- 1 Strengthening a collaborative approach to implementing surveillance systems: Lessons
- 2 from the Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (EGASP) in Malawi
- 3 and Zimbabwe
- 4 List of authors
- 5 Phiona Vumbugwa<sup>1,7</sup>, Ismael Maatouk<sup>7</sup>, Anna Machiha<sup>2</sup>, Mitch Matoga<sup>3</sup>, Collins Mitambo<sup>4</sup>, Rose
- 6 Nyirenda<sup>4</sup>, Ishmael Nyasulu<sup>5</sup>, Muchaneta Mugabe<sup>6</sup>, Mkhokheli Ngwenya<sup>6</sup>, Yamuna Mundade<sup>7</sup>,
- 7 Teodora Wi<sup>7</sup>, Magnus Unemo<sup>8,9</sup>, Olusegun O. Soge<sup>1,10</sup>

### Affiliation

8 9

- <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Department of Global Health, Seattle, Washington, United States
- <sup>2</sup> Ministry of Health and Child Care, Harare, Zimbabwe
- 12 <sup>3</sup> University of North Carolina Project Malawi, Lilongwe, Malawi
- <sup>4</sup> Ministry of Health, Lilongwe, Malawi
- 14 <sup>5</sup> World Health Organization, Lilongwe, Malawi
- 15 <sup>6</sup> World Health Organization, Harare, Zimbabwe
- <sup>7</sup> World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- 17 <sup>8</sup> Örebro University Hospital, Örebro, Sweden
- 18 <sup>9</sup> University College London, London, United Kingdom
- 19 <sup>10</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

## 21 Abstract

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Background: With the number of antimicrobials available to effectively treat gonorrhoea rapidly diminishing, surveillance of antimicrobial—resistant *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) is critical for global public health security activity. Many low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) have gaps in their existing sexually transmitted infections (STIs) surveillance systems that negatively impact global efforts geared towards achieving the United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This paper explains the contribution of collaborative surveillance systems to health systems strengthening (HSS) learning from integrating NG surveillance into existing Ministries of Health's (MoH) antimicrobial resistance (AMR) surveillance services in Malawi and Zimbabwe.
- Methods: We used the WHO Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (EGASP) implementation experiences in Malawi and Zimbabwe to demonstrate the collaboration in AMR and STI surveillance. We conducted qualitative interviews with purposively selected health managers directly participating in the AMR and STI programs using a standardized key informant guide to describe how to plan for a collaborative surveillance system. Qualitative thematic analysis was
- conducted to delineate stakeholders' recommendations using the health systems' building blocks.
- Results: Stakeholder engagement, prioritization of needs, and power to negotiate were key drivers to
- a successful collaborative surveillance system. Weak governance, policies, lack of accountability, and
- different priorities, coupled with weak collaborations, workforce, and health information systems,
- were challenges faced in having effective collaborative surveillance systems. Data availability, use, and
- 40 negotiation power were key drivers for the prioritization of collaborative surveillance. Including
- 41 collaborative surveillance in primary health services and increasing government budget allocation for
- 42 surveillance were recommended.
- 43 Conclusions: Strengthening collaborative surveillance systems in LMICs using a people-centered
- 44 approach increases transparency and accountability and empowers national institutions,
- 45 communities, and stakeholders to engage in sustainable activities that potentially strengthen health
- 46 systems. EGASP implementations in Zimbabwe and Malawi serve as models for other countries
- 47 plane ingstoeimm lepaesiteorrésaps o varoal aboreative மாயல் நின் எல்லுள்ள கண்டிரிகள் வெரிகள் வெரிகள்

Key Words: collaborative approach, antimicrobial resistance, surveillance, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, sexually transmitted infections, health systems strengthening, global public health, health information systems

### Introduction

In 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimated 82.4 million new global infections with Neisseria gonorrhoeae (NG) in adults aged 15 to 49 years, with the highest prevalence in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) (1–3). Globally, many countries have suboptimal sexually transmitted infections (STIs) surveillance systems and laboratory diagnostics because of limited epidemiologic and laboratory capacity, lack of political will, and funding (4,5). Lack of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) surveillance further threatens the progress of achieving the United Nations' (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which aim to ensure access to safe and affordable medicines and vaccines for all (6–8). Health systems are weakened by a high disease burden, threatening the countries' economies as productive populations spend more time in hospitals (9). Most Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries rely on syndromic management of STIs due to limited access to diagnostic assays (10–12). There is a growing need for countries to implement collaborative STI surveillance to provide effective treatment.

There is limited data in countries to support the review of STI treatment guidelines. Few countries conduct regular treatment performance assessments, which require laboratory diagnostics (13). Countries' surveillance systems implementation is guided by National Action Plans for Health Security (NAPHS). Progress is limited by technical, operational, financial, and political challenges (14). While funding mechanisms like The Pandemic Fund and the Global Fund COVID-19 Response Mechanism provided new opportunities for countries to prioritize and improve epidemic preparedness, effectively leveraging funding can be challenging (4,15). Focusing on collaborative surveillance would inform changes needed to respond to and manage AMR and strengthen health systems.

