- Maternal health in rural Pakistan: An analysis of
- 2 knowledge and practices of antenatal care using the
- 3 socio-ecological model.
- 5 Nadia Agha^{1#a}, Rahim Dad Rind^{1#a}, Shoukat Ali Mahar^{2#b}, Moomal Mendhri Channa^{3#c}, Fizza Ansar⁴, Sadiq
- 6 Bhanbhro4#e*

- 7 Department of Sociology, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 8 ²Department of Public Administration, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 9 ³Institute of Gender Studies, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 10 ⁴Department of Community Health Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi
- 11 ⁵Centre for Applied Health and Social Care Research, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom
- 12 #aCurrent Address: Department of Sociology, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 13 #bCurrent Address: Department of Public Administration, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 14 #cCurrent Address: Institute of Gender Studies, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan
- 15 #eCurrent Address: Centre for Applied Health and Social Care Research, College of Health, Wellbeing and Life
- 16 Sciences, Robert Winston Building, Collegiate Campus, Sheffield Hallam University, UK.
- 17 *Corresponding author

20

- 18 Email: s.bhanbhro@shu.ac.uk
- 19 All authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Background Pakistan carries a heavy burden of maternal and child health vulnerabilities. Maternal health can be prevented with culturally specific interventions and women's enhanced access to healthcare facilities, yet women in rural areas in Pakistan are deprived of such interventions. In this study, we assessed the prevalent knowledge and practices of antenatal care and the impact of lowincome and gender inequalities on maternal health in rural areas of Pakistan. Method We conducted a cross-sectional study using the Socio-Ecological Model (SEM) in five villages in the Sindh province of Pakistan. We tested hypotheses using descriptive reliability and regression techniques. **Results** Findings show that the socio-geographical and cultural factors influencing maternal health were embedded within the different levels of SEM. The prevalence of Maternal Health Vulnerabilities (MHV) was high in villages where women had limited literacy and awareness. Of the 352 participants, 173 (49%) women were not literate and could not read pregnancy-related information imparted through leaflets or brochures. Data showed that women consumed less milk, multivitamins, and proper food due to poverty. At the same time, they had restricted access to health facilities: 119 (34%) women had lost their babies after birth. In this study, poverty and gender inequality correlate with MHV (significant 65.60% and .000 and 10.50% and .000, respectively) and exacerbate the situation by complementing each other.

Conclusion

Culturally appropriate and evidence-based interventions are needed to reduce gender inequality and prioritise women's and girls' maternal health needs. This study has considered cultural, social, geographical, and economic factors influencing maternal health. It provides a nuanced account of a complex web of barriers to attaining Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs),

particularly goals 3, 'Good health and well-being' and 5 ', Gender equality'.

Keywords: Maternal health, Antenatal care, poverty, gender inequality, Pakistan, Socio-

Ecological Model (SEM)

Introduction

Background

Maternal and child mortality is a crucial health challenge prevailing in many low and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1]. Worldwide, around 800 women died every day in 2020 from pregnancy and childbirth-related complications [2], making the global Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) 216 deaths per 100,000 births [3]. It is estimated that 94% of maternal mortality occurs in LMICs [4].

Globally, South Asia and Sub-Saharan African countries carry the burden of maternal deaths [5]. Maternal mortality in these regions reached up to 87% of the total global burden [2]. About 80% of these cases could have been prevented if women were given access to basic healthcare facilities [6]. Pakistan is one of the leading South Asian countries in terms of high MMR [7]. It

