1	RUNNING HEAD: Intergenerational transmission and methylation
2	Intergenerational transmission of complex traits and the offspring methylome
3	
4	Fiona A. Hagenbeek, PhD <sup>1,2,3,*</sup> , René Pool, PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Austin J. Van Asselt, BSc <sup>4</sup> , Erik A. Ehli, PhD <sup>4</sup> , Meike
5	Bartels, PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Jouke Jan Hottenga, PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Conor V. Dolan, PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Jenny van Dongen, PhD <sup>1,2,5,¥</sup> , Dorret
6	I. Boomsma, PhD <sup>1,2,5,¥</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
9	<sup>2</sup> Amsterdam Public Health (APH) research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
10	<sup>3</sup> Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.
11	<sup>4</sup> Avera McKennan Hospital, University Health Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA.
12	<sup>5</sup> Amsterdam Reproduction & Development (AR&D) research institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
13	
14	<sup>¥</sup> These authors share last authorship.
15	*Correspondence: Fiona A. Hagenbeek (fiona.hagenbeek@helsinki.fi), Institute for Molecular Medicine
16	Finland (FIMM), HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Tukholmankatu 8, 00290, Helsinki, Finland.
17	
18	Word count: 3,990
19	N tables: 2, N figures: 2

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## 20 Abstract

21	The genetic makeup of parents can directly or indirectly affect their offspring phenome through
22	genetic transmission or via the environment that is influenced by parental heritable traits. Our
23	understanding of the mechanisms by which indirect genetic effects operate is limited. Here, we
24	hypothesize that one mechanism is via the offspring methylome. To test this hypothesis polygenic
25	scores (PGSs) for schizophrenia, smoking initiation, educational attainment (EA), social deprivation,
26	body mass index (BMI), and height were analyzed in a cohort of 1,528 offspring and their parents
27	(51.5% boys, mean [SD] age = 10 [2.8] years). We modelled parent and offspring PGSs on offspring
28	DNA methylation, accounting for the own PGS of offspring, and found significant associations
29	between parental PGSs for schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height, and offspring methylation sites,
30	comprising 16, 2, 1, and 6 sites, respectively (alpha = $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ). More DNA methylation sites were
31	associated with maternal than paternal PGSs, possibly reflecting the maternal pre- or
32	periconceptional environment during critical embryonic development.
33	Keywords: Intergenerational transmission, DNA methylation, polygenic scores, genetic nurture,

34 gene-environment correlation, complex human traits

## 35 Introduction

36 Phenotypic resemblance among parents and their biological offspring can be due to both genetic 37 and environmental influences, with the genetic contribution to phenotypic resemblance manifesting 38 through different sources. The first source applies to all heritable phenotypes: the Mendelian 39 transmission of parental alleles to their offspring, resulting in parents and offspring sharing 50% of 40 their autosomal alleles. A second source is heritable parental behaviors, which shape the environment of the offspring. Here, the effect of parental genotype on offspring phenotypes is 41 42 mediated by parental traits and behavior, contributing to the offspring environment. This source is 43 denoted "genetic nurture", because it implies that nurture – the totality of external factors after 44 conception influenced or created by parents – may have a genetic component [1, 2]. Hence, genetic 45 nurture arises from the influence of a heritable parental phenotype on the phenotype of their 46 offspring, which is also known as vertical transmission [3]. Additional forms of indirect genetic 47 effects can encompass other forms of cultural transmission, such as, horizontal transmission 48 between individuals of the same generation, such as siblings [4, 5], and ecological inheritance, 49 involving the transmission of inherited resources and conditions to descendants through niche 50 construction [6].

Several designs can resolve direct genetic effects (the influence of genotype of the offspring
on own phenotype) and indirect genetic effects (the above-mentioned genetic nurture). These
include multi-generation twin-family designs that infer genetic effects from phenotypic resemblance
among family members [7, 8], designs based on measured genetic information, e.g., polygenic scores
(PGSs) [1, 2, 9, 10], or designs that combine these two [11]. Using PGS designs, robust evidence for
genetic nurture effects in offspring educational attainment (EA) has been found [1, 2, 12].

57 The mechanism through which direct genetic effects (Mendelian inheritance) contribute to 58 variation in human traits is complex, involving a cascade of transcription and translation of genetic 59 information [13]. Here, we propose to examine DNA methylation as one possible mechanism

60 through which both direct and indirect genetic effects may act. Epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation modulate gene expression [14], but are themselves subject to both genetic [15, 16] and 61 62 environmental influences [17]. Animal studies have shown that early life environmental exposures 63 like diet, trauma, and social deprivation can induce epigenetic reprogramming in cells. For example, 64 a classic study of rats found that the quality of maternal care early in life leads to epigenetic 65 alterations that affect the behavior of offspring into adulthood [18]. Offspring raised by (non-66 biological) less-nurturing mothers exhibit increased anxiety as adults, which is attributed to enduring 67 changes in DNA methylation in the brain. This study was based on cross-fostering experiments in 68 which pups born to calm biological dams were raised by anxious adoptive dams, and vice versa, to 69 separate the effect of the environment provided by the mother from genetic transmission [18]. 70 In humans, it is more challenging to disentangle the influence of direct genetic transmission 71 and indirect genetic effects that operate via the environment created by parents. Comprehensive 72 reviews of childhood psychological adversity and DNA methylation indicated that childhood 73 maltreatment and other adversities are associated with differential DNA methylation but called for 74 further study of the impacts of childhood experiences and the effects of genetic transmission of 75 parental psychopathology risk [19–21]. In the present study, we propose an approach to disentangle 76 the influence of direct genetic transmission and indirect genetic effects that operate via the 77 environment created on DNA methylation, by modelling the effects of parental PGSs and offspring 78 PGSs on the offspring DNA methylation profile [9, 10]. Finding an effect of parental PGSs, in addition 79 to the effect of the offspring's own PGS, would support of the hypothesis that genetic nurture acts 80 through the offspring methylome. We obtained parental and offspring PGSs for six traits: 81 schizophrenia, smoking initiation, EA, social deprivation, body mass index (BMI), and height in 82 nuclear twin families. We focused on schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height because they have high 83 powered genome-wide association studies (GWAS). We focused on smoking because it evinces a 84 strong effect of DNA methylation based on prior epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS). We 85 focused on social deprivation because it is associated with a strong theoretical hypothesis of

mediation via epigenetic mechanisms [22]. EWAS have revealed widespread associations of DNA
methylation and schizophrenia [23, 24], smoking [25, 26], and BMI [27, 28]. PGSs for these traits
have been associated with DNA methylation [23, 29–31]. However, to date no studies have
undertaken to separate direct from indirect genetic effects on DNA methylation in offspring, which
requires the PGSs of the offspring and the offspring's parents.
In our study, the epigenetic data were available for 1,528 young twins (51.5% boys, mean [*SD*]

age = 10 [2.8] years). DNA samples for genotyping and polygenic scoring were available for both

93 children and their parents. DNA methylation was measured by the Illumina Infinium EPIC array,

94 which measures DNA methylation at approximately 850K sites. To reduce multiple testing burden,

95 we selected the top 10% most variable probes (72,889). We have shown in an independent sample

96 of adult Dutch twins that the most variable probes are the most reliable across time, tissue, and

97 platform [32]. DNA methylation levels in offspring were regressed on offspring, maternal, and

98 paternal PGSs by linear regression, while correcting for familial clustering.

