| 1 | Original Article |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Title page |
| 4 | Bubbles help in troubles: contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) as predictor of |
| 5 | recurrence for TIA/stroke in low-grade internal carotid artery stenosis. |
| 6 | Francesca D'Auria ^{1*-} Danilo Flavio Santo ^{2*} |
| 7 | |
| 8 | * <u>Authors equally contributed.</u> |
| 9 | |
| 10 | ¹ Università degli Studi di Salerno, Cardiovascular Outpatients Clinic, Salerno, Italy. |
| 11 | ² Santagostino Medical Center, Cardiovascular Outpatient Clinic, Milano, Italy. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | <i>Keywords</i> : CEUS, TIA, stroke, carotid stenosis, ultrasound, Doppler, echography. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 17 | |
| 17 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | Correspondence to: Francesca D'Auria, MD PhD MBA - Cardiovascular Surgeon - Azienda |
| 31 | Ospedaliera Universitaria OO.RR. San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona, Cardiovascular Surgery |
| 32 | Department, Via San Leonardo n. 1, 84131 Salerno, Italy. Mobile (+39) 333.724.6468 - email: |
| 33 | f.dauria@hotmail.com. |

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

34 Abstract

35

36 Introduction

37 Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) allows to visualize atherosclerotic plaque neo-vessels which38 are the hallmark of carotid plaque instability.

39 Aim

40 Aim of our prospective study was to check the correlation between carotid CEUS analysis and the

41 recurrence of TIA/stroke in patients with a previous recent TIA/stroke and neurological impairment

42 congruent with the vascular stenosis.

43 Materials and Methods

From November 2021 to May 2023, 62 consecutive patients (mean age 73.8 +/- 12.2, female 51) 44 with a TIA/stroke in the previous 30 days, underwent carotid ultrasound and carotid CEUS in 45 46 outpatients setting after 10 days from the acute event. Inclusion criteria was one atherosclerotic plaque inside the internal carotid artery, congruent with symptoms, which was causing a stenosis 47 less than 50% (low-grade stenosis). Carotid plaque neovascularization scoring method was: score 0: 48 no visible microbubbles within the plaque (A); score 1: minimal microbubbles confined to 49 periadventitial (B); and score 2: microbubbles present throughout the plaque core (C). During the 50 six-month follow-up, we checked TIA/stroke recurrences. A multivariable logistic regression 51 analysis was performed. 52

53 **Results**

In our series, 22% of patients have CEUS score 0, 35% CEUS score 1, and 43% CEUS score 2. At six-month follow-up we found 21% TIA/stroke recurrences in CEUS score 2, despite of the ongoing best medical therapy as per guidelines. In Cox regression analysis, CEUS-detected neovascularization was independently associated with TIA/stroke recurrence (hazard ratio, 5.37; 95% confidence interval, 1.36–2.31).

59 Conclusions

Plaque neovascularization, detected by CEUS, is an independent predictor of TIA/stroke recurrence
at six-month follow-up in patients with carotid atherosclerosis despite of low-grade stenosis.

- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

⁶⁶ Abstract word count: 250.

67 Introduction

International guidelines for carotid artery atherosclerosis surgical and/or endovascular treatment are 68 clear and strictly related to patients' symptoms, the grade of vascular stenosis, and the measured 69 velocity¹⁻². In case of not significant stenosis caused by soft plaque which may determine 70 TIA/stroke and its recurrence due to embolism, surgeons are keen to remove it³. This prudential 71 orientation may cause an overtreatment of patients. In regards of this perceived feeling of surgical 72 overdo and aiming to move towards increasingly precise medicine, we started a prospective 73 observational trial with the purpose to identify possible diagnostic strategy to better orient surgical 74 75 decision making in this grey zone. To meet our commitment, reducing not only surgical overtreatment but also patients X-ray exposure, we find that Carotid Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound 76 77 (CEUS) may be the methods to adopt in our research program and we try to elaborate an imagebased surgical decision score system. In fact, CEUS is an imaging technique that involves the use of 78 79 contrast agents (e.g. saline solution bubble or sulphur hexafluoride solution) to enhance the visualization of blood flow within the carotid arteries using ultrasound technology. During a carotid 80 81 CEUS procedure, the contrast agent is injected into the bloodstream. It highlights the blood vessels and improves the clarity of the ultrasound images. This allows to more accurately evaluate the 82 83 structure and function of the carotid arteries, aiding in the diagnosis and management of conditions such as carotid artery disease and stroke risk assessment $^{4-5}$. 84

