceptability and feasibility of an intervention. Future trials may wish to explore the role of
468 technological components more fully, including developments such as continuous ketone

469 monitoring [55, 56]. The effective use of technology in the delivery of the intervention has the
470 potential to reduce barriers such as the administrative burden on both participants and research
471 clinicians.

472 Theme 4 – Intervention barriers

473 All participants and research clinicians commented on a range of potential barriers to the
474 intervention. Broadly, these comprised those relating to implementation and delivery of the
475 intervention, or constraints at the individual-level for participants. These were generally factors that
476 could be managed during the intervention period, or have acceptable solutions for the future.

477 *The testing burden*

478 As part of the pilot, participants had initial and follow up meetings with a research dietitian
479 and psychiatrist, as well as pre- and post-intervention blood tests and MRI scans. They were also
480 asked to measure blood ketone and glucose levels and recorded elements of their mental state on a
481 daily basis [4]. Many commented favourably on the comprehensiveness of these processes.
482 However, some participants considered the testing regimen (i.e. both for outcomes and as part of
483 the intervention) to be burdensome.

484 The very first [day of tests], I was exhausted. I'd come down from the north of Scotland and I
485 didn't quite know where I was going then. I eventually got myself there and I think there was
486 a lot of questions. So it was quite heavy going to be honest ... And then the next day I had
487 the MRI and I didn't sleep terribly well in the hotel. I fell asleep in the MRI (Participant 3).

488
489 This was also recognised by the research clinicians.

490 It could feel quite a lot seeing two people in one day, but I think it was perfectly
491 manageable. I suppose, thinking from the participants' point of view, it might have been too
492 much. I tended to see them first, and so my bit was relatively more straightforward, but I
493 know that they then give them lots of information with Research Dietitian 1. But she gave
494 them chance to consolidate that (Research Psychiatrist 1).

495
496 The idea of a physical and emotional burden around the testing components of the study was also
497 evident in relation to daily blood capillary readings for ketone and glucose levels. Some found the
498 prospect of *'finger pricking every day for two months, quite a lot to get your head round* (Participant

499 1),’ while others felt a strong sense of responsibility to ensure that they were testing appropriately
500 and providing good data.

501 I would worry that things had been recorded properly. Especially when I had to deal with
502 two separate apps and for me it was so important that I did this properly. Like if I was going
503 to do it, I was going to do it 100 per cent, as best as I could, you know what I mean?
504 (Participant 1).

505
506 Our findings reflect previous diabetes-related research that highlighted the potentially intensive and
507 burdensome nature of daily self-monitoring of blood glucose and ketone levels [57, 58]. Several
508 participants commented on the importance of reassurance, education and a positive baseline testing
509 experience for their engagement and adherence. In future trials, research clinicians should adopt a
510 flexible approach to supporting emotional reactions to testing and continue to provide individualised
511 assistance if required [57].

512 I was struggling with the Ketomojo device at the very start. I couldn’t seem to draw blood, I
513 couldn’t seem to get the blood in the little thing, and it ended up The Study Coordinator was
514 on the phone with me talking me through it (Participant 5).

515
516 *Capacity of the delivery team*

517 Three of the four research clinicians interviewed commented on difficulties they sometimes
518 had with the study administration. Our findings highlighted ways that may support with these
519 intervention-related tasks in future, for example the use of technology.

520 The paperwork burden is quite big, so you wonder about whether that could be done in a
521 paper-light fashion so it could just be done online (Research Dietitian 2).
522
523 However, technology is no guarantee of a reduced administrative burden in clinical trials [59]. Some
524 other challenges faced by the research clinicians were more measurement-related.

525 It was necessarily participant facing. It was just slightly tricky at points to arrange MRI scans
526 at the research facility at the right time, because they only had fixed slots, and clearly
527 participants have busy lives. That was quite often more difficult. Sometimes, when we were
528 booking people in quite last minute, to find them hotels, the university service didn’t always
529 have hotels available that quickly (Research Psychiatrist 1).

530
531 While impossible to offer a ‘walk-in’ service, these findings suggest that in any future larger trials,
532 there needs to be sufficient capacity among the delivery team to undertake the administrative task

533 load. Further, it may be necessary to increase the support available to participants, particularly
534 dietetics.

535 If it's a bigger number, you will need more dietitians... I mean, just to give you an example,
536 when I said to you that we started seven people in one month, generally, within epilepsy,
537 you would maybe start two or three a month. So starting seven in a month was hard, but it
538 is doable. I think it would be important to know where our study participants were coming
539 from, because our volunteers were all well [euthymic] people with bipolar disorder
540 (Research Dietitian 1).

541

542 *Dietary preferences*

543 The experienced ketogenic dietitians worked closely with participants to tailor the
544 intervention. This input ensured that, as far as was practicable, the prescribed recipes and
545 suggestions reduced the risk of monotony associated with the diet [60], and aligned with
546 participants' dietary preferences. Unsurprisingly, some participants commented that there were
547 aspects of the diet they did not like [61].

548 It was very hard to consume that amount of fat each day, that was another thing I wasn't
549 aware, that's what the keto diet was all about. So, you know, you weigh out olive oil in the
550 salad, you're basically drinking olive oil at the end of the salad (Participant 15).

551

552 Research in paediatric groups has shown that dietary preferences have mixed impact on the
553 ketogenic diet. For example, while existing preference for high-fat food types is not considered to
554 influence adherence [62], overall dietary preferences are one of the strongest drivers of
555 discontinuation [63]. There remains work to be done to better understand the role of preferences in
556 adults with bipolar disorder, who can experience concomitant challenges of disordered eating.

557 *Participants' concerns*

558 It was apparent that participants had concerns about their involvement in this study, with
559 some reporting in interviews that on occasions they experienced an elevated state of arousal or
560 anxiety.

561 I think I was always a bit edgy about have I prepared. I was a bit hungry as well sometimes
562 and it works as an appetite suppressant. I was a bit edgy about, just in general feeling that
563 keto, keto, always talking about keto (Participant 4).

564

565 While concerns were generally perceived as mild and easily managed through self-regulation
566 strategies, and the majority of participants experienced stable or improved mood lability, a minority
567 of participants expressed feeling particularly anxious about the potential impact of the diet on their
568 mood state, toward either hypomania/mania or depression, even if this did not occur.

569 One of the concerns I had was going into hypomania, just because of the change in my diet,
570 big change and how that would impact my mood (Participant 12).

571

572 I suddenly got this anxiety that I was going to trigger a depressive episode, which I haven't
573 had for several years ... The kids go to their dad's about 40 per cent of the time, so I don't
574 have much backup (Participant 2).

