1	PREVALENCE OF HUMAN AND ANIMAL AFRICAN TRYPANOSOMIASIS IN
2	NIGERIA: A SCOPING REVIEW
3	Elizabeth O. Odebunmi ¹ , Chukwuemeka Ibeachu ¹ , Chinwe U. Chukwudi ^{2*}
4	¹ Department of Public Health, School of Health and Society, University of Wolverhampton, UK
5	² Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, University of Nigeria Nsukka. Enugu
6	State, Nigeria.
7	*Correspondence: <u>chinwe.chukwudi@unn.edu.ng</u> , <u>cuchukwudi@gmail.com</u>
8	ABSTRACT
9	Background
10	African trypanosomiasis continues to pose a substantial threat to both human and animal health in
11	sub-Saharan Africa. This study examined the prevalence of African trypanosomiasis within human
12	and animal populations in Nigeria, the trypanosome species involved, the spread of animal
13	reservoirs, and the variability in diagnostic methodologies employed.

14 Methodology/principal findings

A scoping review was performed, following the methodological framework outlined in PRISMA-ScR checklist. Eligible studies were explored for disease prevalence, causative parasites, reservoir hosts, and diagnostic techniques used. A total of 16 eligible studies published between 1993 and 2021 were retrieved. 13 studies reported the prevalence of African trypanosomiasis in animals, and 3 for humans. Varying prevalence rates were recorded depending on the host population and

20 diagnostic methods employed. The overall prevalence of Animal African Trypanosomiasis (AAT) 21 and Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT) was 27.3% (4,404/16,117) and 3.6% (72/1,974) 22 respectively. The highest incidence of HAT was detected using the Card Agglutination Test for 23 Trypanosomiasis (CATT), whereas that of AAT was observed using PCR, followed by microscopy 24 which was the most extensively employed technique in this study. The various diagnostic methods 25 used in various studies showed a variety of sensitivities and specificities, affecting the accuracy of 26 disease detection. Remarkably, domestic animals like cattle, pigs, and dogs were identified as potential reservoirs for the human-infective parasites (T. b. gambiense) in Nigeria. 27

28 Conclusion

This study highlights the high prevalence rate and complex epidemiology of African trypanosomiasis in humans and animals in Nigeria. These findings underscore the importance of comprehensive measures involving both veterinary and human health sectors to combat African trypanosomiasis effectively in Nigeria. Only few studies have investigated the prevalence of HAT in Nigeria. Hence, adequately coordinated epidemiological surveys are imperative to ascertain the true epidemiological status of HAT in Nigeria and inform targeted intervention policies to achieve WHO's NTD elimination targets in 2030.

36

37

INTRODUCTION

African Trypanosomiasis (AT) is one of the Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) with an
estimated 60 million people at risk of infection in sub-Saharan Africa, ^{1,2} as well as various animals
such as dogs, cattle, pigs, sheep and goats. ^{3, 4} This vector-borne disease, caused by a protozoan

parasite of the genus Trypanosoma, are transmitted by tsetse flies to both humans and animals. ⁵
Animal African Trypanosomiasis (AAT), also known as Nagana, is caused by various
trypanosome species, including *T. brucei*, *T. congolense*, *T. vivax*, *T. equiperdum*, *T. evansi*, *T. simiae*, *T. suis*, and *T. theileri*. ^{6, 7} While cattle are the most affected, other animals such as goats,
dogs, sheep, pigs, and wild animals are also susceptible. ⁸ *T. equiperdum* causes a venereal disease
(Dourine) in horses and donkeys, while *T. evansi*, causes a form of trypanosomiasis known as

Two sub-species of *Trypanosoma brucei* cause Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT), also known as sleeping sickness. *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* (Tbg), found in 24 countries in West and Central Africa, is responsible for 97% of all reported cases, and causes a chronic disease. *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* (Tbr), which is found in 13 countries in East and Southern Africa, is responsible for 3% of all reported cases and causes an acute disease. ¹⁰ Unlike Tbg, which mostly infects humans (although some animal reservoirs/hosts have been identified), Tbr causes disease in both humans and animals. ¹¹

The transmission of both HAT and AAT heavily depends on tsetse fly (*Glossina sp.*), exclusively found in sub-Saharan Africa. ¹² Nevertheless, maternal and sexual transmission has been indicated for HAT, although their exact contributions to the epidemiology of the human disease has not been fully explored. ¹³ The coexistence of humans, vectors, and parasites in a conducive environment in the tropical trypanosomiasis belt of Africa, coupled with the presence of animal reservoir hosts, makes HAT a significant public health challenge, with detrimental effects on health, the economy, poverty levels, and agricultural productivity.^{14, 15}

Reported cases of HAT globally have substantially declined to fewer than 1,000 cases between
2019 and 2020.¹⁰ This decline is attributed to HAT control strategies and inclusion in the Neglected

Tropical Diseases, with a roadmap aiming to eliminate gHAT as a public health concern by 2020 and zero transmission to humans by 2030.¹⁶ However, disease monitoring and epidemiological surveillance is grossly inadequate in many endemic countries.¹⁷ One of the prerequisites for a disease to be eradicable is the absence of animal reservoirs.¹⁸ Therefore, in addition to latent infections and inadequate diagnostic tools/capacity, the presence of potential animal reservoir hosts for both Tbg and Tbr is a major challenge to the complete elimination of HAT across sub-Saharan Africa including Nigeria.^{19, 20}

71 Nonetheless, it is still unclear how important these non-human hosts are in maintaining the 72 transmission of gHAT.²¹ Without addressing the knowledge gaps regarding T. b. gambiense 73 reservoirs, complete gHAT eradication remains unattainable.²² To fill this gap, adequate 74 epidemiological information about the co-circulation of human and animal trypanosomes is 75 critical, as these sites could potentially present breeding grounds for new epidemic strains of the parasites. Therefore, this study examined the prevalence of African trypanosomiasis in both 76 77 humans and animals in Nigeria, focusing on the complex interactions among disease transmission 78 dynamics, parasite variation, diagnostic techniques, and possibility of cross infection between 79 humans and animals.