Understanding the process of planning collaborative surveillance potentiates health leaders to make appropriate decisions for better population health outcomes. The WHO Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (EGASP) is a special project under the Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) to strengthen sentinel surveillance for gonococcal AMR (16). EGASP is a collaborative effort between the WHO, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and WHO collaborating centers on STIs. The EGASP enhances the laboratory capacity in early detection and reporting of NG strains with elevated minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) to the recommended treatment for gonorrhoea and emerging resistance to new antibiotics for gonorrhoea at the national level (17,18). Malawi and Zimbabwe are amongst the 13 initial EGASP countries and implemented EGASP in 2023 through their national AMR surveillance programs. The global AMR for NG information is reported and shared through GLASS IT WHONET, a Windows-based digital health information system (DHIS) database that captures, analyzes, and manages microbiology laboratory data (19). Collaborative surveillance is a multifaceted concept crucial in enhancing security, safety, and efficiency across all the pillars of health systems strengthening (HSS).

Literature has revealed that several organizations have integrated various programs and projects. There is little documented evidence on collaborative surveillance, and many organizations struggle to understand the best way possible (20). Understanding the considerations for implementing collaborative surveillance supports the implementation of the NAPHS strategic toolkit, which shows promises to strengthen health systems. Learning from the EGASP implementation in Malawi and Zimbabwe, the present study details key considerations when implementing collaborative surveillance. Using the six health systems building blocks, we describe challenges to prioritizing

- 95 collaborative surveillance systems and recommendations when collaborating surveillance systems to
- 96 contribute to health system strengthening.

# Methodology

98 Design

97

- 99 The study follows the qualitative case study design where key informant interviews (KIIs) were
- 100 conducted using a structured interview guide. Drawing experiences from the EGASP in Malawi and
- 101 Zimbabwe settings, we demonstrate how collaborative surveillance was planned, the challenges
- faced, and recommendations for future countries planning to implement collaborative surveillance in
- routine settings. The study was conducted from April 1st to November 29th 2023.
- 104 Setting /context
- 105 Malawi and Zimbabwe are landlocked countries proximal to each other located in the Southern region
- of Africa. The two countries were selected for EGASP implementation based on their burden of STIs
- and prior participation in the WHO GASP in 2017. Both countries have functioning microbiology
- 108 reference laboratories that can perform culture, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and quality
- assurance measures. The availability of a clear leadership structure that supports, manages, and
- guides the implementation of the STI and AMR supported the establishment of sentinel sites required
- to implement the EGASP in both countries. The EGASP program is run at high-volume STI sites where
- clinicians systematically collect swabs from men attending the clinic with a suspected urogenital
- gonorrhoea episode (such as the presence of urethral discharge). The urethral specimens are sent to a reference lab for NG culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST). An implementing partner,
- the University of North Carolina (UNC) Project Malawi Research Institute in Malawi and the
- government-owned National Microbiology Reference Laboratory (NMRL) in Zimbabwe support the
- 117 EGASP NG lab activities.
- 118 Participants selection, recruitment, and eligibility
- Eight health managers directly participating in the AMR, STIs, and EGASP surveillance systems, working
- in the Ministry of Health (MoH) and its partners at the national level, were purposely selected. All
- participants were leaders in a clinical or laboratory decision-making role with experience in leading,
- 122 coordinating, implementing, or providing technical support to the STIs and AMR programs. Only
- participants involved in the EGASP with a leadership role who consented to be interviewed were
- included.
- 125 Health systems building blocks framework
- 126 Using the World Health Organization's (WHO) six health systems building blocks framework,
- 127 participants gave their perspectives on challenges and key considerations when prioritizing an
- 128 expanded scope of collaborative routine surveillance. The framework is used in public health to guide
- strengthening health systems and improve healthcare delivery, describing the essential components
- that, when properly developed and integrated, can enhance healthcare systems' overall resilience and
- effectiveness (13). Table 1 below shows the six building blocks and their focus on collaborative
- 132 surveillance.

## 133 Table 1: Health systems strengthening framework in the context of WHO EGASP

Health systems building block	Focus	Areas to strengthen
Leadership and	Overall coordination and	Governance structures, regulations, and
Governance	management of EGASP	policies that guide surveillance system
		functioning

Information Systems	Collecting, managing, and utilizing data to inform decision-making and monitor the health status of populations.	Timely and quality data collection, analysis, use, and dissemination.
Health Financing	Adequate and sustainable financing of EGASP	The mobilization, allocation, and utilization of financial resources for the surveillance system
Health Workforce	Skilled and motivated workforce to implement EGASP	Training, recruitment, retention, and deployment of healthcare professionals within the surveillance system
Service delivery	Availability and accessibility of essential healthcare services	Improving the quality of healthcare services makes them more responsive to community needs (NG treatment).
Medical & laboratory supplies	Access to essential medical products and technologies	Procurement, supply chain management, and quality assurance of these products.

