1 2	A health systems analysis of non-compliance to the maternity referral system by health facilities in Uganda: Findings from a mixed-methods study
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Authors:
8	Henry Zakumumpa ¹ *, Caroline Kyozira ² , Martin Bulamu ² , Remmy Buhuguru ³
9 10 11	*Correspondence to: Henry Zakumumpa (Email: <u>zakumumpa.henry09@gmail.com</u> , Tel:+256772520519)
12	Affiliations:
13 14	¹ Makerere University, School of Public Health, Kampala, Uganda
15	² Republic of Uganda Ministry of Health, Division of Health Information Management, Kampala, Uganda
16 17 18 19	³ Baylor College of Medicine, Children's Foundation, Kampala, Uganda
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

32 ABSTRACT

33 Introduction

- 34 A fully functional referral system is central to achieving quality maternal and newborn care. In 2018,
- 35 Uganda established Kawempe National Referral hospital (KRNH) as the highest level of care for
- 36 maternity services. There is a dearth of data examining if the referral function for which it was
- 37 established has been met. The objectives of this study were two fold; i) to assess the appropriateness of
- 38 in-coming referrals at KRNH ii) to examine factors underpinning referral decisions at lower referring
- 39 facilities across Uganda.

40 Methods

- 41 This was a two-phased, mixed-methods study involving 76 health facilities across Uganda. In Phase One,
- 42 we conducted a cross-sectional study examining incoming referrals at KNRH between July 2019 and
- 43 August 2020 based on routinely collected data in the Health Information System (HMIS) in-patient
- 44 registers. In Phase Two, we qualitatively explored factors underpinning maternity referrals at 75
- 45 purposively selected facilities. Descriptive statistics were managed in STATA (v14) while qualitative data
- 46 were analyzed by thematic approach.

47 Results

- 48 A total of 461,226 referrals were made to KNRH during the period under review. The three most
- 49 common indications for referral at KNRH were; previous caesarian scar, premature rupture of
- 50 membranes and obstructed labour. Facilities mandated to offer comprehensive emergency obstetric
- 51 care such as general hospitals (84%) and sub-district hospitals or Health Centre IVs (18%) were the two
- 52 leading sources of referrals at KNRH. Shortage of basic commodities, poorly equipped theatres,
- 53 deficiencies in skills for labour, study leaves for maternity workforce at lower facilities contributed to
- 54 unnecessary referrals.

55 Conclusion

- 56 Several of the indications of referrals at KNRH are ideally manageable at lower referring facilities such as
- 57 district and sub-district hospitals levels suggesting deficiencies in the national obstetric referral system.

- 58 Interventions for strengthening basic clinical skills in the management of labour at lower referring
- 59 facilities and addressing underpinning health system constraints is recommended.
- - -

- --

- , 5

81 BACKGROUND

82 Reducing maternal mortality is a global health priority [1], [2]. sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has the highest 83 maternal mortality rate in the world [3]. Estimates of the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) for SSA, as a 84 region, have been as high as 600 per 100,000 live births [4]. The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) 85 have set targets for reducing maternal mortality in SSA and the rest of the globe [1], [2]. 86 Health system constraints such as low skilled birth attendance and the stock outs of commodities have 87 been identified as influential on maternal health outcomes in SSA [5]. However, cultural and socio-88 economic factors also play a role [6]. More direct causes of maternal mortality include hemorrhage, 89 unsafe abortion, hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, obstructed labour and sepsis [7]. Emergency 90 obstetric care(EmOC) is a priority intervention for reducing maternal mortality [8]. Emergency obstetric 91 care refers to care given in the event of complications during and after labour that pose a threat to the 92 life of the mother or child [8]. Within the suite of interventions under EmOC, referrals for more 93 advanced care for mothers in labour is a central tenet underpinning the quality of maternity care 94 provided [9]. Referrals are important in that they allow for advanced specialist handling of complications 95 of females in labour before they pose a danger to the life of the mother and the unborn child [10]. The 96 WHO recognizes functional referral systems as a key indicator of the quality of maternal care [11] 97 (Figure 1). 98 In SSA, several countries such as Ethiopia have set up specialized referral hospitals for EmOC [12].

Although, there is an accumulating evidence base on understanding maternal care services at the primary-care level of service delivery in SSA [13]- [15], there is a paucity of evidence on the uptake of maternity services at newly established specialized national referral hospitals [16]. These data are important in understanding trends in health seeking for obstetric health care and the underpinning

103 health system constraints. This is critical for health services planning and in devising appropriate

- 104 interventions for achieving targets for reducing maternal mortality in SSA [1], [2].
- 105 Uganda has a maternal mortality ratio of 343 out of 100,000 live births [17], [18]. An estimated 2% of
- 106 women in Uganda die due to maternal causes [17], [18].
- 107 In 2018, Uganda established the Kawempe National Referral Hospital (KNRH) as a specialized national
- 108 centre of excellence in maternal and newborn care services. The hospital was intended to handle
- referrals from lower-level facilities that require more advanced and specialized care [19]. The Ugandan
- 110 health system has a hierarchical referral system (Figure 2) where primary care level facilities (such as
- sub-county health centre IIIs) are expected to provide basic EmOC while sub-district and district
- 112 hospitals are expected to offer comprehensive EmOC while more advanced cases are referred to the
- 113 tertiary level of care and ultimately to the national referral hospital along an apex cascade chain [8].
- 114 Although Kawempe National Referral hospital has been in operation since 2018, there is a dearth of
- research reporting trends in the uptake of lifesaving services at KNRH, the portfolio of patients it serves
- since its inception and, if the referral function for which it was established is being met. The objectives
- of this study were two fold; i) to assess the appropriateness of in-coming referrals at KRNH ii) to
- 118 examine factors underpinning referral decisions at lower-level referring facilities across Uganda.