575

576 At the time of entry into the study, participants were euthymic; only one participant experienced a
577 period of hypomania and another a depressive episode during the intervention period, each lasting
578 one month [4]. Nevertheless, these examples highlight the importance of understanding perceptions
579 of mood among participants with bipolar disorder. In particular, while lifestyle changes have
580 typically been studied for their therapeutic potential, little attention has been paid to how stressful
581 or disruptive these may be. While all participants in the study had at least some contact with a
582 psychiatrist on the study team [4], given that there is some evidence around the triggering effects of
583 stress on depressive episodes in particular [64], it may be prudent for future studies to consider
584 more regular psychological or psychiatric support and monitoring to identify and manage difficulties,
585 which may arise from stress-related concerns about the diet .

586 *Theme 5 – The wider context*

587 Our findings further demonstrated the benefit of process evaluation for identifying
588 contextual factors associated with variation in participants' experiences and outcomes in clinical
589 studies [16]. Across the interviews, participants discussed various influences that either supported or
590 constrained their engagement with, or adherence to, the intervention.

591 *Social pressures*

592 Most participants commented on the interaction between their individual involvement in
593 the study and wider social environments. Two-thirds discussed how the study influenced their family

594 life. For many this was manageable, but for those with complex family circumstances, this presented
595 a considerable barrier to participation.

596 I'm a single parent of young children and I'm living on benefits. I'm doing voluntary work; I
597 facilitate a self-help group ... one of my children's medical diagnosis played a role [in
598 withdrawing from the study]. So, what happened was, staying overnight, having the brain
599 scan and coming back, is really draining on my energy. I needed to organise childcare
600 because I'm a single parent. It threw me slightly out of my routine. My child picked up on my
601 stress and got quite disoriented, because we were doing things like, one day each week after
602 school is my other child's sports club ... and this is what they'd got in their head. But this
603 time I went via Lidl to get a particular Greek yoghurt that was important in the diet, and they
604 just got so agitated, they nearly had a meltdown in the supermarket, because we'd gone off
605 our normal (Participant 2).

606
607 Previous research on the ketogenic diet for children demonstrated the impact on family members,
608 for example through nonacceptance of the diet or disruption of family routines, including mealtimes
609 [48, 65]. Our findings extend knowledge by uncovering similar patterns within the context of adults
610 with bipolar disorder. Notably, our study suggests that participation in the intervention may
611 adversely affect those who have caring roles within their families (*e.g.* for dependent children or
612 older relatives). Similar pressures, at least in the early stages of the intervention, were also identified
613 in other social settings.

614 The majority of participants mentioned how being on a ketogenic diet limited their abilities
615 to socialise in ways they were accustomed. Some recalled needing to manage questions from others
616 about their diet.

617 It was a wedding, a ladies' evening, two graduations, so a formal dinner. And we talked
618 about how to cope with that. And for the wedding, I took my little Pyrex dish of salad and
619 avo[cado] and bacon ... And I coped fine with it. I had to cope with questions about it but
620 that was fine (Participant 15).

621
622 While in most cases participants adapted to the social aspect of the diet over time, felt supported to
623 handle such situations and perceived peoples' reactions to be '*understanding and cool with it*
624 (Participant 6),' some found this created a talking point that raised the risk of unwanted disclosure
625 about their condition.

626 One other thing is your disclosure status, because it's quite a visible thing to be doing, to be
627 eating so differently. And if people don't know your diagnosis or why you're doing it and
628 stuff, that is just a level of complication, why are you doing it so strictly? ... I kept myself to
629 myself quite a bit, and the people that know my situation were the people that I tended to
630 spend more time with. But with others I just used to say I'm on a diet (Participant 6).

631
632 The challenges of eating in social settings are an everyday reality for adults following a ketogenic
633 diet; in many cases these are temporary as people learn to manage the challenges of the diet [66].
634 'Keto-friendly' mitigation strategies, such as bringing one's own meals to social events, are common.

635 Some participants also commented on how the diet interacted with their work lives. This
636 relationship remains under-researched.

637 A lot of work commitments and things made parts of the diet difficult to do, but that was
638 purely down to work more than anything else ... the four o'clock [data] returns could be a bit
639 tricky around work as well, but I understand why it was at that time of the day (Participant
640 11).

641
642 Most of these participants felt that being unemployed or able to work from home was a key factor in
643 affording time to prepare meals and balance the requirements of the study around their other
644 commitments. They recognised that others may be less fortunate, and there were several instances
645 of people working away from home choosing to skip meals, struggling to find appropriate food in
646 shops, or failing to return timely capillary blood readings.

647 *Keto in a cost-of-living crisis*

648 The pilot study took place toward the start of a period of significant economic uncertainty in
649 the United Kingdom, characterised by high inflation and global unrest driving-up food and domestic
650 energy prices [67]. It is notable that most participants in this study were from middle-to-higher
651 socioeconomic backgrounds, according to SIMD data. This was reflected in many responses
652 speculating about the potential impact of a ketogenic diet for those with less financial means.
653 However, several participants also commented on their direct experiences of the costs associated
654 with the intervention.

655 Yes, I think it [the bills] definitely increased. I had to buy stuff for me and then different stuff
656 for my kids. It is quite a high protein diet so that is usually a bit more expensive than carbs
657 and then fish, fruit and veg. Things like raspberries are quite expensive (Participant 4).

658
659 Generally, the ketogenic diet is considered to be expensive for consumers compared to other diets
660 [48, 63]. Participants recognised this as a potential barrier to those struggling with the cost-of-living,
661 as food prices increased by 9.2% in the year to December 2022 [68]. A concurrent decrease in meat,
662 fish and dairy sales was observed across Scotland among the general population, due to rising costs
663 [69]. Selecting such foods appropriate for the diet in this context highlights the imperative for
664 intensive support from ketogenic dietitians, alongside other financial-related strategies to reimburse
665 out-of-pocket expenses as provided in the present study (e.g. travel to testing sites), to ensure
666 inclusivity of the intervention. Mitigating the effects of a cost-of-living crisis is crucial to avoid
667 inequalities in recruitment, adherence and health outcomes. Further economic studies are required.

668 Further suggestions for developments in future trials

669 Participants and research clinicians alike made numerous suggestions for developments that
670 should be considered in related future research trials. Broadly, these related to behavioural,
671 communication, delivery, material, psychological, social and technological factors (see Additional file
672 5). Some of these were addressed over the course of the pilot, for example enabling automated
673 transfer of daily blood glucose readings to the research team [4]. The two most common suggestions
674 from participants were as follows.

675 Seven of the 15 participants interviewed proposed the potential benefit of additional peer
676 support for those living with this *'isolating illness* (Participant 6).' This may range from pastoral
677 support for those who are *'struggling, [to] just pick up a phone and we can have a brief chat about it*
678 (Participant 12),' to being motivationally *'helpful as well, with making me keep at it* (Participant 4).'

679 However, despite the potential popularity of peer support, the evidence to support this in
680 interventions for people with severe mental illness remains equivocal [70, 71]. Any such support
681 would need to be carefully considered in the context of potential participant blinding in trials.