# 134 Data collection

Participants were identified during the EGASP laboratory and sentinel site assessment visits in Malawi and Zimbabwe, i.e., while providing technical support to country leadership. Based on the participants' availability, interviews were scheduled for 30 minutes, conducted, and recorded via ZOOM. Participants were emailed the key informant guide three to seven days before the interviews. Interviews were conducted by the lead author in English. We reviewed grey literature from reports compiled from EGASP country visits, countries' sentinel site assessment reports, discussions from implementation meetings, and documents shared by participants on NG surveillance to augment interview data.

### Data analysis

The lead author manually transcribed and analyzed all recordings using Dedoose version 9.0. The transcription process involved reading the text multiple times, thus enhancing the author's familiarity with the transcripts. The analysis was done per each health system building block. Researchers went on to code every line of the transcripts to identify emergent themes. This was followed by a grouping of codes with similar ideas. To better understand key informant's accounts, we used the notes and documents referenced by participants collected during the interviews and country visits. A descriptive analysis detailed the challenges, facilitators, and recommendations in prioritizing collaborative surveillance using the health systems' building blocks.

## Positionality statement

The professional knowledge in healthcare and practice-based experience from the EGASP that the lead analyst (PV) participated in helped to conceptualize the research. Participants were engaged in a sensitive and open manner. The research was conducted ethically, respecting inclusive and equitable workspace for all gender identities.

### Ethical considerations

The University of Washington (UW) internal review board (IRB) approved the study as non-human subject under the study number UW IRB STUDY00018156. The research paper was reviewed by WHO publication clearance committee. All participants gave informed verbal consent to participate and record at the start of the interviews. Verbal consent was documented on the a table in the interview script. No personally identifiable information (PII) was collected. The data was stored in a secure SharePoint folder on a computer with a protected password. This data is available upon request.

#### Results

### Participants profile

### Table 2: Participants profile

Organization	<b>National Level</b>	Above national level	Study Identification
Ministry of Health	5	0	МоН
Funding Agent	0	1	FA
Implementing Partners	1	1	IP
Total	6	2	

Of the six participants from the national level, three were from each country. Five participants identified themselves as males, two as females, and one preferred not to disclose their gender identity. All participants supported the EGASP implementation in Malawi and Zimbabwe.

## Key considerations when collaborating on a surveillance system

Participants highlighted using existing surveillance priorities, strategies, resources, and data as considerations countries use to plan an effective collaborative surveillance system. When planning for the EGASP implementation, health leaders from the national level indicated that they used lessons learned from Integrated Disease Surveillance Response (IDSR). However, this is activated in emergencies. Key lessons from the EGASP collaborative surveillance included using existing structures, people, processes, resources, coordinated plans, data, programs, and involvement in STI and NG surveillance implementation.

A national leader from Malawi indicated the need to know the landscape, programs available, stakeholders involved, and the flexibility of the programs for easy and efficient collaboration. In support, participants stated that for EGASP in Malawi, the leadership team used the existing collaborations to support the uptake and implementation of surveillance. Previously, the UNC Project Malawi research institute, having a private laboratory fully equipped to run a national surveillance system, worked with the MoH in Malawi in conducting NG resistance surveillance for gentamicin, the first-line drug for syndromic treatment of urethral discharge in the country. In collaboration with the laboratory, sentinel sites run within the MoH structures with dedicated health workers responsible for data and sample collection. The sentinel site has a small laboratory for specimen handling, packaging, and shipment to the reference laboratory. During working hours, a dedicated courier transports specimens on a motorcycle from the clinic site to the reference laboratory. The UNC Project Malawi Research Institute also strengthened MoH's national and regional laboratory reference centers, conducting the AMR surveillance, including quality sample collection, handling, and processing, which resulted in the two national laboratories becoming ISO 15189 certified. However, the country's public health system faces human resources shortages in clinical and laboratory systems. UNC Project Malawi, therefore, was selected to start the implementation of EGASP in Malawi while building the MoH capacity for EGASP.

A participant from Zimbabwe emphasized that planning collaborative surveillance without engaging relevant stakeholders would stall uptake and impede implementation. Another participant cited that using existing structures and systems, low-hanging fruits, evidence, and experience from other public health programs was essential in sustainable collaborative surveillance. The participants stated that they used the expertise from implementing WHO GASP in 2018 to plan the WHO EGASP 2023 implementation. Three sentinel sites were selected, with the National Microbiology Reference Laboratory (NMRL) conducting the EGASP laboratory activities, including NG culture and AST. Although the sentinel sites chosen were located far from the NMRL, the district laboratories close to the facilities had personnel knowledgeable about preserving the specimens before transportation.

All participants agreed that having a solid coordination system and a clearly defined structure simplifies stakeholder communication and reporting while implementing the EGASP surveillance system. One participant cited their country having rekindled collaboration with the neighboring country, South Africa, in coordinating support with procurement and supply for critical reagents and laboratory supplies that are not readily available in the country. Implementation was through the MoH structures for both countries, with technical support from the WHO and WHO collaborating centers in the USA and Sweden.