80

METHODS

This study follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-ScR) four-stage framework, encompassing identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion to ensure a transparent, accurate, and high-quality reporting of the review's findings.²³

85 Search Strategy

The searches were performed using MEDLINE, CINAHL, and EMBASE in July 2023. The search terms included 'Neglected Tropical Disease,' 'African trypanosomiasis,' 'human African trypanosomiasis,' 'animal African trypanosomiasis,' 'sleeping sickness,' 'nagana,' 'trypanosoma,' 'trypanosomosis,' 'trypanosomes.' These terms were combined using Boolean operators 'OR', as well as 'AND' to retrieve relevant articles. A manual search was also performed to ensure that all relevant studies were captured.

92 Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility criteria for this review were informed by participants (P), phenomena of interest (I), and context (Co) or PICo, where P is humans/animals with AT, I is African trypanosomiasis infection and Co is Nigeria. Primary studies including cross sectional, cohort, surveys, and prevalence studies that reported animal and human African trypanosomiasis infection were included. Specific inclusion criteria included studies from Nigeria, published in English language with well detailed sample size, prevalence of trypanosomiasis in sampled population, method of diagnosis and *Trypanosoma* species identified.

100 Study Selection

Study selection was performed independently by two authors. Discrepancies between the authors were resolved through discussion until a consensus was reached. After duplicates were removed, the remaining studies were screened based on their titles and abstracts. Subsequently, non-relevant studies were excluded, and the full text of the remaining studies were assessed according to the pre-defined eligibility criteria. Finally, studies that met the eligibility criteria were included for further data extraction.

107 Data Extraction

108 Studies were extracted into a pre-prepared Microsoft Excel spreadsheet with the following 109 variables: author and year of publication, study subject (human, animal), type of study design, 110 study size, *Trypanosoma* species identified, diagnostic method used, number of positive cases, 111 prevalence, or percentages. 112 **Data Synthesis** 113 A narrative summary was performed to synthesize findings from this review. 114 **RESULTS** 115 A total of 1.977 articles were retrieved from the search of three databases. 59% (1,159) from 116 MEDLINE, 36% (724) from EMBASE and 5% (94) from CINAHL. After removal of 40 117 duplicates, 1,937 articles were screened for titles and abstracts. A total of 1,787 non-relevant 118 articles were excluded in accordance with the predetermined criteria. Following full text retrieval, 119 another 25 studies were excluded as their full texts were not accessible. Upon review of the full 120 texts of the remaining 125 articles, a total of 11 were deemed eligible for inclusion in the study. 121 An additional 5 articles were identified from a search of reference lists. Finally, 16 articles were

123 Description of eligible studies

122

All included studies were published between 1993 and 2021, with the number of study subjects ranging from 19 to 7,143 and an overall sample size of 18,091. Of the 16 included studies, three investigated human African trypanosomiasis,^{24, 25, 26} while thirteen studies reported animal African trypanosomiasis. Five of these reported infection in cattle - bovine trypanosomiasis.^{27,28, 29, 30,31} Two studies investigated trypanosomiasis infection in pigs – porcine trypanosomiasis. ^{32, 33} Three studies investigated infection in ruminants – sheep, goat, cattle, ^{34,35,36} while one study investigated

included. The study selection process is represented in table I.

130	in dogs – canine trypanosomiasis.37 The remaining two studies investigated infection in multiple
131	animal hosts including monkey. 38, 39 Table II provides a summary of the included studies.
132	Prevalence of HAT
133	A total of 1,974 individuals underwent testing for the disease across three studies. Among them,
134	72 individuals tested positive for the disease in two of the studies. ^{24,25} Notably, the third study
135	conducted did not yield any positive cases within the sampled population. ²⁶ The overall prevalence
136	of HAT as examined by these studies is 3.6% (72/1,974) with Trypanosoma brucei gambiense
137	detected as the causative organism.
138	Prevalence of AAT
139	Thirteen included studies reported AAT with an overall sample size of 16,117. The highest
140	sampled animal was cattle at 12,193, while the least is monkey at 1 sample. Others are 577 sheep,
141	418 goat, 2,639 pigs, 31 dogs, and 318 belonging to small ruminants (unspecified). Out of the total
142	sampled animals, 4,404 were found positive for trypanosomiasis infection from all the 13 studies.
143	The overall mean prevalence of AAT is 27.3% (4,404/16,117).
144	A total of 12 studies detected the animal infective trypanosomes: T. vivax, T. congolense, T. brucei,
145	T. simiae, T. evansi, T. theileri in the studied samples. However, T. vivax, T. congolense, and T.
146	brucei were the most prevalent. In addition to these trypanosomes, three of these studies detected
147	the human infective parasite Trypanosoma brucei gambiense in the animals. 33, 37, 38

148 **Diagnostic Techniques**

149 The included studies for this review utilized various diagnostic methods, including microscopy,

150 CATT (Card Agglutination Test for Trypanosomiasis), and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) in

the detection of trypanosomes. Overall, microscopy was the most utilized diagnostic technique of
eleven studies. While the remaining studies utilized CATT and PCR, individually, together or in
addition to microscopy.

154

155

DISCUSSION

156 The findings from this review, provides comprehensive insights into the prevalence, species 157 distribution, and diagnostics variations of African trypanosomiasis in humans and animals in 158 Nigeria. According to this study's findings, the prevalence of AAT in Nigeria is 27.3% (4,404 out 159 of 16,117), while prevalence of HAT is 3.6% (72 out of 1,974). This indicates that African 160 trypanosomiasis is still endemic in both humans and animals in Nigeria. The presence of various 161 trypanosome species and different diagnostic methods contributes to the complexity of this disease 162 and its significance for public health. The study identified several trypanosomes, including T. 163 vivax, T. congolense, T. brucei brucei, T. simiae, T. evansi, T. theileri and T. brucei gambiense as 164 the causative pathogens of trypanosomiasis infection in animals and humans. Additionally, the 165 most used diagnostic techniques in Nigeria, for detecting these trypanosomes are microscopic 166 examination, CATT, and PCR.