682 Linked to the challenging nature of the intervention and the societal context discussed in
683 this paper, the same number of participants also suggested future studies may consider additional
684 material support, especially for those facing greater financial hardship or without suitable cookery
685 skills:

686 I think the equity issue around this, and really reaching the people who, if it's shown to
687 work, it might benefit ... And that's not always the case for people and they don't all have
688 the same amount of support as I do. So I suppose for some people financial incentives might
689 be a deal-breaker, and help buying the food or giving the food (Participant 6).

690 While direct financial aid and in-kind contribution, such as the provision of food parcels or pre-
691 prepared meals on prescription [5], may support engagement among individuals from lower
692 socioeconomic backgrounds (none of the participants interviewed were resident in the lowest SIMD
693 quintile), people with bipolar disorder may be at greater risk of having difficulty cooking food for
694 themselves [72]. This may be compounded by the complexities of preparing food in the ketogenic
695 diet [5].


697 [Quantitative findings – fidelity checklists](#)

698 Overall, there were 104 diet review meetings in which fidelity checklists were completed
699 between participants and a research dietitian. Twenty-five of 26 participants who commenced the
700 diet had at least one recorded meeting. Among these, 20 participants completed the diet for at least
701 6 weeks. Of these 20, most had five review meetings (n=15), while three participants had four
702 (including one who declined to work through the checklist in their first meeting); two participants
703 had three and six meetings, respectively. The remaining five participants had either one or two
704 review meetings each.

705 It was intended for most, not necessarily all, behavioural components to be addressed in a
706 single meeting, while ensuring that all were considered over the duration of the intervention. Each
707 was addressed, as intended, at least once with 17 of the 20 participants who completed the diet for
708 at least 6 weeks. Most components were addressed on multiple occasions with all participants.

709 Before and after adjustment for participant withdrawal, the overall percentage of meetings that
710 addressed a majority of components was 77%.

711



712

713 **Figure 1.** Fidelity of behavioural components implemented in diet review meetings

714 Figure 1 shows the percentage of diet review meetings in which the intended components
715 were either discussed or implemented. Several behavioural components for the intervention were
716 delivered with good fidelity. The most common component was discussing performance and
717 providing feedback, which was documented in 93% of recorded checklists (91% non-adjusted).
718 Setting and reviewing goals and action plans also featured prominently, perhaps reflecting its
719 importance for people with bipolar disorder as it gives structure to their daily activities
720 [24]. Meanwhile, three behavioural components were addressed less often. Providing information to
721 participants on the benefits of changing their diet was discussed in 42% of meetings (44% non-
722 adjusted); 70% of all instances in which this component was raised occurred during the first two
723 review meetings across all participants. Discussing environmental restructuring occurred in 51% of
724 meetings, and there did not appear to be any pattern to when this component was addressed.
725 Finally, discussing how doing well could be rewarded was addressed in 46% of meetings (42% non-

726 adjusted). Free text comments indicated that many participants felt that this was typically an
727 unnecessary consideration, while one dietitian stated during their process evaluation interview that
728 they *'sometimes found that one a little bit tricky ... a little but more of a struggle to think of*
729 *potentially what the reward could be* (Research Dietitian 1).' This reflects previous research that
730 suggests people find it difficult to consider non-food-based rewards for people on ketogenic diets
731 [49]. It is proposed that participants may respond well to contingent rewards such as praise and
732 encouragement in dietary interventions, which can support motivation and self-efficacy [73].

733 While many behaviour change techniques were implemented throughout the intervention
734 period, the findings suggest that different techniques may have been used for different participants
735 at various stages. This reflects the potential that different techniques may be more appropriate at
736 certain times, and may better work for different people according to context and delivery method
737 [74]. Further, different processes may need to be activated according to people's readiness for
738 dietary change [75]. This highlights the need to facilitate and understand participant expectations,
739 and build rapport between participants and dietitians, for example through regular diet review
740 meetings as in this pilot. It also indicates the importance of behavioural science support in future
741 trials.

742 Overall, data indicated moderate-to-good fidelity to the behaviour change components of
743 the intervention and have provided useful insights that can inform refinements to the behavioural
744 strategies in future trials. Interviews with dietitians suggested that they both found the fidelity
745 checklist a useful resource.

746 Because of fidelity questionnaire and because of the questionnaire that we had around
747 things like adverse events ... structured the interviews quite well. They were mostly all
748 questions I would have asked anyway. So therefore being able to just sort of have that there,
749 and you were recording as you were going along, then yeah, they were beneficial in that
750 respect (Research Psychiatrist 2).
751

752 Checklists are an important strategy for examining fidelity in process evaluations and have been
753 successfully used in dietary interventions [76, 77]. While positive experiences of using the checklist
754 were reported in this study, there is emerging research to suggest that additional trialling of
755 checklists at the onset of a study may improve application [78].

756 [Strengths and limitations of the study](#)

757 This pilot study was among the first to demonstrate the feasibility, acceptability and safety
758 of a ketogenic diet intervention for bipolar disorder [4, 15]. The process evaluation presented in this
759 paper reinforces these observations. The intervention was theory-based and informed by current
760 evidence regarding successful behaviour change techniques in dietary interventions. We used a
761 rigorous multiple methods approach to data collection and analysis, and were able to triangulate
762 data to strengthen the findings. Our research was further strengthened by the inclusion of 70% of all
763 participants who continued the diet for 6 weeks and who completed follow-up assessments. We
764 provide under-investigated insight into the experiences of people living with bipolar disorder
765 initiating and following a ketogenic diet, as well as those of research clinicians who support this
766 behavioural intervention.

767 However, our findings need to be considered in light of limitations in the study design and
768 sampling. The post-hoc design of this study and short intervention period meant that findings and
769 recommendations could not be addressed and further tested during the study. We were only able to
770 arrange an interview with one participant who had withdrawn from the study and as such, may not
771 have uncovered additional barriers and constraining contextual factors to engagement with a
772 ketogenic diet. Relatedly, none of the participants in our sample were from the lowest
773 socioeconomic areas (SIMD), where barriers may differ. Future studies should explore the potential
774 need for additional support for these groups and others at risk of drop-out, to better understand
775 contextual facilitators or constraints on their participation and adherence. A final limitation of our
776 sample of research clinicians was that we only interviewed dietitians and psychiatrists. Further
777 research is needed to understand the roles of other researchers involved in similar studies, such as

778 imaging teams, given the potential importance of perceived positive baseline testing to the overall
779 participant experience (*i.e.* feeling informed, cared for, and generally at ease). Additional areas of
780 future work include further exploration of the motivation and engagement of the family in relation
781 to providing social support, and mechanisms of dietary change.

782 Conclusions

783 The findings provide valuable insight into the process of delivering and engaging in a
784 ketogenic diet intervention for people living with bipolar disorder. In doing so, we highlight how
785 challenging the ketogenic diet can be for some participants. However, for most people the
786 intervention was considered feasible and acceptable and was delivered with good fidelity. Many
787 participants reported a range of physical and psychological benefits, despite common side-effects,
788 some less-positive experiences and some disruption to elements of daily and social living. To offset
789 or overcome barriers such as dietary preferences, concerns about the diet and its possible impact,
790 administrative burdens and limited implementation capacity, we advocate the incorporation of
791 varied behavioural and social support strategies and technology assisted monitoring. Future trials
792 may benefit from increased researcher/research team capacity, better-defined intervention entry
793 and exit routes, additional interpersonal support, and a greater understanding of how social and
794 societal factors impact participation among people with bipolar disorder, particularly among those
795 most likely to be affected by these.