# Governance and Leadership

- Respondents cited policies required to prioritize collaborative surveillance, including the National Health Strategic Plan, Joint Midterm Reviews, the Diagnostic Network Plan for public health surveillance, laboratory preparedness, and response, as one of the ten global strategic focus areas. Other frameworks to utilize were One Health Framework for Africa and the Biosafety and Biosecurity
- 220 Initiative launched in 2019, as one participant stated:
- "It is easier for a leader to institute workforce laws backed by policies and laws." MoH
- Several participants agreed that policies empower leaders to prioritize collaborative surveillance at organizational, national, regional, or primary levels through clearly articulated and documented strategies. Another participant noted that policies support communication of the benefits of collaborative surveillance when engaging key stakeholders in collaborative surveillance. Most participants, however, cited the need to ensure enforcement, supervision, and implementation of policies would support the strengthening of collaborative surveillance systems. One participant was
- 228 quoted saying:

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

- "Without accusing, there are policies that say, for example, every woman that attends an antenatal
   clinic must have syphilis testing. That's a policy that exists in a country, and most countries achieve
   80% of the people that come in readily available at antenatal clinic, it's not 100% as well for prophylaxis
- prevention of ophthalmias neonatal. Yes, policies exist. But the enforcement, the supervision to assure,
- and ensure that policies are implemented and followed up are where the gaps are. It's good to have a
- 234 policy, but it's another thing to have important policy and implementation." MoH
- 235 Health Information System
- 236 Participants indicated using data was essential in prioritizing what surveillance systems should
- 237 collaborate on. Participants from Malawi and Zimbabwe acknowledged using the WHONET reporting
- 238 platform for AMR to report EGASP data.
- 239 Six participants mentioned collaborative surveillance using available data, and data needs to
- 240 categorize priorities more effectively, coupled with resource optimization. One participant highlighted
- that digitization of data collection would ease data access, analysis, and use, thereby justifying
- collaborative surveillance to support the strengthening of systems:
- "It is easy to plan and prioritize collaborative surveillance because the data speaks better. But because
- all the data sets are in books, it becomes difficult to analyze them; you need to make them electronic.
- Imagine vaccinating more than 10 or 15 million people, and that information is in your booklet; how
- 246 will you analyze it?" IP
- Another participant echoed that the first thing to do is a mindset change, understanding that the
- quality of data used determines the outcome product of their plan; therefore, credible plans and
- decisions require credible and reliable data. In support, one respondent highlighted that:
- 250 "motivators are a difficult phenomenon to bring about and use as a driving force for enhancing
- surveillance because they always change. Over time, they will be pushed by activists and by interest,
- 252 like political interest; now, if COVID-19 had affected more villages and confined itself to small villages

- in Africa, the response would not have been the same. So, motivators are defined by the people; they
- come and go, they're not reliable, but data is reliable." MoH
- 255 Health financing
- To strengthen the implementation of collaborative surveillance, participants indicated that building
- from existing resources would be essential to pivot the new resource gaps in the system. In their
- descriptions, participants agreed that Zimbabwe and Malawi laboratories capacity building received
- support from the Fleming Fund, an entity supporting LMICs to generate, share, and use data to
- improve AMR surveillance through the One Health approach. Three participants stated that the
- funding supported more than thirty laboratories to generate AMR surveillance, including five
- veterinary laboratories, seven for human health, one dedicated towards food, and one for the
- 263 environment across Zimbabwe and Malawi. Building on this momentum, another participant indicated
- that the WHO trained sixty Zimbabwean nationals from MoH, Lands, Agriculture, Fisheries, Water, Climate and Rural Development (MoLAFWRD) and Environment, Climate, Tourism and Hospitality
- 266 Industry (METHI) to support the AMR surveillance. With the available resources, the EGASP funding
- was focused on procuring Etest strips, refresher training, and supportive visits.
- Two national managers indicated having surveillance systems is costly, and an estimated budget
- informs making realistic decisions and areas of prioritization on collaborative surveillance systems.
- 270 One participant responded that:
- 271 "But I think, as I mentioned before, it is important that when it comes to financing, we understand
- exactly how much it costs us to do surveillance, what resources we need, then we'll be able to source
- funds according to our need and prioritization. If we do not understand how much it costs us, we will
- always feel like we don't have enough to plan collaborative surveillance." FA
- 275 Participants stated that reliance on public funding sources is the ideal funding scenario that promotes
- the prioritization of collaborative surveillance. All participants mentioned that collaboration is made
- easy when the government prioritizes surveillance funding augmented by donor funding. One
- 278 participant supported this by saying:
- 279 "I think political leaders and decision-makers tend to think that surveillance is the responsibility of a
- funder. But, for instance, with STIs, we're doing syndromic management. You cannot just do central
- 281 syndromic management without surveillance because you need to validate those treatment algorithms
- continuously, and without surveillance, it's not going to be possible, yet that is not considered a priority
- for funding. The only possible way is to ride on existing funding for AMR to run the few samples we
- 284 can, but that is also not sustainable." MoH.
- 285 Health Workforce
- 286 Participants stated that investment in health workforce education and training matches the
- population's needs as a driver to support collaborative surveillance. One participant stated that having
- a skilled workforce who understand the importance of surveillance and are keen and able to use and
- 289 interpret surveillance data supports prioritizing collaborative surveillance. Some participants
- suggested that having a skilled workforce at all health facilities drives the plan to collaborate on
- 291 surveillance systems. For EGASP, participants stated that sentinel sites were selected based on
- adequate staffing of health facilities to ensure program continuity. Participants stated that building a
- 293 resilient and motivated workforce with retention strategies is key to implementing collaborative
- 294 surveillance and systems strengthening.
- 295 One respondent indicated that:
- 296 "If countries in the African region cannot afford to have staff specifically hired to conduct routine
- surveillance, it complicates the patient flow, and the current budgets do not allow, rather governments

and other stakeholders should try to make the available workforce adopt the guidelines, this has been helping other countries in deploying health workers to rural, remote, and underserved areas." FA

