

1 *Unlocking the mentally ill in Indonesia:*

2 *An empirical study of the effectiveness of a “Bebas Pasung”*

3 *program in Central Java*

4
5
6 Tri H. Tyas^{1*}, Mary-Jo D. Good^{2¶}, Bambang Pratikno^{3&}, M.A. Subandi^{1¶}, Carla R. Marchira^{4&},
7 Byron J. Good^{2¶}

8
9 ¹ Faculty of Psychology, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

10 ² Department of Global Health and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
11 of America

12 ³ Soerojo Mental Hospital, Magelang, Indonesia

13 ⁴ Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health, and Nursing, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta,
14 Indonesia

15
16 * Corresponding authors:

17 Email: t.h.tyas@ugm.ac.id (THT)

18
19
20 **Abstract**

21
22 **Background**

23 Locking or confinement of persons with severe mental illness has been common in Indonesia.
24 In 2010, the Ministry of Health declared a policy that persons who were locked (*pasung*) should
25 be unlocked or freed (*bebas*) from confinement and provided mental health services. This
26 study is an empirical evaluation of the effectiveness of one *Bebas Pasung* program in
27 Indonesia at two-year follow-up.

28
29 **Methods**

30 From medical records in Soerojo Mental Hospital, Magelang, Central Java, 114 persons with
31 severe mental illness who had been unlocked, treated, and returned to the community from
32 four districts served by the hospital were identified. At two-year follow-up, 62 caregivers were
33 able to be contacted and willing to participate in a study. Data were collected from hospital
34 records about condition of the patient at time of 'unlocking' and at discharge, and primary
35 caregivers were interviewed about the previous locking and care of the patient since return, as
36 well as experiences of caregiving.
37

38 Results

39 We provide descriptive data concerning history of illness, reasons for locking, type of
40 confinement, and care of the individual since return. 58% of those unlocked were men, 80%
41 had diagnoses of schizophrenia, and mean age was 35. At follow-up, 24% of this sample had
42 been re-locked; only 44% took medications regularly, including 33% of those re-locked and
43 47% of those not relocked. A majority cared for themselves, half were partially or fully
44 productive, and the quality of life of family caregivers improved significantly since their family
45 member was unlocked, treated, and returned home.

46

47 Conclusions

48 This program successfully unlocked, treated, and returned to their homes persons with severe
49 mental illness living in *pasung* or restraints. Findings suggest such unlocking programs need to
50 be linked more closely to community-based mental health and rehabilitation services, maintain
51 care of the patient, and provide a path toward recovery.

52

53 Keywords: unlocking; mentally ill; the 'bebas pasung' program; Central Java, Indonesia

54

55 Introduction

56 The complex issues of institutional confinement of persons living with severe mental
57 illness, as well as the use of seclusion and restraint within institutions, lie at the heart of the
58 history of mental health services and efforts at reform. Although widely acknowledged and
59 often vividly described, far less is known about the locking or confinement of persons with
60 psychotic illness in community settings and families' homes. Over the last two decades, the
61 rise of a renewed global mental health movement [1], of increased human rights attention to
62 confinement of persons with severe mental illness in community settings [2] and of robust
63 efforts within the WHO to develop specific guidelines for community based mental health care
64 in low resources settings, launched initially as the Mental Health Gap Action Programme
65 (mhGAP), have led to what might be called an 'unlocking revolution' in some parts of the world.
66 China and Indonesia serve as prominent examples [1–5].

67 In Indonesia, the term *pasung* is used to describe a wide range of forms of restraint,
68 confinement and seclusion in community and home settings. These include use of wooden
69 shackles, chains or tying with ropes, as well as seclusion in locked rooms in homes or separate

70 spaces. Although use of *pasung* has been formally banned since 1977 in Indonesia, it is widely
71 known to be highly prevalent, particularly among families with limited access to formal mental
72 health services

73 Genuine focus on directing Indonesian mental health services to 'unlocking' is recent.
74 Several turning points led both indirectly and directly to efforts to dramatically re-imagine
75 mental health care in Indonesia, with renewed focus on trauma and explicit efforts to place
76 unlocking and care for the severely mentally ill at the center of national mental health policy.
77 The violence associated with the fall of the Suharto regime in 1998, the ensuing ethnic and
78 religious violence and the bombings in Bali [6,7] and Jakarta [8] fostered a new interest in
79 "trauma" and led to national and international efforts to extend mental health services beyond
80 psychotic illness alone. The Great Indian Ocean Tsunami that struck the province of Aceh on
81 December 26, 2004, killing over 160,000 persons, led to massive national and international
82 responses, including psychosocial interventions. The tsunami brought to sharp attention a
83 violent, often brutal conflict between the Indonesian military and the Free Aceh Movement
84 underway in Aceh at the time of the tsunami. The Helsinki Agreement [9] ended that conflict,
85 and mental health activities were extended to those affected by the conflict as well. While
86 'trauma' was one focus of the response to this dual disaster in Aceh, projects led by the
87 Ministry of Health, as well as those supported by the International Organization for Migration,
88 used the opportunity to develop far more comprehensive models of mental health services
89 than had been present to date in any part of Indonesia, leading to the evolution of what
90 became described as the 'Aceh model' of community-based services [10-12]. Unlocking of
91 persons with severe mental illness and bringing them into care was one explicit policy of the
92 provincial government of Aceh [13].