119

120 MATERIAL AND METHODS

121 Study design

This was a mixed-methods sequential explanatory research design [18]. The study was conducted in two
phases, implemented sequentially [18]. In Phase One were conducted a cross sectional study of

- 124 incoming obstetric referrals at Kawempe National Referral Hospital in Uganda between 1st July 2019 and
- 125 31st August 2020. In Phase two, we qualitatively explored factors underpinning referral decision-making
- 126 at 75 lower referring facilities across Uganda.

127 Study sites

128 Phase One

129 Kawempe National Referral Hospital (KNRH) is located in one the administrative units of the Ugandan

130 capital city of Kampala in central Uganda. The hospital was established in 2018 as a specialized national

- referral hospital for maternity care. KNRH is the highest level in the maternity referral chain in Uganda.
- 132 With over 32,000 births per year, the hospital is also the largest and busiest specialized maternity care
- 133 facility in Uganda with 80-100 deliveries per day [20].
- 134 Phase Two

135 We purposively sampled at total of 15 facilities with the highest number of referrals at KNRH to 136 qualitatively explore factors underpinning the high number of referrals. We selected five facilities from 137 each of three levels of care in the Ugandan health system (Figure 2); at the level of i) General hospitals, 138 ii) sub-district facilities (Health Centre IV) and iii) sub-county health facilities (Health Centre IIIs). For 139 regional representation, we selected five facilities from each of four geographic regions of Uganda 140 (Northern, Western, Eastern and Southern). In each of the four regions, we selected; a) one general 141 hospital (including two private ones) b) two Health centre IVs c) three Health centre IIIs. We aimed for 142 an in-depth exploration of factors influencing referral decision-making at lower referring facilities outside of central Uganda (which contributes the highest number of referrals). 143

144

145 Data collection

- 146 Phase One
- 147 Data were collected between 1st July 2019 and 31st August 2020 at Kawempe National Referral Hospital
- 148 (KNRH).
- 149 Data were also extracted from three principal sources; a) from routinely collected maternity services
- records from the Health Information System (HMIS) In-patient Registers, b) anonymized patient-level
- delivery data were extracted from maternity registers maintained at the hospital and c) from the District
- 152 Health Information System (DHIS2). Due to a decentralized filing system where each department keeps
- 153 its own, largely manual system, data were extracted from a number of primary records from individual
- units at KNRH. We included all females referred with pregnancy-related complications while pregnant
- 155 (antenatal complications needing urgent delivery), during labour or within immediate post- partum.
- 156 Table 1 shows the list of records reviewed for this study.
- 157 Table 1: Primary records from which data were extracted.

No.	Record
1	Maternity Register
2	Gynecology Inpatient Register
3	High Dependency Unit (HDU) Register
4	Severe Pre-Eclampsia (SPET) Register
5	Theatre Register
6	Document delivery book
7	Labour suite ward
8	Special care Unit register

158

- 159 From the described registers, we extracted; i) Unique patient identifiers, ii) Date of admission, iii) Age of
- 160 client, iv) Reason for referral and v) Referring facility.

161 Phase Two

162	We conducted semi-structured interviews (SSIs) with facility in-charges of the selected health facilities
163	to explore the health system context(s) underpinning referral decision-making themed around the
164	WHOs' six building blocks of the health system (supplies and medicines, human resources for health,
165	financing, governance, service delivery and health information systems). A pre-tested interview guide
166	was used constructed around the six 'building blocks' of a health system. Interviews were conducted by
167	the first author with the support of four research assistants experienced in health services research. On
168	average the interviews lasted between 45 to 60 minutes. Face-to-face interviews were conducted in
169	participants' offices at the 75 purposively selected facilities between June and September 2021.
170	Ethical clearance
171	This research received ethical approval from Mildmay Uganda Research Ethics Committee (MUREC)
172	under instrument: REC REF 0712–2020. MUREC is accredited by the Uganda National Council of Science
173	and Technology (UNCST). All participants provided oral consent form before participating in the study
174	which was witnessed by their facility in-charges. All patient data from Kawempe National Referral
175	Hospital were fully anonymized before being accessed by the investigators.
176	Data analysis
177	Phase One
178	Extracted data were cleaned, edited and initially entered into EpiData version (version 3.1) (EpiData
179	Association, <u>http://www.epidata.dk/</u>). Data were subsequently exported into STATA (version 14)

- 180 (College Station, TX, USA) for descriptive analyses that included frequency counts and percent
- 181 distributions.

182 Phase Two

183	We followed the processes recommended for ensuring rigour qualitative data analysis suggested by
184	Miles & Huberman (1990). We made audio recordings of all of the interviews and then transcribed each
185	interview verbatim. In terms of data analysis procedures, we followed four major steps. However, this
186	was a largely iterative process. The first step involved data familiarization through multiple readings of
187	interview transcripts. The second step entailed generating a coding framework. Codes were inductively
188	generated from the interview transcripts. The developed coding scheme was applied to the interview
189	transcripts. The third stage was that of <i>abstracting the coded data into thematic categories</i> based on the
190	six 'building blocks' of the health system (supplies and medicines, human resources for health, financing,
191	governance, service delivery and health information systems). The emergent inductive or data-driven
192	codes were then grouped under six thematic matrices. The fourth and final step was that of
193	Interpretation and overall synthesis [36] which involved all the authors.
194	
195	RESULTS

196 **Descriptive characteristics**

197 During the twelve months of the study, there were a total of 461225 referrals to Kawempe National198 Referral Hospital.