### 99 Materials and methods

#### 100 Study population and procedures

101 The collection of DNA samples in offspring and their parents was done at home from buccal swabs. 102 Families were recruited from the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) [33] and the majority took part in 103 the ACTION Biomarker Study [34, 35]. We first collected data in a pilot study to assess the suitability 104 of the Infinium EPIC array for buccal-derived DNA samples [36] in 96 monozygotic (MZ) twins (47 105 complete pairs, 56.2% boys, mean [SD] (range) age at DNA collection 7.4 [2.4] (1-10) years). Next, 106 1,141 twins (523 complete pairs, 52.6% boys, mean [SD] (range) age at DNA collection = 9.6 [1.9] 107 (5.6-12.9) years) and their parents were included in the main ACTION Biomarker Study [37]. A third 108 dataset included additional twins and siblings in the ACTION Biomarker Study, and MZ twins and 109 triplets from the NTR, totaling 291 children of 1 to 18 years of age (45.7% boys, mean [SD] age at 110 DNA collection = 12.1 [4.2] years). DNA methylation data and offspring PGSs were available for all

offspring, maternal PGSs were available for 92.1% and paternal PGSs for 81.1% of the offspring, with
parental PGS of both biological parents available for 78.3% of the offspring (Supplementary Table 1).
All families were of European ancestry.

- Parents gave written informed consent for their own and their offspring's participation. The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The ACTION project was assessed by the Central Ethics Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam (NTR 03-180, NTR 25th of May 2007, ACTION 2013/41 and
- 118 2014.252), an Institutional Review Board certified by the U.S. Office of Human Research Protections
- 119 (IRB number IRB00002991 under Federal-wide Assurance FWA00017598).
- 120 Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip
- 121 DNA methylation in offspring was assessed with the Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip Kit,
- following the manufacturer's specification (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) [38]. A total of 500 ng of
- 123 genomic DNA from buccal swabs were bisulfite-treated using the ZymoResearch EZ DNA Methylation
- 124 kit (Zymo Research Corp, Irvine, CA, USA). Datasets 1 and 3 were generated at the Avera McKennan
- 125 Hospital (Sioux Falls, SD, USA), and dataset 2 at the Human Genotyping Facility (HugeF) of
- 126 ErasmusMC (Rotterdam, the Netherlands; <u>http://www.glimdna.org/</u>).

127 As previously described [36, 39], quality control (QC) and normalization of the methylation 128 data were performed with the pipelines developed by the Biobank-based Integrative Omics Study 129 (BIOS) consortium [40]. The probe  $\beta$ -values represent the ratio of the methylated signal intensity to total signal intensity (methylated plus unmethylated) at a given CpG site and can range from 0 130 131 (unmethylated) to 1 (fully methylated). Only samples that passed all five quality criteria of MethylAid 132 were retained [41]. In addition, these samples were characterized by correct genetic relationships 133 among the participants (omicsPrint) [42] and the absence of sex mismatches (DNAmArray and 134 meffil) [43]. Functional normalization was performed with the dataset-specific optimum number of 135 principal components. Methylation probes were coded as missing, if they had an intensity value of

136 zero, bead count < 3, or detection p-value > 0.01. A probe was excluded if it overlapped with a SNP 137 or Insertion/Deletion (INDEL), mapped to multiple locations in the genome, or had a success rate < 138 0.95 across all samples. Sample QC, probe filtering, normalization, and imputation of missing values 139 were done within the three datasets separately. Of 865,859 sites on the array, 789,888 sites, 140 787,711 sites, and 734,807 sites were retained after QC in datasets 1, 2, and 3, respectively, and we 141 retained the 728,899 overlapping sites. Cellular proportions were predicted in epithelial tissues 142 based on the cell-type deconvolution algorithm Hierarchical Epigenetic Dissection of Intra-Sample-143 Heterogeneity (HepiDISH) with the reduced partial correlation [44]. After QC, we removed all cross-144 reactive probes, probes in SNPs or on the X or Y chromosome and then imputed missing methylation 145  $\beta$ -values (up to 5%; probes with higher missingness were excluded) with the imputePCA() function 146 (missMDA R in the BIOS pipeline). Next, we removed methylation outliers (range: 291-505) that 147 exceeded three times the interquartile range (ewaff R) [45] in each dataset. We then combined the 148 imputed methylation  $\beta$ -values from all three datasets and obtained residual methylation levels by 149 regressing the effects of sex, age, percentages of epithelial and natural killer cells, EPIC array row, 150 and bisulfite sample plate. The top 10% most variable residualized methylation sites (72,889) were 151 retained for further analysis (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 2). 152 Genotyping and calculation of polygenic scores 153 Genotyping was done according to the manufacturer's protocols in 3,124 NTR samples on multiple 154 genotyping platforms. Sample and SNP QC was performed per genotyping platform (build 37) for all NTR individuals. Genotype data were imputed to 1000 genomes phase 3 (v.5) [REF] and a combined 155 156 HRC 1.1 (EGA version) [46, 47] and GONL (v.4) [48] reference panel. The first was used for calculation

- 157 of genetic principal components (PCs) and the second for calculation of PGSs. More details on QC
- and imputation are included in **Supplementary Note 1** and on calculation of genetic PCs in
- 159 Supplementary Note 2.