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

carries a more significant burden of maternal, foetal and child mortality rates [8]. The MMR in Pakistan is 186 deaths per 100,000 live births, which is 26% higher in rural than in urban areas [9]. There are myriad factors contributing to maternal and perinatal health vulnerabilities. The leading factors of pregnancy-related mortality and morbidity are low household income, low education, poor healthcare services, gender-based disparities, socio-cultural values, more considerable distance, malnutrition, unfair resource distribution and political environment. These are significant indicators for rising maternal mortality cases in rural areas [7]. Unmet nutritional needs, heavy physical labour, infections, and some other primary chronic conditions like being overweight and diabetes further deteriorate pregnancy outcomes [10]. Maternal mortality can be prevented with access to increased professionally delivered interventions, more specifically, coverage of crucial obstetric care, access to safe abortion services, active management during the third stage of labour and the application of anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia [11]. The knowledge and implementation of Antenatal Care (ANC) can save the lives of many women. For this, routine antenatal nutrition, maternal and foetal checkups, preventive measures, intervention for managing common physiologic symptoms in pregnancy, and health-related interventions significantly improve the quality of ANC and its utilisation [12]. Pakistan is a signatory of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Agenda 2030, but it still lags as the MMR is higher in rural settings. The quality of healthcare is a crucial concern for the coverage of universal health and equity and for reducing the occurrence of maternal and newborn mortalities [13]. National programmes and interventions often fail due to distance and poor infrastructure, more specifically for the people living in rural areas [14]. Therefore, it is essential

to understand the existing ANC practices that lead to Maternal Health Vulnerabilities (MHV), particularly in less privileged areas. This study was designed to understand how poverty and gender inequality influence maternal health outcomes in rural areas of Pakistan and to suggest the areas where interventions are needed. This is a quantitative study on women of reproductive age that assesses the existing ANC-related practices, women's access to healthcare services, and the barriers they experience in accessing these services in rural areas in Sindh, Pakistan.

We aimed to identify socio-cultural and geographical barriers to maternal health in rural areas of Sindh. Research in these areas has not explored and analysed these factors. The study sought to evaluate the prevalent knowledge and practices of antenatal care and the impact of low-income and gender inequality on maternal health in rural areas of Pakistan.

Methods

This is a cross-sectional study exploring MHV in underserved areas of Sindh. The study was conducted between November 2023 and mid-February 2024 in five villages of Taluka Sukkur. A random sampling technique was used to select households in the villages. We recorded the recent and ongoing ANC practices followed by rural women and how their household income and gender inequality influenced those practices.

Theoretical framework

The Socio-Ecological Model (SEM) has been applied to this study to assess MHV in rural areas of Sindh, Pakistan. This model has been used extensively to understand individuals' behaviours about health [15, 16, 17]. According to SEM, an individual has a reciprocal relationship with their environment. The model acknowledges social, cultural, and environmental factors and their associations with biological factors [18]. The model used in this study was

borrowed from the [19, 20] ecological model, which consists of four levels influencing the conditions and behaviours about health. The SEM suggests four levels, i.e., microsystem, mesosystem, exosystem and macrosystem. It considers the interplay between individuals and their settings and recognises the dynamic network of factors that shape an individual's behaviour on the individual, community, and societal levels [21]. SEM is applied to this study to record and analyse the different barriers women experience at these four levels in accessing ANC services.

Fig 1. Application of (SEM) Socio-Ecological Model to assess maternal health vulnerabilities.

Data collection

For this study, we surveyed 360 households and collected data from 352 women of reproductive age. Participants who met the inclusion criteria were selected, i.e., a) women of reproductive age, b) delivered babies within the last two years, and c) residents of rural and less privileged areas. A well-structured, closed-ended questionnaire was prepared after reviewing the literature on the subject. The questionnaire included various themes, including demographic characteristics, child-related variables, and knowledge and practices of ANC-related questions. The first part included demographic variables such as age, marital status, husband and wife's education, occupation, monthly income, and total number of children. The child-related factors were also included in the first part, such as the child who died after birth (yes/no), the number of children who died after birth, the cause of child mortality, and the age of the children at death. The second part included a 24-item scale which included questions related to knowledge and practices of ANC among women.