796 [Electronic supplementary material](#)

797 Additional file 1: Interview topic guide (pilot participants)

798 Additional file 2: Interview topic guide (research clinicians)

799 Additional file 3: Intervention fidelity checklist

800 Additional file 4: Perceived benefits and down-sides of the ketogenic diet reported during interviews

801 Additional file 5: Suggestions for developments in future trials

802 [List of abbreviations](#)

803 BMI Body mass index

804 RCT Randomised controlled trial

805 SIMD Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation

806 [Declarations](#)

807 [Ethics approval and consent to participate](#)

808 The study received a favourable ethical opinion from the South East Scotland Research Ethics
809 Committee 02 (approval number: 22/SS/0007) and was approved by NHS Lothian Research and
810 Development (2022/0009). Sponsorship was provided by the Academic and Clinical Central Office for
811 Research and Development. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study
812 was prospectively registered in the ISRCTN Registry under registration number ISRCTN61613198 on
813 2 March 2022.

814 [Consent for publication](#)

815 Not applicable.

816 [Availability of data and materials](#)

817 Data generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available as explicit consent
818 was not sought from participants, and privacy may be compromised.

819 [Competing interests](#)

820 IHC has a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and follows a ketogenic diet to manage his symptoms. His
821 current fellowship is funded by the Baszucki Research Fund.

822 [Funding](#)

823 This study was funded by the Baszucki Brain Research Fund. BPR and SAS acknowledge funding from
824 the UKRI Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00022/1) and the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish
825 Government Health Directorates (SPHSU16). MJT acknowledges funding from the Chief Scientist
826 Office of the Scottish Government Health Directorates through the NHS Lothian Research and
827 Development Office.

828 [Authors' contributions](#)

829 All authors contributed to the conceptualisation and design of the process evaluation. NN, HG and
830 BPR were responsible for sampling and recruitment. HG and CF collected the fidelity checklist data;
831 NN, HG, IK were responsible for the preparation of checklist fidelity data for analysis. BPR was
832 responsible for interview data collection. BPR, SAS were responsible for analysis of the data. Data
833 were interpreted by BPR, SAS (qualitative data) and BPR, SAS, NN, HG, IK (quantitative data), and
834 these interpretations were discussed among all authors through team meetings. BPR was
835 responsible for drafting the paper. All authors were involved in subsequent revisions and agree to be
836 accountable for all aspects of the work.

837 [Acknowledgements](#)

838 We the authors wish to thank all participants and research clinicians who were involved in this
839 research project.

Pre-print version

840 References

- 841 1. Anderson IM, Haddad PM, Scott J. Bipolar disorder. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e8508.
842
- 843 2. Merikangas KR, Jin R, He J-P, Kessler RC, Lee S, Sampson NA, et al. Prevalence and correlates
844 of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. *Arch Gen*
845 *Psychiatry*. 2011;68:241-251.
846
- 847 3. Miller C, Bauer MS. Excess mortality in bipolar disorders. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2014;16:1-7.
848
- 849 4. Needham N, Campbell IH, Grossi H, Kamenska I, Rigby BP, Simpson SA, et al. Pilot study of a
850 ketogenic diet in bipolar disorder. *BJPsych Open*. 2023;9:e176.
851
- 852 5. Martin-McGill K, Lambert B, Whiteley V, Wood S, Neal E, Simpson Z, et al. Understanding the
853 core principles of a 'modified ketogenic diet': a UK and Ireland perspective. *J Hum Nutr Diet*.
854 2019;32(3):385-390.
855
- 856 6. Martin-McGill KJ, Bresnahan R, Levy RG, Cooper PN. Ketogenic diets for drug-resistant
857 epilepsy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2020;6:CD001903.
858
- 859 7. Palmer CM, Gilbert-Jaramillo J, Westman EC. The ketogenic diet and remission of psychotic
860 symptoms in schizophrenia: two case studies. *Schizophr Res*. 2019;208:439-440.
861
- 862 8. Phelps JR, Siemers SV, El-Mallakh RS. The ketogenic diet for type II bipolar disorder.
863 *Neurocase*. 2013;19:423-426.
864
- 865 9. Sethi S, Wakeman D, Ketter T, Hooshmand F, Bjorstead J, Richards B, et al. Ketogenic diet
866 intervention on metabolic and psychiatric health in bipolar and schizophrenia: a pilot trial.
867 *Psychiatry Res*. 2024;335:115866.
868
- 869 10. Brietzke E, Mansur RB, Subramaniapillai M, Balanzá-Martínez V, Vinberg M, González-Pinto
870 A, et al. Ketogenic diet as a metabolic therapy for mood disorders: evidence and
871 developments. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2018;94:11-16.
872
- 873 11. Campbell IH, Campbell H. Ketosis and bipolar disorder: controlled analytic study of online
874 reports. *BJPsych Open*. 2019;5:e58.
875
- 876 12. Campbell IH, Campbell H, Smith DJ. Insulin signaling as a therapeutic mechanism of lithium
877 in bipolar disorder. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12:350.
878
- 879 13. Łojko D, Owecki M, Suwalska A. Impaired glucose metabolism in bipolar patients: the role of
880 psychiatrists in its detection and management. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.
881 2019;16:1132.
882
- 883 14. Yoshimi N, Futamura T, Bergen S, Iwayama Y, Ishima T, Sellgren C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid
884 metabolomics identifies a key role of isocitrate dehydrogenase in bipolar disorder: evidence
885 in support of mitochondrial dysfunction hypothesis. *Mol psychiatry*. 2016;21:1504-1510.
886
- 887 15. Campbell IH, Needham N, Grossi H, Kamenska I, Luz S, Sheehan S, et al. A pilot study of a
888 ketogenic diet in bipolar disorder: clinical, metabolomic and magnetic resonance
889 spectroscopy outcomes. *MedRxiv*. 2023; doi:2023.10. 23.23297391.
890