167 The Prevalence of human African trypanosomiasis in Nigeria

Human African trypanosomiasis has been included in the Neglected Tropical Diseases Road map, aiming to eliminate HAT as a public health concern by 2020 and prevent the transmission of *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* (Tbg) to humans by 2030.¹⁶ The criteria for elimination are set at fewer than 2,000 reported cases annually and no more than 1 case per 10,000 residents in areas at moderate or high risk.¹⁷ Several endemic African countries, such as Benin, Côte d'Ivoire,

Equatorial Guinea, Togo, and Uganda, have successfully eliminated gambiense Human African Trypanosomiasis (gHAT) as a public health problem.¹⁰ However, Nigeria still faces challenges in achieving this goal. This study reveals that Nigeria has a higher prevalence rate of 3.6% (72 out of 1,974) for HAT compared to 0.06% in Côte d'Ivoire and 0.88% in Uganda.^{40,41} These findings suggest that the disease is still a significant health issue in Nigeria, and the country has not yet successfully eliminated *gambiense* Human African Trypanosomiasis (gHAT) as a public health concern.

180 Despite the World Health Organization reporting eight new HAT cases in Nigeria between 2010 181 and 2016,⁴² the results of this analysis present a different perspective. During this period, three of 182 the studies included in this analysis collectively identified 72 HAT cases out of a total of 1,974 individuals assessed, with the parasites isolated from 7 individuals.²⁵ This notable difference 183 184 suggests that the actual prevalence of HAT in Nigeria might be underestimated. This could be 185 attributed to the fact that identification of HAT cases has not been incorporated into routine 186 diagnostic procedures in Nigeria's healthcare facilities despite being an endemic country.²⁵ This 187 reflects the limited attention that HAT receives in endemic regions. 43

188 Prevalence of AAT in Nigeria

This study has unveiled an overall prevalence rate of 27.3% for animal African trypanosomiasis, which is higher than the 16.1% in previous review.⁴⁴ This increased prevalence rate among animals in Nigeria raises additional concerns regarding their potential role in the transmission of African trypanosomiasis to humans. A notable finding from this study is the presence of the humaninfective *T. brucei gambiense* in pigs, dogs, and cattle.^{33,37,38} Similar findings have been documented in various sub-Saharan African nations.^{45,46,47}

195 Although the precise role of these animal hosts in transmission is not entirely clear, their presence 196 poses a potential threat to the goal of eliminating HAT transmission to humans by 2030.²² While 197 the zoonotic potential of T. brucei gambiense has been a subject of significant discussion over the 198 years, the confirmation of its presence in animal hosts highlights the possibility of transmission 199 between humans and animals. In Nigeria, the existence of these animal reservoirs could be contributing to the persistence and spread of Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT). 200 201 Furthermore, it might be a factor behind the limited success of the methods used to control and 202 eliminate gambiense HAT. Therefore, it is crucial to conduct further research to gain a 203 comprehensive understanding of the specific role played by these animal reservoirs in the transmission of HAT. 204

205 The Diagnosis of Trypanosomiasis

206 The diagnosis of trypanosomiasis relies on various methods like parasitological, serological, and 207 molecular tests, each with different levels of accuracy, ease of use, and cost.⁸ For instance, less 208 sensitive diagnostic procedures are more likely to give false negative results, leading to an 209 underestimation of the true prevalence of the disease. Conversely, more sensitive diagnostic tests 210 can detect more positive cases that might be missed by less precise methods, resulting in higher 211 reported prevalence rates.^{48,49} Consequently, the choice of diagnostic methods and their accuracy 212 can significantly affect the detection of infected individuals or animals and the reported prevalence 213 rates.50

Microscopic examination was found to be the most used method for identifying trypanosomiasis infections in both animals and humans in this review. However, this method has faced criticism for its low sensitivity, especially in cases with low parasitemia characteristic of human infections with TbG, which can result in the underestimation of HAT prevalence rates.⁵¹ On the other hand,

Polymerase Chain Reaction has been acknowledged as the preferred diagnostic method for epidemiological investigations concerning *Trypanosoma sp.* due to its high sensitivity and capacity for processing many samples.⁵² This aligns with the results of this study, where the use of both microscopy and PCR on the same group of samples revealed that microscopic examination detected a lower prevalence rate compared to PCR.

223 As observed in one of the studies, the prevalence of AAT in cattle using microscopy was 15.1% 224 while PCR detected a higher prevalence of 63.7%.³¹ This substantial gap in prevalence rates can 225 be attributed to the varying sensitivities of the two methods. Similarly, when examining T. b. 226 gambiense infections in humans using the Card Agglutination Test for Trypanosomiasis (CATT) 227 and PCR, different prevalence rates were detected.²⁵ CATT showed an overall prevalence rate of 1.8%, whereas PCR indicated a lower rate of 0.6% among the same sampled population of 1200 228 229 individuals. This discrepancy in prevalence rates between the two diagnostic techniques 230 underscores the high specificity of PCR and the potential for false-positive results from CATT.⁵³ 231 In addition, there exists a substantial likelihood of encountering false negative results, primarily 232 attributable to discrepancies in antigen types utilized in the CATT assay kit especially in Nigeria 233 and Cameroon. On the other hand, the lower prevalence detected with PCR could result from DNA 234 extraction and handling methods in view of the low parasitemia characteristic of gHAT infections 235 and may also reflect the chronicity of the infections in the sampled populations.

The variation in prevalence rates has also been linked to the capacity of diagnostic tools to identify trypanosomes down to the subspecies level. Unlike the PCR method, which has the capability to directly categorize trypanosomes based on their species classification,⁴⁶ the microscopy technique is limited in its ability to distinguish between different species and subspecies.³⁷ In this review, the


polymerase chain reaction approach was successful in detecting the human-infectious parasite *T*. *b. gambiense* in animals.^{37,38}

242 In summary, the choice of diagnostic methods significantly impacts the reported prevalence rates 243 of trypanosomiasis. This emphasizes the importance of carefully considering the methods used 244 when interpreting and comparing prevalence data across different studies and locations. However, 245 these choices are often influenced by factors like the availability of trained staff, cost, ease of use, 246 and the diagnostic tool's useability.⁵⁴ Nigeria, being a developing nation, faces challenges related 247 to limited resources, funding, and healthcare personnel. This may explain why microscopy is 248 frequently used for trypanosomiasis detection in Nigeria compared to other methods as it requires 249 minimal equipment and is a cost-effective way to detect the disease.⁸

250 Conclusion

251 This study highlighted the high prevalence rate of African trypanosomiasis infection in both humans and animals in Nigeria, evidence of the persistent threat that the disease poses to the health 252 253 of humans and animals. The identification of pigs, dogs, and cattle as reservoir hosts for the 254 human-infective parasite Trypanosoma brucei gambiense underscores the potential for cross-255 species transmission between humans and animals. Thus, highlighting the zoonotic potential of 256 gambiense Human African Trypanosomiasis (gHAT). This presents a significant challenge to the 257 2030 objective of eliminating gHAT as a public health concern and terminating its transmission to 258 human populations.