93 In 2010, the Division of Mental Health of the Ministry of Health of Indonesia, led by Dr.
94 Irmansyah, launched the 2010 *Bebas Pasung* ('Free from *Pasung*') initiative, aimed at drawing

95 attention to the plight of thousands of persons with severe mental illness in Indonesia living in
96 *pasung*, bringing into view what was considered a major human rights issue and focusing new
97 attention on freeing persons living in restraints in the community and bringing them into care
98 [5,14,15]. This was a critical turning point in imagining the future of mental health services for
99 Indonesia, making unlocking of persons in *pasung* an explicit focus of mental health policy and
100 research. It was further supported by the passage of Indonesia's first real national mental
101 health law in 2014, passage of a National Disability Law in 2016, Indonesia's ratification of the
102 internationally recognized Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the
103 broadening of the "Standard Minimal Services" to be provided by all districts through their
104 primary care system to include care of persons with severe mental illness.

105 Given the decentralized nature of health policy and services implementation in
106 Indonesia, the 2010 *Bebas Pasung* initiative was implemented with varying levels of intensity
107 and in quite different ways across the very diverse provinces and districts of the country. A
108 recent systematic review of the research on *pasung* in both English and Indonesian makes it
109 clear both that persons living in *pasung* remain widespread in Indonesia and that systematic
110 data about its prevalence are quite limited [5]. In 2014, the Ministry of Health of Indonesia
111 estimated lifetime prevalence of persons experiencing *pasung* as 57,000 persons and point
112 prevalence as 18,800. Hidayat et al. [5] suggest that given how families conceal persons living
113 in *pasung*, this was likely a serious underestimation. They also argue that the government's
114 claim that the Free Pasung Program reduced point prevalence to 12,200 by 2018 is open to
115 serious doubt. The Human Rights Watch [2] report on shackling in Indonesia makes it clear
116 that confinement in *pasung* remains a persistent problem in Indonesia, as well as just how little
117 we know about the nature and prevalence of the phenomenon.

118 The Free Pasung Program unleashed not only a series of local initiatives, associated
119 with provincial and district governments and national and regional mental hospitals, but also

120 led to a significant body of new research. Hidayat et al. [5] identify 34 published research
121 articles on *pasung* in Indonesia in English and Indonesian through 2019. Of these, 21 are
122 qualitative reports, and studies are judged to be of variable quality. The Ministry of Health
123 claimed that 10% of persons in *pasung* were hospitalized and treated between 2009 and 2014.
124 However, the review finds that "there is no data on how many of those PWMD [Persons With
125 Mental Disorders] were successfully supported through rehabilitation or returned to *pasung* in
126 the community." [5]. The overall finding of the review (p. 16) states this even more forcefully.
127 "In Indonesia, the Bebas Pasung Program ... involves the provision of community-based
128 mental health services alongside intensive education campaigns. None of the articles
129 measured the effectiveness of the program or how the program is delivered."

130 This article provides just such data about one program developed as part of the larger
131 Bebas Pasung Initiative. It briefly describes the implementation of the *bebas pasung* policy in
132 Central Java's primary psychiatric hospital, *Rumah Sakit Jiwa Soerojo* (Soerojo Mental
133 Hospital), and provides findings from a two-year follow-up study of persons unlocked, treated
134 in the hospital, and returned to their families. The study asks how effective the unlocking
135 program was, what its strengths and weaknesses were, and how family caregivers experienced
136 the program and evaluated its efficacy.

137

138 **Methods**

139

140 The study reported here was a two-year follow-up study, using mixed methods, of one
141 specific *Bebas Pasung*, or unlocking, program, carried out by the Soerojo Mental Hospital, in
142 Magelang, Central Java, beginning in 2012. The study gathered data concerning 62 individuals
143 who had been locked, treated in Soerojo Hospital, and released back into families and
144 communities. The study was designed to provide important descriptive data about the history

145 of illness of those locked, how the individual had come to be locked, the form of *pasung*, and
146 the patient's condition at time of hospitalization and after return to the family. It also focused
147 explicitly on who constituted the caregivers, what their experience of caregiving had been prior
148 to unlocking, and how gender of patient and caregiver influenced that experience. The study
149 was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention program, including how many
150 persons had been relocked since returning home, factors related to such relocking, and the
151 caregivers' overall experience of the program.

152 The study was approved by the ethics review boards of Harvard Medical School and
153 Soerojo Mental Hospital's research ethics committee.

154

155 **The Central Java Intervention Project: Procedures of the** 156 **Unlocking and Treatment Program**

157 In 2012, the Governor of the Province of Central Java announced a policy of *bebas*
158 *pasung*, requiring that intersectoral programs at provincial and district levels should be
159 developed to implement the policy. He declared that relevant ministries, health service
160 providers, and hospitals should be responsible for implementing this policy and that the
161 Provincial Health Office should be responsible for reporting the services they are providing to
162 the office of the Governor. The policy mandated four types of activities: case-finding; provision
163 of basic and referral health care; provision of rehabilitation services, using community-based as
164 well as government services; and facilitation of the return of individuals who had been locked
165 to their families and communities. Specific regulations for implementing these services were
166 not developed. Importantly, the policy did not stipulate long-term or continuing services to be
167 provided to individuals and families.