- 891 16. Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, Bond L, Bonell C, Hardeman W, et al. Process evaluation of
892 complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1258.
893
- 894 17. Cheng KK, Metcalfe A. Qualitative methods and process evaluation in clinical trials context:
895 where to head to? *Int J Qual Methods*. 2018;17:1-4.
896
- 897 18. Loue S, Sajatovic M. Research with severely mentally ill Latinas: successful recruitment and
898 retention strategies. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008;10:145-153.
899
- 900 19. Misra S, Socherman R, Hauser P, Ganzini L. Appreciation of research information in patients
901 with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2008;10:635-646.
902
- 903 20. Harvey PD, Wingo AP, Burdick KE, Baldessarini RJ. Cognition and disability in bipolar
904 disorder: lessons from schizophrenia research. *Bipolar Disord*. 2010;12:364-375.
905
- 906 21. Lopes Neri LdC, Guglielmetti M, Fiorini S, Pasca L, Zanaboni MP, de Giorgis V, et al.
907 Adherence to ketogenic dietary therapies in epilepsy: a systematic review of literature. *Nutr*
908 *Res*. 2024;126:67-87.
909
- 910 22. Schoeler NE, Wood S, Aldridge V, Sander JW, Cross JH, Sisodiya SM. Ketogenic dietary
911 therapies for adults with epilepsy: feasibility and classification of response. *Epilepsy Behav*.
912 2014;37:77-81.
913
- 914 23. Ye F, Li X-J, Jiang W-L, Sun H-B, Liu J. Efficacy of and patient compliance with a ketogenic diet
915 in adults with intractable epilepsy: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Neurol*. 2015;11(1):26.
916
- 917 24. Bauer IE, Galvez JF, Hamilton JE, Balanza-Martinez V, Zunta-Soares GB, Soares JC, Meyer TD.
918 Lifestyle interventions targeting dietary habits and exercise in bipolar disorder: a systematic
919 review. *J Psychiatric Res*. 2016;74:1-7.
920
- 921 25. Michie S, Van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for
922 characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci*. 2011;6:1-12.
923
- 924 26. Bandura A. Social foundations of thought and action. In: Marks D, editor. *The Health*
925 *Psychology Reader*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 1986 p. 94-106.
926
- 927 27. Ng JY, Ntoumanis N, Thøgersen-Ntoumani C, Deci EL, Ryan RM, Duda JL, Williams GC. Self-
928 determination theory applied to health contexts: a meta-analysis. *Perspect Psychol Sci*.
929 2012;7:325-340.
930
- 931 28. Britten N. Qualitative research: qualitative interviews in medical research. *BMJ*.
932 1995;311:251-253.
933
- 934 29. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*. 2006;3:77-101.
935
- 936 30. Lim L, Nathan P, O'Brien-Malone A, Williams S. A qualitative approach to identifying
937 psychosocial issues faced by bipolar patients. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2004;192:810-817.
938
- 939 31. Vancampfort D, Madou T, Moens H, De Backer T, Vanhalst P, Helon C, et al. Could
940 autonomous motivation hold the key to successfully implementing lifestyle changes in
941 affective disorders? A multicentre cross sectional study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2015;228:100-106.

- 942
943 32. Tully A, Smyth S, Conway Y, Geddes J, Devane D, Kelly JP, Jordan F. Interventions for the
944 management of obesity in people with bipolar disorder. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.
945 2020;7:CD12006.
946
947 33. Bos FM, Snippe E, Bruggeman R, Doornbos B, Wichers M, van der Krieke L.
948 Recommendations for the use of long-term experience sampling in bipolar disorder care: a
949 qualitative study of patient and clinician experiences. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2020;8:1-14.
950
951 34. Berk M, Berk L, Castle D. A collaborative approach to the treatment alliance in bipolar
952 disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2004;6:504-518.
953
954 35. Health Talks [Internet]. 2018. Clinical trials: feelings when a trial ends; cited 2024 May 09.
955 Available from: <https://healthtalk.org/experiences/clinical-trials/feelings-when-a-trial-ends/#:~:text=Some%20people%20described%20a%20mixture,side%20effects%20or%20other%20discomfort>.
956
957
958
959 36. Giacco D. Loneliness and mood disorders: consequence, cause and/or unholy alliance? *Curr*
960 *Opin Psychiatry*. 2023;36:47-53.
961
962 37. Giacco D, Palumbo C, Strappelli N, Catapano F, Priebe S. Social contacts and loneliness in
963 people with psychotic and mood disorders. *Compr psychiatry*. 2016;66:59-66.
964
965 38. Bergqvist AC, Schall JI, Gallagher PR, Cnaan A, Stallings VA. Fasting versus gradual initiation
966 of the ketogenic diet: a prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy. *Epilepsia*.
967 2005;46:1810-1819.
968
969 39. Bostock E, Kirkby KC, Taylor BV, Hawrelak JA. Consumer reports of “keto flu” associated with
970 the ketogenic diet. *Front Nutr*. 2020;7:20.
971
972 40. Alharbi A, Al-Sowayan NS. The effect of ketogenic-diet on health. *Food Nutr Sci*.
973 2020;11:301-313.
974
975 41. Timlin D, McCormack JM, Kerr M, Keaver L, Simpson EE. Are dietary interventions with a
976 behaviour change theoretical framework effective in changing dietary patterns? A
977 systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20:1-18.
978
979 42. Lin H, Xu D, Yang M, Ma X, Yan N, Chen H, et al. Behaviour change techniques that constitute
980 effective planning interventions to improve physical activity and diet behaviour for people
981 with chronic conditions: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12:e058229.
982
983 43. Whatnall MC, Patterson AJ, Ashton LM, Hutchesson MJ. Effectiveness of brief nutrition
984 interventions on dietary behaviours in adults: a systematic review. *Appetite*. 2018;120:335-
985 347.
986
987 44. Murray G, Suto M, Hole R, Hale S, Amari E, Michalak EE. Self-management strategies used by
988 ‘high functioning’ individuals with bipolar disorder: from research to clinical practice. *Clin*
989 *Psychol Psychother*. 2011;18:95-109.
990
991 45. Shilts MK, Horowitz M, Townsend MS. Goal setting as a strategy for dietary and physical
992 activity behavior change: a review of the literature. *Am J Health Promot*. 2004;19:81-93.