199 *Mode of delivery*

In terms of mode of delivery (cesarean section / spontaneous vaginal delivery), Table 2 shows that the
 mode of delivery was even across most of the age categories at KNRH. However, for the relatively
 younger age groups of 15-19 and 20-24, delivery via spontaneous vaginal delivery was significantly

- higher than through cesarean section. Figure 3 shows that almost a half of all cesarean deliveries at
- 204 Kawempe National Referral Hospital were for mothers between 25 and 35 years of age.

205

Table 2: Trends in mode of delivery at KNRH					
	Mode of Delivery				
Age categories	C/S	SVD			
Children (<14 Years)	8	8			
Adults (36 - 60 Years)	518	511			
Adolescents (15 - 19 Years)	888	1214			
Young Mothers (20 - 24 Years)	2948	3463			
Youths (25 -35 Years)	4127	4174			
Key: C/S : cesarean section, SVD : spontaneous vaginal delivery					

206

207 Referrals at KNRH by age group

Table 3 shows that more than a half of all referrals to Kawempe National Referral Hospital (KNRH) were

of women between the 25-35 age range. Young mothers (20-24 years) were the second most

- 210 represented age group in incoming referrals at KNRH. Adolescents account for more than 8% of all
- 211 referrals to KNRN while a total of 224 children (<14 Years) were referred to KNRH as obstetric
- 212 emergencies during the period under review.

Table 3: Age range of referrals to KNRH					
Age categories	n	%			
Children (<14 yrs)	224	0.05			
Adolescents (15 - 19 yrs)	38444	8.33			

Young Mothers (20 - 24 yrs)	142230	30.83
Youths (25 -35 yrs)	240750	52.98
Adults (36 - 60 yrs)	39576	8.58
	461224	100

213

214 Indications of referrals at KNRH

Figure 4 shows the ten most common indications for referrals at KNRH between July 2019 and August

216 2020. The three most cited indications of referral at KNRH are; previous caesarian section scar, followed

- 217 by obstructed labour and premature rupture of membranes at term.
- Figure 4 also suggests that a number of the top 10 reasons of referrals to KNRH are for conditions which
- ordinarily can be managed at Health centre IVs and general hospitals (e.g. hypertension in pregnancy,
- 220 pre/eclampsia) which are mandated to offer comprehensive emergency obstetric care and are ideally
- staffed with the requisite cadres of health workers for the purpose.
- 222 Indications of referral by age group
- Table 3 shows that for adolescents (15-19 year olds) obstructed labor was the most common reason for
- referrals to KNRH.
- 225 Overall, previous caesarian section scar was the leading indication of referral to KNRH across three age
- 226 categories; a) young mothers (20-24 year olds), b) youth (25-35 year olds) and c) adults (36-60 year
- 227 olds).
- 228 Obstructed labour was another prominent reason for referrals at KNRH and was consistently so across
- all age categories (except for those 14 years or younger).

230

TABLE 4: MOST REFERRED CONDITIONS BY AGE GROUP								
Reason for referral	No. Referred	Children (<14 yrs) (%)	Adolescents (15 - 19 yrs) (%)	Young Mothers (20 - 24 yrs) (%)	Youths (25 -35 yrs) (%)	Adults (36 - 60 yrs) (%)		
In Labor	209149	168(0.1)	17817(8.5)	64182(30.7)	108573(51.9)	18409(8.8)		
	53779							
Previous Scar		0(0)	916(1.7)	12273(22.8)	35229(65.5)	5361(10)		
Obstructed Labor	26525	0(0)	3469(13.1)	9615(36.3)	11857(44.7)	1584(6)		
	15783							
Premature rupture of membranes		0(0)	1238(7.8)	5676(36)	7657(48.5)	1212(7.7)		
Preterm Labor	14437	14(0.1)	1928(13.4)	5081(35.2)	6384(44.2)	1030(7.1)		
	14172							
Malpresentation/Malposition		0(0)	1134(8)	4412(31.1)	7029(49.6)	1597(11.3)		
Hypertension in Pregnancy	12455	0(0)	667(5.4)	3485(28)	6620(53.2)	1683(13.5)		
CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	11855	0(0)	2438(20.6)	5221(44)	3812(32.2)	384(3.2)		
Pre-enclampsia/Eclampsia	11632	14(0.1)	917(7.9)	3427(29.5)	5863(50.4)	1411(12.1)		
	10165							
APH (antepartum hemorrhage)		14(0.1)	604 (5.9)	3025(29.8)	5567(54.8)	955(9.4)		
Fetal Distress	9006	0(0)	975(10.8)	3644(40.5)	4120(45.8)	267(3)		

Table 4 shows that the three most referred indications at KNRH are; previous cesarean section scar,

238 obstructed labor and rupture of membrane at term. These indications of referral were common across

239	all age groups. The notable exception was with regard to children (<14 Years) seeking care at KNRH for
240	whom; pre-term labor, pre-eclampsia and antepartum hemorrhage (APH) were the most frequent
241	indications of referral at KNRH.
242	Characteristics of referring facilities

- 243 Uganda runs a hierarchical referral system with lower-level primary care facilities at the bottom of the
- 244 pyramid which then refer cases to higher-tier facilities at the secondary level of service delivery through
- to tertiary hospitals up the ladder with national referral hospitals at the apex as illustrated in **Figure 1**.
- Figure 5 shows that general hospitals (84%) were the leading sources of incoming referrals at KNRH. This
- was followed by health centre IVs (18%). Both general hospitals and Health centre IVs are mandated to
- 248 offer comprehensive emergency obstetric care in the Ugandan health system.
- 249 In **Table 4** we show the five leading referring facility categories and the most referred indication within
- each of those facilities within category of level of service delivery in the Ugandan health system.
- Two of the top five referring facilities are at the level of Regional Referral Hospitals (Naguru Hospital and
- 252 Mengo Hospital). Several of the indications of referral to KNRH are ordinarily manageable at the
- 253 Regional Referral hospitals which have obstetrics and gynecology specialists and the requisite
- 254 infrastructure to handle the cases referred to KNRH (such as previous scar).