To enhance the efficacy of disease control measures, additional research is imperative to elucidate the precise roles played by animal reservoir hosts and establish more effective diagnostic techniques for the detection of the trypanosomes in low resource endemic areas.






Table II: Summary of the characteristics of the reviewed articles

Autho	Title	Aim	City/Area	Study	Study	Sample	Outcome	Result	Prevalen	Diagnost	Species
r/Year				Design	Populati	Size	Measure		ce rate	ic	Identified
					on		d			Method	
		To assess the									
		prevalence of									
		bovine						A total of 42 (3.9 %)			
	Prevalence	trypanosomo						Cattle were found to			
	of bovine	sis in Karim	Adamawa:					be infected with			
	trypanosomi	Lamido and	Formerly					trypanosomes. Out of			
	asis in	Numan local	Gongola					which 27 (64.3 %)			T. vivax
Danie	Gongola	government	(Karim	Cross-				were due to <i>T. vivax</i> ,			Т.
l et	State of	areas of	Lamido and	section			Bovine	13 (31 %) to <i>T</i> .			congolens
al.,	Northern	Gongola	Numan	al			trypanos	congolense and 2 (4.8		Microsco	е
1993	Nigeria	State.	LGAs)	Survey	Cattle	1065	omiasis	%) to <i>T. brucei</i> .	3.90%	ру	T. brucei

		То									
		investigate									
		the sensitivity									
	Prevalence	of four						A total of 19 (7.4%)			
	of	techniques						sheep and 18 (5.0%)			
	trypanosomi	currently in						goats were positive			
	asis in	use for the						giving a total infection			
	sheep and	parasitologic				615		rate of 37 (6.0%), 22			T. vivax
Danie	goats in a	al diagnosis	Bauchi	Cross-		(258	Animal	being positive with T			Т.
1 <i>et</i>	region of	of	(Alkaleri and	section		sheep	African	vivax, 9 with T.			congolens
al.,	northern	trypanosomo	Gombe	al	Sheep	and 357	trypanos	congolense and 6 with		Microsco	е
1994	Nigeria	sis.	LGAs)	Survey	Goat	goats)	omiasis	T. brucei	6%	ру	T. brucei
Enwe	Survey of	To assess the		Cross-				A total of 109 cattle			T. vivax
zor <i>et</i>	bovine	prevalence of		section			Bovine	were infected with			Т.
al.,	trypanosom	trypanosomes		al			trypanos	trypanosomes giving		Microsco	congolens
2009	osis in the	in cattle at	Kaduna	Survey	Cattle	1293	omiasis	an overall prevalence	8.40%	ру	е

	Kachia	the Kachia						of 8.4%. Out of these,			T. brucei
	Grazing	Grazing						105 (96.3%) was due			brucei
	Reserve,	Reserve						to <i>T. vivax</i> , 2 (1.9%)			
	Kaduna	(KGR)						to T. congolense, 1			
	State,							(0.9%) to <i>T. b. brucei</i>			
	Nigeria							and a mixed infection			
								of <i>T. congolense</i> and			
								<i>T. vivax</i> 1 (0.9%).			
	Investigatio	Aimed at				460		A total of 10 animals			
	n of	screening				animals		were positive for			
	livestock	livestock for			Cattle	(177		trypanosomes with an			
	for presence	possible			Sheep	cattle,		overall prevalence of			T. brucei
Enwe	of	presence of		Cross-	Goats	209	Animal	2.17 %. The			Т.
zor <i>et</i>	Trypanoso	T. b.		section	Local	sheep,	African	morphological			congolens
al.,	ma brucei	gambiense		al	dogs	61	trypanos	identification		Microsco	е
2019	gambiense	within Tafa	Niger	Survey	Monkey	goats,	omiasis	indicated the presence	2.17%	ру	T. vivax

	In Tafa	Local				12 local		of T. brucei, T. vivax			
	Local	Government				dogs		and a mixed infection			
	Government	Area (LGA)				and 1		of <i>T. brucei</i> and <i>T</i> .			
	Area of	of Niger				monkey		congolense. Details by			
	Niger State,	State,)		animal species			
	Nigeria	Nigeria.						showed 3 cattle (1.69			
								%), 6 sheep (3.02 %)			
								and 1 goat (1.64 %)			
								infected with			
								trypanosomes. Neither			
								the dogs nor the			
								monkey was positive			
								for trypanosomes.			
	Molecular	То					Bovine	A total of 3 Cattle		PCR	T. theileri
Habee	identificatio	investigate		Cross-			trypanos	were positive by	Microsco	Microsco	T. evansi
b et	n and	the	Kwara	section	Cattle	398	omiasis	microscopy,	py: 0.8%	ру	T. simiae

al.,	prevalence	prevalence of	al		representing 0.8%	PCR:	Т.
2021	of	trypanosome	Survey		prevalence, while 12	3.0%	congolens
	trypanosom	infection in			samples representing		е
	es in cattle	cattle, and			3.0% tested positive		T. brucei
	distributed	molecularly			by nested PCR. With		T. vivax
	within the	identified the			T. congolense more		
	Jebba axis	species of			prevalent (50.0%).		
	of the River	trypanosomes					
	Niger,	in infected					
	Kwara state,	cattle and the					
	Nigeria	spatial					
		distribution					
		of					
		trypanosome-					
		infected					
		herds along					

		the Jebba					 				
		axis of the									
		River Niger.									
		To evaluate						1			
		the									
		prevalence of						1			
		trypanosomo						59 cattle were infected	Cattle:		
	Observation	sis among						with trypanosomes	5.3%		
	s on the	ruminants in						giving a prevalence of	(95% CI:		
	epidemiolog	Kano State				1424		5.3% with a	4.0-		
	y of	and to				(1106		confidence interval of	6.6%)Sm		
	ruminant	elucidate			Ruminan	cattle		± 1.3 . Three out of 318	all		
	trypanosom	aspects of the		Cross-	ts	and 318	Animal	small ruminants	ruminant		T. vivaxT.
Kalu	osis in Kano	disease		section	(Cattle,	small	African	sampled were infected	s (95%		congolens
et al.,	State,	transmission		al	sheep,	rumina	trypanos	(prevalence $0.9\% \pm$	CI: -0.1	Microsco	е
1996	Nigeria	in the area	Kano	Survey	goat)	nts)	omiasis	1.0).	- 1.9%)	ру	T. brucei