- 993
994 46. Janney CA, Bauer MS, Kilbourne AM. Self-management and bipolar disorder – a clinician’s
995 guide to the literature 2011-2014. *Curr Psychiatry*. 2014;16:1-15.
996
997 47. Ironside ML, Johnson SL, Carver CS. Identity in bipolar disorder: self-worth and achievement.
998 *J Pers*. 2020;88:45-58.
999
1000 48. Lynch S, Barry C, Douglass LM. Social and Economic Challenges to Implementing the
1001 Ketogenic Diet: A Case Series. *J Pediatr Epilepsy*. 2020;10:37-42.
1002
1003 49. Orr E, Whitney R, Nandeesh N, Kossoff EH, Ramachandran Nair R. Ketogenic diet: parental
1004 experiences and expectations. *J Child Neurol*. 2024;39:45-54.
1005
1006 50. Sindler D, Kastovska B, Dostal T, Cipryan L, Elavsky S. The effects of carbohydrate-restricted
1007 diet on psychological outcomes: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr*
1008 *Rev*. 2024;82:228-239.
1009
1010 51. Eyles HC, Mhurchu CN. Does tailoring make a difference? A systematic review of the long-
1011 term effectiveness of tailored nutrition education for adults. *Nutr Rev*. 2009;67:464-480.
1012
1013 52. Gibson AA, Sainsbury A. Strategies to improve adherence to dietary weight loss
1014 interventions in research and real-world settings. *Behav Sci*. 2017;7:44.
1015
1016 53. Butryn T, Cornejo K, Wojda TR, Papadimos TJ, Gerlach AT, Deb L, et al. Keys to success in
1017 clinical trials: a practical review. *Int J Acad Med*. 2016;2:203-216.
1018
1019 54. Strong S, Paramasivan S, Mills N, Wilson C, Donovan JL, Blazeby JM. ‘The trial is owned by
1020 the team, not by an individual’: a qualitative study exploring the role of teamwork in
1021 recruitment to randomised controlled trials in surgical oncology. *Trials*. 2016;17:1-9
1022
1023 55. Alva S, Castorino K, Cho H, Ou J. Feasibility of continuous ketone monitoring in subcutaneous
1024 tissue using a ketone sensor. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*. 2021;15(4):768-
1025 74.
1026
1027 56. Nguyen KT, Xu NY, Zhang JY, Shang T, Basu A, Bergenstal RM, et al. Continuous ketone
1028 monitoring consensus report 2021. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2022;16:689-715.
1029
1030 57. Chen CM, Chang Yeh M. The experiences of diabetics on self-monitoring of blood glucose: a
1031 qualitative metasynthesis. *J Clin Nurs*. 2015;24:614-626.
1032
1033 58. Weber C, Kocher S, Neeser K, Joshi SR. Prevention of diabetic ketoacidosis and self-
1034 monitoring of ketone bodies: an overview. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009;25:1197-1207.
1035
1036 59. Easy M. The key to reducing administrative burden for clinical trials. *Physicians Pract*. 2023.
1037
1038 60. Arora N, Pulimamidi S, Yadav H, Jain S, Glover J, Dombrowski K, et al. Intermittent fasting
1039 with ketogenic diet: A combination approach for management of chronic diseases. *Clin Nutr*
1040 *ESPEN*. 2023;54:166-74.
1041
1042 61. Newson L, Parody FH. Investigating the experiences of low-carbohydrate diets for people
1043 living with Type 2 Diabetes: a thematic analysis. *PloS One*. 2022;17:e0273422.

- 1044
1045 62. Dekker C, Van Den Hurk T, Van Nieuwenhuizen O. Does a preference for fatty foods prior to
1046 commencing treatment with the ketogenic diet predict the efficacy of this diet? *Seizure*.
1047 2010;19:421-425.
1048
- 1049 63. Lightstone L, Shinnar S, Callahan CM, O'Dell C. Reasons for failure of the ketogenic diet. *J*
1050 *Neurosci Nurs*. 2001;33:292-295.
1051
- 1052 64. Proudfoot J, Whitton A, Parker G, Doran J, Manicavasagar V, Delmas K. Triggers of mania and
1053 depression in young adults with bipolar disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2012;143:196-202.
1054
- 1055 65. Webster M, Gabe J. Diet and identity: Being a good parent in the face of contradictions
1056 presented by the ketogenic diet. *Sociol Health Illn*. 2016;38:123-136.
1057
- 1058 66. Wong K, Raffray M, Roy-Fleming A, Blunden S, Brazeau A-S. Ketogenic diet as a normal way
1059 of eating in adults with type 1 and type 2 diabetes: a qualitative study. *Can J Diabetes*.
1060 2021;45:137-143.
1061
- 1062 67. Webster P, Neal K. The 'cost of living crisis'. *J Public Health*; 2022;44:475-476.
1063
- 1064 68. Scott E [Internet]. 2023. Cost of living: food price inflation; cited 2024 May 09. Available
1065 from: [https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/cost-of-living-food-price-](https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/cost-of-living-food-price-inflation/#:~:text=In%20December%202022%2C%20food%20price,December%202021%20and%20December%202022.)
1066 [inflation/#:~:text=In%20December%202022%2C%20food%20price,December%202021%20a](https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/cost-of-living-food-price-inflation/#:~:text=In%20December%202022%2C%20food%20price,December%202021%20and%20December%202022.)
1067 [nd%20December%202022.](https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/cost-of-living-food-price-inflation/#:~:text=In%20December%202022%2C%20food%20price,December%202021%20and%20December%202022.)
1068
- 1069 69. Hunter P. [Internet]. 2022. Households slash food budgets: meat, fish, poultry sales down
1070 6.6%; cited 2024 May 09. Available from:
1071 [https://www.thescottishfarmer.co.uk/business_sales/23146208.cost-living-crisis-hits-uk-](https://www.thescottishfarmer.co.uk/business_sales/23146208.cost-living-crisis-hits-uk-meat-dairy-sales/)
1072 [meat-dairy-sales/](https://www.thescottishfarmer.co.uk/business_sales/23146208.cost-living-crisis-hits-uk-meat-dairy-sales/)
1073
- 1074 70. Lloyd-Evans B, Mayo-Wilson E, Harrison B, Istead H, Brown E, Pilling S, et al. A systematic
1075 review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of peer support for people with
1076 severe mental illness. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14:1-12.
1077
- 1078 71. Fortuna KL, Naslund JA, LaCroix JM, Bianco CL, Brooks JM, Zisman-Ilani Y, et al. Digital peer
1079 support mental health interventions for people with a lived experience of a serious mental
1080 illness: systematic review. *JMIR Ment Health*. 2020;7:e16460.
1081
- 1082 72. Kilbourne AM, Rofey DL, McCarthy JF, Post EP, Welsh D, Blow FC. Nutrition and exercise
1083 behavior among patients with bipolar disorder 1. *Bipolar Disord*. 2007;9:443-52.
1084
- 1085 73. Prestwich A, Kellar I, Parker R, MacRae S, Learmonth M, Sykes B, et al. How can self-efficacy
1086 be increased? Meta-analysis of dietary interventions. *Health psychology review*.
1087 2014;8(3):270-85.
1088
- 1089 74. Armitage CJ, Conner M, Prestwich A, de Bruin M, Johnston M, Sniehotta F, Epton T.
1090 Investigating which behaviour change techniques work for whom in which contexts
1091 delivered by what means: Proposal for an international collaborative of Centres for
1092 Understanding Behaviour Change (CUBiC). *Br J Health Psychol*. 2021;26:1-14.
1093

- 1094 75. Horwath CC. Applying the transtheoretical model to eating behaviour change: challenges
1095 and opportunities. *Nutr Res Rev.* 1999;12:281-317.
1096
- 1097 76. Beck AK, Baker AL, Carter G, Robinson L, McCarter K, Wratten C, et al. Is fidelity to a complex
1098 behaviour change intervention associated with patient outcomes? Exploring the relationship
1099 between dietitian adherence and competence and the nutritional status of intervention
1100 patients in a successful stepped-wedge randomised clinical trial of eating as treatment (EAT).
1101 *Implement Sci.* 2021;16:1-10.
1102
- 1103 77. Walton H, Spector A, Williamson M, Tombor I, Michie S. Developing quality fidelity and
1104 engagement measures for complex health interventions. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2020;25:39-60.
1105
- 1106 78. Baker J, Stringer H, McKean C. Ensuring treatment fidelity in intervention studies: Developing
1107 a checklist and scoring system within a behaviour change paradigm. *Int J Commun Disord*
1108 2024;59:379-395.

