

Title: Usage of AMH as a determining test of fertility in women with polycystic ovary 2 syndrome (PCOS)

Department of Gynecology Oncology of the Jagiellonian University, Krakow.

Address for correspondence:

Rita Grabowska

UFZ

Leipzig, De

mobile phone: +44 07749 032 691

email: r.blocinska@gmail.com

Authors:

Rita Grabowska^{1,2},

¹Department of Gynecology and Oncology of the Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

² Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research GmbH - Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

PCOS is the most common hormonal disorder and cause of infertility in females of reproductive age. The symptoms and their severity vary strongly between particular cases. PCOS is correlated with hormonal, environmental and genetic factors. Complex interactions between genetics and hormonal levels is important to understand the hormonal abnormality and to assess the chance of pregnancy in women with PCOS.

The research was conducted on patients in the age of 27+/-5 years treated in the 33 Gynecology and Oncology Clinic of CMUJ. The research group - PCOS patients (P) n=62. The control group - (C) n=45. The venous blood was collected in volume of 2 ml centrifuged for 15 min at 1400 rpm. Serum was aspirated to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. The ELISA method was used.

The statistical analysis revealed significant differences in the level of selected factors between the two groups at $p < 0.01$. FSH [IU/ml]: P 5,10 ($\pm 1,64$) vs K 8,96 ($\pm 6,15$) LH [IU/ml]: P 8,59 ($\pm 6,79$) vs K 11,0 ($\pm 6,15$) AMH [ng/ml]: P 4,06 ($\pm 2,43$) vs K 1,47 ($\pm 2,14$). AMH levels in the PCOS group did not show a significant difference in correlation with age. Obese and overweight women in both groups had significantly different levels of AMH compared with normal-weight women. Furthermore, AMH levels were positively correlated with the age of the first period in the PCOS. The studies indicate a high use of the hormones like FSH and AMH in the diagnosis and assessment of ovarian reserve in women with PCOS.

Key words: ovarian reserve, PCOS, AMH, infertility

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) was discovered in 1844 by Cherau and described by Stein and Leventhal [1]. Despite the intensive research, its pathogenesis remains largely unknown [2]. PCOS occurs in females of all ethnic backgrounds and is the most common hormonal disorder and cause of infertility in females of reproductive age [2,3]. The symptoms include irregular menstrual cycles, hirsutism, acne, and obesity and their severity vary strongly among particular cases [4]. PCOS is correlated with hormonal, environmental and genetic factors [5]. Many authors describe complex interactions between genetics and hormonal levels. To assess the chance of pregnancy in women with PCOS it is important to understand the hormonal abnormality which is often done using the antimüllerian hormone (AMH) and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) tests [6]. FSH is responsible for the growth and development of the preantral follicles and "protects" them from apoptosis [7,8]. In addition, this hormone activates the aromatase P450, which is responsible for the conversion of the androgens to the estradiol in the cortex of the ovary [9]. The granulosa cells under the influence of FSH begin to produce gonadotrophin surge-inhibiting factor (GnSIF), which by means of the negative feedback begins to inhibit the release of the luteinizing hormone (LH), which plays a key role in the process of ovulation and luteinisation [10,11]. Preantral follicles under the influence of FSH also begin to produce inhibin α (INH α) and inhibin β (INH β), which primarily inhibit FSH secretion by the gonadotropic cells. LH can stimulate the secretion of inhibins (INHs) by granulosa-lutein cells after the induction of LH receptors' expression by FSH [27]. Antimüllerian hormone (AMH) is produced exclusively by granulosa cells of ovarian follicles [12] and may have a regulatory effect on the folliculogenesis. Experiments in mice suggest that AMH inhibits the initiation of growth in the primary follicles [13,14], but it is also involved in the growth regulation of antral, 76 preantral and small follicles by inhibiting their sensitivity to FSH [10]. In his experiments, [10] showed that AMH inhibits the epidermal growth factor (EGF), which promotes proliferation of human granulosa cells, which is reflected in the regulation of aromatase P450 activity by 79 the expression of FSH and LH receptors [15,16]. The inhibitory effect of AMH through the acquisition of sensitivity to FSH by the ovary follicles in the later stage of folliculogenesis was confirmed in *in vivo* studies [15,17]. Moreover, AMH may be involved in regulating the cyclic recruitment of follicles during the transition from the luteal-menstrual phase [18,19]. So far, in women there is sufficient evidence that AMH in the preantral and antral follicles is responsible for its desensitization 85 on FSH [20]. All of these factors are responsible for the proper development of follicles and their growth and functioning. In addition, AMH and FSH increasingly are considered as predictors of ovarian reserve, and when reproductive ovarian function is disturbed these factors can be 89 used as biomarkers of existing pathological conditions.