		To conduct									
		an active									
		screening of						Out of sampled			
		T. b.						people, a total of 28			
	Silent	gambiense in						were found positive.			
	Human	humans in						The CATT revealed			
	Trypanoso	the old						an overall infection			
	ma brucei	Gboko						rate of 1.8% of the			
	gambiense	sleeping						1200 samples studied.			
	Infections	sickness						PCR revealed an			
	around the	focus in						overall infection rate			
	Old Gboko	Nigeria and						of 0.6% of the 1200			
Karsh	Sleeping	characterized		Cross-			Human	samples analysed.	CATT:		
ima et	Sickness	isolates using		section			African	Tryypanosmes (TbG)	1.8%		
al.,	Focus in	TgsGP-		al			trypanos	was isolated from 7 of	PCR:	CATT	<i>T. b.</i>
2016	Nigeria	polymerase	Gboko	Survey	Humans	1200	omiasis	the samples	0.6%	PCR	gambiense

		chain									
		reaction.									
		To ascertain						A total of 118 animals			
		the possible						were positive (46			
	Animal	role of						cattle, 72 pigs). The			T. brucei
	reservoirs	animal						overall infection rates			(T. b.
	of	reservoirs in						for the CATT and			gambiense
	Trypanoso	the						TgsGP-PCR were 8.9)
	ma brucei	epidemiology						and 0.9%,			Т.
	gambiense	of the						respectively.			congolens
	around the	parasite in						Trypanosomes of			e forest
	old Gboko	the old				1200		animal origin			Т.
Karsh	sleeping	Gboko		Cross-		(600	Animal	identified by ITS 1	CATT:		congolens
ima et	sickness	sleeping		section		Cattle,	African	PCR were <i>T. brucei</i>	8.9%		е
al.,	focus in	sickness		al	Cattle	600	trypanos	(4.2%), T. congolense	PCR:	CATT	savannah
2016	Nigeria	focus in	Gboko	Survey	Pigs	Pigs)	omiasis	forest (3.2%), <i>T</i> .	0.9%	PCR	T. vivax

Nigeria and		congolense savannah
characterized		(2.0%), <i>T. vivax</i>
isolates using		(2.2%) and mixed
TgsGP		infections (1.5%) in
polymerase		cattle as well as <i>T</i> .
chain		brucei (4.8%), T.
reaction.		congolense forest
		(1.8%), T. congolense
		savannah (1.0%) and
		mixed infections
		(1.2%) in pigs. <i>T</i> .
		brucei gambiense and
		other animal
		trypanosomes were
		identified among
		animals in the focus,

								indicating the			
								existence of animal			
								reservoirs of human			
								infective T. b.			
								gambiense.			
	А							3, 342 cattle were			
	longitudinal							found positive. The			
	survey of							prevalence of bovine			
	African	To determine						trypanosomiasis was			
	animal	seasonal						found to be high at			
	trypanosomi	variations in						46.8% (39.0 - 54.5%)			Т.
Majek	asis in	the						and significant,			congolens
odun	domestic	prevalence of		Cross-				seasonal variation was			е
mi et	cattle on the	AAT across		section			Bovine	observed between the	46.8%		T. vivax
al.,	Jos Plateau,	the Jos		al			trypanos	dry and the end of the	(39.0 –		<i>T. b.</i>
2013	Nigeria:	Plateau	Jos	Survey	Cattle	7143	omiasis	wet season. T. b.	54.5%)	PCR	brucei

	Prevalence,							brucei was observed			
	distribution,							at a prevalence of			
	and risk							3.2% (1% – 5.5%); <i>T</i> .			
	factors							congolense at 27.7%			
								(21.8% - 33.6%) and			
								<i>T. vivax</i> at 26.7%			
								(18.2% - 35.3%).			
	+	То									
	Human	investigate						Of the 474 screened,			
	African	the						44(9.3%) were			
	trypanosomi	prevalence of						seropositive with			
	asis in	human						seroprevalence of			
Nmor	endemic	African		Cross-			Human	22(9.6%) in Urhouka,			
si et	focus of	trypanosomia		section			African	14(9.5%) in Umeghe		Microsco	
al.,	Abraka,	sis (HAT),	Abraka,	al			trypanos	and 8(7.9%) for		ру	T.b.gambi
2010	Nigeria	caused by	Delta	Survey	Humans	474	omiasis	Ugonu.	9.30%	CATT	ense

Pig To determine Pig To determine It ypanosom the			Trypanosoma									
an endemic an endemic focus of focus of Nigeria, as it relates to age, sex and occupational differences. ifferences Pig To determine trypanosom the			brucei									
Image: Pig focus of Image: Pig focus of Image: Pig			gambiense in									
Nigeria, as it relates to age, sex and image: sex			an endemic									
relates to age, sex and sex and <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>focus of</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			focus of									
sex and sex and occupational occupatio			Nigeria, as it									
occupational differences.occupational <td></td> <td></td> <td>relates to age,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			relates to age,									
Image: differences. differences. Image: differences. Image: differences. S24 (26.8 %) were Pig To determine Image: differences. S24 (26.8 %) were Image: differences. trypanosom the Image: differences. Image: differences. Image: differences. Image: differences.			sex and									
Image: Non-State Image: Non-State <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>occupational</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>			occupational									
trypanosom the positive for			differences.									
		Pig	To determine						524 (26.8 %) were			
		trypanosom	the						positive for			
osis:prevalence ofCross-trypanosomeT.		osis:	prevalence of		Cross-				trypanosome			Т.
Omek prevalence trypanosome section Porcine infections, 348 (66.5 congole	Omek	prevalence	trypanosome		section			Porcine	infections, 348 (66.5			congolens
e,andspeciesAnambra andaltrypanos%) of which had aMicroscoe	e,	and	species	Anambra and	al			trypanos	%) of which had a		Microsco	е
1994significancepathogenic toBenue statesSurveyPigs1954omiasismixed T. brucei and T.26.80%pyT. brucei	1994	significance	pathogenic to	Benue states	Survey	Pigs	1954	omiasis	mixed T. brucei and T.	26.80%	ру	T. brucei