1109

Pre-print version

1110 Additional file 1: Interview topic guide (pilot participants)

1111 Preamble

1112 Today, I am going to ask you to tell us about your experiences of being involved in our study. I will
1113 ask you about your experiences of the ketogenic diet, the support you received, and how you felt
1114 about being a research participant. There are no right or wrong answers. Feel free to say as much or
1115 as little as you like, and remember that you do not have to provide an answer to a question if you do
1116 not want to. I may ask you to discuss things in a bit more detail, but if you do not feel you have
1117 anything further to say, that is fine. Some of the things we discuss today may be sensitive, and
1118 everything will be treated in confidence. We can take a break at any time, just let me know. If you
1119 want to finish the interview early, please say so, and be assured that you do not need to provide a
1120 reason for this.

1121 Are you happy for me to start the recording?

1122 Background and context

- 1123 • Can you tell me how long you have been living with bipolar disorder and how it has impacted
1124 you?

1125 Taking part in the research: recruitment

- 1126 • How did you find out about the study? What attracted you toward it?
1127 • What information did you receive about the study? Was it helpful/what could be improved?
1128 • Why did you decide to participate? Did you have any initial concerns?

1129 Taking part in research: your experience

- 1130 • How manageable was being a participant in this study?
1131 • Did you face any barriers to taking part as in the study?
1132 • Were the expectations on your time realistic? How did the study fit around your existing daily
1133 life? How did it impact those around you (e.g. at home or at work)?
1134 • Did you feel able to keep up with the testing requirements (e.g. completing diaries or
1135 remembering to do blood tests)?
1136 • Can you tell me a bit about the hospital visits – How was attending for MRI scans and venous
1137 blood tests before the study and at follow-up?
1138 • I would like you to tell me a little bit about the different Apps and questionnaires that we used in
1139 this study (e.g. were they easy to use/not; can anything make them more useful):
1140 • How did you find completing the mental health and health economics questionnaires before the
1141 study and at the follow-up? What did you think about being text daily for data (if used)?
1142 • What did you think about using the Ketomojo and ilumivu apps (if used)?
1143 • What did you think about wearing an Actigraph device on your wrist?
1144 • Throughout this study your diet and health were very closely monitored, how did this make you
1145 feel?
1146 • How did taking part in this study interact with any ongoing management or treatment that you
1147 have for bipolar disorder?
1148 • How could we better incentivise participants to take part in a study like this?

1149 The diet

- 1150 • What came to mind when you thought about making changes to your diet? Did the diet meet
- 1151 your initial expectations?
- 1152 • What were the easier/harder aspects of the diet to put in place?
- 1153 • How well did it fit into your existing eating patterns (*e.g.* were you able to retain the same
- 1154 mealtimes/did you find yourself snacking more)?
- 1155 • Was there anything that you did to help you 'stick to' the diet? How was this helpful/not?
- 1156 • What did you like and dislike about the ketogenic diet?

1157 Support package

- 1158 • During the study, what type of help (info/resources/support) was the most helpful in relation to
- 1159 the diet? What was least helpful? Why?
- 1160 • What do you think about the individualised recipe leaflets that you were provided?
- 1161 • Did you use the keto-calculator? If so, what did you think about this?
- 1162 • Thinking about the research dietitian...
- 1163 • Can you tell me a little bit about the initial meeting, how did that go?
- 1164 • What support did you receive from them regarding the diet? Did you ask for anything specific?
- 1165 • How did you choose to interact with them (*e.g.* telephone, email, face-to-face)? Do you have a
- 1166 preference, and if so, why? How much contact time do you think is about right?
- 1167 • How did the support you received compare to the expectations that you had at the start of the
- 1168 diet?
- 1169 • Thinking about the study coordinator:
- 1170 • Did you engage with them? If so, are you happy to tell me about that experience?
- 1171 • What support did you receive from them regarding the study and diet?
- 1172 • How much contact time with the study team do you think is about right?

1173 Outcomes

- 1174 • Has the study helped you? How/why not?
- 1175 • How have things changed for you as a result of the study? Have you seen changes in other parts
- 1176 of your life apart from diet?
- 1177 • Did you experience any negative side-effects from the diet? How did you manage these? How
- 1178 long did they last, were they tolerable?

1179 General

- 1180 • What was the most important positive aspect of this experience for you?
- 1181 • Is there anything important that you would recommend that we change about i) the study; or ii)
- 1182 the ketogenic diet intervention, if we were to do it again?
- 1183 • Do you have any further thoughts or reflections about this study?

1184 Additional file 2: Interview topic guide (research clinicians)

1185 Today, I am going to ask you to speak about your experiences of being involved in the study as a
1186 research clinician. I will ask you about your experiences of implementing the intervention and
1187 supporting participants. There are no right or wrong answers, and you should feel free to say as
1188 much or as little as you like. You do not have to provide an answer to a question if you do not want
1189 to. I may ask you to expand on your answers at times, but if you do not feel you have anything
1190 further to say, that is fine. We can take a break at any time, just let me know. Everything we discuss
1191 will be treated in confidence. If you want to finish the interview at any time, you can do so without
1192 needing to provide a reason for this.

1193 Are you happy for me to start the recording?

1194 General experiences

- 1195 • Can you tell me a bit about your experience of working on this study? How did you find it?

1196 Implementing the study

- 1197 • Did you find the study paperwork easy to complete? If not, what issues were there?
- 1198 • What were the barriers/facilitators for you to implementing the intervention? How did you try to
1199 overcome any barriers that you faced?
- 1200 • What was your experience of using the Apps and other monitoring tools? Did you feel suitably
1201 equipped to support participants with these?
- 1202 • Considering the fidelity checklist ... was this useful?
- 1203 • Which components of the intervention (including the behavioural strategies) did you implement
1204 more or less often?
- 1205 • How did you try to incorporate the different behavioural strategies? Were any particularly
1206 easy/challenging to use?
- 1207 • Were there aspects of the study that you struggled to implement as intended? If so, why?
- 1208 • Did you make any changes to the way the intervention was delivered from the protocol?
- 1209 • How did delivering the intervention and the different study components correspond to your
1210 usual working practices? Was it challenging?

1211 Participants

- 1212 • Through what media did the participants engage with you? What were the kinds of reasons they
1213 would get in touch?
- 1214 • From your perspective what seemed to be the barriers/facilitators to participant engagement
1215 with the diet?