- 300 Service delivery
- Participants agreed that providing an adequate service requires collaborative effort, support, and
- relationship building among staff and management. Clear communication and involvement were cited
- as integral to having a collaborative surveillance system with the potential to strengthen health
- 304 systems. Participants indicated the need to streamline activities according to the capacity of staff and
- infrastructure, which could promote the implementation of collaborative surveillance where the
- workload and staff are balanced. One participant was quoted saying:
- 307 "One of the most depressing terminologies that I came across, unfortunately, was task shifting and
- task sharing. And it meant that you are a doctor but be a clerk and a laboratory person simultaneously,
- and you cannot. As such, collaboration is only feasible where the workforce and the demand for service
- 310 are aligned." IP
- 311 Logistics and supplies
- Four participants cited strong stakeholder coordination and inter-agency engagement, while three
- indicated empowering countries to prioritize local markets as essential to having the commodities and
- 314 supplies. One participant, however, stated:
- 315 "if governments have resources to support the procurement of TB and Malaria commodities, the same
- 316 strategy should be channeled to procurement for all other systems; that way, implementation is made
- 317 *easy." MoH*
- 318 Learning from EGASP, using WHO collaborating centers, and engaging with neighboring countries
- 319 already implementing the same projects facilitated the procurement and shipment of supplies to the
- 320 EGASP participating countries. Early procurement of commodities during the initial stage of
- 321 engagements also allowed supplies to be received well on time before implementation.
- 323 Challenges faced in implementing a collaborative surveillance system
- 324 All participants agreed that LMICs face a myriad of challenges while implementing collaborative
- 325 surveillance systems. Two national leaders cited an example of staff shortage at laboratory and
- 326 sentinel sites, which threatens implementation sustainability as staff become overwhelmed with
- work. One public health leader, however, indicated that careful consideration of tasks is required to
- avoid duplicating work and roles. Another participant stated:
- 329 "Having dedicated staff is expensive and not sustainable; countries, therefore, try to train at least
- everyone depending on funding, but again, with high health worker mobility, this is a huge challenge."
- 331 *IP*

- Non-availability of commodities was cited as a critical challenge to having a collaborative surveillance
- 333 implementation by participants. One participant indicated that most commodities specifically for
- laboratory tests are manufactured out of Sub-Saharan Africa, rendering it a bottleneck in engaging
- 335 collaborative surveillance; either the commodities become too expensive or unavailable, taking
- months before they are delivered, which heavily impacts timelines. The risk of having commodities
- 337 expire on hand before use due to bureaucratic local and external approvals was cited by five
- participants as detrimental to collaborating systems.
- 339 Lack of support from stakeholders from government policymakers, communities, implementing
- 340 partners, and other sector ministries has been cited as a significant setback in implementing

341 collaborative surveillance. One participant called attention to the EGASP surveillance being 342 implemented and key stakeholders not being involved. In support, an MoH participant stated that:

- 343 "stakeholders are key in driving the program, and hence, when left out, other parts to promote 344 collaboration will pull down efforts regardless of having all plans and resources in place. Such include 345 other health departments like health promotion, other sector ministries, implementing partners, and
- 346 civil societies and communities." MoH
- 347 Where funding is available, one manager highlighted that most of the resources go into commodities
- 348 like medicines and consumables, with very little going into infrastructure upgrades or human resource
- 349 capacity development, restricting capacity to do mapping of what other programs and services can be
- 350 collaborated. In unison, participants cited lack of funding as a key driver in stalling collaborative
- 351 surveillance. One participant stated:
- 352 "... for example, the net budget for financing routine surveillance is donor dependent, with
- 353 approximately 60% of all program specific, like the HIV and TB programs, up to 90% donor funded, the
- 354 government funding is very little to none, funding emerges when there is an emergency, how then do
- 355 you plan collaboration?" MoH
- 356 Lack of accuracy, timeliness, and use of data was also a challenge to collaborative surveillance, cited
- 357 by four participants. One international participant indicated that countries lack mechanisms that
- 358 collect data needed to make informed decisions. At the same time, another argued that mechanisms
- 359 to collect data are available, and what is lacking is the ability to analyze and use the data to inform
- 360 collaboration. The same participant cited that most LMICs have become so donor-dependent that they
- 361 take every program that comes with funding without looking at the country's priorities; such programs
- make collaborating challenging due to the funding and mechanism restrictions even though they could 362
- 363 be aligning. Therefore, health leaders were cited for lacking the power to negotiate for collaboration
- 364 of surveillance programs.

