Assessing Dengue Virus Importation Risks in Africa: A Climate and Travel-Based Model

3

Authors: Jenicca Poongavanan, Msc¹, José Lourenço, PhD^{2,3}, Joseph L.-H. Tsui, Msc⁴,
Vittoria Colizza, PhD^{5,6}, Yajna Ramphal, Bsc¹, Cheryl Baxter, PhD¹, Moritz U.G. Kraemer,
PhD, Prof^{4,7}, Marcel Dunaiski, PhD⁸, Tulio de Oliveira, PhD, Prof^{1,9}, Houriiyah Tegally,
PhD¹

- 8
- 9 1 Centre for Epidemic Response and innovation (CERI), Stellenbosch University,10 Stellenbosch, South Africa
- 11 2 BioISI (Biosystems and Integrative Sciences Institute), University of Lisbon, Lisbon,
- 12 Portugal
- 13 3 Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Medical School, Biomedical Research Center, Lisboa,
- 14 Portugal
- 15 4 Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- 16 5 Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique
- 17 (IPLESP), Paris, France
- 18 6 Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, District of Columbia, USA
- 19 7 Pandemic Sciences Institute, University of Oxford, UK
- 20 8 Computer Science Division, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch
- 21 University, Stellenbosch, South Africa
- 22 9 KwaZulu-Natal Research Innovation and Sequencing Platform (KRISP), University of
- 23 KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa
- 24
- Corresponding authors: Hourilyah Tegally (<u>hourilyah@sun.ac.za</u>) and Tulio de Oliveira
 (tulio@sun.ac.za)
- 27
- 28 Keywords: Arboviruses, Dengue, Introductions, Mobility, Africa, Risk Flow
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33

34

35 Abstract

36 Background: Dengue is a significant global public health concern that poses a threat to 37 Africa. Particularly, African countries are at risk of viral introductions through air travel 38 connectivity with areas of South America and Asia that experience frequent explosive 39 outbreaks. Limited reporting and diagnostic capacity hinder a comprehensive assessment of 40 continent-wide transmission dynamics and deployment of surveillance strategies in Africa. 41 This study aimed to identify African airports at high risk of receiving dengue infected 42 passengers from Asia, Latin America and other African countries with high dengue 43 incidence.

44

45 Methods: The risk of dengue introduction into Africa from countries of high incidence in 46 Africa, Latin America and within Africa was estimated based on origin-destination air travel 47 flows and epidemic activity at origin. We produced a novel proxy for local dengue epidemic 48 activity using a composite index of theoretical climate-driven transmission suitability and 49 population density, which we used, along with travel information in a risk flow model, to 50 estimate importation risk.

51

Findings: We find that countries in East Africa face higher estimated risk of importation from Asia and other East African countries, whereas for West African countries, larger risk of importation is estimated from within the region. Some countries with high risk of importation experience low local transmission suitability which likely hampers the chances that importations lead to local transmission and establishment. Conversely, Mauritius, Uganda, Ivory Coast, Senegal, and Kenya are identified as countries susceptible to dengue introductions during periods of persistent transmission suitability.

59

Interpretation: Our work improves data driven allocation of surveillance resources, in regions
of Africa that are at high risk of dengue introduction and establishment, including from
regional circulation. This will be critical in detecting and managing imported cases and can
improve local response to dengue outbreaks.

- 65 Funding: Rockefeller Foundation, National Institute of Health, EDCTP3 and Horizon Europe
- 66 Research and Innovation, World Bank Group, Medical Research Foundation, Wellcome
- 67 Trust, Google.org, Oxford Martin School Pandemic Genomics programme, John Fell Fund.

69 **Research in context**

70 Evidence before this study

71 Despite the significant global burden of dengue virus, Africa remains relatively understudied 72 due to limited reporting and diagnostic capabilities. We searched PubMed for articles in 73 English published on and before May 6, 2024, that included "Dengue OR dengue", "Africa", 74 and "importation OR imported". Few studies have investigated the introduction of dengue 75 into African countries. Limited evidence includes phylogeographic studies describing a 76 potential introduction of dengue from Brazil into Angola in 2013 and evidence of multiple 77 historical introductions of dengue from Asia to Africa over several years. Before our study, 78 none had employed a modelling framework to investigate the continental risks of importing 79 dengue via viremic travellers into African countries from other regions of high dengue 80 incidence.

81

82 Added value of this study

This study provides a novel approach to assessing the risk of dengue importation into Africa, integrating climate-dependent transmission suitability and air travel data. By identifying high-risk regions and highlighting the complex interplay between travel patterns, population density, and climatic factors, our findings enhance the understanding of ongoing dengue dynamics in Africa. This information enables targeted allocation of surveillance resources, improving preparedness and response to potential dengue outbreaks in susceptible regions.

89

90 Implications of all the available evidence

91 The integration of transmission suitability as a proxy for local epidemic activity and air travel 92 data into a risk flow metric provides valuable insights into the risk of dengue importation into 93 African airports from high-incidence countries. These findings have implications for tailored 94 surveillance and prevention strategies in high-risk regions, facilitating early detection and 95 management of potential imported dengue outbreaks in Africa.

97 Introduction

98 Dengue is an arthropod-borne virus with four main serotypes and is a member of the genus 99 Flavivirus of the family Flaviviridae (1,2). It is thought to cause between 5.2 million and 390 100 million infections around the world every year, mostly transmitted by *Aedes aegypti* and *Ae*. 101 *albopictus* mosquitoes (3.4). Approximately half of the global human population is estimated 102 to currently live in areas that are environmentally suitable for dengue transmission (3,5,6). 103 Dengue is now endemic in more than 100 countries, with reported outbreaks predominantly 104 in Central America, South America, and Southeast Asia, and has recently established 105 epidemic cycles in parts of Africa and North America (6–8).

106

107 Despite the widespread distribution of mosquito vectors in Africa (9) and favourable 108 transmission conditions characterised by high temperatures and increased urbanisation 109 (7,10,11), severe gaps exist in our understanding of the transmission intensities of the virus 110 on the continent. Limited diagnostic capacity and reporting on dengue incidence make it 111 difficult to assess the true burden of dengue in Africa (3,5). Similarity of symptoms with 112 other febrile illnesses such as malaria also play a role in underdiagnosis (12,13). Consensus 113 of evidence studies have reported that dengue transmission is endemic in 34 countries in the 114 African region (14,15). It is therefore speculated that dengue is more widespread in Africa 115 than previously thought. In 2023 alone, 16 countries in Africa reported large dengue 116 outbreaks (16).

117

118 Risk assessment for dengue in Africa has to consider several factors. Given frequent 119 explosive dengue outbreaks in South America and Asia, African countries are, for instance, at 120 risk of constant viral introductions through air travel connectivity. Once introduced, dengue 121 can theoretically spread rapidly in large parts of Africa due to the presence of suitable 122 vectors, appropriate environmental conditions and limited population immunity. Many initial 123 dengue infections are also prone to be asymptomatic, which can lead to underreporting and 124 unnoticed spread (1). While primary dengue infections are rarely fatal, secondary infections 125 with a different dengue virus serotype can be highly problematic, potentially causing severe dengue fever (17). Even in countries where dengue is thought to be endemic, the importation 126 127 of dengue genetic variants either from high incidence South American or Asian countries can 128 exacerbate the burden of disease, favour serotype diversity and seed new explosive outbreaks.