160	Parental and offspring PGSs for schizophrenia [49], smoking initiation [50], educational
161	attainment [51], social deprivation [52], BMI [53], and height [53] were calculated based on GWASs,
162	that all omitted NTR from the discovery (meta-)analysis. Before generating the PGSs, QC steps
163	involved removing SNPs with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) p-values below 0.00001,
164	Mendelian error rates above 1%, genotype call rate below 98%, effect allele frequencies below 0.01
165	and above 0.99, imputation info below 0.10 and SNPs showing over 2% differences in allele
166	frequencies between genotyping platforms. We retained 7,086,504 (schizophrenia), 6,982,922
167	(smoking initiation), 7,118,026 (EA), 6,997,217 (social deprivation), 2,220,360 (BMI), and 2,206,961
168	(height) SNPs.

169 LD weighted betas were calculated from the processed summary statistics in the LDpred 170 package (v.0.9) to correct for the effects of LD, and to maximize predictive accuracy of the PGS [54]. 171 We randomly selected 2,500 individuals unrelated to the 2<sup>nd</sup> degree from the NTR as a reference 172 population to obtain LD patterns. Weights to obtain the PGSs in PLINK2 (--score) [55] were 173 calculated with an LD pruning window of 250 KB and the infinitesimal prior (LDpred-inf). We 174 calculated the offspring, maternal, and paternal PGS for the 6 traits (18 PGSs total). Two dummy coded genotyping platforms and the first 10 genetic PCs were regressed on the PGSs, and the 175 176 standardized residuals were analyzed. If maternal or paternal polygenic scores were missing, we 177 assigned the parental PGS the value zero [2] ( $N_{dataset1} = 31$ ;  $N_{dataset2} = 213$ ;  $N_{dataset3} = 87$ ).

178 Analyses

As shown in Okbay et al. (2022) [9] (see also Supplementary Note 3), models that include the PGSs
from offspring and two parents provide estimates of direct and indirect genetic effects, and allow for
differences in maternal and paternal indirect genetic effects [9, 10].

182 The direct and indirect genetic effects were estimated by fitting the following regression183 model:

184 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \delta PGS_{ij} + \alpha_m PGS_{m(i)} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \qquad (1)$$

185 where  $Y_{ij}$  is the residualized methylation probe  $\beta$ -value, and i and j index family and individual; 186  $\mu$  is the intercept,  $\delta$  is the direct effect of the offspring PGS;  $\alpha_m$  captures the maternal indirect

 $187 \qquad \text{genetic effects; } \alpha_p \text{ captures the paternal indirect genetic effects; } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ and } PGS_{p(i)} \text{ are the PGSs of } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ and } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ are the PGSs of } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ and } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ are the PGSs of } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ are the PGSs of } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ and } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ are the PGSs of } PGS_{m(i)} \text{ are the PGSs o$ 

188 the mother and father in family i; and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is a residual term.

- We extended equation 1 by including maternal, paternal, and offspring PGS for multiple traits.
   This allows for the unbiased estimation of the direct and indirect genetic effects for a given complex
- trait, while accounting for direct and indirect effects on all other traits in the model.
- 192 The direct and indirect genetic effects for multiple traits are modelled as follows:

193 
$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \delta PGS_{ij}^{trait 1} + \alpha_m PGS_{m(i)}^{trait 1} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)}^{trait 1} + \dots + \delta PGS_{ij}^{trait N} +$$
  
194 
$$\alpha_m PGS_{m(i)}^{trait N} + \alpha_p PGS_{p(i)}^{trait N} + \beta_1 Cov_{ij} + \beta_2 Cov_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij},$$
(2)

where subscript trait 1 through trait N indicates the trait for which the polygenetic score was
calculated and Cov<sub>ii</sub> and Cov<sub>i</sub> indicate the DNA methylation dataset.

197 We tested the association between the 72,889 CpGs and the offspring, maternal, and paternal 198 PGSs for the six traits, i.e., 18 predictors, using Generalized Estimation Equation (GEE) regression 199 modeling to correct the standard errors for family clustering of individuals [56]. All analyses were 200 carried out in R (version 4.2.2) [57]. We used the R package 'gee' (version 4.13-26) [58]. A Bonferroni 201 correction was applied for the number of independent DNA methylation probes tested ( $\alpha = 0.05/N$ independent variables), following the procedure of Nyholt (2004) [59], where the number of 202 203 independent tests is determined by Matrix Spectral Decomposition (MSD) of the imputed CpGs. The 204 correlated CpGs could be reduced to 1,850 independent linear combinations of the probes and we set the significance level to  $(0.05/1,850) = 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ . When analyzing the 72,889 CpGs, the 205

regression model for 192 CpGs did not converge. We present the results for the remaining 72,697
 successful analyses.

208 Significant CpGs from these analyses were followed up in 3 analyses. First, we queried the 209 EWAS Atlas database to identify with which traits these CpGs had been previously associated [60]. Second, we queried the GoDMC database (http://mgtldb.godmc.org.uk/) for associated blood cis 210 and trans methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) for these CpGs [15] and examined if the 211 212 identified mQTLs were mapped to genome-wide significant ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) SNPs for the respective 213 traits. Third, we investigated whether the DNA methylation levels in buccal cells and prefrontal 214 cortex were significantly correlated (Spearman rank correlations at False Discovery Rate (FDR) q <215 (0.05) in a published dataset of matched post-mortem samples (N = 120) [61]. Last, we performed 216 trait enrichment analyses of the top 100 most significant sites identified in the mega-analysis for 217 each PGS and complex trait in the EWAS Atlas.

## 218 Results

219 Participant characteristics are described in Table 1. We analyzed data from 1,528 offspring and their 220 parents (51.5% boys, mean [SD] age = 10 [2.8] years) to test the simultaneous association of 221 offspring, maternal, and paternal PGSs for six complex traits with 72,697 buccal DNA methylation 222 sites in offspring. The correlations between PGSs of different traits ranged from -0.29 and 0.23 223 (mean = -0.004, median = -0.01), with the strongest correlation observed between the Maternal 224 PGSs of smoking initiation and EA (Figure 1). We accounted for these correlations by fitting the PGSs 225 of all traits simultaneously in one model. The correlations between the offspring and parental PGSs 226 for the same trait were approximately 0.5 as expected (range = 0.43-0.53, mean = 0.48, median = 227 0.47), while correlations between parental PGSs for the same trait were on average 0.04 (median = 228 0.04, range = -0.02-0.10).