369

370

380

382

383

- 365 All participants pointed out that programs tend to maintain parallel reporting systems, which creates
- 366 a heavy burden for data collection, analysis, and use. One participant cited that the WHONET platform
- 367 is not integrated with the national level's District Health Information System 2 (DHIS2), hindering data
- 368 sharing and access to credible data to inform collaborative surveillance.

## Recommendations when Implementing a Collaborative Surveillance

371 Several recommendations for implementing collaborative surveillance were discussed by participants

372 using their knowledge of EGASP as well as past experiences. Participants recommended using sector-

373 wide approaches, human center design, data, existing resources, collaboration, line-ministries 374

coordination, and existing policies when implementing collaborative surveillance systems. Key

375 informants indicated that for health leaders to plan collaborative surveillance, there is a need to 376 increase accountability, transparency, and responsiveness to action plans. A participant from MoH

377

encouraged supported people-centered care approaches, stating that empowering communities in 378 health decision-making processes will support collaborative implementation and responding to

379 community needs. Another participant recommended that Health leaders ensure coordination and

inclusivity of projects through multisectoral, multi-stakeholder, public, and private sector

381 collaborations. Table 3 summarizes the recommendations provided by participants.

Table 3: Recommendations for implementing a collaborative surveillance system

**Health systems Main Challenge** Approach

focus

Governance & Leadership	No policies and enforcement of policies, if available	A people-centered approach using quality and evidence-based decisions.
Health Financing	Low or no public funds with high external funding dependence	Use a sector-wide approach to mobilize and manage resource allocation through resource mapping and needs assessments.  The government should specify and increase the budget allocated to surveillance.
Health Information System	Fragmented and siloed health information systems (disease- or funder-specific) are not linked to the national data warehouse systems.	One entirely digitized national health information system that can provide visual analysis for data at all health facility levels.
Health Workforce	Shortage of staff, inadequately trained workforce	Investment in education and training of health workers to match employment needs
Service Delivery	Fragmented and siloed systems	Strengthen primary health integration of services policies – not siloed systems.
Medical Supplies	Expensive commodities due to no locally available manufacturing capacities and complex supply chain systems.	Countries to leverage universities and external partnerships to manufacture their own reagents and testing kits

# Discussion

The present study demonstrates an inseparable relationship between HSS pillars when considering collaborative surveillance learning from the EGASP implementation and evidence from the health leaders. The key to successful collaborative surveillance remains stakeholder engagement and consultations, and countries take a front-line role in steering and leading the processes. The approach can be applied at community, subnational, national, regional, and global levels, relying on shared and effective governance, communication, collaboration, and coordination. Leadership and management capacities require effective advocacy, governance, financing, resource mobilization, and quality improvement of these complex efforts to sustain health systems (21,22).

EGASP countries, together with WHO and WHO collaborating centers, have demonstrable evidence of successful collaboration by utilizing the existing standardized health systems and laboratories. To implement in the routine setting, using experiences from other public health programs implementation provides context-based decisions that can be replicable when considering other interventions of collaboration. To achieve successful and sustainable collaborations that maximize resources, private and public stakeholders can learn from other programs or interventions that are successfully collaborated (23,24). Collaborative surveillance in a routine setting involves multiple entities or organizations working together to collect, analyze, share information, or resources to enhance situational awareness, detect threats, and respond effectively (25,26). More importantly, collaboration breaks information silos, improving data flow between organizations for better decisions (27). By sharing information and resources, organizations can avoid duplicating efforts and allocate resources more effectively.

The strength that lies in good governance exhibited through accountability, transparent leadership, and collaborative routine surveillance is often underestimated for its potential to strengthen health

systems. Clear, binding policies and legislation allow stakeholders to make decisions, secure resources, and collect data without constant change or fear of political persecution. Although political influence and support are essential, this often derails progress when power shifts from one hand to another. Good governance includes having policies that protect investments and steer support for technical leaders who, most often, are responsible for making decisions to ensure service integration across all health system pillars (28,29).

The results of the present study showed that collaborative surveillance requires holistic attention to how all health system pillars perform. No pillar is superior to the other, nor alone would collaborative surveillance be effective. The pillars work hand in glove, and prioritizing one and not the other potentially affects the performance and function of the other. Balancing new and existing tasks is essential for successful collaboration to ensure quality service provision and data management, which informs better decision-making (30,31). A sustainable collaborative program is guaranteed when continuous oversight of the entire system's performance is provided. It is critical to note the link and ripple effects of lack of funding, donor dependency on the health workforce, health information systems, and supplies and commodities in strengthening collaborative surveillance systems (32). Although mobilizing local funds to support collaborative surveillance positively impacts the strengthening of systems, it is only a starting point to enhancing the performance of other health system pillars. As the world's focus shifts to globalization and sustainable funding, governments in Africa ought to take a leaf from the after-shocks of COVID-19 and realize that if COVID-19 had only happened in Africa, the response would not have been the same (33,34).