130 The potential for dengue importation into a given location via viremic travellers is a function 131 of transmission occurring in the origin country and the volume of travellers from such 132 country to the destination country of interest. It is well documented that increased 133 international travel and trade have facilitated dengue's global spread and mixing of viral 134 serotypes and genotypes (10,6,18,19). The influence of human mobility on pathogen spread 135 has previously been characterised e.g. for influenza (20,21), Zika (22) and more recently, and 136 very extensively, for SARS-CoV-2 (23,24). Human mobility also plays a key role in shaping 137 individuals' interactions with disease-carrying vectors and consequently affecting viral 138 transmission (25,26). Understanding the combination of factors driving dengue introduction 139 and onward transmission is crucial for effective surveillance, prevention, and control in 140 Africa in the absence of antiviral treatment, adequate diagnosis, and widespread vaccine 141 cover. This study aimed to identify African airports at high risk of receiving dengue infected 142 passengers from Asia, Latin America and other African countries with high dengue 143 incidence. In doing so, it identifies potential high risk areas and optimal periods (at a monthly 144 scale) during which enhanced disease surveillance could contribute more to local public 145 health.

146 Methods

147 2.1 Data

148 2.1.1 Air Travel

149 The air travel flow data used in this study was obtained from the International Air Transport 150 Association (IATA). It comprises the number of origin-destination passenger tickets and 151 accounts for any connections at intermediate airports for the year 2019. We opted for the year 152 2019 to reflect a recent customary year of travel preceding disruptions due to the COVID-19 153 pandemic. The data comprises monthly passenger volumes from 14 high incidence countries 154 outside of Africa and 18 countries within the African continent that reported dengue outbreak 155 in the last 10 years (selection described further down) to 54 African countries, encompassing 156 all commercial airports (n = 197) in both the source and destination regions.

157 2.1.2 Transmission Suitability Estimate

158 We sourced spatio-temporal estimates of climate-based transmission suitability of dengue 159 (referred to as index P) developed and made accessible by estimates from (7) Nakase et al. 160 (2023; https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21502614). The index used in this study quantifies 161 the transmission capacity of a single adult female mosquito throughout its lifetime in a 162 completely susceptible host population, incorporating factors such as infectious periods and 163 oviposition. For a more detailed explanation and validation of the P index, see (7), which 164 provides an in-depth analysis and evidence supporting model, including spatio-temporal 165 validation with regional dengue data from Brazil and Thailand. The index P metric utilises 166 local temperature and humidity time series (spatial pixel resolution used was $0.25^{\circ} \square \times \square 0.25^{\circ}$ 167 $(\sim 28 \square \text{ km2})$ as primary inputs, which enables its application to any location with available 168 climate data. For more detailed discussions on how climatic factors like temperature and 169 rainfall affect mosquito biology and dengue transmission, see e.g. (27–29).

170

171 We post-processed raw climate-based transmission suitability P estimates to define periods of 172 *persistence suitability*. Since P measures the number of hosts a single infected female may 173 transmit DENV to during its infectious lifespan, a threshold of P > 1 represents the potential 174 for epidemic expansion (i.e. single infected mosquitoes would transmit to more than one 175 host). Following this rationale, periods of persistence suitability are then defined as the 176 months where P > 1.0.

177 2.1.3 Population Density

Population density at a given location significantly influences dengue transmission due to its role in determining the availability of human hosts for mosquito biting and thus the virus. To consider population density, we extracted the population count of each district within countries from the Gridded population of the World, using administrative (level 1) boundary data from the GADM database (30). To calculate the population density of a province, we aggregated the population count values of grid cells intersecting the province boundary and divided by the respective areas

185 2.2 Transmission suitability as a proxy for case counts

186 To address the challenge of missing or insufficient dengue case data, we explored the 187 possibility of using climate-based transmission suitability (index P) as a proxy. To assess the

viability of replacing dengue case data with transmission suitability, we conducted a preliminary correlation test between transmission suitability and monthly dengue cases for countries in which monthly dengue case data was available (see supplementary figure S1, p.1). Moreover, using transmission suitability instead of case data would allow for a more fine scaled understanding of dengue activity in a country, surpassing the resolution typically available at the national level.

194

195 For this study, we considered countries outside of Africa with large outbreaks of dengue in 196 2019 as flagged by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) 197 (accessed on 10th May 2023; https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/dengue). These were 198 predominantly in Latin America and Asia. Monthly dengue case data from these countries 199 were then extracted from public health organisations such as the Pan American Health 200 Organisation (PAHO; www3.paho.org), from governmental health reports or bulletins and 201 from statistical bureau websites (governmental reporting websites, WHO reports, etc). The 202 inclusion criteria for countries of high dengue incidence used in this study was based on the 203 availability of monthly case data in 2019 as it allowed for reliable testing of the transmission 204 suitability proxy and use of travel data for the same year. This resulted in a final selection of 205 14 countries of high dengue incidence in Latin America and Asia, namely: Brazil, Bolivia, 206 Peru, Sri Lanka, Vietnam, Malaysia, Columbia, Thailand, Nicaragua, India, Bangladesh, 207 Cambodia, Singapore and Belize. We also extracted province-level case data where openly 208 available. In the correlation coefficient computation we also incorporated data from two 209 African countries, Burkina Faso and Mauritius, for which monthly data for the year 2019 210 were available.

211

212 We use the Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient to examine the relationship between 213 transmission suitability and monthly dengue case counts for 16 countries. Following the 214 methodology used by (7), we computed correlation coefficients between case counts and 215 transmission suitability with various month lags (month+0, month+1 and month +2). We 216 found (see supplementary figure S1, p.1) a significant positive correlation between 217 transmission suitability and dengue cases for most countries, with the highest association at a 218 lag of one month (12 out of 16 countries), corroborating previous analyses (7). The strength 219 of the association ranged from -0.204 to 0.954. For some countries (n = 3), we found a weak 220 correlation which could be caused by several factors, such as: i) limited case data obscuring 221 seasonal patterns, which results in poor alignment with the index, ii) the national-level index

222 failing to accurately reflect the overall case distribution if cases are concentrated in specific 223 regions. Correlation between case data and transmission suitability was also calculated at 224 higher resolution (State level) for Brazil, and the strongest relationship was also found at a 225 one month lag (see supplementary figure S2, p.2). Thus, for the rest of the presented analyses, 226 transmission suitability with a one-month lag was used. Subsequently, we included 18 227 African countries that have experienced a dengue outbreak in the past decade as reported in 228 the WHO Afro reports. The 18 countries were: Angola, Benin, Burkina Faso, Chad, 229 Comoros, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Mali, Mauritania, Mauritius, Niger, Nigeria, 230 Senegal, Tanzania, Togo and Sao Tome and Principe.