Ethical approval

The Research Ethics Committees of Aga Khan University, Karachi, and Sheffield Hallam University approved this study. We followed all ethical protocols mentioned in the proposal during the data collection. For example, prior informed and verbal consent was sought from the participants as most of the women (49%) were not literate at all, and 19% had only primary-level education. All the participants were briefed about the study before collecting the data. They were assured of keeping their identity confidential and anonymising all identifiable information.

Statistical analysis

Data was analysed using SPSS version 24. This study carried out three variables, comprised of 24 questions in which demographic, descriptive reliability and regression techniques were used to test the hypotheses.

Results

Descriptive Analysis

Table 1 summarises the demographic characteristics of the study participants. Out of the 352 women of reproductive age, most (21%) belonged to the age group of 18-22, 22% were between 23-27, 24% were between 28-32, and 18% belonged to the age group of 33-37. The marital status variable was taken as married, divorced, or widowed.

Table 1. Demographics of participants and child-related factors.

		Total N=352 (100%)		
Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
Age	18-22	73	20.7	
	23-27	77	21.9	
	28-32	85	24.1	
	33-37	63	17.9	
	38-42	31	8.8	
	43-above	23	6.5	
	Total	352		
Marital status	Married	310	88.1	
	Divorced	34	9.7	
	Widow	8	2.3	
	Total	352		
Education	Not literate	173	49.1	
	Primary	66	18.8	
	Secondary	20	5.7	
	Matric	23	6.5	
	Intermediate	15	4.3	
	Bachelors	23	6.5	
	Masters	32	9.1	
	Total	352		
Husband's education	Not literate	108	30.7	
	Primary	48	13.6	
	Secondary	45	12.8	
	Matric	32	9.1	
	Intermediate	18	5.1	
	Bachelors	32	9.1	
	Masters	69	19.6	
	Total	352		
Occupation	Animal Husbandry	80	22.7	
·	Craftsmanship	83	23.6	
	House help	66	18.8	
	Stitching	59	16.8	
	Total	352		
Husband's occupation	Formal job	80	22.7	
·	Informal job	85	24.1	
	Agriculture	71	20.2	
	Animal Husbandry	65	18.5	
	Jobless	51	14.5	
	Total	352		

The monthly income of the family	5k-10k	35	9.9
	11k-15k	38	10.8
	16k-20k	44	12.5
	21k-25k	70	19.9
	26k-30k	74	21
	31-above	91	25.9
	Total	352	
Total number of children	2-Jan	89	25.3
	4-Mar	163	46.3
	6-May	62	17.6
	7-above	38	10.8
	Total	352	
Child related factors			
Children died after birth	Yes	119	33.8
	No	233	66.2
	Total	352	
Number of children died after birth	One	21	17.6
	Two	85	71.4
	Three	10	8.4
	Four	3	2.5
	Total	119	
Cause of child mortality	Pneumonia	45	37.8
	Diarrhea	33	27.7
	Malaria	29	24.4
	Congenital anomalies	4	3.4
	Malnourishment	8	6.7
	Total	119	
Age of children at death	1-2 months	39	32.8
	3-4 months	23	19.3
	5-6 months	26	21.8
	7-8 months	14	11.8
	9-10 months	10	8.4
	11-12 months	7	5.9
	Total	348	

Reliability

The reliability of the knowledge and practices questionnaire was assessed by using Cronbach's alpha. Table 2 represents the cumulative alpha value as .844, suggesting a relatively acceptable internal consistency as the Cronbach alpha results at 0.7 and 0.8 are considered excellent. All the

items had corrected item-total correlations. However, .95 or greater values are creating a disturbance in internal consistency [22]. The Cronbach alpha value exists between 0-1.

Table 2. Corrected item-total correlation and Cronbach's alpha values of the 24 items of

Knowledge and Practices of ANC.