Advocacy and digitalization are powerful tools necessary for strengthening health systems, yet very few individuals and institutions prioritize empowering health managers and technical leaders in these areas. Not all health leaders with the power to make decisions have the knowledge of data use and interpretation. To plan an effective collaborative surveillance system, investment in digitalization and focusing efforts on data capturing, analysis, use, and dissemination should be a priority for health stakeholders (35). Developing, strengthening, and reviewing health policies relies on data. With data, health managers should be adequately prepared to convince policymakers, legislators, financing agencies, and private organizations of the need to prioritize collaborative surveillance, which potentially strengthens health systems.

# Limitations

The study depended on having access to key persons coordinating, collaborating, managing, or implementing EGASP and AMR surveillance in Zimbabwe and Malawi only. Given the limited number of leaders in that area, the study relied on country visits, reports, and grey literature from the implementations to improve the validity of the results.

## Conclusions

EGASP and national AMR programs collaborated on surveillance, bringing a potential resource to providing the evidence required by countries to validate and review syndromic treatment guidelines, potentially strengthening health systems. There is a need to strengthen collaborative surveillance systems in LMCs using a people-centred approach that increases transparency, accountability and empowers national institutions and communities for sustainable activities. To achieve a sustainable and successful collaborative surveillance system that maximizes resources from both private and public sources, country-led stakeholders' engagements are key to using contextualized evidence in decision-making when choosing the best approach to implementation. Advocacy and digitalization are essential for strengthening the surveillance systems requiring direct investments. Lessons learned from EGASP implementations in Zimbabwe and Malawi serve as models for other countries that plan to implement or improve collaborative surveillance systems for a routine setting in their context.

- 455 Funding statement: Researchers received no funding to carry out the study.
- 456 *Declaration of interest*: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 457 References
- 458 1. WHO releases new guidance to improve testing and diagnosis of sexually transmitted
- 459 infections [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from:
- 460 https://www.who.int/news/item/24-07-2023-who-releases-new-guidance-to-improve-
- 461 testing-and-diagnosis-of-sexually-transmitted-infections
- 2. Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Korenromp E, Low N, Unemo M, Abu-Raddad LJ, et al.
- 463 Chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis: global prevalence and incidence
- 464 estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ. 2019 Aug 1;97(8):548-562P.
- 465 3. Global progress report on HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmitted infections, 2021
- [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-
- 467 redirect/9789240027077
- 468 4. Unemo M, Lahra MM, Cole M, Galarza P, Ndowa F, Martin I, et al. World Health
- Organization Global Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (WHO GASP): review
- of new data and evidence to inform international collaborative actions and research
- 471 efforts. Sex Health. 2019 Sep;16(5):412–25.
- 5. Fleming Fund [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Malawi: Country Grant. Available from:
- 473 https://www.flemingfund.org/grants/malawi-country-grant/
- 474 6. Anand P, Wu L, Mugwanya K. Integration of sexually transmitted infection and HIV pre-
- 475 exposure prophylaxis services in sub-Saharan Africa: a scoping review. Front Reprod
- 476 Health. 2023;5:944372.
- 477 7. Bridging the gaps in the global ... | Routledge Open Research [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar
- 478 13]. Available from: https://routledgeopenresearch.org/articles/1-8
- 479 8. Gajdács M, Urbán E, Stájer A, Baráth Z. Antimicrobial Resistance in the Context of the
- Sustainable Development Goals: A Brief Review. Eur J Investig Health Psychol Educ. 2021
- 481 Jan 19;11(1):71–82.
- 482 9. Cameron A, Esiovwa R, Connolly J, Hursthouse A, Henriquez F. Antimicrobial Resistance
- as a Global Health Threat: The Need to Learn Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic. Glob
- 484 Policy. 2022 May;13(2):179–92.
- 485 10. Kiggundu R, Lusaya E, Seni J, Waswa JP, Kakooza F, Tjipura D, et al. Identifying and
- addressing challenges to antimicrobial use surveillance in the human health sector in low-
- 487 and middle-income countries: experiences and lessons learned from Tanzania and
- 488 Uganda. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2023 Feb 9;12(1):9.
- 489 11. Chandy SJ, Thomas K, Mathai E, Antonisamy B, Holloway KA, Stalsby Lundborg C. Patterns
- of antibiotic use in the community and challenges of antibiotic surveillance in a lower-
- 491 middle-income country setting: a repeated cross-sectional study in Vellore, South India. J
- 492 Antimicrob Chemother. 2013 Jan;68(1):229–36.