231

232 While the transmission suitability index serves as a valuable indicator of dengue risk in a 233 given region, its standalone consideration provides only an answer to whether climate is 234 suitable for transmission. Additional factors, such as the presence of the host and vector, are 235 crucial for a more accurate assessment. Specifically, Aedes mosquito density data, along with 236 host density and various other environmental factors (e.g. precipitation, vector habitat 237 distribution, etc) that influence the spatial distribution of vectors, remain relevant for a 238 complete understanding of the biological system. The main reason why such actors are often 239 left out of modelling approaches is the lack of sufficient data with adequate spatio-temporal 240 resolution. In this study, advancing on others based solely on climate-based suitability for 241 vectors or viral transmission, we address one extra factor by incorporating human population 242 density alongside the transmission suitability index. Using Spearman's Rank correlation 243 coefficient, we also examine the correlation between dengue cases and the composite index at 244 a provincial level (see supplementary figure S3, p.3). We thus define a composite index (\Box) : 245

which captures the combined influence of the transmission suitability and the population
density at the district level, by multiplying both elements (see supplementary figure S4 and
S5, p.4).

- 249
- 250

251 2.3 Risk Flow Metric

252 The importation risk to each airport (destination) in each African country was estimated as 253 the probability of importing a case from each state (origin) within each country of high 254 incidence, accounting for the origin-destination travel flows and their different, estimated 255 transmission suitability from the originating states. For cross-country comparisons, we 256 aggregated the resulting risk of introduction from each airport to the country level, and for 257 visualisation purposes, we calculated the average risk across each airport over the 12-month 258 2019). period (January December

- 259
- 260 The methodology was adapted from (31) and is as follows:
- 261 Risk flow $(\Box_{\Box\Box})$ from origin state \Box to destination airport \Box is calculated by:

$$r_{i\infty} = \frac{t_i n_i A_{i\infty}}{\sum_j t_j n_j}$$

262

263 where \Box_{\Box} is the combined transmission suitability and population density of state *i*, \Box_{\Box} is the 264 travel flux from the origin state \Box , $\Box_{\Box\Box}$ is the probability of a traveller flying from \Box to \Box , 265 conditioned on travelling internationally from \Box (by construction, $\Sigma_{\alpha} \Box_{\Box\Box} = 1$). The 266 denominator accounts for the transmission suitability in various states and the potential risk 267 associated with travellers from different origin states. It is constructed as the sum product of 268 all transmission suitability and travel flows originating from each individual state (denoted by 269 j). This normalisation process ensures that the impact of each state's transmission suitability 270 and travel flow is proportionally represented in relation to the collective risk from all origin 271 states.

272

273 The total risk of case importation to destination α is then given by

$$R_{\propto} = \sum_{i} r_{i \propto}$$

274 This risk is normalised such that $\Sigma_{\alpha} \Box_{\Box} = 1$.

275

To summarise and compare the overall introduction risks of dengue into African countries from high incidence countries in Asia and South America, we aggregated the risk originating from Asia, South America and Africa separately by summing it across all airports to the

district level and then calculating the average value over all months. We then computed the proportions of risk coming from Asia, South America and Africa for each district. To further explore the dynamics between introduction risk and the local transmission suitability, we applied cosine similarity, a measure of synchrony between two time series. Cosine similarity helped us evaluate how closely the temporal patterns of introduction risk align with local transmission suitability. The cosine similarity ranges between 0 and 1, with 0 being less synchronous and 1 being highly synchronous.

286

287 Additionally, in aggregating the risk for destination countries, we conducted a secondary 288 computation of risk emphasising the locations characterised by persistence suitability (see 289 section 2.1.2). This was done by excluding any estimate of risk that occurred outside of times 290 of persistence suitability (i.e. when index $P \ll 1$) in respective destination locations. In other 291 words, we filter only for estimated risks that are most likely to lead to onward transmission 292 and local outbreaks. We term this introduction suitability while we term the risk based on the 293 non-filtered values the raw introduction risk. It is important to note that the resulting risk 294 values should not be interpreted in absolute terms but rather as indicators of the direction and 295 timing of risk introduction, highlighting where and when the risk is coming in.

296 Results

297 Dengue introduction risks from high incidence Asian and South American countries 298 into Africa

299 Several countries in Africa are estimated to have high transmission suitability for dengue and 300 simultaneously high population density, indicating elevated potential for local transmission 301 following viral introductions (Supplementary Figure S4, p.4). At the same time, certain 302 countries on the continent are receiving variable, and sometimes high volumes of 303 international passengers from various Asian and South American countries (Supplementary 304 Figure S6, p.5). In 2019, over 504 million travellers entered the African continent from the 14 305 high-incidence countries. Of the total number of travellers, 39% originated from Southeast 306 Asia, 34% from South Asia and 27% from South America (Supplementary Figure S6, p.5). 307 The months with the highest travel volume entering the African continent from these places 308 were November, December, and January.

310 The total risk flow of dengue from countries with high incidence rates, from Asia and South 311 America showed that South Africa and Egypt were the countries at higher risk of dengue 312 importation, generally considered as popular tourist destinations receiving large volumes of 313 vacationers, followed by Kenya, Angola, Morocco, Seychelles and Mauritius (Figure 1). 314 Egypt is exposed to significant risk primarily originating from Asia, specifically its biggest 315 risk coming from Malaysia. Kenya, Mauritius, Tanzania, and Uganda exhibit an elevated risk 316 of introduction from India. Risks from Singapore were also high, especially towards 317 Mauritius, South Africa and Egypt. Risks from Vietnam are relatively low across the entire 318 continent, with the most significant potential impact observed in South Africa and Angola. 319 Additionally, Morocco and Nigeria are identified as regions with a considerable risk of 320 disease introduction from Nicaragua.

321

322 Results revealed a general trend that countries in the southern and eastern African region are 323 faced with higher risks of dengue importation from Asia, whereas central, western and a 324 portion of northern African countries face higher risk of introduction from South America. 325 South Africa is estimated to receive large introduction risks from both origin regions. When 326 considering the raw risks of introductions overlayed onto the local transmission suitability 327 index across Africa, it becomes clear that high risk of introduction is not necessarily linked to 328 high transmission suitability (Figure 1). For instance, the high risks of introduction into South 329 Africa may not necessarily translate to transmission locally due to very low dengue 330 transmission suitability.



332 Figure 1. Mean risk of dengue introduction into African countries in 2019 from 14 333 countries in Asia and Latin America. The risk of dengue introduction into African 334 countries from 14 origin countries in Asia and Latin America is represented by circles on the 335 African continent. The size of the circles represent the size of the risk averaged over the 12 336 months for each airport in Africa. The colour of the circles represents the country from which 337 the risk is coming from and the fill colour of those countries are consistently matched. The 338 fill colour of the African continent represents the index of transmission suitability multiplied 339 by population density to highlight the hotspots of high transmission at the destination.

340

341 Dengue introduction risks within Africa

342 The analysis of dengue introduction risk into African countries from other African countries 343 with dengue circulation revealed significant regional patterns. We identified that countries in 344 West Africa and East Africa exhibit higher importation risks, particular from regional 345 transmission, compared to other regions (Figure 2). High importation risks are estimated into 346 countries such as Kenya, Tanzania, Cameroon and Gabon. A notable pattern is that the 347 importation risk within the East African region primarily originates from within the region 348 itself, a trend also observed for West Africa. In contrast, countries in Northern Africa, such as 349 Libya and Tunisia and those in Southern Africa, such as South Africa, exhibit lower dengue 350 importation risks from the African continent itself.