168 The Director of the central provincial mental hospital, *Rumah Sakit Jiwa Soerojo*
169 (Soerojo Mental Hospital) in Magelang, made the decision that his hospital should take the lead
170 in developing a program to implement the Governor's mandate and that services should be
171 provided to unlocked individuals free of charge. Earlier in 2011, the hospital director made the
172 hospital's Department of Public Mental Health (*Bagian Kesehatan Jiwa Masyarakat*, shortened
173 routinely to *Bagian Keswamas*) responsible for developing and carrying out the policy, in
174 collaboration with the clinical departments. This department, directed by a highly committed
175 nurse, developed procedures and implemented the policy in several steps. First, the
176 Department began a series of outreach activities into local communities. Outreach teams were
177 developed to go into communities, meet with district and village officials and leaders to provide
178 information about the program, provide community education through direct educational
179 programs and by working with local women's organizations, and produce radio messages to
180 educate the community about the nature of severe mental illness, the importance of unlocking,
181 and the promise of free health services for those who were unlocked and brought to Soerojo
182 Hospital for care. Although radio messages were broadcast throughout the region and
183 services were to be provided free of charge to persons from throughout Central Java, a
184 province with 35 districts with a population of over 32 million people, direct outreach services
185 focused primarily on those districts closest to the city of Magelang.

186 Second, "evacuation teams," consisting of nurses, doctors, other hospital staff, and
187 ambulance drivers from Soerojo Hospital, were developed to participate in releasing individuals
188 who were locked and helping transport them to the hospital. Referrals requesting services were
189 made to the hospital staff by family members, community leaders, primary health care center
190 staff, staff from the Social Welfare ministry, and lay persons through a "hot line" provided by
191 the hospital. Members of the staff of the hospital would verify the referral and arrange for an
192 "evacuation" of the individual. Medical staff participated, along with local community

193 members, because many of those brought to the hospital were in poor physical and mental
194 health condition.

195 Third, individuals were admitted into the hospital and provided with services in the
196 medical, psychiatric, and rehabilitation units. The patients' conditions and services were
197 recorded as part of the routine medical records of the hospital. Patients were often
198 hospitalized in Soerojo Hospital -- or other state mental hospitals -- for one to three months to
199 stabilize their medical condition and drug levels. Medical and "psychosocial" rehabilitation
200 services were provided, including gardening in the hospital grounds, handicraft activities, and,
201 for a limited number of patients, metal work and bicycle or motorcycle repair.

202 Finally, return to the community and to families was organized by hospital staff. Family
203 members were contacted, invited to come to the hospital, meet with the hospital staff briefly,
204 be given medications (usually for one month) and instructed on usage, and take the patient
205 home. If families were unable to pick up patients, in a limited number of cases patients were
206 returned using hospital ambulances or other resources. Families and patients were invited to
207 return to the hospital's outpatient services for routine care and medications, or alternatively, to
208 go to their local primary health care centers for medications. The program was scaled up
209 rapidly, and in March of 2013 Soerojo Hospital reported that 1,135 individuals had been
210 released from *pasung*, treated in Soerojo or one of the three other provincial mental hospitals,
211 and returned to their communities.

212 **The Follow-Up Study Design**

213
214 The study gathered data concerning the status of the patient and caregivers'
215 experiences at time of unlocking (T1), the time of return of the patient to the family or
216 community post-treatment (T2), and the time of the follow-up study which is beginning from
217 June 8th 2013 to September 24th 2013 (T3). Information from hospital medical records and

218 description of the condition of the patient and family at the time of unlocking and at time of
219 release from the hospital by members of the evacuation team and persons participating in the
220 discharge were recorded by the research team. Stories and specific information were also
221 gathered through a long interview with the individual's primary caregiver, asking retrospectively
222 about the history of illness and locking, characteristics of the patient at both the time of
223 unlocking and the time of return to the family, utilization of health services and medication,
224 family experience throughout this process, and the status of the patient and medical care
225 utilization since discharge from the hospital and specifically at the time of the follow-up
226 interview.

227 **Sample**

228 The sample was developed by preparing a complete listing of individuals who
229 participated in the unlocking program (N=114) from four districts -- the city and the district of
230 Magelang, in which the hospital is located, and two adjacent districts, requiring travel of up to
231 approximately three hours -- along with the contact information of the 'guardian' responsible
232 for the hospitalization of the individual. An effort was made to contact all 114 guardians, to
233 describe the study, and ask permission to visit the family. If guardians were family members,
234 permission was requested directly to visit the family. If guardians were medical or social
235 welfare staff or community leaders, they were asked to contact the family and ask for
236 permission to contact them directly or visit. In some cases, the guardians could not be
237 contacted. In some cases, the families declined to make a time to visit to participate in the
238 study or the patient was no longer living with the family. When families were visited, a primary
239 caregiver was identified to participate in the study. Inclusion criteria included that the caregiver
240 be at least 19 years old, be cognitively and physically able to participate in the study, and be a
241 primary caregiver at least since the time of the unlocking. The purpose of the study was

242 described, the caregiver was asked to give signed consent, and the individual with mental
243 illness, when available, was asked to give oral consent. In the end, 68 families were visited,
244 and complete data were collected for 62 individuals who were unlocked and their families.
245 Visits, including explaining the study, being given informed consent, participating in completing
246 the family questionnaire and the formal interview, and socializing lasted from two to four hours.
247 First author and or one of the nurse asked the participants to fill out the family questionnaire or
248 read the questionnaire out loud and asked participants to respond. Interviews were conducted
249 by the first author, by one of four nurses trained to carry out the interviews, or some grouping
250 of more than one of these. In addition, the first author and/or one of the nurse interviewers
251 returned to a subsample of the families' homes for further, in-depth observation and
252 discussion, leading to 24 intensive case studies.