Table 4: Facilities with the most referrals by level of service delivery							
LEVEL	HEALTH FACILITY	REFERRED CASES (n=)	TOP 3 INDICATIONS FOR REFERRAL	CASES(%)			
Top 5			Preterm Labour	32(14.5)			
Referring HOSPITALS	Bombo General Hospital	221	Previous Scar	22(10)			
(>30 cases)			Pre/Eclampsia	12(5.4)			

	Naguru Regional Referral Hospital	57	Previous Scar	16(28.1)
			Obstructed Labour	10(17.5)
			Malpresentation/Malposition	4(7.0)
			Fetal Demise	6(16.2)
	Gombe (general) Hospital	37	Preterm Labour	3(8.1)
			Malpresentation/Malposition	3(8.1)
			Previous Scar	3(9.1)
	Mengo Hospital	33	Pre/Eclampsia	3(9.1)
			Rupture of Membranes	3(9.1)
			Previous Scar	9(28.1)
	Kawolo Hospital	32	Obstructed Labour	6(18.8)
			Hypertension in Pregnancy	2(6.3)
	Kisenyi HC IV Kasangati HC IV		Previous Scar	146(12.5)
		250	Hypertension in Pregnancy	98(8.4)
			Obstructed Labour	95(8.2)
			Obstructed Labour	34(13.6)
			Previous Scar	28(11.2)
			CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	22(8.8)
Top 5		204	CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	25(12.3)
Referring HC IV	C IV Wakiso HC IV es)		Previous Scar	24(11.8)
(* 120 00000)			Obstructed Labour	23(11.3)
			Previous Scar	23(12.9)
	Mukono HC IV	179	Preterm Labour	14(7.8)
			Obstructed Labour	13(7.3)
			Previous Scar	21(15.9)
	Mpigi HC IV	132	Preterm Labour	16(12.1)
			Obstructed Labour	13(9.9)
Тор 5	Kisugu HC III	8654	Previous Scar	1048(12.1)
Referring HC III	.		Rupture of Membranes	220(2.5)

(>500 cases)			APH (antepartum hemorrhage)	164(1.9)
			Previous Scar	214(9)
	Ntenjeru HC III	2387	Obstructed Labour	151(6.3)
			Preterm Labour	86(3.6)
			Previous Scar	76(9.7)
	Kawaala Health Centre HC III	780	Obstructed Labour	72(9.2)
			Pre/Eclampsia	61(7.8)
			Obstructed Labour	80(10.6)
	Kitebi Health Centre HC III	753	Previous Scar	68(9.0)
			CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	66(8.8)
			Obstructed Labour	70(13.1)
	Komamboga HC III	533	CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	39(7.3)
			Previous Scar	38(7.1)
	Bbira Ngo HC II	24	Obstructed Labour	6(25)
			Malpresentation/Malposition	2(8.3)
	Namuwongo Tripple N Mertenity Home HC II II	22	Obstructed Labour	2(9.1)
Top 5			Previous Scar	2(9.1)
Referring HC II			Rupture of Membranes	2(9.1)
(>10 cases)	Gombe (Wakiso) HC II	12	Pre/Eclampsia	3(25)
			Preterm Baby/Prematurity	3(25)
	Nakulabye Medical Centre HC II	10	Obstructed Labour	2(20)
			Previous Scar	2(20)
		28	APH (antepartum hemorrhage)	3(10.7)
	Kiganda Maternity Clinic		Preterm Labour	2(7.1)
Top 3			Hypertension in Pregnancy	2(7.1)
Referring			Obstructed Labour	5(31.3)
Clinics (>10 cases)	Community Clinic (Kibuli)	16	Amniotic Fluid Disorders	2(12.5)
			Pre/Eclampsia	2(12.5)
	Praise God Clinic	13	CPD (Cephalopelvic Disproportion)	4(30.8)
	Praise GOO Clinic	15	Obstructed Labour	2(15.4)

	г	-
	5	5
_	_	J

256

- 257 From Table 4 it can be discerned that Previous caesarian section scar was the most common referred
- 258 condition across the top referring facilities within the two category of facilities (hospitals and health
- 259 centre IVs).
- 260 Obstructed labour was the second most referred to obstetric emergency in health centre IVs.
- 261 For the small clinics, the obstetric emergencies referred to KNRH were more diverse compared to
- 262 higher-tier hospitals.
- 263 Geographical distribution of in-coming referrals at KNRH
- **Table 4** shows that the majority of referrals to KNRH were from the capital city of Kampala, where the
- hospital is based, and the neighboring districts of Wakiso and Mukono.
- 266 The majority of referrals were from public municipal satellite clinics of Kampala City Authority (KCCA)
- 267 (41%) and from the neighboring district of Wakiso (21%). Overall, 61% of all incoming referrals at
- 268 Kawempe National Referral, during the period under review, are from only 2 (out of 135) districts of
- 269 Uganda.
- 270 SECTION B: Factors underpinning referrals at lower referring facilities
- 271 Human resources for Health
- 272 Deficiencies in skill sets for labour
- 273 Interviews with health workers revealed deficiencies in skills sets for labour at the fifteen facilities with
- the highest number of referrals at KNRH (Table 4). Specific skills gaps were identified by health workers
- who highlighted the need for training on monitoring of labour such as the use of the partograph (or