229	We observed independent significant associations between offspring methylation sites and
230	maternal PGSs for schizophrenia (15), height (2), and BMI (1), and paternal PGSs for schizophrenia
231	(1), EA (2), and height (4), (Figure 2, Table 2). The own offspring PGSs for schizophrenia, EA, and
232	height showed associations with 21, 3, and 2 offspring methylation sites, respectively. None of the
233	CpGs associated with maternal, paternal, or offspring PGSs overlapped. Thus, in total, 25 and 26
234	significant CpG sites ( $p < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ , Bonferroni correction for 1,850 tests) were associated with
235	indirect and direct genetic effects across the six complex traits, respectively (Supplementary Figures

236 **2-7**, Supplementary Table 3).

237 The guery of the EWAS Atlas database [60] for the 37 CpGs associated with direct or indirect 238 genetic effects for schizophrenia showed that 12 CpGs (5 associated with the maternal and 7 with 239 the offspring PGS) had known trait associations, though not with schizophrenia or other mental 240 health traits (Table 2). Similarly, 3 out of the 5 CpGs associated with paternal (2) or offspring (3) 241 PGSs for EA and 3 out of the 8 CpGs associated with maternal (2), paternal (4), or offspring (2) PGSs 242 for height were included in the EWAS Atlas but showed no previous associations with the respective or a related complex trait. Trait enrichment analyses for the top 100 CpGs associated with offspring 243 244 and parental PGSs for all six complex traits showed enrichment for 5 to 18 traits per PGS (mean = 245 10.2, median = 10) with on average 2.2 CpGs associated per trait (range = 1-7, median = 2, 246 Supplementary Table 4). Notably, many of the enriched traits are phenotypically associated with the relevant PGSs, such as enrichment for bipolar and major depressive disorder and maternal 247 hypertensive disorders in pregnancy for CpGs associated with maternal indirect genetic effects for 248 249 schizophrenia and household socioeconomic status in childhood for CpGs with direct and indirect 250 genetic effects for schizophrenia.

A query of the GoDMC blood mQTL database [15] with the 51 significant CpGs identified 3,237 *cis* mQTLs for 16 CpGs (mean = 202.3 mQTLs per associated CpG, median = 170.5) associated with schizophrenia (13 CpGs), EA (1), and height (2), with roughly half (1,639/3,237) of the mQTLs

associated with indirect genetic effect CpGs (**Table 2**, **Supplementary Table 5**). Of the 164 mQTLs associated with cg01633359 (paternal PGS for height) and 216 of the mQTLs associated with cg03480605 (offspring PGS for height) 56 and 17, respectively, had previously been associated with height at genome-wide significant levels ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ , **Table 2**, **Supplementary Figure 8**, **Supplementary Table 6**) [53]. None of the mQTLs for the schizophrenia and EA associated CpGs were previously found to be significantly associated with their respective traits at genome-wide significant levels [49, 51].

We looked at CpGs significantly associated with direct or indirect genetic effects in a published dataset (N = 120) of correlations between DNA methylation levels in buccal cells and the prefrontal cortex [61]. One CpG associated with the maternal PGS for schizophrenia (cg09163778, r = 0.31, p =5.86 x 10<sup>-4</sup>), 2 CPGs associated with the offspring PGS for schizophrenia (cg02530860, r = 0.67, p =7.51 x 10<sup>-17</sup>, cg01229327, r = 0.49,  $p = 1.91 \times 10^{-8}$ ), and one CpG associated with the paternal PGS for height (cg01633359, r = 0.29,  $p = 1.44 \times 10^{-3}$ ) showed significantly (FDR q < 0.05) correlated DNA methylation levels between buccal cells and the prefrontal cortex (**Supplementary Table 7**).

## 268 Discussion

269 We investigated direct and indirect genetic influences on offspring DNA methylation for 270 schizophrenia, smoking initiation, EA, social deprivation, BMI, and height in a cohort of 1,528 children and their parents. We identified 37 CpGs significantly associated with schizophrenia, 5 with 271 272 EA, 1 with BMI, and 8 with height. None of the identified CpGs overlapped between polygenic 273 scores. We found the strongest genetic nurture effect for schizophrenia. Of the schizophrenia 274 associated CpGs, 43% (16 CpGs, 93.7% maternal) showed an association with parental PGSs. Overall, 275 the maternal genetic nurture effect for schizophrenia (15 CpGs) on offspring DNA methylation 276 represented 83.3% of all maternal genetic nurture effects (18 CpGs) and 58% of all genetic nurture 277 effects (25 CpGs).

278 We identified 25 CpGs associated with parental PGSs. These results strongly suggest that one 279 mechanism for genetic nurture is via the offspring methylome. Of these, 18 CpGs were specific to 280 associations with maternal PGSs, and 7 were specific to paternal PGSs, suggesting that genetically 281 driven paternal behaviors have a smaller impact on offspring DNA methylation. The larger number of 282 CpGs associated with maternal PGSs for schizophrenia (15/16 CpGs) is consistent with a stronger 283 influence of genetic nurture effects on offspring DNA methylation in utero. This aligns with the 284 "Developmental Origins of Health and Disease" (DOHad) hypothesis, that prenatal environmental factors have long-term effects through epigenetic mechanisms [62]. Unfavorable intrauterine 285 286 conditions leading to poor fetal growth are particularly relevant to the DOHaD hypothesis [63, 64]. 287 Observational studies indicate that the intrauterine environment is influenced by maternal height 288 and weight, and both direct and maternal indirect genetic effects affect offspring birth length, 289 weight, and gestational age [65, 66]. The CpGs associated with maternal PGSs for height (2 CpGs) 290 and BMI (1 CpG) may be involved in these processes. However, recent research suggests that the 291 impact of intrauterine growth restriction on complex disease risk is limited, and that non-292 transmitted maternal genetic factors for birth weight do not contribute to offspring disease risk 293 beyond genetic pleiotropy with offspring genetic factors [67]. This aligns with our finding that the 294 largest number of findings for non-transmitted maternal genetic factors is not for body size but for 295 schizophrenia.

296 We explored the literature whether the significant CpGs for each trait had been associated 297 with the PGS for that trait and found that none of the CpGs significantly associated with direct or 298 indirect genetic effects had been associated with polygenic scores for schizophrenia or BMI [23, 29-299 31]. These previous studies all comprised adults, whereas our study focused on children. The 300 previous studies on schizophrenia mainly comprised adult patient populations focused on DNA 301 methylation in blood or post-mortem brain samples (N range = 88-847). DNA methylation is often 302 tissue specific and despite identifying blood *cis* mQTLs for approximately one-third of the CpGs 303 associated with direct and indirect genetic effects, some cis mQTLs are not found in blood. However,

given the high (71%) concordance between blood and buccal *cis* mQTLs, we expect to have identified
most *cis* mQTLs [68]. None of the identified CpGs were associated with *trans* mQTLs. Three CpGs
associated with schizophrenia PGSs (1 maternal, 2 offspring) and one CpG associated with paternal
PGS for height showed correlated methylation levels between buccal cells and prefrontal cortex
brain samples [61].