1216 Moving forward

- 1217 • If we were to conduct this study in future...
- 1218 • What additional support would research clinicians require?
- 1219 • Do you have any suggestions as to how you might improve compliance with the diet?
- 1220 • Do you have suggestions as to how we might increase recruitment into the study?
- 1221 • Is there anything important that you would recommend that we change about the study or the
1222 intervention if we were to do it again, and why?
- 1223 • Do you have any further thoughts or reflections about this study?

1224 Additional file 3: Intervention fidelity checklist

1225

1226

Session recording scoring sheet – Intervention sessions

1227 **Dietitian name:**

1228 **Date:**

1229 **Participant ID:**

1230

Element	1	0	Comments
Provide information on benefits of changing diet (e.g. the medical and personal benefits, thinking of the intervention not as a diet but a medication/treatment).			
Goal setting and a plan of action: (i.e. set or discuss/review goals to change behaviour).			
Social support (e.g. identify relevant family/friends who can offer support; other people also on keto diet e.g. via cooking classes)			
Relapse prevention strategies (e.g. ways to avoid eating certain foods; not putting themselves in certain situations)			
Review the outcome of their goals and plans (i.e. were the patient's achievement of their goals/progress discussed).			
Discuss (potential) barriers and how to overcome these (e.g. side effects, potential negative influence of family members).			

Self-monitoring/feedback (e.g. monitoring blood every day; monitoring mood).			
Discuss participant's performance (including success/failure) and provide feedback.			
Discuss environmental restructuring options (e.g. get rid of biscuits in the cupboard).			
Discuss how doing well could be rewarded (e.g. a trip to the cinema or other treat).			
General comments.			

1231

1232 1 = element conducted; 0 = element not conducted

Pre-print version

1233 Additional file 4: Perceived benefits and down-sides of the ketogenic
 1234 diet reported during interviews
 1235

Perceived benefit	Illustrative quotation
Blood sugar levels	Plus, I didn't seem to have, like I say, I don't want to keep going on about my blood sugars, but I didn't seem to have spikes in my bloods, which I've experienced before the diet, and a bit afterwards as well (Participant 7).
Discipline and self-control	I think for a number of the participants, their dietary habits and even their meal patterns and things, they had been quite erratic. And even, you felt that that would give benefit, to be given that support and advice and then, hopefully, they would feel the benefit from the diet, as well as they started to make the changes. Because there were a few, yeah, definitely, as you say, that their patterns, the number of meals that they had, the regularity of them, wasn't, it wasn't normal. But I think, yeah, I think it helped to give them that support and direction to make changes around that as well (Research Dietitian 3).
Energy stability	I would say that I think it is initially lower energy, but ultimately more stable energy and no deficit in a sense, but also definitely, it doesn't, in a sense the low energy probably is a good thing if you're trying to avoid mania, or extreme mixed episodes. So I would say those things it does do (Participant 8).
Generally feeling healthier	Like I say, just the enlightenment of how much diet actually affects you and whether it's feeling better physically improves your mental health or whether it's specifically just affecting my mental health, I don't know (Participant 11).
Mood stability	I think even within the first two weeks, I felt like I got my brain back. It was an exceptionally stressful time, and it was nothing to do with the study. It just was in my life, like a lot of stress or highly stressful things to deal with. But I managed okay. I kept things to myself. I kept thinking to myself other times in my life this would've sent me under by now. These things would've sent me under, into some spiral of either high anxiety or depression (Participant 1).

1236

Perceived benefit	Illustrative quotation
Self-efficacy	So yeah, it's given me confidence to go forward with it as sort of just a way of doing things. I've done all the hard work of working it all out (Participant 13).
Reduced alcohol consumption	And it's actually altered my entire approach to using alcohol other than as a nice drink with a meal, you know, it's a good thing. And it's very positive, yeah (Participant 15).
Reduced cravings	I found myself snacking much less because the fat immediately stopped my cravings, levelled me out, which is great. But obviously four meals a day I'm not used to that so I had a meal, lunch in the evening, which was new but I enjoyed it (Participant 14).
Weight loss	Well what I liked about it was the weight loss because I put on far too much weight ... I was like, this is sensible weight loss and look at this, I'm still eating the same plate of food and it didn't feel at any time that I was having to go hungry (Participant 13).

1237

Perceived down-side	Illustrative quotation
Changes to bowel habits	Bowel issues definitely changed, I would say they definitely slowed down and so I take selenium husk probably once a day usually and that's fine, but if I don't do that then I definitely get constipation which I've never had before actually (Participant 14).
Feeling disheartened	No. well, the only time is that time, when I returned my stats that day, I was feeling awful, so I did say that (Participant 11).
Impact on exercise	I guess it impacted exercise to a certain extent. I think I still continued to exercise regardless of feeling a bit fatigued and low in energy because I do use it as a self-management tool (Participant 15).
No benefit	I do not believe that adopting a ketogenic diet for six to eight or ten weeks ... I don't think you can verify if that helps in any way (Participant 5).
Sleep difficulties	There was sometimes that I couldn't sleep as well actually (Participant 6).
Smoking behaviour	I ended up smoking more which wasn't a great side effect (Participant 4).

1238

1239

1240 Additional file 5: Suggestions for developments in future trials

1241

Category	Suggestions by participants	Suggestions by research clinicians
Behavioural	Incorporating a physical activity component alongside dietary component of the intervention.	-
Communication	Ensuring more detail about the realities of the diet and commitment are communicated to participants to set clear expectations.	
	Making more resources available in digital formats.	-
	Promoting the extensive of support for participants in the intervention at the point of recruitment.	-
	Providing individualised feedback to participants based on their test results.	-
	Simplifying written materials and resources associated with the dietary intervention and research project.	-
Delivery	Ensuring research clinicians have experience of this sort of intervention.	Ensuring sufficient capacity among research clinicians to reduce the participant caseload.
	Increasing the number of pre- and post-intervention testing sites (i.e. more hospitals), to reduce cost and disruption to participants.	Increasing numbers among research clinicians, including ensuring the clear delegation at times of staff absence.
Delivery (cont.)	Incorporating a longer trial or transition period to support participants to make the substantial dietary changes required.	Linking to participants' existing treatment and care teams, for example having access to medical records and communicating with primary care and psychiatry colleagues.

1242

1243

Category	Suggestions by participants	Suggestions by research clinicians
Material	Providing education or cooking lessons for preparing ketogenic meals.	Ensuring participant expenses reimbursement system is working efficiently.
	Providing support for the purchasing of appropriate foods, for example money, vouchers or direct provision of food parcels and prepared meals.	-
Psychological	Incorporating a psychological therapeutic component, such as talking therapy, alongside dietary component of the intervention.	-
Social	Incorporating a peer support mechanism, such as a buddy system, to support transition to diet, adherence and motivation.	Providing additional targeted support for most at risk individuals, such as newly diagnosed or those with complex family or work circumstances.
Technological	Providing more video call options for diet review meetings, as opposed to telephone reviews.	Converting materials and resources to digital copies, to reduce amount of paper and increase efficiency.
	Using apps and continued blood monitoring devices to automate the data collection process for mood questionnaires and ketone levels.	

1244