	in the	pigs and the						congolense burden,			
	endemic	significance						while 125 (23.9 %)			
	Middle Belt	ofpig						and 43 (8.2 %) others			
	zone of	trypanosomo						had single <i>T. brucei</i>			
	southern	sis in the						and T. congolense			
	Nigeria	Middle Belt						infections			
		zone of						respectively.			
		Southern									
		Nigeria.									
	Isolation of	To re-						19 positive cases were			
	a human	evaluate the						identified from the 85			
Onah	serum-	role of						sampled pigs. 15			T. brucei
and	resistant	domestic pig		Cross-				(78.96%) were			gambiense
Ebene	Trypanoso	as a reservoir		section			Porcine	identified as single			Т.
be	ma brucei	for <i>T</i> . <i>b</i> .		al			trypanos	infection because of <i>T</i> .		Microsco	congolens
2004	from a	gambiense	Enugu	Survey	Pigs	85	omiasis	<i>brucei.</i> While the	22.35%	ру	е

	naturally							remaining 4 (21.05%)			
	infected pig							were due to mixed			
	in the							infections of <i>T. brucei</i>			
	Nsukka area							and T. congolense.			
	of Enugu										
	State										
		To determine									
	Molecular	the						Parasite detection by	Microsco		
	survey of	prevalence						microscopy	py:		
	pathogenic	and						observation showed	15.1%		
	trypanosom	characteristic						62 samples infected	(95% CI,		
	es in	s of						by one or more	12–		T. brucei
Takee	naturally	trypanosome		Cross-				species of	18%).		T. vivax
t et	infected	species and		section			Bovine	Trypanosomes, for a	PCR:	Microsco	Т.
al.,	Nigerian	strains in	Ogun and	al			trypanos	prevalence of 15.1%	63.7%	ру	congolens
2013	cattle	Nigerian	Kaduna states	Survey	Cattle	411	omiasis	(95% CI, 12–18%).	(95% CI,	PCR	е

cattle using			PCR detection showed	59.4-	
PCR for the			262 samples infected	68.8%)	
first time.			by one or more		
			species of		
			Trypanosoma, for an		
			overall prevalence of		
			63.7% (95% CI, 59.4–		
			68.8%) and <i>T</i>		
			congolense was the		
			most prevalent 48.7%		
			(95% CI, 4.2–54.3),		
			followed by <i>T. vivax</i>		
			26.0% (95% CI, 21.8–		
			31.1%) and <i>T. brucei</i>		
			4.4% (95% CI, 3.3–		
			7.1%).		

							Prevalence of mixed			
							infections was 13.9%			
							(95% CI, 10.6–17.4%)			
							being co-infection by			
							T. congolense and T.			
							vivax.			
Knowledge							Of the 300			
and	To determine						respondents that were			
prevalence	the						examined and			
of human	knowledge,						screened for HAT,			
african	practices and						none had palpable			
rypanosomi	prevalence of						cervical lymph nodes			
asis among	HAT among		Cross-			Human	enlargement, and none			
residents of	residents of		section			African	tested positive on			
kachia	the grazing		al			Trypanos	CATT; hence HAT			Т. b.
grazing	reserve.	Kaduna	Survey	Humans	300	omiasis	prevalence was zero.	0.00%	CATT	gambiense
	nd revalence f human frican cypanosomi sis among esidents of achia	nd To determine revalence the f human knowledge, frican practices and rypanosomi prevalence of sis among HAT among esidents of residents of achia the grazing	nd To determine revalence the f human knowledge, frican practices and rypanosomi prevalence of sis among HAT among esidents of residents of achia the grazing	nd To determine To determine I he I	nd To determine Indexe Index In	nd To determine Intervalence In	nd Sodetermine Construction of the section of the s	Image: section of the grazingImage: section o	Image: series of the series	Image: series of the series

	reserve,										
	Kachia										
	local										
	government										
	area,										
	Kaduna										
	state,										
	Nigeria,										
	2012										
	Identificatio	To determine						All 19 dogs sampled			
	n of	which						had canine			
	Trypanoso	trypanosome					Canine	trypanosomosis			
Umea	ma brucei	species,		Cross-			African	caused by			
kuana	gambiense	cause canine		section			Trypanos	trypanosomes of the			
et al.,	in naturally	trypanosomo		al			omiasis	<i>T. brucei</i> group. Two	Tbg:		<i>T. b.</i>
2019	infected	sis in the	Nsukka	Survey	Dogs	19	(CAT)	of the dogs were	10.52%	PCR	gambiense

	dogs in	Nsukka area						shown to be infected			
	Nigeria	of Nigeria						with the human			
		and whether						pathogen T. b.			
		any dogs						gambiense Group 1			
		harbor the						(Tbg1).			
		human-									
		infective									
		trypanosome,									
		Tbg1.									
	Prevalence	To update						A total of 45 (40.9%)			
	of	information						animals were found			
	trypanosomi	on the						positive. The			T. brucei
	asis in	prevalence of		Cross-			Animal	trypanosomes			T. vivax
	sheep in the	trypanosomia		section			African	observed were <i>T</i> .			Т.
Wayo	Kachia	sis in small		al			trypanos	congolense (40.0%),		Microsco	congolens
, 2017	grazing	ruminants in	Kaduna	Survey	Sheep	110	omiasis	<i>T. Brucei</i> (28.8%), <i>T.</i>	40.9	ру	е

 	1 1		 			
reserve,	the area, to			<i>vivax</i> (17.7%) and		
Kachia,	allow for			mixed infections		
Kaduna	proper			(13.3%)		
State,	planning of					
Nigeria	control					
	activities and					
	serve as					
	valuable					
	scientific					
	data.					
	1					