352 Figure 2: Mean risk of dengue introduction into African countries in 2019 from 18 353 African countries with Dengue circulation. Originating African countries considered here 354 are Chad, Sao Tome and Principe (Central Africa), Comoros, Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania 355 (East Africa), Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Guinea, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Togo 356 (West Africa), Angola, Mauritius (Southern Africa) and Mauritania (Northern Africa). The 357 risk of dengue introduction into African countries is represented by circles on the African 358 continent coloured by the corresponding region of the originating African country. The size 359 of the circles represent the size of the risk averaged over the 12 months for each airport in 360 Africa. The border for African countries considered as originating locations in the study are

outlined in black. The fill colour of the African continent represents the index of transmission
 suitability multiplied by population density to highlight the hotspots of high transmission at
 the destination.

364

365 Spatiotemporal variation in risks of introduction and local transmission suitability

366 In addition to quantifying the risk of dengue introductions from countries of high incidence in 367 Asia and South America we evaluated the timing of these introductions and whether they 368 would arrive at periods of high local transmission suitability in Africa. For this, the monthly 369 introduction risk was mapped to local transmission suitability at the airport level in Africa. 370 Egypt was estimated to be at high risk of dengue introduction during the second half of the 371 year from both Asia and South America (Figure 3). This temporal pattern aligns with a 372 concurrent period of heightened transmission suitability within the country, which could 373 indicate a higher likelihood of viral introductions leading to onward transmission. To 374 quantify the synchrony between the estimated viral importation risk and the local 375 transmission suitability, we computed the cosine similarity (CS) between the different time-376 series, which revealed a CS of 0.311 for estimated risks from Asia and 0.147 from Latin 377 America into Egypt (see Supplementary Table S1, p.10). In fact in 2023, Egypt experienced a 378 large dengue outbreak around September to November (32), which coincides with peaks in 379 estimated risk and transmission suitability. Angola and Ethiopia both were estimated to have 380 a continuous risk of disease introduction from Asia throughout the year. However, in Angola, 381 the transmission suitability was predicted to decline during the months of July, August, and 382 September. Mauritius was also estimated to be at high risk of introduction from Asia. The 383 cosine similarity between the risk of introduction and transmission suitability for Mauritius 384 was 0.437 for Asia and 0.183 for South America. On the other hand, we observed high risks 385 of dengue introduction into South Africa but, in general, the country exhibited a low 386 transmission suitability throughout the year, indicating these potential introductions as likely 387 impasses for transmission. In Nigeria, the majority of the high risk of introduction from South 388 America were estimated to occur during periods of lower local transmission suitability. 389 Angola and Mauritius were identified as being at high-risk of suitable introductions, given the 390 combination of high and synchronous risk of introduction from Asia (see Supplementary 391 Table S1, p.1).





394 Figure 3. Time-varying risk of introduction into selected African countries in 2019. The 395 blue, red and orange lines represent the risk of importation from Asia, South America and 396 Africa, respectively, and the dotted line represents the total risk of importation from both 397 continents and African countries across the year. The risk of importation was aggregated at 398 the national level by summing the individual risks from all airports within each African 399 destination country. The blue bars represent the time-varying transmission suitability index. 400 The CS value represents the cosine similarity between the two timelines, where a value of 0 401 indicates low synchrony and a value of 1 indicates high synchrony. Here, we demonstrate 402 countries that had the most synchronicity between transmission suitability and risk of 403 introduction - see supplementary S7, p.6 for additional countries.

- 404
- 405

406 **Overall introduction suitability in Africa**

We then integrated the risk of dengue importation from 14 countries outside of Africa with that from 18 African countries to gain a clearer understanding of the proportion of importation risk originating from within the African continent compared to that from external sources. We filtered for risk estimates that are most likely to lead to onward transmission: introduction suitability risk, that is, risks that occur during periods of persistence suitability

(P>1). Figure 4A illustrates the aggregated raw risk of dengue introduction throughout the year 2019 across individual provinces or districts (administrative level 1) within each African country, and aggregated as originating either from Latin America, Asia, West, East, Central, Northern or Southern Africa. Conversely, Figure 4B presents the aggregated introduction suitability of dengue into Africa. Notably, in South Africa, where the transmission suitability (P) remains consistently below 1 throughout the year, the risk of dengue evolving into an outbreak from an introduction is negligible.

419

420 In our analysis, a noteworthy shift in risk proportionality emerged when focusing on 421 introduction suitability compared to raw risk. For example, in the case of Dakar in Senegal, 422 initially, 87.7% of the total risk was attributed to West African region, followed by 3.9% 423 from South America and 6% from Northern Africa. However, when looking at periods of 424 persistence suitability for Senegal, the risk distribution shifted and the proportion of risk 425 attributed to Northern African regions, rose to 50.4%, followed by South America with 426 41.5%. This outcome underlines the importance of understanding not only the geographic 427 origin of importation risks but also the specific temporal and spatial context in which the 428 potential introductions can occur. Focusing on introduction suitability risk, countries in the 429 West and East African regions exhibited significant risks of dengue importation. Notably, the 430 highest risks for these countries were associated with regions within Africa itself (Figure 5).

431



434 Figure 4: Overall proportional risk of importation into African countries before and 435 after adjusting for period of persistence suitability (transmission suitability greater than 436 1). A) The proportion of risk from Asia, South America and African regions throughout the 437 year for each district. The size of the pie charts are proportional to mean risk of importation 438 across the year and the coloured pies represent the origin continent/region. We zoomed in on 439 specific countries within the plot, namely: Ghana, Togo, Benin, Nigeria, Rwanda, Uganda, 440 Senegal Tanzania, Egypt, Sudan, Ethiopia, and Kenya. B) The proportion of risk from 441 Asia, South America and African regions across the year, for months with high persistence 442 suitability. We zoomed in on specific countries within the plot, namely: Ghana, Togo, Benin,



Figure 5: Risk of Dengue importation into various African countries, grouped by
regions: East Africa, West Africa, Central Africa, Northern Africa and Southern
Africa. Boxplot of risk across months and districts with high persistence suitability. Africa in
orange, Aisa in blue and South America in red.

449

450 Discussion

In this study, we investigated the temporal and spatial intersections between high risk of introduction and heightened local transmission suitability of dengue in African countries. The exploration of dengue introduction into Africa is imperative due to the potential implications for onward transmission. To this end, we constructed an importation suitability map to depict

the spatial and temporal hotspots of dengue introduction and establishment into Africa fromhigh incidence countries in Asia,Latin America, and regionally from other African countries.

457

458 We identified that the heterogeneity of the risk across Africa is dependent on the distribution 459 of dengue activity across different provinces or states in the countries of high incidence and 460 their connection to destination airports in Africa (see supplementary figure S8, p.9). Further, 461 we found that seasonal variation plays a crucial role. For example, in India, major outbreaks 462 tend to occur after the monsoon season and thus have a higher transmission suitability during 463 these seasons (monsoon season spans from June to September) (33-35) and thus we also 464 estimate a higher risk of dengue importation from India to African countries following the 465 monsoon season (see supplementary figure S8, p.9).