151

152

153

154

	Scale	Scale	Corrected	Cronbach's
Item-Total Statistics	Mean if	Variance if	Item-Total	Alpha if
	Item	Item	Correlation	Item
	Deleted	Deleted		Deleted
I have less consumption of milk during my last pregnancy.	123.8182	329.112	0.225	0.843
I did not take a proper diet during my last pregnancy.	124.4176	325.281	0.315	0.841
I have to ask for money needed for my treatment from my	124.1506	329.325	0.203	0.844
husband.				
I am exposed to violence due to my husband's	124.3324	327.288	0.253	0.842
unemployment during pregnancy.				
My household responsibilities do not change during	124.1903	330.616	0.156	0.845
pregnancy.				
Household responsibilities quickly made me tired during	124.2102	307.158	0.573	0.833
pregnancy.				
I prefer homebirths due to the lack of money.	124.3097	310.14	0.495	0.835
Healthcare facilities are affordable in government hospitals.	123.8324	315.815	0.432	0.837
Access to standard healthcare services is difficult.	124.2301	303.511	0.606	0.831
The source of water and access to sanitation is poor.	124.0256	307.119	0.586	0.832
I get permission to visit the hospital from my husband	124.2216	308.264	0.531	0.834
during pregnancy.				

124.25	305.556	0.552	0.833
124.0653	307.457	0.559	0.833
124.2585	309.098	0.508	0.835
123.6932	331	0.197	0.844
123.4233	324.456	0.362	0.84
123.2869	332.427	0.133	0.846
124.0057	319.345	0.351	0.84
124.1193	320.613	0.314	0.841
123.3835	323.565	0.376	0.839
123.2131	320.704	0.45	0.838
123.2699	326.044	0.303	0.841
123.2131	320.362	0.429	0.838
123.8381	341.515	-0.065	0.852
	124.0653 124.2585 123.6932 123.4233 123.2869 124.0057 124.1193 123.3835 123.2131 123.2699 123.2131	124.0653 307.457 124.2585 309.098 123.6932 331 123.4233 324.456 123.2869 332.427 124.0057 319.345 124.1193 320.613 123.3835 323.565 123.2131 320.704 123.2699 326.044 123.2131 320.362	124.0653 307.457 0.559 124.2585 309.098 0.508 123.6932 331 0.197 123.4233 324.456 0.362 123.2869 332.427 0.133 124.0057 319.345 0.351 124.1193 320.613 0.314 123.231 320.704 0.45 123.2131 320.704 0.45 123.2131 320.362 0.429

Regression Analysis

Table 3 represents the model summary and ANOVA results. The model summary shows the strength of the relationship between the model and the dependent variable, namely the model's

fitness, which is 44.90%. In this connection, several factors leading to maternal and perinatal health vulnerabilities include poverty and gender inequality. However, there is a significant variance between MHV and gender inequality and poverty. 05 p-value is a cutoff value that shows the possibility of acceptance of the null hypothesis. MHV and gender inequality and poverty are two separate things, but they are interdependent in the context of women.

Table 3. The Multiple Regression Analysis (Model summary and ANOVA)

Model Summary						
	D	D. Course	Adinate d D Conserve	Std. Error of the		
	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	e Estimate		
	.672ª	0.452	0.449	0.74240223		
ANOVA						
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Regression	158.645	2	79.322	143.919	.000 ^b	
Residual	192.355	349	0.551			
Total	351	351				

a. Predictors: (Constant), Gender Inequality, Poverty.

Table 4 represents the results of regression Coefficients, showing the significant level of poverty and gender inequality in the prediction of MHV. The Beta value shows the contribution of each independent variable separately. In this study, poverty predicts MHV positive and significant (65.60% and .000). In contrast, gender inequality predicts MHV positive and significant (10.50% and .000). Thus, in MHV, gender inequality and poverty are two different terms that tend to complement each other by acting as risk or protective factors, respectively, both at the individual level and at the health system—further sig. Values also explain the status of hypotheses H1 and H2, which are supported in the study.

Table 4. Unstandardised and standardised Coefficients.

Coefficients

b. Dependent Variable: Maternal Health Vulnerabilities.