253 **Instruments**

254 The research instrument was developed in part based on the instrument used for a
255 related follow-up study of persons unlocked during China's "686 Program" [3] also conducted
256 jointly with the Harvard Medical School authors of this study. Two instruments were
257 developed: one to record information from hospital records and medical staff participating in
258 the evacuation and discharge of the patient, and one to guide the interview with the family
259 caregiver and gather both qualitative and quantitative data. The first instrument was used to
260 record hospital record information about the condition, diagnosis, and treatment of the patient
261 at the time of admission, and comparable data about the patient at time of discharge. In
262 addition, information about the condition of the patient and the setting of the locking at the
263 time of the evacuation was recorded by a member of the evacuation team, and data about the
264 quality of the patient and family at the time of the discharge was recorded by a person involved
265 in the discharge. A second form was developed to guide the interview and record information

266 from the caregiver at the time of the follow-up study. Narrative data were elicited to record
267 specific information about the history of illness and treatment, reasons and nature of locking,
268 and family burden and experience, as well as information on symptoms and social functioning
269 of the patient. A format for recording data on locking was developed, using the previous
270 research experience of the first author on *pasung* (16). Scalar information about caregivers'
271 experience of caring for the ill family member was based on an instrument developed for
272 gathering similar data about family experience in a study of unlocking conducted in China [3].
273 Family members were asked to rate their subjective experiences on analogue scales from 0
274 "no impact" to 5 "extremely negative impact" for five categories of family burden: stigma or
275 shame (*malu*), psychological pressures, economic burden, loss of personal or family spirit or
276 energy (*semangat*), and interpersonal relationships. Ratings concerning T1 and T2 were
277 obtained by caregivers' retrospective reflections on period before unlocking, experience at
278 time of return of the family member, as well as current subjective experience (T3). Questions
279 about social functioning were developed based on the authors' previous research in both Aceh
280 and Yogyakarta. Interviews with caregivers were recorded and transcribed. In-depth
281 interviews for the intensive case studies were also recorded and transcribed.

282

283 Results

284 In what follows we provide some of the most salient findings about those who had been
285 unlocked through the program, about who the caregivers were and what their experiences
286 were, and about the effectiveness of the program both in objective terms and subjective terms
287 from the point of view of caregivers. Because most data on *pasung* in Indonesia are case
288 reports or qualitative data [5], here we provide primarily quantitative data, rather than analysis
289 of the in-depth interviews with family members.

290 Characteristics of the individuals, caregivers, and forms of 291 confinement at time of unlocking

292
293 The research team recorded gender and age of the persons who were locked, as well
294 as of their caregivers, and data on who were primary givers for whom. As is clear from the first
295 set of tables (Tables 1-4), 58% of persons in *pasung* were men, and 55% of primary caregivers
296 were also men. The patients had a mean age of 35. Of the 56 persons for whom a hospital
297 diagnosis was available, 45 (80%) were diagnosed with schizophrenia and 8 (14%) with
298 schizoaffective disorder. 26% of the 62 of those living with mental illness were reported to
299 have been ill for ten or more years; 47% (56% of men, 35% of women) had been ill two years
300 or less. 78% of the men, 27% of the women had some history of having previously worked.

301 Table 1. Numbers and proportion of participants by gender (N=62)

Participants' role	Female N(%)	Male N(%)
Primary Caregivers	28 (45%)	34 (55%)
Patients	26 (42%)	36 (58%)
Health workers	48 (77%)	14 (23%)

302

303 Table 2. Caregiver's gender by patient's gender (N=62)

Participants' role	Female Patients N(%)	Male Patients N(%)	Total N
Female Caregivers	14 (45%)	14 (39%)	28 (45%)
Male Caregivers	12 (46%)	22 (61%)	34(55%)
Total N	26	36	62

304

305 Table 3. Mean Ages of Patients and Caregivers (N=62)

Participants	Mean	Range
Patients	35.1 (SD=8.9)	20-69
Caregivers	52.8 (SD=13.7)	23-80

306

307 Table 4. Participants' Age by Age Category (N=62)

Participants' Age	Number (%)	Range
Patients		
<29	16 (28%)	23-29
30-39	28 (45%)	30-39
>40	18 (29%)	40-69
Caregivers		
<29	4 (7%)	20-29

30-39	7 (11%)	30-39
>40	51 (82%)	40-80

308

309 The mean age of the caregivers was 53 years old, with 82% of caregivers over 40 years
 310 of age. Not shown in tables, 58% of all caregivers were parents, 26% siblings or cousins, 5%
 311 were children of the individual in *pasung*, 5% were aunts or uncles, 3% spouses, and 3% were
 312 persons from the community. In this sample, women were only slightly more likely to be
 313 primary caregivers for women patients, men slightly more likely to be primary caregivers for
 314 men.