309 This study is the first to investigate how indirect genetic effects influence DNA methylation in 310 offspring. It also explored the direct genetic effects on DNA methylation in children. We analyzed 311 data from 1,528 children and their parents. Most children were related to at least one other person 312 in the sample, such as their co-twin and thus the effective sample size is smaller than 1,528. Given 313 the relatively small sample size, we took three measures to reduce the multiple testing burden. First, 314 we focused on the top 10% most variable CpGs, which have been shown to be the most reliable [32]. 315 Second, we adjusted our multiple testing threshold based on the number of independent CpGs, 316 considering the high correlations between them. Third, our approach of modeling multiple complex 317 traits simultaneously allowed us to avoid correcting for the number of traits investigated, which 318 would have been necessary in a separate modeling strategy. Despite these considerations, our 319 application highlights its potential to investigate epigenetic mechanisms of indirect genetic effects 320 and encourages testing in large family cohorts, across different tissues, and populations.

In summary, we found support for the hypothesis that indirect genetic effects are associated with DNA methylation in offspring. By simultaneously modelling multiple complex traits, we found robust associations of indirect genetic effects on offspring DNA methylation for schizophrenia, EA, BMI, and height, but not smoking initiation and social deprivation. Most of these associations were discovered in relation to maternal PGSs, consistent with the interpretation that the prenatal environment influences the DNA methylation of offspring during embryonic development.

### 327 Declarations

#### 328 Acknowledgments

- 329 The Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) warmly thanks all twin families for their participation and are
- 330 grateful to all researchers involved in the data collection for the ACTION Biomarker Study. The
- 331 current work is supported by the Consortium on Individual Development (CID) and the "Aggression
- 332 in Children: Unraveling gene-environment interplay to inform Treatment and InterventiON
- 333 strategies" project (ACTION). CID is funded through the Gravitation Program of the Dutch Ministry of
- 334 Education, Culture, and Science and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO
- grant number 024-001-003). ACTION received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework
- 336 Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no 602768. M.B. is supported by an ERC
- 337 consolidator grant (WELL-BEING 771057, PI Bartels) and NWO VICI grant (VI.C.2111.054, PI Bartels).
- 338 J.D. acknowledges the NWO-funded X-omics project (184.034.019) and D.I.B. the Royal Netherlands
- Academy of Arts and Sciences Professor Award (PAH/6635).
- 340 Conflict of Interest
- 341 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- 342 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
- 343 Authors' contributions
- 344 Conceptualization, F.A.H., R.P., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; methodology, C.V.D; formal analysis,
- 345 F.A.H. and R.P.; investigation, F.A.H., M.B. and D.I.B.; resources, R.P., A.J.V.A., E.A.E., J.J.H. and J.D.;
- 346 writing—original draft preparation, F.A.H., R.P., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; writing—review and
- 347 editing, F.A.H., R.P., A.J.V.A., M.B., J.J.H., C.V.D., J.D. and D.I.B.; visualization, F.A.H. and C.V.D.;
- 348 supervision, J.D. and D.I.B.; funding acquisition, M.B. and D.I.B. All authors have read and agreed to
- the published version of the manuscript.

### 350 Availability of data and code

- 351 The standardized protocol for large scale collection of buccal-cell (and urine) samples in the home
- 352 situation as developed for the ACTION Biomarker Study in children is available at
- 353 <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2ly7qkwlx9/v1</u>. The data of the Netherlands Twin
- 354 Register (NTR) may be accessed, upon approval of the data access committee, through the NTR
- 355 (<u>https://ntr-data-request.psy.vu.nl/</u>). The pipeline for DNA methylation–array analysis developed by
- 356 the Biobank-based Integrative Omics Study (BIOS) consortium is available at
- 357 <u>https://molepi.github.io/DNAmArray\_workflow/ (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3355292). All</u>
- 358 software used to perform the analyses are available online. All scripts used to run the analyses
- 359 (empirical and simulated) are available at our GitHub
- 360 (https://github.com/FionaAHagenbeek/MethylationPGS).

## 361 References

- 362 1. Bates TC, Maher BS, Medland SE, McAloney K, Wright MJ, Hansell NK, et al. The Nature of
- 363 Nurture: Using a Virtual-Parent Design to Test Parenting Effects on Children's Educational
- 364 Attainment in Genotyped Families. Twin Research and Human Genetics. 2018;21:73–83.
- 2. Kong A, Thorleifsson G, Frigge ML, Vilhjalmsson BJ, Young AI, Thorgeirsson TE, et al. The nature
- of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes. Science. 2018;359:424–428.
- Balbona JV, Kim Y, Keller MC. Estimation of Parental Effects Using Polygenic Scores. Behav
   Genet. 2021;51:264–278.
- 369 4. Cavalli-Sforza LL, Feldman MW. Cultural transmission and evolution: a quantitative approach.
- 370 Monogr Popul Biol. 1981;16:1–388.
- 5. Eaves L. A model for sibling effects in man. Heredity. 1976;36:205–214.
- Odling-Smee J, Laland KN. Ecological Inheritance and Cultural Inheritance: What Are They and
   How Do They Differ? Biol Theory. 2011;6:220–230.
- 374 7. McAdams TA, Cheesman R, Ahmadzadeh YI. Annual Research Review: Towards a deeper
- 375 understanding of nature and nurture: combining family-based quasi-experimental methods
- with genomic data. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2023;64:693–707.
- 8. Swagerman SC, van Bergen E, Dolan C, de Geus EJC, Koenis MMG, Hulshoff Pol HE, et al.
- 378 Genetic transmission of reading ability. Brain and Language. 2017;172:3–8.
- 379 9. Okbay A, Wu Y, Wang N, Jayashankar H, Bennett M, Nehzati SM, et al. Polygenic prediction of
- 380 educational attainment within and between families from genome-wide association analyses in
- 381 3 million individuals. Nat Genet. 2022;54:437–449.
- 10. Tubbs JD, Porsch RM, Cherny SS, Sham PC. The Genes We Inherit and Those We Don't:
- 383 Maternal Genetic Nurture and Child BMI Trajectories. Behav Genet. 2020;50:310–319.
- 11. Bruins S, Dolan CV, Boomsma DI. The Power to Detect Cultural Transmission in the Nuclear
- 385 Twin Family Design With and Without Polygenic Risk Scores and in the Transmitted-
- 386 Nontransmitted (Alleles) Design. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2020;23:265–270.