85

86 *Material and Methods*

Aim of our prospective study was to check the correlation between carotid CEUS analysis and the 87 recurrence of TIA/stroke in patients with a previous recent TIA/stroke and neurological impairment 88 congruent with the vascular stenosis. From November 2021 to February 2023, 62 consecutive 89 patients (mean age 73.8 +/- 12.2, female 51) with a TIA/stroke in the previous 30 days, underwent 90 carotid ultrasound and carotid CEUS using intravenous 3 ml sulphur hexafluoride solution 91 (SonoVue®) in outpatients setting after 10 days from the acute event. The demography of the 92 population is summarized in Table 1. Inclusion criteria was one atherosclerotic plaque inside the 93 94 internal carotid artery, congruent with symptoms, which was causing a stenosis less than 50% (lowgrade stenosis), while exclusions criteria were history of cerebral vascular diseases; history of 95 neurological disease; presence of infections, malignant tumours, cardiopulmonary dysfunction, 96 hepatic dysfunction, kidney failure (any degree), respiratory failure; hyperechoic or uniformly 97 hyperechoic plaque. We arbitrary established a carotid plaque neovascularization scoring method as 98 it is presented in Figure 1. The score 0 means no visible microbubbles within the plaque (A); score 99

1 is when minimal microbubbles are confined to peri adventitial (B); and score 2 consists in 100 microbubbles which are present throughout the plaque core (C). During the six-month we checked 101 TIA/stroke recurrences. No one patient was lost at follow-up. A multivariable logistic regression 102 analysis for ischemic stroke and recurrent TIA was performed checking correlation between 103 TIA/stroke recurrence and gender, age, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes (any type), chronic 104 obstructive lung disease (COPD), smoke status (active or previous), obesity, stress, atrial 105 fibrillation, plaque velocity (peak systolic velocity), internal carotid kinking, CEUS score 0, CEUS 106 107 score 1, and CEUS score 2. Internal ethical committee approved the study and produced a specific 108 informed consent form for patients. These study compliances with ethical standards; each patient signed the specific informed consent (in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki) to be enrolled 109 in this research and authorized to publish the data in anonymous mode for search purpose only. An 110 additional informed consent for personal data collection, treatment, post processing analysis, and 111 publishing purposes was collected and signed by each patient as per institutional internal ethical 112 committee. No sponsor or external fund were used to conduct this independent search. Statistical 113 analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 17.0 software. Frequency and percentages (%) were 114 used for categorical variables, whereas mean \pm SD were employed for continuous variables. 115 Continuous variables were compared between three groups using the independent t test. Proportions 116 were compared using the chi-square test. To determine the association between variables and 117 ischemic stroke or recurrent TIA after TIA, a multivariate logistic regression analysis was 118 performed. Receiver operation curve (ROC) was generated to examine the efficacy of the resulting 119 model. The level of significance was set at P value < 0.05. 120

121

122 **Results**

In our series, 22% of patients have a CEUS score 0; 35% of patients have a CEUS score 1; and 43%
of patients have a CEUS score 2. At six-month follow-up we found 21% TIA/stroke recurrences in
CEUS score 2, despite of the ongoing best medical therapy as per guidelines.

The multivariable logistic regression analysis for ischemic stroke and recurrent TIA, shows that CEUS-detected neovascularization was independently associated with TIA/stroke recurrence (hazard ratio, 5.37; 95% confidence interval, 1.36–2.31), while no other considered factors appeared to be significant as predictors of recurrence TIA/stroke, as it is reported in Table 2.

The ROC of the multivariate logistic regression analysis model for CEUS 2 score is showed inFigure 2.