	Unstandardised		Standardised		
	Coefficients	Std. Error	Coefficients	t	Sig.
(Constant)	-5.92E-17	0.04		0	1
Poverty	0.656	0.04	0.656	16.517	0
Gender					
Inequality	0.105	0.04	0.105	2.637	0.009

Discussion and conclusion

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

Reducing maternal mortality has become a global health priority as it affects women disproportionately in low and middle-income countries. Many of these deaths are preventable [23]. Maternal health has become a challenge for Pakistan, which faces a maternal health crisis with a high MMR. The prevalent societal beliefs and perceptions, household power structures and misinformation significantly impact maternal health [24]. The results of this study reveal that different levels within the SEM influence factors affecting maternal health vulnerabilities. These factors include sociodemographic characteristics of the women and social and community aspects. Women's vulnerabilities in rural areas begin from the micro and mesosystem: poverty, gender inequality, and cultural practices disempower women and pose numerous barriers to enhancing their social status. The women lack education and awareness about reproductive health. This is even though education and awareness about reproductive and maternal health can play a central role in smoothing ANC, which is central to promoting a positive pregnancy experience and improving maternal and child survival [25]. The critical steps of ANC include risk diagnosis, pregnancy management and prevention of pregnancy-related diseases, health education and health promotion [26]. The participants in this study showed a lack of health awareness and information about ANC. Most participants, i.e., 49%, were not literate, while 19% had primary-

level education. Only 6% of participants had matric, and 4% had intermediate-level education.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Thus, most of the women were unable to read and comprehend information about ANC, the use of contraceptives, the importance of follow-ups, maintaining diet plans, reading and understanding brochures, knowledge about modern healthcare facilities, and the extent of contraceptives. Patriarchy, supported by socio-cultural norms, is another element within the micro, meso and exosystem impacting MHV. In rural areas, the uptake of ANC is widely influenced by social and cultural norms. Inadequate and poor-quality services and social and cultural orientations limit women's access to quality maternal health services [4]. Results of this study also reflect that women's regular visits to healthcare facilities and follow-ups were greatly influenced by the distance of the health facility from their residence; a more significant distance complicated the situation further. Since men make substantial decisions, women's access to ANC services was also subject to men's approval. Women's low status, poverty, limited literacy, and inaccessible, inadequate and costly healthcare services influence MHV [27]. Results of this study show that poverty is the main factor influencing ANC practices in the villages; the women in this study were less likely to maintain the healthy diet required during pregnancy due to financial constraints such as milk consumption, proper food, or vitamin intake. Women also preferred home delivery because their families could not afford hospital expenses. Poverty is a significant factor in the micro, meso, and exosystems of SEM that influence MHV. The growing population and poor maternal health outcomes in Pakistan require immediate response and improved strategies. For example, gains cannot be made by investing in the health sector alone; social indicators of women also need close attention. Fundamental issues about

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

women's status, empowerment, education, hygiene and sanitation must also be considered [28, 29]. There is a lack of gender-sensitive policies and initiatives on the macro level to address MHV in rural areas and remote communities where women are in disadvantageous positions. Maternal health outcomes can be improved with robust interventions targeted to reduce gender inequality in accessing healthcare. The World Health Organization has set a goal to decrease the global MMR to 70 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births by 2030 [30]. For this, WHO is working to record maternal mortality through increased research evidence, setting global standards, providing evidence-based clinical and pragmatic guidance, and giving technical support to member countries in developing and implementing effective policies and programs [4]. Moreover, pregnancy-related awareness programs, the provision of skilled birth attendants and access to antenatal care contribute to safer pregnancies and childbirth. To address the needs and priorities of women and girls, inequalities must be removed in accessing quality reproductive, maternal, and healthcare services for newborn children, along with the factors causing maternal mortalities, morbidities, and related disabilities. The SEM helped to enhance an understanding of how different factors at personal, community and societal levels influence individuals' health-seeking behaviour and increase vulnerabilities. This study concludes that gender inequality and poverty are well entrenched in rural areas, resulting in poor ANC practices. We suggest addressing the needs and priorities of the women residing in less privileged areas. This can only be possible if socio-economic and geographical factors are considered and women are given improved access to financial and physical resources. This study is limited to some villages in northern Sindh; more research on MHV is required in