- 12. Wang B, Baldwin JR, Schoeler T, Cheesman R, Barkhuizen W, Dudbridge F, et al. Robust genetic
- 388 nurture effects on education: A systematic review and meta-analysis based on 38,654 families
- across 8 cohorts. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2021;108:1780–1791.
- 390 13. Buescher JM, Driggers EM. Integration of omics: more than the sum of its parts. Cancer &
- 391 Metabolism. 2016;4:4.
- 14. Carlberg C, Molnár F. What Is Epigenomics? In: Carlberg C, Molnár F, editors. Human
- 393 Epigenomics, Singapore: Springer; 2018. p. 3–18.
- 15. Min JL, Hemani G, Hannon E, Dekkers KF, Castillo-Fernandez J, Luijk R, et al. Genomic and
- 395 phenotypic insights from an atlas of genetic effects on DNA methylation. Nat Genet.
- 396 2021;53:1311–1321.
- 16. van Dongen J, Nivard MG, Willemsen G, Hottenga J-J, Helmer Q, Dolan CV, et al. Genetic and
- environmental influences interact with age and sex in shaping the human methylome. Nat
  Commun. 2016;7:11115.
- 400 17. Zhou FC, Resendiz M, Lo C-L. Chapter 31 Environmental Influence of Epigenetics. In: Tollefsbol
- 401 TO, editor. Handbook of Epigenetics (Second Edition), Academic Press; 2017. p. 477–494.
- 402 18. Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, et al. Epigenetic
- 403 programming by maternal behavior. Nat Neurosci. 2004;7:847–854.
- 404 19. Cecil CAM, Zhang Y, Nolte T. Childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: A systematic

405 review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 2020;112:392–409.

- 406 20. Parade SH, Huffhines L, Daniels TE, Stroud LR, Nugent NR, Tyrka AR. A systematic review of
- 407 childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation: candidate gene and epigenome-wide
- 408 approaches. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11:1–33.
- 409 21. Rubens M, Bruenig D, Adams JAM, Suresh SM, Sathyanarayanan A, Haslam D, et al. Childhood
- 410 maltreatment and DNA methylation: A systematic review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral
- 411 Reviews. 2023;147:105079.

- 412 22. Szyf M, McGowan P, Meaney MJ. The social environment and the epigenome. Environmental
- 413 and Molecular Mutagenesis. 2008;49:46–60.
- 414 23. Hannon E, Dempster E, Viana J, Burrage J, Smith AR, Macdonald R, et al. An integrated genetic-
- 415 epigenetic analysis of schizophrenia: evidence for co-localization of genetic associations and
- 416 differential DNA methylation. Genome Biology. 2016;17:176.
- 417 24. Montano C, Taub MA, Jaffe A, Briem E, Feinberg JI, Trygvadottir R, et al. Association of DNA
- 418 Methylation Differences With Schizophrenia in an Epigenome-Wide Association Study. JAMA
- 419 Psychiatry. 2016;73:506–514.
- 420 25. Christiansen C, Castillo-Fernandez JE, Domingo-Relloso A, Zhao W, El-Sayed Moustafa JS, Tsai
- 421 P-C, et al. Novel DNA methylation signatures of tobacco smoking with trans-ethnic effects.

422 Clinical Epigenetics. 2021;13:36.

- 423 26. van Dongen J, Willemsen G, BIOS Consortium, de Geus EJ, Boomsma DI, Neale MC. Effects of
- 424 smoking on genome-wide DNA methylation profiles: A study of discordant and concordant
- 425 monozygotic twin pairs. eLife. 2023;12:e83286.
- 426 27. Dick KJ, Nelson CP, Tsaprouni L, Sandling JK, Aïssi D, Wahl S, et al. DNA methylation and body-
- 427 mass index: a genome-wide analysis. The Lancet. 2014;383:1990–1998.
- 428 28. Vehmeijer FOL, Küpers LK, Sharp GC, Salas LA, Lent S, Jima DD, et al. DNA methylation and
- 429 body mass index from birth to adolescence: meta-analyses of epigenome-wide association
- 430 studies. Genome Medicine. 2020;12:105.
- 431 29. Kiltschewskij DJ, Reay WR, Geaghan MP, Atkins JR, Xavier A, Zhang X, et al. Alteration of DNA
- 432 Methylation and Epigenetic Scores Associated With Features of Schizophrenia and Common
- 433 Variant Genetic Risk. Biological Psychiatry. 2023. 2023.
- 434 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2023.07.010.
- 435 30. Viana J, Hannon E, Dempster E, Pidsley R, Macdonald R, Knox O, et al. Schizophrenia-associated
- 436 methylomic variation: molecular signatures of disease and polygenic risk burden across
- 437 multiple brain regions. Human Molecular Genetics. 2017;26:210–225.

- 438 31. Yu C, Hodge AM, Wong EM, Joo JE, Makalic E, Schmidt DF, et al. Does genetic predisposition
- 439 modify the effect of lifestyle-related factors on DNA methylation? Epigenetics. 2022;17:1838–
  440 1847.
- 441 32. Van Asselt AJ, Beck JJ, Finnicum CT, Johnson BN, Kallsen N, Hottenga JJ, et al. Genome-Wide
- 442 DNA Methylation Profiles in Whole-Blood and Buccal Samples—Cross-Sectional, Longitudinal,
- and across Platforms. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2023;24:14640.
- 444 33. Ligthart L, Beijsterveldt CEM van, Kevenaar ST, Zeeuw E de, Bergen E van, Bruins S, et al. The
- 445 Netherlands Twin Register: Longitudinal Research Based on Twin and Twin-Family Designs.
- 446 Twin Research and Human Genetics. 2019;22:623–636.
- 447 34. Bartels M, Hendriks A, Mauri M, Krapohl E, Whipp A, Bolhuis K, et al. Childhood aggression and
- the co-occurrence of behavioural and emotional problems: results across ages 3–16 years from
- 449 multiple raters in six cohorts in the EU-ACTION project. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.
- 450 2018;27:1105–1121.
- 451 35. Hagenbeek FA, Roetman PJ, Pool R, Kluft C, Harms AC, van Dongen J, et al. Urinary Amine and
- 452 Organic Acid Metabolites Evaluated as Markers for Childhood Aggression: The ACTION
- 453 Biomarker Study. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2020;11.
- 454 36. van Dongen J, Ehli EA, Jansen R, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Willemsen G, Hottenga JJ, et al.
- 455 Genome-wide analysis of DNA methylation in buccal cells: a study of monozygotic twins and
- 456 mQTLs. Epigenetics & Chromatin. 2018;11:54.
- 457 37. Hagenbeek FA, Dongen J van, Roetman PJ, Ehli EA, Bartels M, Vermeiren RRJM, et al. ACTION
- 458 Biomarker Study. Protocolslo. 2023. March 2023.
- 459 https://dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.eq2ly7qkwlx9/v1.
- 460 38. Moran S, Arribas C, Esteller M. Validation of a DNA methylation microarray for 850,000 CpG
- 461 sites of the human genome enriched in enhancer sequences. Epigenomics. 2016;8:389–399.