132

4

133 Discussion

Carotid CEUS is often used as a non-invasive alternative to other imaging techniques such as 134 computed tomography angiography (CTA) and/or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) for 135 evaluating extra-cranial carotid artery disease⁶. It offers advantages such as real-time imaging, lack 136 of ionizing radiation, and the ability to assess blood flow dynamics. Carotid plaque instability refers 137 to a condition where the atherosclerotic plaque becomes particularly prone to rupture or erosion. 138 When plaque becomes unstable, it can lead to the formation of blood clots (thrombosis) or 139 embolism. These clots or particles can then travel to smaller blood vessels in the brain, leading to 140 blockages and potentially causing a stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA)³. Several factors 141 contribute to carotid plaque instability, including the composition of the plaque because plaque that 142 143 contains a large amount of soft, lipid-rich material is more prone to rupture than stable, calcified plaque. Other factors for instability are the plaque size and shape; in fact, large, irregularly shaped 144 145 plaques are more likely to be unstable compared to smaller, smoother plaques. Underlying medical conditions like hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, and smoking can contribute to plaque 146 147 instability by promoting inflammation and atherosclerosis; finally, hemodynamic factors, due to turbulent blood flow, can increase stress on the plaque, potentially leading to its rupture⁷. All these 148 149 factors entered in our propensity score and Cox regression analysis, as reported in Table 2, but only CEUS-detected neovascularization was independently associated with TIA/stroke recurrence 150 (hazard ratio, 5.37; 95% confidence interval, 1.36–2.31). Identifying carotid plaque instability is 151 important because it indicates an increased risk of stroke or other cardiovascular events. Imaging 152 techniques such as ultrasound, MRA or CTA can help assess plaque stability by evaluating plaque 153 composition, size, and characteristics⁸. Management of carotid plaque instability typically involves 154 aggressive risk factor modification, including lifestyle changes (such as diet, exercise, and smoking 155 cessation) and medications such as statins, single (or double antiplatelet agents), and/or 156 anticoagulants to stabilize the plaque and reduce the risk of thrombosis and/or embolism. In some 157 cases, surgical intervention, such as carotid endarterectomy or carotid artery stenting, may be 158 considered to remove or stabilize the unstable plaque and restore proper blood flow. Atherosclerotic 159 160 plaque vascularization, also known as neovascularization, refers to the formation of new blood vessels within or around an atherosclerotic plaque. This process occurs in response to the 161 inadequate blood supply to the growing plaque and the hypoxic conditions within it. 162 Neovascularization is the body's attempt to supply oxygen and nutrients to the hypoxic areas within 163 or around the plaque. However, the newly formed blood vessels are often fragile and leaky, leading 164 to increased inflammation and plaque instability. Neovascularization is commonly observed in 165

advanced atherosclerosis and is associated with vulnerable plaques that have a higher risk of rupture 166 and causing cardiovascular events such as heart attack or stroke. Detecting neovascularization 167 within atherosclerotic plaques can be challenging but can be achieved through advanced imaging 168 techniques such as contrast-enhanced ultrasound, MRA or CTA⁹. These imaging examinations are 169 expensive and time consuming. In case of CTA, it exposes patients to X ray, on the other side, 170 MRA identifying neovascularization within plaques may help in risk assessment and guiding 171 treatment decisions in individuals with advanced atherosclerosis but it is not adoptable for each 172 patient (e.g. claustrophobia, intra-corporeal metal splinters, intra-corporeal device not MR 173 174 compatible). Transient ischemic attack (TIA) can occur in individuals with low-grade carotid artery stenosis, although the risk is generally lower compared to cases of more severe stenosis. In low-175 grade carotid artery stenosis, the narrowing of the artery is typically less than 50%¹⁰. It is essential 176 to recognize that even low-grade stenosis can contribute to TIA under certain circumstances, first of 177 all plaque vulnerability¹¹. In our study, presence of minimal microbubbles which are confined to 178 peri adventitial zone and microbubbles throughout the plaque core are predictive risk factors for 179 180 TIA/stroke. The absence of microbubble was not related to TIA/stroke recurrence and the best medical therapy (statin, antihypertensive drugs, and single antiplatelet) was enough efficient to 181 prevent further neurological episode overtime¹². 182

183

184 Conclusions

Plaque neovascularization, detected by CEUS, is an independent predictor of TIA/stroke recurrence at six-month follow-up in patients with carotid atherosclerosis despite of low-grade stenosis. This finding may guide in the decision between medical therapy and surgical/interventional treatment in the decision making and management of low-grade carotid artery stenosis which is symptomatic for single episode of TIA/stroke. These preliminary data need to be confirmed by large sample of patients and a more longer follow-up period.