466

467 This research presents an alternative risk assessment by considering modelled dengue transmission suitability (7) rather than reported incidence, compared to other studies using 468 469 case incidence which might suffer from substantial underreporting (36–39). The correlation 470 analyses revealed a robust association between transmission suitability and dengue cases for 471 countries where high resolution spatiotemporal case records were available, with a significant 472 correlation observed at a one-month lag (see supplementary figure S1, p.1). Our findings are 473 consistent with previous research, demonstrating that 96% of municipalities in Brazil and 474 95% of provinces in Thailand exhibited incidence dynamics with 0-3 months time delays 475 relative to estimated transmission suitability (7). Our correlation further supports the utility of 476 using transmission suitability as a proxy for local dengue circulation.

477

478 Increased international travel and trade have facilitated the global spread of dengue from 479 endemic to non-endemic regions, by enabling the movement of infected individuals and 480 mosquito vectors between regions (6-8,10). The risk flow results presented here highlight the 481 substantial risks of dengue introduction into certain parts of the African continent from Asia, 482 South America, or regionally from other African countries through human mobility. We show 483 that the risk of importation to African countries is highly heterogeneous. The results produced 484 by our model suggest that major airports in South Africa, Egypt, Morocco, Kenya, Sevchelles 485 and Mauritius, are potential hotspots for the importation of dengue-infected travellers from 486 high-incidence countries. However, the analysis also revealed important geographical 487 nuances: for example, the broader Eastern African region, and parts of Southern and Africa, 488 are confronted with elevated risks of dengue importation from Asia, while Central, and

489 segments of Northern and Southern Africa are more susceptible to introductions from South 490 America (albeit often not suitable introductions). This is consistent with a recent 491 phylogeographic study which found that all four dengue serotypes were introduced on 492 multiple occasions to Africa, primarily from South or Southeast Asia since the 1940s (40). 493 This highlights the significance of our study in obtaining high-resolution and recent temporal 494 and spatial trends for the vulnerability of Africa to dengue introductions.

495

496 In contrast, our analysis of the risk of importing dengue from within the continent reveal 497 areas of the continent where a substantial portion of the risks tends to come from within the 498 region, underscoring the importance of intra-continental transmission dynamics. This is 499 estimated to be the major driver of cross-country introduction in West Africa, and to a lesser 500 extent in East Africa. This is supported by recent phylogeographic reconstructions which 501 showed frequent introductions and reintroductions of the dengue virus within the western 502 African region, as well as similar patterns in the eastern part of the continent (40,41). While 503 Asia represents the second largest contributor to dengue risk, particularly impacting the East, 504 West and Central African regions, the internal risk within Africa remains a primary concern. 505 To put this in context, Africa exhibits relatively similar or closely aligned spatial 506 characteristics in transmission suitability across its regions and therefore, it is expected that 507 intra-continental risk remains predominant. This spatial similarity reinforces the 508 interconnectedness of African regions in the context of disease transmission dynamics. On 509 the other hand, there is also a substantial contribution from Asia particularly in East Africa, 510 and towards the island nation of Mauritius, indicating that these countries are vulnerable to 511 introductions both from within the continent and from Asia.

512

513 It remains crucial not to disregard regions with high population density but that currently 514 express low transmission suitability, such as Ethiopian highlands or high altitude regions of 515 Tanzania. Despite their dense population, these regions have historically shown low 516 transmission suitability values. However, with the potential impacts of future global climate 517 change, these areas may become increasingly susceptible to local dengue outbreaks. By 518 integrating appropriate travel models, this approach can be adapted to predict dengue risk 519 under various climatic conditions, providing valuable insights for future public health 520 planning.

522 To translate the high risks of introduction into the notion of introduction suitability, that is 523 considering potential introductions that are highly likely to cause onward transmission in the 524 destination countries, it was important to understand the specific temporal and spatial patterns 525 of the risk flow estimates. The temporal analysis of estimated importation risks and local 526 transmission suitability revealed the optimal times to conduct increased surveillance, as the 527 times when the risks of introduction and the potential for transmission are simultaneously 528 high. Therefore, the temporal synchrony between estimated introduction risks and 529 transmission suitability has important implications for which viral introductions are predicted 530 to have the ability to contribute to local outbreak risks.

531

532 Epidemiological and genomic surveillance are critical public health measures to mitigate 533 disease burden, and also to understand the spread and evolution of pathogens. At present, 534 there is no approved antiviral treatment for dengue and supportive care is the only option 535 (17–19). However, two dengue vaccines have shown great promise (Takeda and Butantan 536 vaccines) (20). Genomic surveillance can provide critical information about viral 537 transmission landscape prior to upcoming dengue vaccine rollouts. To determine the optimal 538 locations for conducting genomic surveillance in Africa, it is also important to have a 539 quantitative understanding of the actual risk of a dengue virus epidemic at country level, 540 particularly given limited resources to implement surveillance programs. This study's 541 findings point to the fact that emphasis should be placed on targeted monitoring during 542 periods when the likelihood of risks escalating into an outbreak is higher, and within areas 543 prone to introductions during time of persistence suitability. In turn, in light of introduction 544 risks, genomic surveillance for dengue enables public health officials to monitor the 545 circulating serotypes while remaining vigilant for the emergence of novel strains or 546 genotypes. In the particular case of dengue, introductions could lead to outbreaks with 547 worsened disease outcomes in a population that has already been exposed to a different 548 serotype of the virus, and depending on the temporal sequence of serotype circulation. This is 549 a growing concern in Africa and elsewhere given the number of countries in which dengue is 550 now well established and serotype co-circulation is becoming universal. Importantly, our 551 modelling approach can also be used for other continents, namely Europe and North America 552 where dengue epidemic activity is increasing.

553

This study should be interpreted in light of several limitations. First, this study presents relative introduction and establishment risks calculated from ecological, statistical, and

556 mathematical models but does not present direct estimates of the number of expected 557 introductions. Further, due to paucity of genomic and traveller testing data the models 558 presented here have yet to be validated. In countries that perform disease surveillance of 559 incoming travellers, the history of travel of positive dengue cases could serve as validation 560 data to this type of modelling study. Rapidly expanding volume of available dengue genomes 561 will soon make it possible to reconstruct high resolution transmission dynamics to validate 562 introduction models presented here. Additionally, the measure of transmission suitability 563 used for the source locations does not account for specific control measures in place, 564 potentially impacting the accuracy of the estimated dengue incidence. It also does not take 565 into account the presence and abundance of mosquito vectors. Despite this limitation, the 566 chosen transmission suitability index P seems to produce realistic estimates for dengue 567 incidence in source locations, considering variations in testing and reporting practices 568 globally. Thirdly, while our findings are founded upon the current travel networks, it is 569 imperative to acknowledge the dynamic nature of travel patterns. Given the expansive reach 570 of air travel and the transformative impact observed during the COVID-19 pandemic, we 571 must remain vigilant to the possibility of shifts in dengue introduction risks. This is 572 particularly relevant as more areas are becoming suitable for transmission of dengue. 573 Furthermore, the connectivity between African countries is only captured here through air 574 travel data, while the true connection between neighbouring countries would also be 575 dependent on road travel networks and information about the porosity of borders, data which 576 is much more difficult to obtain. Finally, models like the one employed in this study rely on 577 air travel data and do not account for the role of trade in moving infected mosquitoes between 578 countries (e.g. in tyres); this should be considered in future work.