276 labour chart) that are required as part of routine maternity services provision and are helpful in 277 screening for complications in pregnancy. Participants were emphatic in relaying the notion that routine 278 skills training and refresher courses are sorely needed at lower referring facilities especially around 279 emergency obstetric care. Management of pregnancy-induced hypertension was frequently mentioned 280 as an area that needed continuous medical education as many of the referrals at KRNH were with regard 281 to hypertension in pregnancy that stemmed from poor management in the earlier trimesters of 282 pregnancy such as high-risk expectant mothers not being given anti-hypertension medication. The 283 monitoring of vital signs in expectant mothers was also flagged as a weak area in the fifteen facilities 284 with the highest referrals. 285 Shortages of physicians 286 Rural-based sub-district facilities reported shortages of physicians to conduct surgical procedures even 287 in cases where theaters were available. Although sub-district facilities are mandated to have physician 288 cadre on their staff (Figure 2), seven of the facilities we visited reported that they did not have a 289 physician on the ground to handle complications in pregnancy or cases such as managing women with 290 previous scars. The shortage of skilled health workers compelled lower referring facilities to recommend 291 referrals even for basic procedures on account of shortage of medical doctors. 292 You find the whole of the maternity section of Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital is as congested as a 293 village market. Do you know why? Because doctors at sub-district health centres are not on the ground. 294 The theaters are closed. So you get so many referrals at regional referral hospitals even for things like a 295 cesarean section because doctors are not operating at lower level facilities' [Facility in-charge, Health

296 Centre IV, Western Uganda, Female]

A related challenge was the common phenomenon of many of the health workers not being on the ground on account for being on official and non-official study leaves especially those with the requisite skills such as Clinical Officers and physicians especially at rural work stations. Hence, although many of the facilities had health workers with the requisite skills on their staff, many were actually not on the ground.

You find that at a Health Centre IV there are supposed to be two staff, a senior medical officer and a
medical officer. Now, if one of them goes on study leave to do a Master of Public Health, the remaining
one cannot attend to all the patients in a whole sub-district and the same time do cesarean sections'
[Facility in-charge, Health Centre IV, central Uganda, female]

306 Maternal care commodity shortages

307 A common refrain across the facilities we visited was the shortage of basic commodities needed in 308 routine maternal care. In Western Uganda, health workers reported that they had not been supplied 309 with commodities from National Medical Stores (NMS), the national medicines supplier for the past 310 three months. Radiology supplies were frequently mentioned as out of stock and yet these are key in 311 diagnosing pregnancies with complications. In such situations, health workers reported that facilities 312 that include general hospitals were compelled to refer expectant mothers to tertiary hospitals which 313 were perceived as better resourced. Basic commodities used in labour such as gloves and syringes were 314 reported to be out of stock in several facilities we visited.

We have not received supplies from National Medical Stores in the last three months. Even when they
come, the supplies they give us last a month, instead of two months. So, it means I am always in a
constant short fall of drugs I need to serve the number of patients we serve here. The supplies we get is
only 50% of what I feel is required'. [Hospital Superintendent, general hospital, Northern Uganda, male].

- A related constraint reported across multiple facilities was that of shortage of basic equipment needed
- in maternal care. The frequently mentioned items include basic items such as delivery beds, oxygen
- 321 concentrators, anesthetic machines, emergency drug trollies and bulb syringes.
- 322 The physical state of theaters at primary care facilities was said to be in poor shape and not amenable to
- 323 conducting obstetric procedures even when the requisite personnel (such as physician cadres) were
- available. As such, referrals were frequently made higher up the maternity care cascade due to the state
- 325 of disrepair of theatres at sub-district level in Uganda.

326 Financing

327 Insufficient operational grants to facilities

328 Facility in-charges reported that quarterly funding releases from the central government to public 329 facilities for routine operational expenses dubbed 'primary health care' or PHC grants were woefully 330 inadequate to cater for all operational expenses that include repair of basic equipment needed in 331 maternity services such as theaters. A large district hospital in Western Uganda reported that their 332 quarterly release for all hospital expenses (including medical equipment) was as little as 40 million 333 Uganda shillings (\$ 6,250). This was in spite of the fact that district hospitals attend to hundreds of 334 patients and burgeoning clients from neighboring districts. In these cash-strapped circumstances, 335 facilities were frequently unable to maintain physical infrastructure and equipment for routine maternal 336 care and opted to refer patients to the tertiary level of care even when this was not clinically warranted. 337 'There is severe under funding. The money we are given as a general hospital is for recurrent expenditure 338 for things like electricity and water, there is nothing for equipment such as X-ray, ultra sound and 339 anesthetic machines. We do not receive any single coin to construct even a toilet! So, how can I buy 340 expensive equipment to refurbish the theatre for cesarean sections? I admit over 500 mothers in

341 maternity every month. There is a cost to every operation of a pregnant mother in terms of gloves, in

- terms of drugs, disposable gloves, surgical blades, gauze, cotton but I don't have money for that. All I
- 343 have to do is refer the cases which need surgeries' [Hospital superintendent, General Hospital, Western
- 344 Uganda, male]
- 345 Gaps in maternity records data sharing
- 346 Paucity of records on in-coming referrals

Participants across the health facilities we visited frequently mentioned that information sharing

348 between lower referring facilities and facilities receiving in-coming referrals up the higher-tier of the

referral ladder was a fundamentally weak (**Figure 2**). At Kawempe National Referral Hospital it was

350 common to find that patients were received with insufficient background information on indications of

referrals and their previous management by lower referring facilities. Uganda runs a health information

352 system (HMIS) incorporating routinely collected data on maternity services however documentation by

353 attending health workers across the cascade was reportedly weak which contributed to low data

354 utilization by referral facilities.