REFERENCES

Food and Agriculture Organization. The disease | Programme Against African
 Trypanosomosis (PAAT) | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO Web
 site. <u>https://www.fao.org/paat/the-programme/the-disease/en/.</u> Updated 2024. Accessed Apr 9,
 2024

 Papagni R, Novara R, Minardi ML, et al. Human African Trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness): Current knowledge and future challenges. *Frontiers in Tropical Diseases*. 2023;4. <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fitd.2023.1087003</u>

3. Balasegaram M, Balasegaram S, Malvy D, Millet P. Neglected diseases in the news: a content analysis of recent international media coverage focussing on leishmaniasis and trypanosomiasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2008;2(5):e234. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000234

4. Simarro PP, Diarra A, Ruiz Postigo JA, Franco JR, Jannin JG. The Human African Trypanosomiasis Control and Surveillance Programme of the World Health Organization 2000– 2009: The Way Forward. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2011;5(2):e1007. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001007

5. CDC. CDC - African Trypanosomiasis - Disease. CDC Web site.

https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/sleepingsickness/disease.html. Updated 2020. Accessed Aug 15, 2023

6. Stevens JR, Brisse S. Systematics of trypanosomes of medical and veterinary importance.*CABI*. 2004:1–23. doi:10.1079/9780851994758.0001

7. Steverding D. The history of African trypanosomiasis. Parasites & vectors. 2008;1(1):1-8

8. Desquesnes M, Gonzatti M, Sazmand A, et al. A review on the diagnosis of animal trypanosomoses. *Parasit Vectors*. 2022;15(1):64. doi:10.1186/s13071-022-05190-1

9. Ponte-Sucre A. An Overview of Trypanosoma brucei Infections: An Intense Host–Parasite Interaction. *Front Microbiol.* 2016;7:2126. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.02126

10. World Health Organization. Trypanosomiasis, human African sleeping sickness. . : <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/trypanosomiasis-human-african-(sleeping-sickness)</u>. Updated 2023. Accessed 13 November, 2023

11. Franco JR, Simarro PP, Diarra A, Jannin JG. Epidemiology of human African trypanosomiasis. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2014;6:257-275. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S39728

12. Brun R, Prof, Blum J, MD, Chappuis F, MD, Burri C, PhD. Human African trypanosomiasis. *Lancet.* 2010;375(9709):148-159. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60829-1

13. Lindner AK, Priotto G. The Unknown Risk of Vertical Transmission in Sleeping Sickness— A Literature Review. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2010;4(12):e783.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000783

14. Fèvre EM, Wissmann Bv, Welburn SC, Lutumba P. The Burden of Human African Trypanosomiasis. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2008;2(12):e333.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000333

15. Ilemobade AA. Tsetse and trypanosomosis in Africa: the challenges, the opportunities. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res.* 2009;76(1):35-40. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v76i1.59

16. World Health Organization. Accelerating work to overcome the global impact of neglected tropical diseases: a roadmap for implementation. <u>https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/338712.</u>
Updated 2012. Accessed 9 April, 2024

17. Franco JR, Cecchi G, Priotto G, et al. Monitoring the elimination of human African trypanosomiasis at continental and country level: Update to 2018. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2020;14(5):e0008261. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008261

Molyneux DH, Hopkins DR, Zagaria N. Disease eradication, elimination and control: the need for accurate and consistent usage. *Trends in Parasitology*. 2004;20(8):347-351.
 doi:10.1016/j.pt.2004.06.004

19. Büscher P, Bart J, Boelaert M, et al. Do Cryptic Reservoirs Threaten Gambiense-Sleeping Sickness Elimination? *Trends Parasitol*. 2018;34(3):197-207. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.008

20. Geerts M, Chen Z, Bebronne N, et al. Deep kinetoplast genome analyses result in a novel molecular assay for detecting Trypanosoma brucei gambiense-specific minicircles. *NAR Genom Bioinform*. 2022;4(4):lqac081. doi:10.1093/nargab/lqac081

21. Franco JR, Simarro PP, Diarra A, Ruiz-Postigo JA, Jannin JG. The journey towards elimination of gambiense human African trypanosomiasis: not far, nor easy. *Parasitology*.
2014;141(6):748-760

22. Mehlitz D, Molyneux DH. The elimination of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense? Challenges of reservoir hosts and transmission cycles: Expect the unexpected. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control.* 2019;6:e00113

23. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews*. 2015;4(1):1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

24. Nmorsi OPG, Isaac C, Igbinosa IB, Umukoro DO, Aitaikuru DP. Human African trypanosomiasis in endemic focus of Abraka, Nigeria. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2010;3(6):448-450. doi:10.1016/S1995-7645(10)60107-1

25. Solomon Ngutor K, Idris LA, Oluseyi Oluyinka O. Silent Human Trypanosoma brucei gambiense Infections around the Old Gboko Sleeping Sickness Focus in Nigeria. *Journal of parasitology research; J Parasitol Res.* 2016;2016:2656121-5. doi:10.1155/2016/2656121

26. Uba BV, Aliyu A, Abubakar A, et al. Knowledge and prevalence of human african trypanosomiasis among residents of kachia grazing reserve, Kachia local government area, Kaduna state, Nigeria, 2012. *Pan African Medical Journal*. 2016;23. doi:10.11604/pamj.2016.23.89.7999

27. Daniel AD, Dadah AJ, Kalejaiye JO, Dalhatu AD. Prevalence of bovine trypanosomiasis in Gongola State of Northern Nigeria. *Rev Elev Med Vet Pays Trop.* 1993;46(4):571-574. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mnh&AN=7915428&site=ehost-live

 28. Enwezor FNC, Umoh JU, Esievo KAN, Halid I, Zaria LT, Anere JI. Survey of bovine trypanosomosis in the Kachia Grazing Reserve, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Vet Parasitol.* 2009;159(2):121-125. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.10.032

29. Habeeb IF, Chechet GD, Kwaga JKP. Molecular identification and prevalence of trypanosomes in cattle distributed within the Jebba axis of the River Niger, Kwara state, Nigeria. *Parasites & vectors.* 2021;14(1):560. doi:10.1186/s13071-021-05054-0

30. Majekodunmi AO, Fajinmi A, Dongkum C, Picozzi K, Thrusfield MV, Welburn SC. A longitudinal survey of African animal trypanosomiasis in domestic cattle on the Jos Plateau, Nigeria: Prevalence, distribution and risk factors. *Parasites and Vectors*. 2013;6(1). doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-239