579

580 In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the complex dynamics of dengue 581 importation risks into Africa, while making a distinction as to the source of those potential 582 introductions. The incorporation of estimated transmission suitability for dengue and 583 population density in risk assessment enhances the accuracy of predictions. The temporal and 584 spatial analyses highlight specific regions and times that warrant intensified surveillance and 585 public health interventions given the likelihood of potential introductions that would lead to 586 local outbreaks. These findings contribute to a more nuanced understanding of global dengue 587 dynamics, and importantly focus on informing further surveillance on the Africa continent 588 and globally.

589 Data Availability Statement

- 590 Proprietary air travel data are commercially available from the International Air Transport
- 591 Association (https://www.iata.org/) databases. Transmission suitability (index P) estimates
- are available at <u>https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21502614</u>. Risk estimates computed from
- this study can be found at:
- 594 <u>https://github.com/CERI-KRISP/Dengue_Importation_Risk_Modelling.git</u>
- 595

596 Declaration of interests

- 597 We declare no competing interests.
- 598

599 Contributors

600

H.T. and J.P. conceptualised and designed the study. J.P. analysed data, executed all primary data visualizations, and wrote the original draft. V.C. accessed the travel data. Y.R. collected and curated epidemiological data. M.D., T.d.O, V.C. and M.U.G.K. interpreted data. J.L. and J.L.-H.T. contributed to data analysis and visualisation. C.B. and T.d.O acquired funding for this project. H.T., M.D., T.d.O supervised the study. All authors had full access to all the data in this study. All authors reviewed and edited the final draft. All authors had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

608 Acknowledgements

609 CERI and KRISP are supported in part by grants from the Rockefeller Foundation (HTH 610 017), the National Institute of Health USA (U01 AI151698) for the United World Antiviral 611 Research Network (UWARN), and the INFORM Africa project through IHVN (U54 612 TW012041), Global Health EDCTP3 Joint Undertaking and its members as well as Bill & 613 Melinda Gates Foundation (101103171), European Union's Horizon Europe Research and 614 Innovation Programme (101046041), the Health Emergency Preparedness and Response 615 Umbrella Program (HEPR Program), managed by the World Bank Group (TF0B8412), the 616 Medical Research Foundation (MRF-RG-ICCH-2022-100069), and the Wellcome Trust 617 (228186/Z/23/Z). M.U.G.K. acknowledges funding from The Rockefeller Foundation (PC-

618 2022-POP-005), Google.org, the Oxford Martin School Programmes in Pandemic Genomics 619 & Digital Pandemic Preparedness, European Union's Horizon Europe programme projects 620 MOOD (#874850, also V.C.) and E4Warning (#101086640), a Branco Weiss Fellowship and 621 Wellcome Trust grants 225288/Z/22/Z, 226052/Z/22/Z & 228186/Z/23/Z, United Kingdom 622 Research and Innovation (#APP8583) and the Medical Research Foundation (MRF-RG-623 ICCH-2022-100069).. J.L.-H.T. is supported by a Yeotown Scholarship from New College, 624 University of Oxford. V.C. acknowledges funding from Horizon Europe grants ESCAPE 625 (101095619) and VERDI (101045989); EU Horizon 2020 grant MOOD (H2020-874850, 626 paper 874850, also to M.U.G.K.). The content and findings reported herein are the sole 627 deduction, view and responsibility of the researcher/s and do not necessarily reflect the 628 official position and sentiments of the funding agencies.

629

630 Funding Statement

The funders had no role in data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of themanuscript, or the decision to submit it for publication.

639

641 Supplementary Appendix

642 Figure S1



643

- 645 Figure S1: Temporal correlation between monthly dengue cases from different origin
- 646 countries to Transmission potential without and with 1 and 2 months lag (p-values ranging
- 647 from 0.001 to 0.65).



Figure S2: Temporal correlation between monthly dengue cases from different states in Brazil to Transmission suitability without and with 1 and 2 months lag. The p-values for the

652 correlations ranged from 0.0000103 to 0.671.

Figure S2

648





655Correlation between Dengue Cases : Population Density* Mean Index P656Figure S3: Correlation between Dengue Cases and Population Density multiplied by Mean657Index P: This plot illustrates the relationship between the number of dengue cases and the658interaction of population density with the mean transmission potential (index P).





Figure S4: The figure shows the composite index of transmission suitability multiplied by
Population Density (t_i), used in this study. Here we only show for the 14 countries of high
incidence and the African continent, i.e., only for the origin and destination countries used in
this study.

675 Figure S5



Figure S5: A) The map background uniformly represents the transmission suitability of dengue (mean index P) across Africa, with the continent divided into five distinct regions. B) The population density across Africa and C) Transmission suitability multiplied by population density for Africa. We also divide the African continent into 5 regions: Eastern (light blue), Middle (Green), Northern (Purple), Southern (dark blue) and Western Africa (pink) which are outlined on the map.



685

686 Figure S6: This figure depicts the proportion of travel volumes from Asia, Southeast Asia,

and South America to African countries over the course of one year.

689 Figure S7

690 A





Risk from - Africa - Asia - South America - Total Risk Transmission Potential





704

705 706

707 Figure S7: Time-varying risk of introduction into African countries in 2019 from Asia (blue 708 line) and South America (red line) along with time-varying transmission suitability index 709 nationally. The combined risk is represented by the black dotted line. Panel A) Chad, 710 Gambia, Lesotho, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, Sao Tome and Principe, Somalia. B) Comoros, 711 Libya, Swaziland. C) Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Congo, Djibouti, Eritrea, Guinea Bissau, 712 Liberia, Sierra Leone. D) Botswana, DRC, Gabon, Guinea, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritania, 713 Zimbabwe. E) Algeria, Cameroon, Central African Republic, EquatorialGuinea, Ghana, Ivory 714 Coast, Namibia, South Sudan, Sudan and Tunisia.

Figure S8 716



717 718 Figure S8: Risk of Exportation from countries of high incidence (n=14) considered in this 719 study overlaid across their respective transmission suitability across the year.

720 721

722 Table S1: Annual risk of dengue importation into various countries and the cosine similarity 723 between the risk of importation and the local transmission suitability. The cosine similarity 724 values highlight the level of synchrony between the timing of importation risk and 725 726 transmission suitability.