The phenomenon of self-referrals or 'patient-walk-ins' was said to be common across the obstetric referral chain in Uganda which further compounded the challenge of paucity of data on in-coming patients at especially the tertiary level of care. Participants acknowledged that the failure by higher-tier hospitals to insist on minimum referral requirements before accepting in-coming referrals such as having basic information on patients' previous management history from the lower referring facility encouraged the widespread practice of bypassing the established maternity services referral chain in Uganda by both providers and patients.

- 362 It emerged that there were no mechanisms for providing feedback to lower referring facilities to enable
- them learn from the events (such as in strengthening skills in partograph use) which perpetuates non-
- adherence to the referral chain in Uganda and contributes to accumulating unnecessary burdens at the
- 365 highest level of maternal care.

366 Governance

- 367 Weaknesses in referral linkages
- 368 Our study unearthed gaps in maternity services referral linkages between Regional Referral Hospitals
- 369 (RRHs) and general Hospitals (Figure 2). Although RRHs are mandated to provide supervisory oversight
- over general hospitals in the regions under their purview, participants at district hospitals conceded
- 371 there was a disconnect between the two. It emerged that RRHs frequently don't perform their
- 372 supervisory function at general hospitals.
- 373 Limited support supervisions
- 374 On-site supervisions of maternity services at general hospitals by RRHs was said to be dysfunctional. The
- two levels of care were said to operate independently of each other, in practice, despite the elaborate
- 376 referral relationships described in written policies and guidelines. This disconnect created an
- 377 environment where referrals from lower referring facilities to higher-tier hospitals were not rationalized
- and did not meet the laid down referral criteria and procedures.
- 379 'Our regional supervisors are supposed to be Regional Referral hospitals but somehow we do not connect
- in routine operations. The Regional Referral Hospital are supposed to do support supervision in maternity
- 381 services delivery for lower health facilities but a for a whole year you will never see any person from
- 382 there come here' [Hospital superintendent, general hospital, Eastern Uganda, female].

383

384 DISCUSSION

385 A fully functional referral system is central to achieving quality maternal and newborn care in Sub-386 Saharan Africa [1]. We set out to understand the appropriateness of referrals made to Kawempe 387 National Referral Hospital in Uganda and the factors underpinning referrals decision making at 75 lower 388 referring facilities across Uganda. We reviewed data of maternal and perinatal admissions between July 389 2019 and August 2020. We found that previous cesarean section scar was the most referred condition at 390 KNRH. Obstructed labour and rupture of membrane at term were the other two most commonly 391 referred conditions at Kawempe National Referral Hospital during the period under review. 392 Overall, our findings suggest that the ten most common incoming referrals at KNRH stem from initial 393 poor handling of labour conditions at referring lower-level health facilities. These data point to possible 394 deficiencies in basic clinical skills in labour and its management by attending health workers from the 395 primary to the tertiary level of service delivery as well under-resourced health systems and challenging 396 operational contexts at lower-level referring facilities such as the adequacy of the physical infrastructure 397 underpinning maternal care. A study in Ghana by Afari and colleagues [24] found that deficiencies in 398 clinical skills in the management of labour were one of the top four groups of factors impeding maternal 399 care in Ghana. Previous studies have implicated health worker skills in maternal care at primary care 400 facilities [1]- [5].

In this study we found that previous caesarean section scar, premature rupture of membrane and
obstructed labor were three leading reasons for referrals at KNRH. A study by Ghardallou and
colleagues [20] found that premature rupture of membrane was the second most referred obstetric
condition at a tertiary university hospital in Tunisia. Hueston and colleagues [21] found that early

405 obstetric referrals were most likely among women who delivered by cesarean section in a study that
406 pooled data from five states in the United States.

On the whole, our findings suggest that the obstetric care referral system in Uganda is not functioning as intended from the vantage point of the national specialized hospital. More specifically, the majority of the conditions referred to Kawempe National Referral Hospital (such as mothers with previous scars, hypertension in pregnancy) are ordinarily manageable at sub-district level or by district hospitals which have the requisite cadres of health workers (such as physicians and even obstetrics and gynecology specialists, in the latter case) with the competence to effectively manage the referred conditions.

413

414 Phase Two of our study implicated health system factors in the non- adherence of referral guidelines at 415 lower referring facilities due to the underpinning operational context such as the shortage of basic 416 commodities for maternal care (such as radiology supplies), severe under funding culminating in sub-417 optimal physical infrastructure (e.g. poorly equipped theatres) and maternity workforce constraints such 418 as absenteeism. In addition, we found weak referral linkages and communication gaps between lower 419 referring facilities and receiving facilities at the apex of the referral chain in Uganda. Dysfunctional 420 governance regimes where tertiary-level facilities hardly conduct on-site supervision of lower-level 421 facilities in maternity care were identified as contributory factors to the non-adherence to the 422 hierarchical referral system in Uganda (Figure 2). Mbonye and colleagues [8] found that critical staffing 423 gaps at lower-level facilities in Uganda hamper the observance of maternity referral guidelines. In 424 another study from Uganda, Mubiru and colleagues [23] report that women frequently bypass the 425 established obstetric referral chain and that this happens 40-70% of the time. A scoping review found 426 that maternity workforce constraints at the primary care level in developing countries impede 427 adherence to established maternity referral systems [22]. This same scoping review notes that

deficiencies in basic communication, the paucity of feedback mechanisms between referrer and receiver
were common in maternity referral systems in the developing world.