462	39.	van Dongen J, Hage	nbeek FA, Suderman	M, Roetman PJ	, Sugden K,	Chiocchetti AG	, et al. DNA
					,		

- 463 methylation signatures of aggression and closely related constructs: A meta-analysis of
- 464 epigenome-wide studies across the lifespan. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26:2148–2162.
- 465 40. Sinke L, van Iterson M, Cats D, Slieker R, Heijmans B. DNAmArray: Streamlined workflow for
- the quality control, normalization, and analysis of Illumina methylation array data. 2019.
- 467 41. van Iterson M, Tobi EW, Slieker RC, den Hollander W, Luijk R, Slagboom PE, et al. MethylAid:
- 468 visual and interactive quality control of large Illumina 450k datasets. Bioinformatics.
- 469 2014;30:3435–3437.
- 470 42. van Iterson M, Cats D, Hop P, BIOS Consortium, Heijmans BT. omicsPrint: detection of data
- 471 linkage errors in multiple omics studies. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:2142–2143.
- 472 43. Min JL, Hemani G, Davey Smith G, Relton C, Suderman M. Meffil: efficient normalization and
- 473 analysis of very large DNA methylation datasets. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:3983–3989.
- 474 44. Zheng SC, Webster AP, Dong D, Feber A, Graham DG, Sullivan R, et al. A novel cell-type
- 475 deconvolution algorithm reveals substantial contamination by immune cells in saliva, buccal
- 476 and cervix. Epigenomics. 2018;10:925–940.
- 477 45. Suderman, Matthew, Sharp, Gemma, Yousefi, Paul, Kupers, Leanne. perishky/ewaff: Efficient
  478 and Flexible EWAS version 0.0.2 from GitHub. 2019.
- 479 46. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A reference panel

480 of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016;48:1279–1283.

- 481 47. The Haplotype Reference Consortium EGA European Genome-Phenome Archive. https://ega-
- 482 archive.org/studies/EGAS00001001710. Accessed 6 April 2023.
- 483 48. Boomsma DI, Wijmenga C, Slagboom EP, Swertz MA, Karssen LC, Abdellaoui A, et al. The
- 484 Genome of the Netherlands: design, and project goals. Eur J Hum Genet. 2014;22:221–227.
- 485 49. Trubetskoy V, Pardiñas AF, Qi T, Panagiotaropoulou G, Awasthi S, Bigdeli TB, et al. Mapping
- 486 genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature. 2022;604:502–
- 487 508.

488	50.	Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million
489		individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet.
490		2019;51:237–244.

- 491 51. Lee JJ, Wedow R, Okbay A, Kong E, Maghzian O, Zacher M, et al. Gene discovery and polygenic
- 492 prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million
- 493 individuals. Nat Genet. 2018;50:1112–1121.
- 494 52. Hill WD, Hagenaars SP, Marioni RE, Harris SE, Liewald DCM, Davies G, et al. Molecular Genetic
- 495 Contributions to Social Deprivation and Household Income in UK Biobank. Current Biology.
- 496 2016;26:3083–3089.
- 497 53. Yengo L, Sidorenko J, Kemper KE, Zheng Z, Wood AR, Weedon MN, et al. Meta-analysis of
- 498 genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ~700000 individuals of
- 499 European ancestry. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27:3641–3649.
- 500 54. Vilhjálmsson BJ, Yang J, Finucane HK, Gusev A, Lindström S, Ripke S, et al. Modeling Linkage
- 501 Disequilibrium Increases Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. The American Journal of Human
- 502 Genetics. 2015;97:576–592.
- 503 55. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising
- to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015;4:s13742-015-0047–0048.
- 505 56. Rogers P, Stoner J. Modification of the Sandwich Estimator in Generalized Estimating Equations
- 506 with Correlated Binary Outcomes in Rare Event and Small Sample Settings. American Journal of
- 507 Applied Mathematics and Statistics. 2018;3:243–251.
- 508 57. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- 509 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2022.
- 510 58. Carey VJ. gee: Generalized Estimation Equation Solver. 2022.
- 511 59. Nyholt DR. A Simple Correction for Multiple Testing for Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in
- 512 Linkage Disequilibrium with Each Other. Am J Hum Genet. 2004;74:765–769.

513 60. Xiong Z, Yang F, Li M, Ma Y, Zhao W, Wang G, et al. EWAS Open Platfo	rm: integrated data
--	---------------------

514 knowledge and toolkit for epigenome-wide association study. Nucleic Acids Research.

515 2022;50:D1004–D1009.

- 516 61. Sommerer Y, Ohlei O, Dobricic V, Oakley DH, Wesse T, Sedghpour Sabet S, et al. A correlation
- 517 map of genome-wide DNA methylation patterns between paired human brain and buccal
- 518 samples. Clinical Epigenetics. 2022;14:139.
- 519 62. Langley-Evans SC, McMullen S. Developmental Origins of Adult Disease. Medical Principles and
  520 Practice. 2010;19:87–98.
- 521 63. Barker DJP. Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. BMJ. 1995;311:171–174.
- 522 64. Barker DJP. Fetal origins of cardiovascular disease. Annals of Medicine. 1999;31:3–6.
- 523 65. Eaves LJ, Pourcain BSt, Smith GD, York TP, Evans DM. Resolving the Effects of Maternal and
- 524 Offspring Genotype on Dyadic Outcomes in Genome Wide Complex Trait Analysis ("M-GCTA").
  525 Behav Genet. 2014;44:445–455.
- 526 66. Zhang G, Bacelis J, Lengyel C, Teramo K, Hallman M, Helgeland Ø, et al. Assessing the Causal
- 527 Relationship of Maternal Height on Birth Size and Gestational Age at Birth: A Mendelian
- 528 Randomization Analysis. PLoS Med. 2015;12:e1001865.
- 529 67. Leinonen JT, Pirinen M, Tukiainen T. Disentangling the link between maternal influences on
- 530 birth weight and disease risk in 36,211 genotyped mother–child pairs. Commun Biol.
- 531 2024;7:175.
- 532 68. Ohlei O, Sommerer Y, Dobricic V, Homann J, Deecke L, Schilling M, et al. Genome-wide QTL
- 533 mapping across three tissues highlights several Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease loci
- potentially acting via DNA methylation. 2023:2023.12.22.23300365.