Destination Country	Africa		Asia		South America	
	Mean Annual Risk	Cosine Similarity	Mean Annual Risk	Cosine Similarity	Mean Annual Risk	Cosine Similarity
Algeria	8.58793E-05	0.058328731	4.75473E-05	0.092625456	0.000128602	0.099100722
Angola	0.000871512	0.190891518	0.001993496	0.452737102	0.000366653	0.274175799
Benin	0.003930211	0.389007711	3.47791E-06	0.228437938	1.68932E-06	0.396225317
Botswana	3.51387E-05	0.215154412	2.13379E-05	0.117967166	2.78784E-05	0.194705357
Burkina Faso	0.006646177	0.385177331	4.09467E-06	0.286753612	1.88572E-06	0.087784552
Burundi	0.000837797	0.611547737	2.34401E-06	0.011767048	1.54542E-07	0.642582104
Cameroon	0.008447926	0.295619467	2.95063E-05	0.153247624	2.19541E-05	0.181770533

-						
Central African Republic	0.000218083	0.353990993	3.91664E-05	0.177757447	9.86087E-06	0.23872773
Chad	0.000274719	0.369497313	1.53444E-06	0.238037186	2.49248E-08	0.316180995
Comoros	0.001294683	0.209741644	4.3464E-07	0.27279957	-	-
Congo	0.000586055	0.324397585	4.35204E-06	0.14248563	1.69903E-05	0.016785495
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.001303986	0.393800624	8.2923E-06	0.162595074	7.14804E-06	0.132166652
Djibouti	0.001532898	0.494685428	7.89399E-06	0.187134913	3.04414E-08	0.316606702
Egypt	0.000936993	0.099743346	0.001444715	0.310977716	0.003022812	0.146581553
Equatorial Guinea	0.001953211	0.381572116	0.00014837	0.130329526	4.96267E-06	0.316211807
Eritrea	0.008478351	0.777500496	9.86055E-07	0.4451418	3.4245E-08	0.26141747
Ethiopia	0.005274767	0.150157274	0.000581153	0.00676671	0.000591047	0.194017404
Gabon	0.007230396	0.375102928	8.65829E-06	0.27379809	4.1067E-06	0.336726972
Gambia	0.003186559	0.458145605	8.03388E-06	0.201716686	0.005653556	-
Ghana	0.00955636	0.351897748	0.000170407	0.150931437	5.47708E-05	0.295709752
Guinea	0.003630093	0.383805206	1.21755E-05	0.19985256	1.44646E-07	0.407950221
Guinea-Bissau	0.001072629	0.457622528	-	-	-	-
Ivory Coast	0.020974173	0.325717678	6.57972E-05	0.12354295	0.00107263	0.215319706
Kenya	0.005731245	0.036114895	0.000988367	0.069622909	0.001494575	0.154600402
Lesotho	3.56208E-07	0.15289133	1.02055E-05	0.094732527	3.14016E-07	0.0085123
Liberia	0.002223941	0.552593253	3.04256E-06	0.280318149	9.93E-08	0.24756365
Libya	0.015078074	0.398317605	2.5673E-07	0.299733067	-	-
Madagascar	0.002337154	0.049387505	2.94347E-05	0.168038552	4.71908E-07	0.260730525
Malawi	0.000321708	0.300413857	5.119E-06	0.158354803	1.16176E-06	0.211343472
Mali	0.015824618	0.480114221	3.1599E-05	0.151662472	2.32159E-06	0.191559015
L	1	1	1	1	1	1

Mauritania	0.001212314	0.455332323	1.61734E-05	0.438664787	6.37599E-07	0.364489628
Mauritius	9.70938E-05	0.130737683	0.001849354	0.437307709	5.49418E-05	0.183208942
Morocco	0.003680877	0.032089383	0.000168915	0.062747594	0.001201956	0.08396825
Mozambique	8.16851E-05	0.265098023	1.54534E-05	0.158473509	2.75049E-05	0.302516564
Namibia	0.001218896	0.379170333	4.50418E-06	0.243176458	2.5075E-05	0.084827259
Niger	0.006007527	0.373429595	8.00839E-06	0.196077917	2.6152E-08	0.332386386
Nigeria	0.002684687	0.175464736	0.000385632	0.129285607	0.003900263	0.166146102
Rwanda	0.001544029	0.534617381	7.87532E-05	0.082448877	7.02317E-06	0.178981757
Sao Tome and Principe	0.000170228	0.616702222	-	-	1.15721E-05	0.02254764
Senegal	0.022102646	0.354560297	2.50289E-05	0.078299913	0.00144425	0.090820404
Sierra Leone	0.000356306	0.414192321	4.02423E-06	0.020192397	1.28825E-08	0.690094408
Somalia	0.001317879	0.315245767	1.73787E-05	0.082469611	3.80101E-05	0.21881435
South Africa	0.005954194	0.123350931	0.001192238	0.102518352	0.003235918	0.100622363
South Sudan	0.001536735	0.43148707	1.00949E-05	0.183587161	0.001606043	0.081165463
Sudan	0.001331234	0.292155652	0.000214187	0.196758845	0.000140615	0.248052223
Swaziland	0	-	5.24909E-07	0.024587963	1.42E-08	-
Tanzania	0.004724326	0.252885566	6.78168E-05	0.121105075	3.23203E-05	0.161858017
Togo	0.002531176	0.526981151	4.93146E-06	0.141542277	5.21319E-06	0.274707511
Tunisia	0.00353866	0.030401063	9.32356E-05	0.085759411	0.00045281	0.223887708
Uganda	0.006595113	0.294246386	0.00036009	0.174638736	0.00022749	0.139245862
Zambia	0.000214647	0.324477152	3.77893E-05	0.088560608	1.53366E-05	0.200134605
Zimbabwe	0.00058781	0.146458059	1.07493E-05	0.201679279	1.38175E-05	0.094483847

728 References

- 729 1. Gubler DJ. Dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever. Clin Microbiol Rev.
 730 1998;11(3):480–96.
- 2. Paz-Bailey G, Adams LE, Deen J, Anderson KB, Katzelnick LC. Dengue. The
 Lancet. 2024 Feb 17;403(10427):667–82.
- 3. Brady OJ, Gething PW, Bhatt S, Messina JP, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, et al.
- Refining the global spatial limits of dengue virus transmission by evidence-basedconsensus. 2012;
- 4. Stanaway JD, Shepard DS, Undurraga EA, Halasa YA, Coffeng LE, Brady OJ, et al.
- The global burden of dengue: an analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013.
- 738 Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(6):712–23.
- 5. Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The
 global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 2013 Apr;496(7446):504–7.
- 6. Murray NEA, Quam MB, Wilder-Smith A. Epidemiology of dengue: past, present
 and future prospects. Clin Epidemiol. 2013;299–309.
- 743 7. Nakase T, Giovanetti M, Obolski U, Lourenço J. Global transmission suitability maps
 744 for dengue virus transmitted by Aedes aegypti from 1981 to 2019. Sci Data. 2023 May
 745 12;10(1):275.
- 746 8. Yang X, Quam MBM, Zhang T, Sang S. Global burden for dengue and the evolving
 747 pattern in the past 30 years. J Travel Med. 2021 Dec 1;28(8):taab146.
- 748 9. Kraemer MU, Sinka ME, Duda KA, Mylne AQ, Shearer FM, Barker CM, et al. The
 749 global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. elife.
 750 2015;4:e08347.
- 751 10.Gubler DJ. Dengue, urbanization and globalization: the unholy trinity of the 21st
 752 century. Trop Med Health. 2011;39(4SUPPLEMENT):S3–11.
- 11. Morin CW, Comrie AC, Ernst K. Climate and dengue transmission: evidence and
 implications. Environ Health Perspect. 2013;121(11–12):1264–72.
- 12. Khan W, Zakai HA, Khan K, Kausar S, Aqeel S. Discriminating clinical and
 biological features in malaria and dengue patients. J Arthropod-Borne Dis.
 2018;12(2):108.
- 13. Nyenke CU, Nnokam BA, Esiere RK, Nwalozie R. Dengue Fever: Etiology,
- Diagnosis, Prevention and Treatment. Asian J Res Infect Dis. 2023;14(1):26–33.
- 760 14. Bosire C, Mutuku F, Ndenga B, Nzaro M, Mwendwa K, LaBeaud AD. A narrative