- 493 12. Matoga M, Chen JS, Krysiak R, Ndalama B, Massa C, Bonongwe N, et al. Gentamicin
- Susceptibility in Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Treatment Outcomes for Urogenital
- 495 Gonorrhea After 25 Years of Sustained Gentamicin Use in Malawi. Sex Transm Dis. 2022
- 496 Apr 1;49(4):251–6.
- 497 13. Mchenga M, Chirwa GC, Chiwaula LS. Impoverishing effects of catastrophic health expenditures in Malawi. Int J Equity Health. 2017 Jan 21;16(1):25.
- 499 14. Latif AS, Gwanzura L, Machiha A, Ndowa F, Tarupiwa A, Gudza-Mugabe M, et al.
- Antimicrobial susceptibility in Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates from five sentinel
- surveillance sites in Zimbabwe, 2015-2016. Sex Transm Infect. 2018 Feb;94(1):62–6.
- 15. Totten MK. Collaborative Governance the Key to Improving Community Health. Trustee J Hosp Gov Boards. 2016;69(7):19–22.
- 16. Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (EGASP): general protocol
- [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-
- 506 redirect/9789240021341
- 507 17. WHO\_diagnostic testing\_2023.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from:
- 508 https://sahivsoc.org/Files/WHO\_diagnostic%20testing\_2023.pdf
- 18. Wadsworth CB, Sater MRA, Bhattacharyya RP, Grad YH. Impact of Species Diversity on the
- Design of RNA-Based Diagnostics for Antibiotic Resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae.
- Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019 Aug;63(8):e00549-19.
- 512 19. Global antimicrobial resistance and use surveillance system (GLASS) report: 2022
- [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-
- 514 redirect/9789240062702
- 515 20. Everybody's business -- strengthening health systems to improve health outcomes
- [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-
- redirect/everybody-s-business----strengthening-health-systems-to-improve-health-
- 518 outcomes
- 519 21. Global health sector strategies on HIV, viral hepatitis and sexually transmitted infections
- for the period 2022-2030 [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from:
- https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240053779
- 522 22. Essue BM, Kapiriri L. Priority setting for health system strengthening in low income
- 523 countries. A qualitative case study illustrating the complexities. Health Syst Basingstoke
- 524 Engl. 2021;10(3):222-37.
- 525 23. Vumbugwa P, Puttkammer N, Majaha M, Stampfly S, Biondich P, Shivers JE, et al.
- Leveraging Health Information System Maturity Assessments to Guide Strategic Priorities:
- Perspectives from African Leaders [Internet]. medRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Mar 13]. p.
- 528 2024.02.27.24303453. Available from:
- 529 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.02.27.24303453v1

- 530 24. Ministry of Public Health of the DRC. National Development Plan Health Informatics 2020-
- 531 2024. 2020. National Agency for Clinical Engineering, Information and Health Informatics
- 532 (ANICiiS) (unpublished).
- 25. Agarwal A, Kapila K, Kumar S. WHONET Software for the Surveillance of Antimicrobial 533
- 534 Susceptibility. Med J Armed Forces India. 2009 Jul;65(3):264-6.
- 535 26. Pradier C, Balinska MA, Bailly L. Enhancing multi-sectoral collaboration in health: the open
- 536 arena for public health as a model for bridging the knowledge-translation gap. Front
- 537 Health Serv. 2023;3:1216234.
- 538 27. Modernizing Global Health Security to Prevent, Detect, and Respond - 1st Edition |
- 539 Elsevier Shop [Internet]. **[cited** 2024 Mar **13**]. Available from:
- https://shop.elsevier.com/books/modernizing-global-health-security-to-prevent-detect-540
- and-respond/mcnabb/978-0-323-90945-7 541
- 542 28. RWANDA National Strategy for Health Professions Development (NSHPD 2020-2030).pdf
- 543 [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13]. Available from:
- 544 https://www.rbc.gov.rw/fileadmin/user\_upload/strategy/RWANDA%20National%20Stra
- tegy%20for%20Health%20Professions%20Development%20%28NSHPD%202020-545
- 546 2030%29.pdf
- 547 29. The Kenya Ministry of Health Virtual Academy. | MOH-VA [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 13].
- 548 Available from: https://elearning.health.go.ke/
- 549 30. Scobie H (CDC/DDPHSIS/CGH/GID). TRIANGULATION FOR IMPROVED DECISION-MAKING
- IN IMMUNIZATION PROGRAMMES. 550
- 551 31. Tripartite and UNEP support OHHLEP's definition of "One Health" [Internet]. [cited 2024
- 552 Mar 13]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/01-12-2021-tripartite-and-
- 553 unep-support-ohhlep-s-definition-of-one-health
- 554 32. Mathenge V, Onuekwe C, Nass S, Akim C, Msunyaro E, Mfinanga E, et al. Strategies to
- 555 improve COVID-19 vaccination coverage in Manyara region, Tanzania, July to September
- 2022: best practices and lessons learned. Pan Afr Med J. 2023;45(Suppl 1):3. 556
- 557 33. Tegally H, San JE, Cotten M, Moir M, Tegomoh B, Mboowa G, et al. The evolving SARS-
- 558 CoV-2 epidemic in Africa: Insights from rapidly expanding genomic surveillance. Science.
- 559 2022 Oct 7;378(6615):eabq5358.

- 34. Mounier-Jack S, Griffiths UK, Closser S, Burchett H, Marchal B. Measuring the health 560
- 561 systems impact of disease control programmes: a critical reflection on the WHO building
- 562 blocks framework. BMC Public Health. 2014 Mar 25;14:278.
- 35. Young AI, Benonisdottir S, Przeworski M, Kong A. Deconstructing the sources of genotype-563
- 564 phenotype associations in humans. Science. 2019 Sep 27;365(6460):1396-400.