The Aim

The aim of this study was to assess the distributions of FSH, LH and AMH levels related to age, the age of the first menarche and the body mass index (BMI) of women with PCOS.

Subjects and methods

The study was conducted on two groups of patients with an average age of 32+/-5 years treated in the Gynecology and Oncology Clinic of CMUJ, Krakow. The research has been approved by the bioethical commission of UJ CM (KBET/21/B/2009).

Patients were qualified for the study based on their medical history, a general medical 101 examination, a gynecological examination, and their agreement to take part in the research expressed by signing the Patient Aware Consent form. Patients were diagnosed with PCOS by using the Rotterdam criteria listed below (inclusion criteria for group 1).

Blood were taken from PCOS and uterine fibroid patients. These patients had not been treated hormonally during the 6 months preceding the research. BMI was calculated from: body mass/height²

The first group consists of PCOS patients both with and without insulin resistance. The second group is a control group consisting of patients who were not diagnosed with PCOS and were treated by surgery on uterine fibroids (5 laparoscopic myomectomies, 28 myomectomies by laparotomy, 12 total hysterectomy without adnexal laparotomy). Inclusion into the research groups was based on the following criteria:

Group 1

- irregular periods or secondary amenorrhea
- an enlarged ovary with over 12 small follicles of 2-8mm in diameter (USG-TV ultrasonography-TV examination)
- biochemical symptoms of hyperandrogenism (dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate [DHEAS], testosterone [T] , dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA])

Group 2 (control group)

- ruled out PCOS
- negative Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT)

The first research group consisted of PCOS patients (P) n=62. The second group was 125 composed of patients without PCOS and infertility suffering from uterine fibroma (C) n=45. 2 ml of venous blood was collected. Immediately after collection the samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 1400 rpm. Serum was aspirated and transferred to 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes and stored 3 months at -20 C. Baseline blood samples were collected between days 3 and 7 of the menstrual cycle. The biochemical analysis was performed by the ELISA method. The 96-well plates (Biokom) were incubated with the blood serum (50 µl per well) for 12 h at 4 Celsius degrees. Each plate was washed of 3x200 µl in PBS (pH 7.0) using an automatic scrubber. The plates were incubated with the primary antibody at a dilution of 1:10,000 (Thermo Scientific). The time of incubation was 12 h at the temperature of 4 degrees. After that the next step was a 2h incubation with the secondary antibody labeled with HRP (Horseradish peroxidase) and a 15 minute incubation with TMB (3,3',5,5'-137 tetramethylbenzidine, Thermo Scientific). Immediately after adding stop solution (1 nM HCL, Thermo Scientific) the plates were read by using an ELISA reader with the KC Junior program. The theoretical sensitivity of each method was 0.009 ng/ml.

Measurements were performed in duplicate. The standardization curve included 8 steps at the stage $r = 0.95$.

Statistical methods

Group values for outcome measures are reported as the mean \pm SD (standard deviation). Variables which were not normally distributed were log transformed before analysis by parametric methods. Anthropometric data as well as hormonal concentrations were compared by using the Student's t- test. The relationships between serum AMH, LH, FSH, age and first period as independent variables were assessed by simple and/or multiple linear regression analysis, and Pearson (r) correlation coefficients were presented. Two sided significance levels were reported. Differences were considered significant at the $P < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA software v. 10 (StatSoft, USA, 2011).

Results

The women of the two groups did not differ significantly in age and BMI (Table 1). FSH levels were significantly lower in women with PCOS compared with control subjects ($P < 0.001$) Table 1. LH levels were higher in the control group than in the PCOS group ($P < 0.001$) Table 1. The concentrations of AMH were significantly higher in the PCOS group compared with the control group ($P < 0.001$) Table 1.

FSH [IU/ml] : P 5,10 ($\pm 1,64$) vs K 8,96 ($\pm 6,15$) LH [IU/ml]: P 8,59 ($\pm 6,79$) vs K 11,0 ($\pm 6,15$) 162 AMH [ng/ml]: P 4,06 ($\pm 2,43$) vs K 1,47 ($\pm 2,14$) (Table 1).

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics and hormone levels of the control group women and women with PCOS.