430 Together, our findings suggest that KNRH is inundated with 'normal' cases that are ideally manageable

431 by less specialized cadres and providers and as such, the national referral hospital is bereft of the

432 occupational experience of managing more specialized cases and its specialists are not being optimally

433 utilized in handling more deserving referrals.

434 Additionally, the volume of referrals at KNRH which were close to a half a million cases during the

435 period under review, are overwhelming for a single facility. The quality of care at KNPH given the patient

436 load handled and health worker workloads are major points of concern.

In terms of the geographic distribution of incoming referrals, we found that the majority of referrals to
Kawempe National Referral Hospital were dominated by peripheral facilities to KNRH from within the

439 Ugandan capital city of Kampala and from three principal neighbouring districts in central Uganda

440 (Wakiso, Mukono and Mpigi). Our data suggests that the profile of incoming referrals at KNRH do not

441 reflect diversity in the geographical sub-regions of Uganda as would be expected of a well-functioning

442 national referral system. On a programming note, these data point to the importance of devising

443 capacity building interventions for facilities persistently referring to KNRH such as tailoring remedial

444 training of health workers in skills gaps revealed by the nature of incoming referrals (such as the need

for refresher EMOnC and partograph trainings). In addition, there is need to develop a robust feedback

446 mechanism to lower referring facilities in maternal care service provision such as in the proper

447 management of cesarean sections which is the leading source of incoming referrals and in general,

448 emergency obstetric management. Mentorship and support supervision of lower referring facilities in

the provision of maternal care services in Uganda such as help in diagnosing complications in pregnancy

450 is recommended.

451	Our study sugge	ests a need for strer	ngthening docun	nentation of routin	e maternal and	l newborn care a
451	Our study sugge	ests a need for strer	ngthening docun	nentation of routin	e maternal and	a newborn care

- 452 the primary care and secondary level of service delivery in Uganda to support their appropriate
- 453 management at the pinnacle of the national referral system which needs these referral data. This may
- 454 call for a review of the health information systems (HMIS) in as far as it is supportive of a high quality of
- 455 maternal and newborn services along the maternal care cascade.
- 456 In this study we found that there were a number of adolescent and child mothers among the incoming
- 457 referrals to KNRH and that obstructed labour was the leading indication of referral for this group of
- 458 mothers. Previous studies have highlighted teenage pregnancy as a risk factor for obstructed labor and
- related complications of early pregnancy [25]- [28]. With over 224 child mothers (<14 years) referred to
- 460 KNRH over a period of 12 months, our findings suggest that early pregnancies could be common in
- 461 Uganda and we call for policy and programming interventions aimed at reducing the burden of teenage
- 462 pregnancies in Uganda and the broader region of sub-Saharan Africa [29]-[33].
- 463
- 464
- 465
- 466
- 467

468 **REFERENCES**

- Boldosser-Boesch A, Brun M, Carvajal L, Chou D, de Bernis L, Fogg K, Hill K, Jolivet R, McCallon B, Moran A, Say L. Setting maternal mortality targets for the SDGs. The Lancet. 2017 Feb
 18;389(10070):696-7.
- 472 2. Callister LC, Edwards JE. Sustainable development goals and the ongoing process of reducing 473 maternal mortality. Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing. 2017 May 474 1;46(3):e56-64.
- 475 3. Musarandega R, Machekano R, Munjanja SP, Pattinson R. Methods used to measure maternal
 476 mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa from 1980 to 2020: A systematic literature review. International
 477 journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of
 478 Gynaecology and Obstetrics. 2021 Apr 3.