- 761 review of dengue fever infection and epidemic activity in Kenya (2010 to 2020). PAMJ -
- 762 One Health [Internet]. 2023 Oct 16 [cited 2024 Mar 19];12(10). Available from:
- 763 https://www.one-health.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/12/10/full
- 15. Gainor EM, Harris E, LaBeaud AD. Uncovering the Burden of Dengue in Africa:
- Considerations on Magnitude, Misdiagnosis, and Ancestry. Viruses. 2022 Feb;14(2):233.
- 16. WHO Afro Region. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 2023, vol.78. Wkly Epidemiol
- 767 Rec. 2023;78(22):279–86.
- 768 17. Halstead SB. Global Perspectives on Dengue Research. 2000;
- 18. Wilder-Smith A, Gubler DJ, Weaver SC, Monath TP, Heymann DL, Scott TW.
- Epidemic arboviral diseases: priorities for research and public health. Lancet Infect Dis.
 2017;17(3):e101–6.
- 19. Ryan SJ, Carlson CJ, Mordecai EA, Johnson LR. Global expansion and redistribution
- of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
 2019;13(3):e0007213.
- 20. Charu V, Zeger S, Gog J, Bjørnstad ON, Kissler S, Simonsen L, et al. Human
 mobility and the spatial transmission of influenza in the United States. PLoS Comput
 Biol. 2017;13(2):e1005382.
- 21. Merler S, Ajelli M. The role of population heterogeneity and human mobility in the
 spread of pandemic influenza. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2010;277(1681):557–65.
- 22. Bogoch II, Brady OJ, Kraemer MUG, German M, Creatore MI, Brent S, et al.
 Potential for Zika virus introduction and transmission in resource-limited countries in
 Africa and the Asia-Pacific region: a modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016 Nov
 1;16(11):1237–45.
- 23. Kraemer MU, Hill V, Ruis C, Dellicour S, Bajaj S, McCrone JT, et al. Spatiotemporal
 invasion dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B. 1.1. 7 emergence. Science.
 2021;373(6557):889–95.
- 787 24. Tegally H, Wilkinson E, Tsui JLH, Moir M, Martin D, Brito AF, et al. Dispersal
 788 patterns and influence of air travel during the global expansion of SARS-CoV-2 variants
 789 of concern. Cell. 2023;186(15):3277–90.
- 790 25. Soriano-Paños D, Arias-Castro JH, Reyna-Lara A, Martínez HJ, Meloni S, Gómez-
- Gardeñes J. Vector-borne epidemics driven by human mobility. Phys Rev Res.
 2020;2(1):013312.
- 26. Stoddard ST, Morrison AC, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Paz Soldan V, Kochel TJ, Kitron
- U, et al. The role of human movement in the transmission of vector-borne pathogens.

795 PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2009 Jul 21;3(7):e481.

- 796 27. Liu-Helmersson J, Stenlund H, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. Vectorial Capacity of
- Aedes aegypti: Effects of Temperature and Implications for Global Dengue Epidemic
- 798 Potential. PLOS ONE. 2014 Mar 6;9(3):e89783.
- 28. Ebi KL, Nealon J. Dengue in a changing climate. Environ Res. 2016;151:115–23.
- 800 29. Mordecai EA, Cohen JM, Evans MV, Gudapati P, Johnson LR, Lippi CA, et al.
- 801 Detecting the impact of temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue, and chikungunya
- using mechanistic models. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017 Apr 27;11(4):e0005568.
- 803 30. Obolski U, Perez PN, Villabona □ Arenas CJ, Thézé J, Faria NR, Lourenço J. MVSE:
- An R□package that estimates a climate□driven mosquito□borne viral suitability index.
 Methods Ecol Evol. 2019;10(8):1357–70.
- 31. Global Administrative Areas. GADM Database. GADM Database Glob Adm Areas
 [Internet]. 2019; Available from: www.gadm.org.
- 808 32. Gilbert M, Pullano G, Pinotti F, Valdano E, Poletto C, Boëlle PY, et al. Preparedness
- and vulnerability of African countries against importations of COVID-19: a modelling
 study. The Lancet. 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):871–7.
- 33. Frank C, Lachmann R, Wilking H, Stark K. Increase in dengue fever in travellers
 returning from Egypt, Germany 2023. Eurosurveillance. 2024 Feb 1;29(5):2400042.
- 813 34. Barik P, Goswami P. Quantifying the Impact of Rainfall Categories on Dengue
- 814 Occurrence: A Multi-Site Analysis in India. 2023;
- 35. Mutheneni SR, Morse AP, Caminade C, Upadhyayula SM. Dengue burden in India:
 recent trends and importance of climatic parameters. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2017
 Aug;6(8):e70.
- 818 36. Shil P. Rainfall and dengue occurrences in India during 2010–2016. Biomed Res J.
 819 2019;6(2):56.
- 820 37. Gardner LM, Fajardo D, Waller ST, Wang O, Sarkar S. A Predictive Spatial Model to
- Quantify the Risk of Air-Travel-Associated Dengue Importation into the United Statesand Europe. J Trop Med. 2012 Mar 14;2012:e103679.
- 823 38. Huang YM. Contributions to the mosquito fauna of Southeast Asia. XIV. The 824 subgenus Stegomyia of Aedes in Southeast Asia I-The scutellaris group of species. 1972;
- 825 39. Semenza JC, Sudre B, Miniota J, Rossi M, Hu W, Kossowsky D, et al. International
- dispersal of dengue through air travel: importation risk for Europe. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
- 827 2014;8(12):e3278.
- 40. Tatem AJ, Rogers DJ, Hay SI. Global transport networks and infectious disease

- spread. Adv Parasitol. 2006;62:293–343.
- 830 41. Alfsnes K, Eldholm V, Gaunt MW, de Lamballerie X, Gould EA, Pettersson JHO.
- 831 Tracing and tracking the emergence, epidemiology and dispersal of dengue virus to
- Africa during the 20th century. One Health. 2021;13:100337.
- 42. Cattarino L, Rodriguez-Barraquer I, Imai N, Cummings DA, Ferguson NM. Mapping
- 834 global variation in dengue transmission intensity. Sci Transl Med.
- 835 2020;12(528):eaax4144.