315 Table 5 shows the form of restraints used for the locking or *pasung*. The largest number
 316 (61%) were locked into a room -- which in some cases was simply the common room in the
 317 house, in other cases a tiny, dirty room with only a bed without blankets and a tiny toilet. The
 318 remainder were chained (36%), tied by rope, or detained in classic *pasung* or wooden stocks.
 319 The great majority (86%) were restrained within the family's house; 16% were locked in a
 320 space in a separate building, and a small number were restrained some place nearby. Just
 321 over 70% were locked constantly, 24 hours a day/7 days per week, while the remaining 29%
 322 were locked only part of the time.

323 Table 5. Form of restraint (N=62)

Form	Frequency N(%)
Use of wood	3(5%)
Metal chain	22(36%)
Rope	3(5%)
Locked in the room	38(61%)

324

325 Table 6. Length of time of restraint (N=62)

Duration	Frequency N(%)
All the time 24/7	44 (71%)
On-and-off (5-23 hours per day)	18 (29%)

326

327 At the time those who were in *pasung* were released and taken to the hospital, the
328 'evacuation team' asked family members a series of questions about why the individual had
329 been locked. 75% of men and 42% of women were said to be locked because they had
330 become violent; a slightly larger number were reported to have threatened others (83% of men,
331 42% of women); and even more (83% of men and 50% of women) were reported to have 'run
332 *amuk*,' a phrase used to describe persons who become totally out of control. A significant
333 number of men (56%) and nearly all women (88%) had run away or threatened to run away;
334 and 31% of men and 46% of women were considered a threat to harm themselves.

335 A significant number of family members reported, as an important reason for locking,
336 that there was no one to care for the individuals (for 44% of men and 73% of women); family
337 members reported feeling hopeless as a reason for locking (of men 56%, women 73%); and a
338 large number gave economic reasons for locking the individuals (for 50% of the men, 73% of
339 the women). A relatively small number reported not agreeing with the medications given (17%
340 for men, 23% for women), and a significant number (25% for men, 27% for women) reported
341 they felt the individual would be helped by being locked.

342 These overall findings for the reasons families give for locking a member suffering from
343 severe mental illness are quite consistent with the primary types of reasons given in the
344 qualitative studies and case reports described in the literature review [5] and are also
345 consistent with the stories family caregivers gave in published narrative accounts [17–23] and
346 the in-depth case studies to members of the research team for this project, as well as stories
347 the UGM-Harvard research team members have heard over the years.

348 Families take no pleasure in having had to lock one of their members; they are often
349 filled with shame and hide the family member from others in the community and members of
350 the health care system. But they tell of feeling driven to the use of *pasung* by the individual's
351 violence to family and community members, especially for men, and fear of harm to the

352 individual, particular young women, if they run away and wander in the community or go even
353 further afield. And they report not having enough family members to care for the individual and
354 having to detain the individual while family members work to earn their living.

355 Table 7 portrays the condition of the person living in *pasung* at the time of their
356 unlocking by the hospital team. As the table shows, 70% were in quite bad condition, and only
357 11% in reasonably good sanitary and health conditions. This is one reason hospitalization
358 often required extensive medical care and physical rehabilitation as well as the provision of
359 mental health services. Table 8 indicates the reasons family members gave for registering for
360 the *bebas pasung* program.

361 Table 7. Patient's condition when released from *pasung* (N=62)

Patient's condition	Frequency N (%)
Extreme, patient was very dirty, room totally unsanitary	27 (44%)
Dirty and unsanitary	16 (26%)
Not so clean	12 (19%)
Clean and hygienic	2 (3%)
Overall in a good condition, clean and well care	5 (8%)

362 Table 8. Reason to report/register to the *bebas pasung* program

Reasons	Frequency N (%)
Free medication	26 (42%)
Effective care	31 (50%)
Continuous care	14 (23%)
Compulsory	4 (6.5%)

364

365 Findings Concerning Effectiveness of the Program

366 This project studied the effectiveness of the *bebas pasung* program in Soerojo Hospital
367 in two formal ways: by examining how many of those who were released and treated, before
368 returning home, were re-locked at some time during the two years since unlocking; and by
369 providing scalar data from the primary about a number of dimensions of their experience
370

371 before unlocking, at the time of the individual's return to their home, and at the two year follow-
 372 up interview.

373 Overall, as Table 9 shows, 24% of those unlocked -- 17% of men, 24% of women --
 374 were reported to have been returned to *pasung* at some time during the past two years since
 375 being returned to the family. This may also be framed by noting that 76% of those unlocked
 376 remained unlocked through the initial two years of being returned to the community. Of those
 377 relocked, use of chains or mechanical restraints was less, while more were confined to a room
 378 in the house, than was true before the intervention.

379

380 Table 9. Numbers of Patients Relocked during two year period, as reported by family

Pasung status/ patient's gender	Male N(%)	Female N(%)	Total
Relocked at some time	6 (17%)	9 (35%)	15 (24%)
Not relocked since returned	30 (83%)	17 (65%)	47 (76%)
Total N	36 (100%)	26 (100%)	62 (100%)

381

382 The scalar data (Tables 10 to 15) show extremely significant improvement in the
 383 experience of the family care providers from the time of unlocking (T1) to the time of return
 384 home (T2), and demonstrates that this improvement was largely maintained through two years
 385 (T3). This was true for both male and female caregivers. Whether in terms of their experience
 386 of shame, psychological distress reported, economic burden reported, relationships within the
 387 family, or overall family 'spirit' (*semangat*), caregivers reported great benefits from the
 388 unlocking program.