535

## 537 Figure legends

- 538 **Figure 1.** Pearson correlations among the offspring, maternal, and paternal polygenic scores for
- 539 schizophrenia, smoking initiation, educational attainment, social deprivation, body mass index (BMI),
- 540 and height.
- **Figure 2.** Buccal CpGs significantly associated (alpha =  $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ) with maternal ( $\circ$ ), paternal ( $\Box$ ), or
- 542 offspring (Δ) polygenic scores for schizophrenia, educational attainment, body mass index (BMI), and
- 543 height.

# 544 Tables

545 **Table 1.** Demographics of the individuals included across the three buccal DNA methylation datasets in this study.

	MZ	DZ	Triplets	Siblings	Total <sup>a</sup>
N (complete twin pairs	1,170 (538)	209 (99)	34 (9)	115	1,528
or trios)					
Mean (SD) [range] age	9.8 (2.7) [1 – 18]	9.9 (1.7) [5.7 – 13]	7.7 (5.6) [2 - 17]	11.9 (2.6) [5 - 17]	10 (2.8) [1 - 18]
N (%) males	614 (52.5%)	101 (48.3%)	18 (52.9%)	54 (47%)	787 (51.5%)

<sup>3</sup> Parental polygenic scores for one or both parents were missing for 331 children, we assigned these parental PGS the value zero.

547 Note, MZ = monozygotic twins, DZ = dizygotic twins.

## **Table 2.** Buccal CpGs significantly associated (alpha = $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ) with maternal, paternal, or offspring polygenic scores for schizophrenia, educational

550 attainment (EA), body mass index (BMI), and height.

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP <sup>a</sup>	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg00974944	3:61649924	PTPRG			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg02039858	14:65828769				
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg03174043	2:20548412		185		fractional exhaled nitric oxide
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg03526788	8:130899478	FAM49B			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg04822154	8:124692680				
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg07980854	20:57586338		634		breast cancer; type 2 diabetes; atopy; psoriasis
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg09163778	6:31125417	TCF19; CCHCR1			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg10365572	5:141245930	PCDH1			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12098069	13:42537172	VWA8-AS1			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12501870	8:81803721		182		
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg12759387	1:27849177		177		systemic lupus erythematosus; polychlorinated
						biphenyls exposure
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg21028402	6:113932902		297		helicobacter pylori infection; oral squamous cell carcinoma
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg22280238	17:79251323	SLC38A10			
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg26017484	1:3322309	PRDM16			adenoma
Maternal Schizophrenia	cg27277458	10:63597194				
Paternal Schizophrenia	cg02838980	16:10402487				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg01229327	19:54311998	NLRP12			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg02530860	8:144371537		646		gender; sperm viability; assisted reproduction
						technology; infant sex; sexually dimorphic
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg03161422	4:175256045				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg03836484	10:25349182	ENKUR			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg09950681	11:393392	РКРЗ			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg09967523	18:18943072	GREB1L			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12381295	20:3880297	PANK2			gingivo-buccal oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC-GB)

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP <sup>a</sup>	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12614148	1:118325026		98		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg12823387	1:214614656	PTPN14			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg15518113	1:167400121	CD247	105		Air pollution (NO2); adrenocortical carcinoma; smoking; multiple sclerosis;
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg15571646	2:238767351	RAMP1			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg16739178	16:85470674		50		papillary thyroid carcinoma; aging; BMI; Crohn's disease; Behcet's disease; maternal hypertensive disorders in pregnancy; gestational age; blood C-reactive protein level; inflammatory bowel disease
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg17727125	8:38441156				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20516845	21:43823604	UBASH3A	140		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20742175	20:57267357	NPEPL1; STX16-NPEPL1			high risk cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC)
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg20807751	9:84025287				
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg22889887	11:113433931		6		
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg23464619	13:95513506		50		hepatocellular carcinoma; colorectal laterally spreading tumor; inflammatory bowel disease; ulcerative colitis
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg24812103	8:101735630	PABPC1	244		prenatal arsenic exposure; gestational diabetes mellitus; inflammatory bowel disease
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg25607267	12:122362708	WDR66			
Offspring Schizophrenia	cg27475979	16:89471039	ANKRD11			
Paternal EA	cg14576502	8:102962279	NCALD			recurrent adult-type IDH-mutant gliomas
Paternal EA	cg15336177	1:99369228	LPPR5			
Offspring EA	cg04975399	1:67822225	IL12RB2			fractional exhaled nitric oxide
Offspring EA	cg05083647	1:204897364	NFASC			
Offspring EA	cg06629713	2:60580559		43		primary Sjogren's Syndrome; obesity; Type 2 diabetes; asthma; atopy; Crohn's disease; fractional exhaled nitric oxide; hepatitis B virus-related liver disease progression
Maternal BMI	cg06565683	20:46817989				
Maternal Height	cg21894669	2:165379442	GRB14			
Maternal Height	cg22338356	8:48739161	PRKDC			Down Syndrome; Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder

PGS	CpG	CHR:BP <sup>a</sup>	Gene	mQTLs	SNPs	Complex traits
Paternal Height	cg01633359	5:33439359		164	56	
Paternal Height	cg03338154	6:41121714	TREML1			
Paternal Height	cg12738049	15:76509692	ETFA			
Paternal Height	cg23975250	22:44757380				sexually dimorphic
Offspring Height	cg02319662	4:108127694				
Offspring Height	cg03480605	7:151038446	NUB1	216	17	maternal smoking; obesity; oral squamous cell
						carcinoma

<sup>3</sup>CHR:BP = Chromosome base pair location in genome build 37. mQTLs = number of blood *cis* methylation quantitative trait loci (mQTLs) [15] associated with

552 the CpGs. SNPs = number of genome-wide significant ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) SNPs overlapping with blood *cis* mQTLs for the CpGs. Complex traits = complex traits

553 previously associated with the CpGs in the EWAS Atlas [60].