191

192 **References**

Naylor AR, Ricco JB, de Borst GJ, Debus S, de Haro J, Halliday A, Hamilton G, Kakisis J,
 Kakkos S, Lepidi S, Markus HS, McCabe DJ, Roy J, Sillesen H, van den Berg JC, Vermassen
 F, Esvs Guidelines Committee, Kolh P, Chakfe N, Hinchliffe RJ, Koncar I, Lindholt JS, Vega
 de Ceniga M, Verzini F, Esvs Guideline Reviewers, Archie J, Bellmunt S, Chaudhuri A,
 Koelemay M, Lindahl AK, Padberg F, Venermo M. Editor's Choice - Management of
 Atherosclerotic Carotid and Vertebral Artery Disease: 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines of the

- European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2018 Jan;55(1):381. doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2017.06.021. Epub 2017 Aug 26.
- Zeebregts CJ, Paraskevas KI. The New 2023 European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS)
 Carotid Guidelines The European Perspective. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2023 Jan;65(1):3-4.
 doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2022.04.033. Epub 2022 May 6. PMID: 35533843
- Bonati LH, Kakkos S, Berkefeld J, de Borst GJ, Bulbulia R, Halliday A, van Herzeele I, Koncar
 I, McCabe DJ, Lal A, Ricco JB, Ringleb P, Taylor-Rowan M, Eckstein HH. European Stroke
 Organisation guideline on endarterectomy and stenting for carotid artery stenosis. Eur Stroke J.
- 207 2021 Jun;6(2):I-XLVII. doi: 10.1177/23969873211012121. Epub 2021 May 11. PMID:
 208 34414302
- Brinjikji W, Huston J 3rd, Rabinstein AA, Kim GM, Lerman A, Lanzino G. J Neurosurg.
 Contemporary carotid imaging: from degree of stenosis to plaque vulnerability. 2016
 Jan;124(1):27-42. doi: 10.3171/2015.1.JNS142452. Epub 2015 Jul 31. PMID: 26230478
 Review.
- Li Z, Xu X, Ren L, Shao Y, Luo S, Chen S, Guan X. Prospective Study About the Relationship
 Between CEUS of Carotid Intraplaque Neovascularization and Ischemic Stroke in TIA Patients.
 Front Pharmacol. 2019 Jun 21;10:672. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00672. eCollection 2019.
 PMID: 31281254.
- Zhu C, Li Z, Ju Y, Zhao X. Detection of Carotid Webs by CT Angiography, High-Resolution
 MRI, and Ultrasound. J Neuroimaging. 2021 Jan;31(1):71-75. doi: 10.1111/jon.12784. Epub
 2020 Sep 28. PMID: 32986890.
- Ignatyev IM, Gafurov MR, Krivosheeva NV. Criteria for Carotid Atherosclerotic Plaque
 Instability. Ann Vasc Surg. 2021 Apr;72:340-349. doi: 10.1016/j.avsg.2020.08.145. Epub 2020
 Sep 11.PMID: 32927044.
- Rotzinger DC, Qanadli SD, Fahrni G. Imaging the Vulnerable Carotid Plaque with CT: Caveats
 to Consider. Comment on Wang et al. Identification Markers of Carotid Vulnerable Plaques: An
 Update. Biomolecules 2022, 12, 1192. Biomolecules. 2023 Feb 20;13(2):397. doi:
 10.3390/biom13020397. PMID: 36830766.
- Huang R, Abdelmoneim SS, Ball CA, Nhola LF, Farrell AM, Feinstein S, Mulvagh SL.
 Detection of Carotid Atherosclerotic Plaque Neovascularization Using Contrast Enhanced
 Ultrasound: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. J Am
 Soc Echocardiogr. 2016 Jun;29(6):491-502. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2016.02.012. Epub 2016 Mar
 30. PMID: 27038513 Review.