389 Table 10. Family's level of shame (*malu*) at different points of time (N=62)

Points of time	Mean (SD)	Points of time	Mean difference	p values
T1	3.29 (1.50)	T1-T2	1.50	.000
T2	1.79 (1.04)	T2-T3	0.29	.008
T3	1.50 (.099)	T1-T3	1.79	.000

390 *T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later*

391 (5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)

392

393 Table 11. Family's economic burden at different points of time (N=62)

Points of time	Mean (SD)	Points of time	Mean difference	p values
T1	2.89 (1.66)	T1-T2	1.05	.000
T2	1.84 (1.12)	T2-T3	0.02	.898
T3	1.82 (1.11)	T1-T3	1.07	.000

394 T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later

395 (5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)

396

397 Table 12. Family's psychological distress at different points of time

Points of time	Mean (SD)	Points of time	Mean difference	p values
T1	3.66 (1.38)	T1-T2	1.76	.000
T2	1.90 (1.16)	T2-T3	0.24	.087
T3	1.66 (1.04)	T1-T3	2.00	.000

398 T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later

399 (5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)

400

401 Table 13. Patient's relationship to family at different points of time (N=62)

Points of time	Mean (SD)	Points of time	Mean	p values
T1	2.16 (1.48)	T1-T2	0.64	.000
T2	1.52 (1.02)	T2-T3	0.13	.020
T3	1.39 (.95)	T1-T3	0.77	.000

402 T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later

403 (5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)

404

405 Table 14. Family's spirit (*semangat*) at different points of time (N=62)

Points of time	Mean (SD)	Points of time	Mean diff	p values
T1	2.79 (1.65)	T1-T2	1.08	.000
T2	1.71 (.98)	T2-T3	0.26	.003
T3	1.45 (.84)	T1-T3	1.34	.000

406 T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later

407 (5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)

408

409

410 This improvement was, not surprisingly, more powerful for those caregivers who did not

411 feel it necessary to re-lock the person who had been unlocked and treated (Table 15) than for

412 those who found it necessary to relock their family member. But even for these caregivers,

413 there remained a benefit along the scalar dimensions studied for the program.

414 Table 15. Family's experience of shame (*malu*) with or without re-pasung/relocked

Points of time	Mean scores No relocking	Mean scores Relocking	p values
----------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	----------

T1	3.23	3.47	F=.271 (p=.600)
T2	1.53	2.60	F=14.60 (p<.000)
T3	1.17	2.53	F=33.04 (p<.000)

415 *T1=while in pasung; T2= after discharged from hospital; T3= 18-24 months later*
 416 *(5-extremely negative impact; 1=no impact)*

417
 418 At the time the patient was returned to the family, 80% were reported to take
 419 medications regularly. However, at follow-up, 44% of all patients continued to take
 420 medications regularly and another 21% irregularly or frequently not taking medications. Table
 421 16 shows the relationship between continued use of medications and whether the individual
 422 was returned to *pasung* of confinement during the two-year follow-up program. Of those
 423 relocked, 33% took medications regularly and 67% either irregularly or stopped medications
 424 completely, while of those not relocked during the two-year period, 47% took medications
 425 regularly and 53% either irregularly or stopped medications entirely. There was thus a
 426 tendency for those who did not take medicines to be at slightly higher risk for being relocked,
 427 but the difference is not statistically significant.

428 Table 16. Medication Status of Patients Relocked (Re-pasung)

Medication Status	Relocked N(%)	Not relocked N(%)	Total
Regularly taking medication up to present	5 (33%)	22 (47%)	27 (44%)
Irregularly taking medication	2 (13%)	11(23%)	13 (21%)
Frequently not taking medication	3 (20 %)	4 (9%)	7 (11%)
Began, but stopped taking medication	3 (20%)	5 (11%)	8 (13%)
Never take medicine	2 (13%)	5 (11%)	7 (11%)
Total N	15(100%) (24%)	47 (100%) (76%)	62 (100%)

429
 430 At follow-up, 51% of this group of persons who had been confined in *pasung* two years
 431 earlier were now able to care for themselves, 24% were reported to be capable of productive
 432 work and another 25% of more limited work, 32% were reported to have good relationships
 433 with their family and another 50% fair relations with their family, and 25% were reported to

434 have good relationships with neighbors while 38% were reported to be fairly able to engage
435 other others in the community.

436 Discussion

437 This study provides new empirical evidence concerning persons with severe mental
438 illness who have been placed in *pasung* or family-based confinement in one province in
439 Indonesia, the nature of the locking or confinement, reasons families gave for locking, who
440 were considered the primary caregivers, and families' ratings of their experiences associated
441 with having a member of their family in *pasung*. The fact that 70% of patients were considered
442 "dirty and unsanitary" at the time of the unlocking provides a numerical rating of the conditions
443 of persons who lived in confinement or *pasung*. Photos taken by the hospital teams
444 responsible for the unlocking and for taking those unlocked to the hospital tell this story far
445 more vividly. Many lived in genuinely horrifying conditions and required very significant medical
446 care alongside the mental health care they received when they were unlocked and
447 hospitalized. The *bebas pasung* program thus had a genuine sense of "freeing" (*bebas*) those
448 confined in often inhumane living conditions.

449 There were clear strengths and weaknesses of the Soerojo Mental Hospital program of
450 unlocking and mental health treatment described in this study. Overall, the program was
451 developed systematically, freed 1,135 individuals with severe mental illness from locking by
452 March 2013, and provided them with good quality, hospital-based medical care and initial
453 rehabilitation services, free of cost, before returning them to their families. The program
454 provided a powerful learning experience by the public health team of the Soerojo Hospital, and
455 the program continues until today.