AMH determinants

In the control group AMH levels were negatively correlated with age ($r = -0,81$, $P < 0,001$) and there was no significant difference in BMI. AMH levels in the PCOS group did not show a significant difference in correlation with age. Obese and overweight women in both groups (Control, PCOS) had significantly different levels of AMH compared with normal weight women (Table 2). We found a significant difference between normal-weight women with PCOS and obese women from the control group in the AMH levels (Table 2). We can find the same situation between over-weight PCOS women and both over-weight and obese control group women. Also significant differences in AMH levels are presented between obese PCOS and obese control group women (Table 2). LH and FSH levels in the two groups did not differ significantly in obese and overweight women compared with normal-weight women.

TABLE 2. Body mass effect on the AMH level.

Interactions were not observed.

Furthermore, AMH levels were positively correlated with the age of the first period in the PCOS group ($r = 0,43$, $P < 0,01$) but there was no significant correlation in the control 181 group. The number of periods in the PCOS group was not correlated with levels of AMH but in the control group a negative correlation was shown between the number of periods and AMH levels ($r = - 0,71$, $P < 0,01$). We presented a positive correlation between LH levels and AMH levels in the PCOS group, $r = 0,6282$; $P < 0,001$ and no correlation between LH 186 levels and AMH levels in the control group.

Discussion

The study showed that AMH serum levels were significantly higher in PCOS women compared with non PCOS women – the same results can be found at Joop et al. and Arabzadeh's et al. [21,22]. The high levels of AMH in the PCOS group can be connected with an ovarian dysfunction. It is well-known that the ovulation problems in PCO syndrome is connected with an increase in early follicular growth and poor selection of Graaf follicle from the increased pool [24]. A large number of immature follicles might be a source of increased production of AMH [23]. It has been shown that granulosa cells (GC) from polycystic ovaries produced 75 times more AMH than GC from healthy ovaries so it suggests that not only excessive accumulation of the antral follicle can have effect on AMH level [12, 29]. LH levels were lower in women with PCOS than in women from the control group. Therefore, we showed that the FSH level is significantly lower in the PCOS group compared to the control group in contrast to Codne et al. but we had the same results as 200 Piouka et.al. [24, 26]. The lower level of FSH and LH in PCOS women can be an effect of AMH high level which plays a important role as an inhibitory factor of steroidogenesis causing lower E2 values and it is well known that FSH and LH level is regulated by negative feedback action of E2 hormone [21, 28].

With every menstrual cycle AMH level should decrease but our study showed that there was is no significant correlation between AMH levels and the number of periods and also no correlation between AMH levels and age in the PCOS group. It can be the result of a higher primordial follicle pool at birth time or lower diminishing levels of follicle pool during childhood and menarche – Pascal et al. in his data also reported no relationship between AMH levels and age in the PCOS. Results of our study showed the relationship between AMH levels, age and the number of periods in the control group and data of Pascal and Woo et al. showed the same results between age and AMH levels [30]. We found the significant correlation between AMH levels and the age of the first period – it is novel idea to think the role of studyin AMH. The hypothesis of that is: women with PCOS can keep their ovary reproductive function longer than healthy women because of a constant level of AMH during reproductive age. The date of the study did not show the relationship between AMH levels and the first period in the control group. Our observation showed that AMH concentration was not significantly influenced by LH levels in the control group but was significantly correlated in the PCOS group which were the same results obtain by Rooji et all [21]. We did not find a relationship between FSH and AMH levels in the PCOS group but a positive correlation was observed in the control group in contrast to Pascal et al. and 221 Neoklis et al. [15, 16].

There was also no correlation between LH, AMH and FSH levels between obese, over223 weight and normal-weight women in the PCOS group in contrast to the research data of Pascal in which is showed the significant differences between obese and non-obese women with PCOS [15]. Observation of Piouka et al. agree with our study [26]. Although, no significant association between AMH levels and BMI was observed in the PCOS group.

In conclusion, AMH levels are significantly increased in PCOS which makes it a good marker for this syndrome. Moreover, high AMH level maintains ovary reproductive function in a good condition longer in PCOS women than in healthy women. Increased AMH levels in women with PCOS seem to be merely the result of increased small follicle number and directly increasing number of GC. We can say that AMH is the most important independent marker which determines the ovary function. It should be emphasized, though, that a causal relationship is at present difficult to establish and more studies are definitely needed.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the Dean of Medicine Faculty, Jagiellonian University Collegium Medicum, for financial support of this study (K/ZDS/000070).

Disclosure

All authors of the work do not have any financial relationship (within the past 12 months) with a biotechnology manufacturer, a pharmaceutical company and even other commercial 241 entity that has an interest in subject matter or materials discussed in our manuscripts.