479 480	4.	Orjingene O, Morgan J. Effectiveness of community based interventions in reducing maternal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE
481		& Health (IJTDH). 2020 Jul 20;41(9):9-21.
482	5.	Van Lerberghe W, Matthews Z, Achadi E, Ancona C, Campbell J, Channon A, De Bernis L, De
483		Brouwere V, Fauveau V, Fogstad H, Koblinsky M. Country experience with strengthening of
484		health systems and deployment of midwives in countries with high maternal mortality. The
485		Lancet. 2014 Sep 27;384(9949):1215-25.
486 487	6.	Odekunle FF. Maternal mortality burden: The influence of socio-cultural factors. International
407	7	Bwana VM Bumisha SE Mremi IB Lyimo EP Mboera LE Patterns and causes of hospital
400	/.	maternal mortality in Tanzania: A 10-year retrospective analysis. PLoS One, 2019 Apr
400		9·14(4)·e0214807
491	8	Monye AK Asimwe IB Kabarangira I Nanda G Orinda V Emergency obstetric care as the
492	0.	priority intervention to reduce maternal mortality in Uganda International Journal of
493		Gynecology & Obstetrics 2007 Mar 1.96(3):220-5
494	9	Majoko E. Nyström I. Munjanja SP. Lindmark G. Effectiveness of referral system for antenatal
495	51	and intra-partum problems in Gutu district. Zimbabwe, Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology
496		2005 Jan 1:25(7):656-61.
497	10.	Murray SF. Pearson SC. Maternity referral systems in developing countries: current knowledge
498		and future research needs. Social science & medicine. 2006 May 1:62(9):2205-15.
499	11.	Koblinsky M, Moyer CA, Calvert C, Campbell J, Campbell OM, Feigl AB, Graham WJ, Hatt L,
500		Hodgins S, Matthews Z, McDougall L. Quality maternity care for every woman, everywhere: a
501		call to action. The Lancet. 2016 Nov 5;388(10057):2307-20.
502	12.	Melese T, Gebrehiwot Y, Bisetegne D, Habte D. Assessment of client satisfaction in labor and
503		delivery services at a maternity referral hospital in Ethiopia. The Pan African medical journal.
504		2014;17.
505	13.	Mufenda J, Gebhardt S, Van Rooyen R, Theron G. Introducing a mobile-connected umbilical
506		doppler device (UmbiFlow™) into a primary care maternity setting: does this reduce
507		unnecessary referrals to specialised care? results of a pilot study in Kraaifontein, South Africa.
508		PLoS One. 2015 Nov 23;10(11):e0142743.
509	14.	Hussein J, Kanguru L, Astin M, Munjanja S. What kinds of policy and programme interventions
510		contribute to reductions in maternal mortality? The effectiveness of primary level referral
511		systems for emergency maternity care in developing countries. Social Science Research Unit,
512		Institute of Education, University of London. 2011 Jun.
513	15.	Busumani W, Mundagowa PT. Outcomes of pregnancy-related referrals from rural health
514		facilities to two central hospitals in Harare, Zimbabwe: a prospective descriptive study. BMC
515		Health Services Research. 2021 Dec;21(1):1-1.
516	16.	Hussein J, Kanguru L, Astin M, Munjanja S. The effectiveness of emergency obstetric referral
517		interventions in developing country settings: a systematic review. PLoS medicine. 2012 Jul
518		10;9(7):e1001264.
519	17.	Musiimenta A, Tumuhimbise W, Pinkwart N, Katusiime J, Mugyenyi G, Atukunda EC. A mobile
520		phone-based multimedia intervention to support maternal health is acceptable and feasible
521		among illiterate pregnant women in Uganda: Qualitative findings from a pilot randomized
522		controlled trial. Digital Health. 2021 Feb;7:2055207620986296.
523	18.	Ivankova NV, Creswell JW, Stick SL. Using mixed-methods sequential explanatory design: From
524		theory to practice. Field methods. 2006 Feb;18(1):3-20.

525 526 527 528	19.	Byakwaga E, Sekikubo M, Nakimuli A. Level of and factors associated with awareness of gestational diabetes mellitus among pregnant women attending antenatal care at Kawempe National Referral Hospital: a cross sectional study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2021 Dec;21(1):1-8.
529	20.	Ghardallou M, Limam M, Khelifi A, Khairi O, Khairi H, Mtiraoui A, NabliAjmi T. Obstetric referrals
530		to a tertiary care maternity: a descriptive study. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2019;33.
531	21.	Hueston WJ. Obstetric referral in family practice. Journal of family practice. 1994 Apr
532		1;38(4):368-73.
533	22.	Murray SF, Pearson SC. Maternity referral systems in developing countries: current knowledge
534		and future research needs. Social science & medicine. 2006 May 1;62(9):2205-15.
535	23.	Mubiri P, Kajjo D, Okuga M, Marchant T, Peterson S, Waiswa P, Hanson C. Bypassing or
536		successful referral? A population-based study of reasons why women travel far for childbirth in
537		Eastern Uganda. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2020 Dec;20(1):1-0.
538	24.	Afari H, Hirschhorn LR, Michaelis A, Barker P, Sodzi-Tettey S. Quality improvement in emergency
539		obstetric referrals: qualitative study of provider perspectives in Assin North district, Ghana. BMJ
540		open. 2014 May 1;4(5):e005052.
541	25.	Shaikh S, Shaikh AH, Shaikh SA, Isran B. Frequency of obstructed labor in teenage pregnancy.
542		Nepal Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2012;7(1):37-40.
543	26.	Adeyinka DA, Oladimeji O, Adekanbi TI, Adeyinka FE, Falope Y, Aimakhu C. Outcome of
544		adolescent pregnancies in southwestern Nigeria: a case-control study. The Journal of Maternal-
545		Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2010 Aug 1;23(8):785-9.
546	27.	Creatsas G, Elsheikh A. Adolescent pregnancy and its consequences. The European Journal of
547		Contraception & Reproductive Health Care. 2002 Jan 1;7(3):167-72.
548	28.	Omar K, Hasim S, Muhammad NA, Jaffar A, Hashim SM, Siraj HH. Adolescent pregnancy
549		outcomes and risk factors in Malaysia. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2010
550		Dec 1;111(3):220-3.
551	29.	Gideon R. Factors associated with adolescent pregnancy and fertility in Uganda: analysis of the
552		2011 demographic and health survey data. American Journal of Sociological Research.
553		2013;3(2):30-5.
554	30.	Okonofua F. Prevention of child marriage and teenage pregnancy in Africa: need for more
555		research and innovation II faut encore plus de recherche et d'innovation dans la prévention de la
556		grossesse chez les enfants et les adolescentes dans les mariages africains. African journal of
557		reproductive health. 2013 Jan 1;17(4):9-13.
558	31.	Daniels JP. Tackling teenage pregnancy in Colombia. The Lancet. 2015 Apr 18;385(9977):1495-6.
559	32.	Holness N. A global perspective on adolescent pregnancy. International journal of nursing
560		practice. 2015 Oct;21(5):677-81.
561	33.	Kulin HE. Adolescent pregnancy in Africa: a programmatic focus. Social Science & Medicine.
562		1988 Jan 1;26(7):727-35.
563		
564		
565		
566		
500		

567			
568			
569			
570			
571			
572			
573			
574			
575			
576			
577			
578			
579			
580			
581			
582			
583			
584			
585			
586			

587

588

589