| 232 | 10. Li F, Gu SY, Zhang LN, Chen J, Yao MH, Wu TT, Ma J, Jia CX, Wu R. Carotid plaque score |
|-----|---|
| 233 | and ischemic stroke risk stratification through a combination of B-mode and contrast-enhanced |
| 234 | ultrasound in patients with low and intermediate carotid stenosis. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2023 |
| 235 | Dec 18;10:1209855. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1209855. eCollection 2023. PMID: 38179504. |
| 236 | 11. Rerkasem A, Orrapin S, Howard DP, Rerkasem K. Carotid endarterectomy for symptomatic |
| 237 | carotid stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020 Sep 12;9(9):CD001081. doi: |
| 238 | 10.1002/14651858.CD001081.pub4. PMID: 32918282 |
| 239 | 12. Magnoni M, Ammirati E, Moroni F, Norata GD, Camici PG. Impact of Cardiovascular Risk |
| 240 | Factors and Pharmacologic Treatments on Carotid Intraplaque Neovascularization Detected by |
| 241 | Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2019 Jan;32(1):113-120.e6. doi: |
| 242 | 10.1016/j.echo.2018.09.001. Epub 2018 Oct 16. PMID: 30340893. |
| 243 | |
| 244 | |
| 245 | |
| 246 | |
| 247 | |
| 248 | |
| 249 | |
| 250 | |
| 251 | |
| 252 | |
| 253 | |
| 254 | |
| 255 | |
| 256 | |
| 257 | |
| 258 | |
| 259 | |
| 260 | |
| 261 | |
| 262 | |
| 263 | |
| 264 | Main text word count: 2110. |

265 Tables

| Variable | N (%)/Mean ± SD | Variable | N (%)/Mean ± SD |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Female | 51 (82) | Antiplatelet therapy | 60 (97) |
| Male | 11 (18) | Antihypertensive | 62 (97) |
| | | therapy | |
| Age | 73.8 +/- 12.2 | Statin | 58 (94) |
| Hypertension | 60 (97) | New Oral | 22 (35) |
| | | Anticoagulant | |
| Hyperlipidaemia | 58 (94) | Atrial fibrillation | 8 (13) |
| Diabetes (any type) | 24 (39) | Coronary artery | 2 (3) |
| | | disease | |
| COPD | 21 (34) | Peripheral artery | 14 (23) |
| | | disease | |
| Active smoker | 41 (66) | Internal carotid artery | 52 +/- 10 |
| | | stenosis (%) | |
| Previous smoker | 21 (34) | Peak systolic velocity | 162 +/- 104 |
| | | (cm/s) | |
| Stress | 32 (52) | End diastolic velocity | 62 +/- 12 |
| | | (cm/s) | |

266

Table 1. Patients' demography.


| Variable | P value | Hazard Ratio | Confidence Interval 95% |
|---------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Female | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.67 – 0.87 |
| Male | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.57 - 0.98 |
| Age | 0.89 | 0.02 | 0.76 - 0.89 |
| Hypertension | 0.98 | 0.32 | 0.57 - 0.98 |
| Hyperlipidaemia | 0.92 | 1.2 | 0.26 - 0.29 |
| Diabetes (any type) | 0.76 | 1.8 | 0.76 - 0.89 |
| COPD | 0.09 | 0.5 | 0.06 - 0.89 |
| Active smoker | 0.78 | 0.7 | 0.23 - 9.87 |
| Previous smoker | 0.43 | 0.3 | 0.57 - 12.48 |
| Obesity | 0.08 | 1.3 | 0.05 - 11.28 |
| Stress | 0.68 | 0.2 | 0.26 - 0.98 |

| Atrial fibrillation | 0.89 | 1.8 | 0.76 - 0.89 |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------------|
| Peak systolic velocity | 0.25 | 1.98 | 0.22 - 0.34 |
| Vessel kinking | 0.82 | 1.72 | 0.52 - 9.48 |
| CEUS score 0 | 0.23 | 1.02 | 0.21 – 1.28 |
| CEUS score 1 | 0.08 | 1.32 | 1.28-1.98 |
| CEUS score 2 | 0.002 | 5.37 | 1.36-2.31 |

- 267 Table 2. Multivariable logistic regression analysis for ischemic stroke/TIA recurrence.

294 Figure legend

- Figure 1. Carotid plaque neovascularization scoring: score 0: no visible microbubbles within the plaque (A); score 1: minimal microbubbles confined to periadventitial (B); and score 2: microbubbles present throughout the plaque core (C).
- Figure 2. Receiver operation curve (ROC) of the multivariate logistic regression analysis model
- 299 (CEUS 1, CEUS 2 score).
- 300



301

Figure 1. Carotid plaque neovascularization scoring: score 0: no visible microbubbles within the plaque (A); score 1: minimal microbubbles confined to periadventitial (B); and score 2: microbubbles present throughout the plaque core (C).




Figure 2. Receiver operation curve (ROC) of the multivariate logistic regression analysis model forCEUS2 score.

308

305

- 309 Financial support and sponsorship
- 310 None

311

- 312 *Conflicts of interest*
- 313 None