References

- [1] Gabor T. Kovacs, Norman R. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, 2nd edn. Published by 244 Cambridge University Press. 2007.
- [2] Bart CJ. et al. Consensus on women's health aspects of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): the Amsterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored 3rd PCOS Consensus Workshop 247 Group Fertility and Sterility 2012; 97: 1.
- [3] Thatcher SS. What is Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome (PCOS)? Reproductive Science 2011;10: 400.
- [4] Orsino A. Eyk NV. Hamilton J. Clinical features, investigations and management of 251 adolescents with polycystic ovary syndrome. Paediatrics Children Health 2005; 10(10): 602–608.
- [5] Urbanek M. The genetics of the polycystic ovary syndrom. Nature Clinical Practice Endocrinology& Metabolism 2007; 3(2): 103-111.
- [6] Gleicher N, Weghofer A. Barad D. Discordances between follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in female infertility. Reproductive Biology and 257 Endocrinology 2010; 8: 64-71.
- [7] Palma GA. Argañaraz E. Barrera AD. Rodler D. Mutto AA. Sinowatz F. Biology and 259 Biotechnology of Follicle Development. The Scientific World Journal 2012 (2012), 938138, 1-14 doi:10.1100/2012/938138.
- [8] Jonard S. Dewailly D. The follicular excess in polycystic ovaries, due to intraovarian 262 hyperandrogenism, may be the main culprit for the follicular arrest Human Reproduction 263 Update 2004; 10: 2107-2117.
- [9] Nobuhiro H. Harada S. Intratumoral Aromatase in Human Breast, Endometrial, and 265 Ovarian Malignancies Endocrine Reviews 1998; 19(5): 593–607.
- [10] Paul A. Fowler, Tarja Sorsa-Leslie, William Harris and Helen D. Mason Ovarian 267 gonadotrophin surge-attenuating factor (GnSAF): where are we after 20 years of research? Reproduction 2003; 126: 689–699.
- [11] Filicori M. The role of luteinizing hormone in folliculogenesis and ovulation induction. Fertility Sterility 1999; 71(3): 405-414.
- [12] La Marca A. Volpe A. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in female reproduction: is 272 measurement of circulating AMH a useful tool? Clinical Endocrinology (Oxf) 2006; 64(6): 603-610.
- [13] Durlinger AL. Gruijters MJ. Kramer P. Karels B. Ingraham HA. Nachtigal MW. 275 Uilenbroek JT. Grootegoed JA. Themmen AP. Anti-Müllerian hormone inhibits initiation of 276 primordial follicle growth in the mouse ovary. Endocrinology 2002; 143: 1076–1084.
- [14] Sadeu JC. Adriaenssens T. Smitz J. Expression of growth differentiation factor 9, bone