31. Takeet MI, Fagbemi BO, De Donato M, et al. Molecular survey of pathogenic trypanosomes in naturally infected Nigerian cattle. *Res Vet Sci.* 2013;94(3):555-561.

doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.10.018

32. Omeke BC. Pig trypanosomosis: prevalence and significance in the endemic Middle Belt zone of southern Nigeria. *Rev Elev Med Vet Pays Trop.* 1994;47(4):381-386. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mnh&AN=7770662&site=ehost-live

33. Onah D, Ebenebe O. Isolation of a human serum-resistant Trypanosoma brucei from a naturally infected pig in the Nsukka area of Enugu State. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*. 2004;24. doi:10.4314/nvj.v24i1.3435

34. Daniel AD, Joshua RA, Kalejaiye JO, Dada AJ. Prevalence of trypanosomiasis in sheep and goats in a region of northern Nigeria. *Rev Elev Med Vet Pays Trop.* 1994;47(3):295-297. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mnh&AN=7709031&site=ehost-live

35. Kalu AU, Lawani FA. Observations on the epidemiology of ruminant trypanosomosis in Kano State, Nigeria. *Rev Elev Med Vet Pays Trop.* 1996;49(3):213-217.

https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=mnh&AN=9091992&site=ehost-live

36. Wayo B, Samdi SM, Fajinmi AO, et al. Prevalence of trypanosomiasis in sheep in the Kachia grazing reserve, Kachia, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology*. 2017;18(2):120-123. doi:10.4314/ajcem.v18i2.10

37. Umeakuana PU, Gibson W, Ezeokonkwo RC, Anene BM. Identification of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense in naturally infected dogs in Nigeria. *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12(1):420. doi:10.1186/s13071-019-3680-8

38. Solomon NK, Lawal I, Shalangwa I, et al. Animal reservoirs of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense around the old Gboko sleeping sickness focus in Nigeria. 2016;8:47-54. doi:10.5897/JPVB2015.0228

39. Enwezor F, Emmanuel R, Olanrewaju T, et al. Investigation of livestock for presence of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense in Tafa Local Government Area of Niger State, Nigeria
INVESTIGATION OF LIVESTOCK FOR PRESENCE OF TRYPANOSOMA BRUCEI
GAMBIENSE IN TAFA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NIGER STATE, NIGERIA.
2019

40. Selby R, Wamboga C, Erphas O, et al. Gambian human African trypanosomiasis in North West Uganda. Are we on course for the 2020 target? *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2019;13(8):e0007550. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007550

41. Koné M, Kaba D, Kaboré J, et al. Passive surveillance of human African trypanosomiasis in Côte d'Ivoire: Understanding prevalence, clinical symptoms and signs, and diagnostic test characteristics. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2021;15(8):e0009656.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009656

42. WHO. GHO | By category | Number of new reported cases (T.b. gambiense) - Data by country. WHO Web site. <u>https://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.main.A1636?lang=en.</u> Updated 2023. Accessed Aug 21, 2023

43. Mwiinde AM, Simuunza M, Namangala B, et al. Healthcare Management of Human African Trypanosomiasis Cases in the Eastern, Muchinga and Lusaka Provinces of Zambia. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2022;7(10):270. doi:10.3390/tropicalmed7100270

44. Odeniran PO, Ademola IO. A meta-analysis of the prevalence of African animal trypanosomiasis in Nigeria from 1960 to 2017. 2018;11(1):280. doi:10.1186/s13071-018-2801-0

45. Boundenga L, Mombo IM, Augustin M, et al. Molecular Identification of Trypanosome Diversity in Domestic Animals Reveals the Presence of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense in Historical Foci of Human African Trypanosomiasis in Gabon. *Pathogens*. 2022;11(9):992. doi:10.3390/pathogens11090992 46. Simo G, Asonganyi T, Nkinin SW, Njiokou F, Herder S. High prevalence of Trypanosoma brucei gambiense group 1 in pigs from the Fontem sleeping sickness focus in Cameroon. *Vet.Parasitol.* 2006;139(1):57-66. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.02.026

47. Njiokou F, Nimpaye H, Simo G, et al. Domestic animals as potential reservoir hosts of
Trypanosoma brucei gambiense in sleeping sickness foci in Cameroon. *Parasite*. 2010;17(1):6166. doi:10.1051/parasite/2010171061

48. Hall MK, Kea B, Wang R. Recognising Bias in Studies of Diagnostic Tests Part 1: Patient Selection. *Emerg Med J.* 2019;36(7):431-434. doi:10.1136/emermed-2019-208446

49. Shreffler J, Huecker MR. Diagnostic Testing Accuracy: Sensitivity, Specificity, Predictive Values and Likelihood Ratios. In: Diagnostic Testing Accuracy: Sensitivity, Specificity, Predictive Values and Likelihood Ratios. In: *StatPearls*StatPearls Publishing; 2023. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557491/. Accessed Aug 29, 2023

50. Okello I, Mafie E, Eastwood G, Nzalawahe J, Mboera LE. African animal trypanosomiasis: A systematic review on prevalence, risk factors and drug resistance in sub-Saharan Africa. *J.Med.Entomol.* 2022;59(4):1099-1143

51. Picozzi K, Tilley A, Fevre EM, et al. The diagnosis of trypanosome infections: applications of novel technology for reducing disease risk. 2002;1(2):39-45

52. Contreras Garcia M, Walshe E, Steketee PC, et al. Comparative Sensitivity and Specificity of the 7SL sRNA Diagnostic Test for Animal Trypanosomiasis. 2022;9. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2022.868912

53. Inojosa WO, Augusto I, Bisoffi Z, et al. Diagnosing human African trypanosomiasis in Angola using a card agglutination test: observational study of active and passive case finding strategies. *BMJ*. 2006;332(7556):1479. doi:10.1136/bmj.38859.531354.7C

54. Chappuis François, Louis L, Pere S, Veerle L, Büscher Philippe. Options for Field Diagnosis of Human African Trypanosomiasis. *Clin.Microbiol.Rev.* 2005;18(1):133-146.

doi:10.1128/cmr.18.1.133-146.2005