456 The program also had a major effect on the health of the patients and the experience of
457 family members. Three-quarters of all persons unlocked had not been re-locked at any time

458 during the two years since unlocking, and family caregivers experienced enormous benefits of
459 the program. Over half of those persons who had been locked, shackled or confined were now
460 able to care for themselves, and nearly half were able to engage in fully or partially productive
461 work. Over 80% were now reported to have good or fair relations with their family and over
462 60% able to fully or partially engage with neighbors. Given these findings, it is not surprising
463 that caregivers rated their experiences of living with and caring for the ill member greatly
464 improved at the time the person was returned from the hospital, and that positive rating of their
465 experiences continued into year two when the follow-up was conducted.

466 On the other hand, only 44% of these persons took medications regularly at two-year
467 follow-up, and another 21% reported taking medications irregularly. Of these, 47% of those
468 who had never been relocked, compared with 33% of those who were relocked, were taking
469 medications regularly at time of follow-up, and 70% of those who had never been relocked,
470 compared with 47% of those who were relocked, were taking medications regularly or
471 irregularly at time of follow-up. These findings testify to the limited access to continuous care
472 and medications. They do not provide strong evidence that failure to take medications is the
473 primary reason for being re-locked.

474 These findings may be linked directly to the leading complaint about the program by
475 caregivers -- that there was too little follow-up by the program. The quality of continued
476 community-based services varied by locale and activity of local primary health care centers,
477 and when services were provided by visiting nurses these were commented on positively. On
478 the other hand, only a third of caregivers rated services of village health workers (*cadre*)
479 positively.

480 The widely cited follow-up study of an unlocking intervention in China [3], which served
481 as one important model for this follow-up study, found that only 8% of those unlocked had
482 been relocked by year 7, and that 72% remained on medication. Compared with these data,

483 the unlocking program in Central Java was less successful. It is important to note that the
484 Chinese program was part of a comprehensive mental health reform movement that led to
485 regular visits by mental health teams down to the village and family level. It is equally
486 important to note that the Chinese program -- the so-called 686 Program [24,25] -- was
487 initiated with the rationale of reducing the "dangerousness" of persons with severe mental
488 illness, so that levels of stigmatizing surveillance were quite high and remain high until today.

489 By contrast, programs that resulted from the 2010 national call for an Indonesia free of
490 *pasung* operated, to a large extent, as stand-alone programs, not as a comprehensive reform
491 of the overall mental health system. The Indonesian program described here was not linked to
492 the development of comprehensive, community-based outreach programs to provide services
493 for those living in the community with severe mental illnesses. This limited the overall
494 effectiveness of the program. Despite these limitations, the program made an enormous
495 difference in the lives of many persons in this part of Central Java who had been living in
496 *pasung*, as well as for their families.

497 This study was only able to gather data from 62 of the 114 target families, leading to
498 potential selection biases of the study. This is the limitations of the study. Also, the follow-up
499 period of this study was limited to 18 to 24 months. More comprehensive and longer-term
500 follow-up studies of this program and unlocking programs around Indonesia are needed.

501

502 **Conclusions**

503 The 'unlocking and treatment' programs initiated by Ministry of Health of Indonesia in
504 2010 have varied in structure and quality. As we have noted, following the only major review of
505 unlocking in Indonesia [5], we need far more empirical data about local programs and their
506 short- and long-term effectiveness. This study was carried out to provide basic empirical

507 information about persons with severe mental illness in one area of Indonesia who lived in
508 community-based confinement, about one specific program of unlocking and treatment, and
509 about the effectiveness of this program over a two-year period. This study provides evidence
510 of the enormous importance of such programs. Despite its limitations, the program had
511 enormous benefits for those living with severe mental illness where in *pasung* and their
512 families. This study also documents the limitations of programs not embedded in more
513 comprehensive mental health services reform. Clearly, unlocking programs in Indonesia need
514 to be linked far more closely to the development of real community-based mental health and
515 rehabilitation services that maintain care of the patient and provide a genuine pathway toward
516 recovery [26].

517 **Acknowledgements**

518 The authors gratefully acknowledge the full support of many staff members from the Soerojo
519 Mental Hospital, Magelang, Central Java, Indonesia. Special thanks to the Keswamas staff
520 members: Jofita Panggelo, MD., Noviandy Radhikabudi, S.Kep., MKes and the four nurses from
521 Soerojo Hospital who participated in the interview process. We are particularly grateful to the
522 patients and families who actively participated in this project.

523
524 We acknowledge that the four scales on family experience were based on the research in
525 China, described by Guan et al. (2015). Prof. Mary-Jo D. Good was a primary advisor on the
526 project in China, where she participated in designing the research instrument. Parts of this
527 instrument were adapted for use in the Central Java project, for which Prof. Good was also
528 primary advisor.