- morphogenetic protein 15, and anti-Müllerian hormone in cultured mouse primary follicles 279
Reproduction 2008; 136: 195-203.
- [15] Jonard S. Dewailly D. The follicular excess in polycystic ovaries, due to intraovarian 281
hyperandrogenism, may be the main culprit for the follicular arrest. Human Reproduction 282
Update 2004; 10(2): 107-117.
- [16] Di Clemente N. Wilson C. Faure E. Boussin L. Carmillo P. Tizard R. Picard JY. Vigier
B. Josso N. Cate R. Cloning, expression, and alternative splicing of the receptor for anti-285
Müllerian hormone. Molecular Endocrinology 1994; 8: 1006-1020.
- [17] Pellatt L. Rice S. Mason HD. Anti-Müllerian hormone and polycystic ovary syndrome:
a mountain too high? Reproduction 2010; 139: 825-833 .
- [18] Broekmans FJ. Soules MR. Fauser BC. Ovarian Aging: Mechanisms and Clinical 289
Consequences. Endocrine Reviews 2009; 30 (5): 465-493.
- [19] Visser JA. De Jong FD. Joop SE. Laven L. Axel PN. Anti-Müllerian hormone: a new
marker for ovarian function. Reproduction 2006; 131: 1-9.
- [20] Xu J. Bernuci MP. Lawson MS. Yeoman RR. Fisher TE. Zelinski MB Stouffer RL.
Survival, growth, and maturation of secondary follicles from prepubertal, young, and older
adult rhesus monkeys during encapsulated three-dimensional culture: effects of
gonadotropins and insulin. Reproduction 2010; 140: 685-697.
- [21] Joop SE. Annemarie GM. Mulders GJ. Visser JA. Thermen AP. De Jong FH. Bart J.M.
Fauser Anti-Müllerian Hormone Serum Concentrations in Normoovulatory and Anovulatory
Women of Reproductive Age The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2004; 299 89(1):
318-323
- [22] Arabzadeh S. Hosseini G. Rashidi BH. Hosseini MA. Zeraatid H. Comparing serum
basal and follicular fluid levels of anti-Müllerian hormone as a predictor of in vitro 302
fertilization outcomes in patients with and without polycystic ovary syndrome. Annals of 303
Saudi Medicine 2010; 30(6): 442-447.
- [23] La Marca A. Sighinolfi G. Radi D. Argento C. Baraldi E. Carducci A. Stabile 305 G. Volpe
A. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) as a predictive marker in assisted reproductive 306
technology Human Reproduction Update 2010; 16(2): 113-130.
- [24] Homburg R. Androgen excess in polycystic ovary syndrome Human Reproduction 2009; 308
24(7): 1548-1555.
- [25] Codner E. Iñiguez G. Villarreal C. Lopez P. Soto N. Sir-Petermann T. Cassorla F. Rey
RA. Hormonal Profile in Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome with or without Type 1 311
Diabetes Mellitus The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2007; 92(12): 4742-
4746.
- [26] Piouka A. Farmakiotis D. Katsikis I. Macut D. Gerou S. Panidis D. Anti-Müllerian 314
hormone levels reflect severity of PCOS but are negatively influenced by obesity: 315
relationship with increased luteinizing hormone levels. American Journal of Physiology- 316
Endocrinology and Metabolism 2009; 296: E238-E243.
- [27] Rombauts L. Healy DL. Monash IVF, 185187 Hoddle Street Available from URL:
<http://www.libramed.pl/wpg/numeryarchiwalne/01/10.html>
- [28] Van Rooij IA. Broekmans FJ. Velde ER. Fauser BC. Bancsi LF. Jong FH. Thermen
AP. Serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels: a novel measure of ovarian reserve. Human 321
Reproduction 2002; 17: 3065-3071.

[29] Wang JG. Nakhuda GS. Guarnaccia MM. Sauer MV. Lobo RA. Mullerian inhibiting substance and disrupted folliculogenesis in polycystic ovary syndrome. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2007; 196: 77e1–5.

[30] Pigny P. Merlen E. Robert Y. Cortet-Rudelli C. Decanter C. Jonard S. Dewailly D. Elevated serum level of anti-mullerian hormone in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: relationship to the ovarian follicle excess and to the follicular arrest. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2003; 88(12): 5957-5962.

Tabele legends:

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics and hormone levels of the control group women and women with PCOS.

TABLE 2. Body mass effect on the AMH level.

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics and hormone levels of the control group women and women with PCOS.

Variable	PCOS	Control	P
Mean AMH*(ng/ml) ± SD^	4,06 ± 2,43	1,47 ± 2,14	< 0.001
Mean FSH**(IU/ml)± SD^	5,10 ± 1,64	8,96 ± 6,15	< 0.001
Mean LH***(IU/ml) ± SD^	8,59 ± 6,79	11,0 ± 6,15	< 0.001
Mean INB****(ng/ml) ± SD^	2,14 ± 0,88	2,38 ± 2,15	< 0.001
Median menstrual cycle duration (d) ± IQR^^	123 ± 45	28 ± 8	<0.001
Mean age of first period (yr)± SD^	13 ± 1,53	14 ± 1,54	0.790 NS
Mean age (yr)± SD^	32 ± 6	35 ± 7	0.300 NS
Mean Body Mass Index BMI (kg/m2) ± SD^	24,6 ± 6,8	26,6 ± 5,17	0.090 NS

*AMH -Anti Mullerian Hormone

**FSH- Follicle-Stimulating Hormone

*** LH-Luteinizing Hormone

**** INB-Inhibin beta

^ SD- standard deviation

^{^^} IQR- interquartile range

TABLE 2. Body mass effect on the AMH level.

	normal-weight	over-weight	obese
AMH* PCOS** [ng/ml]	4,35 ± 3,40 A	4,7 ± 2,4 0 [^] aB	1,31 ± 0,37 [^] b
AMH* control [ng/ml]	2,33 ± 2,00	1,21 ± 0,32 ^{^^} a	0,74 ± 0,13 ^{^^} ABb

*AMH -Anti Mullerian Hormone

**PCOS-Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

[^] - Significantly difference at $P < 0.01$

^{^^} Significantly difference at $P < 0.01$

A- Significant difference at $P < 0.05$

a -Significant difference $P < 0.01$

B – Significant difference at $P < 0.01$

b- Significant difference at $P < 0.01$