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

other less privileged areas of Pakistan where patriarchy is well entrenched and women's access to public spheres is restricted. Disclaimer: None Conflict of interest: None **Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank our funders, the Academy of Medical Sciences UK, for their support of the study and Dr Zahid Memon for helping us obtain ethics approval. References 1. Sule F. A., Uthman, O. A., Olamijuwon, E. O., Ichegbo, N. K., Mgbachi, I. C., Okusanya, B., & Makinde, O. A. (2022). Examining vulnerability and resilience in maternal, newborn and child health through a gender lens in low-income and middleincome countries: a scoping review. BMJ Global Health, 7 (4), p. e007426. https://doi: 10.1186/s12961-015-0036-5. 2. WHO (2023). Maternal mortality. Geneva, Switzerland. Available at https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/maternal-mortality (Accessed 2 May 2023) 3. Alkema, L., Chou, D., Hogan, D., Zhang, S., Moller, A.B., Gemmill, A., & Say, L. (2016), Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN

258 Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group, The Lancet, 387, No. 10017, 462-259 474. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)00838-7. 260 4. WHO (2019). Maternal mortality. Geneva, Switzerland. Available at 261 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/329886/WHO-RHR-19.20-eng.pdf. (Accessed 12 March 2023) 262 263 5. UNICEF (2020). Maternal mortality: Data and statistics. New York, USA. Available at: 264 https://gdc.unicef.org/resource/maternal-265 mortality#:~:text=Sub%2DSaharan%20Africans%20suffer%20from,maternal%20deaths %20per%20year%20worldwide (Accessed 12 February 2023) 266 6. UNICEF (2009). The State of the World's Children 2009: Maternal and Newborn Health. 267 268 (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.09.XX.1), New York, USA. Available at https://www.unicef.org/media/84866/file/SOWC-2009.pdf (Accessed 23 March 2023) 269 7. Hanif, M., Khalid, S., Rasul, A., & Mahmood, K. (2021). Maternal mortality in rural 270 271 areas of Pakistan: challenges and prospects. Rural Health, 27, 1040–1047. https://doi: 10.5772/intechopen.96934. 272 273 8. Bhutta, Z. A., Hafeez, A., Rizvi, A., Ali, N., Khan, A., Ahmad, F., & Jafarey, S. N. 274 (2013). Reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health in Pakistan: challenges and opportunities. The Lancet, 381, No. 9884, 2207-2218. https://doi: 10.1016/S0140-275 276 6736(12)61999-0. 9. Pakistan Maternal Mortality Survey (2019). National Institute of Population Studies 277 278 (NIPS) [Pakistan] and ICF. 2020: Key Indicators Report. Islamabad, Pakistan, and

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

Rockville, Maryland, USA. NIPS and ICF. Available at: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/SR267/SR267.pdf. 10. Roos, N., Kovats, S., Hajat, S., Filippi, V., Chersich, M., Luchters, S., & Wright, C. Y. (2021). Maternal and newborn health risks of climate change: a call for awareness and global action. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica, 100 (4), 566–570. https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.14124. 11. World Bank (2004). The Millennium Development Goals for Health: Rising to the Challenges", Washington, DC, World Bank, pp. xi, 6, 51 and 52. Available at: The Millennium Development Goals for Health: Rising to the Challenges (worldbank.org) (Accessed 22 March 2023) 12. WHO (2018). WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy Experience: Summary Highlights and Key Messages from the World Health Organization's 2016 Global Recommendations for Routine Antenatal Care. Available at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/259947/WHO-RHR-18.02-eng.pdf (Accessed 2 May 2023) 13. WHO (2021a). Why we need to focus on quality care for women and newborns. Geneva, Switzerland. Available at: https://www.who.int/news/item/04-05-2021-why-we-need-tofocus-on-quality-care-for-women-and-newborns (Accessed 23 January 2023) 14. Jimenez Soto, E., La Vincente, S., Clark, A., Firth, S., Morgan, A., Dettrick, Z., &Widiati, Y. (2013). Investment case for improving maternal and child health: results from four countries. BMC Public Health, 13 (1), 1–14. https://doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-601