529
530

531 **References**

- 532
533 1. Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, Thornicroft G, Baingana F, Bolton P, et al. The Lancet
534 Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. Vol. 392, The
535 Lancet. 2018.
- 536 2. Human Rights Watch. Human Rights Watch publications. 2018. Indonesian shackling
537 reduced but persists: Oversight crucial to end abuse of people with disabilities. .
- 538 3. Guan L, Liu J, Wu XM, Chen D, Wang X, Ma N, et al. Unlocking patients with mental
539 disorders who were in restraints at home:A National follow-up study of china's new
540 public mental health initiatives. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):
541 e0121425.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121425.
- 542 4. Good MJD, Good B, Tyas TH. Unlocking the Severely Mentally Ill: Findings from
543 Intervention Programs Designed to Unlock, Treat, and Return to Family Settings in
544 Indonesia and China. New York City; 2018 Oct. (5th World Congress of Cultural
545 Psychiatry).
- 546 5. Hidayat MT, Lawn S, Muir-Cochrane E, Oster C. The use of pasung for people with
547 mental illness: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. Vol. 14, International Journal
548 of Mental Health Systems. 2020. 14(1), 90. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00424-0>
- 549 6. Britannica [Internet]. 2024. 2002 Bali Bombings. Available from:
550 <https://www.britannica.com/event/2002-Bali-Bombings>
- 551 7. Knowledge Hub [Internet]. 2005. Criminal - Bali Bombings. Available from:
552 <https://knowledge.aidr.org.au/resources/criminal-bali-bombings-2005/>
- 553 8. Bradsher K. The New York Times. 2003. Deadly Car Bombing Shakes Marriott Hotel in
554 Jakarta.
- 555 9. Aspinall E. The Helsinki Agreement: A More Promising Basis for Peace in Aceh?
556 Washington: East-West Center Washington; 2005.
- 557 10. DelVecchio MJ et al. Complex engagements: Responding to violence in postconflict
558 Aceh.In: Fassin, D, Pandolfi, M, editors. Contemporary states of emergency: The politics
559 of military and humanitarian interventions. 2010. Pp 241-266.
- 560 11. Good B, Good MJD, Grayman JH. Inside Indonesia. 2013. A new model for mental
561 health care?
- 562 12. Puteh I, Good BJ, Good MJD, Grayman JH. Mereka-Ulang Pelayanan Kesehatan Jiwa
563 Pascatsunami dan Pascakonflik Aceh. In: Pols H, Setiawan P, Marchira CR, Irmansyah,
564 Suci EST, Good MJD, et al., editors. Jiwa Sehat, Negara Kuat. Jakarta: Kompas; 2019.
- 565 13. Puteh I, Marthoenis M, Minas H. Aceh Free Pasung: Releasing the mentally ill from
566 physical restraint. Int J Ment Health Syst. 2011;5.
- 567 14. Irmansyah I, Prasetyo YA, Minas H. Human rights of persons with mental illness in
568 Indonesia: More than legislation is needed. Int J Ment Health Syst. 2009;3.
- 569 15. Irmansyah. Menuju Indonesia Bebas Pasung: Di Mana Peran Rumah Sakit Jiwa dan
570 Rumah Sakit Umum? . In: Pols H, Setiawan P, Marchira CR, Irmansyah, Suci EST, Good
571 MJD, et al., editors. Jiwa Sehat, Negara Kuat. Jakarta: Kompas; 2019.
- 572 16. Tyas TH. Pasung: Family Experience of Dealing with" the Deviant" in Nanggroe Aceh
573 Darussalam, Indonesia. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing; 2010.
- 574 17. Anto S, Colucci E. Free from pasung: A story of chaining and freedom in Indonesia told
575 through painting, poetry and narration. World Cultural Psychiatry Research Review.
576 2015;10(3/4).
- 577 18. Catharina Daulima NH. Preventing Pasung by mentally ill patients' families. Enferm Clin.
578 2018;28.
- 579 19. Eka AR, Daulima NHC. Factors related to pasung on people with mental illness.
580 International Journal of Nursing and Health Services (IJNHS). 2019;2(2).

- 581 20. Laila NH, Mahkota R, Krianto T, Shivalli S. Perceptions about pasung (physical restraint
582 and confinement) of schizophrenia patients: A qualitative study among family members
583 and other key stakeholders in Bogor Regency, West Java Province, Indonesia 2017. *Int*
584 *J Ment Health Syst.* 2018;12(1).
- 585 21. Laila NH, Mahkota R, Shivalli S, Bantas K, Krianto T. Factors associated with pasung
586 (physical restraint and confinement) of schizophrenia patients in Bogor regency, West
587 Java Province, Indonesia 2017. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2019;19(1).
- 588 22. Katuuk HM, Daulima NHC, Wardani IY. Families' experience caring for mentally ill
589 patients with re-pasung. *Enferm Clin.* 2019;29.
- 590 23. Rahman A, Marchira CR, Rahmat I. Peran dan motivasi perawat kesehatan jiwa dalam
591 program bebas pasung: studi kasus di Mataram. *BKM Journal of Community Medicine*
592 *and Public Health.* 2016;
- 593 24. Ma H. Integration of hospital and community services-the '686 Project'-is a crucial
594 component in the reform of China's mental health services. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry.*
595 2012;24(3).
- 596 25. Good BJ, Good MJDV. Significance of the 686 Program for China and for global mental
597 health. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry.* 2012;24(3).
- 598 26. Subandi MA, Nihayah M, Marchira CR, Tyas T, Marastuti A, Pratiwi R, et al. The
599 principles of recovery-oriented mental health services: A review of the guidelines
600 from five different countries for developing a protocol to be implemented in
601 Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *PLoS One.* 2023;18(3 March):e0276802.
602