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

15. Townsend, N., & Foster, C. (2013). Developing and applying a socio-ecological model to the promotion of healthy eating in the school. Public Health Nutrition, 16 (6), 1101– 1108. https://doi:10.1017/S1368980011002655. 16. Sallis, J. F., Owen, N., & Fisher, E. (2015). Ecological models of health behaviour. Health behaviour: Theory, research, and practice, 5, 43–64. 17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2022). The Social-Ecological Model: A Framework for Prevention. Available at: The Social-Ecological Model: A Framework for Prevention | Violence Prevention | Injury Center | CDC (Accessed 20 July 2023) 18. Salihu, H. M., Wilson, R. E., King, L. M., Marty, P. J., & Whiteman, V. E. (2015). Socio-ecological model as a framework for overcoming barriers and challenges in randomised control trials in minority and underserved communities. International Journal of MCH and AIDS, 3 (1), p. 85. 19. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Harvard University Press. 20. Bronfenbrenner U (1977). Toward an experimental ecology of human development. American Psychologist, 32(7), 513–531. 21. Martínez-Andrés, M., Bartolomé-Gutiérrez, R., Rodríguez-Martín, B., Pardo-Guijarro, M. J., Garrido-Miguel, M., & Martínez-Vizcaíno, V. (2020). Barriers and facilitators to leisure physical activity in children: a qualitative approach using the socio-ecological model. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17 (9), p. 3033. https://doi: 10.3390/ijerph17093033.

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

22. Hulin, C. (2001). Can a reliability coefficient be too high? *Journal of Consumer* Psychology, (1–2), p. 55. https://doi:10.2307/1480474. 23. Ozimek, J. A., & Kilpatrick, S. J. (2018). Maternal mortality in the twenty-first century. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics, 45 (2), 175–186. https://doi: 10.1016/j.ogc.2018.01.004. 24. Mustafa, M., Batool, A., Fatima, B., Nawaz, F., Toyama, K., & Raza, A.A. (2020). Patriarchy, maternal health and spiritual healing: Designing maternal health interventions in Pakistan. In Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376294. 25. Kumar, G., Choudhary, T.S., Srivastava, A., Upadhyay, R.P., Taneja, S., Bahl, R., & Mazumder, S. (2019). Utilisation, equity and determinants of full antenatal care in India: analysis from the National Family Health Survey 4. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 19 (1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2473-6. 26. WHO (2016). WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience: summary. Geneva, Switzerland. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/250796/9789241549912eng.pdf?sequence=1 (Accessed 12 March 2023) 27. WHO (2014). Maternal Mortality, Fact sheet. Geneva, Switzerland. Available at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/112318/WHO RHR 14.06 eng.pdf (Accessed 22 February 2023)

28. Bhutta, Z.A., & Hafeez, A. (2015). What can Pakistan do to address maternal and child health over the next decade? *Health Research Policy and Systems*, 13 (1), 13–16. https://doi 10.1186/s12961-015-0036-5.
 29. Requejo, J.H., & Bhutta, Z.A. (2015). The post-2015 agenda: staying the course in maternal and child survival. *Archives of disease in childhood*, 100 (Suppl 1), S76–S81. https://doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2013-305737.
 30. WHO (2021b). New global targets to prevent maternal deaths. Available at: https://www.who.int/news/item/05-10-2021-new-global-targets-to-prevent-maternal-deaths (Accessed 13 February 2023).

The Socio-Ecological Model (SEM) of Maternal Health Vulnerabilities

